# Automatic Encoding into SNOMED III: A Preliminary Investigation

Naomi Sager <sup>1</sup>, Margaret Lyman <sup>2</sup>, Ngô Thanh Nhàn <sup>1</sup>, Leo J. Tick <sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Courant Institute of Mathematical Sciences, New York University, New York, NY 10012

<sup>2</sup> New York University Medical Center, New York, NY 10016

#### **ABSTRACT**

The Linguistic String Project (LSP) medical language processing (MLP) system converts narrative clinical reports into database tables of patient data. A procedure for mapping the output of the LSP MLP system into SNOMED III codes was developed. Preliminary results and further requirements are discussed.

#### INTRODUCTION

As part of the movement toward a computer-based patient record, CPR [1] (or electronic medical record system, EMRS [2]), increased attention is being paid to lexical issues: the development of the Unified Medical Language System (UMLS) [3]; the release of a much expanded version of SNOMED (SNOMED International, or SNOMED III) [4] and exploration of its use to represent clinical data [5]; new methods for maintaining controlled vocabularies [6]; integration of medical knowledge bases via an "interlingua" [7]; and the use of medical language processing (MLP) to extract clinical information from free-text patient documents [8, 9, 10], or to automatically index patient documents according to an established code [11, 12].

This paper describes initial work in using the LSP MLP system [10, 13] as the first component of an encoder that produces SNOMED III codes from free-text clinical documents. This activity seemed especially timely in light of AMIA's position paper of April 20, 1993 that named SNOMED III the "Preferred Code System" for Diagnoses (along with ICD9 which it includes); for Symptoms and Findings + Modifiers; for Anatomic location; and for Microbes and etiologies [14].

#### MANUAL ENCODING IN CPRI EXERCISES

To begin, we examined the results of manual SNOMED III coding that was performed for the Computer-based Patient Record Institute (CPRI) in 2 Exercises, each involving 10 case reports [15]. In the Exercises, Medical Concepts and their instantiations were manually determined from narrative case

reports, which were then manually coded into a number of standard terminologies.

It was striking to observe that the medical semantic categories developed by LSP for processing clinical text were extremely similar to the Medical Concepts developed in the Exercises as a step toward manual coding. This is illustrated in Fig.1, where on the left is a list of the Medical Concepts with examples that occurred in the CPRI Exercise 1 data, and on the right the corresponding linguistic categories of the LSP MLP system. For brevity, Medical Concepts with less than 3 occurrences in the Exercise 1 data are not included in Fig. 1; the words fitted reasonably into other Medical Concepts used in the Exercises.

In the CPRI Exercises the path from patient document to code was via Medical Concepts:

#### $Text \rightarrow Medical\ Concepts \rightarrow Coded\ Text$

The similarity of LSP categories to Medical Concepts suggested a similar path for automatic encoding:

#### Text → LSP MLP System → Coded Text

To explore this possibility, an algorithm was devised (still quite preliminary) to map the output of the LSP MLP system into SNOMED III. We used texts from the CPRI Exercise 1 as input so that the results obtained by the LSP procedure could be compared with the SNOMED III codes that had been assigned manually to the texts as part of the Exercise.

#### MLP IN THE LSP SYSTEM

Figure 2 shows findings retrieved from the relational database table obtained from the MLP output for one case report (014A) in the CPRI Excercise 1 data. Each row contains one medical fact statement, with the words placed in semantically labeled fields. The SID field contains the sentence identifier. A sentence may contain more than one medical fact statement; the ROW field contains the row number of the medical fact statement within that sentence. The symbol # marks a "break point" between words in a given field that were separated in the sentence. Fields not queried

## FIGURE 1 - MEDICAL CONCEPTS AND CORRESPONDING LINGUISTIC CATEGORIES

#### **MEDICAL CONCEPTS** (CPRI Exercises)

# LINGUISTIC CATEGORIES (LSP dictionary classes, or LSP-computed if starred)

Diagnosis	cancer, hypertension, anemia	DIAG	adenoma, diabetes, tumor, malaria	
Symptoms	nausea, diaphoresis, discomfort	INDIC	abnormal, cramping, warmth	
Qualitative	soft, vague, asymmetric, standard	DESCR	acinar, inactive, shotty, red	
Qualitative	tiny shotty, within normal limits	NORMAL	uneventful, well, rational	
Anatomy	thigh, ovary, hop, acetabulum	PTPART	decidua, ileum, sacral, ramus	
Topology	left, superficial, bilaterally	<b>PTAREA</b>	adjacent, edge, left, ventral	
Functional Status	normally active, appetite, oral intake	PTFUNC	ambulate, eat, heal, pulse	
Severity	excessive, severe, markedly	AMT	impressive, mild, rare, scant	
Stage	stage 1C, benign, 2+	QUANT*		
Grade	grade 2-3, grade 2, benign	QUANT*		
Extent	Clark's level 2, 0/3 lymph nodes	QUANT*		
Quantitative	.84 mm, 1.5 cm long, 5-8, 2 units	QUANT*		
Diagnostic Exam	Holter monitor, tilt table test,	TXPROC	xray, biopsy, scan, ultrasound	
Laboratory Name	CBC, creatinine, hemoglobin, TIBC	TXVAR	acetone, electrolyte, FEP, WBC	
Therapeutic Procedures	open reduction, pinning, D&C	TTCHIR	shunt, wired, ablation, shortened	
Treatments	darvocet, irradiation, ibuprofen,	TTMED	heparin, lasix, immunization	
Treatments	splint, Pavlik harness	TTCOMP	bandage, cane, catheter, mask	
Disposition	poor prognosis, observation	TTGEN	care, admission, workup, visit	
Negation	negative, without evidence of	NEG	absence, never, not, unable	
Reliability	question of, rule out, possible	MODAL	feel, hope, likely, maybe, claim	
Chronicity	history of, chronic, recurrent	<b>TMPER</b>	briefly, persist, sustain, usual	
Time	nocturnal, four years, recent,	TMLOC	childhood, last, earlier, hence	
Time	present for 2 years duration	TIME*		
Physical Finding**				
motion limited, positive pivot shifts, skin folds asymmetric, visceromegaly, healing of vaginal cuff, neck supple, bleeding at biones, site		Physiological function or anatomic site examined + Result		

at biopsy site

internal rotation, respirations, heart rate, BP, hip motion, abduction, external rotation, flexion, range of motion, extremities

Physiological function or anatomic site examined

prominent, nodes, incision, lymphadenopathy, less developed Result

in the retrieval of Fig. 2 include treatment, patient management, laboratory findings and neutral descriptors, e.g. RED in sentence 14A.1.08 (Fig. 3).

The language processing causes modifiers that apply to several findings to be "distributed" so that each of the findings carries the modifier (e.g. all the negated findings in Fig. 2). Rows number 6 and 7 of SID=014A.1.02 in Fig. 2 show the effect of an unre-

solved syntactic ambiguity: SIGMOID CANCER AND HYPERTENSION → SIGMOID CANCER AND [SIGMOID] HYPERTENSION, in the same way as JOINT SWELLING AND REDNESS → JOINT SWELLING AND [JOINT] REDNESS. A knowledge base that identifies body parts in relation to classes of diagnoses and symptoms would resolve the ambiguity in this case.

The LSP system recognizes quantitative phrases syntactically, and similarly for time expressions involving quantities (3 days post op).

All examples of Physical Finding are from CPRI data, classed here into 3 groups that correspond to the LSP representation as (1) subject and predicate of a Physical Finding statement type, (2) subject only, (3) predicate only.

FIGURE 2 - RETRIEVAL OF POSITIVE AND NEGATIVE FINDINGS FROM CASE 014A SENTENCES 1-20

SID	ROW	SIGN-SYMPTOM OR DIAG	BODY 1	PART OR BODY FUNCTION	QUANT	TIME WORDS
014A.1.01 014A.1.02	1 3	PAINFUL # RASH # COROHARY ARTERY DISEASE	RIGHT	# FACIAL # INVOLVING THE EYE		
014A.1.02	3	# CANCER #	SIGMO	rn.		A PAST MEDICAL HISTORY A PAST MEDICAL HISTORY
014A.1.02	7	# HYPERTENSION #	SIGNO			A PAST MEDICAL HISTORY
0143.1.03	í	PAINFUL RASH	DEVEL			APPROXIMATELY 6 DAYS P
0143.1.03	2	BLISTERS		RIGHT SIDE OF HIS FOREHEAD		APPROXIMATELI 6 DAIS P
0143.1.03	3	REDNESS		RIGHT SIDE OF HIS FOREHEAD		
014A.1.03	Ă	REDNESS		RIGHT SIDE OF HIS # SCALP #		
0143.1.03	5	BLISTERS		RIGHT SIDE OF HIS # SCALP #		
014A.1.05	2	PAIN	·	- with property and a property a		
014A.1.07	ī	WORSENED				GRADUALLY # OVER APPRO
014A.1.08	ī	WAS SHOLLEN	RIGHT	EVE #		CONDUCTED & CARK WELKO
014A.1.08	2	WAS SWOLLEN		DEDING TISSUE #		
0143.1.08	7	DIFFICULTY	VISIO			
0143.1.09	2	POOR	INTAK			
014A.1.10	Ā	HERPES EOSTER		=	V1 DISTRIBUTION	
014A.1.11A	3	COMJUNCTIVITIE			ROME	
0143.1.13	ī	HAD WORSENED	EYE			SINCE THEN
0148.1.13	3	PAINFUL	TEARI	IIG	INCREASED # VERY	
014A.1.13	4	SWELLING			* ****	•
014A.1.16A	2	PAIN				
0143.1.19	2	BE DISORIENTED				
014A.1.19	4	FEBRILE				
SID	ROW	SIGN-SYMPTOM OR DIAG		BODY PART OR BODY FUNCTION	TIME WORDS	MEGATION
014A.1.11B	1	INVOLVEMENT		CORNEAL		MO
014A.1.17	1	ASSOCIATED HEADACHE				MO
014A.1.17	2	ASSOCIATED # LOSS OF COMSCI	OUE			MO
014A.1.17	3	ASSOCIATED # INJURY #		HEAD		)8O
014A.1.17	4	ABSOCIATED # PAIN #		CHEST		MO
014A.1.17	5	ASSOCIATED # SHORTMESS OF BI	REATH	CHEST		MO
014A.1.20	1	Shingles		EYE	HISTORY	MO
014A.1.20	2	shingles		# TO THE # FACE #	HISTORY	MO
014A.1.20	3	# OTHER INJURIES #		EYE	HISTORY	MO
014A.1.20	4	# OTHER INJURIES #		# TO THE # FACE #	HISTORY	MO
				_		

#### AUTOMATIC ENCODING USING MLP

Figures 3, 4, 5 and 6 contain results of the automatic encoding for sentences 08, 10, 11 and 20 of the text of report 014A. Part A contains the CPRI Exercise 1 data. Part B shows the results of the LSP automatic encoding.

The input to the encoding algorithm consists of the words in a row of the relational database (excluding the TIME field for now). Four types of search are employed. "Full search" constructs a text string of all the words in the row in their order of occurrence in the sentence, and searches SNOMED strings for a complete or partial match. "Field search" does the same for each nonempty field in the row. "Text flow break search" does the same for the substrings within a field (strings set off by break marks "#"). Finally, as a last resort, "Single word search" treats every word individually.

The "best match" is the set of codes that covers the most words in the least number of codes (Wingert strategy [16]). However, by displaying all the non-null results of searches, as is done in Figs. 3-6, including those that cover the same word string by different codings (Fig. 3, Part B, Row 1), the automatic encoder also functions as a means of discovering alternative codings within SNOMED.

# DISCUSSION OF PRELIMINARY RESULTS

Because the encoding algorithm is at a preliminary stage of development, no attempt has been made to compare automatic vs. manual codings quantitatively. A fully developed encoder will require auxiliary knowledge about word relations (synonomy, hyponomy, hypernomy), methods for treating inflectional differences (singular/plural, adjective/noun, etc.), analysis of compound medical words into semantic roots [17] and a representation of correspondences  $(cardi \leftrightarrow heart)$ . This experiment used an ad hoc synonym list for all term equivalences. For some word relations, SNOMED itself, while the target of encoding, is also a tool. Synonomous variants are often included under the same code number. The text word SWOLLEN in Fig. 3 was manually coded to M-02570 Swelling, NOS, the preferred term (class 01). However, 5 other terms with the same numerical code are Bulge, Tumefaction, Tumescence, Swollen, Bulging, having other class numbers. The value of bringing together variants under one code number is seen in Figs. 4 and 6. In Fig. 4 (text sentence 10) we learn of the diagnosis Herpes Zoster (DE-32400), and ten sentences later the report of no history of Shingles (DE-32400).

An important feature of the method used is that each individual medical fact statement within a complex sentence is encoded as a unit. This is especially

#### FIGURE 3 - ENCODING OUTPUT, SENTENCE 8

014A.1.08 THE RIGHT EYE, PARTICULARLY THE SURROUNDING TISSUE, WAS SWOLLEN, RED, AND TEARING AND HE HAD DIFFICULTY WITH HIS VISION.

# A. CPRI Exercise 1 Data

# **Medical Concept**

<Symptoms> swollen <Symptoms> red <Anatomy> eye <Topology> right

<Symptoms> difficulty with vision

<Symptoms> tearing

#### **Manual SNOMED Coding from Medical Concepts**

M-02570 01 Swelling, NOS M-04040 01 Red color T-AA000 01 Eye, NOS G-A100 01 Right

DA-74900 02 Decreased vision, NOS

T-AA970 01 Tears

#### **B.** Automatic Encoding from MLP Output

Row 1: T-AA010 01Right eye G-A100 01Right

T-AA000 01Eye, NOS | C69.9

M-02570 05Swollen

Row 2 G-A125 02Surrounding

T-D0050 02Tissue, NOS

M-02570 05Swollen

Row 4: T-AA010 01Right eye

T-AA970 01Tears

G-A100 01Right

T-AA000 01Eye, NOS | C69.9

Row 6: T-AA970 01Tears

G-A125 02Surrounding

T-D0050 02Tissue, NOS

Row 7: F-F0000 01Vision, NOS

important when a sentence contains both positive and negative findings (Fig. 5).

A final point regarding Fig. 4, where the difference between manual coding and automatic coding for the text V1 distribution is striking. Whereas the manual coder recognized that V1 distribution referred to the Ophthalmic division of the fifth cranial nerve, SNOMED code T-A8210, the automatic encoder matched the text V1 with SNOMED V1 under "TNM classification of malignant tumors after operation". Further, the MLP system placed V1 DISTRIBUTION

FIGURE 4 - ENCODING OUTPUT, SENTENCE 10

014A.1.10 HE WAS EVALUATED AT THE EMERGENCY ROOM HERE AND FELT TO HAVE HERPES ZOSTER OF THE V1 DISTRIBUTION.

# A. CPRI Exercise 1 Data

### **Medical Concept**

<Diagnosis> herpes zoster <Anatomy> V1 distribution

#### **Manual SNOMED Coding from Medical Concepts**

DE-32400 01 Herpes zoster, NOS

T-A8210 02 Ophthalmic division of fifth cranial nerve

#### B. Automatic Encoding from MLP Output

Row 4: DE-32400 01Herpes zoster, NOS | (L-36401) | 1053.9

G-F231 01V1

FIGURE 5 -ENCODING OUTPUT - SENTENCE 11

014A.1.11 HE HAD BEEN SEEN BY OPHTHAL-MOLOGY AND THEY ALSO THOUGHT THAT THERE WAS SOME ASSOCIATED CONJUNC-TIVITIS BUT NO CORNEAL INVOLVEMENT.

#### A. CPRI Exercise 1 Data Medical Concept

<Diagnosis> conjunctivitis

<Negation> no

<Anatomy> corneal involvement

## **Manual SNOMED Coding from Medical Concepts**

DA-75605 01 Conjunctivitis, NOS

G-A201 01 Negative G-A658 02 Not involving T-AA200 01 Cornea, NOS

#### **B. Automatic Encoding from MLP Output**

Row 3: DA-75605 01Conjunctivitis, NOS | 372.30

G-A606 01Some

Row 1: G-A657 02Involving

T-AA200 01Cornea, NOS | C69.1

G-A201 01Negative

in the QUANT, not BODYPART, field, which only shows that mathematicians and linguists should not be let loose on clinical vocabulary without proper medical supervision.

FIGURE 6 - ENCODING OUTPUT, SENTENCE 20

014A.1.20 THE PATIENT HAS NO HISTORY OF SHINGLES OR OTHER INJURIES TO THE EYE OR FACE.

# A. CPRI Exercise 1 Data Medical Concept

<Diagnosis> shingles

<Diagnosis> injuries

<Anatomy> eye

<Anatomy> face

<Negation> no

#### **Manual SNOMED Coding from Medical Concepts**

DE-32400 02 Shingles

M-10000 01 Injury, NOS

T-AA000 01 Eye, NOS

T-D1200 01 Face, NOS

G-A201 01 Negative

#### **B.** Automatic Encoding from MLP Output

Row 1: DE-32400 02Shingles | (L-36401) | 053.9

T-AA000 01Eye, NOS | C69.9

G-A201 01Negative

Row 2: DE-32400 02Shingles | (L-36401) | 053.9

T-D1200 01Face, NOS | C76.0

G-A201 01Negative

Row 3: T-AA000 01Eye, NOS | C69.9

G-A201 01Negative

G-A609 01Other

M-10000 01Injury, NOS | 959.-

Row 4: T-D1200 01Face, NOS | C76.0

G-A201 01Negative

G-A609 01Other

M-10000 01Injury, NOS | 959.-

#### References

- [1] Dick RS, Steen EB, eds. The Computer-Based Patient Record. An Essential Technology for Health Care. Wash DC: Nat'l Acad. Press, 1991.
- [2] RFA: Applied Research Relevant to an Electronic Medical Record. *NIH Guide for Grants and Contracts*, Vol 23:5, Feb 4, 1994, pp 5-8.
- [3] Humphreys BL, Lindberg DAB. The UMLS Project:: a distributed experiment in improving access to biomedical information. North-Holland, Amsterdam: *MEDINFO* 1992;265-8.
- [4] Coté RA, Rothwell DJ, Beckette R, Palotay J, eds. *SNOMED International*. Northfield, IL: College of American Pathologists, 1993.

- [5] Campbell KE, Das AK, Musen MA. A logical foundation for representation of clinical data. *J Am Med Informatics Assoc.* 1994;1:218-232.
- [6] Cimino JJ, Clayton PD, Hripcsak G, Johnson SB. Knowledge-based approaches to the maintenance of a large controlled medical terminology. *JAMIA*. 1994;1:35-50.
- [7] Masarie FE, Miller RA, Bouhaddou O, Giuse NB, Warner HR. An Interlingua for electronic interchange of medical information: using frames to map between clinical vocabularies. Comput Biomed Res 1991;24:379-400.
- [8] Zingmond D, Lenert LA. Monitoring free-text data using medical language processing. *Comput Biomed Res.* 1993;26:467-81.
- [9] Friedman C, Alderson PO, Austin JH, Cimino JJ, Johnson SB. A general natural-language text processor for clinical radiology. *JAMIA*. 1994;1:161-74.
- [10] Sager N, Lyman M, Bucknall C, Nhàn N, Tick LJ. Natural language processing and the representation of clinical data. *JAMIA*. 1994;1:142-60.
- [11] Satomura Y, Do Amaral MB. Automated diagnostic indexing by natural language processing. *Med Inf (Lond)* 1992;17:149-63.
- [12] Sager N, Lyman M, Nhàn NT, Tick LJ. Medical Language Processing: Applications to Patient Data Representation and Automatic Encoding. *Meth Inform Med*, to be published.
- [13] Sager N, Friedman C, Lyman MS. Medical Language Processing: Computer Management of Narrative Data. Addison-Wesley, 1987.
- [14] Board of Directors of the American Medical Informatics Association. Position Paper: Standards for Medical Identifiers, Codes and Messages Needed to Create an Efficient Computer-Stored Medical Record. J Am Med Informatics Assoc. 1994;1:1-7.
- [15] Case histories and concept identification obtained from Computer-based Patient Record Institute (CPRI) exercises presented at Annual Symposium on Computer Applications in Medical Care, Wash DC, Nov 1993.
- [16] Wingert F. An Indexing System for SNOMED. Meth Inform Med. 1986;25:22-30.
- [17] Wingert F. Morphologic Analysis of Compound Words. *Meth Inform Med.* 1985; 24:155-62.