Increasing Genetic Relatedness of Ciprofloxacin-Resistant *Streptococcus pneumoniae* Isolated in Canada from 1997 to 2005[∇]

Kimberly A. Nichol,^{1*} Heather J. Adam,² James A. Karlowsky,^{1,2} George G. Zhanel,² and Daryl J. Hoban^{1,2}

Diagnostic Services of Manitoba/Department of Clinical Microbiology, Health Sciences Centre,¹ and Department of Medical Microbiology and Infectious Diseases, Faculty of Medicine, University of Manitoba,² Winnipeg, Manitoba, Canada

Received 27 September 2007/Returned for modification 15 November 2007/Accepted 23 December 2007

The genetic relatedness of ciprofloxacin-resistant *Streptococcus pneumoniae* isolates collected from 1997 to 2002 (n = 82) and 2003 to 2005 (n = 123) was compared by pulsed-field gel electrophoresis (PFGE). Increased genetic homogeneity among the isolates from 2003 to 2005 (cluster analysis; P < 0.001) appeared to be due to expansion of existing clonal groups and to introduction of new PFGE types.

Although fluoroquinolone resistance in *Streptococcus pneumoniae* has been slow to emerge, clinical isolates with reduced susceptibility have been described and continue to increase in prevalence in some regions (4, 7, 9, 12). Previous observations provide strong evidence that the spread of penicillin- and multidrug-resistant *S. pneumoniae* is often driven by the dissemination of a few successful clones (11, 15), and it is has been suggested that further increases in fluoroquinolone resistance will also likely be associated with clonal spread (19).

We recently reported that ciprofloxacin resistance in Canadian *S. pneumoniae* isolates increased from 0.6% in 1997/1998 to 1.7% in 2000/2001 (1). In 2003, resistance rates increased significantly to 2.3% (P < 0.05) and continued to rise annually to 4.2% by 2005 (1). The objectives of this study were to investigate the role of clonal spread in the rapid increase of ciprofloxacin resistance in *S. pneumoniae* in Canada since 2003 and to determine whether these isolates are genetically related to each other or to known pandemic clones. In our analysis we compared the genetic relatedness of isolates collected between 1997 and 2002 with isolates collected from 2003 to 2005.

In October 1997, a national surveillance study was initiated to monitor the activity of antimicrobial agents against Canadian respiratory tract isolates of *S. pneumoniae*. Between October 1997 and December 2005 inclusive, 10,741 clinical isolates of *S. pneumoniae* were submitted to the coordinating laboratory (Department of Clinical Microbiology, Health Sciences Centre, Winnipeg, Manitoba, Canada) from 25 medical centers from all regions of Canada (1, 20).

Susceptibility testing was performed by broth microdilution in accordance with CLSI (formerly NCCLS) recommendations (17) and MIC interpretive standards were defined according to CLSI breakpoints (5). Resistance to ciprofloxacin was defined as an MIC of $\geq 4 \mu g/ml$ (CIPRO package insert; Bayer Healthcare). Over the course of the study, 205 ciprofloxacin-resistant *S. pneumoniae* isolates were identified and characterized by pulsed-field gel electrophoresis (PFGE) (13). Twenty-six representative international pneumococcal clones recognized by the Pneumococcal Molecular Epidemiology Network were included in the analysis (15). PFGE profiles were digitized for analysis with BioNumerics software (version 3.5; Applied Maths, Inc., Austin, TX), and dendrograms were constructed by the unweighted pair group method with arithmetic averages with the Dice coefficient (1.0% optimization, 1.5% position tolerance). Isolates were considered to be genetically related if the Dice coefficient of similarity was \geq 80%. For the purpose of data analysis, groups were defined as three or four isolates with \geq 80% genetic relatedness and clusters were defined as five or more isolates with \geq 80% identity.

Sequencing of the quinolone resistance-determining regions (QRDRs) of *parC* and *gyrA* was performed previously (1, 16).

Eighty-two ciprofloxacin-resistant pneumococcal isolates (1.2% [82/6,927]) were collected between October 1997 and December 2002. PFGE analysis revealed six groups of three or four isolates accounting for 19 (23.2%) of the 82 strains (Table 1). Only three clusters of five or more genetically related isolates were observed, containing a total of 24 (29.3%) isolates. Cluster 3 (Fig. 1) included seven isolates, five serotype 9V isolates and two serotype 14 isolates. Comparison to 26 international antibiotic-resistant clones showed that these isolates belonged to PFGE types closely related (\geq 80%) to the Spain^{9V}-3 clone. The antibiograms of all five serotype 9V isolates were identical to each other and to that of Spain^{9V}-3. Unexpectedly, QRDR mutation patterns were not consistent for isolates in cluster 3.

Cluster 4 contained 11 genetically related isolates belonging to serogroup 11. Five isolates had a ParC mutation only (S79Y/F and/or D83N), and five contained an S81F or E85K mutation in GyrA in addition to the mutation in ParC. Three isolates of serotype 15B/C and three of serotype 19F comprised cluster 8. Serogroup 15 isolates contained mutations at S79 in ParC and S81 in GyrA, while only one of the remaining 19F isolates had QRDR changes. Isolates within these latter two

^{*} Corresponding author. Mailing address: Department of Clinical Microbiology, Health Sciences Centre, MS673 - 820 Sherbrook St., Winnipeg, Manitoba R3A 1R9, Canada. Phone: (204) 787-4902. Fax: (204) 787-4699. E-mail: knichol@hsc.mb.ca.

⁷ Published ahead of print on 7 January 2008.

TABLE 1.	Number of ciprofloxacin-resistant Streptococcus	
рпеит	oniae isolates in PFGE groups and clusters	

D	Value for isol during stu	<i>P</i> value		
Parameter	1997 to 2002 ($n = 82$)	2003 to 2005 ($n = 123$)	P value	
No. of groups (3 or 4 isolates)	6	4		
Total no. of isolates in groups	19	14	0.0324	
No. of clusters (≥ 5 isolates)	3	7		
Total no. of isolates in clusters	24	72	0.0001	
No. of groups and clusters $(\geq 3 \text{ isolates})$	9	11		
Total no. of isolates in groups and clusters	43	86	0.0125	

clusters (clusters 4 and 8) were unrelated to the international clones.

From January 2003 to December 2005, 123 ciprofloxacinresistant S. pneumoniae isolates (3.2% [123/3,814]) were collected. Dendrogram analysis identified 11 groups and clusters (three or more isolates) accounting for 86 (69.9%) strains (Table 1). The majority (72 [83.7%]) of those isolates belonged to seven clusters containing five or more isolates. Among the 11 groups or clusters, eight new PFGE types were identified (four groups and four clusters) (Fig. 2). In addition, four genotypes (cluster 3, cluster 4, group 6, and cluster 8) seen in S. pneumoniae isolates collected from 1997 to 2002 were also observed in 2003 to 2005 isolates. Interestingly, there appeared to be convergence of group 6 and cluster 8 into a single genotype (designated cluster 6;8). This included three isolates of serotype 19A and five belonging to serogroup 15. Seven (87.5%) of the eight isolates had an S79F or D83N mutation in ParC; only four (50.0%) had a GyrA mutation (S81F or E85K).

As with the earlier (1997 to 2002) isolates, the 11 pneumococcal isolates belonging to cluster 3 were genetically related to the Spain^{9V}-3 clone. Approximately 50% were serotype 9V, and 50% were serotype 14. Almost all isolates had identical mutation patterns, including two ParC substitutions and one GyrA change.

Cluster 4 contained 18 isolates belonging to serotype 11A. QRDR mutation patterns were not consistent. Despite being ciprofloxacin resistant, almost half of the isolates had no QRDR mutations. Cluster 10 included 14 isolates that belonged to serotype 12F. All isolates contained S79F and K137N mutations in ParC, and only three did not carry a mutation at S81 in GyrA. These isolates showed the highest degree of genetic similarity (>90%); however, all but three were isolated in Winnipeg, Manitoba, Canada.

Four isolates of serotype 3 and four belonging to serogroup 6 comprised cluster 14. All eight strains had identical resistance profiles and QRDR mutations (S79F in ParC and S81F in GyrA). Neither cluster 10 nor cluster 14 was related to known pneumococcal clones. Isolates in the final two clusters (cluster 16 and cluster 17) were found to be genetically related to the Taiwan^{19F}-14 and Spain^{23F}-1 clones, respectively. All of our ciprofloxacin-resistant *S. pneumoniae* isolates related to these clones were multidrug resistant. Cluster 16 contained seven serotype 19F isolates while cluster 17 contained a total of

six isolates, four serotype 23F isolates and two serotype 19F isolates.

High rates of fluoroquinolone resistance in Hong Kong have been linked to the local dissemination of a strain related to the Spain^{23F}-1 clone (8). Strains related to this and other recognized pneumococcal clones (Spain^{6B}-2, Spain^{9V}-3, Tennessee^{23F}-4, Spain¹⁴-5, England¹⁴-9, Taiwan^{19F}-14, Taiwan^{23F}-15, Maryland^{6B}-17, Tennessee¹⁴-18, Greece^{6B}-22, or Utah^{35B}-24) have also been identified in other countries throughout North America and Europe (2, 3, 6, 10, 14, 18, 19). Despite genetic similarity, the association between clonal spread and fluoroquinolone resistance remains unclear (6). In contrast, genetic heterogeneity among isolates from other regions suggests that clonal spread is not responsible for the observed increases in fluoroquinolone-resistant pneumococci but rather that fluoroquinolone resistance in *S. pneumoniae* has emerged primarily through de novo mutational events (3, 6, 10, 14, 19).

In our study, we found a greater degree of genetic homogeneity among ciprofloxacin-resistant S. pneumoniae isolates collected from 2003 to 2005 than among ciprofloxacin-resistant strains isolated between 1997 and 2002. Many of the isolates from the 1997 to 2002 study period were genetically unrelated, with only nine PFGE types identified with three or more isolates and only one small cluster of isolates related to the Spain^{9V}-3 clone. Variations in QRDR substitution patterns, serotypes, and antibiotic susceptibilities among some isolates with similar PFGE patterns suggest that new mutational events continue to play a large role in the spread of fluoroquinoloneresistant S. pneumoniae in Canada. However, the observed increase in genetic homogeneity among ciprofloxacin-resistant isolates collected between 2003 and 2005 appears to be the result of a combination of the expansion of existing clonal groups (i.e., Spain^{9V}-3), the appearance and detection of fluoroquinolone resistance among additional international clones (i.e., Spain^{23F}-1 and Taiwan^{19F}-14) in the local pneumococcal population, and the introduction of new PFGE types (clones) containing greater numbers of isolates (seven new clusters of five or more isolates).

Potential limitations of this study include its small sample size (only 205 ciprofloxacin-resistant *S. pneumoniae* isolates were collected and tested) and the potential bias introduced by segregating isolates based on changes in ciprofloxacin resistance rates. The use of alternate criteria for assignment of strains to sets of isolates for comparison purposes may influence the degree of genetic homogeneity or heterogeneity observed following epidemiological analysis. We also acknowledge that the use of an additional typing scheme, such as multilocus sequence typing, would increase the robustness of our comparisons; however, multilocus sequence typing was beyond the scope of this project.

In summary, genetic relatedness among ciprofloxacin-resistant *S. pneumoniae* isolates from across Canada increased following a significant increase in ciprofloxacin resistance rates since 2003. Although the development of de novo mutations within the QRDRs of *parC* and *gyrA*, presumably as a result of selective pressure from increasing fluoroquinolone use, continues to play a major role in the spread of such resistance, the documentation of ciprofloxacin-resistant strains similar to the Spain^{23F}-1, Spain^{9V}-3, and Taiwan^{19F}-14 international clones among the Canadian pneumococcal population is equally noteworthy. Given the propensity of these strains to acquire resis-

<u>× 60 × 70 × 80 × 90 × 1</u> 00	Year 2000	City Hamilton	Age 70	Sex F	Serotype 23F	C1P 8	PEN 0.03	GyrA	ParC D83G	Group/Cluster
	2000	Toronto	64	M	6B	16	1	S81Y	S79F	
	2000	Victoria	83	F	6B	16	0.03	S81Y	S79F	
	2002	Hamilton	74	F	6 B	16	0.03	S81F	S79Y	
	1998	Calgary	81	Μ	6 B	32	0.03	S81F	D83N	
	2001	Vancouver	61	Μ	6 B	4	0.03		1010 1000	C 1
	2002	Winnipeg	89	M	6B	8	0.03	S81F	S79Y	G-1
	1999 2000	Victoria Vancouver	78 80	F M	6B 19F	16 16	0.03		S79F D83N	
	2000	Montreal	94	F	19F	16	1	E85K	S79F, D83Y	
	2001	Moncton	69	M	22F	4	0.06	S81F	5771, 5051	[
	2001	Hamilton	86	F	22F	32	0.06	S81F	S79Y	G-2
	1999	Montreal	76	Μ	22F	4	0.03		S79F	G-2
	2002	Edmonton	80	Μ	22F	4	0.03		S79Y	
	2001	Montreal	U	F	19A	16	0.03	E85K	S79Y	
	2001 2002	Edmonton Montreal	48 76	F M	19F 23F	4 16	0.5 0.03	E85G	S79F S79F	
-	2001	St. John	80	M	9V	4	4	2050	D83N, K137N	Í.
	2002	Winnipeg	91	M	9V	32	2	S81F	S79F, K137N	
	2001	St. John	74	Μ	9V	4	4		D83N, K137N	C -3
	2001	Montreal	35	F	9V	8	2			
	1999	Montreal	72	Μ	14	8	1		S79F, K137N	(Spain ^{9v} -3)
	2000	Regina	70	M	9V	8	4		D83A, K137N	
	1998 2000	Calgary Sherbrooke	60 74	F M	14 9 N	8 16	0.03	S 81F	K137N	
	1999	Montreal	70	M	11A	4	0.08	3011	S79F, K137N S79Y	1
	1999	Monttean	84	M	11A	8	0.05		\$79F	
	1998	Montreal	77	M	11A	4	0.06		S79F	
	1999	Hamilton	76	Μ	11 A	32	0.03	S81F	S79F	
	2002	Regina	61	F	11 D	32	0.03	S81F	D83N	0.4
	2002	Vancouver	72	F	11A	4	0.06		07037	C-4
	2000 1999	Hamilton Montreal	79 43	M F	11A 11A	4 8	0.03 0.03		S79Y S79F	
	2000	Halifax	66	M	11A	32	0.03	E85K	\$79F	
	2000	Edmonton	75	F	11A	32	0.03	S81F	\$79F	
	2001	Hamilton	51	F	11 D	32	0.06	S81F	S79Y, D83N	
	1999	Hamilton	54	F	22F	32	0.03	S81F	S79Y	
	2000	Moncton	76	F	22F	32	0.06	E85K	S79F	G-5
	2000	Montreal	U	U	22F	16	0.06	S81F	D83N	
	2000 2000	Vancouver Winnipeg	68 49	M M	10A 12F	4 16	0.03	S81F	S79F, K137N	
	1999	Montreal	69	F	6A	16	0.03	S81F	S79F	
	2001	Sherbrooke	85	F	6A	8	0.03	S81Y	\$79F	
	2000	Toronto	61	Μ	6A	32	0.25	S81F	S79F	
	2001	Hamilton	50	F	18C	16	0.03	S81F		
	2002	Montreal	59	M	18 B	16	0.03	S81Y	S79Y	
	2000 2001	Winnipeg	57 60	M M	23 A 19F	16 4	0.03 8	S81F	S79Y	
	2001	M oncton Hamilton	73	M	6 B	4	0.06	S81F	\$79F	
	2002	Toronto	80	M	12F	4	0.06	5011	S79F, K137N	
	1998	Sherbrooke	77	Μ	23F	16	0.03	S81F	S79F	
	2001	Edmonton	47	Μ	16F	4	0.03	S81F	S79F	G-6
	2001	Victoria	73	M	19F	16	0.12	S81F	D83Y	
	2000 2000	Victoria	91 90	F F	19F 19F	16 16	0.03	S81F S81F	\$79F	G-7
	2000	Toronto Halifax	48	F	NT	4	0.03	301F	S79F K137N	U-7
	1999	Calgary	60	F	4	8	0.03	S81F	D83Y	1
	2002	Montreal	71	M	6 B	16	0.03	S81F	S79F	
	2000	Montreal	62	М	17F	8	0.03	100 () 100 () 100 ()	D83G	
	2001	Saskatoon	76	М	15B	16	0.06	S81F	S79F	
	2002	Edmonton	56	M	15C	16	0.03	S81F	S79Y	
	2002 2000	Edmonton Winnipeg	56 64	M M	15C 19F	16 4	0.03	S81F	S79F	C -8
	2000	Winnipeg	73	F	19F	8	0.03			
	2001	Montreal	67	F	19F	4	0.5	S81F	S79F, K137N	
	2002	Montreal	58	F	19F	4	0.12		D83N	
	1999	Hamilton	62	F	15B	4	0.06			
	1999	Montreal	60	Μ	23F	4	1		S79F, K137N	
	1999	Regina	67	F	23F	8	2	E85K	S79F, K137N	
	2002 2000	Hamilton Winnipeg	64 69	F M	6A 6A	4 32	0.03	S81F	S79F	
	1999	Moncton	71	M	9N	4	0.03	BOIL	S79F	
	2002	Calgary	80	F	9N	16	0.06	S81F	S79F, K137N	
	2002	Edmonton	92	M	19F	16	0.03	S81F	S79F	
	2000	London	67	М	14	4	0.03		S79F	
	2002	Winnipeg	37	F	23F	16	0.25	S81F	\$79F	
	2001	Toronto	U	F	19A	32	0.06	E85K	S79Y	C O
	2002 2001	Hamilton Halifax	68 57	M F	4 4	16 16	0.06	S81F E85K	S79F S79F	G-9
	2001	Regina	69	M	4	8	2	881F	\$79F \$79F, K137N	1
	2000	Regina	69	M	14	16	1	S81F	S79F, K137N	
	2000	Regina	70	М	9V	8	1		D83A, K137N	

FIG. 1. Genetic relatedness of 82 ciprofloxacin-resistant *S. pneumoniae* isolates from Canada from 1997 to 2002. The figure shows the genetic relatedness of the isolates (from 60% to 100%) according to the Dice coefficient of similarity and information about the isolates and patients, including the age (in years) and sex (male [M] or female [F]) of the patient, ciprofloxacin MIC (CIP) (μ g/ml), penicillin MIC (PEN) (μ g/ml), and mutations in GyrA and ParC. The isolates in a group (three or four isolates with \geq 80% genetic relatedness) and cluster (five or more isolates with \geq 80% genetic relatedness) are indicated by shading. G-1, group 1; C-3, cluster 3.

50 60 70 80 90 100			-				-		
<u>المالة المالة المالة</u> 2004 - 2005 -	M ontreal Ottawa	Age 37 73	Sex M M	Serotype 20 4	C IP 3 2 8	PEN 0.06 0.03	G yrA S 8 1 F E 8 5 G	ParC S79Y S79A	G roup/C luster
	Toronto	8 66 66	F M M	12 F 12 F 12 F	16 16 4	0.03 0.06 0.03	S 8 1 F S 8 1 F S 8 1 F	S79F, K137N S79F, K137N S79F, K137N	
200	W innipeg Toronto	66 73	M M	12F 12F	8 16	0.03 0.06	S 8 1 F S 8 1 F	S79F, K137N S79F, K137N	
	Calgary	66 51 56	M M M	12 F 12 F 12 F	16 4 16	0.03 0.03 0.03	S 8 1 F S 8 1 Y	\$79F, K137N \$79F, K137N \$79F, K137N	C - 1 0
	W innipeg W innipeg	60 82	F F	12F 12B	4 3 2	0.03	S 8 1 Y	S79F, K137N S79F, K137N	(N e w)
	W innipeg	89 82 66	M F M	12 F 12 B 12 F	4 32 4	0.03 0.03 0.06	S 8 1 F S 8 1 Y	S79F, K137N S79F, K137N S79F, K137N	
2004	W innipeg M oncton	19 72	M F	12F 6B	8 8	0.06	S 8 1 F S 8 1 F	S 7 9 F, K 1 3 7 N S 7 9 F S 7 9 Υ	
	H am ilton	66 74 40	M F F	35 F 9 N 9 N	4 4 4	0.03 0.03 0.03	581F 581F	579Y, K137N D83N, K137N	
		69 77 57	M F M	9 N 1 9 F N T	16 4 64	0.03 0.03 0.25	S 8 1 L	K 1 3 7 N S 7 9 F S 7 9 F, K 1 3 7 N	
	W innipeg Calgary	64 78	M M	10 A 10 A	16 8	0.03 0.03	E 8 5 K E 8 5 K	S 7 9 F S 7 9 F	G - 1 1
	Vancouver	64 45 79	M M M	10 A 10 A 35 B	8 8 8	0.03 0.03 0.03	S 8 1 F S 8 1 F S 8 1 F	S79F S79F S79F, D83N	(N e w)
	Saskatoon Montreal	4274	M M	14 6B	32 8 4	0.25 0.03 0.03	E 8 5 K S 8 1 F	S 7 9 F S 7 9 F S 7 9 C	
	Hamilton Hamilton	65 68 65	F M M	15B 23F 23F	4 8 8	0.03	S 8 1 F E 8 5 K	S 7 9 F S 7 9 F	G - 1 2
	W innipeg	44 57 72	F M M	23F 23F 6B	4 4 4	0.03 0.06	S 8 1 F	S 7 9 Y D 8 3 N S 7 9 Y	(N e w)
2004	H am ilton V ancouver	69 74	M F	23 A 19 F	4 4	0.03		S 7 9 F S 7 9 F	
	W innipeg	75 68 53	F F M	19 F 23 F 14	32 8 32	0.03 0.03 0.06	S 8 1 F S 8 1 F S 8 1 F	\$79F \$79Y \$79F, K137N	
	Toronto	36 56 88	M M M	14 14 14	32 32 64	0.12 0.06 0.06	S 8 1 F S 8 1 F S 8 1 F	S 7 9 F, K 1 3 7 N S 7 9 F, K 1 3 7 N S 7 9 Y, K 1 3 7 N	
	M ontreal W innipeg	7 83	F F	14 9 V	4 16	4 0.12	S 8 1 F	K 1 3 7 N S 7 9 F, K 1 3 7 N	C - 3 (Spain ^{ev} -3)
	Toronto	55 85 72	F F F	9 A 1 4 9 V	16 32 4	0.03 2 2	S 8 1 F E 8 5 K	879F, K137N 879Y, K137N D83N, K137N	(Spain - 3)
	C harlottetown Toronto	67 44 66	M F M	9 V 9 V 1 9 F	32 64 16	4 0.06 0.03	E 8 5 K S 8 1 F S 8 1 F	\$79F, K137N \$79F, K137N \$79F	
2003	Vancouver Montreal	21	F M	6 A 1 9 F	8 4	0.12		S 7 9 Y S 7 9 F	
	Winnipeg	66 67 68	M M M	19 A 19 A 19 A	4 8 8	0.03	S 8 1 F S 8 1 F S 8 1 F	\$79F \$79F \$79F	G - 6
	V ictoria Calgary	77 90	F F	15 A 15 A	4 8	0.06		\$79F	C -6;8
	Halifax	26 39 28	F M F	15C 15B 15B	4 4 4	0.12 0.06 0.03	E 8 5 K	\$79F \$79F D83N	C -8
	Victoria	67 81 76	M M F	19 A 3 3	4 8 8	0.03 0.03 0.03	S 8 1 F S 8 1 F E 8 5 K	579F 579F 579Y	G - 1 3
	Toronto Ottawa	52 34	M M	11 F 6 A	4 4	0.06		\$79F	(N e w)
	Toronto Toronto	37 65 37	F M F	3 3 3	4 8 8	0.03 0.03 0.03	S 8 1 F S 8 1 F S 8 1 F	S 7 9 F S 7 9 F S 7 9 F	
	M ontreal	73 63 77	M M F	3 6 B 6 A	4 16 8	0.03 0.03 0.03	S 8 1 F S 8 1 F S 8 1 F	S 7 9 F S 7 9 F S 7 9 F	C - 1 4 (New)
	M ontreal M ontreal	85 74	M F	6 A 6 B	8 4	0.03	S 8 1 F S 8 1 F	S 7 9 F S 7 9 F	
	Toronto	71 66 91	M F M	23F 11A 11A	4 4	4 0.06 0.03	S 8 1 F	\$79F	
	C harlottetown H alifax	83 39 53	M M F	11A 11A 11A	64 8 8	0.06 0.06 0.03	S 8 1 F S 8 1 F		
		91 91	M M	11A 11A	4 3 2	0.06	581F	S 7 9 F S 7 9 F	
	H am ilton	1 84 70	F M M	1 1 A 1 1 A 1 1 A	4 16 4	0.06 0.03 0.03	S 8 1 F S 8 1 F	S 7 9 F	C - 4
	Calgary	77 66	M M F	11A 11A	32 4 4	0.03	S 8 1 F	S 7 9 F	
	M ontreal Toronto	81 65 66	F F	1 1 A 1 1 A 1 1 A	4 32 4	0.06 0.03 0.06	S 8 1 F	\$79¥, K137N	
	M ontreal	71 57 63	F F M	1 1 A 1 1 A 1 1 A	32 4 4	0.06 0.03 0.03	S 8 1 F	\$79Y, K137N D83N	
2004	W innipeg Sherbrooke	7368	M M	11A 33F	16 32	0.12	S 8 1 Y S 8 1 F	\$79F, K137N \$79F	
	Sydney	90 67 40	M F F	6 A 6 A 3 1	8 4 4	0.25 0.03 0.06	S 8 1 F E 8 5 A	S 7 9 F S 7 9 A K 1 3 7 N	
	Regina Montreal	62 86 76	m M M	6 A 2 2 F 2 2 F	4 4 4	0.12 0.03 0.03	E 8 5 K	\$ 7 9 A \$ 7 9 F, D 8 3 Y \$ 7 9 F	G -15
	Toronto Halifax	57 61	M M	6 B 2 2 F	4 3 2	0.06	S 8 1 F	S 7 9 Y S 7 9 Y	(N e w)
	Edmonton	56 67 81	M M M	22 F 22 F 22 F	4 8 3 2	0.06	S 8 1 F S 8 1 Y	S 7 9 Y D 8 3 N S 7 9 Y	
	M ontreal M ontreal	69 87 74	F M	20 19 F	4 4 4	0.06		D 8 3 N D 8 3 Y	
	Toronto Montreal	65 55	M M F	19F 19F 19F	4 4	4 4		D 8 3 Y S 7 9 F	C - 16 (Taiwan ¹⁹⁹ -14)
		93 78 93	M M M	19F 19F 19F	4 8 2	4 4 4	S 8 1 F	S 7 9 F S 7 9 F S 7 9 F	(. aiw an ^{***} - 14)
	C algary C algary	8 1 8 1 7 8	F M M	19 F 19 F 23 F	16 32 8	0.5 4 4	S 8 1 F S 8 1 F S 8 1 F	S 7 9 F, K 1 3 7 N S 7 9 F, K 1 3 7 N S 7 9 F, K 1 3 7 N	C - 17
	W innipeg M ontreal	74 57	M F	23F 23F	3 2 4	1 2	S 8 1 F	S79F, K137N K137N	(Spain ^{23P} -1)
	Toronto	80 80 24	M M M	23F 34 NT	1 6 4 2	4 0.03 0.5	S81F, E85K	\$79F, K137N	

FIG. 2. Genetic relatedness of 123 ciprofloxacin-resistant *S. pneumoniae* isolates from Canada from 2003 to 2005. The figure shows the genetic relatedness of the isolates (from 60% to 100%) according to the Dice coefficient of similarity and information about the isolates and patients, including the age (in years) and sex (male [M] or female [F]) of the patient, ciprofloxacin MIC (CIP) (μ g/ml), penicillin MIC (PEN) (μ g/ml), and mutations in GyrA and ParC. The isolates in a group (three or four isolates with \geq 80% genetic relatedness) and cluster (five or more isolates with \geq 80% genetic relatedness) are indicated by shading. C-10, cluster 10 (a new cluster); G-11, group 11 (a new group).

tance to multiple antibiotics and their ability to spread rapidly, ongoing surveillance is essential for monitoring the changing epidemiology of fluoroquinolone resistance in this important pathogen.

REFERENCES

- Adam, H. J., K. N. Schurek, K. A. Nichol, C. J. Hoban, T. J. Baudry, N. M. Laing, D. J. Hoban, and G. G. Zhanel. 2007. Molecular characterization of increasing fluoroquinolone resistance in *Streptococcus pneumoniae* isolates in Canada, 1997 to 2005. Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 51:198–207.
- Alou, L., M. Ramirez, C. Garcia-Rey, J. Prieto, and H. de Lencastre. 2001. Streptococcus pneumoniae isolates with reduced susceptibility to ciprofloxa- cin in Spain: clonal diversity and appearance of ciprofloxacin-resistant epi-demic clones. Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 45:2955–2957.
- Canton, R., M. Morosini, M. C. Enright, and I. Morrissey. 2003. Worldwide incidence, molecular epidemiology and mutations implicated in fluoroquinolone-resistant *Streptococcus pneumoniae*: data from the global PROTEKT surveillance programme. J. Antimicrob. Chemother. 52:944–952.
- Chen, D. K., A. McGeer, J. C. de Azavedo, and D. E. Low for The Canadian Bacterial Surveillance Network. 1999. Decreased susceptibility of Streptococcus pneumoniae to fluoroquinolones in Canada. N. Engl. J. Med. 341: 233–239.
- Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute. 2005. Performance standards for antimicrobial susceptibility testing; 15th informational supplement. CLSI/NCCLS M100-S15. Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute, Wayne, PA.
- Davies, T. A., R. Goldschmidt, S. Pfleger, M. Loeloff, K. Bush, D. F. Sahm, and A. Evangelista. 2003. Cross-resistance, relatedness and allele analysis of fluoroquinolone-resistant US clinical isolates of *Streptococcus pneumoniae* (1998–2000). J. Antimicrob. Chemother. 52:168–175.
- de la Campa, A. G., L. Balsalobre, C. Ardanuy, A. Fenoll, E. Perez-Trallero, and J. Linares. 2004. Fluoroquinolone resistance in penicillin-resistant *Strep*tococcus pneumoniae clones, Spain. Emerg. Infect. Dis. 10:1751–1759.
- Ho, P. L., W. C. Yam, T. K. Cheung, W. W. Ng, T. L. Que, D. N. Tsang, T. K. Ng, and W. H. Seto. 2001. Fluoroquinolone resistance among *Streptococcus pneumoniae* in Hong Kong linked to the Spanish 23F clone. Emerg. Infect. Dis. 7:906–908.
- Ho, P. L., R. W. Yung, D. N. Tsang, T. L. Que, M. Ho, W. H. Seto, T. K. Ng, W. C. Yam, and W. W. Ng. 2001. Increasing resistance of *Streptococcus*

pneumoniae to fluoroquinolones: results of a Hong Kong multicentre study in 2000. J. Antimicrob. Chemother. 48:659–665.

- Johnson, C. N., W. H. Benjamin, Jr., S. A. Moser, S. K. Hollingshead, X. Zheng, M. J. Crain, M. H. Nahm, and K. B. Waites. 2003. Genetic relatedness of levofloxacin-nonsusceptible *Streptococcus pneumoniae* isolates from North America. J. Clin. Microbiol. 41:2458–2464.
- Klugman, K. P. 2002. The successful clone: the vector of dissemination of resistance in *Streptococcus pneumoniae*. J. Antimicrob. Chemother. 50(Suppl. S2):1–5.
- Liñares, J., A. G. de la Campa, and R. Pallares. 1999. Fluoroquinolone resistance in *Streptococcus pneumoniae*. N. Engl. J. Med. 341:1546–1547.
- McEllistrem, M. C., J. E. Stout, and L. H. Harrison. 2000. Simplified protocol for pulsed-field gel electrophoresis analysis of *Streptococcus pneumoniae*. J. Clin. Microbiol. 38:351–353.
- McGee, L., C. E. Goldsmith, and K. P. Klugman. 2002. Fluoroquinolone resistance among clinical isolates of *Streptococcus pneumoniae* belonging to international multiresistant clones. J. Antimicrob. Chemother. 49:173–176.
- McGee, L., L. McDougal, J. Zhou, B. G. Spratt, F. C. Tenover, R. George, R. Hakenbeck, W. Hryniewicz, J. C. Lefévre, A. Tomasz, and K. P. Klugman. 2001. Nomenclature of major antimicrobial-resistant clones of *Streptococcus pneumoniae* defined by the Pneumococcal Molecular Epidemiology Network. J. Clin. Microbiol. 39:2565–2571.
- Morrissey, I., and J. George. 1999. Activities of fluoroquinolones against Streptococcus pneumoniae type II topoisomerases purified as recombinant proteins. Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 43:2579–2585.
- National Committee for Clinical Laboratory Standards. 2003. Methods for dilution antimicrobial susceptibility tests for bacteria that grow aerobically. M7-A6. National Committee for Clinical Laboratory Standards, Wayne, PA.
- Pletz, M. W., L. McGee, J. Jorgensen, B. Beall, R. R. Facklam, C. G. Whitney, and K. P. Klugman. 2004. Levofloxacin-resistant invasive *Streptococcus pneumoniae* in the United States: evidence for clonal spread and the impact of conjugate pneumococcal vaccine. Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 48:3491–3497.
- Richter, S. S., K. P. Heilmann, S. E. Beekmann, N. J. Miller, C. L. Rice, and G. V. Doern. 2005. The molecular epidemiology of *Streptococcus pneumoniae* with quinolone resistance mutations. Clin. Infect. Dis. 40:225–235.
- Zhanel, G. G., L. Palatnick, K. A. Nichol, T. Bellyou, D. E. Low, and D. J. Hoban. 2003. Antimicrobial resistance in respiratory tract *Streptococcus pneumoniae* isolates: results of the Canadian Respiratory Organism Susceptibility Study, 1997 to 2002. Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 47:1867–1874.