Establishment of Canine RNA Polymerase I-Driven Reverse Genetics for Influenza A Virus: Its Application for H5N1 Vaccine Production[∇]

Shin Murakami,¹ Taisuke Horimoto,^{1,3}* Shinya Yamada,^{1,3} Satoshi Kakugawa,^{1,3} Hideo Goto,^{1,3} and Yoshihiro Kawaoka^{1,2,3,4}*

Division of Virology, Department of Microbiology and Immunology,¹ and International Research Center for Infectious Diseases, Institute of Medical Science,² University of Tokyo, Tokyo, Japan; Core Research for Evolutional Science and Technology (CREST), Japan Science and Technology Agency, Saitama, Japan³; and Department of Pathobiological Sciences, School of Veterinary Medicine, University of Wisconsin, Madison, Wisconsin⁴

Received 27 August 2007/Accepted 12 November 2007

In the event of a new influenza pandemic, vaccines whose antigenicities match those of circulating strains must be rapidly produced. Here, we established an alternative reverse genetics system for influenza virus using the canine polymerase I (PoII) promoter sequence that works efficiently in the Madin-Darby canine kidney cell line, a cell line approved for human vaccine production. Using this system, we were able to generate H5N1 vaccine seed viruses more efficiently than can be achieved with the current system that uses the human PoII promoter in African green monkey Vero cells, thus improving pandemic vaccine production.

H5N1 influenza A viruses continue to cause fatal human infections. The regions where influenza A is epidemic have expanded from Asia to Europe and Africa, raising concerns over a possible pandemic (7). Currently, prepandemic H5N1 vaccines are being stockpiled in many countries. These inactivated vaccines were produced from viruses propagated in embryonated chicken eggs following inoculation of the vaccine seed virus generated by cloned cDNA-based reverse genetics (12-plasmid [3, 14] or 8-plasmid [6] systems) in an African green monkey Vero cell line (9, 15, 20-22) that is approved for human vaccine production (e.g., polio and rabies vaccines [12]). However, the generation of the H5N1 vaccine seed viruses in this cell line is not optimal due to its low plasmid transfection efficiency. In a pandemic situation, vaccines whose antigenicities match those of the circulating strain(s) need to be rapidly produced. Therefore, a more robust reverse genetics system is desirable for pandemic vaccine preparedness. Besides Vero cells, a limited number of other cells are approved for human vaccine production, for example, Madin-Darby canine kidney (MDCK) cells and chicken embryonic fibroblasts (CEF). A modified reverse genetics system that uses the chicken RNA polymerase I (PolI) promoter also supports the generation of influenza virus in CEF (11), with an efficiency of virus generation comparable to that of the human PolI system in Vero cells. MDCK cells also support the efficient growth of influenza virus and are used as a substrate for the production of seasonal influenza vaccines (1, 4, 5). In MDCK cells, however, reverse genetics with the human PolI promoter does not work well, due to the host species specificity of the PolI promoter. Recently, another reverse genetics system with T7 RNA PolII was

* Corresponding author. Mailing address: Division of Virology, Department of Microbiology and Immunology, Institute of Medical Science, University of Tokyo, 4-6-1 Shirokanedai, Minato-ku, Tokyo 108-8639, Japan. Phone: 81-3-5449-5281. Fax: 81-3-5449-5408. E-mail for Taisuke Horimoto: horimoto@ims.u-tokyo.ac.jp. E-mail for Yoshihiro Kawaoka: kawaoka@ims.u-tokyo.ac.jp. shown to support influenza virus generation in MDCK cells (2), although the efficiency of virus generation was inconsistent. In the present study, we established an alternative reverse genetics system driven by canine PoII and generated recommended H5N1 vaccine seed viruses in MDCK cells with high efficiency.

Eukaryotic ribosomal DNA consists of well-conserved 18, 5.8, and 28S rRNA genes, clustering head-to-tail repeats (Fig. 1A). The 18S and 28S rRNA genes are separated by intergenic spacer regions (IGS), which contain the PolI promoter and terminator sequences. The PolI promoter region is located next to a 5' external transcribed spacer (5' ETS), approximately 3.5 kb upstream of the 18S rRNA gene in the human genome. Although the IGS sequences are not highly conserved among eukaryotes, the sequences around the transcription initiation sites are relatively conserved (Fig. 1B) (18). To identify the canine PolI promoter region, we searched the canine chromosome that contains the 18, 5.8, and 28S rRNA genes in the database of the dog genome (10) (NCBI Dog Genome Resources; http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/genome/guide/dog/) and found the predicted canine rRNA genes on a chromosome, designated Canis familiaris chromosome Un genomic contig, whole genome shotgun sequence (GenBank accession no. NW 878945; hereafter referred to as ChromUN). We then performed a homology search of the PolI transcription initiation site (nucleotide [nt] - 8 to +11; +1 is referred to as the transcription initiation site) approximately 3.5 kb upstream of the 18S rRNA gene (5' end of the predicted 5' ETS) in ChromUN with the human PolI transcription initiation site by using GENETYX-Win software (Genetyx Corp., Tokyo). Through these analyses, we predicted that the PolI transcription initiation site sequence was positioned from nt 28164 to 28182 on ChromUN (Fig. 1B). We therefore amplified the upstream regions (consisting of 457 or 250 nt) from the predicted transcription initiation site, which most likely contained the canine PolI promoter sequence, by use of a standard PCR using an MDCK cell DNA template and specific primer pairs designed according to the database information (Fig. 1C). The

^v Published ahead of print on 28 November 2007.

A			~~		1		~			~~	_	~~		~~				~	
185	5.85	520	85			G	5			35	5.	85	28	35			G	5	
]	Ę	`.				-t,	ļ		·		
										```	``、				`` ``				
			) Dro		oto	-	⊦1				18	S			Ĭ.	28	2.5		Torminator
		_				71													
							5	5'E	TS	3 L									3'ETS 년년년
В	0							+1	,									. 1 /	
	-8 A A	c	т	A	С	т	A	T	A	G	G	т	A	G	G	с	A	G	drosophila
	СА	G C	G G	A T	A C	G T	G G	T T	A A	G G	G G	G A	G G	A C	A G	G A	A G	С Т	xenopus chicken
	GA	G	A	T	A	T	A	Т	G	C	Т	G	A	C	A	C	G	C	rat
	GAG	G	A T	т	A A	G T	G A	T T	A G	C	T T	G	A A	C	A A	C	G	C	human
	<u>C A</u>	G	G	Т	A	G	G	Т	G	С	Т	G	A	С	A	С	G	T	dog
С	NW_87 MDCK	8945 -457	2	8608 1	3:GC :GC	IGCC' IGCC'	TCTG TCTG * * * *	CCG CCG	CGCG CGCG * * * *	TGG( TGG(	CCCT CCCT	CCAC CCAC	CTC	CCCI	GGC	CCGA CCGA * * * *	GCC0 GCC0 * * * *	GGGGI GGGGI	28559
	NW_87 MDCK	8945 -457	2	8558 51	3:TG( :TG( **:	GGGA GGGA * * * *	CGGC CGGC * * * *	GGT. GGT. * * *	AGGC AGGC * * * *	ACG ACG	GGGC GGGC * * * *	GGTC GGTC ****	CTG CTG	AGGG AGGG * * * *	CCG( CCG( ***	CGGG CGGG * * * *	GGAC GGAC * * * *	CGGC( CGGC( ****	28509 2100
	NW_87 MDCK	8945 -457	5 28508:TCCGCACGGTGCCTGCCTCCGGAGAACTTTGATGATTTTTCAAAGTCTCC 7 101:TCCGCACGGTGCCTGCCTCCGGAGAACTTTGATGATTTTTCAAAGTCTCC *********************************							28459 150									
	NW_87 MDCK	8945 -457	2	8458 151	B:TCC :TCC ***	CCGG. CCGG. * * * *	AGAT AGAT * * * *	'CAC' 'CAC'	TGGC TGGC * * * *	GTG	GCGG GCGG * * * *	CGTO CGTO ****	GCG GCG	GCGI GCGI * * * *	'GGC( 'GGC(	GGCG GGCG * * * *	TGGC TGGC ****		; 28409 ; 200
	NW_87 MDCK	8945 -457	945 28408:TGGCGTCTCCACCGACCGCGTATCGCCCTCCTC   457 201:TGGCGGCGTGGCGGCGTGGCGTCCCACCGACCGCGTATCGCCCCTCCTC   ***********************************							2 28375 2 250									
	NW_87 MDCK	8945 -457	2	8374 251	:ACC ACC	2000	cccc cccc * * * *	CCC CCC ***	CCCG CCCG * * * *	GGTT GTT	FACC FCCC * **	TGG0 TGG0 ****	GCG GCG GTCG	ACCA ACCA * * * *	.GAA) .GAT) **	AGCC AGCC * * * *	CTGO CTGO ****	GGGG GGGG ****	28325 300
	NW_87 MDCK	8945 -457	2	8324 301	l:NG0	GGGG	CTCC -TCC ***	GTG GTG	GGGI GGGI * * * *	'GGG( 'GGG( * * * *	GGTG GGTG * * * *	GGG0 GGG0 ****	GGC GGC	GCCG GCCG * * * *	TGG( TGG(	GGCA GGCA * * * *	GGT1 GGT1 ****	TTTGO	; 28275 ; 343
	NW_87 MDCK	8945 -457	2	8274 344	l:GT2 l:GT2 ***	ACAG ACAG * * * *	TTGG TTGG * * * *	GCCG' GCCG' * * * *	TGTC TGTC * * * *	ACG( ACG( ****	GTCC GTCC * * * *	CGG0 CGG0 * * * *	GAGG' GAGG'	ICGC ICGC * * * *	GGT GGT	GACC GACC * * * *	TGT0 TGT0 ****	GCTC	; 28225 ; 393
	NW_87 MDCK	8945 -457	2	8224 394	l:GT( l:GT( ***		GCCG GCCG * * * *	GCA	GGCG GGCG * * * *	CGG: CGG:	FTAT FTAT * * * *	- TTTC TTTC * * * *	CTTG	CCCG CCCG * * * *	AAA' AAA' * * * *	IGAA IGAA * * * *	CAT1 CAT1 * * * *	FTTT FTTT * * * * *	28175 443
	NW_87 MDCK	8945 -457	2	8174 444	l:GT l:GT ***	FGCC.	AGGI AGGI * * * *	'AGG 'AGG	Г Г *										28161 457

FIG. 1. Cloning of the canine PoII promoter. (A) Molecular map of the canine ribosomal DNA. Head-to-tail repeats of rRNA genes (18, 5.8, and 28S rRNA) are separated by IGS containing the PoII promoter and terminator regions. The PoII promoter region is located directly upstream of the 5' ETS, and the terminator region is located downstream of the 3' ETS. The transcription initiation site is indicated as +1. This figure is



FIG. 2. RNA PolI promoter activities in MDCK, Vero cells, and CEF measured by the expression of a luciferase reporter gene. MDCK, Vero cells, and CEF were transfected with reporter plasmids encoding a firefly luciferase gene inserted between the 3' and 5' noncoding regions of the NP segment of A/Puerto Rico/8/34 (PR8) under the control of the canine PolI promoter [pPolIC250-NP(0)Fluc(0) (-250) or pPolIC457-NP(0)Fluc(0) (-457)], the human PolI promoter [pPolI-NP(0)Fluc(0) (human)], or the chicken PolI promoter [pPolGG-NP(0)Fluc(0) (chicken)], or without a PolI promoter [p $\Delta$ PolIprom-NP(0)Fluc(0) ( $\Delta$ Prom)], together with the four plasmids that express PB2, PB1, PA, and NP from PR8. At 12 h after transfection, cells were subjected to the dual-luciferase assay (Promega). PolI promoter activities, represented as ratios of firefly luciferase to *Renilla* luciferase (as an internal control), are shown. The data presented are the means ± standard deviations of triplicate samples.

PCR products were then cloned into pCR-Blunt II-TOPO (Invitrogen) and sequenced. The cloned sequence possessed 94.2% homology with the corresponding region of the Chro-mUN sequence (Fig. 1C).

To determine whether our cloned regions functioned as a canine PolI promoter in MDCK cells, we examined the synthesis of viral RNA under the control of this region. To this end, we prepared a plasmid that contained viral RNA downstream of the predicted canine PolI promoter region; the human PolI promoter sequence (nt -1 to -425) of pHH21 (14) was replaced with the predicted canine PolI promoter sequences (nt -1 to -250 or nt -1 to -457, designated plasmids pPolIC250 and pPolIC457, respectively). For comparison, we also cloned the chicken PolI promoter region from chicken genomic DNA (11) and constructed pPolIGG, which synthesizes viral RNA. Finally, a series of reporter plasmids, in which the open reading frame of the firefly luciferase gene was inserted between the 3' and 5' noncoding regions of the nucleoprotein (NP) segment of A/Puerto Rico/8/34 (PR8; H1N1) [NP(0)Fluc(0)], was prepared with pHH21, pPolIC250, pPolIC 457, and pPolIGG and designated pPolI-NP(0)Fluc(0), pPolIC 250-NP(0)Fluc(0), pPolIC457-NP(0)Fluc(0), and pPolIGG-NP(0)Fluc(0), respectively. For a negative control, a plasmid lacking the PolI promoter region  $[p\Delta PolIprom-NP(0)Fluc(0)]$ was also prepared. For the luciferase reporter assay, each of the plasmids was cotransfected with PB2-, PB1-, PA-, and NP-expressing plasmids (16) into MDCK, Vero cells, or CEF. After 12 h of transfection, cells were harvested and lysed, and their luciferase activities measured and standardized against the activity of Renilla luciferase as an internal control by using a dual-luciferase assay kit (Promega) (Fig. 2). MDCK cell lysates transfected with pPolIC250-NP(0)Fluc(0) or pPolIC 457-NP(0)Fluc(0) exhibited >10-fold-higher luciferase activities than those transfected with pPolI-NP(0)Fluc(0) or

pPolIGG-NP(0)Fluc(0) (P < 0.02; Student's t test). By contrast, the lysates of Vero and CEF cells transfected with pPolIC250-NP(0)Fluc(0) or pPolIC457-NP(0)Fluc(0) exhibited significantly lower luciferase activities than those transfected with pPolI-NP(0)Fluc(0) (P < 0.02) or pPolIGG-NP(0)Fluc(0) (P < 0.002), respectively. None of the cell lysates transfected with the control p $\Delta$ PolIprom-NP(0)Fluc(0) showed any detectable luciferase activities. These data demonstrated that the region we cloned contained the functional canine PolI promoter.

To authenticate the canine PolI promoter, we attempted to generate the wild-type PR8 virus in MDCK cells by reverse genetics. The eight viral genes of the PR8 (UW) strain (8) were cloned into the pPolIC250 or pPolIC457 plasmids (pPolIC 250-PB2, -PB1, -PA, -HA, -NP, -NA, -M, and -NS or pPolIC 457-PB2, -PB1, -PA, -HA, -NP, -NA, -M, and -NS, respectively). We then transfected MDCK cells with a set of eight segments of pPolIC250 or pPolIC457 together with plasmids expressing PB2, PB1, PA, and NP, resulting in the generation of virus with titers between  $1.3 \times 10^2$  and  $2.5 \times 10^3$  PFU/ml without exogenous trypsin (Table 1). When MDCK cells were transfected with these sets of plasmids in the presence of trypsin, virus titers of more than  $5 \times 10^3$  PFU/ml were detected. No significant differences in virus yields were observed between sets of pPolIC250 and pPolIC457 plasmids. Because IGS regions contain tandemly arranged enhancer elements for PolI transcription (19), the constructs with nt - 1 to -457 may have contained additional enhancer elements compared to the construct with nt -1 to -250, as demonstrated by the data in Fig. 2. However, the region spanning nt -1 to -250 contained a sequence with sufficient PolI promoter activity for virus generation. By contrast, no viruses were detected upon the transfection of MDCK cells with a series of pPoII (human promoter) or pPolIGG (chicken promoter) plasmids (data not

adapted from reference 18 with permission of the publisher. (B) Alignment of the PolI transcription start regions (nt -8 to +11), as predicted by computer analysis, of the canine (underlined) and other species (adapted from reference 11). The transcription initiation site is indicated as +1. (C) Sequences of the canine PolI promoter regions, as predicted by computer analysis. The region (nt -457 to +1) was cloned from the genomic DNA of MDCK cells by using specific primers indicated by the arrows (solid lines for nt -457 to +1 and broken lines for nt -250 to +1). The cloned sequence was aligned with the canine genomic DNA sequence (GenBank accession no. NW_878945).

TABLE 1. Efficiency of PR8 generation in MDCK cells

	Infectivity titer (PFU/ml) ^a											
Expt	pPolIC25	50 (PR8) ^b	pPolIC457 (PR8) ^c									
	Trypsin-d	Trypsin+ ^e	Trypsin-	Trypsin+								
1 2 3	$\begin{array}{c} 2.5 \times 10^2 \\ 2.5 \times 10^3 \\ 4.6 \times 10^2 \end{array}$	$1.9 \times 10^4$ $7.8 \times 10^4$ $1.3 \times 10^4$	$\begin{array}{c} 1.3 \times 10^2 \\ 1.4 \times 10^2 \\ 1.5 \times 10^3 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 3.0 \times 10^{4} \\ 5.0 \times 10^{3} \\ 1.4 \times 10^{4} \end{array}$								

^{*a*} At 48 h after transfection, virus titers in the supernatant were determined by plaque assays of MDCK cells. The results are from three independent experiments (experiments 1 to 3).

^b pPoIIC250 (PR8) indicates transfection of cells with pPoIIC250-PB2, -PB1, -PA, -HA, -NP, -NA, -M, and -NS and pCAGGS-PB2, -PB1, -PA, and -NP.

^c pPoIIC457 (PR8) indicates transfection of cells with pPoIIC457-PB2, -PB1, -PA, -HA, -NP, -NA, -M, and -NS and pCAGGS-PB2, -PB1, -PA, and -NP.

^d Trypsin-, cells were cultured with trypsin-free Opti-Mem.

^e Trypsin+, cells were cultured with Opti-Mem containing trypsin.

shown), in agreement with the results of the luciferase assay (Fig. 2). The generation of virus in MDCK cells with the plasmids possessing the canine PolI promoter was robust, with consistent virus generation of more than 10² PFU/ml without exogenous trypsin in all experiments (n = 6). By contrast, we were able to generate only less than 10 PFU/ml of the virus with plasmids possessing the chicken PolI promoter in CEF or the human PolI promoter in Vero cells, and occasionally we even failed to generate the virus (data not shown), possibly due to the low transfection efficiencies of CEF and Vero cells. Massin et al. (11) reported the generation of PR8 virus by reverse genetics with the chicken PolI promoter in the presence of trypsin, resulting in the virus production of  $10^2$  to  $10^3$ PFU/ml on day 3 after transfection in CEF. Less-efficient virus generation by reverse genetics with the human PolI promoter in Vero cells has also been reported; Fodor et al. (3) generated A/WSN/33 (WSN; H1N1) virus with titers of 10 to 20 PFU/ml on day 4 after transfection by using the 12-plasmid system. Because MDCK cells are a suitable substrate for influenza virus replication (4), the canine PolI-driven reverse genetics system in MDCK cells likely supports a higher efficiency of virus generation than do the human PolI and chicken PolIdriven systems in Vero cells and CEF.

We next sought to generate an H5N1 vaccine seed virus, as recommended by the World Health Organization (PR8/H5N1 6:2 reassortant; virus possessing HA and NA genes from an H5N1 virus and the remaining genes from the PR8 [UW] strain [8]), using our canine PolI-driven system. For this purpose, we also cloned HA (with a modified cleavage site; RER RRKKR to RETR) and NA genes, both derived from A/Vietnam/1194/2004 (H5N1; VN1194) (9), into pPolIC250 plasmids (pPolIC250-1194HA and -1194NA, respectively). We selected pPolIC250, not pPolIC457, because there were no significant differences in virus yield between these two pPolICplasmids in our preliminary experiments. These two plasmids were then cotransfected with six PR8 RNA-synthesizing plasmids and four additional plasmids expressing PB2, PB1, PA, and NP into MDCK cells, resulting in the generation of virus with titers of approximately 10² PFU/ml in the absence of trypsin and of more than  $10^6$  PFU/ml in the presence of trypsin (Table 2). By contrast, in Vero cells and in CEF, this PR8/H5N1 reassortant was also generated by transfection with the human PolI or the chicken PolI system, respectively, but to a substantially lesser

TABLE 2. Efficiency of pPolIC250 (PR8/VN1194) 6:2 reassortant virus generation in MDCK cells

Et	Infectivity tit	er (PFU/ml) ^a
Expt	$Trypsin^{-b}$	Trypsin+ ^c
1	$1.3 \times 10^{2}$	$3.2 \times 10^{6}$
2	$1.1 \times 10^{2}$	$1.2 \times 10^{6}$
3	$1.3  imes 10^2$	$3.6  imes 10^{6}$

^{*a*} At 48 h after transfection, virus titers in the supernatant were determined by plaque assays of MDCK cells. The results are from three independent experiments (experiments 1 to 3). pPoIIC250 (PR8/VN1194) indicates transfection of cells with pPoIIC250-PB1, -PB1, -PA, -NP, -M, -NS, -1194HA, and -1194NA and pCAGGS-PB2, -PB1, -PA, and -NP.

^b Trypsin-, cells were cultured with trypsin-free Opti-Mem.

^c Trypsin+, cells were cultured with Opti-Mem containing trypsin.

degree; the virus generation was not consistent, and even when virus was generated, the titers were less than 10 PFU/ml in either system. Taken together, the data lead us to conclude that PR8/H5N1 vaccine seed viruses can be generated more efficiently by canine PoII-driven reverse genetics in MDCK cells than by human or chicken PoII-driven systems in Vero cells or CEF.

The recently described T7 RNA PolII-driven reverse genetics system (2) allows the generation of influenza viruses in MDCK cells. However, it is unlikely that this system will generate vaccine seed viruses efficiently in Vero or MDCK cells, since even in 293T cells with a high transfection efficiency, PR8 virus was poorly generated (<10 50% tissue culture infective doses/ml). Currently, PolI-based reverse genetics is used to produce H5N1 vaccine seed viruses (9, 15, 20–22). Since our results indicate that the canine PolI-based system is more efficient than the human or chicken PolI-based systems, the canine system may be preferable. It would be interesting to directly compare the efficiencies of influenza virus generation between the canine PolI system and the T7 RNA PolII system.

Previously, we established a reverse genetics system with a reduced number of plasmids to overcome the low transfection efficiency of certain cells that are approved for vaccine production (e.g., Vero cells). This system generated WSN virus in Vero cells with high viral yields ( $10^6$  to  $10^7$  50% tissue culture infective doses/ml) (13). Therefore, a similar strategy could be applied to the canine PolI-driven system, possibly further improving its efficiency in MDCK cells. In addition, an adenoviral vector-mediated reverse genetics system (17) with the canine PolI promoter may also be suitable for influenza vaccine production.

In conclusion, the application of the canine PolI-driven reverse genetics system in MDCK cells would improve the production of seasonal, prepandemic, and pandemic influenza vaccines.

We thank Y. Kino (the Chemo-Sero Therapeutic Research Institute, Japan) for Vero WCB cells and S. Watson for editing the manuscript.

This work was supported, in part, by grants-in-aid from the Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports, Science, and Technology and the Ministry of Health, Labor, and Welfare, of Japan; CREST (Japanese science and technology agency); a contract research fund from the Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports, Science and Technology, Japan, for the Program of Founding Research Centers for Emerging and Reemerging Infectious Diseases; and Public Health Service research grants from the National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases.

## REFERENCES

- Brands, R., J. Visser, J. Medema, A. M. Palache, and G. J. van Scharrenburg. 1999. Influvac: a safe Madin Darby canine kidney (MDCK) cell culture-based influenza vaccine. Dev. Biol. Stand. 98:93–100, 111.
- de Wit, E., M. I. Spronken, G. Vervaet, G. F. Rimmelzwaan, A. D. Osterhaus, and R. A. Fouchier. 2007. A reverse-genetics system for influenza A virus using T7 RNA polymerase. J. Gen. Virol. 88:1281–1287.
- Fodor, E., L. Devenish, O. G. Engelhardt, P. Palese, G. G. Brownlee, and A. García-Sastre. 1999. Rescue of influenza A virus from recombinant DNA. J. Virol. 73:9679–9682.
- Govorkova, E. A., S. Kodihalli, I. V. Alymova, B. Fanget, and R. G. Webster. 1999. Growth and immunogenicity of influenza viruses cultivated in Vero or MDCK cells and in embryonated chicken eggs. Dev. Biol. Stand. 98:39–51, 73–74.
- Halperin, S. A., B. Smith, T. Mabrouk, M. Germain, P. Trepanier, T. Hassell, J. Treanor, R. Gauthier, and E. L. Mills. 2002. Safety and immunogenicity of a trivalent, inactivated, mammalian cell culture-derived influenza vaccine in healthy adults, seniors, and children. Vaccine 20:1240–1247.
- Hoffmann, E., G. Neumann, Y. Kawaoka, G. Hobom, and R. Webster. 2000. A DNA transfection system for generation of influenza A virus from eight plasmids. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 97:6108–6113.
- Horimoto, T., and Y. Kawaoka. 2005. Influenza: lessons from past pandemics, warnings from current incidents. Nat. Rev. Microbiol. 3:591–600.
- Horimoto, T., S. Murakami, Y. Muramoto, S. Yamada, K. Fujii, M. Kiso, K. Iwatsuki-Horimoto, Y. Kino, and Y. Kawaoka. 2007. Enhanced growth of seed viruses for H5N1 influenza vaccines. Virology 366:23–27.
- Horimoto, T., A. Takada, K. Fujii, H. Goto, M. Hatta, S. Watanabe, K. Iwatsuki-Horimoto, M. Ito, Y. Tagawa-Sakai, S. Yamada, H. Ito, T. Ito, M. Imai, S. Itamura, T. Odagiri, M. Tashiro, W. Lim, Y. Guan, M. Peiris, and Y. Kawaoka. 2006. The development and characterization of H5 influenza virus vaccines derived from a 2003 human isolate. Vaccine 24:3669–3676.
- Lindblad-Toh, K., C. M. Wade, T. S. Mikkelsen, E. K. Karlsson, D. B. Jaffe, M. Kamal, M. Clamp, J. L. Chang, E. J. Kulbokas III, M. C. Zody, E. Mauceli, X. Xie, M. Breen, R. K. Wayne, E. A. Ostrander, C. P. Ponting, F. Galibert, D. R. Smith, P. J. DeJong, E. Kirkness, P. Alvarez, T. Biagi, W. Brockman, J. Butler, C. W. Chin, A. Cook, J. Cuff, M. J. Daly, D. DeCaprio, S. Gnerre, M. Grabherr, M. Kellis, M. Kleber, C. Bardeleben, L. Goodstadt, A. Heger, C. Hitte, L. Kim, K. P. Koepfli, H. G. Parker, J. P. Pollinger, S. M. Searle, N. B. Sutter, R. Thomas, C. Webber, J. Baldwin, A. Abebe, A. Abouelleil, L. Aftuck, M. Ait-Zahra, T. Aldredge, N. Allen, P. An, S. Anderson, C. Antoine, H. Arachchi, A. Aslam, L. Ayotte, P. Bachantsang, A. Barry, T. Bayul, M. Benamara, A. Berlin, D. Bessette, B. Blitshteyn, T. Bloom, J. Blye, L. Boguslavskiy, C. Bonnet, B. Boukhgalter, A. Brown, P. Cahill, N.

Calixte, J. Camarata, Y. Cheshatsang, J. Chu, M. Citroen, A. Collymore, P. Cooke, T. Dawoe, R. Daza, K. Decktor, S. DeGray, N. Dhargay, K. Dooley, P. Dorje, K. Dorjee, L. Dorris, N. Duffey, A. Dupes, O. Egbiremolen, R. Elong, J. Falk, A. Farina, S. Faro, D. Ferguson, P. Ferreira, S. Fisher, M. FitzGerald, K. Foley, et al. 2005. Genome sequence, comparative analysis and haplotype structure of the domestic dog. Nature 438:803–819.

- Massin, P., P. Rodrigues, M. Marasescu, S. van der Werf, and N. Naffakh. 2005. Cloning of the chicken RNA polymerase I promoter and use for reverse genetics of influenza A viruses in avian cells. J. Virol. 79:13811– 13816.
- Montagnon, B. J., J. C. Vincent-Falquet, and J. F. Saluzzo. 1999. Experience with Vero cells at Pasteur Merieux Connaught. Dev. Biol. Stand. 98:137–140, 167.
- Neumann, G., K. Fujii, Y. Kino, and Y. Kawaoka. 2005. An improved reverse genetics system for influenza A virus generation and its implications for vaccine production. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 102:16825–16829.
- Neumann, G., T. Watanabe, H. Ito, S. Watanabe, H. Goto, P. Gao, M. Hughes, D. R. Perez, R. Donis, E. Hoffmann, G. Hobom, and Y. Kawaoka. 1999. Generation of influenza A viruses entirely from cloned cDNAs. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 96:9345–9350.
- Nicolson, C., D. Major, J. M. Wood, and J. S. Robertson. 2005. Generation of influenza vaccine viruses on Vero cells by reverse genetics: an H5N1 candidate vaccine strain produced under a quality system. Vaccine 23:2943– 2952.
- Ozawa, M., K. Fujii, Y. Muramoto, S. Yamada, S. Yamayoshi, A. Takada, H. Goto, T. Horimoto, and Y. Kawaoka. 2007. Contributions of two nuclear localization signals of influenza A virus nucleoprotein to viral replication. J. Virol. 81:30–41.
- Ozawa, M., H. Goto, T. Horimoto, and Y. Kawaoka. 2007. An adenovirus vector-mediated reverse genetics system for influenza A virus generation. J. Virol. 81:9556–9559.
- Paule, M. R., and R. J. White. 2000. Survey and summary: transcription by RNA polymerases I and III. Nucleic Acids Res. 28:1283–1298.
- Schultz, M. C., S. Y. Choe, and R. H. Reeder. 1993. In vitro definition of the yeast RNA polymerase I enhancer. Mol. Cell. Biol. 13:2644–2654.
- Subbarao, K., H. Chen, D. Swayne, L. Mingay, E. Fodor, G. Brownlee, X. Xu, X. Lu, J. Katz, N. Cox, and Y. Matsuoka. 2003. Evaluation of a genetically modified reassortant H5N1 influenza A virus vaccine candidate generated by plasmid-based reverse genetics. Virology 305:192–200.
- Webby, R. J., D. R. Perez, J. S. Coleman, Y. Guan, J. H. Knight, E. A. Govorkova, L. R. McClain-Moss, J. S. Peiris, J. E. Rehg, E. I. Tuomanen, and R. G. Webster. 2004. Responsiveness to a pandemic alert: use of reverse genetics for rapid development of influenza vaccines. Lancet 363:1099–1103.
- Wood, J. M., and J. S. Robertson. 2004. From lethal virus to life-saving vaccine: developing inactivated vaccines for pandemic influenza. Nat. Rev. Microbiol. 2:842–847.