

# NIH Public Access

Author Manuscript

*Vet J.* Author manuscript; available in PMC 2008 March 19.

Published in final edited form as:

Vet J. 2008 January ; 175(1): 89–95. doi:10.1016/j.tvjl.2006.12.001.

# Molecular characterisation of canine nonsteroidal antiinflammatory drug-activated gene (*NAG-1*)

Kiyoshi Yamaguchi<sup>a,1,2</sup>, Nichelle C. Whitlock<sup>a,1</sup>, Jason L. Liggett<sup>a</sup>, Alfred M. Legendre<sup>b</sup>, Michael M. Fry<sup>a</sup>, and Seung Joon Baek<sup>a,\*</sup>

a Department of Pathobiology, College of Veterinary Medicine, University of Tennessee, Knoxville, TN 37996, USA

b Department of Small Animal Clinical Science, College of Veterinary Medicine, University of Tennessee, Knoxville, TN 37996, USA

# Abstract

Nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drug (NSAID)-activated gene (*NAG-1*), a divergent member of the transforming growth factor  $\beta$  superfamily, was previously identified as a gene induced by several anti-tumorigenic compounds, including NSAIDs and peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor  $\gamma$  (PPAR $\gamma$ ) ligands in humans. In this study, canine *NAG-1* was characterised from a canine genomic database. Gene induction by some NSAIDs and PPAR $\gamma$  ligands was demonstrated in canine osteosarcoma cell lines. Phylogenetic analysis indicates that canine *NAG-1* is more homologous with the corresponding mouse and rat genes than with human *NAG-1*. Expression of canine *NAG-1* was increased by treatment with piroxicam and SC-560 (NSAIDs) and the PPAR $\gamma$  ligand rosiglitazone. This study demonstrates that canine *NAG-1* is up-regulated by some anti-tumorigenic compounds in osteosarcoma cell lines and may provide an important target of chemotherapy in canine cancer.

#### Keywords

NAG-1; NSAID; PPARγ ligand; TGF-β; Canine osteosarcoma

# 1. Introduction

Nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs) are widely used in the treatment of inflammatory disease. In addition, recent epidemiological studies in humans and experiments in spontaneous canine tumours and chemically-induced rodent tumours indicate that NSAIDs have anti-tumorigenic and chemotherapeutic effects in several different types of cancer (Reddy et al., 2000; Garcia Rodriguez and Huerta-Alvarez, 2001).

Treatment with the NSAID piroxicam had anti-tumorigenic activity against canine transitional cell carcinoma of the urinary bladder, accompanied by induction of tumour apoptosis and a reduction in angiogenic factor concentrations (Mohammed et al., 2003). A number of NSAIDs

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author. Tel.: +1 865-974-8216; fax: +1 865-974-5616. E-mail address: sbaek2@utk.edu (S.J. Baek).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>These authors contributed equally to the work.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Current address: Promotion of Genome-Based Medicine Project, Institute of Medical Science, University of Tokyo, 4-6-1, Shirokanedai, Minato-ku, Tokyo 108-8639 Japan.

**Publisher's Disclaimer:** This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final citable form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

reduced cell proliferation rate, inhibited cell cycle progression and induced apoptosis in colorectal cancer cells (Goldberg et al., 1996, Baek et al., 2001; 2002b). Administration of the NSAID sulindac dramatically reduced the number of tumours in the intestinal tract of *Min* mice, a strain containing a fully penetrant dominant mutation in the *Apc* gene that leads to the development of gastrointestinal adenomas (Boolbol et al., 1996). Thus, NSAIDs provide many benefits, including anti-tumorigenic effects.

The transforming growth factor  $\beta$  (TGF- $\beta$ ) superfamily constitutes a group of related cytokines that have activity regulating cell survival, proliferation, differentiation, apoptosis, extracellular matrix formation and immunosuppression (Kingsley, 1994). Although TGF- $\beta$  is referred to as the "molecular Jekyll and Hyde of cancer," TGF- $\beta$  superfamily members act as potent growth suppressors via mechanisms including G<sub>1</sub> cell cycle arrest, induction of apoptosis and expression of cell adhesion molecules (Bierie and Moses, 2006).

Recently, we identified *NAG-1* (NSAID-activated gene), a member of the TGF- $\beta$  superfamily, by polymerase chain reaction (PCR)-based subtractive hybridisation from an indomethacininduced library in human colorectal cancer cells (Baek et al., 2001). Induction of *NAG-1*, subsequently, was observed following treatment with a number of other NSAIDs, including cyclooxygenase-1 (COX-1) specific, COX-2 specific and conventional NSAIDs (Baek et al., 2002b).

The protein encoded by *NAG-1*, which has been isolated by a variety of cloning strategies, is also known as macrophage inhibitory cytokine-1 (MIC-1) (Bootcov et al., 1997), placental transforming growth factor  $\beta$  (PTGFB) (Tan et al., 2000), prostate derived factor (PDF) (Paralkar et al., 1998), growth differentiation factor 15 (GDF15) (Hsiao et al., 2000) and placental bone morphogenetic protein (PLAB) (Hromas et al., 1997). The diversity of biological functions indicated by this nomenclature suggests that the biological role of the NAG-1 protein depends on cell context.

In several types of cancer cells, the NAG-1 protein is thought to play a role as a pro-apoptotic/ anti-tumorigenic gene. For example, *NAG-1* is highly expressed in mature intestinal epithelial cells, but it is significantly reduced in human colorectal carcinoma samples and neoplastic intestinal polyps of *Min* mice (Kim et al., 2002). Furthermore, *NAG-1* overexpression can reduce MDA-MB-468 and MCF-7 breast cancer cell viability by up to 80% (Li et al., 2000). Treatment of prostate cancer cells with purified NAG-1 protein induces apoptosis (Liu et al., 2003). It has also been shown that *NAG-1* expression can be induced by p53 activation and that conditioned medium from cells overexpressing *NAG-1* suppresses tumour cell growth (Tan et al., 2000). These data support the link between *NAG-1*, apoptosis and tumour suppressing activity.

*NAG-1* is up-regulated by a number of anti-tumorigenic compounds in addition to NSAIDs, including peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor  $\gamma$  (PPAR $\gamma$ ) ligands (Baek et al., 2003; 2004b; Yamaguchi et al., 2006a), phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase inhibitor (Yamaguchi et al., 2004) and chemopreventive dietary compounds, such as resveratrol (Baek et al., 2002a), indole-3-carbinol (Lee et al., 2005), conjugated linoleic acid (Lee et al., 2006) and epicatechin gallate (Baek et al., 2004a), as well as anti-inflammatory plant extracts (Yamaguchi et al., 2006b). Interestingly, induction of *NAG-1* by these compounds occurs by multiple mechanisms at the levels of transcription and post-transcription. For instance, NSAIDs and resveratrol can transcriptionally activate *NAG-1* through early growth response-1 and p53, respectively (Baek et al., 2002a; 2005).

*NAG-1* has been well-characterised in humans, but it has not been studied previously in dogs. Since NSAIDs have been used for treatment of some canine cancers, the function of canine NAG-1 protein should also be explored in canine cancer cells. In this report, we characterise

Vet J. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2008 March 19.

the canine *NAG-1* sequence, demonstrate the distribution of *NAG-1* expression in canine tissues and investigate canine *NAG-1* expression in response to NSAIDs and PPAR $\gamma$  ligands.

# 2. Materials and methods

#### 2.1. Cells and reagents

Canine osteosarcoma cell line CCL-183 was purchased from American Type Culture Collection and maintained in Dulbecco's modified Eagle's medium (DMEM) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum, penicillin (100 U/mL), streptomycin (100  $\mu$ g/mL), sodium pyruvate and L-glutamine (2 mM). NSAIDs used in this study were purchased as follows: [5,5dimethyl-3-(3-fluorophenyl)-4-(4-methylsulphonyl) phenyl-2 (*5H*)-furanonel] (DFU) was purchased from Merck, sulindac sulphide and SC-560 were from Cayman Chemical Company and diclofenac and piroxicam were from Sigma. PPAR $\gamma$  ligands rosiglitazone and MCC-555 were purchased from Cayman Chemical Company. All compounds were dissolved in dimethyl sulphoxide (DMSO).

#### 2.2. Preparation of RNA and semi-quantitative reverse transcriptase-PCR

Total RNA was extracted from CCL-183 cells using TRIzol reagent (Invitrogen). One microgram of total RNA was reverse-transcribed to produce single strand cDNAs using an iScript cDNA Synthesis Kit (Bio-Rad) according to the manufacturer's protocol. On the basis of the predicted cDNA sequence of canine *NAG-1* (GenBank XM\_541938), primers were synthesised as follows: sense, 5'-gcacctgcagaccaagctga-3'; antisense, 5'- cgtccgggaacctacacgac-3'. REDTaq ReadyMix PCR Reaction Mixture (Sigma) was used for PCR. The thermocycling conditions for amplification of canine *NAG-1*, glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase (*GAPDH*) and *COX-2* genes were 94 °C for 3 min, followed by 35 cycles of 94 °C for 30 s, 55 °C for 30 s and 72 °C for 1 min.

Primer sequences used for canine *GAPDH* (GenBank NM\_001003142) were: sense, 5'gtgtccccacccccaatgta-3'; antisense, 5'-acccggttgctgtagccaaa-3. ' For canine *COX-2* (NM\_001003354) the primer sequences are sense, 5'-acaccctgcagtttgctgtg-3'; antisense 5'gcagctctgggtcaaacttc-3'. The final PCR products were electrophoresed on 1.4% agarose gels and photographed under ultraviolet light. Reverse transcriptase PCR (RT-PCR) for *GAPDH* was used as an equal loading control.

#### 2.3. Western blot analysis

Tissue samples were obtained from a research animal from another study that was euthanased in accordance with the institutional animal care and use committee protocol. Tissue lysates were prepared from samples stored at -70 °C by homogenisation in radioimmunoprecipitation assay (RIPA) buffer containing 1x phosphate-buffered saline, 1% Nonidet P-40, 0.5% sodium deoxycholate and 0.1% sodium dodecyl sulphate (SDS), supplemented with protease and phosphatase inhibitors (1 µg/mL aprotinin, 1 µg/mL leupeptin, 1 mM phenylmethylsulphonyl fluoride, 0.1 mM Na<sub>3</sub>VO<sub>4</sub> and 25 mM NaF). Samples were sonicated three times for 10 s, then centrifuged for 30 min at 12,000 g to remove debris and the supernatants were used for analysis.

Protein concentrations were determined by the bicinchronic acid (BCA) method using BCA protein assay reagent (Pierce) and bovine serum albumin as a standard. Samples were boiled in Laemmli sample buffer, electrophoresed on 16% SDS-polyacrylamide gels and transferred to nitrocellulose membranes (Osmonics). Blots were blocked with 5% skim milk in Trisbuffered saline containing 0.1% Tween 20 (TBS-T). The blots were incubated for 1 h at room temperature with a polyclonal anti-human NAG-1 antibody (1:1,000 dilution) (Baek et al., 2001), in 5% skim milk in TBS-T.

To examine whether the signal is specific to the canine NAG-1 protein band, the antibody was mixed with NAG-1 peptide (1 mg/mL) for 4 h at 4 °C and then followed by incubation with NAG-1 antibody as described. After washing, the blots were incubated with anti-rabbit horseradish peroxidase conjugated secondary antibody for 1 h and washed again. The signal was detected by the enhanced chemiluminescence system (Amersham).

#### 2.4. Sequence analysis

The deduced amino acid sequence of the canine NAG-1 protein was generated by DIALIGN 2.2.1 (http://bibiserv.techfak.uni-bielefeld.de/dialign/). The sequence results were compared with those of other species within the National Center for Biomedical Information's GenBank database and multiple alignments were performed by the AliBee program (GeneBee, http://www.genebee.msu.su/).

#### 3. Results

#### 3.1. Comparisons of predicted canine NAG-1 sequences with other species and molecular phylogenetic analysis

The deduced amino acid sequence of the canine NAG-1 protein was generated by DIALIGN 2.2.1 (Fig. 1). The full-length canine *NAG-1* cDNA has 924 bp and 307 deduced amino acids. The deduced amino acid sequence of the NAG-1 protein from other species was obtained from the GenBank database and multiple alignments were generated by the AliBee program. This alignment shows nine conserved cysteine residues in the C-terminal end and an RXXR motif (Fig. 2a). As shown in Fig. 2b, phylogenetic analysis indicated the highest degree of homology between the canine NAG-1 protein and mouse and rat NAG-1.

#### 3.2. Tissue distribution of canine NAG-1

To investigate the tissue distribution of the canine NAG-1 protein, Western blot analysis was performed using canine kidney, skeletal muscle, jejunum, lung, spleen, heart and liver using a polyclonal anti-human NAG-1 antibody. Strong bands were observed in kidney, lung and liver (Fig. 3a). High-level expression of NAG-1 protein in liver is also seen in the mouse (Hsiao et al., 2000a).

We also determined whether human NAG-1 antibody (Baek et al., 2001) specifically recognises canine NAG-1 protein. As shown in Fig. 3b, incubation of NAG-1 peptide with the antibody markedly attenuated the detection of specific bands (~35 kDa), demonstrating that anti-human NAG-1 antibody recognises canine NAG-1 protein. Interestingly, the intensity of an unexpected band (~60 kDa) seen in skeletal muscle was also diminished. This band probably represents pro-NAG-1 hemidimer (Bauskin et al., 2000).

#### 3.3. Effects of NSAIDs and PPARy ligands on NAG-1 expression in CCL-183

*NAG-1* induction by NSAIDs is well established in human colorectal cancer cell lines, as well as osteosarcoma cell lines (Baek et al., 2002a). Based on this information, we sought to analyse *NAG-1* induction in canine cancer cells. Canine osteosarcoma CCL-183 cells were treated with DMSO or NSAIDs (DFU, diclofenac, piroxicam, SC-560 and sulindac sulphide) to determine if these compounds induce *NAG-1* in canine osteosarcoma cells. Plasmid containing canine *NAG-1* cDNA was used as a positive RT-PCR control. As shown in Fig. 4a, *NAG-1* induction was evident in piroxicam and SC-560 treated cells.

*COX-2* expression was also analysed because its expression has been known to be repressed by some NSAIDs (Xu et al., 1999). Treatment with DFU reduced *COX-2* expression when compared to the vehicle, but other NSAIDs did not affect *COX-2* expression.

PPAR $\gamma$  ligands have been characterised as having anti-tumorigenic effects in numerous types of human cancer showing induction of apoptosis and *NAG-1* expression (Yamaguchi et al., 2006a). To see if the same phenomenon occurred in canine osteosarcoma, CCL-183 cells were treated with MCC-555 and rosiglitazone, both of which induce *NAG-1* expression in human colorectal cancer cells. As shown in Fig. 4b, treatment with rosiglitazone induced *NAG-1* expression, but treatment with MCC-555 did not.

## 4. Discussion

In the last decade, studies with microarray and PCR-based subtractive hybridisation have identified a number of genes that are targeted by anti-tumorigenic compounds. *NAG-1* was identified from an indomethacin-induced gene library in our laboratory (Baek and Eling, 2006). Human *NAG-1* encodes a protein with homology to members of the TGF- $\beta$  superfamily. Intra- and inter-molecular bonds formed by six to nine conserved cysteine residues create a structure characteristic of the TGF- $\beta$  superfamily.

NAG-1 is a dimeric secreted protein consisting of a long propeptide separated from the mature protein by furin-like proconvertase at a conserved RXXR sequence (Bauskin et al., 2000). Based on GenBank database comparison, the predicted canine NAG-1 amino acid sequence has nine cysteine residues and an RXXR sequence conserved with human, mouse, rat and chimpanzee NAG-1, suggesting that canine NAG-1 protein has a biological activity (Fig. 2).

It has been known that the human *NAG-1* transcript is highly expressed in placenta and at lower levels in the colon, kidney and prostate (Paralkar et al., 1998a). Canine *NAG-1* is highly expressed in the liver and lung, whereas human *NAG-1* is not expressed in liver (Fig. 3). On the other hand, mouse *NAG-1* is highly expressed in the liver and expressed at moderate levels in the kidney (Hsiao et al., 2000a), indicating a different distribution of basal *NAG-1* expression among species. However, phylogenetic tree analysis (Fig. 2b) indicates that canine *NAG-1* is more closely related to mouse *NAG-1* than the corresponding human molecule in tissue distribution.

NSAIDs represent a promising approach to cancer therapy (Ulrich et al., 2006) because they inhibit COX-1 and/or COX-2, which are responsible for the formation of prostaglandins from arachidonic acid. Overexpression of COX-2 is often observed in many types of cancer cells and results in an increase of cell survival (Lin et al., 2001) and metastasis (Tsujii et al., 1997) and a reduction of apoptosis (Nzeako et al., 2002). COX inhibition by NSAIDs at the protein level is likely to be closely related to their anti-tumorigenic effects.

However, NSAIDs can also act through COX-independent mechanisms (Grosch et al., 2006). Induction of canine *NAG-1* was observed only in the presence of piroxicam or SC-560. On the other hand, the NSAIDs diclofenac and sulindac sulphide did not induce *NAG-1*. Furthermore, *NAG-1* induction was not observed in the presence of the COX-2 specific inhibitor DFU (Fig. 4). These data suggest that COX inhibition does not necessarily increase *NAG-1* expression and a COX-independent pathway may be involved in *NAG-1* induction by NSAID.

Several studies demonstrated that the PPAR $\gamma$  ligand rosiglitazone inhibits cell growth (Brockman et al., 1998). Rosiglitazone also markedly induced *NAG-1* expression. Since canine *NAG-1* is induced by both NSAID and PPAR $\gamma$  ligand treatment, it can be inferred that *NAG-1* induction occurs via several mechanistic pathways.

### 5. Conclusion

The canine *NAG-1* gene is more homologous with mouse and rat *NAG-1*, than with the corresponding human gene. Some NSAIDs and rosiglitazone up-regulate canine *NAG-1*,

consistent with the notion that the NAG-1 protein may play an important role as a mediator for chemotherapeutic agents in canine cancer. Further studies will be required to elucidate the pathways for *NAG-1* induction by NSAID and PPAR $\gamma$  ligands in dogs.

#### Acknowledgements

We thank Ms. Min Hwang for her technical assistance. We thank Ms. Misty R. Bailey for her critical reading of manuscript. This work was supported by grants from the National Institutes of Health (K22 ES011657) and Center of Excellence at The University of Tennessee.

#### References

- Baek SJ, Eling TE. Changes in gene expression contribute to cancer prevention by COX inhibitors. Progress in Lipid Research 2006;45:1–16. [PubMed: 16337272]
- Baek SJ, Kim JS, Moore SM, Lee SH, Martinez J, Eling TE. Cyclooxygenase inhibitors induce the expression of the tumor suppressor gene EGR-1, which results in the up-regulation of NAG-1, an antitumorigenic protein. Molecular Pharmacology 2005;67:356–364. [PubMed: 15509713]
- Baek SJ, Kim JS, Jackson FR, Eling TE, McEntee MF, Lee SH. Epicatechin gallate-induced expression of NAG-1 is associated with growth inhibition and apoptosis in colon cancer cells. Carcinogenesis 2004a;25:2425–2432. [PubMed: 15308587]
- Baek SJ, Kim JS, Nixon JB, DiAugustine RP, Eling TE. Expression of NAG-1, a transforming growth factor-β superfamily member, by troglitazone requires the early growth response gene EGR-1. Journal of Biological Chemistry 2004b;279:6883–6892. [PubMed: 14662774]
- Baek SJ, Wilson LC, Hsi LC, Eling TE. Troglitazone, a peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor  $\gamma$  (PPAR $\gamma$ ) ligand, selectively induces the early growth response-1 gene independently of PPAR $\gamma$ . A novel mechanism for its anti-tumorigenic activity. Journal of Biological Chemistry 2003;278:5845–5853. [PubMed: 12475986]
- Baek SJ, Wilson LC, Eling TE. Resveratrol enhances the expression of non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drug-activated gene (NAG-1) by increasing the expression of p53. Carcinogenesis 2002a;23:425–434. [PubMed: 11895857]
- Baek SJ, Wilson LC, Lee CH, Eling TE. Dual function of nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs): inhibition of cyclooxygenase and induction of NSAID-activated gene. Journal of Pharmacology and Experimental Therapeutics 2002b;301:1126–1131. [PubMed: 12023546]
- Baek SJ, Kim KS, Nixon JB, Wilson LC, Eling TE. Cyclooxygenase inhibitors regulate the expression of a TGF-β superfamily member that has proapoptotic and antitumorigenic activities. Molecular Pharmacology 2001;59:901–908. [PubMed: 11259636]
- Bauskin AR, Zhang HP, Fairlie WD, He XY, Russell PK, Moore AG, Brown DA, Stanley KK, Breit SN. The propeptide of macrophage inhibitory cytokine (MIC-1), a TGF-β superfamily member, acts as a quality control determinant for correctly folded MIC-1. EMBO Journal 2000;19:2212–2220. [PubMed: 10811612]
- Bierie B, Moses HL. TGFB: the molecular Jekyll and Hyde of cancer. Nature Reviews Cancer 2006;6:506–520.
- Boolbol SK, Dannenberg AJ, Chadburn A, Martucci C, Guo XJ, Ramonetti JT, Abreu-Goris M, Newmark HL, Lipkin ML, DeCosse JJ, Bertagnolli MM. Cyclooxygenase-2 overexpression and tumor formation are blocked by sulindac in a murine model of familial adenomatous polyposis. Cancer Research 1996;56:2556–2560. [PubMed: 8653697]
- Bootcov MR, Bauskin AR, Valenzuela SM, Moore AG, Bansal M, He XY, Zhang HP, Donnellan M, Mahler S, Pryor K, et al. MIC-1, a novel macrophage inhibitory cytokine, is a divergent member of the TGF-β superfamily. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America 1997;94:11514–11519. [PubMed: 9326641]
- Brockman JA, Gupta RA, Dubois RN. Activation of PPARγ leads to inhibition of anchorage-independent growth of human colorectal cancer cells. Gastroenterology 1998;115:1049–1055. [PubMed: 9797355]

- Garcia Rodriguez LA, Huerta-Alvarez C. Reduced risk of colorectal cancer among long-term users of aspirin and nonaspirin nonsteroidal antiinflammatory drugs. Epidemiology 2001;12:88–93. [PubMed: 11138826]
- Goldberg Y, Nassif II, Pittas A, Tsai LL, Dynlacht BD, Rigas B, Shiff SJ. The anti-proliferative effect of sulindac and sulindac sulfide on HT-29 colon cancer cells: alterations in tumor suppressor and cell cycle- regulatory proteins. Oncogene 1996;12:893–901. [PubMed: 8632912]
- Grosch S, Maier TJ, Schiffmann S, Geisslinger G. Cyclooxygenase-2 (COX-2)-independent anticarcinogenic effects of selective COX-2 inhibitors. Journal of the National Cancer Institute 2006;98:736–747. [PubMed: 16757698]
- Hromas R, Hufford M, Sutton J, Xu D, Li Y, Lu L. PLAB, a novel placental bone morphogenetic protein. Biochimica et Biophysica Acta 1997;1354:40–44. [PubMed: 9375789]
- Hsiao EC, Koniaris LG, Zimmers-Koniaris T, Sebald SM, Huynh TV, Lee SJ. Characterization of growthdifferentiation factor 15, a transforming growth factor β superfamily member induced following liver injury. Molecular and Cellular Biology 2000;20:3742–3751. [PubMed: 10779363]
- Kim KS, Baek SJ, Flake GP, Loftin CD, Calvo BF, Eling TE. Expression and regulation of nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drug-activated gene (NAG-1) in human and mouse tissue. Gastroenterology 2002;122:1388–1398. [PubMed: 11984525]
- Kingsley DM. The TGF-β superfamily: new members, new receptors, and new genetic tests of function in different organisms. Genes and Development 1994;8:133–146. [PubMed: 8299934]
- Lee SH, Kim JS, Yamaguchi K, Eling TE, Baek SJ. Indole-3-carbinol and 3,3'-diindolylmethane induce expression of NAG-1 in a p53-independent manner. Biochemical and Biophysical Research Communications 2005;328:63–69. [PubMed: 15670751]
- Lee SH, Yamaguchi K, Kim JS, Eling TE, Safe S, Park Y, Baek SJ. Conjugated linoleic acid stimulates an anti-tumorigenic protein NAG-1 in an isomer specific manner. Carcinogenesis 2006;27:972–981. [PubMed: 16286461]
- Li PX, Wong J, Ayed A, Ngo D, Brade AM, Arrowsmith C, Austin RC, Klamut HJ. Placental transforming growth factor-B is a downstream mediator of the growth arrest and apoptotic response of tumor cells to DNA damage and p53 overexpression. Journal of Biological Chemistry 2000;275:20127–20135. [PubMed: 10777512]
- Lin MT, Lee RC, Yang PC, Ho FM, Kuo ML. Cyclooxygenase-2 inducing Mcl-1-dependent survival mechanism in human lung adenocarcinoma CL1.0 cells: involvement of phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase/Akt pathway. Journal of Biological Chemistry 2001;276:48997–49002. [PubMed: 11585835]
- Liu T, Bauskin AR, Zaunders J, Brown DA, Pankhurst S, Russell PJ, Breit SN. Macrophage inhibitory cytokine 1 reduces cell adhesion and induces apoptosis in prostate cancer cells. Cancer Research 2003;63:5034–5040. [PubMed: 12941831]
- Mohammed SI, Craig BA, Mutsaers AJ, Glickman NW, Snyder PW, deGortari AE, Schlittler DL, Coffman KT, Bonney PL, Knapp DW. Effects of the cyclooxygenase inhibitor, piroxicam, in combination with chemotherapy on tumor response, apoptosis, and angiogenesis in a canine model of human invasive urinary bladder cancer. Molecular Cancer Therapeutics 2003;2:183–188. [PubMed: 12589035]
- Nzeako UC, Guicciardi ME, Yoon JH, Bronk SF, Gores GJ. COX-2 inhibits Fas-mediated apoptosis in cholangiocarcinoma cells. Hepatology 2002;35:552–559. [PubMed: 11870367]
- Paralkar VM, Vail AL, Grasser WA, Brown TA, Xu H, Vukicevic S, Ke HZ, Qi H, Owen TA, Thompson DD. Cloning and characterization of a novel member of the transforming growth factor-β/bone morphogenetic protein family. Journal of Biological Chemistry 1998;273:13760–13767. [PubMed: 9593718]
- Reddy BS, Hirose Y, Lubet R, Steele V, Kellof GJ, Paulson S, Seibert K, Rao CV. Chemoprevention of colon cancer by specific cyclooxygenase-2 inhibitor, celecoxib, administered during different stages of carcinogenesis. Cancer Research 2000;60:293–297. [PubMed: 10667579]
- Tan M, Wang Y, Guan K, Sun Y. PTGF-β, a type β transforming growth factor (TGF-β) superfamily member, is a p53 target gene that inhibits tumor cell growth via TGF-β signaling pathway.
  Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America 2000;97:109–114. [PubMed: 10618379]

- Tsujii M, Kawano S, DuBois RN. Cyclooxygenase-2 expression in human colon cancer cells increases metastatic potential. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America 1997;94:3336–3340. [PubMed: 9096394]
- Ulrich CM, Bigler J, Potter JD. Non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs for cancer prevention: promise, perils, and pharmacogenetics. Nature Reviews Cancer 2006;6:130–140.
- Xu XM, Sansores-Garcia L, Chen XM, Matijevic-Aleksic N, Du M, Wu KK. Suppression of inducible cyclooxygenase 2 gene transcription by aspirin and sodium salicylate. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America 1999;96:5292–5297. [PubMed: 10220459]
- Yamaguchi K, Lee SH, Eling TE, Baek SJ. A novel peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor γ ligand, MCC-555, induces apoptosis via posttranscriptional regulation of NAG-1 in colorectal cancer cells. Molecular Cancer Therapeutics 2006a;5:1352–1361. [PubMed: 16731769]
- Yamaguchi K, Liggett JL, Kim NC, Baek SJ. Anti-proliferative effect of horehound leaf and wild cherry bark extracts on human colorectal cancer cells. Oncology Reports 2006b;15:275–281. [PubMed: 16328068]
- Yamaguchi K, Lee SH, Eling TE, Baek SJ. Identification of nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugactivated gene (NAG-1) as a novel downstream target of phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase/AKT/ GSK-3β pathway. Journal of Biological Chemistry 2004;279:49617–49623. [PubMed: 15377673]

1 atg cct gga cag gga ccq gca сса gca cac tgc tct ccc atg ctg gtg ata ctg gtg atg Ρ G Ρ Ρ Α Η С S Ρ Μ V Ι М Μ Q G Α L L v 61 ctc tcc tgg ttt ctg cct tcg gga ggc gcc ctg tct ctg gcc cag gag cac ctc ccc gcc S Ρ S G S Ρ F L W L G Α L  $\mathbf{L}$ Α Q Ε Η L Α 121 cca gga ccc tca ccg cac tcc agt acc gtg tcc aga atc cag gag ctt cgg gac gac aaa Ρ Ρ S D Ρ Η S S Т D V S R Ι L Κ G Q Ε R 181 cgc tac gag cac ctg cag acc aag ctg agg ctg aac саа ggc tgg gcc gat tca aac cct R Y Ε Η L Q Т Κ L R L Ν Q G W Α D S Ν Ρ 241 gac ctc gtc cct gca act cga gtc ata ctc act cca aag ctg cga ctt cgg ggg ccg cga D L V Ρ Α Т R V R Ι L Т Ρ Κ L R L G Ρ R 301 ggc cac ctg cgc atc gcc gcc tcc cac ctg cgg gac ctg act ctc CCC gca gcc gcg ggg Т S G Η L Η L R Ι Α R Α D L Α G  $\mathbf{L}$ Ρ Α Α ctg acg gag gac acg 361 cgc cta cat ctc aga ttq tcc tcq cgg gcg ccq ccq agc tgg qtq R L Η R Α  $\mathbf{L}$  $\mathbf{L}$ R L S Ρ Т Ε Ρ S S W D V Т ccg 421 cgg ctg cag cgt cag ctc agt cgt gta ggt tcc cgg acg CCC aca cta cgc ctq cga R Ρ L R Q L S R V G S R Т Ρ Т L R  $\mathbf{L}$ R Q cgg tcg cag ctg 481 ctg ttg cct cgg cga gcg ttg cct tct gca cgt ccg ctt gag tgg gac Ρ S  $\mathbf{L}$ L Ρ R D R S R L Α R Ρ Q  $\mathbf{L}$ Ε W Α  $\mathbf{L}$ 541 cac tgg cgg cca cgc gcg gcc agg ggg cgc cgc aac gcg cat gcg cac gcc cgg qac ggt Η W R Ρ R R R R Η Α R D G Α А G Ν Α Η Α 601 tgc ccc ctc ggg gag ggg cgc tgc tgc cgc ctg caa agc ctg cqc qcq tcg ctc cag gac С Ρ L G Ε G R С С R  $\mathbf{L}$ Q S  $\mathbf{L}$ R Α S  $\mathbf{L}$ Q D 661 ctg ggt tgg gcc aac tgg gtg gtg gcg CCC cgc gag ctg gac gtg cgc atg tgt gta ggc L W W V V Ρ R Ε D V С V G G Α Ν Α L R Μ 721 gcg tgc cca agc cag ttc cqq tcg gct aac acg cac gcc cag atg cag gcg cgc ctg cat С Ρ S F R S Α Ν Т Η Α Α R L Η Α Q Q Μ Q 781 ggc ctg aac ccc gac gcc gcg cct gcg ccg tgc tgc gtg CCC gcc agc tat gag ccg gtg G L Ν Ρ D Α Α Ρ Α Ρ С С V Ρ Α S Υ Ε Ρ V 841 gtg ctc atg cac caa gac agc gac ggg cgc gtg tcg ctg acg CCC ttc gac gac ctc gtg v L Μ Η Q D S D G R V S L Т Ρ F D D L V 901 gcc aag gac tgc cac gtg tgc tga Α Κ D С Η С V

Fig. 1.

Predicted nucleotide sequence (top) and deduced amino acid sequence (bottom) of canine *NAG-1* (GenBank XM\_541938).

Page 10

Dog	1	MPGQGPAPAHCSPMLVILVMLSWLPSGGALSLAQEHLPAFPGPSDPHSSTDV
Human	1	MPGQELRTVNGSQMLLVLLVLSWLPHGGALSLAEASRASFPGPSELHSED
Mouse	1	MAPPALQAQPPGGSQLRFLLFLLLLLLSWPSQGDALAMPEQRRSGPESQLNA
Rat	1	MALRALHAQPTGGPQLRFLLFLLLLLLSWPSQGDALALPEQRRSLSESQLNP
Chimpanzee	1	MGSVARQRGGTAAPDVAMGVVVLSTPSYELSPW-RPGPPVERRILS
Dog	53	SRIQELRKRYEHLQTKL-RLNQGWADSNPDLVPATRVRILTPKLRLGPRGHLHLRIARAD
Human	51	${\tt SRFRELRKRYEDLLTRL-RANQSWEDSNTDLVPAPAVRILTPEVRLGSGGHLHLRISRAA}$
Mouse	55	$ {\tt DELRGRFQDLLSRL-HANQSREDSNSEPSPDPAVRILSPEVRLGSHGQLLLRVNRAS}$
Rat	55	$ {\tt DELRGRFQDLLSRL-HANQSREDSNSEPTPDPAVRILSPEVRLGSHGRLLLRVNRAS}$
Chimpanzee	46	AFDFSFLICKTpHQRKTPPTSGLELQGFGAGTMRLGSGGHLHLRISRAA
Dog	112	LTAGLPAAS RLHR ALLRLSPTEPSSWDVTRPLQRQLSRVGSRTPTLRLRLLPRWDRSR
Human	110	$\label{eq:lpeas} \texttt{RLHR} \texttt{ALFRLSPTASRSWDVTRPLRRQLSLARPQAPALHLRLSPPPSQSDQL}$
Mouse	111	LSQGLPEAY RVHR ALLLLTPTT-RPWDITRPLKRALSLQGPRAPALRLRLTPPPDLAM
Rat	111	LTQGLPEAY RVHR ALLLLTPSS-RPWDITRPLQRAISLQGPHARALRLRLAPPPDLAV
Chimpanzee	95	$\label{eq:lpeas} \texttt{RLHR} \texttt{ALFRLSPTAARSWDVTRPLRRQLSLARPQAPALHLRLSPPPSQSDQL}$
		* **
Dog	170	ALPSARPQLELHWRPRAARGRRNAHAHARDGCPLGEGRCCRLQSLRASLQDLGWANWV
DOG	± / 0	
Human	170	LAESSSARPQLELHLRPQAARGRRRARARNGDDCPLGPGRCCRLHTVRASLEDLGWADWV
Human Mouse	170 168	$\label{eq:label} LAESSSARPQLELHLRPQAARGRRRARARNGDDCPLGPGRCCRLHTVRASLEDLGWADWV-LPSGGAQLELRLRVAAGRGRRSAHAHPRDSCPLGPGRCCHLETVQATLEDLGWSDWV$
Human Mouse Rat	170 168 168	LAESSSARPQLELHLRPQAARGRRRARARNGDDCPLGPGRCCRLHTVRASLEDLGWADWV -LPSGGAQLELRLRVAAGRGRRSAHAHPRDSCPLGPGRCCHLETVQATLEDLGWSDWV -LPSGGARLELHLRSAAGRGRRSAHLHPRDSCPLGPGRCCHLETVQATLEDLGWSDWV
Human Mouse Rat Chimpanzee	170 168 168 155	LAESSSARPQLELHLRPQAARGRRRARARNGDDCPLGPGRCCRLHTVRASLEDLGWADWV -LPSGGAQLELRLRVAAGRGRRSAHAHPRDSCPLGPGRCCHLETVQATLEDLGWSDWV -LPSGGARLELHLRSAAGRGRRSAHLHPRDSCPLGPGRCCHLETVQATLEDLGWSDWV LAESSSARPQLELHLRSRAARGLRRARARNGDHCPLGPGRCCRLHTVRASLEDLGWSDWV
Human Mouse Rat Chimpanzee	170 168 168 155	LAESSSARPQLELHLRPQAARGRRRARARNGDDCPLGPGRCCRLHTVRASLEDLGWADWV -LPSGGAQLELRLRVAAGRGRRSAHAHPRDSCPLGPGRCCHLETVQATLEDLGWSDWV -LPSGGARLELHLRSAAGRGRRSAHLHPRDSCPLGPGRCCHLETVQATLEDLGWSDWV LAESSSARPQLELHLRSRAARGLRRARARNGDHCPLGPGRCCRLHTVRASLEDLGWSDWV
Human Mouse Rat Chimpanzee Dog	170 168 168 155 228	LAESSSARPQLELHLRPQAARGRRRARARNGDDCPLGPGRCCRLHTVRASLEDLGWADWV -LPSGGAQLELRLRVAAGRGRRSAHAHPRDSCPLGPGRCCHLETVQATLEDLGWSDWV -LPSGGARLELHLRSAAGRGRRSAHLHPRDSCPLGPGRCCHLETVQATLEDLGWSDWV LAESSSARPQLELHLRSRAARGLRRARARNGDHCPLGPGRCCRLHTVRASLEDLGWSDWV VAPRELDVRMCVGACPSQFRSANTHAQMQARLHGLNPDAAPAPCCVPASYEPVVLMHQDS
Human Mouse Rat Chimpanzee Dog Human	170 168 168 155 228 230	LAESSSARPQLELHLRPQAARGRRRARARNGDDCPLGPGRCCRLHTVRASLEDLGWADWV -LPSGGAQLELRLRVAAGRGRRSAHAHPRDSCPLGPGRCCHLETVQATLEDLGWSDWV -LPSGGARLELHLRSAAGRGRRSAHLHPRDSCPLGPGRCCHLETVQATLEDLGWSDWV LAESSSARPQLELHLRSRAARGLRRARARNGDHCPLGPGRCCRLHTVRASLEDLGWSDWV VAPRELDVRMCVGACPSQFRSANTHAQMQARLHGLNPDAAPAPCCVPASYEPVVLMHQDS LSPREVQVTMCIGACPSQFRAANMHAQIKTSLHRLKPDTEPAPCCVPASYNPMVLIQKTD
Human Mouse Rat Chimpanzee Dog Human Mouse	170 168 168 155 228 230 225	LAESSSARPQLELHLRPQAARGRRRARARNGDDCPLGPGRCCRLHTVRASLEDLGWADWV -LPSGGAQLELRLRVAAGRGRRSAHAHPRDSCPLGPGRCCHLETVQATLEDLGWSDWV -LPSGGARLELHLRSAAGRGRRSAHLHPRDSCPLGPGRCCHLETVQATLEDLGWSDWV LAESSSARPQLELHLRSRAARGLRRARARNGDHCPLGPGRCCRLHTVRASLEDLGWSDWV VAPRELDVRMCVGACPSQFRSANTHAQMQARLHGLNPDAAPAPCCVPASYEPVVLMHQDS LSPREVQVTMCIGACPSQFRAANMHAQIKTSLHRLKPDTEPAPCCVPASYNPMVLIQKTD LSPRQLQLSMCVGECPHLYRSANTHAQIKARLHGLQPDKVPAPCCVPSSYTPVVLMHRTD
Human Mouse Rat Chimpanzee Dog Human Mouse Rat	170 168 168 155 228 230 225 225	LAESSSARPQLELHLRPQAARGRRRARARNGDDCPLGPGRCCRLHTVRASLEDLGWADWV -LPSGGAQLELRLRVAAGRGRRSAHAHPRDSCPLGPGRCCHLETVQATLEDLGWSDWV -LPSGGARLELHLRSAAGRGRRSAHLHPRDSCPLGPGRCCHLETVQATLEDLGWSDWV LAESSSARPQLELHLRSRAARGLRRARARNGDHCPLGPGRCCRLHTVRASLEDLGWSDWV VAPRELDVRMCVGACPSQFRSANTHAQMQARLHGLNPDAAPAPCCVPASYEPVVLMHQDS LSPREVQVTMCIGACPSQFRAANMHAQIKTSLHRLKPDTEPAPCCVPASYNPMVLIQKTD LSPRQLQLSMCVGECPHLYRSANTHAQIKARLHGLQPDKVPAPCCVPSSYTPVVLMHRTD
Human Mouse Rat Chimpanzee Dog Human Mouse Rat Chimpanzee	170 168 168 155 228 230 225 225 215	LAESSSARPQLELHLRPQAARGRRRARARNGDDCPLGPGRCCRLHTVRASLEDLGWADWV -LPSGGAQLELRLRVAAGRGRRSAHAHPRDSCPLGPGRCCHLETVQATLEDLGWSDWV -LPSGGARLELHLRSAAGRGRRSAHLHPRDSCPLGPGRCCHLETVQATLEDLGWSDWV LAESSSARPQLELHLRSRAARGLRRARARNGDHCPLGPGRCCRLHTVRASLEDLGWSDWV VAPRELDVRMCVGACPSQFRSANTHAQMQARLHGLNPDAAPAPCCVPASYEPVVLMHQDS LSPREVQVTMCIGACPSQFRAANMHAQIKTSLHRLKPDTEPAPCCVPASYNPMVLIQKTD LSPRQLQLSMCVGECPHLYRSANTHAQIKARLHGLQPDKVPAPCCVPSSYTPVVLMHRTD LSPREVQVTMCIGACPSQFRAANMHAQIKTSLHRLKPDTVPAPCCVPSSYTPVVLMHRTD
Human Mouse Rat Chimpanzee Dog Human Mouse Rat Chimpanzee	170 168 168 155 228 230 225 225 215	LAESSSARPQLELHLRPQAARGRRRARARNGDDCPLGPGRCCRLHTVRASLEDLGWADWV -LPSGGAQLELRLRVAAGRGRRSAHAHPRDSCPLGPGRCCHLETVQATLEDLGWSDWV -LPSGGARLELHLRSAAGRGRRSAHLHPRDSCPLGPGRCCHLETVQATLEDLGWSDWV LAESSSARPQLELHLRSRAARGLRRARARNGDHCPLGPGRCCRLHTVRASLEDLGWSDWV **** VAPRELDVRMCVGACPSQFRSANTHAQMQARLHGLNPDAAPAPCCVPASYEPVVLMHQDS LSPREVQVTMCIGACPSQFRAANMHAQIKTSLHRLKPDTEPAPCCVPASYNPMVLIQKTD LSPRQLQLSMCVGECPHLYRSANTHAQIKARLHGLQPDKVPAPCCVPSSYTPVVLMHRTD LSPRQLQLSMCVGECPHLYRSANTHALIKARLHGLQPDRVPAPCCVPSSYTPVVLMHRTD LSPREVQVTMCIGACPSQFRAANMHAQIKTSLHRLKPDTVPAPCCVPASYNPMVLIQKTD
Human Mouse Rat Chimpanzee Dog Human Mouse Rat Chimpanzee Dog	170 168 168 155 228 230 225 225 215 288	LAESSSARPQLELHLRPQAARGRRRARARNGDDCPLGPGRCCRLHTVRASLEDLGWADWV -LPSGGAQLELRLRVAAGRGRRSAHAHPRDSCPLGPGRCCHLETVQATLEDLGWSDWV -LPSGGARLELHLRSAAGRGRRSAHLHPRDSCPLGPGRCCHLETVQATLEDLGWSDWV LAESSSARPQLELHLRSRAARGLRRARARNGDHCPLGPGRCCRLHTVRASLEDLGWSDWV VAPRELDVRMCVGACPSQFRSANTHAQMQARLHGLNPDAAPAPCCVPASYEPVVLMHQDS LSPREVQVTMCIGACPSQFRSANTHAQMQARLHGLNPDAAPAPCCVPASYPVVLMHQDS LSPREVQVTMCIGACPSQFRAANMHAQIKTSLHRLKPDTEPAPCCVPASYNPMVLIQKTD LSPRQLQLSMCVGECPHLYRSANTHAQIKARLHGLQPDKVPAPCCVPSSYTPVVLMHRTD LSPREVQVTMCIGACPSQFRAANMHAQIKTSLHRLKPDTVPAPCCVPSSYTPVVLMHRTD DGRVSLTPFDDLVAKDCHCV
Human Mouse Rat Chimpanzee Dog Human Mouse Rat Chimpanzee Dog Human	170 168 168 155 228 230 225 225 215 288 290	LAESSSARPQLELHLRPQAARGRRRARARNGDDCPLGPGRCCRLHTVRASLEDLGWADWV -LPSGGAQLELRLRVAAGRGRRSAHAHPRDSCPLGPGRCCHLETVQATLEDLGWSDWV -LPSGGARLELHLRSAAGRGRRSAHLHPRDSCPLGPGRCCHLETVQATLEDLGWSDWV LAESSSARPQLELHLRSRAARGLRRARARNGDHCPLGPGRCCRLHTVRASLEDLGWSDWV VAPRELDVRMCVGACPSQFRSANTHAQMQARLHGLNPDAAPAPCCVPASYEPVVLMHQDS LSPREVQVTMCIGACPSQFRAANMHAQIKTSLHRLKPDTEPAPCCVPASYNPMVLIQKTD LSPRQLQLSMCVGECPHLYRSANTHAQIKARLHGLQPDKVPAPCCVPSSYTPVVLMHRTD LSPRQLQLSMCVGECPHLYRSANTHALIKARLHGLQPDRVPAPCCVPSSYTPVVLMHRTD LSPREVQVTMCIGACPSQFRAANMHAQIKTSLHRLKPDTVPAPCCVPSSYTPVVLMHRTD LSPREVQVTMCIGACPSQFRAANMHAQIKTSLHRLKPDTVPAPCCVPASYNPMVLIQKTD
Human Mouse Rat Chimpanzee Dog Human Mouse Rat Chimpanzee Dog Human Mouse	170 168 168 155 228 230 225 225 215 288 290 285	LAESSSARPQLELHLRPQAARGRRARARNGDDCPLGPGRCCRLHTVRASLEDLGWADWV -LPSGGAQLELRLRVAAGRGRRSAHAHPRDSCPLGPGRCCHLETVQATLEDLGWSDWV -LPSGGARLELHLRSAAGRGRRSAHLHPRDSCPLGPGRCCHLETVQATLEDLGWSDWV LAESSSARPQLELHLRSRAARGLRRARARNGDHCPLGPGRCCRLHTVRASLEDLGWSDWV VAPRELDVRMCVGACPSQFRSANTHAQMQARLHGLNPDAAPAPCCVPASYEPVVLMHQDS LSPREVQVTMCIGACPSQFRAANMHAQIKTSLHRLKPDTEPAPCCVPASYNPMVLIQKTD LSPRQLQLSMCVGECPHLYRSANTHAQIKARLHGLQPDKVPAPCCVPSSYTPVVLMHRTD LSPRQLQLSMCVGECPHLYRSANTHALIKARLHGLQPDRVPAPCCVPSSYTPVVLMHRTD LSPREVQVTMCIGACPSQFRAANMHAQIKTSLHRLKPDTVPAPCCVPSSYTPVVLMHRTD LSPREVQVTMCIGACPSQFRAANMHAQIKTSLHRLKPDTVPAPCCVPSSYTPVVLMHRTD SRVSLTPFDDLVAKDCHCI SG-VSLQTYDDLLAKDCHCI SG-VSLQTYDDLLAKDCHCA
Human Mouse Rat Chimpanzee Dog Human Mouse Rat Chimpanzee Dog Human Mouse Rat	170 168 168 155 228 230 225 215 225 215 288 290 285 285	LAESSSARPQLELHLRPQAARGRRARARNGDDCPLGPGRCCRLHTVRASLEDLGWADWV -LPSGGAQLELRLRVAAGRGRRSAHAHPRDSCPLGPGRCCHLETVQATLEDLGWSDWV -LPSGGARLELHLRSAAGRGRRSAHLHPRDSCPLGPGRCCHLETVQATLEDLGWSDWV LAESSSARPQLELHLRSRAARGLRRARARNGDHCPLGPGRCCRLHTVRASLEDLGWSDWV VAPRELDVRMCVGACPSQFRSANTHAQMQARLHGLNPDAAPAPCCVPASYEPVVLMHQDS LSPREVQVTMCIGACPSQFRAANMHAQIKTSLHRLKPDTEPAPCCVPASYNPMVLIQKTD LSPRQLQLSMCVGECPHLYRSANTHAQIKARLHGLQPDKVPAPCCVPSSYTPVVLMHRTD LSPRQLQLSMCVGECPHLYRSANTHALIKARLHGLQPDKVPAPCCVPSSYTPVVLMHRTD LSPREVQVTMCIGACPSQFRAANMHAQIKTSLHRLKPDTVPAPCCVPSSYTPVVLMHRTD SPREVQVTMCIGACPSQFRAANMHAQIKTSLHRLKPDTVPAPCCVPSSYTPVVLMHRTD SGRVSLTPFDDLVAKDCHCV TG-VSLQTYDDLLAKDCHCI SG-VSLQTYDDLVARGCHCA



Vet J. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2008 March 19.

#### Fig. 2.

Alignment of NAG-1 amino acid sequence from the dog, human, mouse, rat, and chimpanzee (GenBank: human, AAH08962; mouse, NP\_035949; rat, CAA09891; chimpanzee,

XP\_524157). (a) Amino acid sequence alignment of the C-terminal region begins with the first conserved cysteine residue. Conserved cysteine residues are marked with an asterisk (\*). Bold letter indicates RXXR motifs. (b) Phylogenetic tree based on NAG-1 amino acid sequence homology among the dog, human, mouse, rat, and chimpanzee.

**NIH-PA** Author Manuscript



#### Fig. 3.

Expression profiles of NAG-1 protein in canine tissues demonstrated by Western blot analysis using 30  $\mu$ g of cell lysate from each tissue separated by SDS-PAGE. (a) Polyclonal anti-human NAG-1 antibody. Canine NAG-1 appeared as a band ~ 35 kDa. (b) Result from incubating NAG-1 antibody with human NAG-1 peptide.



#### Fig. 4.

Effects of NSAID and PPAR $\gamma$  ligands on *NAG-1* expression in canine osteosarcoma cancer cells determined by RT-PCR. (a) CCL-183 cells were treated for 24 h with DMSO vehicle (Veh) or NSAIDs: DFU: 5,5-dimethyl-3-(3-fluorophenyl)-4-(4-methylsulphonyl) phenyl-2 (*5H*)-furanonel, 100  $\mu$ M; Dic: diclofenac, 100  $\mu$ M; Pir: piroxicam, 100  $\mu$ M; SC: SC-560, 50  $\mu$ M; SS: sulindac sulphide, 30  $\mu$ M. Plasmid containing canine *NAG-1* cDNA was used as a positive control (Cont). (b) CCL-183 cells were treated for 24 h with vehicle (Veh) or PPAR $\gamma$  ligands: MCC: MCC-555, 5  $\mu$ M; RGZ: rosiglitazone, 5  $\mu$ M. RT-PCR was performed using total RNA isolated from cells. Equal loading was demonstrated by GAPDH RT-PCR.