

# Agonist-dependent Single Channel Current and Gating in $\alpha_4\beta_2\delta$ and $\alpha_1\beta_2\gamma_{2S}$ GABA<sub>A</sub> Receptors

Angelo Keramidas and Neil L. Harrison

CV Starr Laboratory for Molecular Neuropharmacology, Department of Anesthesiology, Weill Medical College of Cornell University, New York, NY 10021

The family of  $\gamma$ -aminobutyric acid type A receptors (GABA<sub>A</sub>Rs) mediates two types of inhibition in the mammalian brain. Phasic inhibition is mediated by synaptic GABA<sub>A</sub>Rs that are mainly comprised of  $\alpha_1$ ,  $\beta_2$ , and  $\gamma_2$  subunits, whereas tonic inhibition is mediated by extrasynaptic GABA<sub>A</sub>Rs comprised of  $\alpha_{4/6}$ ,  $\beta_2$ , and  $\delta$  subunits. We investigated the activation properties of recombinant  $\alpha_4\beta_2\delta$  and  $\alpha_1\beta_2\gamma_{2S}$  GABA<sub>A</sub>Rs in response to GABA and 4,5,6,7-tetrahydroisoxazolo[5,4-c]pyridin-3(2H)-one (THIP) using electrophysiological recordings from outside-out membrane patches. Rapid agonist application experiments indicated that THIP produced faster opening rates at  $\alpha_4\beta_2\delta$  GABA<sub>A</sub>Rs ( $\beta \sim 1600 \text{ s}^{-1}$ ) than at  $\alpha_1\beta_2\gamma_{2S}$  GABA<sub>A</sub>Rs ( $\beta \sim 460 \text{ s}^{-1}$ ), whereas GABA activated  $\alpha_1\beta_2\gamma_{2S}$  GABA<sub>A</sub>Rs more rapidly ( $\beta \sim 1800 \text{ s}^{-1}$ ) than  $\alpha_4\beta_2\delta$  GABA<sub>A</sub>Rs ( $\beta < 440 \text{ s}^{-1}$ ). Single channel recordings of  $\alpha_1\beta_2\gamma_{2S}$  and  $\alpha_4\beta_2\delta$  GABA<sub>A</sub>Rs showed that both channels open to a main conductance state of  $\sim 25 \text{ pS}$  at  $-70 \text{ mV}$  when activated by GABA and low concentrations of THIP, whereas saturating concentrations of THIP elicited  $\sim 36 \text{ pS}$  openings at both channels. Saturating concentrations of GABA elicited brief ( $< 10 \text{ ms}$ ) openings with low intraburst open probability ( $P_O \sim 0.3$ ) at  $\alpha_4\beta_2\delta$  GABA<sub>A</sub>Rs and at least two “modes” of single channel bursting activity, lasting  $\sim 100 \text{ ms}$  at  $\alpha_1\beta_2\gamma_{2S}$  GABA<sub>A</sub>Rs. The most prevalent bursting mode had a  $P_O$  of  $\sim 0.7$  and was described by a reaction scheme with three open and three shut states, whereas the “high”  $P_O$  mode ( $\sim 0.9$ ) was characterized by two shut and three open states. Single channel activity elicited by THIP in  $\alpha_4\beta_2\delta$  and  $\alpha_1\beta_2\gamma_{2S}$  GABA<sub>A</sub>Rs occurred as a single population of bursts ( $P_O \sim 0.4\text{--}0.5$ ) of moderate duration ( $\sim 33 \text{ ms}$ ) that could be described by schemes containing two shut and two open states for both GABA<sub>A</sub>Rs. Our data identify kinetic properties that are receptor-subtype specific and others that are agonist specific, including unitary conductance.

## INTRODUCTION

The  $\gamma$ -aminobutyric acid type A receptor (GABA<sub>A</sub>R) is a member of the Cys-loop superfamily of ligand-gated ion channels (LGICs), and the main inhibitory receptor in the brain. GABA<sub>A</sub>Rs are comprised of five homologous subunits that assemble to form a central,  $\text{Cl}^-$ -selective pore. Cloning techniques have so far identified 16 subunits that occur in mammals, these include  $\alpha_{1-6}$ ,  $\beta_{1-3}$ ,  $\gamma_{1-3}$ ,  $\delta$ ,  $\epsilon$ ,  $\pi$ , and  $\theta$  subunits (Sieghart and Sperk, 2002; Darlison et al., 2005).

At inhibitory synapses the most prevalent GABA<sub>A</sub>R is composed of  $\alpha_1$ ,  $\beta_2$ , and  $\gamma_2$  subunits (McKernan and Whiting, 1996; Somogyi et al., 1996), which has been shown by covalent subunit cross-linking experiments to have a stoichiometry and arrangement of  $\gamma_2\beta_2\alpha_1\beta_2\alpha_1$  (Chang et al., 1996; Baumann et al., 2002). This type of GABA<sub>A</sub>R can be found, for example, at synapses in the cerebellum (Vicini et al., 2001). GABA release at these fast inhibitory synapses is brief and results in a submillisecond, high concentration pulse of GABA, and this elicits a phasic or transient response that typically lasts  $< 50 \text{ ms}$  (Cobb et al., 1995; Hardie and Pearce, 2006). The inhibitory synaptic currents can be modeled mathematically using a pulse of

$\sim 1 \text{ mM}$  GABA for  $300\text{--}500 \mu\text{s}$  (Jones and Westbrook, 1995; Lavoie et al., 1997; Schofield and Huguenard, 2007). The duration of the synaptic event is dependent on a variety of factors, of which one of the most important is the identity of the  $\alpha$  subunit, with  $\alpha_1$  subunits mediating rapid decay kinetics (Vicini et al., 2001), whereas  $\alpha_2$  and  $\alpha_3$  subunits mediate slower events (Gingrich et al., 1995; Lavoie et al., 1997; Schofield and Huguenard, 2007).

In addition to the activation of conventional synaptic GABA<sub>A</sub>Rs by neurotransmitter release, a form of tonic inhibition occurs due to the sustained activation of GABA<sub>A</sub>Rs located extrasynaptically. These receptors are thought to be activated by low concentrations of agonists present in the extracellular space, such as GABA, as has been shown in the cerebellum (Brickley et al., 1996) and dentate gyrus (Mody, 2001), and taurine, as has been reported in the thalamus (Jia et al., 2008). Extrasynaptic GABA<sub>A</sub>Rs are believed to be composed of  $\alpha_4$ ,  $\beta_{2/3}$ , and  $\delta$  subunits in the thalamus, dentate gyrus, and cortex (Sur et al., 1999; Sieghart and Sperk, 2002; Jia et al., 2005), with  $\alpha_6$  subunits replacing  $\alpha_4$  subunits in the cerebellum.

Correspondence to Angelo Keramidas: ank2013@cornell.med.edu  
The online version of this article contains supplemental material.

Abbreviations used in this paper: GABA<sub>A</sub>R,  $\gamma$ -aminobutyric acid type A receptor; LGIC, ligand-gated ion channel; RI, rectification index; THIP, 4,5,6,7-tetrahydroisoxazolo[5,4-c]pyridin-3(2H)-one.

As the  $\delta$  and  $\gamma$  subunits have not been shown to coexist in the same pentamers, it is widely presumed that the  $\delta$  subunit simply replaces the  $\gamma$  to give an arrangement of  $\delta\beta\alpha\beta\alpha$  in  $\alpha\beta\delta$  GABA<sub>A</sub>Rs (Sigel et al., 2006). In rat thalamus, 60–70% of the  $\alpha_4$  subunit protein coprecipitates with the  $\delta$  subunit, which is thought to be exclusively extrasynaptic (Nusser et al., 1998), and all of the  $\delta$  subunit is restricted to  $\alpha_4$  subunit-containing receptors (Sur et al., 1999). The  $\alpha_4$  and  $\delta$  subunits confer pharmacological properties that differ from those of  $\alpha_1\beta_2\gamma_{2S}$  GABA<sub>A</sub>Rs. GABA<sub>A</sub>Rs containing the  $\alpha_4$  subunit are insensitive to conventional benzodiazepines (Knoflach et al., 1996; Whitemore et al., 1996) and show robust potentiation of GABA responses by neurosteroids (Whitemore et al., 1996). Concentration–response experiments on recombinant  $\alpha_4\beta_{2/3}\delta$  GABA<sub>A</sub>Rs have demonstrated that these channels are activated with greater relative efficacy by the GABA analogue and hypnotic, 4,5,6,7-tetrahydroisoxazolo[5,4-c]pyridin-3(2H)-one (THIP; Gaboxadol) than GABA (Brown and Kerby, 2002; Jia et al., 2005), whereas the reverse applies to synaptic GABA<sub>A</sub>Rs.

In this study we used rapid agonist application techniques in conjunction with single channel recordings to develop kinetic schemes for recombinant  $\alpha_4\beta_2\delta$  and  $\alpha_1\beta_2\gamma_{2S}$  GABA<sub>A</sub>Rs, activated by GABA and THIP. Simple activation schemes derived from modeling fast agonist application experiments showed that THIP produces a greater opening rate constant at  $\alpha_4\beta_2\delta$  GABA<sub>A</sub>Rs than GABA, whereas the converse was the case for  $\alpha_1\beta_2\gamma_{2S}$  GABA<sub>A</sub>Rs. Saturating concentrations of THIP also evoked single channel openings with a larger conductance ( $\sim 36$  pS) at both  $\alpha_4\beta_2\delta$  and  $\alpha_1\beta_2\gamma_{2S}$  GABA<sub>A</sub>Rs, whereas low concentrations of THIP and high and low concentrations of GABA elicited openings that were  $\sim 25$  pS at both channels. Kinetic analysis of single channel recordings obtained from  $\alpha_4\beta_2\delta$  and  $\alpha_1\beta_2\gamma_{2S}$  GABA<sub>A</sub>Rs corroborated the data from macropatch, ensemble experiments and revealed that channel bursting characteristics are both receptor and agonist specific. GABA induced up to three distinct modes in  $\alpha_1\beta_2\gamma_{2S}$  GABA<sub>A</sub>Rs, identified by stable intraburst open probabilities; the mode with the highest intraburst open probability could be described by three open and two shut states. In contrast, only one gating mode was seen in  $\alpha_4\beta_2\delta$  GABA<sub>A</sub>Rs, and the openings were brief. THIP elicited homogeneous bursting patterns in both channels, which consisted of brief bursts that could best be described by two open and two shut states.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

### Expression of GABA<sub>A</sub>Rs in HEK293 Cells

HEK293 cells were plated onto poly-D-lysine-coated glass coverslips and transfected with cDNA encoding the human  $\alpha_1$ , mouse  $\alpha_4$ , rat  $\beta_2$ , human  $\gamma_{2S}$ , and human  $\delta$  subunits, using the calcium phosphate coprecipitation method. Mouse and rat subunits were combined with human subunits, first because of their availability,

and second, because they show a high degree of identity and homology with their human counterparts. The cells were also transfected with the CD4 surface antigen, which acted as a transfection marker. Our preliminary cotransfections of  $\alpha_4$ ,  $\beta_2$ , and  $\delta$  subunits were done at a transfection ratio of 1:1:2 (plasmid mass ratio), but we settled on a ratio of 1:1:5 for the present study as our data suggested that that ratio favored the inclusion of the  $\delta$  subunit to produce ternary  $\alpha_4\beta_2\delta$  GABA<sub>A</sub>Rs. We also cotransfected the  $\alpha_4$  and  $\beta_2$  subunits at a ratio of 1:1.  $\alpha_1$ ,  $\beta_2$ , and  $\gamma_{2S}$  subunits were cotransfected at a ratio of 1:1:4. In addition, we mock transfected cells to test for the presence of endogenous THIP or GABA-gated currents. Transfected cells were washed after 24 h of exposure to the cDNA precipitate and used for patch-clamp recordings 48–72 h later.

### Electrophysiology and Solutions

GABA and THIP-gated currents were recorded at room temperature ( $21 \pm 1^\circ\text{C}$ ) using the excised outside-out patch configuration of the patch-clamp technique (Hamill et al., 1981). For a small number of experiments, whole-cell recordings were done as described in the results. Patches (and cells) were voltage clamped at  $-70$  mV. Macropatch (ensemble) and single channel currents were recorded using an Axopatch-200A amplifier (Axon Instruments), filtered with a 4-pole Bessel filter that is built into the amplifier at a  $-3$  dB value of 5 kHz (macropatch) or 10 kHz (single channels) and acquired at a sampling frequency of 20 or 50 kHz, respectively. The standard extracellular solution contained (in mM) 140 NaCl, 5 KCl, 2 CaCl<sub>2</sub>, 1 MgCl<sub>2</sub>, 10 D-glucose, and 10 HEPES, and titrated to a pH of 7.4 with NaOH. The standard intracellular solution contained (in mM) 60 NaCl, 60 CsCl, 3 KCl, 2 K<sub>2</sub>ATP, 4 MgCl<sub>2</sub>, 1 CaCl<sub>2</sub>, 5 EGTA, 10 D-glucose, 10 HEPES, 20 TEACl, and pH adjusted to 7.4 with NaOH. The patch pipettes used for macropatch experiments had resistances of 4–7 M $\Omega$  when filled with intracellular solution. GABA and THIP were dissolved at the desired concentrations in the extracellular solution. Solutions were delivered onto the patches via a double lumen glass tube, mounted on a piezoelectric transducer that was controlled by programmed, pClamp software. The time taken for the small solution interface ( $\sim 5$  nm) between the adjacent streams to sweep across the patch was  $\sim 100$ – $150$   $\mu\text{s}$  (rising phase of open pipette response). Recorded patches were positioned adjacent to the solution interface to achieve fast and consistent solution exchange. Multiple (2–10) currents were recorded at each agonist concentration and averaged to produce a net current response for analysis. Patch pipettes used for single channel recordings were made of thick walled borosilicate glass and had resistances of 6–15 M $\Omega$  when filled with intracellular solution. They were also coated with a silicon polymer (Sylgard 184) and fire polished to improve signal resolution. Recordings were made in the continuous presence of agonist. Saturating concentrations of THIP and GABA (10 mM) were used to determine the activation steps and associated rate constants for derived kinetic schemes.

### Data Analysis

To determine the activation rate constant in macropatch recordings, the onset phase of the current response was fitted to the following exponential equation:

$$I(t) = I_{max} (1 - e^{-k_{obs}t}), \quad (1)$$

where,  $I(t)$  is the current at time  $t$ ,  $I_{max}$  is the maximum current amplitude, and  $k_{obs}$  is the observed pseudo-first order rate constant for current activation. The activation rate constant ( $k_{obs}$ ) was plotted against agonist concentration ( $\log_{10}$  scale) for a range of concentrations and the data were fitted to a modified form of the Hill equation:

$$k_{obs} = \frac{k_{obs}^{max} [A]^n}{([A]^n + EC_{50}^n)} + y_0, \quad (2)$$



## RESULTS

### Identifying the $\alpha_4\beta_2\delta$ Pentameric GABA<sub>A</sub>R Using THIP

We recorded single channel currents in excised, outside-out membrane patches from cells transfected with  $\alpha_4$ ,  $\beta_2$ , and  $\delta$  subunits, initially at a transfection ratio of 1:1:2. These patches exhibited two current amplitudes in response to saturating concentrations of THIP (10 mM), at a pipette potential of  $-70$  mV. The most frequently observed openings had an amplitude of  $\sim -2$  pA, but we also observed  $\sim -2.5$  pA openings that were less frequent. These two current amplitudes could conceivably represent subconductance states of  $\alpha_4\beta_2\delta$  GABA<sub>A</sub>Rs having the conventionally accepted stoichiometry of  $2\alpha_4:2\beta_2:\delta$  (Sigel et al., 2006). However, we decided to transfect cells at other transfection ratios and subunit combinations to investigate the possibility that the observed heterogeneity in single channel amplitude arose from GABA<sub>A</sub>R of different composition. We first increased the proportion of  $\delta$  subunit in our transfections to give a  $\alpha_4:\beta_2:\delta$  ratio of 1:1:5. These transfections yielded a predominant single channel, THIP-activated current amplitude of  $-2.46 \pm 0.07$  pA ( $n = 11$  patches). An example of this activity is shown in Fig. 1 A along with the pooled amplitude histogram (Fig. 1 B, dark gray shade). In our next set of transfections we omitted the  $\delta$  subunit and cotransfected only the  $\alpha_4$  and  $\beta_2$  subunits, at a ratio of 1:1. Fig. 1 A shows a typical example of single channel, THIP-activated currents from these transfections. The pooled amplitude histogram yielded a mean single channel current amplitude, calculated from 16 patches, of  $-1.96 \pm 0.05$  pA (Fig. 1 B, light gray shade). Similar experiments on patches excised from mock-transfected cells yielded no endogenous THIP (or GABA)-gated activity.

Our single channel measurements suggest that transfecting  $\alpha_4$ ,  $\beta_2$ , and  $\delta$  subunits at a ratio of 1:1:2 likely results in the expression of GABA<sub>A</sub>R of different subunit compositions, including not only the desired ternary  $\alpha_4\beta_2\delta$  GABA<sub>A</sub>Rs, but possibly binary  $\alpha_4\beta_2$  channels. This inference is supported by our pooled amplitude histograms and statistical treatment of data obtained at different subunit transfection ratios. We performed a *t* test (unpaired) on the measurements of single channel current amplitude between the two groups of transfections ( $\alpha_4$  and  $\beta_2$  at 1:1 and  $\alpha_4$ ,  $\beta_2$ , and  $\delta$  at 1:1:5). This analysis produced a significant difference in current amplitude between the two groups, with a *P* value of  $<0.0001$ , suggesting that the latter transfection ratio results in the expression of a channel that opens to a distinct amplitude. We infer that this channel is likely the ternary  $\alpha_4\beta_2\delta$  GABA<sub>A</sub>R.


For subsequent sections of this study, including macropatch measurements, we transfected  $\alpha_4$ ,  $\beta_2$ , and  $\delta$  subunits at a 1:1:5 ratio, as our data suggest that this ratio favorably biases the expression of ternary  $\alpha_4\beta_2\delta$  GABA<sub>A</sub>R. Moreover, in our single channel analysis we will disregard

the  $\sim -2$  pA currents in the same transfections as we cannot rule out the possibility that these openings are due to  $\alpha_4\beta_2$  binary GABA<sub>A</sub>R.

### Single Channel Amplitude in $\alpha_4\beta_2\delta$ and $\alpha_1\beta_2\gamma_{2S}$ GABA<sub>A</sub>Rs Is Agonist Dependent

In this set of experiments we measured and compared single channel current amplitude (and conductance) in  $\alpha_4\beta_2\delta$  and  $\alpha_1\beta_2\gamma_{2S}$  GABA<sub>A</sub>R in response to GABA and THIP at a pipette potential of  $-70$  mV. Saturating concentrations of GABA usually failed to evoke any currents in  $\alpha_4\beta_2\delta$  GABA<sub>A</sub>R in most patches that exhibited THIP-activated currents. Generally, the single channel openings in  $\alpha_4\beta_2\delta$  GABA<sub>A</sub>R that were observed in response to GABA were very short in duration, consisted mostly of single open–shut events, and disappeared within a few seconds of recording. GABA-gated single channel activity of  $\alpha_4\beta_2\delta$  GABA<sub>A</sub>R has been previously reported (Akk et al., 2004). That study also noted the absence of discrete clusters of openings, even though saturating concentrations (1 mM) of GABA were used. A notable feature of the GABA-gated currents that we observed was that they had a smaller current amplitude than when activated by THIP. As shown in Fig. 1 C and the accompanying pooled amplitude histogram in Fig. 1 D, GABA produced a single channel amplitude of  $-1.72 \pm 0.07$  pA ( $n = 3$  patches). An unpaired, two-tailed *t* test revealed that the THIP-activated current amplitude was significantly greater than GABA-gated current ( $P < 0.0001$ ).

We also examined single channel activity in cells transfected with  $\alpha_1$ ,  $\beta_2$ , and  $\gamma_{2S}$  subunits, at a ratio of 1:1:4 in response to THIP. These patches yielded a single current amplitude of  $-2.58 \pm 0.08$  pA ( $n = 10$  patches; Fig. 1 E) at a pipette potential of  $-70$  mV. In contrast, when we exposed  $\alpha_1\beta_2\gamma_{2S}$  GABA<sub>A</sub>R to GABA we observed single channel currents of two distinct amplitudes. One of these was  $\sim -1.1$  pA (unpublished data), but this was relatively rare, accounting for  $\sim 30\%$  of openings in only 3 out of 14 patches exposed to 10 mM GABA. The majority of the activity had an amplitude of  $-1.68 \pm 0.06$  pA ( $n = 9$  patches; Fig. 1 E) and occurred in clusters, separated by quiescent periods that generally lasted several seconds (see also Fig. 4 C). This GABA-gated activity was similar to that previously reported for  $\alpha_1\beta_1\gamma_{2S}$  channels (Lema and Auerbach, 2006) and the closely related  $\alpha_1\beta_2\gamma_{2L}$  channels (Steinbach and Akk, 2001), also expressed in HEK293 cells. Fig. 1 F shows pooled amplitude histograms for THIP and GABA-gated single channel currents in  $\alpha_1\beta_2\gamma_{2S}$  GABA<sub>A</sub>R. A statistical treatment (unpaired, two-tailed *t* test) of the single channel currents induced by THIP and GABA revealed that each agonist opened the  $\alpha_1\beta_2\gamma_{2S}$  GABA<sub>A</sub>R to a distinct and significantly different amplitude ( $P < 0.0001$ ). To explore the observation of differential, agonist-specific single channel current amplitude, we also performed agonist competition experiments on  $\alpha_1\beta_2\gamma_{2S}$  GABA<sub>A</sub>R using



**Figure 1.** Single channel recordings from GABA<sub>A</sub>Rs of different subunit compositions in response to GABA and THIP. (A) Two single channel records from patches expressing  $\alpha_4\beta_2\delta$  GABA<sub>A</sub>Rs (above) and  $\alpha_4\beta_2$  GABA<sub>A</sub>Rs (below). The patches were excised from cells transfected with  $\alpha_4$ ,  $\beta_2$ , and  $\delta$  subunits at a ratio of 1:1:5 ( $\alpha_4:\beta_2:\delta$ ) and  $\alpha_4$  and  $\beta_2$  subunits at a ratio of 1:1 ( $\alpha_4:\beta_2$ ), respectively. (B) Pooled amplitude histograms obtained from patches expressing  $\alpha_4\beta_2\delta$  GABA<sub>A</sub>Rs (dark gray; peak =  $-2.46 \pm 0.07$  pA,  $n = 11$  patches) and  $\alpha_4\beta_2$  GABA<sub>A</sub>Rs (light gray; peak =  $-1.96 \pm 0.06$  pA,  $n = 16$  patches). (C) A single channel recording from a patch (above) expressing  $\alpha_4\beta_2\delta$  GABA<sub>A</sub>Rs (transfected at 1:1:5) in response to 10 mM GABA and another recording (below) where 10 mM GABA and 10 mM THIP were applied sequentially to the same patch. (D) Pooled amplitude histograms for GABA-gated currents (light gray; peak =  $-1.72$ ,  $n = 3$  patches) and THIP-gated currents (dark gray, transferred from Fig. 1 D from  $\alpha_4\beta_2\delta$  GABA<sub>A</sub>Rs). (E) Single channel activity elicited by THIP (above) and GABA (below) from two separate patches expressing  $\alpha_1\beta_2\gamma_{2S}$  GABA<sub>A</sub>Rs, transfected at a ratio of 1:1:4. (F) Pooled amplitude histograms from patches expressing  $\alpha_1\beta_2\gamma_{2S}$  GABA<sub>A</sub>Rs in response to GABA (light gray; peak =  $-1.68$  pA,  $n = 9$  patches) and THIP (dark gray; peak =  $-2.58 \pm 0.08$  pA,  $n = 10$  patches). Currents were filtered to 2 kHz for display purposes. The peaks corresponding to zero current were omitted for clarity.

GABA and THIP. The agonist application protocol involved coapplying the two agonists, in addition to applying each agonist separately, to the same patch. The experiments were done with the following pairs of agonist concentrations: 5 mM GABA and 5 mM THIP, 5 mM GABA and 100  $\mu$ M THIP, and, finally, 50  $\mu$ M GABA and 5 mM THIP (all at  $-70$  mV). As shown in Fig. S1 (available at <http://www.jgp.org/cgi/content/full/jgp.200709871/DC1>), when 5 mM GABA and 5 mM THIP were coapplied the resulting current amplitude ( $-2.24 \pm 0.05$  pA) was not distinguishable from that of THIP alone ( $-2.22 \pm 0.06$  pA,  $P = 0.8431$ , paired  $t$  test). In contrast, the amplitude elicited by 5 mM GABA alone was  $-1.62 \pm 0.02$  pA ( $n = 4$  patches, Fig. S1 A and Table S1), which an

ANOVA test (one-way with repeated measures) revealed as significantly smaller ( $P < 0.0001$ ). An example of the second type of competition experiment is shown in Fig. S1 B. Here, when 5 mM THIP was applied alone or in combination with 50  $\mu$ M GABA, the current amplitudes were indistinguishable, being  $-2.44 \pm 0.04$  pA for THIP and  $-2.47 \pm 0.03$  pA for THIP plus GABA ( $P = 0.5559$ , paired  $t$  test). 50  $\mu$ M GABA applied alone produced an amplitude of  $-1.70 \pm 0.03$  ( $n = 8$  patches), which was again significantly smaller than when the patches were exposed to 5 mM THIP alone or in combination with GABA (ANOVA,  $P < 0.0001$ , Table S1). Fig. S1 C shows recordings from a patch where 5 mM GABA and 100  $\mu$ M THIP were either applied separately or coapplied.

TABLE I  
Unitary Conductance and Kinetic Parameters for  $\alpha_4\beta_2\delta$  and  $\alpha_1\beta_2\gamma_{2S}$  GABA<sub>A</sub>Rs

		$\alpha_4\beta_2\delta$	$\alpha_1\beta_2\gamma_{2S}$
Unitary conductance (pS)	THIP	35.5	37.2
	GABA	24.6	25.0
$\beta$ (s <sup>-1</sup> )	THIP	1624 ± 223	458 ± 18
	GABA	442 ± 100	1799 ± 30
Low concentration burst duration (ms)	THIP	2.30 ± 0.07	4.95 ± 1.30
	GABA	ND	5.38 ± 1.21
$k_{\text{obs}} EC_{50}$ (μM)	THIP	1640 ± 160	696 ± 48
	GABA	ND	704 ± 50

ND, not determined

In contrast to saturating concentrations of THIP, 100 μM THIP elicited an amplitude of  $-1.80 \pm 0.03$  pA, which was not statistically different from that elicited by 5 mM GABA alone ( $-1.73 \pm 0.05$  pA) or 5 mM GABA with 100 μM THIP ( $-1.78 \pm 0.04$ ,  $n = 8$  patches, AVOVA,  $P = 0.2429$ , Table S1). It is also noteworthy that the current amplitude evoked by 5 mM and 50 μM GABA and 100 μM THIP were not significantly different from each other (ANOVA,  $P = 0.2125$ ). In three patches we were also able to obtain enough spontaneous (agonist-free) single channel openings to construct reliable amplitude histograms (unpublished data). These measurements yielded an amplitude of  $-1.60 \pm 0.06$  pA, which was not significantly different from GABA-gated openings (paired  $t$  test,  $P = 0.3781$ ). Fig. S1 D shows single channel activity from a patch that exhibited some spontaneous openings and was subsequently and sequentially exposed to GABA (50 μM), THIP (100 μM), and THIP (5 mM).

To further characterize single channel current amplitude elicited by the two agonists, we recorded activity at pipette potentials of  $-70$  and  $+70$  mV, from the same patch, using either saturating concentrations of GABA or THIP (5 mM) or subsaturating concentrations of GABA (50 μM) or THIP (100 μM). Examples of these experiments are provided in Fig. S2. For reference, the amplitude measurements and statistical comparisons are tabulated in Table S2, along with a measure of current rectification (rectification index, RI), defined as the ratio of single channel current amplitude measured at pipette potentials of  $-70$  and  $+70$  mV.

Saturating concentrations of THIP (5 mM) applied to  $\alpha_1\beta_2\gamma_{2S}$  GABA<sub>A</sub>Rs produced similar single channel current amplitude at  $-70$  mV ( $-2.32 \pm 0.07$  pA) and  $+70$  mV ( $2.30 \pm 0.07$  pA, paired  $t$  test,  $P = 0.839$ ,  $n = 8$  patches, Fig. S2 A), resulting in an RI of  $\sim 1$ . 100 μM THIP elicited a significantly greater current amplitude at  $-70$  mV ( $-1.76 \pm 0.11$  pA) than at  $+70$  mV ( $1.46 \pm 0.03$  pA, paired  $t$  test,  $P = 0.038$ ,  $n = 5$  patches, Fig. S2 B), producing slight inward rectification (RI = 1.10). This was also the case when using GABA as the agonist at both saturating and subsaturating concentrations. 5 mM GABA elicited a current amplitude of  $-1.66 \pm 0.06$  pA at  $-70$

mV and  $1.45 \pm 0.04$  pA at  $+70$  mV ( $n = 8$  patches, paired  $t$  test,  $P = 0.025$ , RI = 1.14, Fig. S2 C). Similarly, 50 μM GABA elicited a current amplitude of  $-1.74 \pm 0.06$  pA at  $-70$  mV and  $1.40 \pm 0.10$  pA at  $+70$  mV ( $n = 3$  patches, paired  $t$  test,  $P = 0.003$ , RI = 1.24; Fig. S2 D, Table S2).


Using Eq. 3, single channel currents of  $-2.46$  and  $-1.70$  pA activated by THIP and GABA, respectively, and corresponding reversal potentials (unpublished data) for the two agonists, we calculated the unitary conductance of  $\alpha_4\beta_2\delta$  GABA<sub>A</sub>Rs. The calculations yielded conductances of 35.5 and 24.6 pS for THIP and GABA, respectively, at  $-70$  mV. Similar calculations for  $\alpha_1\beta_2\gamma_{2S}$  GABA<sub>A</sub>Rs produced conductance values of 37.2 pS for THIP and 25.0 pS for GABA (Table I).

For subsequent single channel analysis of  $\alpha_4\beta_2\delta$  GABA<sub>A</sub>Rs we focused on the THIP-activated  $-2.46$  pA current, and for  $\alpha_1\beta_2\gamma_{2S}$  GABA<sub>A</sub>Rs, the THIP-activated  $-2.58$  pA and GABA-activated  $-1.68$  pA activity. Due to its rarity, and the possibility that the lower conductance, GABA-gated activity in  $\alpha_1\beta_2\gamma_{2S}$  GABA<sub>A</sub>Rs could be mediated by binary  $\alpha_1\beta_2$  channels (Wagner et al., 2004; Li et al., 2006), which were  $\sim -1.1$  pA, we decided not to analyze these particular currents any further.

#### Current Activation Rates in $\alpha_4\beta_2\delta$ and $\alpha_1\beta_2\gamma_{2S}$ GABA<sub>A</sub>Rs are Agonist Dependent

Current activation was examined over a range of concentrations of GABA and THIP for  $\alpha_4\beta_2\delta$  and  $\alpha_1\beta_2\gamma_{2S}$  GABA<sub>A</sub>Rs, using ultrafast agonist application on excised outside-out patches. For  $\alpha_4\beta_2\delta$  GABA<sub>A</sub>Rs in response to GABA, data from small cells were also included, as these generally gave larger currents with improved signal-to-noise ratios. However, in spite of our efforts, it was only possible to obtain reliable activation rate data for the higher concentrations or GABA (10, 20, and 30 mM) for these channels.

The rate of current activation was measured by fitting Eq. 1 to the onset phase of the current (Fig. 2, A and B), from which the observed activation rate constant ( $k_{\text{obs}}$ ) was obtained.  $k_{\text{obs}}$  was then plotted as a function of agonist concentration over the entire range of concentrations tested (Fig. 2, C and D). The resulting data were fitted to



**Figure 2.** Current activation rates for  $\alpha_4\beta_2\delta$  and  $\alpha_1\beta_2\gamma_{2S}$  GABA<sub>A</sub>Rs in response to THIP and GABA. (A) The activation phase of currents mediated by  $\alpha_4\beta_2\delta$  and  $\alpha_1\beta_2\gamma_{2S}$  GABA<sub>A</sub>Rs in response to fast agonist application of THIP, showing that THIP activates  $\alpha_4\beta_2\delta$  GABA<sub>A</sub>Rs more rapidly. (B) The activation phase of currents for  $\alpha_4\beta_2\delta$  and  $\alpha_1\beta_2\gamma_{2S}$  GABA<sub>A</sub>Rs in response to fast application of GABA, showing that  $\alpha_1\beta_2\gamma_{2S}$  GABA<sub>A</sub>Rs activate more rapidly. (C) Plot of activation rate constant ( $k_{\text{obs}}$ ) versus [agonist] for  $\alpha_4\beta_2\delta$  GABA<sub>A</sub>Rs. The plot for GABA-gated activation is shown on an expanded scale (inset). (D) Plot of activation rate constant ( $k_{\text{obs}}$ ) versus [agonist] for  $\alpha_1\beta_2\gamma_{2S}$  GABA<sub>A</sub>Rs. The data points were fitted to a modified form of the Hill equation (see Materials and methods). The parameters of the fit are shown in Table I. THIP, black symbols; GABA, white symbols.

a Hill type equation (Eq. 2) to obtain the upper and lower asymptotic levels and the concentration that elicits the half-maximal  $k_{\text{obs}}$  ( $k_{\text{obs}} \text{ EC}_{50}$ ; Table I). For both agonists the  $k_{\text{obs}}$  increased with increasing agonist concentration, plateauing to a maximum at  $\sim 10$ – $20$  mM for both agonists. For  $\alpha_4\beta_2\delta$  GABA<sub>A</sub>Rs, the  $k_{\text{obs}}$  was greater for THIP than GABA, reaching a maximum for the two agonists of  $2058 \text{ s}^{-1}$  and  $442 \text{ s}^{-1}$ , respectively (Fig. 2 C). The converse was the case for  $\alpha_1\beta_2\gamma_{2S}$  GABA<sub>A</sub>Rs, with GABA producing a higher activation rate constant than THIP. The maximum  $k_{\text{obs}}$  value for GABA was  $1986 \text{ s}^{-1}$  and for THIP was  $660 \text{ s}^{-1}$  (Fig. 2 D). The maximum activation rate constant for GABA-gated  $\alpha_1\beta_2\gamma_{2S}$  GABA<sub>A</sub>Rs, estimated from medium-sized cells (Keramidas et al., 2006), is comparable, although slightly lower than that obtained here from excised patches using the same ultrafast perfusion system.


With decreasing agonist concentration, current activation became increasingly slower, plateauing to distinct, nonzero asymptotic levels. For  $\alpha_4\beta_2\delta$  channels activated by THIP, the lower asymptote was  $434 \text{ s}^{-1}$ . For  $\alpha_1\beta_2\gamma_{2S}$  channels the lower asymptote  $k_{\text{obs}}$  values were  $202 \text{ s}^{-1}$  and  $186 \text{ s}^{-1}$  for THIP and GABA, respectively. These values were not significantly different (Table I).

The agonist concentration that produced the half maximal  $k_{\text{obs}}$  values ( $k_{\text{obs}} \text{ EC}_{50}$ ) varied with channel type.  $\alpha_4\beta_2\delta$  channels had a  $k_{\text{obs}} \text{ EC}_{50}$  for THIP of  $1.64 \text{ mM}$ .  $\alpha_1\beta_2\gamma_{2S}$  GABA<sub>A</sub>Rs had statistically indistinguishable  $k_{\text{obs}} \text{ EC}_{50}$ s, which were  $696 \mu\text{M}$  for THIP and  $704 \mu\text{M}$  for GABA (Table I). These results indicate that  $\alpha_4\beta_2\delta$  GABA<sub>A</sub>Rs have a lower apparent affinity for THIP than  $\alpha_1\beta_2\gamma_{2S}$  GABA<sub>A</sub>Rs.

#### Simulating Macroscopic Currents and Simple Kinetic Schemes

To obtain estimates of rate constants in Scheme 1 for both channels and agonist we fitted simulated currents

to real macropatch currents in response to 1–2-ms applications of 30 mM agonist, as previously described (Keramidas et al., 2006). To improve the accuracy of the fit and reduce the number of free parameters in the fitting procedure, we imposed the following constraints on our simulations. These constraints were based on experimentally derived parameters obtained from the  $k_{\text{obs}}$  versus concentration plots (Table I). First, we set the opening rate constant ( $\beta$ ) in Scheme 1 to equal the estimated value for  $\beta^*$  from the  $k_{\text{obs}}$  plot, after subtracting the lower asymptotic value (Maconochie et al., 1994; Keramidas et al., 2006) and fixed this parameter in the fitting procedure. Second, we took our experimental  $k_{\text{obs}} \text{ EC}_{50}$  values to be reasonable estimates of the equilibrium dissociation constant for the second binding step in Scheme 1 ( $K_{d2} = 2k_{-1}/k_{+1}$ ), after making a minor correction for equivalent and independent binding sites (Maconochie and Steinbach, 1998), which we assumed. This gave us a ratio of dissociation rate constant to association rate constant ( $k_{-1}/k_{+1}$ ), which we fixed during the simulations, allowing effectively only the  $k_{+1}$  value itself to vary in the simulations of  $\alpha_1\beta_2\gamma_{2S}$  GABA<sub>A</sub>R currents and THIP-activated  $\alpha_4\beta_2\delta$  GABA<sub>A</sub>R currents. When simulating GABA-activated  $\alpha_4\beta_2\delta$  GABA<sub>A</sub>R currents we set  $\beta$  to the upper asymptote (although this is likely an overestimate) and allowed  $k_{-1}$  and  $k_{+1}$  to vary freely as our GABA-gated  $k_{\text{obs}}$  plot did not extend far enough to obtain a lower asymptote or  $k_{\text{obs}} \text{ EC}_{50}$ , respectively. In addition, we estimated the channel open probability ( $P_{\text{O}}^{\text{macropatch}}$ ) in response to 1–2-ms exposure to agonist using nonstationary fluctuation analysis. The  $P_{\text{O}}^{\text{macropatch}}$  values we obtained were, for  $\alpha_4\beta_2\delta$  GABA<sub>A</sub>Rs, GABA–0.25 and THIP–0.36, and for  $\alpha_1\beta_2\gamma_{2S}$  GABA<sub>A</sub>Rs, GABA–0.56 and THIP–0.42 (unpublished data). The currents were then scaled to the  $P_{\text{O}}^{\text{macropatch}}$  values before generating the



**Figure 3.** Current simulations of macroscopic currents in response to fast application of agonist. Examples of macropatch currents recorded from patches expressing  $\alpha_4\beta_2\delta$  GABA<sub>A</sub>Rs in response to a 1-ms exposure of 30 mM GABA (A) and 30 mM THIP (B) with superimposed simulated currents. Accompanying the current-simulation pairs are simple kinetic schemes with the average rate constants obtained from three to four patches each. Examples of macropatch currents recorded from patches expressing  $\alpha_1\beta_2\gamma_{2S}$  GABA<sub>A</sub>Rs in response to a 1-ms exposure of 30 mM GABA (C) and 30 mM THIP (D) with superimposed simulated currents.

simulations. Fig. 3 shows examples of real currents and the superimposed simulated currents generated with the above fitting constraints. Accompanying each real and simulated current pair is the corresponding kinetic scheme and averaged rate constants (from three to four patches each) for both GABA<sub>A</sub>Rs and agonists. The rate constants estimated in Scheme 1 for GABA-activated  $\alpha_4\beta_2\delta$  GABA<sub>A</sub>Rs were (Fig. 3 A;  $\beta$  was set to  $440 \text{ s}^{-1}$ )  $\alpha = 86 \pm 6 \text{ s}^{-1}$ ,  $k_{+1} = 0.14 \pm 0.06 \times 10^6 \text{ M}^{-1}\text{s}^{-1}$ , and  $k_{-1} = 44 \pm 3 \text{ s}^{-1}$ , and for THIP-activated  $\alpha_4\beta_2\delta$  GABA<sub>A</sub>Rs (Fig. 3 B;  $\beta$  was set to  $1600 \text{ s}^{-1}$ ),  $\alpha = 268 \pm 17 \text{ s}^{-1}$ ,  $k_{+1} = 2.1 \pm 0.3 \text{ M}^{-1}\text{s}^{-1}$ , and  $k_{-1} = 1434 \pm 26 \text{ s}^{-1}$ . For THIP-activated  $\alpha_1\beta_2\gamma_{2S}$  GABA<sub>A</sub>Rs, the rate constants were (Fig. 3 C;  $\beta$  was set to  $460 \text{ s}^{-1}$ )  $\alpha = 283 \pm 5 \text{ s}^{-1}$ ,  $k_{+1} = 3.3 \pm 0.2 \times 10^6 \text{ M}^{-1}\text{s}^{-1}$ , and  $k_{-1} = 957 \pm 12 \text{ s}^{-1}$ , and for GABA-activated  $\alpha_1\beta_2\gamma_{2S}$  GABA<sub>A</sub>Rs (Fig. 3 D;  $\beta$  was set to  $1800 \text{ s}^{-1}$ ),  $\alpha = 310 \pm 14 \text{ s}^{-1}$ ,  $k_{+1} = 1.4 \pm 0.2 \times 10^6 \text{ M}^{-1}\text{s}^{-1}$ , and  $k_{-1} = 410 \pm 22 \text{ s}^{-1}$ .


#### Single Channel Burst Characteristics Are Determined by Agonist Type

To obtain information about gating kinetics of fully liganded channels, we used saturating concentrations of THIP and GABA (10 mM) to elicit single channel currents in  $\alpha_4\beta_2\delta$  and  $\alpha_1\beta_2\gamma_{2S}$  GABA<sub>A</sub>Rs. THIP activated  $\alpha_4\beta_2\delta$  GABA<sub>A</sub>Rs more consistently and produced more complex bursts than GABA (Fig. 4 A and Fig. 6 A), so we focused most of our efforts on the THIP-activated activity. Segments (clusters) of THIP-activated activity were divided into bursts by applying a critical shut time ( $t_{\text{crit}}$ ), which ranged between 17 and 37 ms. Table II summarizes the mean burst durations and intraburst open probability ( $P_O$ ) for  $\alpha_4\beta_2\delta$  GABA<sub>A</sub>Rs activated by THIP and GABA. As can be seen from Table II and Fig. 4 A and Fig. 6 A, THIP induced bursts of activity in  $\alpha_4\beta_2\delta$

GABA<sub>A</sub>Rs that were of relatively short duration ( $32.6 \pm 4.4 \text{ ms}$ ) and had an intraburst  $P_O$  of  $0.40 \pm 0.02$ . GABA-activated bursts were much briefer ( $7.17 \pm 0.58 \text{ ms}$ ) and had an intraburst  $P_O$  of  $0.25 \pm 0.05$ . Similar analysis was applied to THIP- and GABA-activated single channel activity in  $\alpha_1\beta_2\gamma_{2S}$  GABA<sub>A</sub>Rs. In response to 10 mM THIP, single channel openings in  $\alpha_1\beta_2\gamma_{2S}$  GABA<sub>A</sub>Rs occurred as short bursts, defined by  $t_{\text{crit}}$  values that ranged between 17 and 25 ms. These bursts were similar in duration and structure to the THIP-activated activity in  $\alpha_4\beta_2\delta$  GABA<sub>A</sub>Rs (Fig. 4, B and D, and Fig. 7 A). The mean burst duration and intraburst  $P_O$  for this activity was  $31.6 \pm 3.9 \text{ ms}$  and  $0.47 \pm 0.03$ , respectively (Table II). Notably, THIP induced shorter inactive periods, normally associated with channel desensitization, than GABA at  $\alpha_1\beta_2\gamma_{2S}$  GABA<sub>A</sub>Rs. The range of the longest mean shut duration, measured from three patches in the presence of THIP was  $\sim 0.5$ – $10 \text{ s}$  (Fig. 7), whereas, in the presence of GABA the channels shut for periods ranging from  $\sim 2$  to  $200 \text{ s}$  ( $n = 4$  patches, Fig. 4 C and Fig. 8). These observations suggest that THIP elicits similar bursting patterns at both channels, whereas GABA elicits single channel activity that is substantially different from that elicited by THIP at the same channel.

In addition to longer-lived desensitization, GABA induced long clusters of activity that could be divided into distinct bursting patterns on the basis intraburst  $P_O$ . This kinetic phenomenon has recently been reported for  $\alpha_1\beta_1\gamma_{2S}$  channels recorded in the intact-patch configuration (Lema and Auerbach, 2006). To our knowledge, the present study is the first to report distinct bursting patterns, or modes, in excised outside-out patches for GABA<sub>A</sub>Rs. Moreover, our data suggest that bursting modes are agonist specific, with THIP eliciting






**Figure 4.** Single channel activity is agonist dependent in  $\alpha_4\beta_2\delta$  and  $\alpha_1\beta_2\gamma_2s$  GABA<sub>A</sub>Rs. Single channel recordings from patches expressing  $\alpha_4\beta_2\delta$  GABA<sub>A</sub>Rs (A) and  $\alpha_1\beta_2\gamma_2s$  GABA<sub>A</sub>Rs (B) in response to THIP. Bursts from both channel types were of relatively short duration (average burst duration <50 ms) and showed no apparent modal bursting. The intraburst open probability ( $P_O$ ) was also similar for both channels, being  $\sim 0.4$ – $0.5$ .  $\alpha_1\beta_2\gamma_2s$  GABA<sub>A</sub>Rs typically opened more frequently than  $\alpha_4\beta_2\delta$  GABA<sub>A</sub>Rs. Continuous 3-s sweeps of single channel recordings from the same patch expressing  $\alpha_1\beta_2\gamma_2s$  GABA<sub>A</sub>Rs in response to GABA (C) and THIP (D). Note the absence of long quiescent periods, the larger current amplitude, and shorter clusters of activity (in square parentheses) in the presence of THIP. Currents were filtered to 2 kHz for display purposes.

only one discernable type of bursting mode and GABA eliciting at least two distinct modes. Clusters of GABA-gated activity were separated into modes using “Select” within QuB, as previously described (Lema and Auerbach, 2006). These were extracted to separate files for division into bursts by applying a  $t_{crit}$ .  $t_{crit}$  values were generally shorter for the high  $P_O$  bursts. They ranged between 8 and 12 ms and 9 and 17 ms for high (H-Mode) and medium (M-Mode)  $P_O$  bursts, respectively. Instances where modal switching occurred within the same cluster were not included in the analysis. Fig. 5 A shows

a channel (presumably the same channel) that enters an M-Mode cluster then switches to an H-Mode cluster. Most patches exhibited two gating modes, with the M-Mode  $P_O$  being the most prevalent. The lowest  $P_O$  mode (L-Mode) was the least prevalent, occurring only in 3 of 15 patches and was not analyzed any further (Fig. 5 A). The mean burst durations and corresponding intraburst  $P_O$ s for the H-Mode and M-Mode were as follows: H-Mode,  $122.6 \pm 19.7$  ms and  $0.87 \pm 0.02$  ( $n = 5$  patches); M-Mode,  $101.0 \pm 8.3$  ms and  $0.69 \pm 0.02$  ( $n = 11$  patches, Table II).

TABLE II  
Single Channel Burst Properties for  $\alpha_4\beta_2\delta$  and  $\alpha_1\beta_2\gamma_2s$  GABA<sub>A</sub>Rs

	$\alpha_4\beta_2\delta$ ( $n$ )		$\alpha_1\beta_2\gamma_2s$ ( $n$ )	
	THIP		THIP	
Burst duration (ms)	$32.6 \pm 4.4$ (9)		$31.6 \pm 3.9$ (7)	
Intraburst $P_O$	$0.40 \pm 0.02$ (9)		$0.47 \pm 0.03$ (7)	
	GABA		GABA	
	Burst duration (ms)	$7.17 \pm 0.58$ (3)	H-Mode	
			$122.6 \pm 19.7$ (5)	M-Mode
			$101.0 \pm 8.3$ (11)	
Intraburst $P_O$	$0.25 \pm 0.05$ (3)	$0.87 \pm 0.02$ (5)	$0.69 \pm 0.02$ (11)	



**Figure 5.** GABA elicits bursting modes in  $\alpha_1\beta_2\gamma_{25}$  GABA<sub>A</sub>Rs. (A) A patch exhibiting medium mode (M-Mode P<sub>O</sub>), high mode (H-Mode P<sub>O</sub>), and low mode (L-Mode P<sub>O</sub>) clusters in the presence of 10 mM GABA. (B) Another patch exhibiting only the H-Mode P<sub>O</sub> and M-Mode P<sub>O</sub> gating patterns. Currents were filtered to 2 kHz for display purposes.

### Single Channel Burst Analysis

We used saturating agonist concentrations (10 mM) to model gating kinetics in  $\alpha_4\beta_2\delta$  GABA<sub>A</sub>Rs in response to THIP and  $\alpha_1\beta_2\gamma_{25}$  GABA<sub>A</sub>Rs in response to THIP and GABA. Fig. 6 shows an example of THIP-activated activity in  $\alpha_4\beta_2\delta$  GABA<sub>A</sub>Rs and the accompanying dwell histograms for bursts. As can be seen in the figure, the data were best fit with two shut and two open components. The corresponding mean time constants (and fractions) for each exponential component, from five patches, are shown in Table III.

THIP-activated bursts in  $\alpha_1\beta_2\gamma_{25}$  GABA<sub>A</sub>Rs were also described best with a mixture of two open and two shut components (Fig. 7,  $n = 3$  patches), strongly suggesting that THIP elicits similar activation kinetics in both channels. The main difference in the time constants between the two channels was that the first shut time constant ( $\tau_{C1}$ ) was nearly threefold greater and over twofold more prevalent for  $\alpha_1\beta_2\gamma_{25}$  GABA<sub>A</sub>Rs. GABA-gated bursts required three shut and three open components to adequately describe M-Mode activation (Fig. 8,  $n = 10$  patches). This is the same number of components reported for  $\alpha_1\beta_1\gamma_{25}$  GABA<sub>A</sub>Rs (Lema and Auerbach, 2006). For the H-Mode activity, only two shut and three open components were required (Fig. 9,  $n = 5$  patches), in contrast to that reported by Lema and Auerbach (2006). Notably, the longest open time constant in H-Mode activity was over 2.5-fold longer than the corresponding time constant in the M-Mode activity.


### More Complex Gating Schemes

Postulated schemes for both channels and agonists included single gateway and multi-gateway routes to open states. Generally, multi-gateway schemes, such as Shut–Open–Shut–Open, produced either lower LL scores than single gateway schemes or computed rate constants

that were incompatible with the simpler schemes derived from macropatch currents. As an additional means of determining the best schemes we simulated macropatch data using the three complex schemes that had the highest LL values for each experimental condition.

THIP-gated activity in both channels could be described by similar schemes. The similarities included the number of open and shut states and a common pathway between the first shut and the first open state,  $A_2R^1 \leftrightarrow A_2R^1*$  (Fig. 10, A and B). The opening rate constants between these two states were consistent with but somewhat higher than those obtained from our macropatch experiments (refer to Fig. 3). For  $\alpha_4\beta_2\delta$  channels, the next best scheme produced similar opening rate constants ( $\beta \sim 2000 \text{ s}^{-1}$ ), had LL values that were similar to and not significantly different from the best scheme ( $t$  test,  $P > 0.05$ ,  $n = 5$ ), but did not reproduce macropatch currents (especially the deactivation phase) as adequately as the best scheme. For  $\alpha_1\beta_2\gamma_{25}$  GABA<sub>A</sub>Rs, the best rival scheme had LL values that were only  $\sim 10$  units lower, but not significantly so ( $P < 0.05$ ,  $n = 10$ ), produced opening rate constants that were too high, and was not able to closely simulate macropatch currents.

GABA-gated activation in  $\alpha_1\beta_2\gamma_{25}$  GABA<sub>A</sub>Rs included three shut and three open states for the M-Mode activity (Fig. 10 C) and two shut and three open states for the H-Mode activity (Fig. 10 D). There were two notable features that were common in both schemes derived for the two gating modes. First, in both schemes the channels entered a second shut state before the first open state ( $A_2R^1 \leftrightarrow A_2R^2 \leftrightarrow A_2R^1*$ ). Second, the opening rate leading to the first open state was comparable between the two modes (Fig. 11, C and D), although somewhat lower for H-Mode activity. Rival schemes for both gating modes for these channels were difficult to rank. The schemes that rivaled those presented in Fig. 10 had LL



**Figure 6.** Burst analysis of THIP-activated  $\alpha_4\beta_2\delta$  GABA<sub>A</sub>Rs. (A) Continuous, 2-s sweeps of single channel activity recorded from a patch expressing  $\alpha_4\beta_2\delta$  GABA<sub>A</sub>Rs in response to 10 mM THIP. Enclosed in the box is a long cluster, which is shown on an expanded scale below. (B) Dwell time histogram of apparent shut intervals and (C) dwell time histogram of apparent open intervals for the activity shown in A. Distributions were fit with a mixture of exponential probability density functions (dark lines). The individual components are shown as broken lines. The analysis revealed two shut and two open components for this activity. Currents were filtered to 2 kHz for display purposes.

values that differed by <20 units and were not significantly different ( $P > 0.05$ ,  $n = 5$ ). The main difference was that the schemes presented in Fig. 10 produced  $\beta$  values that were similar to the simple schemes, and could adequately simulate macropatch currents.

## DISCUSSION

The primary objectives of this study were to investigate and compare the kinetics of channel activation of  $\alpha_4\beta_2\delta$  and  $\alpha_1\beta_2\gamma_{2S}$  GABA<sub>A</sub>Rs within the context of kinetic schemes. Throughout the investigation, THIP (Gaboxadol) was used in addition to GABA because THIP elicited single channel currents in GABA<sub>A</sub>Rs containing extrasynaptic subunits (e.g., the  $\alpha_4$  subunit) more reliably than GABA. This enabled a comparison of the effects on channel kinetics, and unexpectedly, conductance, of both channels in response to the two agonists.

### Single Channel Heterogeneity in GABA<sub>A</sub>Rs


Our initial attempts at recording and identifying  $\alpha_4\beta\delta$  GABA<sub>A</sub>R currents on a single channel level revealed that a transfection ratio of 1:1:2 ( $\alpha_4$ : $\beta_2$ : $\delta$ ) resulted in current heterogeneity. This observation was both intriguing and potentially confounded the feasibility of the study. Transfections at different subunit ratios and combinations were used to elucidate the basis for this heterogeneity and aid in identifying the ternary  $\alpha_4\beta_2\delta$  GABA<sub>A</sub>R. This phase of the study yielded recordings of single channel, THIP-activated currents mediated by binary GABA<sub>A</sub>Rs composed of  $\alpha_4$  and  $\beta_2$  subunits. To our knowledge these are the first recordings of these GABA<sub>A</sub>Rs on a single channel level. Increasing the amount of  $\delta$  subunit in our transfections to 1:1:5 resulted in a marked reduction in single channel current heterogeneity. Recordings in response to THIP from these transfections revealed a preponderance of single channel openings

TABLE III  
Burst Analysis for  $\alpha_4\beta_2\delta$  and  $\alpha_1\beta_2\gamma_{2S}$  GABA<sub>A</sub>Rs


		$\tau_{C1}$ (ms) [A <sub>C1</sub> ]	$\tau_{C2}$ (ms) [A <sub>C2</sub> ]	$\tau_{C3}$ (ms) [A <sub>C3</sub> ]	$\tau_{O1}$ (ms) [A <sub>O1</sub> ]	$\tau_{O2}$ (ms) [A <sub>O2</sub> ]	$\tau_{O3}$ (ms) [A <sub>O3</sub> ]
$\alpha_4\beta_2\delta$	10 mM THIP (n = 5)	1.04 ± 0.47 [0.31 ± 0.10]	14.9 ± 4.8 [0.69 ± 0.10]	–	1.76 ± 0.57 [0.49 ± 0.10]	7.70 ± 2.03 [0.51 ± 0.11]	–
	10 mM THIP (n = 3)	2.98 ± 0.31 [0.74 ± 0.06]	18.3 ± 2.3 [0.26 ± 0.06]	–	2.16 ± 0.70 [0.34 ± 0.11]	6.76 ± 1.29 [0.66 ± 0.11]	–
M-Mode (n = 10)							
$\alpha_1\beta_2\gamma_{2S}$	10 mM GABA	0.26 ± 0.02 [0.48 ± 0.03]	1.12 ± 0.14 [0.31 ± 0.03]	3.87 ± 0.41 [0.21 ± 0.03]	0.49 ± 0.02 [0.26 ± 0.03]	2.58 ± 0.09 [0.49 ± 0.04]	5.17 ± 0.38 [0.25 ± 0.04]
		H-Mode (n = 5)					
		0.34 ± 0.04 [0.58 ± 0.05]	1.94 ± 0.22 [0.42 ± 0.03]	–	0.59 ± 0.11 [0.18 ± 0.02]	4.22 ± 0.73 [0.41 ± 0.03]	13.2 ± 2.6 [0.41 ± 0.05]

to  $\sim -2.5$  pA, which were statistically different from currents recorded from transfecting only  $\alpha_4$  and  $\beta_2$  subunits. This observation strongly suggested that a transfection

ratio of 1:1:5 favored the inclusion of the  $\delta$  subunit in the expressed channels, and we inferred that these channels were the desired  $\alpha_4\beta_2\delta$  GABA<sub>A</sub>Rs. Subsequent



**Figure 7.** Burst analysis of THIP-activated  $\alpha_1\beta_2\gamma_{2S}$  GABA<sub>A</sub>Rs. (A) Continuous, 2-s sweeps of single channel activity recorded from a patch expressing  $\alpha_1\beta_2\gamma_{2S}$  GABA<sub>A</sub>R in response to 10 mM THIP. The cluster enclosed in the box is expanded below. (B) Dwell time histogram of apparent shut intervals and (C) dwell time histogram of apparent open intervals for the activity shown in A. Distributions were fit with a mixture of exponential probability density functions (dark lines). The individual components are shown as broken lines. The analysis revealed two shut and two open components for this activity. Currents were filtered to 2 kHz for display purposes.



**Figure 8.** Burst analysis of M-Mode activity in  $\alpha_1\beta_2\gamma_{2S}$  GABA<sub>A</sub>Rs. (A) Continuous, 2-s sweeps of single channel activity recorded from a patch expressing  $\alpha_1\beta_2\gamma_{2S}$  GABA<sub>A</sub>R in response to 10 mM GABA. The break in the recording represents  $\sim 2$  min of no activity (“desensitization”). The segment enclosed in the box is expanded below. (B) Dwell time histogram of apparent shut intervals and (C) dwell time histogram of apparent open intervals for the activity shown in A. Distributions were fit with a mixture of exponential probability density functions (dark lines). The individual components are shown as broken lines. The analysis revealed three shut and three open components for this activity. Currents were filtered to 2 kHz for display purposes.


experiments, including macropatch recordings, on  $\alpha_4\beta_2\delta$  GABA<sub>A</sub>Rs were performed on cells transfected at a ratio of 1:1:5.

Transfections of  $\alpha_1$ ,  $\beta_2$ , and  $\gamma_{2S}$  subunits at a ratio of 1:1:4 also resulted in some heterogeneity, but only in response to GABA, which elicited openings of  $\sim -1.7$  pA and  $\sim -1.1$  pA. The latter openings were rare and not subjected to single channel analysis on the grounds that they may have been mediated by binary  $\alpha_1\beta_2$  GABA<sub>A</sub>Rs, as previously reported (Wagner et al., 2004; Li et al., 2006).

#### Agonist Type and Concentration Determine Single Channel Current Amplitude

In the course of our single channel measurements, we also made the novel discovery that THIP and GABA opened the two channels to different conductance levels. For both  $\alpha_4\beta_2\delta$  and  $\alpha_1\beta_2\gamma_{2S}$  GABA<sub>A</sub>Rs (at  $-70$  mV), saturating concentrations of THIP consistently evoked


$\sim 35$ – $37$ -pS openings in both channels, whereas the same concentration of GABA evoked  $\sim 25$ -pS openings in both channels. The veracity of this observation was especially compelling when THIP and GABA were applied to the same patch, sequentially, including cases where there was no evidence of multiple channels within the patch. On further investigation it became apparent that subsaturating concentrations of THIP evoked single channel openings that were of the same amplitude as GABA-gated currents at saturating and subsaturating concentrations (when tested on  $\alpha_1\beta_2\gamma_{2S}$  GABA<sub>A</sub>Rs). Consistent with previous reports of single channel conductance (Mortensen et al., 2004; Lema and Auerbach, 2006), low and high concentrations of GABA produced the same current amplitude. The experiments in the present study clearly show that only high concentrations of THIP produce high conductance openings. Our straightforward interpretation of this finding is that different degrees of



**Figure 9.** Burst analysis of H-Mode activity in  $\alpha_1\beta_2\gamma_{2S}$  GABA<sub>A</sub>Rs. (A) Continuous, 2-s sweeps of single channel activity recorded from a patch expressing  $\alpha_1\beta_2\gamma_{2S}$  GABA<sub>A</sub>R in response to 10 mM GABA. The break in the recording represents  $\sim 0.5$ –2 min of no activity (desensitization). Clusters of H-Mode activity are enclosed by parentheses. The segment enclosed in the box is expanded below. (B) Dwell time histogram of apparent shut intervals and (C) dwell time histogram of apparent open intervals for the activity shown in A. Distributions were fit with a mixture of exponential probability density functions (dark lines). The individual components are shown as broken lines. The analysis revealed two shut and three open components for this activity. Currents were filtered to 2 kHz for display purposes.

ligation give rise to slightly different open pore conformations, resulting in correspondingly different resistances to ion flow. Our limitation at developing this hypothesis further, however, stems from not knowing the state of ligation of the channels in the presence of high compared with low concentrations of THIP, and indeed, between THIP and GABA. Throughout the study we have assumed that two THIP molecules bind in the same locations as GABA, but we cannot rule out the possibility that THIP may also bind to a site(s) other than the conventionally accepted GABA binding pockets. We can suggest that exposing the channels to saturating concentrations of THIP (5 or 10 mM) may produce a higher degree of ligation than 100  $\mu$ M THIP. At least two reasonable binding scenarios can be put forward that are consistent with the data. It could be that the activity seen with 100  $\mu$ M THIP represents monoligated openings, whereas openings with 5 or 10 mM THIP represent diliganded

openings. Alternatively, both putative “GABA” binding sites may be occupied in the presence of 100  $\mu$ M THIP and 5 or 10 mM THIP results in additional sites being occupied. Assuming that the channels require two GABA molecules for gating open, these additional sites are likely of low affinity and unavailable to GABA, as the same observation was not made with high GABA concentrations. Candidate sites are the  $\alpha_1$ – $\gamma_{2S}$  or  $\alpha_4$ – $\delta$  interfaces, but could also be elsewhere. Regardless of the limitations in interpretation we can infer that high concentrations of THIP give rise to open states with subtly different permeation pathways, with respect to geometry and/or the orientation of residues lining the pathway compared with GABA. Consistent with this proposal, a recent study on  $\alpha_1$  homomeric glycine receptors presents compelling data that different glycinergic ligands induce different conformational responses in the pore-lining M2 domains of that channel (Pless et al., 2007). It is also of interest



**Figure 10.** Kinetic schemes from burst analysis. Kinetic schemes describing activation of  $\alpha_4\beta_2\delta$  GABA<sub>A</sub>Rs (A) and  $\alpha_1\beta_2\gamma_{2S}$  GABA<sub>A</sub>Rs (B) by 10 mM THIP. The main differences between the two schemes is the opening rate constants leading to  $A_2R^{1*}$ . Accompanying each scheme is a current simulation, generated using the scheme with binding steps attached, superimposed on a real macropatch current. (C) Kinetic schemes describing activation of  $\alpha_1\beta_2\gamma_{2S}$  GABA<sub>A</sub>Rs by 10 mM GABA for M-Mode activity (left) and H-Mode activity (right). Note that both modes have a common route to  $A_2R^{1*}$  ( $A_2R^1-A_2R^2-A_2R^{1*}$ ). On the far right are current simulations using the schemes with binding steps included (see Fig. 4), superimposed on a macropatch current.

to contrast the above postulated agonist-specific open states with the existence of multiple open states in the presence of the same agonist, which are all of the same conductance (amplitude) and distinguishable on the basis of open dwell times. It should be noted that our observation that THIP elicits larger single channel openings than GABA is contrary to that reported in a previous study on heterologously expressed  $\alpha_1\beta_2\gamma_{2S}$  GABA<sub>A</sub>Rs. Mortensen et al. (2004) report comparable conductance values for a range of agonists, including GABA and THIP, both of which elicited a conductance of  $\sim 27$  pS at  $-70$  mV. We have no explanation for the discrepancy between our result and theirs, except to note that the highest THIP concentration Mortensen et al. used was 3 mM and there seemed to be slight trend toward higher current amplitudes going from low efficacy agonists, like 4-PIOL, to higher efficacy agonist, such as GABA in that study. Agonist-specific conductance, although apparently novel in Cys-loop LGICs, is not unprecedented. Previous studies on AMPA receptors have shown that AMPA elicits a greater conductance than kainate (Swanson et al., 1997). Follow up studies that could illuminate the mechanism by which THIP induces a high conductance could include binding pocket mutagenesis studies, including mutations to equivalent binding pocket positions at  $\alpha_1-\gamma_{2S}$  or  $\alpha_4-\delta$  interfaces.

#### Macropatch Activation, Current Simulations, and Simple Kinetic Schemes

Our ultrafast agonist application experiments produced plots of activation rate over a wide range of agonist

concentrations. From these plots we extracted some informative kinetic parameters, some of which we interpreted within the framework of Scheme 1. First, we determined that THIP activated  $\alpha_4\beta_2\delta$  GABA<sub>A</sub>Rs with a greater opening rate constant ( $\beta$ ) than GABA, but the converse was true at  $\alpha_1\beta_2\gamma_{2S}$  GABA<sub>A</sub>Rs. Second, for  $\alpha_1\beta_2\gamma_{2S}$  GABA<sub>A</sub>Rs, the fitted data produced similar  $EC_{50}$ s for activation ( $k_{obs} EC_{50}$ ), suggesting that THIP and GABA have the same apparent affinity for these channels, with the possible exception of the above-postulated low affinity site(s) for THIP. For  $\alpha_4\beta_2\delta$  GABA<sub>A</sub>Rs, the small current amplitudes elicited by GABA concentrations  $< 10$  mM, partly owing to low channel expression levels, prohibited us from obtaining data for a full  $k_{obs}$  plot. The situation was better for THIP at  $\alpha_4\beta_2\delta$  GABA<sub>A</sub>Rs. That plot produced a  $k_{obs} EC_{50}$  of 1.64 mM suggesting a low apparent affinity for THIP. Finally, we observed that as both agonist concentrations decreased toward zero, the activation plots plateaued, and in the case for  $\alpha_1\beta_2\gamma_{2S}$  GABA<sub>A</sub>Rs, converged to the same lower asymptotic value. The reciprocal of the lower asymptotic value provides an estimate of the minimum burst duration (Maconochie et al., 1994; Colquhoun and Hawkes, 1995) for each experimental circumstance. The estimated minimum burst duration for both agonists at  $\alpha_1\beta_2\gamma_{2S}$  GABA<sub>A</sub>Rs was  $\sim 5$  ms. These results indicate that the lower threshold of activation is independent of agonist type for  $\alpha_1\beta_2\gamma_{2S}$  GABA<sub>A</sub>Rs. At  $\alpha_4\beta_2\delta$  GABA<sub>A</sub>Rs activated by THIP, the minimum bursts duration was  $\sim 2-3$  ms, and we suspect that this may also be the case for GABA-activated bursts at these GABA<sub>A</sub>Rs. In  $\alpha_1\beta_2\gamma_{2S}$  GABA<sub>A</sub>Rs, it has been demonstrated

that mutating a residue that impairs the gating transduction pathway,  $\alpha_1K220A$ , also produced a higher  $k_{obs}$  minimum asymptote than the wild-type channel, suggesting that the minimum burst duration was decreased by the mutation (Keramidas et al., 2006).

For the purpose of calculating the shutting rate constant ( $\alpha$ ), and the association ( $k_{+1}$ ) and dissociation ( $k_{-1}$ ) rate constants in Scheme 1, we simulated our macropatch currents in response to 1–2-ms exposure to agonist. The opening rate constant and the equilibrium dissociation constant ( $K_d = k_{-1}/k_{+1}$ ) were fixed to the values obtained from the  $k_{obs}$  plots (after correction), while  $\alpha$  and the individual binding rate constants were allowed to vary during the fitting. The agonist binding rate constants that we obtained facilitated estimates of apparent affinity, as indicated by the equilibrium dissociation constant (affinity =  $1/K_d$ ), of each agonist at each of the two GABA<sub>A</sub>Rs. For  $\alpha_4\beta\delta$  GABA<sub>A</sub>Rs, the  $K_d$  for THIP derived from the plot of activation was 683  $\mu$ M. From our simulations we predicted a  $K_d$  value for GABA of 314  $\mu$ M, but this value should be viewed with some caution as we were unable to verify it experimentally. At  $\alpha_1\beta_2\gamma_{2S}$  GABA<sub>A</sub>Rs, both agonists had the same apparent affinity ( $K_d \sim 290$   $\mu$ M). Mortensen et al. (2004) also examined the kinetics of  $\alpha_1\beta_2\gamma_{2S}$  GABA<sub>A</sub>Rs, in the context of a similar reaction scheme using GABA and THIP. That study reports equilibrium dissociation constants of  $\sim 134$  and  $\sim 803$   $\mu$ M for GABA and THIP, respectively (a sixfold difference). In contrast, our data lead us to infer that the apparent affinity for both agonists is comparable, and that the main difference between the two agonists is the opening rate constant, with which they activate channels. In the closely related  $\alpha_1\beta_1\gamma_{2S}$  GABA<sub>A</sub>Rs, Lema and Auerbach (2006) derived  $K_d$ s for GABA that ranged between 55 and 132  $\mu$ M, depending on the kinetic scheme used to fit the data, which are between approximately five- and threefold lower than ours. It should also be noted that Scheme 1 does not explicitly postulate any “preconducting” states that represent transitions (intermediate nonconducting states) between agonist binding and opening of the channel. The existence of such states has been proposed for the heteromeric glycine receptor (Burzomato et al., 2004) and the  $\alpha_1\beta_1\gamma_{2S}$  GABA<sub>A</sub>R (Lema and Auerbach, 2006). Here we interpret our affinity calculations as applying to the resting state of the channels, as is inherent in Scheme 1, but cannot rule out the possibility that they may more closely reflect the affinity for some “preconducting” states (Colquhoun, 2007).

#### Kinetic Properties Revealed by Single Channel Recordings

An analysis of single channel currents revealed that a saturating concentration of THIP elicited similar currents in both channel types. In particular, burst duration was similar; being  $\sim 32$ – $33$  ms in both channels, and intraburst  $P_O$  was also of the same order at  $\sim 0.4$ – $0.5$  ( $P > 0.05$ ). At a saturating concentration of GABA,

GABA-gated burst duration was, however, dissimilar between the two channels; being in the order of 100 ms at  $\alpha_1\beta_1\gamma_{2S}$  channels and  $< 10$  ms at  $\alpha_4\beta_2\delta$  channels. Our  $k_{obs}$  plots suggest that at the threshold of activation, the minimum burst duration is agonist independent, as is evident for  $\alpha_1\beta_1\gamma_{2S}$  channels.

We also distinguished at least two (and up to three) bursting patterns, or modes, for  $\alpha_1\beta_2\gamma_{2S}$  GABA<sub>A</sub>Rs in the presence of 10 mM GABA. The most prevalent mode had an intraburst  $P_O$  of  $\sim 0.7$ , but in several patches we observed bursts (and clusters) with a higher  $P_O$  ( $\sim 0.9$ ). These are similar to those reported for  $\alpha_1\beta_1\gamma_{2S}$  GABA<sub>A</sub>Rs in response to 5 mM GABA (Lema and Auerbach, 2006). The mean time constants,  $\tau_{C1}$ ,  $\tau_{C3}$ , and  $\tau_{O1}$  for M- and H-Mode activity were comparable. The main difference between the two modes was the second ( $\tau_{O2}$ ) and especially the third ( $\tau_{O3}$ ) open time constants, with  $\tau_{O3}$  being substantially greater in H-Mode activity. In addition, we occasionally noticed channels gating to an apparently low intraburst  $P_O$  (L-Mode,  $P_O \sim 0.4$ ), but this was seen in only 2 of 14 patches.

The origin of modal bursts is unknown, but this type of behavior has been reported in NMDA receptors (Popescu and Auerbach, 2004; Popescu et al., 2004), GABA<sub>A</sub>Rs (Lema and Auerbach, 2006), glycine receptors (Plested et al., 2007), and acetylcholine receptors (Auerbach and Lingle, 1986; Milone et al., 1998). For all of the above investigations of LGICs, single channel recordings were done in the cell-attached configuration. This might seem to implicate intracellular modulators of channel activity in modal gating. However, modes were seen in excised patches in our experiments, including modal switching within the same cluster of activity (see Fig. 5 A).

A notable feature of channel activation by THIP was that both channels opened directly from the first shut state ( $A_2R^1$ ). This contrasts with GABA-gated activation of  $\alpha_1\beta_2\gamma_{2S}$  GABA<sub>A</sub>Rs, which entered a second shut state ( $A_2R^2$ ) before opening, for both M-Mode and H-Mode bursts. Similar schemes were derived by Lema and Auerbach (2006) for  $\alpha_1\beta_1\gamma_{2S}$  GABA<sub>A</sub>Rs and analogous schemes by Burzomato et al. (2004) for  $\alpha_1\beta$  glycine receptors; the latter group referring to  $A_2R^2$ -like states as “flip” (preconducting) states. Preconducting shut states were notably absent in THIP-gated activity in the present study. It is tempting to speculate that THIP and GABA have access to different gating transduction pathways that culminate in an open pore with different resistances to ion flow.

We also calculated efficacy ( $E = \beta/\alpha$ ) for the transition to  $A_2R^{1*}$  from the diliganded state leading to it,  $A_2R^1$  for THIP-gated activity at both channels or  $A_2R^2$  for GABA-gated activity at  $\alpha_1\beta_2\gamma_{2S}$  channels. THIP activated  $\alpha_4\beta_2\delta$  channels with an efficacy of  $\sim 16$ , whereas at  $\alpha_1\beta_2\gamma_{2S}$  channels, THIP was much less efficacious ( $E \sim 1.2$ ). The efficacy with which GABA activated M-Mode and H-Mode activity was  $\sim 5.2$  and  $\sim 10.3$ , respectively.



### Reconciling Simple and Complex Kinetic Schemes


In the present study we used two different recording techniques; each one records current from channels that are under different experimental conditions. One of these techniques was the rapid application of agonist to macropatches. In this circumstance, the channels are not in equilibrium with agonist and it is assumed that the channels are initially in the unbound shut state (R in Scheme 1), which must first enter the singly bound then doubly bound states before opening. On the other hand, single channel recordings were done in steady-state (equilibrium) conditions, where the shut state(s) that precedes channel bursts are diliganded. For both channels and both agonists, multiple diliganded shut states were incorporated in the complex schemes, compared with only one diliganded shut state for the simple, macropatch-derived schemes. Channel opening must start and end with  $A_2R$  for the simple schemes. This is manifestly not the case for complex schemes with multiple diliganded shut states. Taking M-Mode activity as an example, channel opening can arise directly from  $A_2R^2$  or  $A_2R^3$ , or any long-lived, diliganded shut (“desensitized”) states that could potentially be connected to an open state (e.g.,  $A_2R^{3*}$ ). We omitted long, nonconducting segments from our single channel analysis and deliberately applied agonist pulses for  $\sim 1$  ms so as to minimize the impact of “desensitization” on our macropatch currents. It is not possible to determine which of these candidate shut states any given burst arises from by inspecting the single channel record, and we have no information about the mean lifetimes of these states. Since the distribution of first latency before channel opening is conditional on the starting state (Colquhoun and Hawkes, 1995), it is unlikely that the macroscopic current can be reproduced by simply averaging aligned single channel bursts (Edmonds and Colquhoun, 1992).

Given these caveats, we opted to select complex schemes on the condition that they were also able to adequately approximate macropatch currents. This amenability strongly suggests that the first latencies before channel opening, for the open states in the complex schemes shown in Fig. 10, are very short (Colquhoun and Hawkes, 1995). In addition, the reasonable reproduction of macropatch activation using complex schemes suggests a single predominant pathway, although this does not imply that the pathways induced by GABA and THIP have to be identical.

There are a number of features of macropatch currents that suggest a simple underlying scheme, with a single dominant activation pathway. These include the single exponential required to fit the onset phase of the current, over the concentration range, and the finite upper and lower limits (asymptotes) for the rate of current development. Activation complexity is suggested by the multiple open and shut exponential components required to fit single channel data and the multiple (usually two)

exponentials needed to describe macropatch desensitization and deactivation. One way to reconcile the apparent paradox between simple and complex schemes is to postulate two groups of states, on either side of a principal activation pathway. One group, referred to as inactive, consists of unliganded and suboptimally liganded states, such as AR in Scheme 1, and brief open states that account for a negligible percentage of the macroscopic current. The other group, termed active, contains fully liganded open and shut states that are not negligibly brief (orders of magnitude slower than binding). The rate constants that govern the transitions between the inactive and active collections of states describe the principal activation pathway, as illustrated in Scheme 2 (Maconochie et al., 1994).

(SCHEME 2)



The  $k_{\text{obs}}$  over the entire concentration range is described by the sum of rates going from the inactive to active states ( $\beta^*$ ) and rates going from the active to inactive states ( $\alpha^*$ ). At low agonist concentrations, the channels are rarely fully liganded and the reaction favors the inactive group of states. Under this circumstance,  $\beta^*$  approaches zero and the duration that the channels exist in the active group is  $1/\alpha^*$ , otherwise referred to as the low concentration burst duration. At the other extreme, where agonist concentration is saturating, full ligation is prevalent and the activation pathway favors channels moving into the active group of states. Here, the rate of exiting the inactive collection of states will approach the opening rate constant for the predominant open state, after subtraction of  $\alpha^*$ , assuming that there are no fully liganded channels that enter long-lived shut states within the inactive group (Maconochie et al., 1994; Colquhoun and Hawkes, 1995).

### Conclusion

In summary, we have recorded ensemble and single channel activity, using GABA and THIP, from two different forms of recombinant GABA<sub>A</sub>Rs, one reflecting the typical subunit composition of synaptic GABA<sub>A</sub>Rs, and the other corresponding to a major subtype of extrasynaptic GABA<sub>A</sub>Rs. Saturating concentrations of THIP elicited 35–37-pS openings in both channel types, whereas GABA and subsaturating concentrations of THIP elicited  $\sim 25$ -pS openings in both channel types, suggesting that the degree of channel ligation influences the conducting state of the channels. The  $\alpha_1\beta_2\gamma_{2S}$  (synaptic-type) GABA<sub>A</sub>Rs were characterized by bursts of openings in response to saturating concentrations of GABA and revealed up to three distinct modes of gating, each mode characterized by stable periods of open probability. The predominant M and H Modes both featured long bursts

of channel openings. In contrast, the  $\alpha_4\beta_2\delta$  (extrasynaptic-type) and  $\alpha_1\beta_2\gamma_{2S}$  GABA<sub>A</sub>Rs showed only a single gating mode in the presence of THIP, with a preponderance of brief channel openings and infrequent bursts of moderate duration. The biophysical properties of these receptors are well suited to their physiological functions;  $\alpha_1\beta_2\gamma_{2S}$  channels open with high probability when saturated by neurotransmitter.  $\alpha_4\beta_2\delta$  channels open with low probability, even when activated by saturating transmitter levels.

We would like to extend our gratitude to Dr. Fan Jia for insightful discussions and Lindsay Tannenholz for critical reading of the manuscript.

Lawrence G. Palmer served as editor.

Submitted: 13 August 2007

Accepted: 11 January 2008

## REFERENCES

- Akk, G., J. Bracamontes, and J.H. Steinbach. 2004. Activation of GABA<sub>A</sub> receptors containing the  $\alpha_4$  subunit by GABA and pentobarbital. *J. Physiol.* 556:387–399.
- Auerbach, A., and C.J. Lingle. 1986. Heterogeneous kinetic properties of acetylcholine receptor channels in *Xenopus* myocytes. *J. Physiol.* 378:119–140.
- Barry, P.H. 1994. JPCalc, a software package for calculating liquid junction potential corrections in patch-clamp, intracellular, epithelial and bilayer measurements and for correcting junction potential measurements. *J. Neurosci. Methods.* 51:107–116.
- Baumann, S.W., R. Baur, and E. Sigel. 2002. Forced subunit assembly in  $\alpha_1\beta_2\gamma_2$  GABA<sub>A</sub> receptors. Insight into the absolute arrangement. *J. Biol. Chem.* 277:46020–46025.
- Brickley, S.G., S.G. Cull-Candy, and M. Farrant. 1996. Development of a tonic form of synaptic inhibition in rat cerebellar granule cells resulting from persistent activation of GABA<sub>A</sub> receptors. *J. Physiol.* 497:753–759.
- Brown N., J. Kerby, T.P. Bonnert, P.J. Whiting, and K.A. Wafford. 2002. Pharmacological characterization of a novel cell line expressing human  $\alpha_4\beta_3\delta$  GABA<sub>A</sub> receptors. *Br. J. Pharmacol.* 136:965–974.
- Burzomato, V., M. Beato, P.J. Groot-Kormelink, D. Colquhoun, and L.G. Sivilotti. 2004. Single-channel behavior of heteromeric  $\alpha_1\beta$  glycine receptors: an attempt to detect a conformational change before the channel opens. *J. Neurosci.* 24:10924–10940.
- Chang, Y., R. Wang, S. Barot, and D.S. Weiss. 1996. Stoichiometry of a recombinant GABA<sub>A</sub> receptor. *J. Neurosci.* 16:5415–5424.
- Cobb, S.R., E.H. Buhl, K. Halasy, O. Paulsen, and P. Somogyi. 1995. Synchronization of neuronal activity in hippocampus by individual GABAergic interneurons. *Nature.* 378:75–78.
- Colquhoun, D. 2007. What have we learned from single ion channels? *J. Physiol.* 581:425–427.
- Colquhoun, D., and A.G. Hawkes. 1995. The principles of the stochastic interpretation of ion-channel mechanisms. In *Single-Channel Recordings*. Second edition. Plenum Press, NY. 397–482.
- Darlison, M.G., I. Pahal, and C. Thode. 2005. Consequences of the evolution of the GABA<sub>A</sub> receptor gene family. *Cell. Mol. Neurobiol.* 25:607–624.
- Del Castillo, J., and B. Katz. 1957. Interaction at end-plate receptors between different choline derivatives. *Proc. R. Soc. Lond. B. Biol. Sci.* 146:369–381.
- Edmonds, B., and D. Colquhoun. 1992. Rapid decay of averaged single-channel NMDA receptor activations recorded at low agonist concentration. *Proc. R. Soc. Lond. B.* 250:279–286.
- Gingrich, K.J., W.A. Roberts, and R.S. Kass. 1995. Dependence of the GABA<sub>A</sub> receptor gating kinetics on the  $\alpha$ -subunit isoform: implications for structure-function relations and synaptic transmission. *J. Physiol.* 489:529–543.
- Hamill, O.P., A. Marty, E. Neher, B. Sakmann, and F.J. Sigworth. 1981. Improved patch-clamp techniques for high-resolution current recording from cells and cell-free membrane patches. *Pflügers Arch.* 391:85–100.
- Hardie, J.B., and R.A. Pearce. 2006. Active and passive membrane properties and intrinsic kinetics shape synaptic inhibition in hippocampal CA1 pyramidal neurons. *J. Neurosci.* 26:8559–8569.
- Jia, F., L. Pignataro, C.M. Schofield, M. Yue, N.L. Harrison, and P.A. Goldstein. 2005. An extrasynaptic GABA<sub>A</sub> receptor mediates tonic inhibition in thalamic VB neurons. *J. Neurophysiol.* 94:4491–4501.
- Jia, F., M. Yue, D. Chandra, A. Keramidas, G.E. Homanics, P.A. Goldstein, and N.L. Harrison. 2008. Taurine is a potent activator of extrasynaptic GABA<sub>A</sub> receptors in the thalamus. *J. Neurosci.* 28(1):106–115.
- Jones, M.V., and G.L. Westbrook. 1995. Desensitized states prolong GABA<sub>A</sub> channel responses to brief agonist pulses. *Neuron.* 15:181–191.
- Keramidas, A., A.J. Moorhouse, K.D. Pierce, P.R. Schofield, and P.H. Barry. 2002. Cation-selective mutations in the M2 domain of the inhibitory glycine receptor channel reveal determinants of ion-channel selectivity. *J. Gen. Physiol.* 119:393–410.
- Keramidas, A., T.L. Kash, and N.L. Harrison. 2006. The pre-M1 segment of the  $\alpha_1$  subunit is a transduction element in the activation of the GABA<sub>A</sub> receptor. *J. Physiol.* 575:11–22.
- Knoflach, F., D. Benke, Y. Wang, L. Scheurer, H. Luddens, B.J. Hamilton, D.B. Carter, H. Mohler, and J.A. Benson. 1996. Pharmacological modulation of the diazepam-insensitive recombinant  $\gamma$ -aminobutyric acid<sub>A</sub> receptors  $\alpha_4\beta_2\gamma_2$  and  $\alpha_6\beta_2\gamma_2$ . *Mol. Pharmacol.* 50:1253–1261.
- Lavoie, A.M., J.J. Tingey, N.L. Harrison, D.B. Pritchett, and R.E. Twyman. 1997. Activation and deactivation rates of recombinant GABA<sub>A</sub> receptor channels are dependent on  $\alpha$ -subunit isoform. *Biophys. J.* 73:2518–2526.
- Lema, G.M., and A. Auerbach. 2006. Modes and models of GABA<sub>A</sub> receptor gating. *J. Physiol.* 572:183–200.
- Li, P., D.F. Covey, J.H. Steinbach, and G. Akk. 2006. Dual potentiating and inhibitory actions of a benz[e]indene neurosteroid analog on recombinant  $\alpha_1\beta_2\gamma_2$  GABA<sub>A</sub> receptors. *Mol. Pharmacol.* 69:2015–2026.
- Maconochie, D.J., and J.H. Steinbach. 1998. The channel opening rate of adult- and fetal-type mouse muscle nicotinic receptors activated by acetylcholine. *J. Physiol.* 506:53–72.
- Maconochie, D.J., J.M. Zempel, and J.H. Steinbach. 1994. How quickly can GABA<sub>A</sub> receptors open? *Neuron.* 12:61–71.
- McKernan, R.M., and P.J. Whiting. 1996. Which GABA<sub>A</sub>-receptor subtypes really occur in the brain? *Trends Neurosci.* 19:139–143.
- Milone, M., H.L. Wang, K. Ohno, R. Prince, T. Fukudome, X.M. Shen, J.M. Brengman, R.C. Griggs, S.M. Sine, and A.G. Engel. 1998. Mode switching kinetics produced by a naturally occurring mutation in the cytoplasmic loop of the human acetylcholine receptor epsilon subunit. *Neuron.* 20:575–588.
- Mody, I. 2001. Distinguishing between GABA<sub>A</sub> receptors responsible for tonic and phasic conductances. *Neurochem. Res.* 26:907–913.
- Mortensen, M., U. Kristiansen, B. Ebert, B. Frolund, P. Krogsgaard-Larsen, and T.G. Smart. 2004. Activation of single heteromeric GABA<sub>A</sub> receptor ion channels by full and partial agonists. *J. Physiol.* 557:389–413.
- Nusser, Z., N. Hajos, P. Somogyi, and I. Mody. 1998. Increased number of synaptic GABA<sub>A</sub> receptors underlies potentiation at hippocampal inhibitory synapses. *Nature.* 395:172–177.
- Pless, S.A., M.I. Dibas, H.A. Lester, and J.W. Lynch. 2007. Conformational variability of the glycine receptor M2 domain

- in response to activation by different agonists. *J. Biol. Chem.* 282:36057–36067.
- Plested, A.J., P.J. Groot-Kormelink, D. Colquhoun, and L.G. Sivilotti. 2007. Single-channel study of the spasmodic mutation  $\alpha 1A52S$  in recombinant rat glycine receptors. *J. Physiol.* 581:51–73.
- Popescu, G., and A. Auerbach. 2004. The NMDA receptor gating machine: lessons from single channels. *Neuroscientist.* 10:192–198.
- Popescu, G., A. Robert, J.R. Howe, and A. Auerbach. 2004. Reaction mechanism determines NMDA receptor response to repetitive stimulation. *Nature.* 430:790–793.
- Purohit, Y., and C. Grosman. 2006. Estimating binding affinities of the nicotinic receptor for low-efficacy ligands using mixtures of agonists and two-dimensional concentration-response relationships. *J. Gen. Physiol.* 127:719–735.
- Schofield, C.M., and J.R. Huguenard. 2007. GABA affinity shapes IPSCs in thalamic nuclei. *J. Neurosci.* 27:7954–7962.
- Sieghart, W., and G. Sperk. 2002. Subunit composition, distribution and function of GABA<sub>A</sub> receptor subtypes. *Curr. Top. Med. Chem.* 2:795–816.
- Sigel, E., R. Baur, N. Boulineau, and F. Minier. 2006. Impact of subunit positioning on GABA<sub>A</sub> receptor function. *Biochem. Soc. Trans.* 34:868–871.
- Somogyi, P., J.M. Fritschy, D. Benke, J.D. Roberts, and W. Sieghart. 1996. The  $\gamma 2$  subunit of the GABA<sub>A</sub> receptor is concentrated in synaptic junctions containing the  $\alpha 1$  and  $\beta 2/3$  subunits in hippocampus, cerebellum and globus pallidus. *Neuropharmacology.* 35:1425–1444.
- Steinbach, J.H., and G. Akk. 2001. Modulation of GABA<sub>A</sub> receptor channel gating by pentobarbital. *J. Physiol.* 537:715–733.
- Sur, C., S.J. Farrar, J. Kerby, P.J. Whiting, J.R. Atack, and R.M. McKernan. 1999. Preferential coassembly of  $\alpha 4$  and  $\delta$  subunits of the  $\gamma$ -aminobutyric acid<sub>A</sub> receptor in rat thalamus. *Mol. Pharmacol.* 56:110–115.
- Swanson, G.T., S.K. Kamboj, and S.G. Cull-Candy. 1997. Single-channel properties of recombinant AMPA receptors depend on RNA editing, splice variation, and subunit composition. *J. Neurosci.* 17:58–69.
- Vicini, S., C. Ferguson, K. Prybylowski, J. Kralic, A.L. Morrow, and G.E. Homanics. 2001. GABA<sub>A</sub> receptor  $\alpha 1$  subunit deletion prevents developmental changes of inhibitory synaptic currents in cerebellar neurons. *J. Neurosci.* 21:3009–3016.
- Wagner, D.A., C. Czajkowski, and M.V. Jones. 2004. An arginine involved in GABA binding and unbinding but not gating of the GABA<sub>A</sub> receptor. *J. Neurosci.* 24:2733–2741.
- Whittemore, E.R., W. Yang, J.A. Drewe, and R.M. Woodward. 1996. Pharmacology of the human  $\gamma$ -aminobutyric acid<sub>A</sub> receptor  $\alpha 4$  subunit expressed in *Xenopus laevis* oocytes. *Mol. Pharmacol.* 50:1364–1375.