Molecular Cancer



Open Access Research

Gene expression profiles in primary pancreatic tumors and metastatic lesions of Ela-c-myc transgenic mice

Archana Thakur[†], Aliccia Bollig[†], Jiusheng Wu and Dezhong J Liao*

Address: Department of Pathology, Karmanos Cancer Institute, Wayne State University School of Medicine, 110 E. Warren Ave., Detroit, Michigan 48201, USA

Email: Archana Thakur - athakur@med.wayne.edu; Aliccia Bollig - abollig@med.wayne.edu; Jiusheng Wu - jwu@med.wayne.edu; Dezhong J Liao* - djliao@hi.umn.edu

* Corresponding author †Equal contributors

Published: 24 January 2008

Accepted: 24 January 2008 Molecular Cancer 2008, 7:11 doi:10.1186/1476-4598-7-11

This article is available from: http://www.molecular-cancer.com/content/7/1/11

© 2008 Thakur et al; licensee BioMed Central Ltd.

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/2.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Received: 3 April 2007

Abstract

Background: Pancreatic carcinoma usually is a fatal disease with no cure, mainly due to its invasion and metastasis prior to diagnosis. We analyzed the gene expression profiles of paired primary pancreatic tumors and metastatic lesions from Ela-c-myc transgenic mice in order to identify genes that may be involved in the pancreatic cancer progression. Differentially expressed selected genes were verified by semi-quantitative and quantitative RT-PCR. To further evaluate the relevance of some of the selected differentially expressed genes, we investigated their expression pattern in human pancreatic cancer cell lines with high and low metastatic potentials.

Results: Data indicate that genes involved in posttranscriptional regulation were a major functional category of upregulated genes in both primary pancreatic tumors (PT) and liver metastatic lesions (LM) compared to normal pancreas (NP). In particular, differential expression for splicing factors, RNA binding/pre-mRNA processing factors and spliceosome related genes were observed, indicating that RNA processing and editing related events may play critical roles in pancreatic tumor development and progression. High expression of insulin growth factor binding protein-I (Igfbp I) and Serine proteinase inhibitor A1 (Serpina1), and low levels or absence of Wt1 gene expression were exclusive to liver metastatic lesion samples.

Conclusion: We identified Igfbp1, Serpinal and Wt1 genes that are likely to be clinically useful biomarkers for prognostic or therapeutic purposes in metastatic pancreatic cancer, particularly in pancreatic cancer where c-Myc is overexpressed.

Background

Pancreatic cancer (PC) is the fourth leading cause of cancer death in the United States and has no cure, partly because the tumor is at advanced stage or has already metastasized at the time of diagnosis [1]. Like many other types of cancer, pancreatic cancer also shows high frequencies of overexpression and/or amplification of the cmyc oncogene. In one study, 43.5% of primary tumors

and 31.6% of metastases showed c-Myc overexpression, in association with 32.5% and 29.4% of gene amplification in the primary and metastatic lesions, respectively [2]. c-Myc and cyclin D1 gene amplification was report 54% and 28% in 31 pancreatic cancer cell lines, respectively, indicating a high frequency of concomitant amplification of both genes [3]. Moreover, simultaneous amplification of activated k-ras and c-myc has been found in both primary tumor and lymph node metastasis, suggesting that c-Myc may collaborate with other oncogenes to promote development and progression of pancreatic cancer [4]. More direct evidence for a critical role for c-Myc in pancreatic carcinogenesis comes from Ela-c-myc transgenic mice that develop PC between 2-7 months of age with 100% incidence rate [5]. One-half of the pancreatic tumors that form in this mouse model are acinar cell adenocarcinomas, while the remaining half of the tumors are mixed ductal and acinar cell carcinomas embedded in dense stroma. We have recently described detailed morphological traits of the pancreatic tumors developed in this transgenic model [6,7] and, for the first time, observed spontaneous metastasis to the liver in this model. These transgenic mice are among the few animal models of liver metastasis of spontaneous PC. The whole carcinogenic process, from initiation to metastasis, is short (in only a few months time) and is initiated by only one gene.

The most devastating aspect of all types of cancer, particularly pancreatic cancer, is the emergence of metastases in organs distant from the primary tumor, and this remains the primary cause for the poor survival of patients with pancreatic cancer [8]. Therefore, a search for molecular markers that can predict poor prognosis and also serve as novel targets for the development of therapies against this most aggressive disease is warranted. Transgenic animals have been widely used to dissect the role of genes and molecular pathways in cancer [9]. Our transgenic model will help in understanding the molecular mechanisms by which metastases are generated, which is crucial for the prevention and treatment of metastatic disease. In this study we attempted to identify genes that may be responsible for the liver metastasis of pancreatic tumors in Elamyc transgenic mice.

Results

cDNA Microarray Analysis and Global Gene Expression Profiles

Microarray signal values were calculated from the multiple probes present on each chip for each condition and each condition was repeated at least three times. The relative intensity (fold change) of gene expression levels in the primary tumors (PT) compared to the normal pancreas (NP) is shown in Figure 1A (left panel) and fold change in gene expression in liver metastatic (LM) lesions compared to PT are presented in Figures 1A (right panel).

Cluster analysis was used to display the gene expression data of those, which showed 4-fold higher or 4-fold lower expression levels in PT and LM compared to NP samples. Before clustering, a filtering procedure eliminated genes with uniformly low expression or with low expression variation across the replicates. A large number of genes in PT and LM showed different expression from NP. However,

the majority of genes did not show obvious distinction in their expression pattern between the PT and LM (Fig. 1B), except for a small number of genes (boxed area in Fig. 1B expanded in Fig. 1C), suggesting that the LM largely retain the properties of the primary tumors.

Identification of potential tumor promoting genes in c-myc-induced pancreatic tumors

Expressed genes were categorized on the basis of their functional properties, which showed at least 4-fold higher, or 4-fold lower expression levels in primary or metastatic pancreatic tumors compared to normal pancreas. Table 1 shows genes whose expression was upregulated in PT compared to NP (relative fold change) and also shows the relative fold change in LM compared to PT samples. Many upregulated genes such as Birc5, Ccna2, Ccnb1, Ccnb2, Mcm7, Nap1l1, Rad51, Smc4l1, Smc2l1, Rsk4, sfrs1, and sfrs2 (please see Table 1 for their full names) showed 5-20 fold higher expression levels, very few showed exceptionally high fold changes, for example calcium binding protein-S100g showed 109 fold higher expression level in PT than in NP. A large number of upregulated genes in PT belonged to the functional categories known for cell proliferation and cell cycle regulation, chromosomal organization and biogenesis, and RNA processing and modification. In Table 2, we show the genes whose expression was down regulated in PT compared to NP samples (relative fold change) as well as the fold change in LM compared to PT samples. Down regulation of some of the genes in Table 2 including Col4a4, Pcdh17, Muc2, Muc13 (please see Table 2 for their full names) has been shown to modulate cell adhesion and apoptosis.

Selected genes (highlighted in Table 1, 2 and 3) from various functional categories were further verified by RT-PCR for their expression patterns (Fig. 2A). This selection was based on results in the literature indicating a direct or indirect role for each candidate gene in RNA processing, cell signaling, cell proliferation or apoptosis and cell adhesion and motility activities resulting in tumor growth and tumor progression. Many of these genes listed in Table 1 and 2, such as Birc5, Brca1, Ccnb2, CXCR4, Mcm2, Mcm4, Mcm7, Nap1l1, Rad51, Sf3b, S100g [10-17]have been shown to be upregulated, while Cldn18, Muc2, Muc13, and b-myc [18-21]are shown to be down regulated in human pancreatic cancer as well as other types of cancer (please see Table 1 and 2for their full names). However, strong expression of Muc13 in 50% of samples as well as b-myc in pancreatic cancer cells was unexpected and needs further characterization.

We evidenced notable changes in the family members of insulin-like growth factor (Igf). While Igf1 expression was slightly decreased in tumors compared with normal pan-




Figure I Gene expression profiles. A) Histogram showing a similar (left) and differential (right) gene expression profiles of primary pancreatic tumors and liver metastatic lesions from Ela-c-Myc transgenic mice compared to normal pancreas from wild type littermates. B) Hierarchical clustering of differentially expressed genes. Clustering tree illustrate the expression pattern and similarity in primary pancreatic tumors (labeled as PT) and liver metastatic lesions (labeled as LM) compared to normal pancreas (labeled as NP) indicated by color bars. C) Shows only the differentially expressed gene profile with at least a four-fold change (≤ 4 or ≥ 4) indicated by color bars. (blue-down regulated and red up-regulated).

Table 1: Upregulated genes in primary pancreatic tumors. Relative fold change in primary pancreatic tumors compared to normal pancreas (PT/NP) and in liver metastatic lesions compared to primary pancreatic tumors (LM/PT).

Entrez Gene	Fold change LM*/PT*	Fold change PT/NP*	Gene Symbol	Gene description	Ref.*
Mitochondrial	ribosomal subur	nits			
77721	1.0	4.2	Mrps5	Mitochondrial ribosomal protein S5	
59527	1.0	4.5	Mrps9	Mitochondrial ribosomal protein S9	
94063	1.0	4.1	Mrpl16	Mitochondrial ribosomal protein L16	
6284	0.9	5.0	MrpH9	Mitochondrial ribosomal protein L19	
66407	0.8	4.1	Mrps15	Mitochondrial ribosomal protein \$15	
64655	1.2	7.6	Mrps22	Mitochondrial ribosomal protein S22	
64658	1.0	4.1	Mrps25	Mitochondrial ribosomal protein S25	
	nucleosome ass			, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
3605	0.9	13.5	NapIII	Nucleosome assembly protein I-like I	10, I
110109	0.9	4.3	Noll	Nucleolar protein I	,
52530	1.0	10.0	Nola2	Nucleolar protein family A, member 2	
100608	1.1	9.4	Noc4l	Nucleolar complex associated 4 homolog	
55989	0.8	6.3	Nol5	Nucleolar protein 5	
57134	0.9	7.8	Nol5a	Nucleolar protein 5A	
	ribonucleoprote		NOISa	Nucleolal protein 3A	
	•	-	Sprpal	Small nuclear ribonucleoprotein polypoptide A'	
58981 20638	1.1 0.9	8.7 8.3	Snrpa I	Small nuclear ribonucleoprotein polypeptide A' Small nuclear ribonucleoprotein B	
			Snrpb	•	
20641	1.1	7.I	SnrpdI	Small nuclear ribonucleoprotein D1	
67332	1.1	7.4	Snrpd3	Small nuclear ribonucleoprotein D3	
59878	1.1	6.9	Snrpf	Small nuclear ribonucleoprotein polypeptide F	
366609	1.0	7.6	Snrpg	small nuclear ribonucleoprotein polypeptide G	
Splicing factor					
110809	1.1	5.5	Sfrs I	Splicing factor, arginine/serine-rich 1 (ASF/SF2)	
20382	1.1	5.1	Sfrs2	Splicing factor, arginine/serine-rich 2 (SC-35)	
20383	1.1	5.0	Sfrs3	Splicing factor, arginine/serine-rich 3 (SRp20)	
81898	1.2	5.2	Sf3b1	Splicing factor 3b, subunit 1	15
36125	1.2	8.0	Sf3b5	Splicing factor 3b, subunit 5	15
225027	1.2	4.1	Sfrs7	Splicing factor, arginine/serine-rich 7	
RNA binding a	nd pre-mRNA p	rocessing factor	rs		
28000	1.1	4.7	Prpf19	PRP19/PSO4 pre-mRNA processing factor 19 homolog	
68988	1.1	5.0	Prpf31	PRP31 pre-mRNA processing factor 31 homolog (yeast)	
56194	1.1	5.8	Prpf40a	PRP40 pre-mRNA processing factor 40 homolog A (yeast)	
56275	0.9	5.5	Rbm I 4	RNA binding motif protein 14	
6707 I	1.0	16.2	Rps6ka6 (Rsk4)	Ribosomal protein S6 kinase polypeptide 6	
Spliceosome c	omplex		. ,		
81898	1.2	5.2	Sf3b1	Splicing factor 3b, subunit 1	15
66125	1.2	8.0	Sf3b5	Splicing factor 3b, subunit 5	15
20382	1.1	4.9	Sfrs2	Splicing factor, arginine/serine-rich 2 (SC-35)	
6898I	1.1	8.7	Snrpa I	Small nuclear ribonucleoprotein polypeptide A'	
20638	0.9	8.3	Snrpb	Small nuclear ribonucleoprotein B	
20641	1.1	7. I	Snrpdl	Small nuclear ribonucleoprotein DI	
20041 69878	1.1	6.9	Snrpf	Small nuclear ribonucleoprotein D1 Small nuclear ribonucleoprotein polypeptide F	
666609	1.0	7.6	Snrpg	small nuclear ribonucleoprotein polypeptide G	
	ion and cell cycle		. •	smail nuclear ribonucleopi otem polypeptide G	
Celi proliferati 12428	ion and cell cycle	•	•	Cyclin A2	
		16.6	Ccna2 Ccnb1	Cyclin A2	
268697 12420	1.2	11.2		Cyclin BI	
12429	1.1	17.9	Ccnb1-rs1	Cyclin B1, related sequence 1	15.0
12442	0.9	17.8	Ccnb2	Cyclin B2	15, 2
12448	1.3	4.9	Ccne2	Cyclin E2	
12449	0.9	8.9	Ccnf	Cyclin F	
17216	0.9	9.0	Mcm2	Minichromosome maintenance deficient 2	14
17215	0.9	8.6	Mcm3	Minichromosome maintenance deficient 3	
17217	1.2	8.6	Mcm4	Minichromosome maintenance deficient 4	10
17218	1.0	11.8	Mcm5	Minichromosome maintenance deficient 5	
17219	1.1	20.1	Mcm6	Minichromosome maintenance deficient 6	
17220	0.9	11.0	Mcm7	Minichromosome maintenance deficient 7	14
	1.1	6.3	Mcm10	Minichromosome maintenance deficient 10	

Table 1: Upregulated genes in primary pancreatic tumors. Relative fold change in primary pancreatic tumors compared to normal pancreas (PT/NP) and in liver metastatic lesions compared to primary pancreatic tumors (LM/PT). (Continued)

22431	0.6	2.7	Wtl	Wilms' tumor suppressor gene	57
Transcriptiona	•				
17218	1.0	11.8	Mcm5	Minichromosome maintenance deficient 5	
17216	0.9	9.0	Mcm2	Minichromosome maintenance deficient 2 mitotin	
53605	0.9	13.5	NapIII	Nucleosome assembly protein I-like I	10, 11
12189	1.0	5.5	Brca I	Breast cancer I	
19361	1.0	15.1	Rad5 I	RAD51 homolog (S. cerevisiae)	12
226026	1.0	5.4	Smc511	Structural maintenance of chromosomes 5	
70099	0.9	17.3	Smc4II	Structural maintenance of chromosomes 4	
14211	1.1	12.7	Smc2II	Structural maintenance of chromosomes 2	
Chromosome	organization and	d biogenesis		,	
17319	1.1	6.8	Mif	Macrophage migration inhibitory factor	
17218	1.0	11.8	Mcm5	Minichromosome maintenance deficient 5,	
11799	1.0	11.1	Birc5	Baculoviral IAP repeat-containing 5	16
Apoptosis regu			8	5	, 20
12309	0.7	109.4	S100g	\$100 calcium binding protein G	10, 25
56275	0.9	5.5	Rbm14	RNA binding motif protein 14	
12774	1.1	6.7	Ccr5	Chemokine (C-C motif) receptor 5	
67071	1.0	16.2	Rps6ka6 (Rsk4)	Ribosomal protein S6 kinase polypeptide 6	
75590	0.8	30.3	Dusp9	Dual specificity phosphatase 9	
	cation and signal		Cidirio	Cidddii 10	23
56492	1.1 1. 4	6.6	Cldn 18	Claudin 18	25
12774		6.7	Ccr5	Chemokine (C-C motif) receptor 5	
Cell adhesion a		13.1	Nadol	TOADST HOMOTOG (S. Cerevisiae)	
19361	1.0	17.3	Rad51	RAD51 homolog (S. cerevisiae)	
70099	0.9	17.3	Smc411	Structural maintenance of chromosomes 4	
12189	1.0	5.5	Brcal	Breast cancer I	
11799	1.0 1.0	11.1 4.4	Birc5 Birc6	Baculoviral IAP repeat-containing 5 Baculoviral IAP repeat-containing 6	

NP = Normal pancreas; PT = Primary pancreatic tumor; LM = liver metastatic lesion; Ref.* = References identifying genes previously shown to have deregulated expression in pancreatic cancer

Table 2: Downregulated genes in primary pancreatic tumors. Relative fold change in primary pancreatic tumors compared to normal pancreas (PT/NP) and in liver metastatic lesions compared to primary pancreatic tumors (LM/PT)

Entrez Gene#	Fold change LM/PT	Fold change PT/NP	Gene Symbol	Gene description	Ref.*
Cell adhesion, n	notility and mig	ration			
12340	0.84	-11.6	Capza I	Capping protein (actin filament) muscle Z-line, alpha I	16
12829	0.98	-10.8	Col4a4	Procollagen, type IV, alpha 4	
13643	1.02	-7.6	Efnb3	Ephrin B3	
215384	1.03	-8	Fcgbp	Fc fragment of IgG binding protein	
16855	1.00	-6.4	Lgals4	Lectin, galactose binding, soluble 4	
17831	1.02	-40	Muc2	Mucin 2	
219228	1.51	-18.8	Pcdh17	Protocadherin 17	
68799	1.20	-7.2	Rgmb	RGM domain family, member B	
16855	1.00	-6.4	Lgals4	Lectin, galactose binding, soluble 4	
Cell communica	ation and signal	trasduction	· ·		
12154	1.09	-4	Bmp10	Bone morphogenetic protein 10	
13643	1.02	-7.6	Efnb3	Ephrin B3	
14463	1.01	-8	Gata4	GATA binding protein 4	
15874	0.96	-40	Іарр	Islet amyloid polypeptide	
16333	0.85	-23.2	Ins I	Insulin I	
14526	0.91	-21.6	Gcg	Glucagon	
70497	0.86	-8	Arhgap 17	Rho GTPase activating protein 17	
232201	0.83	-7.6	Arhgap25	Rho GTPase activating protein 25	
110052	1.00	-8.4	Dek	DEK oncogene (DNA binding)	16
14915	0.98	-13.6	Guca2a	Guanylate cyclase activator 2a (guanylin)	
212307	0.81	-7.2	Mapre2	Microtubule-associated protein, RP/EB family, member 2	

Table 2: Downregulated genes in primary pancreatic tumors. Relative fold change in primary pancreatic tumors compared to normal pancreas (PT/NP) and in liver metastatic lesions compared to primary pancreatic tumors (LM/PT) (Continued)

pancreas (PI/NI) and in liver met	astatic lesion	s compared to	primary pancreatic tumors (LM/PI) (Continued)	
20844	1.15	-13.6	Stam	Signal transducing adaptor molecule	
66042	0.85	-14.8	Sostdc I	Sclerostin domain containing I	
68799	1.20	-7.2	Rgmb	RGM domain family, member B	
80718	0.91	-6.4	Rab27b	RAB27b, member RAS oncogene family	
18386	0.93	-6	OprdI	Opioid receptor, delta I	
67709	0.88	-13.6	Reg4	Regenerating islet-derived family, member 4	
-	cell proliferation		CI 0	GUD	
76499	1.02	-8.8	Clasp2	CLIP associating protein 2	
16333	0.85	-23.2	Ins I	Insulin I	
16334	0.98	-40 7.2	Ins2	Insulin II	
212307	0.81 0.90	-7.2 -6	Mapre2	Microtubule-associated protein, RP/EB family, member 2	
22268 14526	0.91	-21.6	Upk1b Gog	Uroplakin IB	
212307	0.81	-7.2	Gcg Mapre2	Glucagon Microtubule-associated protein, RP/EB family, member 2	
57263	1.11	-28	Retnlb	Resistin like beta	
12154	1.09	-4	Bmp10	Bone morphogenetic protein 10	
17831	1.02	-4 0	Muc2	Mucin 2	19
17063	0.91	-60	Muc13	Mucin 13, epithelial transmembrane	
_	nd binding activity				
11773	1.09	-14.8	Ap2m1	Adaptor protein complex AP-2, mul	
80718	0.91	-6.4	Rab27b	RAB27b, member RAS oncogene family	
56185	1.00	-19.2	Hao3	Hydroxyacid oxidase (glycolate oxidase) 3	
110052	1.00	-8.4	Dek	DEK oncogene (DNA binding)	16
12829	0.98	-10.8	Col4a4	Procollagen, type IV, alpha 4	
16467	1.13	-11.6	Atcay	Ataxia, cerebellar, Cayman type homolog (human)	
13487	0.95	-20	Slc26a3	Solute carrier family 26, member 3	
216156	0.92	-4	Wdr18	WD repeat domain 18	
69008	1.23	-6.4	Cab39l	Calcium binding protein 39-like	
12351	0.84	-4	Car4	Carbonic anhydrase 4	
72832	0.93	-14.8	Crtac I	Cartilage acidic protein I	
75600	1.20	-8	Calml4	Calmodulin-like 4	
Apoptosis	0.07	40		11. 121.1 21	
15874	0.96	-40 40	lapp M2	Islet amyloid polypeptide	10
17831 71361	1.02 1.15	-40 -8	Muc2 Amid	Mucin 2	19
16334	0.98	-6 -40	Ins2	Apoptosis-inducing factor, mitochondrion-associated 2 Insulin II	
17063	0.91	- 1 0 -60	Muc13	Mucin 13, epithelial transmembrane	
Transcription a		-00	riucis	rideni 13, epidienai d'ansmembrane	
109275	0.94	-4	Actr5	ARP5 actin-related protein 5 homolog (yeast)	
71458	0.89	-6	Bcor	Bcl6 interacting corepressor	
14463	1.01		Gata4	GATA binding protein 4	
Epigenetic and	chromatin modi	fication			
213742	1.00	-8.8	Xist	Inactive X specific transcripts	
75796	0.86	-4	Cdyl2	Chromodomain protein, Y chromosome-like 2	
Inflammatory a	and immune resp	onse			
21786	0.90	-10.8	Tff3	Trefoil factor 3, intestinal	
15101	0.90	-7.6	H60	Histocompatibility 60	
94071	1.00	-4	Clec2h	C-type lectin domain family 2, member h	
Cell differentia					
12154	1.09	-4	Bmp10	Bone morphogenetic protein 10	
14463	1.01	-8	Gata4	GATA binding protein 4	
72324	0.86	-4	Plxdc I	Plexin domain containing I	
20755	1.31	-16	Sprr2a	Small proline-rich protein 2A	
22268 75770	0.90 0.85	-6 -8.4	Upk I b	Uroplakin 1B BR serine/threonine kinase 2	
75770 Maintenance of	0.85 f cell polarity and		Brsk2	DIV SELLIE/THE COURSE KILIASE 7	
76499	1.02	-8.8	Clasp2	CLIP associating protein 2	
20755	1.31	-0.6 -16	Sprr2a	Small proline-rich protein 2A	
20.00	1.51	-10	ορι · <u>Σ</u> α	That promise their process 27 t	

Ref.* = References identifying genes previously shown to have deregulated expression in pancreatic cancer




Figure 2
Selected genes showing up- or down regulation of mRNA expression by semi quantitative RT-PCR. A) All selected genes showed expression pattern similar to microarray data upon confirmation by sqRT-PCR. A representative data from four Ela-c-myc pancreatic tumors, liver metastatic lesions and normal pancreas is presented. B) RT-PCR showing representative differentially expressed genes in liver metastatic lesions compared to primary pancreatic tumors and normal pancreas. C) Two genes, Igfbp I and Serpina Ia, were verified in human pancreatic cancer cell lines with high (High-met) and low metastatic (Low-met) potentials. Expression patterns of both genes were consistent with the murine microarray and RT-PCR data. D) RT-PCR was performed on RNA from primary pancreatic tumors (PT), liver metastatic lesions (LM) and normal pancreas (NP) with three overlapping primer sets spanning the region from exon I to 10. Primary pancreatic tumors showed presence of both wild type Wt1 and Wt1 variant without exon 5, while metastatic lesions either lacked expression or had low levels of Wt1 gene expression (showed a smaller size non-specific PCR product only).

Table 3: Upregulated genes in liver metastatic lesions. Relative fold change in liver metastatic lesions compared to primary pancreatic tumors (LM/PT) and in primary pancreatic tumors compared to normal pancreas (PT/NP)

Entrez Gene #	Fold change LM/PT	Fold change PT/NP	Gene Symbol	Gene description	Ref.*
Transporter act	ivity				
27413	5.1	0.6	AbcbII	ATP-binding cassette, sub-family B (MDR/TAP), member 11	
12870	11.8	0.9	Ср	Ceruloplasmin	
107141	4.2	1.1	Cyp2c37	Cytochrome P450, family 2. subfamily c, polypeptide 37	
76279	9.1	0.6	Cyp2d26	Cytochrome P450, family 2. subfamily d, polypeptide 26	
13107	7.8	0.3	Cyp2f2	Cytochrome P450, family 2, subfamily f, polypeptide 2	
I 4263	11.3	0.4	Fmo5	Flavin containing monooxygenase 5	
268756	9.0	0.5	Gulo	Gulonolactone (L-) oxidase	
20493	8.3	0.3	Slc I Oa I	Solute carrier family 10 member 1	
69354	8.3	1.0	Slc38a4	Solute carrier family 38, member 4	
28253	4.9	0.9	SIco I b2	Solute carrier organic anion transporter family, member 1b2	
Cellular metabo	olism				
67758	10.6	0.3	Aadac	Arylacetamide deacetylase (esterase)	
208665	11.4	0.3	Akrldl	Aldo-keto reductase family I, member DI	
11806	43.3	0.8	Apoa I	Apolipoprotein A-I	
238055	12.2	0.6	Apob	Apolipoprotein B	
12116	33.0	0.6	Bhmt	Betaine-homocysteine methyltransferase	
14121	9.3	0.7	Fbp I	Fructose bisphosphatase I	
227231	33.6	0.3	Cps I	Carbamoyl-phosphate synthetase I	
231396	14.8	1.0	Ugt2b36	UDP glucuronosyltransferase 2 family, polypeptide B36	
15233	6.9	0.4	Hgd	Homogentisate I, 2-dioxygenase	
15483	4.2	0.2	Hsdllbl	Hydroxysteroid II-beta dehydrogenase I	
13850	7.8	0.4	Ephx2	Epoxide hydrolase 2, cytoplasmic	
13077	7.0	0.8	Cypla2	Cytochrome P450, family 1, subfamily a, polypeptide 2	
54150	18.2	0.5	Rdh7	Retinol dehydrogenase 7	
72094	7.4	1.0	Ugt2a3	UDP glucuronosyltransferase 2 family, polypeptide A3	
103149	6.3	0.6	Upb I	Ureidopropionase, beta	
16922	5.4	0.4	Phyh	Phytanoyl-CoA hydroxylase	
Calcium binding		0.4	1 11911	Thytanoyi-Con hydroxylase	
19733	11.6	0.5	Pan	Regucalcin	
14067	6.9	0.5	Rgn F5	Coagulation factor V	
16426	48.0	1.0	ltih3	Inter-alpha trypsin inhibitor, heavy chain 3	
			idiis	inter-aiphia d ypsin innibitor, neavy chain 5	
Cell organizatio I 1625	_	0.9	Abor	Alpha 2 US shrannatain	
	40.5	0.5	Ahsg	Alpha-2-HS-glycoprotein Reelin	
19699	5.5		Reln		
16008	6.0	1.0	lgfbp2	Insulin-like growth factor binding protein 2	
l 4080 Duntana dubibit	74.7	1.0	Fabp I	Fatty acid binding protein 1, liver	
Protease Inhibit	-	4.1			25 51
20700	24.9	4.1	Serpinala	Serine (or cysteine) peptidase inhibitor, clade A, member Ia	25, 51
20702	100.1	0.4	Serpina I c	Serine (or cysteine) peptidase inhibitor, clade A, member 1c	
59083	22.8	0.3	Fetub	Fetuin beta	
Inflammatory a		•	CII		
12628	4.4	1.1	Cfh	Complement component factor h	
17175	4.5	1.0	Masp2	Mannan-binding lectin serine peptidase 2	
11625	40.5	0.9	Ahsg	Alpha-2-HS-glycoprotein	
15439	14.4	7.6	Нр	Haptoglobin	
18405	15.8	1.4	Orml	Orosomucoid I	
12583	8.4	0.8	Cdol	Cysteine dioxygenase 1, cytosolic	
13850	7.8	0.4	Ephx2	Epoxide hydrolase 2, cytoplasmic	
11699	90.2	0.2	Ambp	Alpha I microglobulin/bikunin	28
Cell Adhesion					
12558	4.7	1.0	Cdh2	Cadherin 2	
14067	6.9	0.5	F5	Coagulation factor V	
16008	6.0	1.0	lgfbp2	Insulin-like growth factor binding protein 2	
19699	5.5	0.5	Reln	Reelin	
17175	4.5	1.0	Masp2	Mannan-binding lectin serine peptidase 2	
14080	74.7	1.0	Fabp I	Fatty acid binding protein 1, liver	

Table 3: Upregulated genes in liver metastatic lesions. Relative fold change in liver metastatic lesions compared to primary pancreatic tumors (LM/PT) and in primary pancreatic tumors compared to normal pancreas (PT/NP) (Continued)

14080	74.7	1.0	Fabp I	Fatty acid binding protein 1, liver	
16008	6.0	1.0	lgfbp2	Insulin-like growth factor binding protein 2	
11625	40.5	0.9	Ahsg	Alpha-2-HS-glycoprotein	
Cell motility ar	nd migration				
12558	4.7	1.0	Cdh2	Cadherin 2	
19699	5.5	0.5	Reln	Reelin	
16841	4.8	0.6	Lect2	Leukocyte cell-derived chemotaxin 2	
20315	4.5	0.1	Cxcl12	Chemokine (C-X-C motif) ligand 12	
12738	2.8	0.3	Cldn2	Claudin 2	
Cell communic	ation and Signal	Transductio	n		
208665	11.4	0.3	Akrldl	Aldo-keto reductase family I, member DI	
22139	38.8	0.1	Ttr	Transthyretin	
16008	6.0	1.0	lgfbp2	Insulin-like growth factor binding protein 2	
20526	13.1	0.3	Slc2a2	Solute carrier family 2, member 2	
238055	12.2	0.6	Apob	Apolipoprotein B	
50765	4.4	0.7	Trfr2	Transferrin receptor 2	
107146	4.7	0.7	Glyat	Glycine-N-acyltransferase	
51811	5.7	0.7	Clec4f	C-type lectin domain family 4, member f	
I 4080	74.7	1.0	Fabp I	Fatty acid binding protein 1, liver	
56720	4.0	0.8	Tdo2	Tryptophan 2,3-dioxygenase	
11625	40.5	0.9	Ahsg	Alpha-2-HS-glycoprotein	
353283	4.1	42.0	Eras	ES cell-expressed Ras	
19699	5.5	0.5	Reln	Reelin	
16006	28.1	0.7	lgfbp l	Insulin-like growth factor binding protein I	28,30,31

Ref.* = References identifying genes previously shown to have deregulated expression in pancreatic cancer

creas in the wild type littermates, Igf2 expression was dramatically increased (Fig 3A). All three receptors for Igf1 and Igf2 showed only slight increase in their expression, on the other hand all Igf binding proteins (Igfbp1, Igfbp2, Igfbp3, Igf2bp1 etc.) were downregulated compared to normal pancreas. Western blot analysis confirmed increased expression of cleaved, active form of Igf2 (Fig 3B).

Identification of potential metastasis promoting genes in c-myc induced pancreatic tumors

As mentioned above, we identified a small number of genes that were under various functional categories in metastatic tissues, which were either significantly upregulated or downregulated compared to PT. Interestingly, genes that were downregulated in liver metastatic lesions were comparatively much fewer than upregulated genes. Table 3 shows 4-fold higher and Table 4, 4-fold lower expression levels in LM compared to PT. Most of the highly upregulated genes such as Cp, Apoa1, Ttr in liver metastatic lesions are known biomarkers for the detection of ovarian or other types of cancer [22-24]. Other highly upregulated genes were related to protease inhibition such as Serpina1a, Serpina1c, Ambp [25-27]and insulin growth factor binding proteins such as Igfbp1 and Ifgbp2 [28-31], which have been shown to be upregulated in human pancreatic cancer as well as in the animal models of either pancreatic cancer or other types of cancer. For the verification of some of these genes, we selected two upregulated and two downregulated genes, that showed striking differences from primary pancreatic tumors. In line with our mocroarray data, all LM samples verified by RT-PCR showed highly consistent results (Figure 2B).

Decreased or lost expression of Wt1 mRNA in primary pancreatic tumors

Wt1 is a transcription factor and has been found to be overexpressed in several types of cancers with poor prognosis. Our microarray data showed two-fold higher expression of the Wt1 gene in PT samples compared to NP samples. RT-PCR with a pair of primers that amplify exons 1 to 7 could detect Wt1 mRNA in PT but not in NP and LM (Fig. 2D). Interestingly, liver metastatic lesions expressed a lower molecular species of mRNA. We purified the higher band from primary tumors and the lower band from liver metastatic lesions and sequenced the PCR products. The results showed that the Wt1 mRNA in PT contained both wild type Wt1 and Wt1 variant without exon 5 (-51 nt). The slight difference in length could be visualized on agarose gel when the PCR products were separated further (Fig. 2D, amplified zone). On the other hand, sequencing results of the band in liver metastatic lesions showed that it was a product of Uroc1 (urocanase domain containing 1) gene, not Wt1. Comparison of the primer sequences with the mouse Uroc1 cDNA (NM_144940) showed high homology, and therefore a non-specific




Figure 3
Expression of IGF family genes and proteins. A)

Microarray data show that expression of Igf2 is about 10 fold higher in pancreatic tumors compared to liver metastatic lesions and normal pancreas from Ela-myc transgenic mice. While other IGF family proteins only showed modest change. **B)** Western blot analysis of Insulin like growth factors and their receptor proteins. Western blot was performed in cell lysates prepared from primary pancreatic tumors (PT), liver metastatic lesions (LM) from Ela-c-myc transgenic mice and normal pancreas (NP) from wild type littermates. Consistent with microarray data, PT samples showed noticeably higher protein levels compared to NP samples. A representative data from four PT and four NP samples are presented.

band (Uroc1) was amplified with this primer pair. Since human Uroc1 gene is highly expression in hepatoblastoma than in fetal liver [32], it is possible that Uroc1 is preferentially expressed in liver tumors and thus may serve as a marker. PCR with another pair of primers that amplified nt1444-1943 region of the mRNA also showed that LM expressed much lower levels of Wt1. Considering that a tissue is heterogeneous in cell types, it is reasonable to assume that the Wt1 mRNA detected in LM was derived from stromal tissue whereas the cancer cells might have lost Wt1 expression.

Real-time Quantitative Reverse Transcription-PCR Validation

To confirm the array gene expression data, we performed quantitative reverse transcription-PCR (qRT-PCR) for a selected set (n = 10) of genes and the representative data for three genes are shown in Table 4. Although the extent of measured values detected by the two methods varied, an overall pattern concordance between qRT-PCR and

Affymetrix cDNA array experiments was observed (i.e., same trend of induction or suppression was detected by both methods for each target genes). This difference may be due to probe design or the GeneChip system hybridization conditions. For all qRT-PCR, primers specific to β -actin were used as a control to normalize each experiment. Results are presented in Table 5.

Verification of microarray data in human pancreatic cancer cell lines

A panel of human pancreatic cancer cell lines that were reportedly to have high or low metastatic potential in immunodeficient mouse models were used to verify the data from Ela-*c-myc* model of primary and metastatic pancreatic tumors. Cell lines with high metastatic potential include PANC28, CoLo357fg, L3.6pl and low- or non-metastatic potential include PANC1 and BxPC3. We verified two genes in human cell lines, Igfbp1 and Serpina1a, these genes were highly upregulated in liver metastaic tissues compared to primary pancreatic tumors from transgenic mice. Expression patterns of both genes were consistent with the murine microarray and RT-PCR data (Fig. 2C).

Discussion

In this study, we report the genome-wide expression profiles of primary pancreatic tumors and liver metastatic lesions from Ela-c-myc transgenic mice, or normal pancreas from wild-type mice. cDNA microarray analysis showed several gene clusters under various functional categories in primary or metastatic pancreatic tumors of Elac-myc transgenic mice that differ from normal pancreas of non-transgenic littermates. Notably, increased expression was observed for a large number of genes related to ribosomal biogenesis, maturation and ribosome assembly in primary or metastatic pancreatic tumors. Previous studies by others have also shown enhanced expression of genes related to ribosomal proteins, rRNA maturation and ribosome assembly, in addition to enhanced expression of many translation initiation and elongation factors in c-Myc overexpressing cells [33-35]. Thus, our model recapitulates the experimental observations and key features of c-Myc overexpressing tumors.

Genes involved in posttranscriptional regulation was a major functional category of upregulated genes in both PT and LM compared to NP samples, we observed changes in expression for splicing factors, RNA binding/pre-mRNA processing factors and spliceosome related genes, indicating that events related to RNA processing may play critical roles in pancreatic tumor development and progression induced by c-Myc. More than 50% of human genes undergo alternative splicing, and this type of RNA process has recently become an emerging topic in molecular and clinical oncology [36-38]. Our data showed upregulation

Table 4: Downregulated genes in liver metastatic lesions. Relative fold change in liver metastatic lesions compared to primary pancreatic tumors (LM/PT) and in primary pancreatic tumors compared to normal pancreas (PT/NP)

Entrez Gene #	LM/PT	PT/NP	Gene Symbol	Gene description	Ref.*
Cell communicat	ion and Sign	al transduc	tion		
22329	0.5	23.5	Vcam I	Vascular cell adhesion molecule I	
58194	0.4	4.0	Sh3kbp1	SH3-domain kinase binding protein I	
15186	0.1	15.0	Hdc	Histidine decarboxylase	
11438	0.2	4.9	Chrna4	Cholinergic receptor, nicotinic, alpha polypeptide 4	
12524	0.6	4.6	Cd86	CD86 antigen	
93761	0.2	4.2	Smarca I	SWI/SNF related, regulator of chromatin, subfamily a, member I	
Cell motility and	migration			•	
12767	0.7	4.7	Cxcr4	Chemokine (C-X-C motif) receptor 4	25
17381	2.8	7.6	Mmp12	Matrix metallopeptidase 12	16
11438	0.2	4.9	Chrna4	Cholinergic receptor, nicotinic, alpha polypeptide 4	
Cell Adhesion					
12505	0.6	5.3	Cd44	CD44 antigen	- 11
22329	0.5	23.5	Vcam I	Vascular cell adhesion molecule I	
Cell death and ap	optosis				
18616	0.2	11.2	Peg3	Paternally expressed 3	
11801	0.6	31.1	Cd5I	CD5 antigen-like	
58194	0.4	4.0	Sh3kbp1	SH3-domain kinase binding protein I	
Inflammatory and	l Immune re	esponse			
20210	0.1	14.1	Saa3	Serum amyloid A 3	
58194	0.4	4.0	Sh3kbp1	SH3-domain kinase binding protein I	
15186	0.1	15.0	Hdc	Histidine decarboxylase	

Ref.* = References identifying genes previously shown to have deregulated expression in pancreatic cancer

of several splicing factors from the SR family such as Sfrs1, Sfrs2, Sfrs3, Sf3b in both primary and metastatic tumors compared to normal pancreas. SR proteins represent a family of essential splicing factors, which are characterized by extensively phosphorylated serine-arginine rich domains [39]. SR proteins recognize splice sites and, depending on their relative levels, these proteins can influence alternative RNA processing [40].

Other groups of genes that were upregulated are involved in DNA replication, cell proliferation and cell cycle regulation; chromosome organization and biogenesis; and signal transduction. Many genes are related to the maintenance of chromosomal structure and integrity such as minichromosome maintenance (Mcm)2, Mcm5, Mcm10, structural maintenance of chromosome (Smc)211,

Smc4l1, Smc5l1, Rad51, Brca1 and Centromere component (Cenp-I). The entire Mcm protein family (Mcm2-7) is essential in regulating the replication of DNA. Amplification of genes in the Mcm family has been detected in various cancer cells [41]. Their upregulation may deregulate the complete and accurate DNA replication and thus result in failure to maintain the genetic integrity of affected cells. Smc family proteins are integral components of the machinery that modulates chromosome structure for mitosis [42]. Similarly, Rad51, brca1 and Cenp-I play a role in maintenance of genetic integrity [43,44]. We also noticed increased expression of some X-linked genes related to signal transduction such as Rsk4, Dusp9 and S100g, which have not been reported previously in pancreatic tumors.

Table 5: Quantitative RT-PCR. Relative quantity of mRNA expression in PT, LM and NP tissues measured by quantitative real time PCR

	Relative fold change									
Genes	PTI	LTI	PT2	LT2	PT3	LT3	NPI	NP2		
Igfbp I	14.4	70.0	2.0	20.0	10.0	90.0	2.0	2.0		
Sepinala	16.9	4.9	28.9	78.4	14.4	40.0	2.0	2.0		
Peg3	0.4	0.2	4.9	10.0	16.0	8.1	2.0	2.0		
β-actin	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0		

Intriguingly, we observed highly upregulated expression of Igfbp1 and Serpina1 in liver metastatic tissues compared to primary pancreatic tumors and normal pancreas. Verification of Igfbp1 and Serpina1 by RT-PCR and quantitative PCR showed strong expression in liver metastatic lesions but there was a lack of expression of these genes in primary pancreatic tumors or normal pancreas. Similarly, both these genes also showed higher expression in highly metastatic human pancreatic cell lines (PANC28, CoLo357fg, L3.6pl) and lower expression levels in lessmetastatic cell lines (PANC1 and BxPC3). Several studies have described the inhibitory and potentiating activities of both Serpina1 and Igfbp1 in a variety of cells [45-47]. Igfbp1 interacts with $\alpha_5\beta_1$ integrin, influencing cell adhesion and migration. Jones et al. [48] first reported the increased migration of Chinese hamster ovary cells transfected to express human Igfbp1. Increased expression of several Igfbps has also been reported in human pancreatic cancer [28-31]. Serpins are endogenous inhibitors of serine protease activity in vivo [49,50] and a large number of studies support the notion that proteases play an important role in the progression of malignant tumors. Therefore, the expression of proteinase inhibitors is considered to be an anti-malignant event. Serpina1, a major inhibitor of human serine proteases in serum, is produced mainly by the liver, but also by extra-hepatic cells, including neutrophils and certain cancer cells [51,52]. However, clinical studies have shown that high circulating levels of Serpina1 directly correlate with tumor progression [53,54]. Immunohistochemical studies revealed that patients with Serpina1-positive lung adenocarcinomas had a worse prognosis than Serpina1-negative ones [55]. More interestingly, both Serpina1 and Igfbp1 have been demonstrated to play a role in human invasive and metastatic pancreatic cancer. Together these studies and our findings suggest that Igfbp1 and Serpina1 may play critical roles in tumor progression in vivo, and are potential candidates for therapeutic interventions.

We also compared our gene expression profiles with published data on human pancreatic cancer tissues or cell lines. Gene expression pattern of many genes such as Serpina1, Igfbp1, Wt1, CD44, MMP12, CXCR4, Muc2, Dek, Capza1, Bcra1, Birc5, S100g, Claudin-18, RAD51, Mcm2, Mcm4, Mcm7, Cyclin B2, splicing factor 3b, Nap1l1 etc. (please see Tables 1, 2, 3 and 4for references) was similarly reported in other studies and therefore provide a validation for our model.

Conclusion

We show differential gene expression profiles under several functional categories in normal pancreas, primary pancreatic tumors and liver metastases. We identified two genes, Igfbp1 and Serpina1, which were overexpressed only in liver metastatic lesions suggesting that these genes

are likely to be involved in the establishment of metastases in Ela-myc transgenic animal model. In addition, metastatic lesions appear to have low levels or absence of Wt1 gene expression while primary tumors express at least two major variants (+ exon 5 or - exon 5) Wt1 transcripts. Igfbp1 and Serpina1 may serve as clinically interesting biomarkers are likely to be useful for prognostic or therapeutic purposes in metastatic pancreatic cancer.

Methods

Ela-myc transgenic mice

We used Ela-*myc* transgenic mice with a FVB background, this strain was generated by crossbreeding of C57BL/6xSJL background Ela-*myc* [5] mice (obtained from Dr. Sandgren at the University of Wisconsin) with a FVB strain. The F1 mice were crossed together to generate F2 transgenic mice and some of the F2 mice were crossed to yield F3 mice. The F2 and F3 transgenic mice and their wild type littermates were used in this study.

Human Pancreatic cancer cell lines

A panel of human pancreatic cell lines, PANC1, PANC-28, CoLo357, L3.6pl and BxPC3, were used to verify the microarray data. All pancreatic cell lines were cultured in RPMI 1640 supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum, penicillin and streptomycin. Cells were harvested when they were about 80–90% confluent for RNA isolation.

cDNA microarray

Primary pancreatic cancer tissue, its corresponding liver metastatic lesion and normal pancreatic tissues were used to prepare RNA using the RNeasy mini kit (Qiagen) per manufacturer's instructions. Assurance of quality assessment and microarray analysis were carried out by personnel in the Applied Genomics Technology Center (Center for Molecular Medicine and Genetics, Wayne State University). Briefly, biotin-labeled RNA fragments were produced from 1 µg of RNA by first synthesizing doublestranded cDNA followed by in vitro transcription and fragmentation reactions. A hybridization cocktail, containing the fragmented cRNA, probe array controls, bovine serum albumin, and herring sperm DNA, was prepared and hybridized at 45°C for 16 h to the High Density Mouse Genome M430-2 containing 45101 probesets (Affymetrix Inc., Santa Clara, CA). The hybridized probe array was washed, and bound biotin-labeled cRNA was detected with streptavidin-phycoerythrin conjugate. Each probe array was scanned twice (Hewlett-Packard GeneArray Scanner), the images were overlaid, and the average intensities of each probe cell were compiled. Microarray was repeated three times for each condition (LM, PT, NP).

cDNA microarray data analysis

High density microarray image files were interpreted and quality assessed to Affymetrix standards in GCOS 1.1 as

Table 6: List of primer. Primer sets for qRT-PCR and sqRT-PCR

Gene name	Accession No.	Quantitative or sqRT-PCR primer sequence			
CXCR4					
Upstream	D87747	CATGGAACCGATCAGTGTGA (325)*			
Downstream MMP2		TTTCCCAAAGTACCAGTCAGC			
Upstream	NM_008610	CTGTGTTCTTCGCAGGGAAT (433)			
Downstream	141 _000010	TGTGCAGCGATGAAGATGAT			
Snail2		10100/1000/110/110/11			
Upstream	NM_011415	TTCCTCTGACACTTCATCCAA (474)			
Downstream	1411_011415	TTGGAGCAGTTTTTGCACTG			
E-tcad		TIGGAGCAGTITITGCACTG			
	NIM 000044	CCTCCCAATCCTCATCAAAT (220)			
Upstream	NM_009864	CCTGCCAATCCTGATGAAAT (329)			
Downstream 5 (12)		TCAGGGA AGGAGCTGAAAGA			
Fgfl3		- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
Upstream	AF020737	CATTTTCTGCCCAAACCACT (378)			
Downstream		AATGCTTGGCACTCTTTTGC			
Rsk4					
Upstream	BB402211	GTGGGTGCCAAAGTTTTGAT (351)			
Downstream		CAAACCACATGGAAATCAGG			
MIF					
Upstream	NM_010798.1	ACTACAGTAAGCTGCTGTGTGG (208)			
Downstream		ATCGCTACCGGTGGATAAAC			
Mcm7					
Upstream	NM_008568.I	ACCGCGAAGTCAGTACACAA (208)			
Downstream		GATGGTCTGCTCCATAA			
Ttr					
Upstream	NM_013697.1	TGGAAGACACTTGGCATTTC (194)			
Downstream	0.00//	TGCTACTGCTTTGGCAAGAT			
H2Aa		1301/10130111300/NOA1			
Upstream	NM_010378.2	CCTTCATCCCTTCTGACGAT (197)			
•	1411_010376.2	CAGGCCTTGAATGATGAAGA			
Downstream		CAGGCCTTGAATGATGAAGA			
Mrpl19	NIM 027 100 2	TOCATOCCATCA A CA A CA CA (102)			
Upstream -	NM_026490.2	TGCATCCCATGAAGAAGA(183)			
Downstream		GACATTTGCTCGTTACAAAAGC			
Dusp9					
Upstream	NM_029352.3	CCTGTGCTTGAGCTCTGATT (181)			
Downstream		GCTCTCCAAATTGGCTGAAT			
S100g					
Upstream	NM_009789.2	CAGCAAAATGTGTGCTGAGA (197)			
Downstream		CTCCATCGCCATTCTTATCC			
Serpina I a					
Upstream	NM_009243	GCCCTGGCAAATTACATTCT (196)			
Downstream		CATTGCCTGCATAATCCATC			
Peg3					
Upstream	NM_008817.2	ACCATTCAGGCCTCAGTTTC (205)			
Downstream		TTTTCTCAAATTCGCTGACG			
Igfbp I					
Upstream	NM 008341	CCTGCCAACGAGAACTCTAT (196)			
Downstream	1411_000511	GGGATTTTCTTTCCACTCCA			
Saa3		GGGATTTCTTCCACTCCA			
	VIW UTTATES	GCGAGCCTACTCTGACATGA (196)			
Upstream	NM_011315.3	,			
Downstream		ATTGGCAAACTGGTCAGCTC			
Cldn I 8	NIM CLOCKED	COTOTA COA COCOTO ATO AT (120)			
Upstream -	NM_019815.2	GCTGTACGAGCCCTGATGAT (193)			
Downstream		TGTTGGCAAACACAGACACA			
Sfrs I					
Upstream	NM_173374.3	CACTGGTGTCGTGGAGTTTG (190)			
Downstream		CTTCTGCTACGGCTTCTGCT			
Sfrs2					
	NIM ALOCCO O	CCTTTCCTTTCCTCCAATTT (199)			
Upstream	NM_013663.3	GCTTTGCTTTCGTCGAATTT (188)			

Table 6: List of primer. Primer sets for qRT-PCR and sqRT-PCR (Continued)

Eif2			
Upstream	NM_026030.2	GGAGTTGCTGAACCGAGTGT (180)	
Downstream		AGGAGATGTTTGGGTTGACG	
Muc13			
Upstream	NM_010739.1	TGCGTGATGCTACAAAGGAC (195)	
Downstream		TGTCCTGGCATTTACTGCTG	
Igfbp I (human)			
Upstream	NM_000596.2	AAGGCACAGGAGACATCAGG (195)	
Downstream		TATCTGGCAGTTGGGGTCTC	
Serpinal (human)			
Upstream	NM_001002235.1	TGCCTGATGAGGGGAAACTA (186)	
Downstream		CCCCATTGCTGAAGACCTTA	
WT1(362-970)			
Upstream	NC_000068	TCCAGCAGCCGGAGCAACCT (608)	
Downstream		AGGGCGTGTGGCCATAGCTG	
WT1(947-1470)			
Upstream	NC_000068	CGCCCAGCTATGGCCACACG (523)	
Downstream		ATTGCAGCCTGGGTATGCAC	
WT1(1444-1943)			
Upstream	NC_000068	TTCATGTGTGCATACCCAGG (499)	
Downstream		GTAGATCCACAGTCGTGTCC \ ^	

^{*}PCR product size

described previously [56]. Expression changes were filtered in DChip for fold change (> 4 fold) between the experiments. Hierarchical clustering was carried out using Dchip and ontological analysis of gene expression was conducted in both OntoExpress in conjunction with curated pathway analysis using the KEGG Biocarta and GeneGo systems. At least three samples from each condition were used for Affymetrix microarray analysis to select candidate genes. Candidate genes were also confirmed with semi-quantitative, quantitative RT-PCR analysis and/ or western blot at least 3 times.

Semiquantitative RT-PCR

Total RNA, isolated from the primary or metastatic lesions and normal pancreas of Ela-*c-myc* transgenic mice, was subjected to first-strand cDNA synthesis using an oligo (dT) primer and Moloney murine leukemia virus (MMLV) reverse transcriptase (Invitrogen). The primer amplified products were separated on ethidium bromide containing 1.2% agarose gels. Primers for the semiquantitative and quantitative detection of target mRNAs are presented in Table 6.

Real-Time RT-PCR

cDNA from the primary or metastatic lesions Ela-c-myc transgenic and normal pancreas of wild type mice were subjected to PCR amplification, a maximum of 2 µl of each cDNA sample was used per 25-µl PCR reactions. The real-time measurements were analyzed in triplicate using an automated Real Time Cycler as described previously [56]. The relative quantity in primary tumor versus nor-

mal tissue or primary tumor versus metastatic lesion was normalized to β -actin.

Sequencing of Wilm's tumor suppressor gene (Wt1)

RT-PCR analysis using primers amplified nt947-1470 region of mouse Wt1 mRNA, which covers the first 7 exons, showed that liver metastases (but not primary pancreatic tumors) contained a lower molecular weight mRNA species. To verify the identity of the PCR products of the higher bands in primary tumor and lower band in liver metastatic lesions, we sequenced these bands using forward primer-947 after purifying them from agarose gels using Gel Extraction Kit (QIAEX II) from Qiagen.

Competing interests

The author(s) declare that they have no competing interests.

Authors' contributions

AT participated in the design of the study; participated in the experimental design; analysis and interpretation of data; and wrote the manuscript; AB designed primers; carried out the semi-quantitative and quantitative RT-PCR; JW isolated RNA from tissue samples and did sequencing; DJL participated in the design of the study, monitored and collected primary or metastatic tumor tissues. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by a grant from Elsa U. Pardee Foundation on pancreatic cancer research.

References

- Yeo TP, Hruban RH, Leach SD, Wilentz RE, Sohn TA, Kern SE, lacobuzio-Donahue CA, Maitra A, Goggins M, Canto MI, et al.: Pancreatic cancer. Curr Probl Cancer 2002, 26(4):176-275.
- Schleger C, Verbeke C, Hildenbrand R, Zentgraf H, Bleyl U: c-MYC activation in primary and metastatic ductal adenocarcinoma of the pancreas: incidence, mechanisms, and clinical significance. Mod Pathol 2002, 15(4):462-469.
- Mahlamaki EH, Barlund M, Tanner M, Gorunova L, Hoglund M, Karhu R, Kallioniemi A: Frequent amplification of 8q24, 11q, 17q, and 20q-specific genes in pancreatic cancer. Genes Chromosomes Cancer 2002, 35(4):353-358.
- Yamada H, Sakamoto H, Taira M, Nishimura S, Shimosato Y, Terada M, Sugimura T: Amplifications of both c-Ki-ras with a point mutation and c-myc in a primary pancreatic cancer and its metastatic tumors in lymph nodes. Jpn J Cancer Res 1986, 77(4):370-375.
- Sandgren EP, Quaife CJ, Paulovich AG, Palmiter RD, Brinster RL: Pancreatic tumor pathogenesis reflects the causative genetic lesion. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 1991, 88(1):93-97.
- Liao DJ, Wang Y, Wu J, Adsay NV, Grignon D, Khanani F, Sarkar FH: Characterization of pancreatic lesions from MT-tgfalpha, Ela-myc and MT-tgfalpha/Ela-myc single and double transgenic mice. J Carcinog 2006, 5:19.
- Liao JD, Adsay NV, Khannani F, Grignon D, Thakur A, Sarkar FH: Histological complexities of pancreatic lesions from transgenic mouse models are consistent with biological and morphological heterogeneity of human pancreatic cancer. Histol Histopathol 2007, 22(6):661-676.
- Keleg S, Buchler P, Ludwig R, Buchler MW, Friess H: Invasion and metastasis in pancreatic cancer. Mol Cancer 2003, 2:14.
- Kleeff J, Friess H, Berberat PO, Martignoni ME, Z'Graggen K, Buchler MW: Pancreatic cancer – new aspects of molecular biology research. Swiss Surg 2000, 6(5):231-234.
- lacobuzio-Donahue CA, Maitra A, Olsen M, Lowe AW, van Heek NT, Rosty C, Walter K, Sato N, Parker A, Ashfaq R, et al.: Exploration of global gene expression patterns in pancreatic adenocarcinoma using cDNA microarrays. Am J Pathol 2003, 162(4):1151-1162.
- Iacobuzio-Donahue CA, Maitra A, Shen-Ong GL, van Heek T, Ashfaq R, Meyer R, Walter K, Berg K, Hollingsworth MA, Cameron JL, et al.: Discovery of novel tumor markers of pancreatic cancer using global gene expression technology. Am J Pathol 2002, 160(4):1239-1249.
- Maacké H, Jost K, Opitz S, Miska S, Yuan Y, Hasselbach L, Luttges J, Kalthoff H, Sturzbecher HW: DNA repair and recombination factor Rad51 is over-expressed in human pancreatic adenocarcinoma. Oncogene 2000, 19(23):2791-2795.
- Lynch HT, Deters CA, Snyder CL, Lynch JF, Villeneuve P, Silberstein J, Martin H, Narod SA, Brand RE: BRCA1 and pancreatic cancer: pedigree findings and their causal relationships. Cancer Genet Cytogenet 2005, 158(2):119-125.
- Mahlamaki EH, Kauraniemi P, Monni O, Wolf M, Hautaniemi S, Kallioniemi A: High-resolution genomic and expression profiling reveals 105 putative amplification target genes in pancreatic cancer. Neoplasia 2004, 6(5):432-439.
- Nakamura T, Fidler IJ, Coombes KR: Gene expression profile of metastatic human pancreatic cancer cells depends on the organ microenvironment. Cancer Res 2007, 67(1):139-148.
- 16. Nakamura T, Furukawa Y, Nakagawa H, Tsunoda T, Ohigashi H, Murata K, Ishikawa O, Ohgaki K, Kashimura N, Miyamoto M, et al.: Genome-wide cDNA microarray analysis of gene expression profiles in pancreatic cancers using populations of tumor cells and normal ductal epithelial cells selected for purity by laser microdissection. Oncogene 2004, 23(13):2385-2400.
- Soling A, Sackewitz M, Volkmar M, Schaarschmidt D, Jacob R, Holzhausen HJ, Rainov NG: Minichromosome maintenance protein 3 elicits a cancer-restricted immune response in patients with brain malignancies and is a strong independent predictor of survival in patients with anaplastic astrocytoma. Clin Cancer Res 2005, 11(1):249-258.
- Sanada Y, Oue N, Mitani Y, Yoshida K, Nakayama H, Yasui W: Downregulation of the claudin-18 gene, identified through serial analysis of gene expression data analysis, in gastric cancer with an intestinal phenotype. J Pathol 2006, 208(5):633-642.

- Yonezawa S, Byrd JC, Dahiya R, Ho JJ, Gum JR, Griffiths B, Swallow DM, Kim YS: Differential mucin gene expression in human pancreatic and colon cancer cells. Biochem J 1991, 276(Pt 3):599-605.
- Hollingsworth MA, Swanson BJ: Mucins in cancer: protection and control of the cell surface. Nat Rev Cancer 2004, 4(1):45-60.
 Resar LM, Dolde C, Barrett JF, Dang CV: B-myc inhibits neoplas-
- Resar LM, Dolde C, Barrett JF, Dang CV: B-myc inhibits neoplastic transformation and transcriptional activation by c-myc. Mol Cell Biol 1993, 13(2):1130-1136.
- 22. Griffith OL, Melck A, Jones SJ, Wiseman SM: Meta-analysis and meta-review of thyroid cancer gene expression profiling studies identifies important diagnostic biomarkers. J Clin Oncol 2006, 24(31):5043-5051.
- Moore LE, Fung ET, McGuire M, Rabkin CC, Molinaro A, Wang Z, Zhang F, Wang J, Yip C, Meng XY, et al.: Evaluation of apolipoprotein Al and posttranslationally modified forms of transthyretin as biomarkers for ovarian cancer detection in an independent study population. Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev 2006, 15(9):1641-1646.
- Weinstein PS, Skinner M, Sipe JD, Lokich JJ, Zamcheck N, Cohen AS: Acute-phase proteins or tumour markers: the role of SAA, SAP, CRP and CEA as indicators of metastasis in a broad spectrum of neoplastic diseases. Scand J Immunol 1984, 19(3):193-198.
- Sato N, Fukushima N, Maitra A, Iacobuzio-Donahue CA, van Heek NT, Cameron JL, Yeo CJ, Hruban RH, Goggins M: Gene expression profiling identifies genes associated with invasive intraductal papillary mucinous neoplasms of the pancreas. Am J Pathol 2004, 164(3):903-914.
- 26. Lian Z, De Luca P, Di Cristofano A: Gene expression analysis reveals a signature of estrogen receptor activation upon loss of Pten in a mouse model of endometrial cancer. J Cell Physiol 2006, 208(2):255-266.
- Liu AY, Zhang H, Sorensen CM, Diamond DL: Analysis of prostate cancer by proteomics using tissue specimens. J Urol 2005, 173(1):73-78.
- Mauri P, Scarpa A, Nascimbeni AC, Benazzi L, Parmagnani E, Mafficini A, Della Peruta M, Bassi C, Miyazaki K, Sorio C: Identification of proteins released by pancreatic cancer cells by multidimensional protein identification technology: a strategy for identification of novel cancer markers. Faseb J 2005, 19(9):1125-1127.
- 29. Hansel DE, Rahman A, House M, Ashfaq R, Berg K, Yeo CJ, Maitra A: Met proto-oncogene and insulin-like growth factor binding protein 3 overexpression correlates with metastatic ability in well-differentiated pancreatic endocrine neoplasms. Clin Cancer Res 2004, 10(18 Pt 1):6152-6158.
- Karna E, Surazynski A, Orlowski K, Laszkiewicz J, Puchalski Z, Nawrat P, Palka J: Serum and tissue level of insulin-like growth factor-I (IGF-I) and IGF-I binding proteins as an index of pancreatitis and pancreatic cancer. Int J Exp Pathol 2002, 83(5):239-245.
- Zumkeller W: IGFs and IGFBPs: surrogate markers for diagnosis and surveillance of tumour growth? Mol Pathol 2001, 54(5):285-288.
- Yamada S, Ohira M, Horie H, Ando K, Takayasu H, Suzuki Y, Sugano S, Hirata T, Goto T, Matsunaga T, et al.: Expression profiling and differential screening between hepatoblastomas and the corresponding normal livers: identification of high expression of the PLKI oncogene as a poor-prognostic indicator of hepatoblastomas. Oncogene 2004, 23(35):5901-5911.
- 33. Johnson JM, Castle J, Garrett-Engele P, Kan Z, Loerch PM, Armour CD, Santos R, Schadt EE, Stoughton R, Shoemaker DD: Genomewide survey of human alternative pre-mRNA splicing with exon junction microarrays. Science 2003, 302(5653):2141-2144.
- Pajares MJ, Ezponda T, Catena R, Calvo A, Pio R, Montuenga LM: Alternative splicing: an emerging topic in molecular and clinical oncology. *Lancet Oncol* 2007, 8(4):349-357.
- Srebrow A, Kornblihtt AR: The connection between splicing and cancer. J Cell Sci 2006, 119(Pt 13):2635-2641.
- Venables JP: Aberrant and alternative splicing in cancer. Cancer Res 2004, 64(21):7647-7654.
- Brinkman BM: Splice variants as cancer biomarkers. Clin Biochem 2004, 37(7):584-594.
- Hayes GM, Carrigan PE, Beck AM, Miller LJ: Targeting the RNA splicing machinery as a novel treatment strategy for pancreatic carcinoma. Cancer Res 2006, 66(7):3819-3827.

- Zahler AM, Neugebauer KM, Lane WS, Roth MB: Distinct functions of SR proteins in alternative pre-mRNA splicing. Science 1993, 260(5105):219-222.
- Stickeler E, Kittrell F, Medina D, Berget SM: Stage-specific changes in SR splicing factors and alternative splicing in mammary tumorigenesis. Oncogene 1999, 18(24):3574-3582.
- 41. Bailis JM, Forsburg SL: MCM proteins: DNA damage, mutagenesis and repair. Curr Opin Genet Dev 2004, 14(1):17-21.
- Hirano T: At the heart of the chromosome: SMC proteins in action. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol 2006, 7(5):311-322.
- Amor DJ, Kalitsis P, Sumer H, Choo KH: Building the centromere: from foundation proteins to 3D organization. Trends Cell Biol 2004, 14(7):359-368.
- Khanna KK, Jackson SP: DNA double-strand breaks: signaling, repair and the cancer connection. Nat Genet 2001, 27(3):247-254.
- Kataoka H, Itoh H, Koono M: Emerging multifunctional aspects of cellular serine proteinase inhibitors in tumor progression and tissue regeneration. Pathol Int 2002, 52(2):89-102.
- Firth SM, Baxter RC: Cellular actions of the insulin-like growth factor binding proteins. Endocr Rev 2002, 23(6):824-854.
- 47. Perks CM, Newcomb PV, Norman MR, Holly JM: Effect of insulinlike growth factor binding protein-1 on integrin signalling and the induction of apoptosis in human breast cancer cells. J Mol Endocrinol 1999, 22(2):141-150.
- Jones JI, Doerr ME, Clemmons DR: Cell migration: interactions among integrins, IGFs and IGFBPs. Prog Growth Factor Res 1995, 6(2-4):319-327.
- Petrache I, Fijalkowska I, Zhen L, Medler TR, Brown E, Cruz P, Choe KH, Taraseviciene-Stewart L, Scerbavicius R, Shapiro L, et al.: A novel antiapoptotic role for alpha I-antitrypsin in the prevention of pulmonary emphysema. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 2006, 173(11):1222-1228.
- Zelvyte I, Wallmark A, Piitulainen E, Westin U, Janciauskiene S: Increased plasma levels of serine proteinase inhibitors in lung cancer patients. Anticancer Res 2004, 24(1):241-247.
- Trichopoulos D, Tzonou A, Kalapothaki V, Sparos L, Kremastinou T, Skoutari M: Alpha I-antitrypsin and survival in pancreatic cancer. Int J Cancer 1990, 45(4):685-686.
- Tzonou A, Sparos L, Kalapothaki V, Zavitsanos X, Rebelakos A, Trichopoulos D: Alpha I-antitrypsin and survival in hepatocellular carcinoma. Br J Cancer 1990, 61(1):72-73.
- 53. Higashiyama M, Doi O, Kodama K, Yokouchi H, Tateishi R: An evaluation of the prognostic significance of alpha-I-antitrypsin expression in adenocarcinomas of the lung: an immunohistochemical analysis. Br J Cancer 1992, 65(2):300-302.
- 54. Sun Z, Yang P: Role of imbalance between neutrophil elastase and alpha I-antitrypsin in cancer development and progression. Lancet Oncol 2004, 5(3):182-190.
- Higashiyama M, Doi O, Kodama K, Yokouchi H, Tateishi R, Matsuura N, Murata A, Tomita N, Monden T, Ogawa M: Immunohistochemical analysis of pancreatic secretory trypsin inhibitor expression in pulmonary adenocarcinoma: its possible participation in scar formation of the tumor tissues. *Tumour Biol* 1992, 13(5–6):299-307.
- Thakur A, Xu H, Wang Y, Bollig A, Biliran H, Liao JD: The role of X-linked genes in breast cancer. Breast Cancer Res Treat 2005, 93(2):135-143.
- Oji Y, Nakamori S, Fujikawa M, Nakatsuka S, Yokota A, Tatsumi N, Abeno S, Ikeba A, Takashima S, Tsujie M, et al.: Overexpression of the Wilms' tumor gene WTI in pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma. Cancer Sci 2004, 95(7):583-7.

Publish with **Bio Med Central** and every scientist can read your work free of charge

"BioMed Central will be the most significant development for disseminating the results of biomedical research in our lifetime."

Sir Paul Nurse, Cancer Research UK

Your research papers will be:

- available free of charge to the entire biomedical community
- peer reviewed and published immediately upon acceptance
- cited in PubMed and archived on PubMed Central
- \bullet yours you keep the copyright

Submit your manuscript here: http://www.biomedcentral.com/info/publishing_adv.asp

