Hybridization Studies Reveal Homologies Between pBF4 and pBFTM10, Two Clindamycin-Erythromycin Resistance Transfer Plasmids of *Bacteroides fragilis*

MARY JANE SHIMELL,¹† C. JEFFREY SMITH,²‡ FRANCIS P. TALLY,¹* FRANCIS L. MACRINA,² and MICHAEL H. MALAMY³

Infectious Disease Section, Department of Medicine, Tufts-New England Medical Center,¹ and Department of Molecular Biology and Microbiology, Tufts University School of Medicine,³ Boston, Massachusetts 02111; and Department of Microbiology and Immunology, Virginia Commonwealth University, Richmond, Virginia 23298²

Received 15 April 1982/Accepted 5 August 1982

Two clindamycin-erythromycin resistance transfer factors of *Bacteroides fragilis*, pBF4 and pBFTM10, were analyzed for regions of DNA homology. Although the plasmids were derived from different clinical isolates of *B. fragilis* and have different sizes, they showed homology in the clindamycin-erythromycin resistance region; no homology could be detected outside of this region.

Clindamycin (Cln)-erythromycin (Ery) resistance transfer in Bacteroides fragilis has been documented by several laboratories (8, 10, 13, 15). Two plasmids carrying Cln-Ery resistance, pBF4 (also called pIP410) (4) and pBFTM10, promote the transfer of drug resistance by conjugation. These plasmids were isolated from two different strains of B. fragilis and characterized independently (4, 14, 16). The plasmids differ in molecular size; pBF4 is 41 kilobases (kb), whereas pBFTM10 is 14.6 kb. Both plasmids give rise to Cln-Ery-sensitive derivatives by deletion of a specific segment of plasmid DNA that is presumed to include all or part of the determinant for Cln-Ery resistance. We report here the results of a collaborative study to determine whether there are any regions of homology in the two plasmids.

The bacterial plasmids used in this study and their strains of origin are listed in Table 1. *B. fragilis* was propagated by standard anaerobic methods (3). *Escherichia coli* strains were grown in Penassay broth (Difco Laboratories). Plasmid DNA was harvested by previously described techniques (1, 2). Digestions of pBF4 with *Eco*RI and *HpaI* (Bethesda Research Laboratories) and of pBFTM10 with *Eco*RI and *Hind*III (New England Biolabs) were conducted under the conditions recommended by the suppliers. Electrophoresis of pBF4 DNA was performed in 0.7% agarose gels with Tris-borate buffer (7). DNA from pBFTM10 was electrophoresed in

† Present address: BioTechnica International, Cambridge, MA 02140.

[‡] Present address: Department of Genetics, Bethesda Research Laboratories, Gaithersburg, MD 20768.

1% agarose gels with SB3 buffer (40 mM Tris, 5 mM sodium acetate, 1 mM EDTA adjusted to pH 7.9 with glacial acetic acid). Gels were stained with ethidium bromide (0.5 μ g/ml), and DNA bands were visualized with long-wave UV light. DNA in agarose gels was transferred to nitrocellulose membrane filters by the method of Southern (11). [³²P]DNA hybridization probes were obtained by in vitro nick translation (9). Autoradiograms were prepared by using Kodak X-Omat-AR film.

The restriction enzyme maps of pBF4 and its deletion derivative pBF4 $\Delta 1$ are presented in Fig. 1A. Note that pBF4 $\Delta 1$ lacks a 7.1-kb segment of DNA. An agarose gel of *Eco*RI digests of these plasmids is shown in Fig. 2A; *Eco*RI fragments D (3.6 kb) and F (2.5 kb) are not found in the digest of pBF4 $\Delta 1$, and the *Eco*RI fragment A is reduced by about 1.0 kb as compared with pBF4. The restriction enzyme maps of pBFTM10 and the deletion derivative pBFTM10 $\Delta 1$ are presented in Fig. 1B. *Eco*RI digests of these plasmids analyzed on agarose gels are shown in Fig. 2B. Plasmid pBFTM10 $\Delta 1$ lacks the *Eco*RI fragment B' (4.3 kb) found in pBFTM10.

Results of the hybridization experiments are shown in Fig. 3. In Fig. 3A, labeled pBFTM10 DNA was used to probe pBF4 and pBF4 Δ 1 DNA. Strong homology can be detected in the *Eco*RI-D band of pBF4, and weak homology can be detected in the *Eco*RI-B band of this plasmid. Similarly, pBF4 Δ 1 shows weak homology in the *Eco*RI-B band; the *Eco*RI-D band is absent in this plasmid. These results are confirmed by the *HpaI* hybridization patterns. With this digest of



FIG. 1. Restriction enzyme cleavage maps. Size is denoted in kb. (A) Cleavage map of pBF4. The two pairs of inverted repeat (IR) sequences are shaded. The deletion carried by pBF4 Δ 1 is denoted by the heavy line (0.5 to 9 kb) on the inside of the map. The location of the deletion was determined by restriction endonuclease mapping, and the broken lines reflect the inability to define the precise endpoints of the deletion by this method. (B) Cleavage map of pBFTM10. The heavy line (10.3 to 14.6 kb) denotes the area of deletion in pBFTM10 Δ 1. Although the deletion is drawn to represent loss of the *Eco*RI-*Ava*I fragment at 10.4 to 10.9 kb, it could also represent loss of the *Eco*I-*Ava*I fragment at 0 to 0.5 kb.

pBF4, only *HpaI* fragment B, which includes all of the *Eco*RI-D fragment, shows homology to pBFTM10. This *HpaI* fragment is missing from pBF4 Δ 1, and no corresponding region of homology was detected.

In a similar manner, digests of pBFTM10 were probed with labeled DNA from plasmid pBR325::pBF4 *Eco*RI-D, which contains the *Eco*RI-D fragment of pBF4 cloned into the unique EcoRI site of pBR325. Previous hybridization studies (6) with two deletion-bearing pBF4 derivatives and a *B. fragilis* strain carrying a chromosomal Cln determinant have provided strong evidence that the pBF4 EcoRI-D fragment contains all or part of the Cln^r gene. Fig. 3B, lane 6, demonstrates homology only in the EcoRI-B' fragment of pBFTM10. This observation is confirmed by hybridization to the two

Plasmid	Strain or host	Pertinent phenotype ^a	Strain source or reference
B. fragilis pBF4	V479-1	Cln ^r	M. Sebald, Paris,
pBF4∆1	V479-1	Cln ^s	France Derivative of V479-1
pBFTM10 pBFTM10∆1	TM4003 TM4292	Cln ^r Cln ^s	(6,15) 12 Derivative of TM4003
E. coli pBR325::pBF4 EcoRI-D	C600	Ap' Tc' Cm ^s	6

 TABLE 1. Bacterial plasmids and strains

^a Cln^r, Clindamycin, erythromycin resistant; Cln^s, clindamycin, erythromycin sensitive; Ap^r, ampicillin resistant; Tc^r, tetracycline resistant; Cm^s, sensitive to chloramphenicol by virtue of insertional inactivation of the Cm^r determinant.



FIG. 2. Agarose gel electrophoresis of plasmid DNA cleaved with restriction enzymes. Letters indicate fragments. (A) EcoRI digests of pBF4 (lane 1) and pBF4 Δ 1 (lane 2); HpaI digests of pBF4 (lane 3) and pBF4 Δ 1 (lane 4). (B) EcoRI digests of pBFTM10 (lane 5) and pBFTM10 Δ 1 (lane 6); HindIII digests of pBFTM10 (lane 7) and pBFTM10 Δ 1 (lane 8).



FIG. 3. Detection of DNA fragments containing sequences homologous to pBFTM10 (A) and pBR325::pBF4 EcoRI-D (B) probes. Letters indicate fragments. (A) Hybridization was performed in 0.9 M sodium chloride-0.09 M sodium citrate-0.2% Ficoll-0.2% polyvinylpyrrolidone-0.5% sodium dodecyl sulfate-0.02% bovine serum albumin V-100 µg of calf thymus DNA per ml at 65°C for 20 h. EcoRI digests were of pBF4 (lane 1) and pBF4 Δ 1 (lane 2); HpaI digests were of pBF4 (lane 3) and pBF4 $\Delta 1$ (lane 4). (B) Hybridization was performed as previously described (6). The nitrocellulose filters contained pBFTM10 digested with HindIII (lane 5) and EcoRI (lane 6). Fragments exhibiting homology were determined by comparison to the agarose gel from which the blot was derived.

junction fragments of EcoRI-B' (HindIII-A' and HindIII-C'). The internal fragment of EcoRI-B (HindIII-D'), although not apparent in Fig. 3B, lane 5, can be seen in an autoradiograph exposed for a longer period of time. Hybridization to pBFTM10 Δ 1 was not done.

The results of this study clearly illustrate the reciprocal homology of pBF4 and pBFTM10 in the region proposed to contain the Cln-Ery resistance determinant. That homology extends beyond the EcoRI site at one end of the pBF4 EcoRI-D fragment is suggested by the weak hybridization of pBFTM10 DNA to the pBF4 EcoRI-B fragment, a fragment which is located adjacent to pBF4 EcoRI-D (Fig. 1A). This homology is probably not due to the IR2 segment (Fig. 1A) since pBFTM10 did not hybridize to the pBF4 HpaI-C fragment (Fig. 3A, lane 3). Furthermore, Southern hybridizations with pBFTM10 have shown homology between the EcoRI-Aval portions of the EcoRI-A' and B' fragments of pBFTM10 (M. J. Shimell, F. P. Tally, and M. H. Malamy, unpublished data). This suggests that the Cln-Ery gene(s) of pBFTM10 is flanked by direct repeats of DNA. The existence of similar EcoRI-AvaI segments around pBF4 EcoRI fragment D is striking and may explain the weak hybridization of pBFTM10 to pBF4 EcoRI-B. Likewise, pBR325::pBF4 EcoRI-D should hybridize weakly to pBFTM10 *Eco*RI-A'. However hybridization to fragment B' is so intense that it would not be possible to see weak hybridization to fragment A'.

Similarities between pBF4 and pBFTM10 are confined to a small region of each plasmid. Thus, these plasmids may only share a common Cln-Ery resistance determinant and differ in transfer and replication functions. In addition, whereas pBF4 possesses two pairs of inverted repeat sequences (Fig. 1A), similar structures have not been detected in electron micrographs of "snap-back" pBFTM10 (J. Reichler, F. P. Tallv. and M. H. Malamy, unpublished data). In two different studies with derivatives of pBF4 and pBFTM10 as specific probes, homologous sequences have been found in the chromosome or on plasmids of Cln^r strains isolated from diverse geographical locations (5, 6, 12). The fact that the Cln-Ery resistance determinant can exist either in the chromosome or on different resistance plasmids strongly suggests that the Cln-Ery resistance determinant resides on a transposable genetic element. This observation together with the increasing incidence of Cln-Ery resistance in B. fragilis isolates makes the widespread dissemination of Cln-Ery resistance by conjugation and subsequent transposition a distinct possibility.

This research was supported by Public Health Service grants DE04224 to F.L.M. and AI07086 to C.J.S. from the National Institutes of Health. F.L.M. is a recipient of Career Development Award DE00081 from the National Institute of Dental Research. Work done in Boston was supported by Public Health Service grant AI15389 from the National Institutes of Health. F.P.T. is a recipient of Research Career Development Award AI00393 from the National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases.

LITERATURE CITED

- Clewell, D. B., and D. R. Helinski. 1969. Super-coiled circular DNA-protein complex in *Escherichia coli*: purification and induced conversion to an open circular form. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 62:1159–1166.
- Guerry, P., D. J. LeBlanc, and S. Falkow. 1973. General method for the isolation of plasmid deoxyribonucleic acid. J. Bacteriol. 116:1064–1066.
- Holdeman, L. V., E. P. Cato, and W. E. C. Moore (ed.). 1977. Anaerobic bacteriology manual. Virginia Polytechnic Institute and State University Anaerobe Laboratory, Blacksburg, Va.
- Magot, M., F. Fayolle, G. Privitera, and M. Sebald. 1981. Transposon-like structures in the *Bacteroides fragilis* MLS plasmid pIP410. Mol. Gen. Genet. 181:559–561.
- Malamy, M. H., and F. P. Tally. 1981. Mechanisms of drug-resistance transfer in *Bacteroides fragilis*. J. Antimicrob. Chemother. 8:59–75.
- Mays, T. D., C. J. Smith, R. A. Welch, C. Delfini, and F. L. Macrina. 1982. Novel antibiotic resistance transfer in *Bacteroides*. Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 21:110– 118.
- Meyers, J. A., D. Sanchez, L. P. Elwell, and S. Falkow. 1976. Simple agarose gel electrophoretic method for the identification and characterization of plasmid deoxyribo-

nucleic acid. J. Bacteriol. 127:1529-1537.

- Privitera, G., A. Dublanchet, and M. Sebald. 1979. Transfer of multiple antibiotic resistance between subspecies of *Bacteroides fragilis*. J. Infect. Dis. 139:97-101.
- Rigby, P. W. J., M. Diekman, C. Rhodes, and P. Berg. 1977. Labelling deoxyribonucleic acid to high specific activity *in vitro* by nick translation with DNA polymerase I. J. Mol. Biol. 113:237–257.
- Rotimi, V. O., B. I. Duerden, and S. Hafiz. 1981. Transferable plasmid-mediated antibiotic resistance in *Bacte*roides. J. Med. Microbiol. 14:359–370.
- Southern, E. M. 1975. Detection of specific sequences among DNA fragments separated by gel electrophoresis. J. Mol. Biol. 98:503-517.
- Tally, F. P., M. J. Shimell, G. R. Carson, and M. H. Malamy. 1981. Chromosomal and plasmid-mediated transfer of clindamycin resistance in *Bacteroides fragilis*,

p. 51-60. In S. B. Levy, R. C. Clowes, and E. L. Koenig (ed.), Molecular biology, pathogenicity, and ecology of bacterial plasmids. Plenum Publishing Corp., New York.

- Tally, F. P., D. R. Snydman, S. L. Gorbach, and M. H. Malamy, 1979. Plasmid mediated, transferable resistance to clindamycin and erythromycin in *Bacteroides fragilis*. J. Infect. Dis. 139:83-88.
- Tally, F. P., D. R. Snydman, M. J. Shimell, and M. H. Malamy. 1982. Characterization of pBFTM10, a clindamycin-erythromycin resistance transfer factor from *Bacte*roides fragilis. J. Bacteriol. 151:686-691.
- Welch, R. A., K. R. Jones, and F. L. Macrina. 1979. Transferable lincosamide-macrolide resistance in *Bacteroides*. Plasmid 2:261-268.
- Welch, R. A., and F. L. Macrina. 1981. Physical characterization of *Bacteroides fragilis* R plasmid pBF4. J. Bacteriol. 145:867-872.