Research article

Evolution of feeding specialization in Tanganyikan scale-eating cichlids: a molecular phylogenetic approach

Rieko Takahashi*1, Katsutoshi Watanabe1, Mutsumi Nishida2 and Michio Hori1

Address: ¹Department of Zoology, Division of Biological Science, Graduate school of Science, Kyoto University, Kitashirakawa-Oiwake, Sakyo, Kyoto 606-8502, Japan and ²Ocean Research Institute, University of Tokyo, 1-15-1 Minamidai, Nakano, Tokyo 164-8639, Japan

Email: Rieko Takahashi* - takahashi@terra.zool.kyoto-u.ac.jp; Katsutoshi Watanabe - watanak@terra.zool.kyoto-u.ac.jp; Mutsumi Nishida - mnishida@ori.u-tokyo.ac.jp; Michio Hori - hori@terra.zool.kyoto-u.ac.jp

* Corresponding author

Published: 18 October 2007

BMC Evolutionary Biology 2007, 7:195 doi:10.1186/1471-2148-7-195

This article is available from: http://www.biomedcentral.com/1471-2148/7/195

© 2007 Takahashi et al; licensee BioMed Central Ltd.

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<u>http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/2.0</u>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Abstract

Background: Cichlid fishes in Lake Tanganyika exhibit remarkable diversity in their feeding habits. Among them, seven species in the genus *Perissodus* are known for their unique feeding habit of scale eating with specialized feeding morphology and behaviour. Although the origin of the scale-eating habit has long been questioned, its evolutionary process is still unknown. In the present study, we conducted interspecific phylogenetic analyses for all nine known species in the tribe Perissodini (seven *Perissodus* and two *Haplotaxodon* species) using amplified fragment length polymorphism (AFLP) analyses of the nuclear DNA. On the basis of the resultant phylogenetic frameworks, the evolution of their feeding habits was traced using data from analyses of stomach contents, habitat depths, and observations of oral jaw tooth morphology.

Results: AFLP analyses resolved the phylogenetic relationships of the Perissodini, strongly supporting monophyly for each species. The character reconstruction of feeding ecology based on the AFLP tree suggested that scale eating evolved from general carnivorous feeding to highly specialized scale eating. Furthermore, scale eating is suggested to have evolved in deepwater habitats in the lake. Oral jaw tooth shape was also estimated to have diverged in step with specialization for scale eating.

Conclusion: The present evolutionary analyses of feeding ecology and morphology based on the obtained phylogenetic tree demonstrate for the first time the evolutionary process leading from generalised to highly specialized scale eating, with diversification in feeding morphology and behaviour among species.

Background

Cichlid fishes in the East African Great Lakes exhibit a remarkable diversity of feeding ecology, morphology and behaviour [1]. Lake Tanganyika, the oldest of these lakes with an estimated age of 9–12 Myr [2], contains the the

most morphologically and ecologically complex species flock of cichlid fishes [3]. Scale-eating cichlids of the tribe Perissodini is prehaps among the most specialized cichlids [1]. The endemic tribe Perissodini comprises nine species [4,5]. Liem & Stewart [6] classified them into two

Open Access

Received: 16 November 2006 Accepted: 18 October 2007 genera, *Perissodus*, which includes all scale eaters identified so far in the lake, and *Haplotaxodon*. We follow their classification here, although Poll [5] further subdivided the former genus into three genera, *Perissodus (P. microlepis* and *P. eccentricus)*, *Plecodus (P. multidentatus, P. paradoxus, P. elaviae, P. straeleni)* and *Xenochromis (P. hecqui)*.

The scale eaters are characterised by unique oral jaw teeth that vary in shape among species, showing functional specialization to scale eating [6]. Among the species, *P. microlepis* and *P. straeleni*, which syntopically inhabit shallow rocky regions of the lake [7], show specialized feeding techniques, employing a variety of specialized morphologies such as mimicking body colour morphs of prey species [8], or jaw asymmetries with the jaw opening either to the left or right [9].

Although the origin of such peculiar scale-eating habits has long been questioned, and the ancestors of scale eaters have been variously suggested as being algae eaters, ectoparasite feeders, or piscivorous species [1,10], the answer remains unclear. This is partly because the other five *Perissodus* species are deepwater inhabitants whose ecology is poorly known [11,12]. Above all, a reliable phylogenetic framework, which is essential for evolutionary analyses, has yet to be obtained for the Perissodini.

Previous molecular phylogenetic studies of the Tanganvikan cichlids have placed the tribe Perissodini within the "H-lineage" [13,14]. The phylogenetic relationships within the Perissodini have also been inferred from morphological aspects [6]. However, the small number of shared characters between species and the inclusion of adaptive traits (e.g., oral tooth shape) may hinder the construction of reliable phylogenetic relationships from morphology. A molecular phylogenetic approach using mitochondrial DNA (mtDNA) also has potential problems, sometimes resulting in patterns incongruent with species morphology or nuclear DNA when hybridisation or incomplete lineage sorting is involved [15,16]. A recent mtDNA-based phylogenetic study for Perissodini showed a strong discordance in species relationships from those of morphological and nuclear phylogenetic trees [17]. Mitochondrial DNA and any single locus would be susceptible to this problem [18-20]. In recent years, the amplified fragment length polymorphism (AFLP) method, in which large numbers of restriction fragments from whole genome digests can be examined, has provided powerful phylogenetic markers to overcome the above problems and is especially useful for analyses of closely related cichlid species [21-23]. Koblmüller et al. [17] also used this method for Perissodini, although the phylogenetic relationships within Perissodus still remain to be clarified since one specialized scale eater, P. eccentricus, was missing from their AFLP analysis, and a greater number of AFLP

characters might be necessary to resolve the phylogenetic relationships of recently diverged species.

This study aims to clarify the evolution of scale eating in Tanganyikan cichlids, *Perissodus* species. For that purpose, we conducted molecular phylogenetic analyses based on over 1000 multilocus analyses using AFLP data for all known Perissodini species. In addition, we examined stomach contents, oral jaw tooth morphology, and habitat depths. Subsequently, we compared these characteristics based on the obtained phylogenetic framework. Finally, we discuss the evolutionary process of specialization to scale eating and associated feeding morphologies and feeding behaviours.

Results

AFLP phylogeny

In total, 1582 AFLP fragments including 996 informative characters were scored for 72 individuals collected in the field (Figure 1). The neighbour-joining (NJ) analysis produced a tree (Figure 2) in which the monophyly of the tribe Perissodini, *Haplotaxodon*, and *Perissodus* was supported (BP = 100%, 96–99%, and 90–91%, respectively). The monophyly of each species was also strongly supported in this AFLP tree (BP = 89–100%). Among the *Perissodus* species, *P. hecqui* was placed as the most basal, followed by *P. multidentatus* (BP = 61–63%). The remaining five *Perissodus* species constituted a monophyletic group (BP = 98%) in which *P. straeleni* and *P. microlepis* were clustered together (BP = 100%), with *P. paradoxus* as the likely sister taxon (BP = 61–64%).

Stomach contents analysis

Scales, primarily those of cichlids, were a major component in the diet of five *Perissodus* species (*P. microlepis*, *P. straeleni*, *P. paradoxus*, *P. eccentricus*, and *P. elaviae*). Except in *P. straeleni*, scales accounted for approximately 90% of the diets (Figure 3). Fry of the clupeids *Limnothrissa miodon* and *Stolothrissa tanganicae*, which are abundant in pelagic open waters [24], or those of littoral cichlids, were occasionally found in the stomach contents of these species. Fish skin (epidermal and dermal tissues) was observed in the stomach contents of *P. straeleni* (16.0%).

In contrast, the proportion of scales in the diet was lower among the basal lineages of *Perissodus* species than in the above five species. Fish skin (47.7%) and scales (46.8%; mainly of clupeids) were major diet components of *P. multidentatus*. The scales were not found alone, but always with skin. *Perissodus hecqui* appeared to be a zooplankton feeder, with calanoid copepods as its main prey (54.5%), although it also consumed clupeid fish fry (16.5%). The stomach contents of this species also contained detrital materials, including plant tissue, sponges, a few scales, pieces of fish fin, and sand grains (11.5%).





Haplotaxodon species fed on several prey items, with the pelagic shrimp *Mysis* sp. as the main prey (46.4% and 39.5%, respectively, in *H. microlepis* and *H. trifasciatus*), followed by aggregates of small organic particles, which included a variety of planktonic remains such as crustacean moults, plant cells, and phytoplankton. Planktonic algae, mostly blue-green algae (mainly *Microcystis* and *Anabaena*), green algae (e.g., *Gloeocystis*, *Coelastrum*), and diatoms, were also found in the stomach contents. Clupeid fry were also consumed by *H. trifasciatus* (10.2%).

Habitat depths

Perissodus microlepis and *P. straeleni* were collected mainly from shallow rocky regions less than 70 m in depth (Table 1). *Perissodus paradoxus* was found at depths of 2 to 162 m, ranging from shallow rocky regions to deep areas. The remaining four *Perissodus* species were collected only at

depths greater than 40 m. *Haplotaxodon* species were found in shallow waters.

Morphology of the oral jaw teeth

Teeth were arranged in a single row on both the upper and lower jaws in all Perissodini species. Five *Perissodus* species, *P. microlepis*, *P. eccentricus*, *P. straeleni*, *P. paradoxus*, and *P. elaviae*, had fewer but larger teeth (see Figures 4B and 5) than did the other *Perissodus* and *Haplotaxodon* species, and these teeth were strongly recurved backwards. In *P. microlepis*, the corners of the upper side of each tooth projected vertically, forming a pair of spine-like points. In *P. eccentricus*, one side of each tooth was sharply edged with a blunt point on the tip, forming a fist-like projection. In *P. straeleni*, *P. paradoxus*, and *P. elaviae*, each tooth had a laterally widened leaf-shaped crown, forming sharp edges laterally. *P. hecqui* and *P. multidentatus* had a large



Figure 2

Neighbour-joining tree based on the Nei and Li (1979) genetic distance obtained from 1582 AFLP characters. Numbers at nodes indicate the ranges of bootstrap values using three different parameter sets for recognition site length at 10, 16, and 26 bp (values <50% not shown). Sampling localities other than the main sampling site, Kasenga, Zambia (see Figure 1) are provided in parentheses after the species names.



Figure 3

Diet composition of Perissodini species. The mean standard length ± standard deviation (mm) and the number of specimens examined for each species are given in parentheses. Items that comprised <2% of the diet were included in "Other".

number of small teeth that were also recurved backwards. The teeth of *P. multidentatus* had slim, elongated stems with right-angled crowns, whereas those of *P. hecqui* had short stems with leaf-shaped crowns. The teeth of *Haplotaxodon* species were generalised, small, conical in shape, and slightly recurved backwards.

Ancestral reconstruction of feeding habits and morphology

The ancestral reconstruction of feeding habits based on MP and ML methods both yielded similar results. The general carnivorous/plankton feeding found in *Haplotaxodon*

species and *P. hecqui* was most ancestral in Perissodini (Figure 4A). The skin-eating habit most likely evolved once in *P. multidentatus*. The specialized scale-eating habit appeared to be monophyletic in the clade containing *P. elaviae*, *P. eccentricus*, *P. paradoxus*, *P. straeleni*, and *P. microlepis*. The feeding habit prior to specialized scale eating was undetermined; ML analysis gave the probability for each character state at the ancestral node of *P. multidentatus* and the five specialized scale eaters as 0.45, 0.10 and 0.45 for general carnivorous/plankton feeding, skin eating, and scale eating respectively.

Species	Number of individuals caught within each depth range (m)*				
	1–19	20–39	40–69	70–99	≥100
Perissodus microlepis	60 (12)	-	6(1)		
Perissodus straeleni	25 (9)	-	2(1)		
Perissodus paradoxus	10 (4)	-	8 (4)	6 (3)	2(1)
Perissodus eccentricus		-	8 (1)	4 (4)	31 (20)
Perissodus elaviae		-	7 (3)	I (I)	14 (11)
Perissodus multidentatus		-	3 (1)	6 (3)	26 (17)
Perissodus hecqui		-	14 (4)	9 (6)	13 (9)
Haplotaxodon microlepis	20 (4)	-			. ,
Haplotaxodon trifasciatus	25 (3)	-			

Table I: Number of times and depths of sampling for Perissodini species

* The numbers of samplings conducted at each depth range are given in parentheses. In waters deeper than 40 m, gill nets were deployed for about I h. In shallow rocky areas less than 20 m in depth, gill nets were set using SCUBA. Sampling was not conducted at depths of 20–39 m. The anoxic region begins at depths around 120–140 m in this region.



Figure 4

Ancestral state reconstruction for the feeding habits (A) and the habitat depth and oral tooth shape (B) of nine species of Perissodini. A) Ancestral state of the feeding habits estimated using the maximum parsimony method is indicated on the tree. The coloured portion in each pie diagram corresponds to the calculated probability of the reconstruction of the respective feeding habit using the maximum likelihood method. The tree used for ancestral state estimation was inferred from AFLP data. Branch lengths are not to scale in this diagram. B) The habitat depth and oral tooth shape of each species are shown to the right. The teeth were photographed with a scanning electron microscope for *Perissodus* species, and a Keyence digital microscope for *Haplotaxodon* species. Bars in the photographs indicate 0.1 mm.



The number of the oral jaw teeth on the upper and lower jaws

Figure 5

The number of oral jaw teeth (mean ± S.D.) in Perissodini species. The number of teeth arranged in a single row on both jaws was counted. Red bars indicate the number of teeth from the upper jaws whereas black bars indicate those from the lower jaws in respective species. The mean standard length ± standard deviation (mm) and the number of specimens examined for each species are given in parentheses.

Reconstruction of tooth shapes indicated that the ancestral state was a recurved pattern in Perissodini (Figure 4B). Sharply pointed tooth patterns occurred twice, independently, in *P. microlepis* and *P. eccentricus*. Parsimony reconstruction of habitat depths unambiguously indicated that the deepwater habitat type was the most ancestral (Figure 4B) and that a transitional shift from deepwater to shallow rocky regions occurred in *Perissodus*.

Discussion

Phylogenetic relationships of Perissodini species

The present molecular phylogenetic study based on the AFLP method strongly supports monophyly for each species and provides important implications for the phylogenetic relationships in Perissodini (Figure 2). In the Perissodini, the genus *Haplotaxodon* was not rejected as a sister group to the genus *Perissodus* as was suggested by Poll [4]. In the genus *Perissodus*, *P. hecqui* and *P. multidentatus* appeared as basal to other *Perissodus* species, as with

the morphological phylogeny of Liem & Stewart [6]. The phylogenetic relationships of the remaining five species revealed a sister relationship between P. microlepis and P. straeleni, with P. paradoxus likely being a sister taxon. This does not agree with the traditional classification, which was primarily based on oral tooth morphology and divides these into two groups: the "Plecodus" group (including P. paradoxus, P. elaviae, and P. straeleni), and the "Perissodus" group (including P. microlepis and P. eccentricus) (see Figure 4B) [4,6]. Tooth shape is an adaptive morphological trait, which could be susceptible to homoplasy through natural selection [25,26]. Thus, the traditional morphological classification for this group cannot be concluded to reflect their evolutionary relationships. Rather, recurrent evolution of similar feeding morphology appears to have occurred with specialization to scale eating, as will be discussed below.

The present AFLP phylogenetic tree agrees in part with the AFLP tree suggested by Koblmüller et al. [17], particularly in the sister relationship of P. microlepis and P. straeleni, and the placement of Haplotaxodon species as sister to Perissodus species. Differences in resolution and phylogenetic relationships are observed between the two studies, probably due to the limited number of AFLP characters and the lack of *P. eccentricus* in their AFLP tree [17]. Our AFLP tree obtained better resolution with over 1000 multilocus AFLP characters, although some basal nodes for Perissodus species were still not well resolved. This low resolution at some nodes with short branch lengths can be partly explained by rapid cladogenesis events that may have occurred at the onset of the diversification of scale-eating cichlids as previously suggested from mtDNA studies of Perissodini and other Tanganyikan tribes [17,27,28].

The mtDNA phylogeny for Perissodini was recently reported to show strong incongruence from AFLP phylogenetic trees [17]. Our phylogenetic analyses based on mitochondrial cytochrome *b* sequences support these result (see additional files 1 &2). Ancestral polymorphisms due to rapid cladogenesis events provide the most likely explanation for these discrepancies, as has been suggested for several Tanganyikan cichlids [17,29]. Furthermore, hybridisation should also be considered as a potential source for such incongruence. In our cytochrome *b* genealogy, *P. microlepis* and *P. straeleni* from the northern and southern regions clustered together, and *P. elaviae* and *P. paradoxus* shared similar haplotypes. These results suggest that past and/or recurrent hybridisation events may have occurred between these species pairs.

Evolution of scale eating

Ancestral reconstructions of feeding habits based on our new phylogenetic framework suggest the evolution of feeding in the Perissodini from general carnivorous feeding to highly specialized scale eating (Figure 4A). Haplotaxodon species appear to be general carnivorous feeders that mainly collect mysid shrimp by effectively using their upwardly pointed mouth. At the basal lineage of Perissodus, P. hecqui appears to be a zooplankton feeder. This result agrees with the observation that P. hecqui has twice as many gill rakers as other Perissodus species [30]. However, the specialized recurved oral teeth of P. hecqui, similar to those of the other Perissodus species, suggest some biting function. This implies that the ancestral feeding habit of Perissodus species involved some carnivory. Another basal taxon, P. multidentatus, appears to feed on both fish skin and scales at high rates. The fish skin was always found with scales, implying that this species bites the flanks of fish, rather than simply tearing off scales. Such skin-eating may reflect an original mode of scale eating in this group.

The highly specialized scale-eating habit appears in the five remaining species, which form a monophyletic group, suggesting a single origin for specialization in this lineage (Figure 4A). Among these species, *P. straeleni* also uses other resources such as fish skins and fish fry (Figure 3). This may be an alternative feeding strategy of older, large *P. straeleni*, which may show a decreased ability to feed on scales, probably because of worn teeth [31]. In fact, scale-less non-cichlid fishes such as catfish *Chrysich-thys* spp. and *Synodontis* spp. are frequent targets of skin eating by older *P. straeleni* [32]. Therefore, the most likely explanation for the variation in food habits is secondary divergence from scale eating as a consequence of supplementary feeding.

Divergence of feeding morphology and behaviour

It has been suggested that the oral jaw tooth structure of Perissodus species is a unique adaptation for scale eating [6,33]. Our study further indicates that the oral tooth shapes have divergently differentiated, particularly among the specialized scale eaters. Whereas the laterally sharpedged teeth of P. paradoxus, P. elaviae and P. straeleni appear to function as blades for scraping scales, the broadbased, pointed teeth of P. microlepis probably function as damage-resistant teeth to effectively hook and wrench off scales [31]. Such functional significance may also have promoted the convergent oral tooth structure in P. microlepis and P. eccentricus. However, whereas the teeth of these two species have similar shapes for a wrenching feeding action, the sharp edges of the teeth of P. eccentricus imply a somewhat different function from that of P. microlepis; a scraping feeding action such as that observed in P. straeleni may also be involved in the feeding behaviour of P. eccentricus.

Our study also revealed a sister relationship of the coexisting specialized scale eaters P. microlepis and P. straeleni, both of which are very common in the shallow waters of Lake Tanganyika. These species exhibit differential feeding morphologies and hunting behaviours [7]. Notably, these two species increase their hunting success by diverting the caution of the prey through diverse hunting techniques [34-36]. This situation, termed 'exploitative mutualism' [36], would play an important role in the stable coexistence of P. microlepis and P. straeleni, and may have promoted further morphological and behavioural divergence of these two species under sympatric conditions. Although nothing is known about the behaviour of deepwater scale eaters, such a relationship might also be found between the deepwater, syntopic pair of scale eaters, P. elaviae and P. eccentricus, which also differ in oral tooth shape.

The deepwater origin of scale eating

The present study suggests a deepwater origin for scale eating. In shallow rocky habitats, scale eaters share prey species with some specialized pursuit piscivores such as Lepidiolamprologus spp. [37]. On the other hand, in deepwater habitats, such benthic pursuit hunters are absent, though some pelagic piscivorous cichlids such as Bathybates spp. and general carnivorous cichlids such as Telotrematocara macrostoma are common [11]. Thus, the feeding niche, which is dominated by the specialized pursuit hunters in the shallow habitat, seems to be vacant in the deepwater habitat. It can therefore be speculated that such a niche may have been exploited by the ancestor of deepwater scale-eating cichlids. Their large recurved oral jaw tooth shape, which differs from the conical tooth shape of true piscivorous species, may also have been suited for biting off small portions from their prey's flank. Such morphological constraints might also have led this group to further specialization of the scale eating habit.

Conclusion

Although scale-eating cichlids also inhabit other younger African lakes, i.e., Lake Victoria and Lake Malawi, the number of species and the degree of specialization for scale eating are greatest in the Perissodus species of Lake Tanganyika [1,11]. Our study revealed the phylogenetic relationships of the Perissodini, and based on the resultant tree, for the first time, proposed a comprehensive evolutionary sequence for the specialization of scale-eating habits. The mtDNA phylogenetic tree suggests that the diversification of Perissodus species occurred roughly in the late Neogene (1.7–7 Mya), implying that these species may have experienced the dramatic geological events of the lake, including the lake level changes that occurred 2.5-3 Mya, as discussed for Bathybatini [38]. The remarkable diversity of Perissodus could be attributed to the complex geological history of Lake Tanganyika and complex interspecific relationships among fishes in the lake.

Methods

Specimens

Specimens of all nine described species from the tribe Perissodini (two *Haplotaxodon* and seven *Perissodus*), and representatives of lineages nested close to the Perissodini in the analysis of Salzburger *et al.* [14] (two cyprichromine species, two benthochromines, and three lamprologines), were collected at Kasenga, Zambia, and from several other sites in Lake Tanganyika (Figure 1). Specimens were collected using gill nets, anaesthetised by storing in an icebox, and preserved in 99% ethanol. Specimens for the analysis of stomach contents were injected with 37% formaldehyde solution into the stomach and were subsequently preserved in 10% formaldehyde solution. Specimens for the observation of jaw morphology were also preserved in 10% formaldehyde solution. These collection procedures were approved under the guidelines for animal experiments enacted by the Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports, Science and Technology, Japan (MEXT).

The habitat depths of all Perissodini species were estimated from collection records conducted at various water depths near Kasenga, Zambia. These samples were collected using gill nets (see Table 1).

Amplified fragment length polymorphism (AFLP) analysis

The AFLP analysis followed a protocol modified from Vos et al. [39]. The AFLP Plant Mapping Kit protocol (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA, USA) was used. DNA digestion was performed using EcoRI (20 units; New England Biolaboratories, Beverly, MA, USA), and MseI (5 units) at 37°C for 5 h in a thermal cycler. At the end of 5 h, a ligation reaction was performed with a restriction mixture containing each EcoRI and MseI adapter at 16°C overnight. Pre-selective amplification with one selective base on each primer (EcoRI-A and MseI-C) and 11 different selective amplifications was performed using the following combinations of primers with two additional bases (CT-TT, CG-TT, CA-TT, CG-TG, GG-TC, CT-TA, CA-TA, GG-AC, CT-AC, CA-AC, AG-AC). PCR was performed on a PC808 thermal cycler (ASTEC, Fukuoka, Japan). The DNA concentration was checked prior to restriction reactions. Fragments were electrophoresed on an ABI Prism 310 Genetic Analyzer (Applied Biosystems) with internal size standards (GS 500 ROX; Applied Biosystems). Signal detection was carried out using GeneScan ver. 3.1 (Applied Biosystems). The fluorescence threshold was set to 50 r.f.u. and the correct fit of size standards was checked for all electropherograms. Scoring to presence/absence was conducted between 50 and 499 bases using Genotyper ver. 2.5 (Applied Biosystems). Peaks with values <0.4 were considered the same.

Nei and Li [40] genetic distances were calculated with the site (nucleotide) length set at 16 using the program Restdist in PHYLIP ver. 3.65 [41]. Trees were constructed using the NJ algorithm [42] implemented in Neighbour in PHYLIP. Alternative restriction site length parameters of 10 and 26 for the distance program were also used to examine the robustness of the distance model. To assess the robustness of the NJ tree, 1000 bootstrap replications were conducted with each site length parameter using Seqboot and Neighbour in PHYLIP.

Stomach contents analysis

Stomach fullness was assessed under a light microscope according to Hynes' [43] point method with minor modification, i.e., 4 points for 1/4 fullness, 8 points for 1/2 fullness, 16 points for complete (1×) fullness, and 32 points for twice (2×) fullness. After each food item in the stomach was identified, its volume relative to the fullness points was judged. Points were allotted to each food item according to the relative volume. The percent contribution of each food item in each species was calculated by pooling the total points for each food item and dividing by the sum of stomach fullness points.

Observation of oral jaw tooth morphology

The oral jaws were removed from one specimen for each *Perissodus* and *Haplotaxodon* species, cleaned with water and dehydrated in 70% ethanol. The oral jaw teeth were then observed and photographed using a scanning electron microscope (SEM; JSM5800, JEOL, Tokyo, Japan) for *Perissodus* species, and a digital microscope (VHX-100, Keyence, Osaka, Japan) for *Haplotaxodon* species. The teeth were coated with gold prior to SEM photographing. The numbers of teeth on both jaws were also enumerated under a binocular microscope. Full adults with relatively few missing teeth were used to minimise the effects of natural replacement or wearing out of the teeth.

Ancestral state reconstruction

Ancestral reconstruction of feeding habits, oral tooth morphology and habitat depths were undertaken using the NJ tree from the AFLP dataset. For reconstruction of feeding habits, oral jaw tooth morphologies, and habitat depths, maximum parsimony ancestral reconstruction was performed using Mesquite [44]. Additionally, maximumlikelihood ancestral reconstruction was performed for reconstruction of ancestral states of feeding habits, using Mesquite, based on a Markov k-state one-parameter model [44].

Competing interests

The author(s) declares that there are no competing interests.

Authors' contributions

RT collected the data, performed the analyses, and wrote the first draft of the manuscript. KW conducted and supervised the molecular work and analyses, and supervised the writing of the draft manuscript. MN established the study design and helped write the final draft of the manuscript. MH conducted the study design and coordination, and supervised the ecological analyses and writing of the draft manuscript. KW, MN, and MH performed the collection of samples. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Additional material

Additional file 1

Maximum likelihood tree based on mitochondrial cytochrome b gene sequences. Numbers at nodes correspond to bootstrap probabilities (values ≤50% not shown) on the left and Bayesian posterior probabilities on the right. The 63 specimens used for this study correspond to those for the AFLP analyses although two Perissodus specimens (P. eccentricus-1 and P. elaviae-5) were omitted from the mtDNA tree because of failure in mtDNA PCR reactions. Sampling localities other than the main sampling site, Kasenga, Zambia (see Figure 1), and the accession numbers are provided in parentheses after the species names. Click here for file

[http://www.biomedcentral.com/content/supplementary/1471-2148-7-195-S1.pdf]

Additional file 2

Materials & Methods, and Results for mtDNA cytochrome b gene analysis. The data provided give the descriptions of Materials & Methods, and results for mtDNA phylogenetic analysis. Click here for file [http://www.biomedcentral.com/content/supplementary/1471-2148-7-195-S2.pdf]

Acknowledgements

We thank the Tanzania National Scientific Research Council for permission to conduct research in Lake Tanganyika; researchers and staff of the Lake Tanganyika Research Unit, Department of Research and Specializt Services, Zambia; the members of Institute de Recherche Scientifique, Democratic Republic of the Congo, for providing facilities for field research; and members of the "Maneno" group for co-operation with our field work. We are also thankful to Y. Kakehi for her help with the molecular work, T. Takahashi for critical reading of the manuscript, and Y. Kawasaki for helping with software engineering. We especially thank members of the Laboratory of Animal Ecology, Department of Science, Kyoto University, for valuable suggestions on the manuscript. This work was partly supported by a grant-inaid for Scientific Research on Priority Areas (14087203) and Biodiversity Research of the 21st Century Centers of Excellence Program (A14) of MEXT to M.H. This research was also financially supported in part by the Global Center of Excellence Program "Formation of a Strategic Base for Biodiversity and Evolutionary Research: from Genome to Ecosystem" of the Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports and Technology (MEXT), Japan.

References

- Fryer G, Iles TD: The cichlid fishes of the Great Lakes of Africa: Their biology and Evolution. Edinburgh , Oliver & Boyd; 1972:642.
- Cohen AS, Soreghan MJ, Schloz CA: Estimating the age of formation of lakes: an example from Lake Tanganyika, East African rift system. *Geology* 1993, 21:511-514.
- 3. Greenwood PH: African Cichlids and Evolutionary theories. In Evolution of fish species flocks Edited by: Echelle AA, Kornfield I. Orono , University of Maine at Orono Press; 1984:141-154.
- Poll M: Classification des Cichlidae du lac Tanganyika, Tribus, genres et espèces. Acad R Belg Mém Cl Sci 1986, 45(2):1-163.
- Takahashi T, Nakaya K: New species of Haplotaxodon (Perciformes: Cichlidae) from Lake Tanganyika, Africa. Copeia 1999, 1:101-106.
- Liem KF, Stewart DJ: Evolution of scale-eating cichlid fishes of Lake Tanganyika: a generic revision with a description of a new species. Bull Mus Comp Zool 1976, 147(7):319-350.

- Hori M: Mutualism and commensalism in the fish community of Lake Tanganyika. In Evolution and coadaptation in biotic communities Edited by: Kawano S, Connell JH, Hidaka T. Tokyo, University of Tokyo Press; 1987:219-239.
- Hori M, Watanabe K: Aggressive mimicry in the intra-populational color variation of the Tanganyikan scale-eater Perissodus microlepis (Cichlidae). Environ Biol Fish 2000, 59:111-115.
- Hori M: Frequency-dependent natural selection in the handedness of scale eating cichlid fish. Science 1993, 260:216-219.
- 10. Sazima I: Scale-eating in characoids and other fishes. Environ Biol Fish 1983, 9(2):87-101.
- Coulter G: The benthic fish community. In Lake Tanganyika and its life Edited by: Coulter G. London, Oxford University Press; 1991:151-199.
- 12. Brichard P: Cichlids and all the other fishes of Lake Tanganyika. Neptune City, NJ , T. F. H. publications, Inc; 1989:544.
- Nishida M: Lake Tanganyika as an evolutionary reservoir of old lineages of East African cichlid fishes: Inferences from allozyme data. Experientia 1991, 47:974-979.
- 14. Salzburger W, Meyer A, Baric S, Verheyen E, Sturmbauer C: Phylogeny of the Lake Tanganyika cichlid species flock and its relationship to the Central and East African haplochromine cichlid fish faunas. Syst Biol 2002, 51(1):113-135.
- Schelly R, Salzburger W, Koblmüller S, Duftner N, Sturmbauer C: Phylogenetic relationships of the lamprologine cichlid genus Lepidiolamprologus (Teleostei: Perciformes) based on mitochondrial and nuclear sequences, suggesting introgressive hybridization. Mol Phylogenet Evol 2006, 38(2):426-438.
- Parker A, Kornfield I: Evolution of the mitochondrial DNA control region in the mbuna (Cichlidae) species flock of Lake Malawi, East Africa. J Mol Evol 1997, 45(1):70-83.
- Koblmüller S, Egger B, Sturmbauer C, Sefc KM: Evolutionary history of Lake Tanganyika's scale-eating cichlid fishes. Mol Phylogenet Evol 2007, 44(3):1295-1305.
- Shaw KL: Conflict between nuclear and mitochondrial DNA phylogenies of a recent species radiation: what mtDNA reveals and conceals about modes of speciation in Hawaiian crickets. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 2002, 99(25):16122-16127.
- Sota T, Vogler AP: Incongruence of mitochondrial and nuclear gene trees in the Carabid beetles Ohomopterus. Syst Biol 2001, 50(1):39-59.
- 20. Chow S, Kishino H: Phylogenetic relationships between tuna species of the genus Thunnus (Scombridae: Teleostei): inconsistent implications from morphology, nuclear and mitochondrial genomes. J Mol Evol 1995, 41(6):741-748.
- Albertson RC, Markert JA, Danley PD, Kocher TD: Phylogeny of a rapidly evolving clade: the cichlid fishes of Lake Malawi, East Africa. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 1999, 96(9):5107-5110.
- Kidd MR, Kldd CE, Kocher TD: Axes of differentiation in the bower-building cichlids of Lake Malawi. Mol Ecol 2006, 15:459-478.
- 23. Allender CJ, Seehausen O, Knight ME, Turner GF, Maclean N: Divergent selection during speciation of Lake Malawi cichlid fishes inferred from parallel radiations in nuptial coloration. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 2003, 100(24):14074-14079.
- 24. Brichard P: Fishes of Lake Tanganyika. Neptune , T. F. H. publications, Inc.; 1978:448.
- Hufford L: Ontogenetic evolution, clade diversification, and homoplasy. In Homoplasy: the recurrence of similarity in evolution Edited by: Sanderson MJ, Hufford L. San Diego, Academic Press; 1996.
- Rüber L, Verheyen E, Meyer A: Replicated evolution of trophic specializations in an endemic cichlid fish lineage from Lake Tanganyika. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 1999, 96(18):10230-10235.
- Duftner N, Koblmüller S, Sturmbauer C: Evolutionary relationships of the limnochromini, a tribe of benthic deepwater cichlid fish endemic to Lake Tanganyika, East Africa. J Mol Evol 2005, 60(3):277-289.
- Koblmüller S, Salzburger W, Sturmbauer C: Evolutionary relationships in the sand-dwelling cichlid lineage of Lake Tanganyika suggest multiple colonization of rocky habitats and convergent origin of biparental mouthbrooding. J Mol Evol 2004, 58(1):79-96.
- 29. Takahashi K, Terai Y, Nishida M, Okada N: Phylogenetic relationships and ancient incomplete lineage sorting among cichlid

fishes in Lake Tanganyika as revealed by analysis of the insertion of retroposons. *Mol Biol Evol* 2001, **18(11)**:2057-2066.

- Poll M: Poissons Cichlidae. Resultats scientifiques, Exploration hydrobiologique du Lac Tanganyika. Inst R Sci Nat Belg 1956, 3 (5B):1-619.
- Takahashi R, Moriwaki T, Hori M: Foraging behaviour and functional morphology of two scale-eating cichlids from Lake Tanganyika. J Fish Biol 70:1458-1469.
- Yanagisawa Y, Nshombo M, Nishida M, Niimura Y: Sexual dichromatism and feeding habits of the scale-eater Plecodus straeleni (Cichlidae, Teleostei) in the Lake Tanganyika. J Ethol 1990, 8:25-28.
- Marlier G, Leleup N: A curious ecological "niche" among the fishes of Lake Tanganyika. Nature 1954, 174(4437):935-936.
- Matsuda H, Hori M, Abrams PA: Effects of predator-specific defense on community complexity. Evol Ecol 1994, 8:628-638.
- Matsuda H, Hori M, Abrams PA: Effects of predator-specific defence on biodiversity and community complexity in twotrophic-level communities. Evol Ecol 1996, 10:13-28.
- 36. Matsuda H, Abrams PA, Hori M: The effect of adaptive anti-predator behavior on exploitative competition and mutualism between predators. *Oikos* 1993, **68:**549-559.
- Hori M, Gashagaza M, Nshombo M, Kawanabe H: Littoral fish communities in Lake Tanganyika: irreplaceable diversity supported by intricate interactions among species. Conserv Biol 1993, 7(3):657-666.
- Koblmüller S, Duftner N, Katongo C, Phiri H, Sturmbauer C: Ancient divergence in bathypelagic Lake Tanganyika deepwater cichlids: mitochondrial phylogeny of the tribe bathybatini. J Mol Evol 2005, 60(3):297-314.
- Vos P, Hogers R, Bleeker M, Rejians M, Van de Lee T, Hornes M, Frijters A, Pot J, Peleman J, Kuiper M, Zabeau M: AFLP: a new technique for DNA fingerprinting. Nucl Acid Res 1995, 23(21):4407-4414.
- Nei M, Li WH: Mathematical model for studying genetic variation in terms of restriction endonucleases. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 1979, 76(10):5269-5273.
- Felsenstein J: PHYLIP (Phylogeny Inference Package) ver.
 3.65. Seattle , Department of Genetics, University of Washington; 2005.
- Saitou N, Nei M: The neighbor-joining method: A new method for reconstructing phylogenetic trees. Mol Biol Evol 1987, 4:406-425.
- 43. Hynes HBN: The food of fresh-water stickleback (Gasterosteus aculeatus and Pygosteus pungitius) with a review of methods used in the study of the food of fishes. J Anim Ecol 1950, 19:36-58.
- Maddison W, Maddison D: Mesquite: A modular system for evolutionary analysis, version 1.12. 2006 [http://mesquite project.org].

