Arabidopsis Genes AS1, AS2, and JAG Negatively Regulate Boundary-Specifying Genes to Promote Sepal and Petal Development^{I[W]}

Ben Xu, Ziyu Li, Yan Zhu, Hua Wang, Hong Ma, Aiwu Dong, and Hai Huang*

National Laboratory of Plant Molecular Genetics, Shanghai Institute of Plant Physiology and Ecology, Shanghai Institutes for Biological Sciences, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Shanghai 200032, China (B.X., H.W., H.H.); State Key Laboratory of Genetic Engineering, Institute of Plant Biology, School of Life Sciences, Fudan University, Shanghai 200433, China (Z.L., Y.Z., A.D.); and Department of Biology and the Huck Institute for the Life Sciences, Pennsylvania State University, University Park, Pennsylvania 16802 (H.M.)

Boundary formation is crucial for organ development in multicellular eukaryotes. In higher plants, boundaries that separate the organ primordia from their surroundings have relatively low rates of cell proliferation. This cellular feature is regulated by the actions of certain boundary-specifying genes, whose ectopic expression in organs can cause inhibition of organ growth. Here, we show that the Arabidopsis thaliana ASYMMETRIC LEAVES1 and 2 (AS1 and AS2) and JAGGED (JAG) genes function in the sepal and petal primordia to repress boundary-specifying genes for normal development of the organs. Loss-of-function as1 jag and as2 jag double mutants produced extremely tiny sepals and petals. Analysis of a cell-cycle marker HISTONE4 revealed that cell division in sepal primordia of the double mutant was inhibited. Moreover, these abnormal sepals and petals exhibited ectopic overexpression of the boundary-specifying genes PETAL LOSS (PTL) and CUP-SHAPED COTYLEDONS1 and 2 (CUC1 and CUC2). Loss of PTL or CUC1 and CUC2 functions in the as1 jag background could partially rescue the tiny sepal and petal phenotypes, supporting the model that the tiny sepal/petal phenotypes are caused, at least in part, by ectopic expression of boundary-specifying genes. Together, our data reveal a previously unrecognized fundamental regulation by which AS1, AS2, and JAG act to define sepal and petal from their boundaries.

In higher plants, formation of organ primordia requires creation of boundaries that separate an organ primordium from surrounding tissues. Morphological boundaries between the meristem and organs are termed M-O boundaries, whereas those between adjacent organs are called O-O boundaries (Aida and Tasaka, 2006). It was noted that the rate of cell proliferation was decreased in the boundary tissues (Hussey, 1971), within which DNA synthesis and expression of cell-cycle-related genes both became undetectable (Breuil-Broyer et al., 2004). In Arabidopsis (Arabidopsis thaliana), several genes are known to be involved in boundary specification, including CUP-SHAPED COTYLEDONS1 and 2 (CUC1 and CUC2) for both M-O and O-O boundaries and PETAL LOSS (PTL) and RABBIT EARS (RBE) for O-O boundaries (Aida et al., 1997; Griffith et al., 1999; Takeda et al., 2003; Brewer

et al., 2004; Aida and Tasaka, 2006; Krizek et al., 2006). CUC1, CUC2, and an additional CUC gene, CUC3, encode members in the NAC family of putative transcription factors. Loss-of-function mutations in any two of these three CUC genes resulted in plants with loss of embryonic shoot apical meristem (SAM) and fused cotyledons (Aida et al., 1997; Vroemen et al., 2003; Hibara et al., 2006).

Previous studies have shown that these boundaryspecifying genes all affect flower development. The flower contains different types of organs, forming concentric whorls within a flower. In Arabidopsis, the wild-type flower consists of four whorls: four sepals in the first whorl, four petals in the second whorl, six stamens in the third whorl, and two fused carpels in the fourth whorl (Bowman et al., 1989). CUC1and CUC2 transcripts were detected in boundaries between each type of floral organ during flower development (Ishida et al., 2000; Takada et al., 2001). PTL encodes a plantspecific trihelix transcription factor. In addition to its functions for sepal and petal architecture, PTL is also important in boundary formation to separate sepals (Brewer et al., 2004). Flowers of loss-of-function ptl mutant plants showed fusions of some adjacent sepals, whereas *ptl cuc1* and *ptl cuc2* double-mutant plants both exhibited the more severe sepal-fusion phenotype than ptl (Brewer et al., 2004). RBE encodes a zinc-finger transcription factor, playing a role in the regulation of petal development (Takeda et al., 2003). In addition,

566 Plant Physiology, February 2008, Vol. 146, pp. 566–575, www.plantphysiol.org - 2007 American Society of Plant Biologists

¹ This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (grant nos. 30630041 and 30721061 to H.H.) and the Shanghai Institutes for Biological Sciences for the Plant Reproductive Development (grant to H.M.).

^{*} Corresponding author; e-mail hhuang@sippe.ac.cn.

The author responsible for distribution of materials integral to the findings presented in this article in accordance with the policy described in the Instructions for Authors (www.plantphysiol.org) is: Hai Huang (hhuang@sippe.ac.cn).

^[W] The online version of this article contains Web-only data. www.plantphysiol.org/cgi/doi/10.1104/pp.107.113787

Figure 1. AS1 and AS2 are required for sepal and petal sizes. A to C, Inflorescences of wild-type Ler (A), as1-101 (B), and as2-101 (C). D to F, Floral phenotypes of wild-type Ler (D), as1-101 (E), and as2-101 (F). Arrows indicate an open space between two adjacent sepals. G to I, Sepal and petal sizes of wild-type (G), as1-101 (H), and as2-101 (I) flowers. J to L, Top view of flowers from wild-type Ler (J), as1-101 (K), and as2-101 (L). In wild-type plants, the concave adaxial surface of petals usually faces inward, but in as1-101 and as2-101 mutant flowers it faced other directions. Bars = 1 mm (A–L).

RBE is also required for boundary formation between adjacent sepals (Krizek et al., 2006). Although these genes are important in boundary establishment, little is known about their regulation during floral organ development. Here, we show that AS1, AS2, and JAG are critical in repressing PTL, CUC1, and CUC2 in sepal and petal primordia for their normal growth.

as1 and as2 mutants have overall similar phenotypes with both abnormal leaves and floral organs (Ori et al.,

2000; Sun et al., 2000, 2002; Semiarti et al., 2001; Byrne et al., 2002). AS1 encodes an R2-R3 MYB-domain protein (Byrne et al., 2000), whereas AS2 encodes a plant-specific lateral organ boundary (LOB) domain-containing transcription factor (Iwakawa et al., 2002) that associates with AS1 (Xu et al., 2003). In leaves, AS1 and AS2 are known to be required for repression of meristematic genes and for leaf adaxial-abaxial polarity establishment, whereas their roles in flower development are largely unknown. JAG encodes a C_2H_2 transcription factor and promotes morphogenesis in multiple types of lateral organs (Dinneny et al., 2004; Ohno et al., 2004). However, targets regulated by JAG during flower development still remain elusive. In this article, we present evidence that AS1/AS2 and JAG act in parallel to repress boundary-specifying genes in sepals and petals to define these organs from their boundaries.

RESULTS

AS1 and AS2 Play Important Roles in Sepal and Petal Growth

Flowers of as1 and as2 exhibit several defects (Ori et al., 2000; Byrne et al., 2002; Sun et al., 2002). We provide a more detailed description of their floral phenotypes here. Compared with the inflorescence of wild-type Landsberg erecta (Ler) plants (Fig. 1A), those from as1-101 (Fig. 1B) and as2-101 (Fig. 1C) produced floral buds that opened prematurely, consistent with previously reported as1-1 and as2-2 phenotypes (Ori et al., 2000; Byrne et al., 2002). In comparison to the size of sepals and petals in wild-type plants (Fig. 1, D and G), those from $a s 1$ -101 (Fig. 1, E and H) and $a s 2$ -101 (Fig. 1, F and I) were notably reduced, resulting in an open space between adjacent sepals (arrows). This sepal phenotype appeared more severe than those observed in previously reported as1-1 and as2-2 flowers (Ori et al., 2000; Byrne et al., 2002). In addition, orientation of petals within the mutant flowers was abnormal. In wild-type plants, the concave adaxial surface of petals usually faces inward (Fig. 1J), but in as1-101 (Fig. 1K) and as2-101 (Fig. 1L) it faced other directions instead. Because petals of as1 and as2 mutants do not twist (Fig. 1, H and I), this aberrant petal phenotype may result from misorientation of the petal primordium. Whereas sizes of sepals and petals were reduced in as1 and as2 flowers, stamens and carpels of mutants appeared relatively normal, indicating that AS1 and AS2 are important for sepal and petal growth.

Previous studies showed that AS1 is expressed throughout primordia of all floral organs (Byrne et al., 2000), whereas the AS2 expression pattern in the Arabidopsis flower was not reported. We thus examined AS2 expression patterns by in situ hybridization. AS2 was expressed in the central part of the inflorescence meristem, highly concentrated in the L1 and L2 layers of cells, but not in the floral meristem (Fig. 2A). Interestingly, AS2 exhibited a polar expression pattern in

Figure 2. In situ hybridization showing AS2 expression patterns in wild-type flowers. A, AS2 transcripts were detected in the L1 and L2 layers in the central part of the inflorescence meristem (arrowhead), whereas they were absent in floral meristem (arrows). B and C, AS2 was expressed in the adaxial side of sepals (B and C, arrowheads) and stamens (C, arrows). The number shows flower stage. D, In gynoecia, AS2 mRNA was detected more abundantly in the adaxial side (arrowhead), but was also found in other gynoecial parts. E and F, In nearly mature flowers, AS2 transcripts were found in ovules and the adaxial side of petals (arrowheads). im, Inflorescence meristem; fm, floral meristem; s, sepal; p, petal; st, stamen; g, gynoecium; and o, ovule. Bars = $20 \mu m$ (A–F).

floral organs. AS2 hybridization signals were detected in the adaxial side of sepals in stage 3 flowers (Fig. 2B). In stamens, AS2 transcripts were initially concentrated in the adaxial side of stage 6 flowers (Fig. 2C) and then became detectable from other parts of the stamens in stage 8 flowers (Fig. 2D). In the gynoecium, AS2 was expressed more abundantly in the adaxial side (Fig. 2D). In stage 9 to 11 flowers, AS2 signals appeared in the ovules (Fig. 2E) and the adaxial side of petals (Fig. 2, E and F, arrowheads). The AS2 expression pattern suggests that the function of the AS1 and AS2 complex is likely regulated spatially and temporally during flower development.

as1 jag and as2 jag Flowers Have Dramatically Reduced Sepal and Petal Sizes

To investigate how AS1 and AS2 function in flower development and to explore possible functional redundancy between $AS\hat{I}$ and $AS\hat{2}$ and other flower genes, we constructed double mutants with as1-101 or as2-101 and one of several floral mutants. as1-101 jag-2 and as2-101 jag-2 double mutants were dramatically affected in sepal and petal morphologies compared with those in wild-type (Fig. 1D), as1-101 (Fig. 1E), as2-101 (Fig. 1F), and jag-2 flowers (Fig. 3A; Ohno et al., 2004). All as1-101 jag-2 and as2-101 jag-2 flowers produced very tiny structures in the outer two whorls (Fig. 3, B and C, arrows). We examined more than 500 flowers from each of the two double mutants and the phenotype was very consistent (without any exception). Although stamens and carpels of the double mutants appeared morphologically normal, fertility of the double-mutant flowers was severely reduced due to both male and female defects (data not shown).

To determine the earliest time when the doublemutant phenotypes occur, we analyzed floral phenotypes by using scanning electron microscopy (SEM). Because phenotypes of as1-101 jag-2 and as2-101 jag-2 double mutants were similar, we describe here only the as1-101 jag-2 phenotypes. SEM revealed no difference in the shape or size of the inflorescence meristem and young flowers before stage 5 between wild type (Fig. 3D) and $as1-101$ $jag-2$ (Fig. 3E). At stage 5, sepals of wild-type flowers extended their growth and two opposite ones overlap to cover the interior floral organs (Fig. 3D). However, sepal growth in as1-101 jag-2 was arrested at and after this stage (Fig. 3E). During subsequent flower development, wild-type sepals and petals grew along with the inner whorl organs (Fig. 3F). In contrast, growth of the as1-101 jag-2 sepals and petals was severely affected after stage 5, even though stamens and carpels in the same mutant flower continued to grow (Fig. 3G).

Most organ primordia in the first and second whorls of $a s1-101$ $ja g - 2$ flowers developed to filamentous structures (Fig. 3H). Occasionally, a few filament-like structures became thicker and were capped with stigmatic papillae (Fig. 3I). To learn more about the nature of the filamentous organs in $a s 1$ -101 $j a g$ -2 mutant flowers, we examined their surface characteristics using SEM. For the wild-type sepal, the relatively uniformly sized epidermal cells from the distal part have cuticular ridges on the surface (Fig. 3J). Similarly, some *as*1-101 jag-2 filaments in the first whorl also had cell surfaces with cuticular ridges (Fig. 3K), although they were varied in cell size. In the jag single-mutant plant, surface cell characteristics of the distal part of petals resembled those of the proximal part of wildtype petals (Dinneny et al., 2004, 2006; Ohno et al.,

Figure 3. AS1, AS2, and JAG regulate sepal and petal development. A to C, Floral phenotypes of jag-2 (A), as1-101 jag-2 (B), and as2-101 jag-2 (C). Sepals and petals in the double-mutant flowers were transformed to very small filamentous structures. D and E, Early flower development in wild-type (D) and as1-101 jag-2 (E), showing that morphology of as1-101 jag-2 flowers before stage 5 was nearly normal. F and G, Flowers of wild type (F) and as1-101 jag-2 (G) became different after stage 5, with perianth growth in as1-101 jag-2 being arrested. H and I, In the outer two whorls of the as1-101 jag-2 flowers, only filamentous structures were seen (H, arrowheads) and, occasionally, some of these filaments capped with stigmatic papillae (I, arrow). J to M, Analyses of the abaxial side of sepals and petals revealed that the filamentous structures in the double mutants have the sepal or petal characteristics. J, Cells on the top part of the wild-type sepal. K, Cells on the top part of some double-mutant filaments, which showed similar cell surfaces to those of the wild-type sepal. L, Cells on the proximal part of the wild-type petal. M, Cells on the top part of some other double-mutant filaments, which were similar to the wild-type cells in L. Numbers indicate flower stages. Bars = 1 mm (A–C), 50 μ m (D and E), 100 μ m (F–I), and 10 μ m (J–L).

2004). Interestingly, cell surface characteristics of the proximal part of wild-type petals (Fig. 3L) and those from tips of the as1-101 jag-2 filaments in the second whorl (Fig. 3M) were also similar.

To investigate whether the reduced sepal size involved a decrease in cell division, we analyzed the expression of a specific cell division marker, HISTONE4 (H4), whose expression represents cell cycle activity in developing organs (Krizek, 1999). Compared to wildtype stage 6 flowers (Fig. 4A), numbers of cells expressing H4 were reduced in both as1-101 and jag-2 sepals (Fig. 4, B and C). Furthermore, cells with H4 expression in the *as*1-101 jag-2 sepals were even more decreased (Fig. 4D). These phenotypes were consistent in stage 5 and 6 flowers (Table I). These results indicate that the cell division defect in the double mutant is likely to be an important factor affecting sepal size.

Ectopic Expression of Boundary-Specifying Genes in as1-101 jag-2 Flowers

It was reported previously that transgenic lines with ectopic expression of PTL, driven by AP3 promoters, produced arrested floral organ primordia and filamentous floral organs instead of petals and stamens (Brewer et al., 2004). However, it is unknown which

genes normally regulate PTL expression. Phenotypes of the as1-101 jag-2 double mutant suggested that the double mutant might ectopically express PTL and AS1 and JAG might normally repress PTL expression. To test these hypotheses, we analyzed PTL expression by in situ hybridization, first examining transverse sections. PTL expression patterns in wild-type (Fig. 5A) and as1-101 jag-2 (Fig. 5B) flowers before stage 5 were similar, with hybridization signals detected between adjacent sepals. In stage 7 or 8 flowers, PTL signals were detected only in sepal margins of wild-type flowers (Fig. 5C), but were strong in the interior of the as1-101 jag-2 sepals. Interestingly, PTL transcripts were generally found to be concentrated in the adaxial side of the sepal (Fig. 5D).

Because PTL has a boundary-specifying function that is required for sepal separation during flower development (Griffith et al., 1999; Brewer et al., 2004), we decided to test whether other boundary genes, including CUC1, CUC2, and RBE, are also affected in the as1- 101 jag-2 sepals. Compared with the wild-type flowers (Fig. 5, E and G), $as1-101$ $jag-2$ mutant flowers exhibited ectopic expression of CUC1 (Fig. 5F) and CUC2 (Fig. 5H) in the sepal. Ectopic CUC1 expression was found predominantly in the adaxial side of sepals (Fig. 5F) and CUC2 hybridization signals were detected

Figure 4. AS1 and JAG promote cell division in sepals. A to D, In situ hybridization to examine H4 expression in stage 6 sepals of wild-type (A), as1-101 (B) , jag-2 (C) , and as1-101 jag-2 (D) flowers. Sepals are highlighted by white frames.

throughout the sepal (Fig. 5H). In contrast, RBE expression in floral organs could be detected only in flowers before stage 5, with similar patterns between wild-type (Fig. 5I) and $as1-101$ $jag-2$ (Fig. 5J) flowers.

To confirm that PTL, CUC1, and CUC2 are abnormally expressed in sepals of the double mutant and to examine whether their expressions are also altered in petals, we further analyzed longitudinal sections of flowers. Compared with wild-type plants (Fig. 5, K, M, and O), the as1-101 jag-2 double mutant clearly had overexpression of PTL, CUC1, and CUC2 in the sepal (Fig. 5, L, N, and P). In addition, these three genes were only weakly expressed in wild-type petal primordia (Fig. 5, K, M, and O), but were more robustly expressed in petals of double-mutant flowers (Fig. 5, L, N, and P), with CUC2 showing the highest level (Fig. 5P). We also analyzed PTL, CUC1, and CUC2 expression in the as1-101 and jag-2 flowers. However, the difference in gene expression between wild type and single mutants was not evident (Supplemental Fig. S1). These results support the hypothesis that AS1, AS2, and JAG are negative regulators of the expression of the boundary-specifying genes PTL, CUC1, and CUC2 during normal sepal and petal growth.

Partial Restoration of Sepals and Petals in as1 jag ptl and as1 jag cuc1 cuc2

To further test whether ectopic expression of PTL, CUC1, and CUC2 was responsible for reduced growth of the as1-101 jag-2 sepals and petals, we constructed the as1 jag ptl triple mutant and the as1 jag cuc1 cuc2 quadruple mutant. It was reported that the Ler background has a dominant modifier that boosts the number of petals in the ptl flower (Griffith et al., 1999). To avoid the Ler background, we used as1-1 (Columbia-0 [Col-0]), jag-1 (Wassilewskija [Ws]), and ptl-1 (Col-0) to construct the as1-1 jag-1 ptl-1 triple mutant. Compared to the wild-type Col-0 flower (Fig. 6A), as1-1 (Fig. 6B) and jag-1 (Fig. 6C) produced flowers with defects similar to those in the $a s1-101$ (Fig. 1E) and $a g-2$ (Fig. 3A) mutants, respectively, with reduced sizes of sepals and petals. Similarly, the overall flower architecture of as1-1 jag-1 (Fig. 6D) resembled that of as1-101 jag-2

Table I. Cell numbers in Ler, as1-101, jag-2, and as1-101 jag-2 sepals that expressed $H4^a$

| Genotype | Ler | as 1-101 | jag-2 | as1-101 jag-2 |
|---|----------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|
| Stage 5 flowers $(n = 4)^{6}$ | 9.0 ± 0.8 | 6.8 ± 1.3 | 4.3 ± 1.0 | 2.3 ± 1.0 |
| Stage 6 flowers ($n = 10$) ^b | 10.5 ± 2.2 | 6.6 ± 1.6 | 4.6 ± 1.4 | 2.2 ± 0.8 |

^aValues are mean \pm sE. A total of four and 10 sepals from stage 5 and 6 wild-type and mutant flowers, respectively, were analyzed. Whole inflorescences with small floral buds were longitudinally sectioned. H4-expressing cells in medial-longitudinal sections of sepal primordia of about stage 5 and 6 flowers were counted. An average was determined from the respective stage 5 and 6 H4-expressing cells. $\rm ^{b}P$ < 0.05 for both stage 5 and 6 groups.

Figure 5. Altered expression of PTL, CUC1, and CUC2 in as1-101 jag-2 flowers. A to J, Transverse sections. A and B, Expression of PTL in early wild-type (A) and as1-101 jag-2 (B) flowers, showing no obvious differences in expression patterns. C and D, PTL expression in wild-type (C) and as1-101 jag-2 (D) flowers, with PTL ectopically expressed in the adaxial side of as1-101 jag-2 sepals. E and F, CUC1 expression in wild-type (E) and as1-101 jag-2 (F) flowers. G and H, CUC2 expression in wild-type (G) and as1-101 jag-2 (H). Note that CUC1 and CUC2 were both ectopically expressed in sepals of the as1-101 jag-2 flowers. I and J, RBE expression in wild-type (I) and as1-101 jag-2 (J) flowers. There was no obvious difference in the RBE expression pattern between wild-type and as1-101 jag-2 flowers before stage 5. K to P, Longitudinal sections. K and L, PTL expression in wild-type (K) and as1-101 jag-2 (L) flowers. M and N, CUC1 expression in wild-type (M) and as1-101 jag-2 (N) flowers. O and P, CUC2 expression in wild-type (O) and as1-101 jag-2 (P) flowers. Arrows show sepals and arrowheads indicate petals. Specimens in C to J and K to P were from about stage 7 or 8 flowers. Note that sense probes of PTL, CUC1, CUC2, and RBE did not result in hybridization signals. Bars = 20 μ m (A–P).

(Fig. 3B), with all flowers only producing tiny sepals and petals. The major defects of ptl-1 single-mutant flowers were in the second whorl, with either reduced petal numbers or variable petal orientations (Fig. 6E; Griffith et al., 1999). Flowers of as1-1 ptl-1 and jag-1 ptl-1 double mutants showed additive phenotypes of the single mutants. For example, the aberrant petal orientation in as1-1 ptl1-1 became more severe, with some petals facing outward (Fig. 6F, arrow). In addition, sepals in jag-1 ptl-1 were often narrow (Fig. 6G). In contrast, a few partially expanded sepals and petals, which showed an expanded structure, were noted in early-appearing flowers of *as*1-1 jag-1 ptl-1 (Fig. 6H; 7.9 \pm 1.0, first 10 flowers of 10 independent triple-mutant plants), although the frequency of such partially rescued flowers was reduced in later flowers.

cuc1 cuc2 double-mutant plants lack the embryonic SAM and produce fused cotyledons (Aida et al., 1997). However, the as1 mutation can rescue the cuc1-1 cuc2-1 phenotypes, resulting in partially separated cotyledons

Figure 6. ptl mutation or cuc1 cuc2 mutations partially rescue the as1 jag phenotypes. A to D, Floral phenotypes of wild type Col-0 (A), as1-1 (B), j ag-1 (C), and as1-1 j ag-1 (D). Note that as1-1 j ag-1 and as1-101 jag-2 have similar floral phenotypes with very small outer whorl organs (for comparison, see Fig. 2B). E to H, Floral phenotypes of ptl-1 (E), as1-1 ptl-1 (F), jag-1 ptl-1 (G), and as1-1 jag-1 ptl-1 (H). Note that the aberrant petal orientation in $as1-1$ ptl1-1 (F) became more severe, with some petals facing outward (arrow), and the triple-mutant flowers (H) showed some partially expanded sepals (arrow) and petals (arrowhead). I to K, Floral phenotypes of as1-101 cuc1-1 cuc2-1 (I), jag-2 cuc1-1 cuc2-1 (J), and as1-101 jag-2 cuc1-1 cuc2-1 (K). Note that the quadruple-mutant flower showed some partially rescued sepals (arrow) and all plants were grown under the same conditions. Bars $= 1$ mm (A–K).

(Hibara et al., 2003), suggesting that the as1 defect might allow other genes to be expressed and partially substitute for the CUC1 and CUC2 function. Under our conditions, a few as1-101 cuc1-1 cuc2-1 plants even produced flowers (Fig. 6I). Notably, jag-2 cuc1-1 cuc2-1 plants also had less severe phenotypes compared with the cuc1-1 cuc2-1 double mutant, sometimes producing flowers (Fig. 6J). Different from the as1-101 cuc1-1 cuc2-1 and jag-2 cuc1-1 cuc2-1 triple mutants, all as1-101 jag-2 cuc1-1 cuc2-1 quadruple mutant plants were able to flower. Compared with the as1-101 jag-2 double mutant (Fig. 3B), increased growth of sepals that had a flat structure was observed in early-appearing flowers of as1-101 jag-2 cuc1-1 cuc2-1 (Fig. 6K; 5.5 \pm 1.3, first 10 flowers of 10 independent quadruple-mutant plants). These observations suggest that the ectopically expressed boundary-specifying genes PTL and CUC1/ CUC2 are responsible for the sepal and petal defects in the *as1* jag and as2 jag flowers.

DISCUSSION

In this study, we found that $as1$ jag and $as2$ jag double mutants had dramatically reduced growth of the floral organ sepals and petals. We further showed that as1 jag double-mutant flowers exhibited ectopic expression of the boundary-specifying genes PTL, CUC1, and CUC2. This is consistent with the previous findings that transgenic plants with ectopic expression of PTL or microRNA164-resistant CUC1 and CUC2 repressed sepal growth (Brewer et al., 2004; Mallory et al., 2004; Baker et al., 2005; Sieber et al., 2007). Our finding that as1 jag ptl and as1 jag cuc1 cuc2 both had weaker phenotypes than *as1* jag indicates that the reduced floral organ growth is at least in part due to the ectopic expression of the PTL, CUC1, and CUC2 genes. All these results suggest that AS1, AS2, and JAG support normal sepal and petal growth by restricting the expression domain of boundary-specifying genes. Interestingly, although the CUC1 and CUC2 genes are known to be required for stamen separation and AS1, AS2, and JAG are also expressed in the inner two whorl organs, stamens and carpels in *as1* jag and *as2* jag were less affected. We propose that the reproductive organs stamen and carpel may differ from the sepal and petal; they might use different mechanisms in repressing boundary genes or have more redundant repressors during organ separations. We also tested whether RBE is a target of AS1/AS2 and JAG by construction of the *as1 jag rbe* triple mutant. However, as1 jag rbe did not show the restored sepal and petal (data not shown), indicating that RBE may not be a target of AS1, AS2, and JAG.

AS2 belongs to the LOB gene family. It was previously reported that the LOB gene, the founding member in the family, is expressed in boundaries of all lateral organs and at the base of lateral roots (Shuai et al., 2002), implying that LOB may be potentially involved in boundary formation. Although the lob mutant does not show obvious phenotypic abnormalities, further studies of functional redundancy in the LOB family would be of great interest because these may uncover new regulatory mechanisms of the family.

Organ primordia in the outer two whorls of as1-101 jag-2 flowers became filamentous structures and a few of these structures showed some carpel features, capped with stigmatic papillae. We propose that this phenotype may be caused by ectopic PTL expression. This phenotype resembles that of some transgenic plants that ectopically expressed PTL, driven by an APETALA1 (AP1) promoter (Brewer et al., 2004), whose expression is known to be limited in sepals and petals in stage 3 to 5 flowers (Mandel et al., 1992). This phenotype also suggests that as1 jag and as2 jag mutations not only

affect expression of PTL, CUC1, and CUC2, but also many other genes, either directly or indirectly. This is consistent with the observation that as1 jag ptl and as1 jag cuc1 cuc2 mutants both only partially rescued the as1 jag phenotypes.

Lateral organ development is generally considered to be along three axes: the proximo-distal, mediolateral, and adaxial-abaxial axes (Hudson, 2000). AS1 and AS2 are important regulators of leaf adaxialabaxial polarity. Recent results revealed that JAG was also involved in leaf adaxial-abaxial polarity formation because double-mutant plants with loss of functions in JAG and its homolog NUBBIN showed the leaf adaxial-abaxial polarity defect (Dinneny et al., 2006). Because floral organs are thought to be modified leaves, it is possible that AS1 and AS2 also affect the adaxial-abaxial polarity in sepals and petals during their growth. AS2 is predominantly expressed in the adaxial sides of young floral organs, similar to its expression pattern in cotyledons (Iwakawa et al., 2002) and overexpression of AS2 could result in a polarity defect of petal epidermal cells (Lin et al., 2003). AS1 and AS2 are known to be capable of forming a protein complex (Xu et al., 2003) and as1 jag and as2 jag had similar floral defects, indicating that the AS1 and AS2 complex acts in the adaxial domain of floral organs, although AS1 is known to be expressed throughout each type of floral organ (Byrne et al., 2000). In addition, PTL and CUC transcripts were accumulated in the adaxial side of the *as1* jag sepals, further supporting the idea that AS1/AS2 act in polarity in regulating their targets for normal sepal and petal development.

AS1, AS2, and JAG play roles in both boundaryspecifying gene repression and adaxial-abaxial polarity establishment. On the other hand, boundary-specifying genes, such as CUC3, could also affect establishment of leaf adaxial-abaxial polarity (Hibara et al., 2006). Sepal and petal phenotypes and the ectopic expression of boundary-specifying genes in the as1 jag and as2 jag flowers imply that the adaxial-abaxial polarity and the boundary specification and lateral growth might be functionally related. We noticed that many as1 and as2 flowers contain petals with incorrect orientation, whereas the role of AS1 and AS2 in controlling petal orientation is not yet clear. We hypothesize that, at the early petal developmental stages, the correct petal orientation might depend at least in part on a balance of adaxial and abaxial cell growth. Therefore, the aberrant petal orientation in the as1 and as2 mutants could be caused by loss of normal adaxial-abaxial polarity in the petal primordium. It should be of interest to examine this model in the future.

The AS1 and AS2 protein complex is a critical repressor because it is known to repress important regulatory genes, such as BREVIPEDICELLUS, KNAT2, KNAT6, FILAMENTOUS FLOWER (FIL), KANADIs (Byrne et al., 2000; Semiarti et al., 2001; Lin et al., 2003), and the microRNA genes MIR165/166 (Li et al., 2005; Ueno et al., 2007). Recent studies have demonstrated that AS1, AS2, and histone deacetylases func-

tion in the same genetic pathway to regulate leaf polarity (Ueno et al., 2007), and AS1 is able to bind to a putative chromatin-remodeling factor (Phelps-Durr et al., 2005). These results suggest that the AS1 and AS2 complex may be involved in epigenetic regulation. The JAG protein also appears to have a repressor function (Dinneny et al., 2004; Ohno et al., 2004), whereas how JAG represses its downstream targets is unknown. Recent studies have shown that CUC1and CUC2 expression is regulated by chromatin-remodeling factors (Kwon et al., 2006), indicating that these two genes might be modulated by an epigenetic mechanism. On the other hand, it is not clear yet whether AS1, AS2, and JAG regulation of the boundary target genes is direct or indirect through other genes. In future studies, it will be important to investigate how AS1, AS2, and JAG repress their targets at the molecular level.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Plant Materials and Growth Conditions

For the Arabidopsis (Arabidopsis thaliana) mutants used in this study, as1-1 and ptl-1 were in the Col-0, jag-1 in the Ws, and others in the Ler backgrounds. as1-101 and as2-101 were generated as described previously (Sun et al., 2000, 2002; Xu et al., 2002, 2003). Seeds of jag-1 and jag-2 were kindly provided by D. Weigel (Max Plank Institute for Developmental Biology) and C.K. Ohno (California Institute of Technology), respectively. Seeds of as1-1, ptl-1, cuc1-1, and cuc2-1 were obtained from the Arabidopsis Biological Resource Center (Ohio State University). Plants were grown according to our previous conditions (Xu et al., 2003).

Constructions of Double, Triple, and Quadruple Mutants

Homozygous jag-2 plants were crossed to homozygous as1-101 and as2- 101, respectively. F_1 progeny of those crosses were all phenotypically normal. For the jag-2 and as1-101 cross, double mutants were recognized among the F_2 plants as those with novel phenotypes of flowers, with F_2 segregation of 59 normal, 18 as1-101, 22 jag-2, and seven with novel phenotypes. AS2 and JAG loci are known to be linked, and a total of 490 F_2 plants from the jag-2 and as2-101 cross showed a distribution of 268 normal, 115 as2-101, 105 jag-2, and two plants that had similar novel phenotypes to those from the as1-101 and jag-2 cross. These phenotypically novel plants from both crosses were considered to be as1-101 jag-2 and as2-101 jag-2 double mutants, respectively, and were further verified by PCR and sequence analysis. To construct the as1-1 jag-1 ptl-1 triple mutant, homozygous ptl-1 and as
1-1 jag-1 plants were crossed. In the $\rm F_2$ progeny, seeds from eight individual plants that had ptl-1-like phenotypes were harvested. One F_3 population contained 239 ptl-1-like plants, 79 and 73 plants with additive as1-1 and ptl-1, and jag-1 and ptl-1 phenotypes, respectively, and 23 plants with novel phenotypes. Plants with additive phenotypes were considered to be the as1-1 ptl-1 and jag-1 ptl-1 double mutants, respectively, and plants with novel phenotypes were considered to be the as1-1 jag-1 ptl-1 triple mutant. One plant from each of these putative double or triple mutants was genotyped either by PCR (for the jag-1 locus) or PCR and DNA sequencing (for the as1-1 and ptl-1 loci) for verification. To obtain as1-101 jag-2 cuc1-1 cuc2-1, pollen from cuc1-1/+ cuc2-1/cuc2-1 plants was used to pollinate as1-101 jag-2 plants. Because the insertional cuc2-1 mutation could be genotyped by PCR, among F_2 progeny, a number of individual plants with as1-101 or jag-2 phenotypes and with the homozygous cuc2-1 mutation were identified. In the F_3 generation, plants with consistent novel phenotypes appeared among some as1-101 cuc2-1 and jag-2 cuc2-1 groups. These novel phenotypic plants were considered to be the putative quadruple mutants, and one of these plants was genotyped by PCR and sequencing for verification. In addition, plants with phenotypes similar to those of previously reported as1 cuc1 cuc2 and plants with both jag and cuc1 cuc2 phenotypes were also genotyped to confirm that they are as1 cuc1 cuc2 and jag cuc1 cuc2 triple mutants.

In Situ Hybridization

In situ hybridizations were performed according to previous methods (Drews et al., 1991; Long and Barton, 1998). The H4, RBE, and CUC1 probes were made according to the previously reported sequences (Takada et al., 2001; Dinneny et al., 2004; Krizek et al., 2006). PTL and CUC2 probes were made from constructs containing cDNA fragments, derived from reverse transcription-PCR using the following primers: for PTL, 5'-GAGGATGGA-AGCTAGGGATG-3' and 5'-GAGCCTCAAACCATAACTC-3'; and for CUC2, 5'-TGGGATGAAGAAGACTCTTG-3' and 5'-GGAAAAGGGTCAAAGTC-AAACC-3'. These cDNA fragments were subcloned into pBluescript SK- for probe preparation. AS2 probes were made according to a previous method (Iwakawa et al., 2002).

Microscopy

Fresh tissue from wild-type and mutant plants was examined using a SZH10 dissecting microscope (Olympus). SEM was performed as previously described (Chen et al., 2000).

Sequence data from this article can be found in the GenBank/EMBL data libraries under accession numbers NM129319 (Arabidopsis AS1 cDNA), NM105235 (Arabidopsis AS2), and NM105519 (Arabidopsis JAG).

Supplemental Data

The following materials are available in the online version of this article.

Supplemental Figure S1. Expression patterns of PTL, CUC1, and CUC2 in as1-101 and jag-2 single-mutant flowers.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank D. Weigel and C. Ohno for seeds of mutants jag-1 and jag-2, respectively, the Arabidopsis Biological Resource Center (The Ohio State University) for seeds of as1-1, ptl-1, cuc1-1, and cuc2-1, and X. Gao for SEM.

Received November 23, 2007; accepted December 13, 2007; published December 21, 2007.

LITERATURE CITED

- Aida M, Ishida T, Fukaki H, Fujisawa H, Tasaka M (1997) Genes involved in organ separation in Arabidopsis: an analysis of the cup-shaped cotyledon mutant. Plant Cell 9: 841–857
- Aida M, Tasaka M (2006) Genetic control of shoot organ boundaries. Curr Opin Plant Biol 9: 72–77
- Baker CC, Sieber P, Wellmer F, Meyerowitz EM (2005) The early extra petals1 mutant uncovers a role for microRNA miR164c in regulating petal number in Arabidopsis. Curr Biol 15: 303–315
- Bowman JL, Smyth DR, Meyerowitz EM (1989) Genes directing flower development in Arabidopsis. Plant Cell 1: 37–52
- Breuil-Broyer S, Morel P, de Almeida-Engler J, Coustham V, Negrutiu I, Trehin C (2004) High-resolution boundary analysis during Arabidopsis thaliana flower development. Plant J 38: 182-192
- Brewer PB, Howles PA, Dorian K, Griffith ME, Ishida T, Kaplan-Levy RN, Kilinc A, Smyth DR (2004) PETAL LOSS, a trihelix transcription factor gene, regulates perianth architecture in the Arabidopsis flower. Development 131: 4035–4045
- Byrne M, Barley R, Curtis M, Arroyo J, Dunham M, Hudson A, Martienssen R (2000) Asymmetric leaves1 mediates leaf patterning and stem cell function in Arabidopsis. Nature 408: 967–971
- Byrne M, Simorowski J, Martienssen R (2002) ASYMMETRIC LEAVES1 reveals knox gene redundancy in Arabidopsis. Development 129: 1957–1965
- Chen C, Wang S, Huang H (2000) LEUNIG has multiple functions in gynoecium development in Arabidopsis. Genesis 26: 42–54
- Dinneny JR, Weigel D, Yanofsky MF (2006) NUBBIN and JAGGED define stamen and carpel shape in Arabidopsis. Development 133: 1645–1655
- Dinneny JR, Yadegari R, Fischer RL, Yanofsky MF, Weigel D (2004) The role of JAGGED in shaping lateral organs. Development 131: 1101–1110
- Drews GN, Bowman JL, Meyerowtiz EM (1991) Negative regulation of the Arabidopsis homeotic gene AGAMOUS by the APETALA2 product. Cell 65: 991–1002
- Griffith ME, da Silva Conceicao A, Smyth DR (1999) PETAL LOSS gene regulates initiation and orientation of second whorl organs in the Arabidopsis flower. Development 126: 5635–5644
- Hibara K, Karim MR, Takada S, Taoka K, Furutani M, Aida M, Tasaka M (2006) Arabidopsis CUP-SHAPED COTYLEDON3 regulates postembryonic shoot meristem and organ boundary formation. Plant Cell 18: 2946–2957
- Hibara K, Takada S, Tasaka M (2003) CUC1 gene activates the expression of SAM-related genes to induce adventitious shoot formation. Plant J 36: 687–696
- Hudson A (2000) Development of symmetry in plants. Annu Rev Plant Physiol Plant Mol Biol 51: 349–370
- Hussey G (1971) Cell division and expansion and resultant tissue tensions in the shoot apex during the formation of a leaf primordium in the tomato. J Exp Bot 22: 702–714
- Ishida T, Aida M, Takada S, Tasaka M (2000) Involvement of CUP-SHAPED COTYLEDON genes in gynoecium and ovule development in Arabidopsis thaliana. Plant Cell Physiol 41: 60-67
- Iwakawa H, Ueno Y, Semiarti E, Onouchi H, Kojima S, Tsukaya H, Hasebe M, Soma T, Ikezaki M, Machida C, et al (2002) The ASYM-METRIC LEAVES2 gene of Arabidopsis thaliana, required for formation of a symmetric flat leaf lamina, encodes a member of a novel family of proteins characterized by cysteine repeats and a leucine zipper. Plant Cell Physiol 43: 467–478
- Krizek BA (1999) Ectopic expression of AINTEGUMENTA in Arabidopsis plants results in increased growth of floral organs. Dev Genet 25: 224–236
- Krizek BA, Lewis MW, Fletcher JC (2006) RABBIT EARS is a second-whorl repressor of AGAMOUS that maintains spatial boundaries in Arabidopsis flowers. Plant J 45: 369-383
- Kwon CS, Hibara K, Pfluger J, Bezhani S, Metha H, Aida M, Tasaka M, Wagner D (2006) A role for chromatin remodeling in regulation of CUC gene expression in the Arabidopsis cotyledon boundary. Development 133: 3223–3230
- Li H, Xu L, Wang H, Yuan Z, Cao X, Yang Z, Zhang D, Xu Y, Huang H (2005) The putative RNA-dependent RNA polymerase RDR6 acts synergistically with ASYMMETRIC LEAVES1 and 2 to repress BREVIPE-DICELLUS and microRNA165/166 in Arabidopsis leaf development. Plant Cell 17: 2157–2171
- Lin W, Shuai B, Springer P (2003) The Arabidopsis LATERAL ORGAN BOUNDARIES-domain gene ASYMMETRIC LEAVES2 functions in the repression of KNOX gene expression and in adaxial-abaxial patterning. Plant Cell 15: 2241–2252
- Long J, Barton MK (1998) The development of apical embryonic pattern in Arabidopsis. Development 125: 3027–3035
- Mallory AC, Dugas DV, Bartel DP, Bartel B (2004) MicroRNA regulation of NAC-domain targets is required for proper formation and separation of adjacent embryonic, vegetative, and floral organs. Curr Biol 14: 1035–1046
- Mandel MA, Gustafson-Brown C, Savidge B, Yanofsky MF (1992) Molecular characterization of the Arabidopsis floral homeotic gene APETALA1. Nature 360: 273–277
- Ohno C, Reddy G, Heisler M, Meyerowitz E (2004) The Arabidopsis JAGGED gene encodes a zinc finger protein that promotes leaf tissue development. Development 131: 1111–1122
- Ori N, Eshed Y, Chuck G, Bowman J, Hake S (2000) Mechanisms that control knox gene expression in the Arabidopsis shoot. Development 127: 5523–5532
- Phelps-Durr TL, Thomas J, Vahab P, Timmermans MC (2005) Maize rough sheath2 and its Arabidopsis orthologue ASYMMETRIC LEAVES1 interact with HIRA, a predicted histone chaperone, to maintain knox gene silencing and determinacy during organogenesis. Plant Cell 17: 2886–2898
- Semiarti E, Ueno Y, Tsukaya H, Iwakawa H, Machida C, Machida Y (2001) The ASYMMETRIC LEAVES2 gene of Arabidopsis thaliana regulates formation of a symmetric lamina, establishment of venation and repression of meristem-related homeobox genes in leaves. Development 128: 1771–1783
- Shuai B, Reynaga-Pena C, Springer P (2002) The LATERAL ORGAN BOUNDARIES gene defines a novel, plant-specific gene family. Plant Physiol 129: 747–761
- Sieber P, Wellmer F, Gheyselinck J, Riechmann JL, Meyerowitz EM (2007) Redundancy and specialization among plant microRNAs: role of the MIR164 family in developmental robustness. Development 134: 1051–1060
- Sun Y, Zhang W, Li FL, Guo YL, Liu TL, Huang H (2000) Identification and genetic mapping of four novel genes that regulate leaf development in Arabidopsis. Cell Res 10: 325–335
- Sun Y, Zhou Q, Zhang W, Fu Y, Huang H (2002) ASYMMETRIC LEAVES1, an Arabidopsis gene that is involved in the control of cell differentiation in leaves. Planta 214: 694–702
- Takada S, Hibara K, Ishida T, Tasaka M (2001) The CUP-SHAPED COTYLEDON1 gene of Arabidopsis regulates shoot apical meristem formation. Development 128: 1127–1135
- Takeda S, Matsumoto N, Okada K (2003) RABBIT EARS, encoding a SUPERMAN-like zinc finger protein, regulates petal development in Arabidopsis thaliana. Development 131: 425–434
- Ueno Y, Ishikawa T, Watanabe K, Terakura S, Iwakawa H, Okada K, Machida C, Machida Y (2007) Histone deacetylases and ASYMMETRIC LEAVES2 are involved in the establishment of polarity in leaves of Arabidopsis. Plant Cell 19: 445–457
- Vroemen CW, Mordhorst AP, Albrecht C, Kwaaitaal MA, de Vries SC (2003) The CUP-SHAPED COTYLEDON3 gene is required for boundary and shoot meristem formation in Arabidopsis. Plant Cell 15: 1563–1577
- Xu L, Xu Y, Dong A, Sun Y, Pi L, Huang H (2003) Novel as1 and as2 defects in leaf adaxial-abaxial polarity reveal the requirement for ASYMMET-RIC LEAVES1 and 2 and ERECTA functions in specifying leaf adaxial identity. Development 130: 4097–4107
- Xu Y, Sun Y, Liang W, Huang H (2002) The Arabidopsis AS2 gene encoding a predicted leucine-zipper protein is required for the leaf polarity formation. Acta Bot Sin 44: 1194–1202