EXISTENCE OF TWO PHENYLALANYL-sRNA SYNTHETASES IN NEUROSPORA CRASSA*

BY FUMIO IMAMOTO, TETSUO YAMANE, AND NOBORU SUEOKA

DEPARTMENT OF BIOLOGY, PRINCETON UNIVERSITY

Communicated by Fritz Lipmann, April 2, 1965

The nature of cross reactions between sRNA of one organism and aminoacylsRNA synthetase of another organism has previously been analyzed (Yamane, Cheng, and Sueoka;¹ Yamane and Sueoka²) by using methylated albumin column fractionation (Sueoka and Yamane³). Our previous studies revealed that the interspecific combination of sRNA and the synthetase resulted in the following patterns: (a) no cross reaction was observed; (b) the same profile was secured as that of normal aminoacyl-s RNA ; (c) only some component or components of normal amino acid acceptor RNA were charged; (d) an entirely different profile was noted. The first three situations are the normal cases, while the last situation is exceptional and has been encountered so far only in two instances. One is found in the formation of yeast leucyl-sRNA by E. coli enzyme (Yamane et $al.,$ ¹ Yamane and Sueoka²), and the other is the finding of Barnett and Jacobson⁴ for $E.$ coli phenylalanyl-sRNA by Neurospora enzyme. Further studies of the first case were hampered by the fact that the amount of yeast leucyl-sRNA formed by $E.$ coli enzyme was only 1 per cent of the normal yeast leucyl-sRNA.2 Barnett and Jacobson's finding on Neurospora-E. $coli$ combination⁴ is, however, quite serious in that the novel products are in large quantity. Outside of these two exceptions, the pattern of cross reactions indicates ^a strong tendency toward conserving the specificity between sRNA and aminoacyl-sRNA synthetase among entirely different classes of organisms. Further evidence supporting the conservation has been accumulated.⁵

The present investigation was undertaken to settle the question of whether or not the charging of phenylalanine by Neurospora enzyme to several different sRNA's of $E.$ coli is catalyzed by one and the same enzyme. If it is, this is a clear violation of the conservation of interspecific cross reaction between sRNA and the synthetase. The present investigation reveals that there are two enzymes in Neurospora which can activate phenylalanine. One attaches phenylalanine to the normal phenylalanine acceptor RNA of E. coli, while the other attaches phenylalanine to Neurospora sRNA and to the wrong sRNA's of $E.$ coli.

Materials and Methods.—Strains: The following strains were used: Neurospora crassa wildtype strain 74A (kindly donated by Dr. Henry J. Vogel); yeast (a strain of baker's yeast); Escherichia coli B; Pseudomonas aeruginosa (American Type Culture Collection #10197); Aerobacter aerogenes (ATCC #9624).

Media: For normal mycelium N . crassa was grown on Beadle and Tatum minimal medium containing 2% sucrose.⁶ For protoperithecia formation N. crassa was grown on agar plates of Westergaard and Mitchell minimal medium containing 2% sucrose.⁷ Bacteria were grown as described in a previous report.3

Preparation of enzyme extract: Mycelium of Neurospora in the exponential phase of growth was collected on filter paper, washed once with cold 0.1 M Tris-HCl buffer (pH 7.3) containing 0.001 M Mg-acetate, and frozen in a deep freezer $(-80^{\circ}C)$. The frozen mycelium was ground with sea sand in a pestle and suspended in an equal volume of cold Tris-HCl buffer (pH 7.3) containing 0.001 M Mg-acetate. The extract was centrifuged at $12,100 \times g$ for 10 min and the supernatant removed and centrifuged at $105,000 \times g$ for 180 min. The supernatant was removed and dialyzed against 0.01 M Tris-HCl buffer (pH 7.3) containing 0.001 M Mg-acetate and 0.0035 M mercaptoethanol in the cold overnight. The crude extract was applied to a DEAE cellulose column and fractionated. The enzyme fraction of Neurospora crassa was prepared free from RNA by passing the crude extract through ^a DEAE cellulose column using the same method as for E. coli B.2

Preparation of sRNA and aminoacyl-sRNA: The sRNA was prepared by the phenol procedure described by von Ehrenstein and Lipmann.8 sRNA was prepared from Neurospora ascospore (kindly donated by Dr. Roger Storck) by grinding with three times their weight of sea sand in liquid nitrogen in a pestle, extracting with 20 vol of 0.001 M Tris-HCl buffer (pH 7.3) containing 0.01 M Mg-acetate, and shaking the extract with phenol. sRNA's were charged with either $H³$ -DL-phenylalanine (675 μ c/ μ M, Schwarz BioResearch, Inc.) or C¹⁴-L-phenylalanine (334 μ c/ μ M, New England Nuclear Corp.) in the following reaction mixture (1.0 ml) : 100 μ moles of Tris-HCl buffer (pH 7.3); 50 μ moles of Mg-acetate; 2.6 μ moles of ATP; 4 μ moles of reduced glutathione; 0-1 mg of sRNA; $0.5-1.0 \mu c$ of labeled phenylalanine; an appropriate amount of enzyme extract. The reaction mixture was incubated at 37°C for 6-30 min. Aminoacyl-sRNA was isolated by the phenol procedure and fractionated on methylated albumin columns according to the method previously reported,² except that $0.05 M$ sodium phosphate buffer, pH 6.3, was used for the elution. The differential counting of $C¹⁴$ and H³ was made in a Packard Tricarb liquid scintillation counter.

Results.-Cross reactions: The result of Barnett and Jacobson⁴ has been reproduced in our laboratory. Their discovery is shown in Figure 1. The profile, however, is variable depending on the duration of incubation (Fig. 2); the longer incubation gives a relative decrease of peaks II and III. This apparent decrease is due to the enzymatic discharge of phenylalanine from once-formed phenylalanylsRNA (peaks II and III) in the present charging condition (seeM aterials and Meth-

ods). On the other hand, the peak ^I phenylalanyl-sRNA, identical to E . coli 800 normal phenylalanyl-sRNA, is stable.

Another difference in the two phenylalanine charging reactions (one to peaks II $\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$ E.COLI ENZYME: and III, the other to peak I) was disclosed when polyvinyl sulfate (PVS) was added of these compounds, the charging of peaks to the reaction mixture. In the presence II and III was markedly inhibited, while $\frac{1}{2}$ $\frac{1}{H^3-PHENTUALANIME}$ the charging of peak I was hardly affected $\frac{1}{\infty}$ (Fig. 3). The presence of $125 \mu g/ml$ ben- $\frac{1}{20}$ tonite showed a similar effect.

Fractionation of Neurospora phenylalanyl-sRNA synthetase: Crude enzyme fractionated on a DEAE cellulose column preparation from growing Neurospora was by both a stepwise and a gradient increase
of an NaCl concentration (Figs. 4A and FIG. 1. - Elution profiles of E. coli phenylalof an NaCl concentration (Figs. 4A and FIG. 1.-Elution profiles of E. coli phenylal-

B). The relative amount of protein in (from Barnett and Jacobson⁴). "E. coli

each fraction was indicated by absorption $\frac{\text{RNA}}{\text{RNA$ each fraction was indicated by absorption sRNA was charged with C¹⁴-phenylalanine by the E. coli enzyme, reisolated, and com- at 280 m μ . Phenylalanine charging ac- bined with E. coli sRNA charged with H³tivity was assayed in two ways in Figure phenylalanine by the Neurospora enzyme.
4A, using E. coli sRNA and Neurospora graphed on methylated albumin.... For sRNA. The presence of two distinct identification, three peaks in the heterol-
phenylalanyl-sRNA synthetases is clear. designated I, II, and III."

FIG. 2.—Comparison of the chromatographic contain some El. Using PVS which cofiles of E , coli H^3 -phenvlalanyl-sRNA and inhibits $E2$ activity selectively (see the profiles of E. coli H³-phenylalanyl-sRNA and E . coli $C¹⁴$ -phenylalanyl-sRNA obtained by charging for 6 min or 12 min, respectively, at 37°C with Neurospora crude enzyme.

alanine to $E.$ coli sRNA, the one elut-_{soo} ing earlier (E1) did not charge Neuro-
spora sRNA, while the one eluting later $\begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \nearrow \\ \nearrow \end{array} \end{array}$ $\begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \nearrow \\ \nearrow \end{array} \end{array}$ $\begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \nearrow \\ \end{array} \end{array}$ $\begin{array}{c} \text{longed phenylalanine to both } E. \text{ coli} \\ \text{sRNA and Neurosoora sRNA.} \end{array}$ sRNA and Neurospora sRNA. $\begin{picture}(180,180) \put(0,0){\vector(180,180)} \put(10,0){\vector(180,180)} \put(10,0){\vector(180,180)} \put(10,0){\vector(180,180)} \put(10,0){\vector(180,180)} \put(10,0){\vector(180,180)} \put(10,0){\vector(180,180)} \put(10,0){\vector(180,180)} \put(10,0){\vector(180,180)} \put(10,0){\vector(180,180)} \put(10,0){\vector(180,180$ further shows that the El preparation TUBE NUMBER 80 30 is free from E2, while the E2 peak may
contain some E1. Using PVS which next section), contaminating El activity was demonstrated in the 0.15 M NaCl fraction, while little El activity was found in the 0.25 M NaCl fraction.

Characterization of E1 and E2: Differential attachments of phenylalanine to E. coli sRNA by the two enzymes are clearly shown in Figures $5A$ and B. Thus, El attaches phenylalanine to normal $E.$ coli phenylalanine acceptor RNA, and E2 to abnormal sRNA (peaks II and III). The result of Figure 5C shows more clearly that the Neurospora El enzyme charges only normal E. coli phenylalanine acceptor RNA. When Neurospora sRNA was used as the substrate, E2 charged phenylalanine, while E1 hardly charged (Fig. $6A$). This conclusion is also supported by the fact that the profiles of Neurospora phenylalanyl-sRNA either charged by Neurospora crude enzyme or by fractionated $E2$ are identical (Fig. $6B$). Table 1 shows that E. coli enzyme barely charges phenylalanine on Neurospora sRNA, and the product of the cross reaction is the normal Neurospora phenylalanyl-sRNA.

Growth stages of Neurospora: Neurospora sRNA which would respond to El was searched by using sRNA from various stages of the life cycle of Neurospora (Table 1). In all cases examined, phenylalanine was charged only by E2. The presence of El and E2 was also found in germinating conidia, young mycelia, and old (stationary) mycelia.

FIG. 3.—Effect of polyvinyl sulfate on phenylalanine activating enzymes. E. coli C¹⁴-phenylalanyl-sRNA, obtained by charging for 6 min in the presence of 100 μ g/ml (A) and 500 μ g/ml (B) polyvinyl sulfate with Neurospora crude enzyme, was mixed with E . coli H³-phenylalanyl-sRNA obtained under the same conditions, except for the addition of polyvinyl sulfate.

cm). Fractionation was carried out with a linear gradient of NaCl concentration $(0-0.3 \text{ M})$ in 0.02 M sodium phosphate buffer (pH 7.7); 3.5 ml of eluate in each tube was collected. The assay procedure of enzyme activity was similar to that described in (A) except that the time of incubation was 10 min. The amount of radioto that described in (A) except that the time of incubation was 10 min. activity fixed on the filters without incubation at 37° C was subtracted in each value. value was 53-110 cpm in each assay condition.

Range of cross reactions by E1 and E2: There is a clear demarcation in the pattern of cross reactions by El and E2 with sRNA of other organisms (Table 1). Interestingly enough, there is a definite tendency for El to cross-react with bacterial sRNA, and E2 with sRNA of higher organisms (Neurospora, yeast, and rabbit). The cross reaction of E2 with bacterial sRNA (although weak) may indicate ^a similar situation as in cross reaction between E2 and $E.$ coli sRNA. This point is under investigation. The fact that only E2 charges phenylalanine to Neurospora sRNA is consistent with the tendency. A very weak cross reaction was, however, detected between E. coli enzyme and Neurospora sRNA for phenylalanine and the product of the cross reaction was similar to the normal Neurospora phenylalanylsRNA (Fig. 7). In this case, the rate of the cross reaction was about 1.5 per cent of the charging reaction with Neurospora enzyme and Neurospora sRNA.

A260 \bigvee E. COLI SRNA spora crude enzyme and fractionated enzyme $(E1)$, respectively; (B) E. coli C¹⁴-phenylal-
anyl-SRNA and E. coli H³-phenylalanylsRNA obtained by charging for 12 min with Neurospora crassa crude enzyme and fractionated enzyme (E2), respectively; $(C) E$.
coli C¹⁴-phenylalanyl-sRNA and E. coli H³- $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ phenylalanyl-sRNA obtained by charging for
50 **80** 80 80 80 9015 min with E. coli crude enzyme and Neuro-
50 80 80 80015 fractionated enzyme (E1). respectively. TUBE NUMBER SUBARDING SPORA fractionated enzyme (E1), respectively.

Discussion.—The essential findings of the work are: (1) Neurospora crassa has two phenylalanyl-sRNA synthetases, El and E2, separable on ^a DEAE cellulose column; (2) E1 charges phenylalanine to $E.$ coli phenylalanine acceptor sRNA, while E2 charges phenylalanine to two other E. coli sRNA's which normally do not accept phenylalanine; (3) Neurospora phenylalanyl-sRNA is formed by E2 but not by El; (4) polyvinyl sulfate and bentonite inhibit E2 activity much more strongly than El activity; (5) both El and E2 are found in Neurospora mycelium of both

FIG. 6.-Comparison of the chromatographic profiles of (A) Neurospora C¹⁴-phenylalanylsRNA and Neurospora H³-phenylalanyl-sRNA obtained by charging for 15 min with Neurospora fractionated enzymes, (E2) and (E1), respectively; (B) Neurospora C¹⁴–phenylalanyl-
sRNA and Neurospora H³–phenylalanyl-sRNA obtained by charging for 15 min with Neurospora fractionated enzyme (E2) and Neurospora crude enzyme, respectively.

TABLE ¹ ATTACHMENT OF PHENYLALANINE ONTO SRNA OF VARIOUS ORGANISMS BY THE TWO

Assay of enzyme activity was carried out in 0.25 ml of the reaction mixture incubating for 10 min
at 37°C. This is in the linear range of reaction. After incubation, labeled aminoacyl-sRNA was meas-
ured as described in t

ascospores, protoperithecia) can accept phenylalanine by El; (6) El can charge phenylalanine to sRNA's of various bacteria and hardly charges sRNA's of Neurospora, yeast, and rabbit, while E2 weakly charges bacterial sRNA (e.g., some $\text{SRNA's of } E$. coli which do not normally accept phenylalanine) and charges SRNA's of Neurospora, yeast, and rabbit.

Judging from these facts, the existence of two phenylalanyl-sRNA synthetases in Neurospora could well mean that Neurospora retains in evolution a phenylalanylsRNA synthetase (E1) closer in origin to bacterial enzyme and that the other synthetase (E2) has a different origin or greatly altered enzyme which is closer to the phenylalanyl-sRNA synthetase of higher organisms. The unusual cross reaction between Neurospora E2 enzyme and $E.$ coli sRNA, therefore, may come from fortuitous matching in stereospecificity between the enzyme recognizing site of soma E. coli sRNA and the enzyme E2. This possibility has been raised for the abnormal cross reaction between yeast sRNA and E. coli enzyme for leucyl-sRNA formation.9 An alternative interpretation is that the El enzyme can activate another amino acid as well as phenylalanine and in Neurospora the second amino acid can be charged on its own sRNA.

valine as well as isoleucine by $E.$ coli isoleucyl-sRNA synthetase has been

charge, even though slowly, phenylalaalanine acceptor RNA (Table, 7) indicates that conservation of sRNA versus synthetase specificity still exists ^X between Neurospora and $E.$ coli. In TUBE NUMBER

general there is, however, a large gap in FIG. 7.—Comparison of chromatographic
the extent of conservation between and Neurospora C¹⁴-phenylalanyl-sRNA obbacteria and eucaryotic organisms $\frac{\text{tained by charging for 10 mm}}{\text{m} \cdot \text{m} \cdot \text{m} \cdot \text{m}}$ means and for 10 min with $\frac{\text{t}}{\text{m} \cdot \text{m}}$ coli cruted bacteria and eucaryotic organisms tained by charging for 10 min with E coli crude
(Yamane and Sueoka⁵). The func- enzyme (E2), respectively.

tional significance of El in Neurospora is not at all clear at the moment. It could be just an evolutionary remnant or it might be playing an important role in regulation of protein synthesis. Although sRNA accepting phenylalanine by El was not found in various stages of the Neurospora life cycle, a small amount may still exist throughout the life cycle or in a particular stage. Another possibility is that the El might be confined in some organelle in the cytoplasm.

 $Summaru$. An unusual cross reaction between *Escherichia coli* sRNA and *Neuro*spora crassa phenylalanyl-sRNA synthetase discovered by Barnett and Jacobson (1964) was found to be caused not by one enzyme but by two different enzymes, E1 and E2. E1 charges phenylalanine to normal $E.$ coli phenylalanine acceptor RNA and does not charge Neurospora sRNA. On the other hand, E2 charges phenylalanine to other sRNA's of E. coli than normal phenylalanine acceptor RNA. E2, however, does charge phenylalanine to Neurospora sRNA. The difference between the two enzymes can be shown directly by DEAE cellulose fractionation and also by polyvinyl sulfate or bentonite inhibition of E2 enzyme activity but not El activity. We could not detect sRNA corresponding to El in various stages of the life cycle of Neurospora. The pattern of cross reactions by these two enzymes with sRNA's from various organisms indicates that El enzyme is similar to bacterial phenylalanyl-sRNA and E2 to higher organisms.

* We are grateful to Mrs. Carol Tornrose for her technical assistance. This work was supported by USPHS grant GM 10923-03 and National Science Foundation grant GB-1548.

¹ Yamane, T., T. Y. Cheng, and N. Sueoka, in Synthesis and Structure of Macromolecules, Cold Spring Harbor Symposia on Quantitative Biology, vol. 28 (1963), p. 569.

² Yamane, T., and N. Sueoka, these PROCEEDINGS, 50, 1093 (1963).

3Sueoka, N., and T. Yamane, these PROCEEDINGS, 48, 1454 (1962).

4Barnett, W. E., and K. B. Jacobson, these PROCEEDINGS, 51, 642 (1964).

⁵ Yamane, T., and N. Sueoka, in preparation.

⁶ Beadle, G. W., and E. L. Tatum, Am. J. Botany, 32, 678 (1945).

⁷ Westergaard, M., and H. K. Mitchell, $Am. J. Botany$, 34, 573 (1947).

⁸ von Ehrenstein, G., and F. Lipmann, these PROCEEDINGS, 47, 941 (1961).

⁹ Sueoka, N., and T. Yamane, in Informational Alacromolecules, ed. H. J. Vogel, V. Bryson, and J. P. Lampen (New York: Academic Press, 1963), p. 205.

¹⁰ Berg, P., F. H. Bergmann, E. J. Ofengand, and M. Dieckmann, J. Biol. Chem., 236, 1726 (1961); Norris, A. T., and P. Berg, these PROCEEDINGS, 52, 330 (1964).

INTERSPECIES AMINOA CYL-sRNA FORMATION: FRACTIONATION OF NEUROSPORA ENZYMES INVOLVED IN ANOMALOUS $AMINOACYLATION*$

BY W. EDGAR BARNETT

BIOLOGY DIVISION, OAK RIDGE NATIONAL LABORATORY, OAK RIDGE, TENNESSEE

Communicated by Alexander Hollaender, March 17, 1965

The role of enzyme-aminoacyl-adenylates in protein synthesis has been well established (see review, ref. 1). These complexes interact selectively with amino acid-specific sRNA's, and their amino acid moiety is transferred into aminoacyl linkage with the RNA molecule. In light of the fact that subsequent codeword