# How subunit coupling produces the  $\gamma$ -subunit rotary **motion in F1-ATPase**

### **Jingzhi Pu\* and Martin Karplus\*†‡**

\*Department of Chemistry and Chemical Biology, Harvard University, 12 Oxford Street Cambridge, MA 01238; and †Laboratoire de Chimie Biophysique, Institut de Science et d'Ingénierie Supramoléculaires, Université Louis Pasteur, 67000 Strasbourg, France

Edited by John Kuriyan, University of California, Berkeley, CA, and approved November 28, 2007 (received for review September 17, 2007)

**FoF1-ATP synthase manufactures the energy ''currency,'' ATP, of** living cells. The soluble F<sub>1</sub> portion, called F<sub>1</sub>-ATPase, can act as a **rotary motor, with ATP binding, hydrolysis, and product release,** inducing a torque on the  $\gamma$ -subunit. A coarse-grained plastic **network model is used to show at a residue level of detail how the conformational changes of the catalytic β-subunits act on the -subunit through repulsive van der Waals interactions to generate a torque that drives unidirectional rotation, as observed experimentally. The simulations suggest that the calculated 85° substep rotation is driven primarily by ATP binding and that the subsequent 35° substep rotation is produced by product release from one** -**-subunit and a concomitant binding pocket expansion of another** -**-subunit. The results of the simulation agree with single-molecule experiments [see, for example, Adachi K,** *et al.* **(2007)** *Cell* **130:309 – 321] and support a tri-site rotary mechanism for F1-ATPase under physiological condition.**

ATP hydrolysis  $|$  coarse-grained  $|$  mechanical coupling  $|$ molecular motor  $|$  tri-site

 $\mathbf{F}_0$ F<sub>1</sub>-ATP synthase, which is found in mitochondria, chloro-<br>plasts, and bacteria, was 4. plasts, and bacteria, uses the proton-motive force across membranes to synthesize adenosine triphosphate (ATP) from adenosine diphosphate (ADP) and inorganic phosphate  $\text{H}_2\text{PO}_4^-$ (Pi) (1–4). This molecular machine consists of two moieties, a transmembrane portion  $(F_o)$ , the rotation of which is induced by the proton gradient, and a globular catalytic moiety  $(F_1)$  that synthesizes ATP. By itself, or as a part of  $F_0F_1$ -ATP synthase, the  $F_1$  moiety (F<sub>1</sub>-ATPase) can hydrolyze ATP to generate rotational motion of the  $\gamma$ -subunit. The mitochondrial F<sub>1</sub>-ATPase  $(MF_1)$  is composed of nine subunits (Fig. 1*a*), six of which  $(\alpha_3\beta_3)$ form an approximately spherical globular complex around a central stalk (5, 6), which consists of the subunits  $\gamma \delta \varepsilon$ . Under optimum conditions, the central shaft rotates (counterclockwise as seen from the membrane) at a rate of 7.6 rad/ms punctuated by dwell times of several milliseconds. When an external torque rotates the central shaft in the reverse direction  $(7)$ , the  $F_1$ moiety by itself synthesizes ATP from ADP and Pi. During the rotational hydrolysis cycle of  $F_1$ -ATPase, the three  $\beta$  catalytic subunits undergo consecutive conformational changes (1, 4, 5) that are believed to induce the central stalk rotation. The substrate binding, chemical steps, and product release are thought to take place in sites with different conformations (4, 8). The result is highly efficient catalysis with the observed ATP hydrolysis rate being accelerated by a factor of  $5 \times 10^8$  to  $8 \times$  $10^9$  in F<sub>1</sub>-ATPase compared with the reaction in solution (9).

The overall mechanism for the rotary catalysis by  $F_1$ -ATPase was originally suggested by Boyer (10), before the availability of a high-resolution crystal structure, based on the interpretation of thermodynamic and kinetic data. Boyer's ''binding change mechanism" states that the three catalytic  $\beta$ -subunits at any given time have different nucleotide binding affinities and that they undergo sequential conformational changes during the catalytic cycle (11). Supporting evidence for the binding change mechanism came from the first high-resolution structure of bovine mitochondrial  $F_1$ -ATPase (bMF<sub>1</sub>) (5) (referred to as Ab). Although now believed to be an azide-inhibited state (12), the Ab structure shows that the three  $\beta$ -subunits in one molecule have different conformations, apparently modulated by the asymmetric  $\gamma$ -stalk, a feature conserved in azide-free crystals (13, 14). In 1997, the unidirectional rotation of the central stalk in  $F_1$ -ATPase was observed in single-molecule experiments (15). At low ATP concentrations, the hydrolysis drives the  $\gamma$ -stalk rotation in discrete steps of 120° (16), which can be further resolved into 90° and 30° substeps (16) [recently suggested to be 80°/40° (17)]. The duration of the dwell before the 90° substep is inversely proportional to ATP concentration and is therefore thought to be related to ATP binding, whereas the dwell time before the 30° substep is believed to be coupled to product release and/or chemical catalysis (8, 16–19).

A number of proposals have been put forward to develop semiquantitative models for the hydrolysis and synthesis cycle of F1-ATPase (see, for example, refs. 20 and 21). However, none of them included a full treatment of the binding change mechanism. In particular, they do not connect the different conformation of the  $\beta$ -subunits found in the crystal structures (e.g., ref. 5) with the different binding affinities measured in solution (22). The Ab structure has the  $\beta$ -subunits in three different conformations, called  $\beta_{TP}$  (AMP-PNP bound),  $\beta_{DP}$  (ADP bound), and  $\beta_E$ (empty). Subunits  $\beta_{\text{TP}}$  and  $\beta_{\text{DP}}$  have very similar "closed" active-site conformations, whereas the empty  $\beta_{\rm E}$ -subunit is much more open. Given the ATP affinities of  $F_1$ -ATPase measured in solution (<0.2 nM,  $\approx$ 2  $\mu$ M, and  $\approx$ 25  $\mu$ M) (9, 23, 24), identification of the lowest-affinity conformation as the open  $\beta_E$  site is generally accepted, but it was not clear until recently which of the two,  $\beta_{TP}$  and  $\beta_{DP}$ , is the strong binding site for ATP. Free energy simulations, combined with solution measurements, led to identification of the  $\beta_{\text{TP}}$  site in the crystal structures as the strong binding site for ATP and the  $\beta_{\text{DP}}$  site as the weaker binding site (25). This simulation result has been confirmed recently by fluorescence resonance energy transfer measurements (26). Based on these results, an  $E_1E_2$ -type model was developed (4), to describe the role of the individual  $\beta$  conformations in ATP synthesis and hydrolysis by  $F_1$ -ATPase (4). The model provides a quantitative reaction scheme and a chemical kinetic description, in agreement with the published experimental thermodynamic and kinetic data. It is in accord with the most complete single-molecule analysis published recently (8), although the latter does not consider the relation of the  $\beta$ -subunit conformations of  $F_1$ -ATPase to the measurement.

What is still missing is a knowledge of the atomic mechanism by which the coupling between the structural changes of  $\alpha_3\beta_3$ globular moiety and the  $\gamma$ -shaft leads to energy transfer between

Author contributions: J.P. and M.K. designed research; J.P. performed research; J.P. and M.K. analyzed data; and J.P. and M.K. wrote the paper.

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

This article is a PNAS Direct Submission.

<sup>‡</sup>To whom correspondence should be addressed. E-mail: marci@tammy.harvard.edu.

This article contains supporting information online at [www.pnas.org/cgi/content/full/](http://www.pnas.org/cgi/content/full/0708746105/DC1) [0708746105/DC1.](http://www.pnas.org/cgi/content/full/0708746105/DC1)

<sup>© 2008</sup> by The National Academy of Sciences of the USA



Fig. 1. Hydrolysis cycle of F<sub>1</sub>-ATPase. (a) Molecular representation of the mitochondrial F<sub>1</sub>-ATPase ( $\alpha_3\beta_3\gamma\delta$ ɛ). (b) The proposed cycle of the tri-site ATP hydrolysis mechanism. The cycle starts from an ATP waiting state, with one  $\beta$ -subunit fully open ( $\beta_F$ ), the second  $\beta$ -subunit half-open ( $\beta_{HO}$ ), and the third  $\beta$ -subunit closed  $(\beta_{TP})$ ;  $\beta_{TP}$  contains the ATP bound in the previous cycle. The calculated 85° rotation is caused by the ATP binding to  $\beta_{E}$  and a cooperative opening of the  $\beta_{HO}$ -subunit to adopt a ''half-closed'' (HC) conformation in preparation for product release. The subsequent calculated 35° rotation is related to hydrolysis product release from the  $\beta_{HC}$ -subunit and a concomitant expansion of the  $\beta_{DP}$  binding pocket to form  $\beta_{HO}$ .

them; i.e., how the  $\gamma$ -subunit rotation leads to the conformational changes in the  $\beta$ -subunits required for ATP synthesis, and conversely how the changes in the  $\beta$ -subunit conformations due to their occupations lead to  $\gamma$ -rotation in the opposite direction during the hydrolysis cycle. Molecular dynamics simulations have been done with an all-atom description of  $F_1$ -ATPase in which a torque (27) or a biasing force (28) was applied to rotate the  $\gamma$ -subunit in the synthesis direction, starting with the Ab structure. In addition, a targeted molecular dynamics (TMD) was performed, in which a guiding force was applied to all heavy atoms (28); the full conformational transition of the  $\alpha_3\beta_3$ subunits that accompanies the 120 $^{\circ}$  rotation of the  $\gamma$ -subunit was obtained from a trajectory of 500 ps. The change in the van der Waals repulsions and electrostatic attractions of the  $\beta$ -subunits with the  $\gamma$ -subunit, as a function of its rotation, provided an approximate description of the mechanism. One interesting observation was that the motion of the  $\alpha_3\beta_3$ -subunits is guided by an ionic track on the globular part of the  $\gamma$ -subunit (28); the importance of this ionic track in thermophilic *Bacillus* PS3 F<sub>1</sub> has been verified by mutation experiments (29).

In contrast to the simulation analysis of the subunit coupling in ATP synthesis by  $F_1$ -ATPase, much less has been done for hydrolysis. A major problem is that, unlike synthesis, where applying a torque to the  $\gamma$ -subunit in the synthesis direction mimics what actually happens, albeit on a much shorter time scale, it is more difficult to develop a meaningful structural model to simulate hydrolysis. To follow the full rotational cycle of the  $\gamma$ -subunit induced by the conformational changes in the  $\alpha_3\beta_3$ -crown, we here develop a coarse-grained model of F<sub>1</sub>-ATPase in which the  $\alpha_3\beta_3$ -crown and the  $\gamma$ -stalk are represented by separate plastic network models (PNMs) (30), and they are coupled by a repulsive van der Waals-type interaction. The PNM represents each entity ( $\alpha_3\beta_3$ -crown in a given conformation,  $\gamma$ -stalk) by an elastic network (EN), whose energy minimum corresponds to the known crystal structure. TMD (31) is applied to the  $\alpha_3\beta_3$ -crown to gradually transform the conformation of the catalytic  $\beta$ -subunits (and their neighboring  $\alpha$ -subunits) from the EN representing one structure to another, and the response of the  $\gamma$ -stalk is monitored. We were encouraged in this work by the publication of Koga and Takada (32) (KT) who used a simpler coarse-grained model that apparently supported a bi-site mechanism, in disagreement with experiment (3) (see *Concluding Discussion*).

The simulations with the PNM model produce essentially unidirectional rotation of the  $\gamma$ -stalk in the hydrolysis direction with 85°/35° rotational substeps. The structures of the  $\alpha_3\beta_3$ crown used in the model correspond to subunit occupations in agreement with a tri-site mechanism (see Fig. 1). A detailed analysis of the origin of the torque generation provides information concerning the essential residues involved in the 85° and 35° substep rotations; comparison with mutation studies are presented. Thus, the calculations reported here show not only how the in/out movement of the  $\beta$ -subunits causes the  $\gamma$ -subunit to rotate, but they also yield results in agreement with the most detailed single-molecule experiments (8) and the structurebased mechanism of Gao *et al.* (4).

#### **Structures and Their Transitions on the Hydrolysis Pathway**

The simulations use two high-resolution x-ray structures to construct the plastic network. The first (13) (referred to as Ka) has the  $\beta$ -subunits in the conformations  $\beta$ <sub>E</sub> (empty),  $\beta$ <sub>HO</sub> (AMP-PNP), and  $\beta_{TP}$  (AMP-PNP), and the second (33) (referred to as Me) has them in the conformations  $\beta_{\text{TP}}$  (ADP/ AlF<sub>4</sub>),  $\beta_{HC}$  (ADP/SO<sub>4</sub><sup>2</sup>), and  $\beta_{DP}$  (ADP/AlF<sub>4</sub>); we introduce the term  $\beta_{HO}$  to indicate the somewhat more open conformation in the Ka structure, relative to the "closed"  $\beta_{\text{DP}}$  conformation in Abrahams *et al.* (5). The internal structure of the  $\gamma$ -subunit is assumed not to change significantly during the transition, in accord with experiment [see [supporting information \(SI\)](http://www.pnas.org/cgi/content/full/0708746105/DC1) *Text* (*Internal Structural Difference of the -Subunit in the Ka and Me Structures*) and [SI Fig. 5\]](http://www.pnas.org/cgi/content/full/0708746105/DC1), and is described by an elastic network based on the Ka structure. The simulations start with a PNM having the Ka  $\alpha_3\beta_3$ -crown as the initial state and the Me  $\alpha_3\beta_3$ -crown as the final state; i.e., during the transition, we apply the TMD method to the plastic networks of the  $\alpha_3\beta_3$ -crown, so that  $\beta_E$  goes to  $\beta_{TP}$  (representing ATP binding),  $\beta_{HO}$  goes to  $\beta_{HC}$ , and  $\beta_{TP}$  changes to  $\beta_{DP}$ . The second step of the cycle involves the same PNM model, except that the initial and final states are interchanged. For each step, the initial orientation of the  $\gamma$ -subunit is essentially that observed in the crystal structure. A schematic representation of the nucleotide occupancy and  $\beta$ -subunit conformational transitions for the rotation cycle during the simulation is shown in Fig. 1*b*. No guiding force is applied to the  $\gamma$ -subunit, and the only interaction between the two moieties ( $\alpha_3\beta_3$ -crown and  $\gamma$ -shaft) arises through a repulsive term between their  $C^{\alpha}$  atoms. As the  $\alpha_3\beta_3$ -crown goes through the conformational cycle, the  $\gamma$ -subunit responds to the repulsive term, which introduces a torque leading to the rotation. Details concerning the choice of structures and the simulation methodology are given in *Materials and Methods*.

## **Simulation Results: An 85°/35° Substep Rotation Cycle**

To test the stability of the simulation systems, equilibrium simulations were done ( $\approx$ 1 ns in length) with the PNM network corresponding to the Ka  $\alpha_3\beta_3$ -crown structure and the elastic model for the  $\gamma$ -subunit in the corresponding crystal orientation; the  $\alpha_3\beta_3/\gamma$  repulsive interactions were present. The  $\gamma$ -stalk rotate angle is stabilized at  $-2^{\circ}$  relative to the crystal structure. A series of 10 TMD simulations at constant temperature were then performed for the two parts of the rotation path with restraints



Fig. 2. Rotational angles of the  $\gamma$ -stalk during the molecular dynamics simulations. The system is first equilibrated for 1 ns with an elastic network representation of the Ka structure, then the crown conformation is gradually transformed to that of the Me structure by a TMD simulation (220 ps). The first transition yields an 85 $^{\circ}$  y-rotation. After a 600-ps further equilibration with the Me crown, the  $\alpha_3\beta_3$  subcomplex is gradually transformed back to the Ka structure over a period of 130 ps by a TMD simulation. The second transition introduces a 35°  $\gamma$ -rotation. The system was then equilibrated for another 1 ns until a plateau of the  $\gamma$ -rotational angle was obtained. Results for 10 independent simulations are plotted; they superpose so well that they cannot be distinguished. See also *[SI Text](http://www.pnas.org/cgi/content/full/0708746105/DC1)* (*Possible Additional Substeps*).

on the system that mimic the single-molecule experiments (15) (see *Materials and Methods*). The TMD guiding force was chosen such that the conformational changes of the  $\alpha_3\beta_3$ -crown between the end states took place in 130–220 ps. Test simulations showed that the relatively gradual conformational change modeled by TMD is necessary to achieve stable results; rapid switching of the conformations sometimes caused clashes and failed to generate unidirectional  $\gamma$ -rotation. After these TMD simulations (i.e., after the crown structure had made the transition), the system was simulated for  $\approx$  1 ns with the restricted perturbation targeted molecular dynamics (RP-TMD) method (34) applied to the  $\alpha_3\beta_3$ -crown to restrain it to the end structure and allow the  $\gamma$ -stalk orientation to reach a stable value.

The rotation angles [see *[SI Text](http://www.pnas.org/cgi/content/full/0708746105/DC1)* (*Definition of the Rotation Angle*) for how the rotation angle was defined] of the  $\gamma$ -subunit as a function of time during the Ka to Me and the Me to Ka simulations are shown in Fig. 2. As can be seen, the 10 independent trajectories for each transition show essentially the same behavior. Quantitatively, the substep rotation angles obtained from our simulations are 85°/35°; i.e., they are intermediate between the published experimental estimates of 90°/30° (16) and 80°/40° (17). The TMD simulation that transforms the  $(\beta_{\text{E}})$ ,  $\beta_{\text{HO}}$ ,  $\beta_{\text{TP}}$ )-crown to the ( $\beta_{\text{TP}}$ ,  $\beta_{\text{HC}}$ ,  $\beta_{\text{DP}}$ )-crown results in the 85° substep rotation of the  $\gamma$ -subunit. During this transition,  $\beta_E$ closes to form  $\beta_{\text{TP}}$  as a consequence of binding ATP, and  $\beta_{\text{TP}}$ changes to  $\beta_{\text{DP}}$  as a result of ATP hydrolysis. This rotation orients the " $\gamma$ -bulge" (28) (see Fig. 4) to directly face the  $\beta_{\text{HO}}$ -subunit and facilitates its opening to form  $\beta_{\text{HC}}$  (the preproduct release conformation). The second TMD simulation transforms the ( $\beta_{HC}$ ,  $\beta_{DP}$ ,  $\beta_{TP}$ )-crown to the ( $\beta_E$ ,  $\beta_{HO}$ ,  $\beta_{TP}$ )crown and yields a further  $35^{\circ}$  rotation of the  $\gamma$ -subunit. The two catalytic  $\beta$ -subunits with significant conformational changes in this step are  $\beta_{HC}$ , which goes to  $\beta_{E}$ , and  $\beta_{DP}$ , which goes to  $\beta_{HO}$ (a superposition of these subunits is shown in [SI Fig. 6\)](http://www.pnas.org/cgi/content/full/0708746105/DC1), whereas the  $\beta_{TP}$ -subunit (with ATP bound) changes very little. The opening of the  $\beta_{HC}$ -subunit to form  $\beta_E$  is associated with the release of the hydrolysis product. The conformational change of the  $\beta_{\text{DP}}$ -subunit from the closed to the half-open ( $\beta_{\text{HO}}$ ) conformation is likely to be due to the electrostatic repulsion between the newly formed ADP and Pi (35); i.e., a more open  $\alpha/\beta$ interface allows ADP and Pi to separate from each other, thus lowering the Coulomb repulsion between the two negatively charged species. The synergistic effect of the conformation changes of the two  $\beta$ -subunits ( $\beta_{HC}$  to  $\beta_{E}$  and  $\beta_{DP}$  to  $\beta_{HO}$ ) causes the  $F_1$ -ATPase central cavity of the crown surrounding the  $\gamma$ -stalk to expand. This permits the torque on the  $\gamma$ -stalk to rotate it by 35°. The two-step TMD simulations result in an overall  $\gamma$ -stalk rotation of 120 $\degree$  in the hydrolysis direction. (See [SI Movies 1 and 2](http://www.pnas.org/cgi/content/full/0708746105/DC1) for a representative TMD trajectory.) This completes one cycle of ATP binding, hydrolysis, and product release with  $F_1$ -ATPase having the same structure as it did initially, but the conformations of the crown subunits (see Fig. 2) interchanged, as if the crown had rotated by 120°. The results of using the Ab crown structure as the starting state for ATP binding are described in [SI Fig. 7](http://www.pnas.org/cgi/content/full/0708746105/DC1) and *[SI Text](http://www.pnas.org/cgi/content/full/0708746105/DC1)* (*Control Simulations Using Ab as the Starting Crown Structure*).

# **Simulation Analysis: A Tri-Site Mechanism**

The simulation results shown in Fig. 2 yield a cooperative model in which the rotational substeps during the ATP hydrolysis cycle of F1-ATPase are reproduced. There has been considerable discussion in the literature concerning whether the hydrolysis corresponds to a bi-site mechanism (i.e., one or two  $\beta$ -subunits are occupied by nucleotide during the cycle) (11) or a tri-site mechanism (i.e., two or three  $\beta$ -subunits are occupied by nucleotide during the cycle) (3, 4). Because the nucleotides are included only implicitly in the model, we determine the occupations of each of the  $\beta$ -subunit conformations based on the crystal structures and the previously proposed model for ATP hydrolysis (4). As shown in Fig. 1*b*, the cycle begins with the  $(\beta_{E},$  $\beta_{\text{HO}}$ ,  $\beta_{\text{TP}}$ )-crown (state I), which is associated with the dwell intermediate before the  $85^{\circ}$  rotation. In state I, two  $\beta$  sites are occupied by nucleotides:  $\beta_{HO}$  contains the hydrolysis product ADP+Pi, and  $\beta_{TP}$  contains the ATP bound during the previous hydrolysis cycle; the third site,  $\beta_{\text{E}}$ , is empty before binding of ATP. The 85 $\degree$  rotation of the  $\gamma$ -subunit is driven by binding an ATP to the  $\beta_{\text{E}}$ -subunit of state I and ends up in state II (see above). The resulting conformation (state II) is an intermediate state, with a dwell time involving product release. In state II, all three  $\beta$  sites are occupied by nucleotides, with  $\beta_{HC}$  containing ADP+Pi before product release,  $\beta_{TP}$  being occupied by the newly bound ATP, and  $\beta_{DP}$  containing the ADP+Pi produced by hydrolysis. The  $\gamma$ -rotation by an additional 35° is induced from state II as a consequence of product release from  $\beta_{HC}$ , which restores the system to the ATP waiting mode (state I) (labeled as I' in Fig. 1b to denote state I after the 120° rotation of the  $\gamma$ -subunit). In the transition, subunit  $\beta_{HC}$  is fully opened to form  $\beta$ <sub>E</sub> after product release, and the  $\beta_{\text{DP}}$ -subunit evolves to a "half-open" conformation  $(\beta_{HO})$  with ADP and Pi bound. The  $\beta_{\text{TP}}$ -subunit, which binds ATP, is essentially unchanged.

The current model thus not only results in the experimentally observed 85°/35° substep rotation but also explains the ATP concentration dependence of the different dwell times. Singlemolecule experiments have demonstrated that the duration of the dwell before the 85° rotation depends on ATP concentration, whereas the dwell before the 35° rotation does not (16). Analysis of kinetic data suggests that the latter dwell involves two kinetic processes, potentially chemical catalysis and/or ADP/Pi release (17, 19). The model presented here agrees with these experimental observations, because ATP binding drives the  $85^{\circ}$   $\gamma$ -rotation and so the dwell time is expected to be concentrationdependent. The  $35^\circ$   $\gamma$ -rotation is triggered by ADP and/or Pi release from the  $\beta_{HC}$ -subunit, after hydrolysis, and so is independent of ATP concentration. Importantly, because the nucleotide occupation of  $F_1$ -ATPase alternates between 2 (states I



**Fig. 3.** Torque generation. (a) Residues involved in the  $\gamma$ -subunit, shown as colored spheres. (b) Torque distribution over the residues (residue number on the *y* axis) of the  $\gamma$ -stalk. Torque profiles during the 85° (*Left*) and 35° (*Right*) substep rotations, respectively (see text). To focus on the mechanical coupling mechanism, only the internetwork repulsion (Eq. **2**) is included in determining the torque; torques that act in the opposite direction are not shown. The productive torque is scaled to lie between 0 and 1 on each map; the maximum torque on each map is scaled to 1. The torque  $(\tau)$  is then colored based on a relative scale,  $\tau$   $<$  0.1 (black), 0.1  $\leq$   $\tau$   $<$  0.2 (green), 0.2  $\leq$   $\tau$   $<$  0.3 (yellow), and  $\tau$   $\geq$  0.3 (red). Residue numbers (bMF $_{1}$  numbering is used, and the corresponding yMF $_{1}$  number is given below in parenthesis) of four torque generation "hot spot" clusters on the  $\gamma$ -stalk are labeled by using the same color scheme for identifying residues in a:  $\gamma$ :20–25 (20–25) (red),  $\gamma$ :75–79 (81–85) (dark blue),  $\gamma$ :232–238 (237–243) (green), and  $\gamma$ :252–258 (257–263) (cyan). A region on the  $\beta$ -subunit that is tightly coupled to the torque generation, in particular  $\beta_E$ :390-391 (390-391), is shown as yellow spheres in a. The torque generation for the 85° substep rotation displays a relaying pattern, where the first set of torques is generated primarily on the N-terminal helix of the coiled-coil (y:20-25) and the second set of torques is generated on the C-terminal helix (y.232-238). The illustrations were made with the program VMD.

and I') and 3 (state II), the proposed model is in accord with a tri-site mechanism (3).

It is useful to compare the present model with that proposed by Gao *et al.* (4); the latter is essentially the same as the model very recently proposed by Adachi *et al.* (8) in their figure 1c, without reference to Gao *et al.* Comparing Fig. 1*b* of the present article with figure 4 of Gao *et al.*, we see that the former is essentially an abbreviated version of the latter. Fig. 1*b* includes only the rotation steps and does not show the ATP binding and ADP, Pi product release steps separately; in Gao *et al.*, these were included explicitly because the model was used to develop a chemical kinetic description based on the rate constants for the individual steps. Thus, the cycle in Fig. 1*b* combines  $E_1$  and  $E_{1T}$ (in state I and I') and  $E_{2DP}$ ,  $E_{2P}$ , and  $E_2$  (in state II) of figure 4 of Gao *et al.* The one difference between the two cycles is that the present article includes a new conformation for the  $\beta$ -subunits. This is the  $\beta_{HO}$  conformation, which was observed in the Ka crystal structure (13) and replaces the  $\beta_{\rm DP}$  conformation of Ab crystal structure (5); the latter is now believed to be an azide-inhibited conformation (12). If the present model is correct, there are five conformations of the  $\beta$ -subunit, rather than four, that play a role in the  $F_1$ -ATPase cycle. One consequence is that the kinetic analysis of the solution measurements is somewhat more complex than thought previously. However, given the structures of the conformations, the relative affinity for ATP, H<sub>2</sub>O vs. ADP, Pi of  $\beta_{HO}$  should be in-between those of  $\beta_{DP}$ and  $\beta_{HC}$  used in the analysis of Gao *et al.*; i.e., it is expected to be strongly biased toward ADP, Pi, in contrast to  $\beta_{TP}$ , which has nearly equal free energy for bound ATP, H<sub>2</sub>O and ADP, Pi (25).

#### **Torque Generation**

Although a simple functional form was used for the potential energy coupling of the  $\alpha_3\beta_3$ -crown and the  $\gamma$ -stalk (see *Materials and Methods*), the unidirectional rotation with 85°/35° steps was produced. This suggests that an analysis of the torque generation mechanism is worthwhile. The torque  $\vec{\tau}$  acting on the stalk during the TMD trajectories describing the  $\alpha_3\beta_3$ -crown conformational change during the 120° cycle is given by

$$
\vec{\tau} = \sum_{i} \vec{\tau}_i = \sum_{i} \vec{r}_i \times \vec{F}_i, \tag{1}
$$

where  $\vec{\tau}_i$ , the torque on the *i*th residue of the  $\gamma$ -stalk (for yMF<sub>1</sub>,  $i = 1 - 276$ , is the cross-product of the position vector  $\vec{r}_i$  and the

force vector  $\vec{F}_i$  acting on residue *i*, coarse-grained to its  $C^{\alpha}$  atom. Only the forces that couple the  $\alpha_3\beta_3$ -crown and the  $\gamma$ -stalk were included in the torque calculation [for details, see *Materials and Methods*, [SI Fig. 8,](http://www.pnas.org/cgi/content/full/0708746105/DC1) and *[SI Text](http://www.pnas.org/cgi/content/full/0708746105/DC1)* (*A Typical Torque Profile During the 85° Rotation* and *Absolute Torque Magnitude in the Simulations*)].

Fig. 3*a* shows the residues involved in the torque generation, whereas Fig. 3*b* shows the distribution of the torque over the  $\gamma$ -stalk residues as a function of time during the conformational transitions that produce the 85° and 35° substeps, respectively (see the legend of Fig.  $3b$ ). Four clusters of  $\gamma$ -residues are identified in Fig. 3*b*; they are the ones primarily responsible for the two stages of the  $85^{\circ}/35^{\circ}$  rotation of the  $\gamma$ -stalk. The parts of the  $\beta_{\rm E}$ -subunit that generate the torque are indicated in Fig. 3*a*; the latter goes from  $\beta_{\rm E}$  to  $\beta_{\rm TP}$  during the 85° rotation and remains in the  $\beta_{\text{TP}}$  conformation during the remaining 35° rotation, which completes the 120° rotation cycle. Structurally, the portion of the  $\gamma$ -subunit that inserts into the  $\alpha_3\beta_3$ -subunits consists of a left-handed coiled-coil, formed by its N-terminal helix (short) and C-terminal helix (long) with the N and C helices antiparallel. Two of the torque-generating clusters are located in the ''neck'' region; i.e., the most convex curved part of the coiled-coil just above the globular base of the  $\gamma$ -subunit where close contacts with the surrounding  $\beta$ -subunits occur. They are  $\gamma$ :20–25 (red) on the N-terminal helix and  $\gamma$ :232–238 (green) on the C-terminal helix. The  $\beta$ <sub>E</sub>-subunit in the neighborhood of  $\beta_{\rm E}$ :I390–L391 (yellow) [these  $\beta_{\rm E}$  residues are "below" the DEL-SEED motif (residue 394–400)] interacts strongly with both clusters during its conformational change due to ATP binding. The third cluster,  $\gamma$ :252–258 (cyan), is located on the upper part of the C-terminal  $\gamma$  helix, making "catch" interactions with a  $\beta_{\rm E}$ -subunit loop via salt bridges ( $\beta_{\rm E}$ :D316/ $\gamma$ :R254 and  $\beta_{\rm E}$ :D319/  $\gamma$ :R254) or hydrogen bonds ( $\beta$ <sub>E</sub>:T318/ $\gamma$ :Q255 and  $\beta$ <sub>E</sub>:D316/  $\gamma$ :Q255) (5). Disruption of the  $\beta/\gamma$  interactions in the latter region has been shown to yield attenuated activity in both synthesis and hydrolysis (36). The last torque-generation cluster (dark blue) is located at  $\gamma$ :75–79. These residues form important  $\beta/\gamma$  interactions, as seen in crystal structures (5), and their role is confirmed by mutagenesis analysis [e.g., the  $\gamma$ :L77K mutant of a Thermophilic bacterial  $F_1$  (TF<sub>1</sub>) only displays 15% hydrolysis activity compared with the wild type (37); see also *[SI Text](http://www.pnas.org/cgi/content/full/0708746105/DC1)* (*Description of Mutation Studies*)].

The torque contributions from each set of  $\gamma$ -subunit residues are plotted in Fig. 3*b* as a function of the simulation time of the



**Fig. 4.** Representative snapshot of the torque generation configuration during the 85° substep rotation (see Fig. 3) in the neighborhood of 20°. Only the  $\beta$ <sub>E</sub>-subunit (yellow ribbon) and  $\gamma$ -subunit (gray spheres) are shown. The  $\beta/\gamma$ mechanical coupling interaction ( $\beta$ <sub>E</sub>:I390–L391 and  $\gamma$ :20–25) identified from the simulation is highlighted by spheres ( $\beta$ , yellow;  $\gamma$ , red). The initial conformation of the  $\beta_F$ -subunit (fully open, before ATP binding) is shown as a cyan ribbon. During the ATP binding, the helix–turn–helix-motif domain of the  $\beta$ <sub>E</sub>-subunit swings up by  $\approx$ 30° (represented by a dotted black arrow). This conformational change results in a close contact between  $\beta$ <sub>E</sub>:I390-L391 and the N-terminal helix of the  $\gamma$ -coiled-coil at  $\gamma$ :20–25 (most likely through hydrophobic interactions). The  $\beta$ <sub>E</sub> movement yields an off-axis force that pushes the N-terminal helix of the  $\gamma$ -stalk in a direction into the plane of the paper (indicated by the red  $\otimes$ ). This results in a unidirectional  $\gamma$ -stalk rotation (indicated by the solid black arrow around the green rotation axis). The illustrations were made with the program PyMOL.

 $\alpha_3\beta_3$  conformational transition; relative units are used (see the legend of Fig. 3*b*). For the 85° rotation driven by the  $\beta_{\rm E}$ conformational change induced by ATP binding, the torque on the  $\gamma$ -stalk is generated in two successive steps. In the initial stage of the crown transition, there is essentially no torque on the  $\gamma$ -stalk because the  $\beta_{E}$ - and  $\gamma$ -subunits are not in contact, and no rotation occurs. Once the two subunits come into van der Waals contact, a significant torque acts on  $\gamma$ :20–25, which induces the rotation to  $\approx 40^{\circ}$ . The initial  $\beta_E$  closure brings the turn region (in particular  $\beta$ :I390–L391) of the helix–turn–helix motif region into contact with the N-terminal  $\gamma$  helix at  $\gamma$ :20–25. The inward motion of the  $\beta_E$  helix–turn–helix motif pushes the N-terminal helix in a direction approximately perpendicular to the  $\gamma$ -rota-tional axis [see Fig. 4, [SI Fig. 9,](http://www.pnas.org/cgi/content/full/0708746105/DC1) [SI Text](http://www.pnas.org/cgi/content/full/0708746105/DC1) (Distances of  $\beta_E: L391$ - $\gamma$ :M25 and  $\beta$ <sub>E</sub>:L391- $\gamma$ :K237 During the 85° Rotation) and [SI](http://www.pnas.org/cgi/content/full/0708746105/DC1) [Movie 3\]](http://www.pnas.org/cgi/content/full/0708746105/DC1). There is also a smaller contribution from  $\beta_E$  interacting with  $\gamma$ :252–258 that complements the  $\gamma$ :20–25 contacts. The off-center arrangement of the coupling interface leads to the unidirectional rotation of the  $\gamma$ -stalk. After the  $\gamma$ -stalk rotates by  $\approx 40^{\circ}$  relative to the ATP waiting state (Ka-like structure), the torque on the  $\gamma$ :20–25 cluster is reduced because the original contact is no longer present. The second set of torques takes over, as indicated by torque signal on the Cterminal helix  $\gamma$ :232–238. The C-terminal helix has been rotated to a position that forms a new  $\beta/\gamma$  van der Waals interface between  $\beta$ <sub>E</sub>:I390, L391 and the cluster located at  $\gamma$ :232–238, particularly with residue  $\gamma$ :S236 and the bulky residue  $\gamma$ :K237. The further inward movement of  $\beta$ <sub>E</sub> results in a torque that activates the rest of the 85° rotation. This region contains residues M232, N234, and N238 that are conserved in the  $F_1$ family [see *[SI Text](http://www.pnas.org/cgi/content/full/0708746105/DC1)* (*Sequence Alignment of the*  $\beta/\gamma$  *Coupling* 

*Interface in the*  $F_1$ *-ATPase Family*) for a sequence alignment]. There appears to be little torque on the  $\gamma$ -stalk during the late stage of the 85° rotation (between 75° and 85°). This suggests that the completion of the 85° rotation is due to ''release'' of the  $\gamma$ -subunit when the  $\beta_{HO}$ -subunit changes to the more open  $\beta_{HC}$ conformation.

The analysis shows a significant torque acting on  $\gamma$ :232–238 due to  $\beta_{E}$ :390–391 during much of the 35° rotation. In addition,  $\gamma$ :252–258, coupled to the catch loop at  $\beta$ <sub>E</sub>:316–319, and  $\gamma$ :75–79 are involved in the latter part of the 35° rotation. The notable torque generated on the cluster  $\gamma$ :75–79 arises from a close contact formed with the helix–turn–helix-motif region of the  $\beta_{\text{HO}}$ -subunit after the 85° rotation (now  $\beta_{\text{HC}}$ ; see Fig. 1*b*).

Cross-linking (38) and deletion (39, 40) experiments have shown that the C-terminal helix of the  $\gamma$  tip [up to 12 residues (41); i.e., residues  $276-287$  of *Escherichia coli*  $F_1$ : $\gamma$  is not required for torque generation. This leads to the question as to whether this tip is mobile, forming a "swivel" joint, or relatively fixed (40). The crystal structure suggests its role as a rotational pivot surrounded by a proline-rich hydrophobic bearing (5). Nonnegligible torque is found on the C-terminal  $\gamma$  tip in our simulations, which may be the cause of the partial unwinding suggested from the full  $\gamma$ -rotation observed for the F<sub>1</sub> mutant with a crown cross-linked with the stalk at the  $\gamma$  top; i.e., between  $\gamma$ :A285C and  $\alpha$ :P280C (38).

#### **Concluding Discussion**

We have used a coarse-grained model of  $F_1$ -ATPase to show how the conformational changes of the catalytic  $\beta$ -subunits, particularly the in/out motions of the helix–turn–helix motif, induced by binding of ATP and product release, produce a torque that leads to the rotation of the  $\gamma$ -subunit. A plastic network model (PNM) was used in the simulations to produce the  $\alpha_3\beta_3$ -crown conformational changes based on available crystal structures. Coupled to the  $\gamma$ -stalk by repulsive interactions, they generated experimentally observed  $85^{\circ}/35^{\circ}$  rotational substeps of the  $\gamma$ -subunit in the 120° rotational cycle; three such cycles lead to the full 360° rotation. Interpretation of the results showed that the cycle corresponds to a tri-site mechanism in accord with experiments (3, 4, 8). This differs from KT (32), who concluded that their results supported a bi-site model. The coarse-grained models are significantly different (e.g., KT used a sudden "switching  $G\bar{o}$ " model, whereas we used the more gentle TMD-induced conformational changes based on a PNM), but the most important difference appears to be in the structures of the  $\alpha_3\beta_3$ -crown. KT started with a conformation for  $\beta_{\text{DP}}$  that is now known to correspond to an azide-inhibited state, which is likely to be off-pathway; and they constructed a hypothetical intermediate crown structure that contains both  $\beta_{\rm E}$  and  $\beta_{\rm HC}$  to obtain the 30° rotation. Our model replaced this starting crown structure with the Ka structure determined in the absence of azide (13), and the intermediate crown structure we used is based on the Me crystal structure (33) (see *Comparison with KT "Tri-Site" Simulation* in *[SI Text](http://www.pnas.org/cgi/content/full/0708746105/DC1)*).

The present simulations demonstrated how a tri-site mechanism that is consistent with kinetics data (4) explains the generation of the 85°/35° rotational substeps. The torque analysis indicated that it is the hydrophobic side-chain  $(\beta_{\rm E}$ :I390–L391) region, rather than the DELSEED motif region, that is responsible for coupling the inward motion of  $\beta_E$  to the rotation of the  $\gamma$ -stalk.

Introduction of a coarse-grained model has made possible simulations of the full rotation cycle of  $F_1$ -ATPase. Although such a model is a simplified representation of the molecule, it is sufficiently detailed to provided new insights into how F1-ATPase works (particularly the nature of the induced rotation mechanism at a residue level), as a complement to the experimental data. The present approach is being used to guide simulations of this rotary motor with an all-atom force field (unpublished work) and can serve as a model for coarse-grained simulations of other molecular motors.

### **Materials and Methods**

**Simulation Methodology.** The coarse-grained model was constructed based on available crystal structures (see below), with each amino acid residue represented by its C $^{\alpha}$  atom; the actual residue mass used in the model was based on the Ka yMF<sub>1</sub> sequence. Only the  $\alpha_3\beta_3\gamma$  subcomplex of F<sub>1</sub> was included in the model, in accord with many of the single-molecule experiments (8), and nucleotides were not explicitly represented. The positions of the  $C^{\alpha}$  atoms in the crystal structures were used to define the nodes in the PNM connecting the Ka and Me conformations; i.e., two separate plastic network models (30) were constructed for the F<sub>1</sub> crown (subunits  $\alpha_3\beta_3$ ) and the central stalk ( $\gamma$ -subunit). For a given protein conformation, atoms in the same network were connected to their neighboring atoms within a cutoff distance by a harmonic potential, and there was no connection between atoms that belong to different networks. Details of the model are given in *[SI Text](http://www.pnas.org/cgi/content/full/0708746105/DC1)* (under *Details of the Plastic Network Model (PNM) Used in the Simulations*). The coupling term between the crown and stalk network was represented by a repulsive van der Waalstype interaction. We used the functional form (32)

$$
E_{\text{coupling}} = \varepsilon_{\text{coup}} \sum_{ab} \left(\frac{D}{r_{ab}}\right)^{12} \tag{2}
$$

with  $a \in \text{crown}$  and  $b \in \gamma$ ,

where  $\varepsilon_{\text{coup}} = 0.36$  kcal/mol and  $D = 6$  Å. The total potential energy for the system corresponds to the sum of the PNM energies of the crown (*E*<sup>crown</sup>) and  $\gamma$ -stalk ( $E^{\gamma}$ ) plus their interaction energy:

$$
E = E^{\text{crown}} + E^{\gamma} + E_{\text{coupling}}.
$$
 [3]

**Crystal Structures Used to Define the PNMs.** Because the original 2.8-Å bovine mitochondrial F1-ATPase structure [Protein Data Bank (PDB) entry 1BMF] (5) (referred to as Ab), which was used in most previous simulations (27, 28, 32), has been shown to be an azide-inhibited state, we did not use it in the present study. In a newly reported structural study of yeast mitochondrial  $F_1$  (yMF<sub>1</sub>) (PDB entry 2HLD) (13), an ATP analog (AMP-PNP) rather than an ADP/Mg<sup>2+</sup> is

- 1. Boyer PD (1997) *Annu Rev Biochem* 66:717–749.
- 2. Junge W, Lill H, Engelbrecht S (1997) *Trends Biochem Sci* 22:420–423.
- 3. Senior AE, Nadanaciva S, Weber J (2002) *Biochim Biophys Acta Bioenerg* 1553:188–211.
- 4. Gao Y, Yang W, Karplus M (2005) *Cell* 123:195–205.
- 5. Abrahams JP, Leslie AGW, Lutter R, Walker JE (1994) *Nature* 370:621–628. 6. Gibbons C, Montgomery MG, Leslie AGW, Walker JE (2000) *Nat Struct Biol* 7:1055–
- 1061. 7. Itoh H, Takahashi A, Adachi K, Noji H, Yasuda R, Yoshida M, Kinosita K, Jr (2004) *Nature*
- 427:465–468. 8. Adachi K, Oiwa K, Nishizaka T, Furuike S, Noji H, Itoh H, Yoshida M, Kinosita K, Jr (2007)
- *Cell* 130:309–321.
- 9. Gao YQ, Yang W, Marcus RA, Karplus M (2003) *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 100:11339– 11344.
- 10. Boyer PD (1979) in *Membrane Bioenergetics*, eds Lee CP, Schatz G, Ernster L (Addison– Wesley, Reading, MA), pp 461–479.
- 11. Boyer PD (1993) *Biochim Biophys Acta Bioenerg* 1140:215–250.
- 12. Bowler MW, Montgomery MG, Leslie AGW, Walker JE (2006) *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 103:8646–8649.
- 13. Kabaleeswaran V, Puri N, Walker JE, Leslie AGW, Mueller DM (2006) *EMBO J* 25:5433– 5442.
- 14. Bowler MW, Montgomery MG, Leslie AGW, Walker JE (2007) *J Biol Chem* 282:14238– 14242.
- 15. Noji H, Yasuda R, Yoshida M, Kinosita K, Jr (1997) *Nature* 386:299–302.
- 16. Yasuda R, Noji H, Yoshida M, Kinosita K, Jr, Itoh H (2001) *Nature* 140:898–904.
- 17. Shimabukuro K, Yasuda R, Muneyuki E, Hara KY, Kinosita K, Jr, Yoshida M (2003) *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 100:14731–14736.
- 18. Nishizaka T, Oiwa K, Noji H, Kimura S, Muneyuki E, Yushida M, Kinosita K, Jr (2004) *Nat Struct Mol Biol* 11:142–148.
- 19. Ariga T, Muneyuki E, Yoshida M (2007) *Nat Struct Mol Biol* 14:841–846.
- 20. Wang H, Oster G (1998) *Nature* 396:279–282.
- 21. Liu MS, Todd BD, Sadus RJ (2003) *J Chem Phys* 118:9890–9898.

bound to the  $\beta_{\text{DP}}$ -subunit, so that it cannot be ADP inhibited and is likely to be an intermediate state on the rotational pathway. The yMF<sub>1</sub> structure was used and is denoted by Ka. It has an empty site and a novel  $\alpha_{\mathsf{DP}}/\beta_{\mathsf{DP}}$  conformation with AMP-PNP bound, in which the nucleotide binding interface is more open than the  $\beta_{DP}$  conformation in Ab [we refer to it as half-open ( $\beta_{HO}$ )]; the third site has AMP-PNP and is in the  $\beta_{TP}$  conformation. The other structure we used was that of Menz *et al.* (PDB entry 1H8E) (33) (referred to as Me). To complete the structures (i.e., account for missing portions of the  $\gamma$ -subunit or missing residues of the crown), modeling was done. For details, see *[SI Text](http://www.pnas.org/cgi/content/full/0708746105/DC1)* (*Missing Residues Modeling*).

In all simulations based on the coarse-grained model (including equilibrations), harmonic restraints were applied to the center of mass (COM) of the N-terminal  $\beta$ -barrel domain (residues 9–84, bMF<sub>1</sub> number) of three  $\beta$ -subunits with respect to their positions in the crystal structure, mimicking the effect of a stator on the periphery stalk (42, 43) present in the physical system and the use of His tags to immobilize the molecule on a glass surface in the singlemolecule experiment (15); similar constraints were applied by Böckmann and Grubmüller (27). Details are given in *[SI Text](http://www.pnas.org/cgi/content/full/0708746105/DC1)* (under *Additional Details on the Restraints Used in the Simulations*).

**MD Simulations.** Constant-temperature molecular dynamics were performed with the CHARMM program (44). The Berendsen thermostat (45) was used to keep *T* at 50 K. The choice of a low simulation temperature was made to achieve stable simulations with the simplified potential. The equations of motion were integrated by using a 10-fs step. Nonbonded interaction pairs between the  $\alpha_3\beta_3$ -crown residues and the  $\gamma$ -subunit residues were generated by using a cutoff distance of 14.0 Å along with a switching function in the region 12.0–13.0 Å to feather the interaction energy to zero; the list was updated heuristically during the dynamics. Additional details are given in *[SI](http://www.pnas.org/cgi/content/full/0708746105/DC1) [Text](http://www.pnas.org/cgi/content/full/0708746105/DC1)* (under *MD Simulation Details*).

**ACKNOWLEDGMENTS.** We thank P. Maragakis for providing a version of the PNM code, which has been implemented in the CHARMM program, and P. Maragakis, A. van der Vaart, A. Golosov, K. Nam, Y. Kong, and W. Yang for discussions. The computations were supported through the Innovative and Novel Computational Impact on Theory and Experiment program at the Oak Ridge National Laboratory. Some of the calculations were made at the National Energy Research Scientific Computing Center and the National Cancer Institute. This work was supported in part by a grant from the National Institutes of Health and by contributions from the CHARMM Development Project.

- 22. Weber J, Wilke-Mounts S, Lee RSF, Grell E, Senior AE (1993) *J Biol Chem* 268:20126– 20133.
- 23. Weber J, Senior AE (1997) *Biochim Biophys Acta Bioenerg* 1319:19–58.
- 24. Grüber G, Capaldi RA (1996) *Biochemistry* 35:3875-3879.
- 25. Yang W, Gao YQ, Cui Q, Ma J, Karplus M (2003) *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 100:874–879.
- 26. Mao HZ, Weber J (2007) *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 104:18478–18483.
- 27. Böckmann RA, Grubmüller H (2002) Nat Struct Biol 9:198-202.
- 28. Ma J, Flynn TC, Cui Q, Leslie AGW, Walker JE, Karplus M (2002) *Structure* 10:921–931.
- 29. Bandyopadhyay S, Allison WS (2004) *Biochemistry* 43:2533–2540.
- 30. Maragakis P, Karplus M (2005) *J Mol Biol* 352:807–822.
- 31. Schlitter J, Engels M, Krüger P (1994) *J Mol Graphics* 12:84–89.
- 32. Koga N, Takada S (2006) *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 103:5367–5372.
- 33. Menz RI, Walker JE, Leslie AGW (2001) *Cell* 106:331–341.
- 34. van der Vaart A, Karplus M (2005) *J Chem Phys* 122:114903.
- 35. Ross J (2006) *J Phys Chem B* 110:6987–6990.
- 36. Greene MD, Frasch WD (2003) *J Biol Chem* 278:51594–51598.
- 37. Bandyopadhyay S, Allison WS (2004) *Biochemistry* 43:9495–9501.
- 38. Gumbiowski K, Cherepanov D, Müller M, Pänke O, Promto P, Winkler S, Junge W, Engelbrecht S (2001) *J Biol Chem* 276:42287–42292.
- 39. Hossain MD, Furuike S, Maki Y, Adachi K, Ali MY, Huq M, Itoh H, Yoshida M, Kinosita K, Jr (2006) *Biophys J* 90:4195–4203.
- 40. Müller M, Gumbiowski K, Cherepanov DA, Winkler S, Junge W, Engelbrecht S, Pänke O (2004) *Eur J Biochem* 271:3914–3922.
- 41. Müller M, Pänke O, Junge W, Engelbrecht S (2002) *J Biol Chem 277:23308-23313.*
- 42. Dickson VK, Silvester JA, Fearnley IM, Leslie AGW, Walker JE (2006) *EMBO J* 25:2911– 2918.
- 43. Walker JE, Dickson VK (2006) *Biochim Biophys Acta Bioenerg* 1757:286–296.
- 44. Brooks BR, Bruccoleri RE, Olafson BD, States DJ, Swaminathan S, Karplus M (1983) *J Comput Chem* 4:187–217.
- 45. Berendsen HJC, Postma JPM, van Gunsteren WF, DiNola A, Haak JR (1984) *J Chem Phys* 81:3684–3690.