Hybridization Studies Reveal Homologies Between pBF4 and pBFTM10, Two Clindamycin-Erythromycin Resistance Transfer Plasmids of Bacteroides fragilis

MARY JANE SHIMELL,¹† C. JEFFREY SMITH,²‡ FRANCIS P. TALLY,¹* FRANCIS L. MACRINA,² AND MICHAEL H. MALAMY3

Infectious Disease Section, Department of Medicine, Tufts-New England Medical Center,¹ and Department of Molecular Biology and Microbiology, Tufts University School of Medicine,3 Boston, Massachusetts 02111; and Department of Microbiology and Immunology, Virginia Commonwealth University, Richmond, Virginia 232982

Received 15 April 1982/Accepted 5 August 1982

Two clindamycin-erythromycin resistance transfer factors of Bacteroides fragilis, pBF4 and pBFTM10, were analyzed for regions of DNA homology. Although the plasmids were derived from different clinical isolates of B. fragilis and have different sizes, they showed homology in the clindamycin-erythromycin resistance region; no homology could be detected outside of this region.

Clindamycin (Cln)-erythromycin (Ery) resistance transfer in Bacteroides fragilis has been documented by several laboratories (8, 10, 13, 15). Two plasmids carrying Cln-Ery resistance, pBF4 (also called pIP410) (4) and pBFTM10, promote the transfer of drug resistance by conjugation. These plasmids were isolated from two different strains of B. fragilis and characterized independently (4, 14, 16). The plasmids differ in molecular size; pBF4 is 41 kilobases (kb), whereas pBFTM10 is 14.6 kb. Both plasmids give rise to Cln-Ery-sensitive derivatives by deletion of ^a specific segment of plasmid DNA that is presumed to include all or part of the determinant for Cln-Ery resistance. We report here the results of a collaborative study to determine whether there are any regions of homology in the two plasmids.

The bacterial plasmids used in this study and their strains of origin are listed in Table 1. B. fragilis was propagated by standard anaerobic methods (3). Escherichia coli strains were grown in Penassay broth (Difco Laboratories). Plasmid DNA was harvested by previously described techniques (1, 2). Digestions of pBF4 with EcoRI and HpaI (Bethesda Research Laboratories) and of pBFTM10 with EcoRI and HindIll (New England Biolabs) were conducted under the conditions recommended by the suppliers. Electrophoresis of pBF4 DNA was performed in 0.7% agarose gels with Tris-borate buffer (7). DNA from pBFTM10 was electrophoresed in

^t Present address: BioTechnica International, Cambridge, MA 02140.

t Present address: Department of Genetics, Bethesda Research Laboratories, Gaithersburg, MD 20768.

1% agarose gels with SB3 buffer (40 mM Tris, ⁵ mM sodium acetate, ¹ mM EDTA adjusted to pH 7.9 with glacial acetic acid). Gels were stained with ethidium bromide (0.5 μ g/ml), and DNA bands were visualized with long-wave UV light. DNA in agarose gels was transferred to nitrocellulose membrane filters by the method of Southern (11). [³²P]DNA hybridization probes were obtained by in vitro nick translation (9). Autoradiograms were prepared by using Kodak X-Omat-AR film.

The restriction enzyme maps of pBF4 and its deletion derivative $pBF4\Delta1$ are presented in Fig. 1A. Note that pBF4A1 lacks a 7.1-kb segment of DNA. An agarose gel of EcoRI digests of these plasmids is shown in Fig. 2A; EcoRI fragments D (3.6 kb) and F (2.5 kb) are not found in the digest of $pBF4\Delta1$, and the EcoRI fragment A is reduced by about 1.0 kb as compared with pBF4. The restriction enzyme maps of pBFTM10 and the deletion derivative pBFTM10A1 are presented in Fig. 1B. EcoRI digests of these plasmids analyzed on agarose gels are shown in Fig. 2B. Plasmid pBFTM1OA1 lacks the EcoRI fragment B' (4.3 kb) found in pBFTM10.

Results of the hybridization experiments are shown in Fig. 3. In Fig. 3A, labeled pBFTM10 DNA was used to probe pBF4 and pBF4A1 DNA. Strong homology can be detected in the EcoRI-D band of pBF4, and weak homology can be detected in the EcoRI-B band of this plasmid. Similarly, $pBF4\Delta1$ shows weak homology in the EcoRI-B band; the EcoRI-D band is absent in this plasmid. These results are confirmed by the HpaI hybridization patterns. With this digest of

FIG. 1. Restriction enzyme cleavage maps. Size is denoted in kb. (A) Cleavage map of pBF4. The two pairs of inverted repeat (IR) sequences are shaded. The deletion carried by pBF4A1 is denoted by the heavy line (0.5 to 9 kb) on the inside of the map. The location of the deletion was determined by restriction endonuclease mapping, and the broken lines reflect the inability to define the precise endpoints of the deletion by this method. (B) Cleavage map of pBFrM10. The heavy line (10.3 to 14.6 kb) denotes the area of deletion in pBFTM1OA1. Although the deletion is drawn to represent loss of the EcoRI-AvaI fragment at 10.4 to 10.9 kb, it could also represent loss of the EcoI-AvaI fragment at 0 to 0.5 kb.

pBF4, only HpaI fragment B, which includes all of the EcoRI-D fragment, shows homology to pBFTM10. This *HpaI* fragment is missing from pBF4A1, and no corresponding region of homology was detected.

In a similar manner, digests of pBFTM1O were probed with labeled DNA from plasmid pBR325::pBF4 EcoRI-D, which contains the EcoRI-D fragment of pBF4 cloned into the

unique EcoRI site of pBR325. Previous hybridization studies (6) with two deletion-bearing $pBF4$ derivatives and a B . fragilis strain carrying a chromosomal Cln determinant have provided strong evidence that the pBF4 EcoRI-D fragment contains all or part of the Ch^r gene. Fig. 3B, lane 6, demonstrates homology only in the EcoRI-B' fragment of pBFTM1O. This observation is confirmed by hybridization to the two

Plasmid	Strain orl host	Pertinent phenotype ^a	Strain source or reference
B. fragilis pBF4	$V479-1$	Cln ^r	M. Sebald. Paris.
$pBF4\Delta 1$	$V479-1$	$ C n^s$	France Derivative of V479-1 (6.15)
pBFTM10 pBFTM1041	TM4003 Cln^r TM4292 Cln ^s		12 Derivative of TM4003
E. coli pBR325::pBF4 EcoRI-D	C600	Ap ^r Tc ^r Cm ^s ⁶	

TABLE 1. Bacterial plasmids and strains

^a Cln^r, Clindamycin, erythromycin resistant; Cln^s, clindamycin, erythromycin sensitive; Ap^r, ampicillin resistant; Tc^r, tetracycline resistant; Cm^s, sensitive to chloramphenicol by virtue of insertional inactivation of the Cmr determinant.

FIG. 2. Agarose gel electrophoresis of plasmid DNA cleaved with restriction enzymes. Letters indicate fragments. (A) EcoRl digests of pBF4 (lane 1) and $pBF4\Delta1$ (lane 2); HpaI digests of pBF4 (lane 3) and pBF4A1 (lane 4). (B) EcoRI digests of pBFTM1O (lane 5) and pBFTM1OA1 (lane 6); Hindlll digests of pBFTM1O (lane 7) and pBFTM1OA1 (lane 8).

 $pBR325::pBF4$ EcoRI-D (B) probes. Letters indicate and pBFTM 10 a FIG. 3. Detection of DNA fragments containing sequences homologous to pBFTM10 (A) and fragments. (A) Hybridization was performed in 0.9 M sodium chloride-0.09 M sodium citrate-0.2% Ficoll- 0.2% polyvinvlpvrrolidone- 0.5% sodium dodecyl sulfate-0.02% bovine serum albumin V-100 μ g of calf thymus DNA per ml at 65°C for 20 h. EcoRI digests were of pBF4 (lane 1) and pBF4 Δ 1 (lane 2); HpaI digests were of pBF4 (lane 3) and pBF4 Δ 1 (lane 4). (B) Hybridization was performed as previously described (6). The nitrocellulose filters contained pBFTM10 digested with HindIII (lane 5) and EcoRI (lane 6). Fragments exhibiting homology were determined by comparison to the agarose gel from which the blot was derived.

junction fragments of EcoRI-B' (HindIII-A' and $Hind III-C'$). The internal fragment of $EcoRI-B$ (HindIII-D'), although not apparent in Fig. 3B, lane 5, can be seen in an autoradiograph exposed for a longer period of time. Hybridization to pBFTM1OA1 was not done.

The results of this study clearly illustrate the reciprocal homology of pBF4 and pBFTM1O in the region proposed to contain the Cln-Ery resistance determinant. That homology extends beyond the EcoRI site at one end of the pBF4 EcoRI-D fragment is suggested by the weak hybridization of pBFTM10 DNA to the pBF4 EcoRI-B fragment, a fragment which is located adjacent to pBF4 EcoRI-D (Fig. 1A). This homology is probably not due to the IR2 segment (Fig. 1A) since pBFTM1O did not hybridize to the pBF4 HpaI-C fragment (Fig. 3A, lane 3). Furthermore, Southern hybridizations with pBFTM1O have shown homology between the EcoRI-AvaI portions of the EcoRI-A' and B' fragments of pBFTM10 (M. J. Shimell, F. P. Tally, and M. H. Malamy, unpublished data). This suggests that the Cln-Ery gene(s) of pBFTM10 is flanked by direct repeats of DNA. The existence of similar EcoRI-AvaI segments around pBF4 EcoRI fragment D is striking and may explain the weak hybridization of pBFTM10 to pBF4 EcoRI-B. Likewise, pBR325::pBF4 EcoRI-D should hybridize weak-

tion to fragment B' is so intense that it would not
be possible to see weak hybridization to frag-N} ^A'^ ~~~~ment be possible to see weak hybridization to fragment A'.

Similarities between pBF4 and pBFTM10 are confined to a small region of each plasmid. Thus, these plasmids may only share a common Cln-Ery resistance determinant and differ in transfer and replication functions. In addition, D'- whereas pBF4 possesses two pairs of inverted repeat sequences (Fig. 1A), similar structures B. **have not been detected in electron micrographs** of "snap-back" pBFTM1O (J. Reichler, F. P. Tally, and M. H. Malamy, unpublished data). In two different studies with derivatives of pBF4 and pBFTM10 as specific probes, homologous sequences have been found in the chromosome or on plasmids of Cln^r strains isolated from diverse geographical locations $(5, 6, 12)$. The fact that the Cln-Ery resistance determinant can exist either in the chromosome or on different resistance plasmids strongly suggests that the Cln-Ery resistance determinant resides on a transposable genetic element. This observation together with the increasing incidence of Cln-Ery resistance in B. fragilis isolates makes the widespread dissemination of Cln-Ery resistance by conjugation and subsequent transposition a distinct possibility.

> This research was supported by Public Health Service grants DE04224 to F.L.M. and AI07086 to C.J.S. from the National Institutes of Health. F.L.M. is a recipient of Career Development Award DE00081 from the National Institute of Dental Research. Work done in Boston was supported by Public Health Service grant AI15389 from the National Institutes of Health. F.P.T. is a recipient of Research Career Development Award A100393 from the National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases.

LITERATURE CITED

- 1. Clewell, D. B., and D. R. Helinski. 1969. Super-coiled circular DNA-protein complex in Escherichia coli: purification and induced conversion to an open circular form. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 62:1159-1166.
- 2. Guerry, P., D. J. LeBlanc, and S. Falkow. 1973. General method for the isolation of plasmid deoxyribonucleic acid. J. Bacteriol. 116:1064-1066.
- 3. Holdeman, L. V., E. P. Cato, and W. E. C. Moore (ed.). 1977. Anaerobic bacteriology manual. Virginia Polytechnic Institute and State University Anaerobe Laboratory, Blacksburg, Va.
- 4. Magot, M., F. Fayolle, G. Privitera, and M. Sebald. 1981. Transposon-like structures in the Bacteroides fragilis MLS plasmid pIP410. Mol. Gen. Genet. 181:559-561.
- 5. Malamy, M. H., and F. P. Tally. 1981. Mechanisms of drug-resistance transfer in Bacteroides fragilis. J. Antimicrob. Chemother. 8:59-75.
- 6. Mays, T. D., C. J. Smith, R. A. Welch, C. Delini, and F. L. Macrina. 1982. Novel antibiotic resistance transfer in Bacteroides. Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 21:110- 118.
- 7. Meyers, J. A., D. Sanchez, L. P. Elwell, and S. Falkow. 1976. Simple agarose gel electrophoretic method for the identification and characterization of plasmid deoxyribo-

nucleic acid. J. Bacteriol. 127:1529-1537.

- 8. Privitera, G., A. Dublanchet, and M. Sebald. 1979. Transfer of multiple antibiotic resistance between subspecies of Bacteroidesfragilis. J. Infect. Dis. 139:97-101.
- 9. Rigby, P. W. J., M. Diekman, C. Rhodes, and P. Berg. 1977. Labelling deoxyribonucleic acid to high specific activity in vitro by nick translation with DNA polymerase I. J. Mol. Biol. 113:237-257.
- 10. Rotimi, V. O., B. I. Duerden, and S. Hafiz. 1981. Transferable plasmid-mediated antibiotic resistance in Bacteroides. J. Med. Microbiol. 14:359-370.
- 11. Southern, E. M. 1975. Detection of specific sequences among DNA fragments separated by gel electrophoresis. J. Mol. Biol. 98:503-517.
- 12. Tally, F. P., M. J. Shimell, G. R. Carson, and M. H. Malamy. 1981. Chromosomal and plasmid-mediated transfer of clindamycin resistance in Bacteroides fragilis,

p. 51-60. In S. B. Levy, R. C. Clowes, and E. L. Koenig (ed.), Molecular biology, pathogenicity, and ecology of bacterial plasmids. Plenum Publishing Corp., New York.

- 13. Tally, F. P., D. R. Snydman, S. L. Gorbach, and M. H. Malamy. 1979. Plasmid mediated, transferable resistance to clindamycin and erythromycin in Bacteroides fragilis. J. Infect. Dis. 139:83-88.
- 14. Tally, F. P., D. R. Snydman, M. J. Shimell, and M. H. Malamy. 1982. Characterization of pBFTM10, a clindamycin-erythromycin resistance transfer factor from Bacteroides fragilis. J. Bacteriol. 151:686-691.
- 15. Welch, R. A., K. R. Jones, and F. L. Macrina. 1979. Transferable lincosamide-macrolide resistance in Bacteroides. Plasmid 2:261-268.
- 16. Welch, R. A., and F. L. Macrina. 1981. Physical characterization of Bacteroides fragilis R plasmid pBF4. J. Bacteriol. 145:867-872.