Comparison of slow inactivation in human heart and rat skeletal muscle Na⁺ channel chimaeras

John P. O'Reilly *, Sho-Ya Wang †, Roland G. Kallen ‡ and Ging Kuo Wang *

Department of Biological Sciences, State University of New York at Albany, Albany, NY 12222, Department of Biochemistry and Biophysics, University of Pennsylvania School of Medicine, Philadelphia, PA 19104 and *Department of Anesthesia, Brigham and Women's Hospital, Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA 02115, USA

(Received 17 August 1998; accepted after revision 3 November 1998)

- 1. Voltage-gated Na^+ channels undergo two types of inactivation in response to depolarization. One type, fast inactivation, occurs with a time scale of milliseconds. The other, slow inactivation, occurs over seconds to minutes. In addition, these two processes appear to be distinct at the molecular level. However, the molecular mechanism of Na^+ channel slow inactivation is unknown.
- 2. We used patch clamp techniques to study slow inactivation, activation and fast inactivation in α -subunit cDNA clones for wild-type human heart Na⁺ channels (hH1) and rat skeletal muscle Na^+ channels (μ 1) transiently expressed in human embryonic kidney (HEK) cells. Our experiments showed that the Na^+ channel slow inactivation phenotype (development, steady state and recovery) differed dramatically between hH1 and μ 1. Slow inactivation in μ 1 had a faster onset, a steeper voltage dependence, and was more complete compared with hH1. In addition, recovery from slow inactivation was much slower for μ 1 than for hH1. Activation and fast inactivation kinetics were also different in hH1 and μ 1. In hH1, fast inactivation was slower and V_{ν_2} values of activation and steady-state fast inactivation (h_{∞}) were more negative than in μ 1.
- 3. To better understand the molecular basis of $Na⁺$ channel slow inactivation, $Na⁺$ channel chimaeras were constructed with domains from hH1 and μ 1. The slow inactivation phenotype in the chimaeras (domains denoted by subscripts) $\mu_1_{(1)}$ hH $_1_{(2,3,4)}$, $\mu_1_{(1,2)}$ hH $_1_{(3,4)}$ and $\mu_1_{(1,2,3)}$ hH $_1_{(4)}$ was intermediate compared with that of wild-type. However, the chimaera μ_1 ₍₁₎hH_{1(2,3,4)} was more like wild-type hH1, while the chimaeras $\mu_1(1,2)$ _{1,1,2},3)_{hH1}(1,2,3)hH₁(4) were more similar to wild-type μ 1. In the chimaeras, activation resembled that of μ 1, fast inactivation resembled that of hH1, and steady-state fast inactivation fell between that of hH1 and μ 1.
- 4. The data demonstrate that all four domains can modulate the $Na⁺$ channel slow inactivation phenotype. However, domains D1 and D2 may play a more prominent role in determining $Na⁺$ channel slow inactivation phenotype than D3 and D4. The results also support previous conclusions that D3 and D4 (and the D3-D4 linker) play an important role in Na^+ channel fast inactivation, and that activation may require non-equivalent contributions from all four domains.

Voltage-gated Na^+ channels are found in excitable tissues (e.g. brain, heart and muscle). In response to membrane depolarization, Na⁺ channels open rapidly, then close and enter an inactivated state from which they rarely open. Inactivation of $Na⁺$ channels is important in terms of active and passive membrane properties in excitable tissues. Two types of $Na⁺$ channel inactivation have been identified: fast and slow. Fast inactivation occurs within milliseconds of membrane depolarization and plays an important role in the termination of action potentials (Hille, 1992). In contrast,

slow inactivation occurs over a time scale of seconds to minutes (Rudy, 1978). Slow inactivation is thought to be an important factor in membrane excitability and firing properties (Ruff et al. 1988; Sawczuk et al. 1995). When the membrane is repolarized, $Na⁺$ channels recover from the inactivated state to the closed or resting state (i.e. available for activation). Recovery from the fast-inactivated state occurs within milliseconds, while recovery from slow inactivation takes seconds to minutes (Rudy, 1978; Hille, 1992).

Fast and slow inactivation are structurally distinct processes. Fast inactivation can be eliminated by internal perfusion with protease (Rudy, 1978), or by amino acid substitutions in the D3-D4 linker (Featherstone $et \ al.$ 1996). However, neither of these treatments eliminates slow inactivation (Rudy, 1978; Featherstone et al. 1996). These results suggest that slow and fast inactivation are dependent on different molecular entities.

In addition to the normal physiological role of $Na⁺$ channel inactivation, disruption or alteration of inactivation has been implicated in several inheritable diseases. For example, a mutation that alters slow inactivation in the human s keletal muscle $Na⁺$ channel results in hyperkalaemic periodic paralysis (Cummins & Sigworth, 1996), and in the human heart, inheritable Na^+ channel mutations that alter fast inactivation produce the long QT syndrome (Wang et al. 1996b). Therefore, a better understanding of the molecular basis of Na⁺ channel inactivation can have important clinical implications.

 $Na⁺ channels from several excitable tissues have been cloned$ and sequenced (Noda et al. 1986; Trimmer et al. 1989; George et al. 1992; Gellens et al. 1992). The protein structure of mammalian Na^+ channels consists of a large $(230-270 \mathrm{~kDa})$ α -subunit and smaller (37–39 kDa) β -subunits. The Na⁺ channel α -subunit has four homologous domains (D1-D4), and each domain has six transmembrane segments $(S1-S6)$. The Na⁺ channel α -subunit can be expressed in mammalian cells such as human embryonic kidney (HEK293t) cells. The kinetics of whole-cell Na^+ current observed in these cells are comparable to those of Na^+ current in native tissues (Ukomadu et al. 1992).

Experimental manipulation of the primary sequence of cloned Na^+ channels has demonstrated that specific regions of the Na⁺ channel molecule play important roles in distinct kinetic functions. For example, the S4 regions of the four domains are important in voltage sensing and activation (Sigworth, 1994), and the cytoplasmic linker between D3 and D4 is necessary for fast inactivation (Patton et al. 1992). Other studies have provided some insight into the molecular basis of slow inactivation in $Na⁺$ channels. In rat skeletal muscle Na^+ channels, the mutation N434A (asparagine to alanine in D1, S6) accelerates slow inactivation (Wang & Wang, 1997). Another mutation, M1585V (methionine to valine in D4, S6), increases the rate of recovery from slow inactivation (Hayward et al. 1997). However, our understanding of the molecular basis of $Na⁺$ channel slow inactivation is limited.

Recent evidence suggests that slow inactivation differs among Na^+ channel isoforms. For example, slow inactivation in rat brain Na^+ channels (Fleidervish *et al.* 1996; Toib *et al.* 1998) appears to be similar to slow inactivation in rat skeletal muscle Na^+ channels (μ 1) (Wang & Wang, 1997; Hayward et al. 1997), while slow inactivation in a human heart Na^+ channel (hH1a) is slower and less complete than in either brain or muscle $Na⁺$ channels (Townsend & Horn, 1997; Richmond et al. 1998). To better understand the molecular basis of the differences in Na⁺ channel slow inactivation, we directly compared the slow inactivation phenotypes of the wild-type human heart $Na⁺$ channel (hH1), the wild-type rat skeletal muscle Na^+ channel (μ 1) and Na⁺ channel chimaeras constructed with domains from hH1 and μ 1: μ 1₍₁₎hH1_(2,3,4), μ 1_(1,2)hH1_(3,4) and μ 1_(1,2,3)hH1₍₄₎ (domains denoted by subscripts). cDNA clones for wild-type and chimaeric Na⁺ channels were transfected into HEK cells and whole-cell patch clamp techniques (Hamill et al. 1981) were used to study slow inactivation of the expressed Na^+ channels. We tested the hypothesis that one or more domains of the Na⁺ channel determined the physiological phenotype of slow inactivation, i.e. the slow inactivation phenotype in the chimaeras would resemble the phenotype of the origin (hH1 or μ 1) of a particular domain or domains. To further understand and compare the role of different domains in gating kinetics, we also studied activation and fast inactivation in wild-type and chimaeric Na^+ channels. The results demonstrate that slow inactivation, as well as activation and fast inactivation, can be modulated by all four domains of the Na^+ channel. Some of these data have been presented previously in abstract form (O'Reilly *et al.* 1998).

METHODS

Construction of chimaeras and transient transfection of cDNA clones

The Na^+ channel chimaeras were constructed as previously described (Frohnwieser et al. 1997; Wright et al. 1999). The sites of ligation (sequence numbers based on hH1 sequence from Gellens et al. 1992) for the chimaeras (domains denoted by subscripts) were 417 ($\mu_1_{(1)}$ hH1_(2,3,4)), 940 ($\mu_1_{(1,2)}$ hH1_(3,4)) and 1472 ($\mu_1_{(1,2,3)}$ hH1₍₄₎). We also attempted to study the reverse chimaeras, i.e. $hH1_{(1)}\mu1_{(2,3,4)}$, $hH1_{(1,2)}\mu1_{(3,4)}$ and $hH1_{(1,2,3)}\mu1_{(4)}$. However, these chimaeras expressed little to no Na^+ current. All chimaeras were confirmed with restriction mapping and sequencing. The cDNA clones of wildtype hH1, wild-type μ 1 and Na⁺ channel chimaeras were transiently expressed in HEK293t cells. The cells were maintained in a standard medium consisting of Dulbecco's modified Eagle's medium (Gibco Labs, Grand Island, NY, USA) supplemented with 1% taurine, 1% penicillin–streptomycin, and 10% heatinactivated fetal bovine serum (HyClone Labs, Logan, UT, USA). Transient transfection (Cannon & Strittmatter, 1993) was performed as follows: $1 \mu g$ of CD8 (cell surface antigen) and $5-10 \mu$ g of Na⁺ channel cDNA subcloned in the pcDNA1/amp vector (Invitrogen, San Diego, CA, USA) were added to a tube with 315μ l H₂O. A 45μ l volume of 250 mm CaCl₂ was added to the tube, and the contents were transferred to another tube containing $365 \mu l$ of Hanks' balanced salt solution $(\times 2)$ (mm: 274 NaCl, 40 Hepes, 12 dextrose, 10 KCl, 1.4 Na_2HPO_4 ; pH 7.05). The mixture was incubated at 22 °C for 30 min. The DNA solution was then added to a $30-50\%$ confluent cell culture in a Ti-25 flask containing 7 ml of standard medium. The transfected cells were plated 15 h later onto 35 mm culture dishes containing 2 ml of fresh standard medium. Cells were used $1-3$ days after plating. Cells that expressed CD8 antigen were selected for recording. Expression of CD8 was visualized by means of immunobeads (Dynabeads M-450 CD8; Dynal A.S., Oslo, Norway) coated with monoclonal antibody (ITI-5C2) specific for CD8 antigen.

Na⁺ current recordings

Whole-cell Na^+ current (typically 1-10 nA) was recorded from transiently transfected HEK cells with patch clamp techniques (Hamill *et al.* 1981). The Na^+ current stabilized within 5-15 min after rupture of the membrane, and recordings were obtained after this time. Activation and fast inactivation were recorded $15-20$ min after whole-cell access was established. Micropipettes (Drummond Scientific, Broomall, PA, USA) were pulled on a Flaming-Brown puller (Model P-87; Sutter Instruments, Novato, CA, USA). The pipettes were fire polished and had resistances of $0.5-1.5 \text{ M}\Omega$. Series resistance was compensated at 80-95%, resulting in voltage errors of < 5 mV. The liquid junction potential was not corrected. Any endogenous K^+ currents were blocked with Cs^+ in the pipette, and HEK cells expressed no native Ca^{2+} current (Ukomadu *et al.*) 1992). The typical extracellular recording solution was (mm) : 150 choline chloride, 2 CaCl_2 , 10 Hepes; titrated to pH 7 \cdot 4 with TMA-OH. The pipette intracellular solution was (mm): 100 NaF, 30 NaCl, 10 EGTA, 10 Hepes; titrated to $pH 7.2$ with CsOH. These solutions create an outward Na^+ gradient and current, further reducing potential problems associated with series resistance errors (Cota & Armstrong, 1989). To obtain conductance–voltage curves, recordings were made with a Na^+ gradient $(\text{Na}^+)_0/\text{Na}^+$ _i) of $65 \text{ mM}/130 \text{ mM}$. Whole-cell recordings were maintained for up to 2 h in this preparation with little or no run-down of the $Na⁺$ current.

Electrophysiology protocols

Activation and fast inactivation. The holding potential (V_{hold}) for all experiments was -140 mV. A test pulse to $+30$ mV (8 ms) was used to record peak Na^+ current (I_{Na}) . Activation curves were obtained from the peak current recorded with test pulses from V_{hold} to voltages over the range -90 to $+30$ mV in 5 mV increments. V_{ν} (mid-point) of the curve and slope factor k were obtained from a fit of the mean data with a Boltzmann function:

$$
G/G_{\max} = 1/(1 + \exp(V_{\nu_2} - V)/k),
$$

where conductance $(G) = I_{\text{Na}}/(V - V_{\text{rev}})$. The reversal potential (V_{rev}) was experimentally determined for each cell. Macroscopic fast inactivation was determined with a fit of the current decay from the test pulse (to $+30$ mV). Current decay in hH1 and the chimaeras was fitted with a double exponential function:

$$
I/I_{\text{max}} = A_1 \exp(-x/\tau_1) + A_2 \exp(-x/\tau_2),
$$

where I_{max} is the peak current, x is time and A_1 and A_2 are the components for the time constants τ_1 and τ_2 , respectively. Current decay in μ 1 was fitted with a single exponential:

$$
I/I_{\max} = A_1 \exp(-x/\tau_1),
$$

where the variables are the same as above. Steady-state fast inactivation (h_{∞}) was determined with a test pulse to $+30$ mV to record I_{Na} following a prepulse (300 ms) from -140 mV (or -160 mV) to 0 mV in 10 mV increments. $V_{1/2}$ and k were obtained from a fit of the mean data obtained with a Boltzmann function:

$$
I/I_{\text{max}} = 1/(1 + \exp{(V - V_{V_2})/k}).
$$

Slow inactivation. To induce slow inactivation, the voltage was stepped to 0 mV. Preliminary experiments verified that there was no significant increase in slow inactivation development with larger voltage steps (up to $+30$ mV). During protocols for slow inactivation, cells were held at V_{hold} (-140 mV) for > 2 min between pulses. In addition, I_{Na} was checked between pulses to ensure recovery to initial I_{Na} and to check for possible timedependent cumulative effects. Preliminary experiments verified that non-sequential time or voltage steps produced results identical to those obtained with sequential steps. Three protocols were used to determine the slow inactivation phenotype in hH1, μ 1 and the chimaeras. (1) To measure the development of slow inactivation, the voltage was stepped (from $\ensuremath{V_\mathrm{hold}} = -140$ mV) to 0 mV for various times (8 ms to 300 s), then to -140 mV for 50 ms to allow recovery from fast inactivation, and then to $+30$ mV (8 ms) to record I_{Na} . Preliminary experiments verified that wild-type and chimaeric Na^+ channels recovered from fast inactivation induced with an 8 ms pulse to $+30$ mV by ~ 30 ms. I_{Na} was normalized to the initial value recorded before the start of the protocol. The data were fitted with a double exponential function:

$$
I/I_{\text{max}} = I_0 + A_1 \exp(-x/\tau_1) + A_2 \exp(-x/\tau_2),
$$

where I_0 is the non-inactivating component, and I_{max} , x, A_1 , A_2 , τ_1 and τ_2 are the same as above. (2) The voltage dependence of steadystate slow inactivation (s_{∞}) was determined with the following protocol: a prepulse (120 s) in 20 mV increments from -140 mV (or -160 mV) to 0 mV, a 50 ms step to -140 mV, then a test pulse to +30 mV to record I_{Na} . I_{Na} was normalized to the initial value recorded before the start of the protocol. The prepulse duration (120 s) for s_{∞} was based on the slow inactivation development curve for hH1, i.e. a relatively steady-state level of slow inactivation was reached by this time in hH1. For consistency, 120 s was used to determine s_{∞} for all Na⁺ channels. The data from steady-state slow inactivation were fitted with a Boltzmann function:

$$
I/I_{\text{max}} = (I_1 - I_2)/(1 + \exp(V - V_{V_2})/k) + I_2,
$$

where V_{14} and k have the same meanings as above, and I_1 and I_2 are the maximum and minimum values in the fit, respectively. (3) To assess recovery from slow inactivation, the voltage was stepped to 0 mV for 120 s, then to -140 mV for various times (50 ms to 300 s), with a subsequent test pulse to $+30$ mV to record I_{Na} . I_{Na} was normalized to the value recorded after 300 s at V_{hold} (-140 mV). Preliminary experiments confirmed that recovery from slow inactivation was essentially identical at a more negative voltage $(i.e. -160 \text{ mV})$. The data were fitted with a double exponential function:

$$
I/I_{\text{max}} = I_0 + A_1(1 - \exp(-x/\tau_1)) + A_2(1 - \exp(-x/\tau_2)),
$$

where I_{max} , I_0 , x , A_1 , A_2 , τ_1 and τ_2 are the same as above.

Data were collected with an Axopatch 200A amplifier (filtered at 5 kHz) and pCLAMP software (Axon Instruments). Curve fits and data analysis were performed with pCLAMP and Origin software (MICROCAL Software, Inc., Northampton, MA, USA). Differences were considered significant at $P < 0.05$ (ANOVA). Grouped data are presented as means \pm s.E.M.

RESULTS

Activation, fast inactivation and steady-state fast inactivation

Our initial studies characterized activation and fast inactivation kinetics in wild-type and chimaeric Na^+ channels (Fig. 1). Data from these experiments are shown in Table 1. The results show that the values for V_{ν} of activation for the chimaeras more closely resembled that for μ 1 than that for hH1. The slope factor k for activation was similar for hH1 and μ 1, but was greater for the chimaeras (i.e. the curve was less steep). The V_{ν_0} of steady-state fast inactivation (h_{∞}) was more negative for hH1 than for μ 1. For the chimaeras, V_{ν} of h_{∞} fell between the values obtained for hH1 and μ 1. Macroscopic current decay was best fitted with a double

Channel	Activation		Steady-state fast inactivation (h_{∞})		Fast inactivation current decay	
	V_{16} (mV)	Slope (k)	V_{ν_6} (mV)	Slope (k)	τ_{1} (ms)	τ ₂ (ms)
hH1	-46.0 ± 0.4 (6) †	$8.3 \pm 0.5(6)$	$-101.6 \pm 2.5(6)$ †	$5.9 \pm 0.5(6)$	$0.47 \pm 0.02(6)$ †	2.6 ± 0.3 (6)
$\mu 1_{(1)} hH1_{(2,3,4)}$	-31.3 ± 0.8 (6)*	10.6 ± 0.4 (6)*†	$-98.0 \pm 0.9(6)$ †	5.7 ± 0.2 (6)	$0.44 \pm 0.02(6)$ †	2.4 ± 0.3 (6)
$\mu_{{1\,\1,2}} hH_{{1\,\3,4}}$	-26.0 ± 1.4 (6)*†	10.8 ± 0.7 (6)*†	-90.2 ± 2.1 (6)*†	5.8 ± 0.2 (6)	$0.49 \pm 0.03(6)$ †	2.5 ± 0.8 (6)
$\mu_{{1}_{(1,2,3)}} hH_{{1}_{(4)}}$	-27.7 ± 0.7 (6)*†	$11 \cdot 1 \pm 0 \cdot 3$ (6)*†	-93.1 ± 0.2 (6)*†	5.4 ± 0.1 (6)	$0.44 \pm 0.03(6)$ †	2.4 ± 0.6 (6)
μ 1	$-32.9 \pm 0.9(8)$ *	$7.4 + 0.4(8)$	$-81.5 \pm 1.1(8)$ *	5.7 ± 0.1 (8)	$0.30 \pm 0.02(8)$ *	

<u> 1988 - Andrea Branden, amerikan bernama dalam pengaran bernama dalam pengaran bernama dalam pengaran bernama</u> Table 1. Kinetic parameters of activation and fast inactivation for hH1, μ 1 and hH1- μ 1 chimaeras

 V_{kj} and k for activation and steady-state fast inactivation were obtained from a Boltzmann fit of the mean data. Time constants (τ_1 and τ_2) were obtained with a double exponential fit for hH1 and the chimaeras. Fast decay in μ 1 was fitted with a single exponential. Data are presented as means \pm s.e.m. (n), where n is the number of cells. $*P < 0.05$ vs. hH1; $\dagger P < 0.05$ vs. μ 1.

Figure 1. Wild-type (hH1 and μ 1) and chimaeric Na⁺ channels

 Na^+ channel chimaeras were constructed with domains from wild-type hH1 (continuous lines) and wildtype μ 1 (dotted lines). The α -subunits consisting of four domains (D1-D4) each with six transmembrane segments are shown.

Channel	Development of slow inactivation		Recovery from slow inactivation		Steady-state slow inactivation (s_{∞})	
	$\tau_{1}(\text{s})$	τ ₂ (s)	$\tau_{1}(\text{s})$	τ ₂ (s)	V_{16} (mV)	Slope (k)
hH1	$6.9 + 0.6(11)$ † $35%$ †	$52 \cdot 0 + 5 \cdot 9(11)$ 30% †	$0.54 + 0.1(6)$ † $48%$ †	$12 \cdot 1 + 3 \cdot 0 (6)$ † 14%	$-78.3 + 2.8(6)$ †	$23.1 \pm 2.5(6)$ †
μ_1 ₍₁₎ hH _{1(2,3,4)}	6.0 ± 0.7 (8)*† $60\%*$	$87.5 \pm 8.9(8)$ *† 15%	0.52 ± 0.1 (8) † 50% †	$14.1 \pm 2.6(8)$ † 17%	$-60.8 + 1.4(10)*†$	$18.8 \pm 1.2(10)*†$
$\mu 1_{(1,2)} H1_{(3,4)}$	1.6 ± 0.1 (8)* $73\%*$	53.8 ± 18.7 (8) 11%	0.72 ± 0.2 (6)* $69\%*$	15.2 ± 7.1 (6) † 19%	$-62.9 + 0.6(6)$ *†	$10.4 + 0.6(6)^*$
$\mu 1_{(1,2,3)} hH1_{(4)}$	1.3 ± 0.1 (10)* $73\%*$	$65.6 + 17.0(10)$ $16\%*$	$0.89 + 0.1(8)$ * $66%$ †	14.5 ± 4.8 (8) † $23\%*+$	$-87.9 + 0.3(8)$ *†	$9.5 + 0.3(8)$ *
μ 1	1.6 ± 0.1 (10)* $80\%*$	39.4 ± 10.9 (10) $10\%*$	1.0 ± 0.1 (10)* $72\%*$	34.0 ± 8.3 (10)* 19%	-73.1 ± 1.1 (8)*	$10.3 \pm 0.8(8)$ *

<u> 1988 - Andrea Branden, amerikan bernama dalam pengaran bernama dalam pengaran bernama dalam pengaran bernama</u> Table 2. Kinetic parameters of slow inactivation for hH1, μ 1 and hH1⁻ μ 1 chimaeras

 V_{kj} and k for steady-state slow inactivation (s_{∞}) were obtained from a Boltzmann fit of the mean data. Time constants (τ_1 and τ_2) were obtained with a double exponential fit of the mean data. Data are presented as means \pm s.e.m. (*n*), where *n* is the number of cells. The percentage values are the percentage component of the curve described by the exponential. $*P < 0.05$ vs. hH1; $\dagger P < 0.05$ vs. μ 1.

<u> Andreas Andr</u>

exponential for hH1 and the chimaeras and with a single exponential for μ 1. The time constants τ_1 and τ_2 of the macroscopic current decay for the chimaeras were similar to τ_1 and τ_2 for hH1. These results are comparable to previously published data (Chahine et al. 1996; Wang et al. 1996a; Deschenes et al. 1998; Wright et al. 1999).

Development of slow inactivation is slower and less complete in hH1 than in μ 1

The slow inactivation phenotype was first characterized by examining the time dependence of development of slow inactivation in hH1 and μ 1. Cells were held at -140 mV, then stepped to 0 mV for various times $(8 \text{ ms to } 300 \text{ s})$, to -140 mV for 50 ms, and then peak available Na⁺ current (I_{Na}) was recorded with a step to $+30$ mV for 8 ms (see Fig. 2B, inset). I_{Na} recorded with the test pulse was normalized to the value obtained before the protocol was started. Sufficient time $(> 120 \text{ s})$ was allowed between episodes for the current to recover to the peak value (see Fig. 6). The mean data for development of slow inactivation were fitted with a double exponential function. The results show that slow inactivation in $\mu1$ (n = 10) developed much

Figure 2. Slow inactivation develops faster and more completely in μ 1 than in hH1

Peak Na^+ current was recorded with a test pulse to $+30$ mV after various times at 0 mV, with an intervening step to -140 mV for 50 ms to allow recovery from fast inactivation (inset in B). Current was normalized to the initial peak value. Cells were held at -140 mV for > 2 min between pulses. A, normalized traces of outward Na^+ current from hH1 (continuous lines) and μ 1 (dashed lines) recorded at +30 mV from V_{hold} of -140 mV. Note that μ 1 decays faster than hH1. The smaller traces were recorded after slow inactivation was induced with depolarization to 0 mV for 120 s. B, mean \pm s.e.m. values for development of slow inactivation in hH1 (\blacksquare ; $n = 11$) and μ 1 (\spadesuit ; $n = 10$). The voltage protocol is shown in the inset. The data were fitted with a double exponential function. $*P < 0.05$ vs. hH1.

faster and to a greater degree than in hH1 ($n = 11$; Fig. 2). The first time constant (τ_1) for μ_1 (1·6 \pm 0·06 s) was significantly less than τ_1 for hH1 (6.9 \pm 0.6 s; P < 0.001; Table 2). The second time constant (τ_2) for μ 1 was not different from that of hH1 (Table 2). The amount of current that 'slow inactivated' was significantly greater in μ 1 (to \sim 63% of initial I_{Na}) after 1 s depolarization to 0 mV than in hH1 (to $\sim 96\%$; $P < 0.001$; Fig. 2B). With longer depolarization (up to 300 s), slow inactivation in μ 1 was always greater than that in hH1 (Fig. 2B). However, neither hH1 (to $\sim 36\%$) nor μ 1 (to $\sim 11\%$) showed complete slow inactivation, even after 300 s at 0 mV (Fig. 2B).

Na⁺ channel chimaeras exhibit an intermediate slow inactivation development phenotype

Development of slow inactivation was studied in the three $Na⁺$ channel chimaeras constructed with domains from hH1 and μ 1: μ 1₍₁₎hH_{1(2,3,4)}, μ 1_(1,2)hH_{1(3,4)} and μ 1_(1,2,3)hH₁₍₄₎. All three chimaeras exhibited slow inactivation development phenotypes that were intermediate relative to hH1 and μ 1. However, development of slow inactivation in $\mu_1(\text{hH}_2)_{3,4}$ $(n = 8)$ was more similar to that in hH1 than to that in μ 1 (Fig. 3A and B). Although the onset of slow inactivation in the chimaera $\mu_1_{(1)}$ hH $_1_{(2,3,4)}$ appeared similar to that in hH1, τ_1 was less in μ_1 ₍₁₎hH_{1(2,3,4)} (6·0 *vs.* 6·9 s) and τ_2 was greater $(87.5 \text{ vs. } 52.0 \text{ s})$ than in hH1 (Table 2). In addition, depolarization to 0 mV for 5 s or more produced significantly more slow inactivation in μ_1 ₍₁₎hH_{1(2,3,4)} (to ~66% at 5 s and to \sim 26% at 300 s) than in hH1 (to \sim 79% at 5 s and to \sim 36% at 300 s; $P < 0.01$; Fig. 3B).

The other two chimaeras, $\mu 1_{(1,2)} H1_{(3,4)}$ $(n = 8)$ and μ_1 _{(1,2,3})hH₁₍₄₎ (n = 10), expressed a slow inactivation phenotype more similar to that of μ 1 than to that of hH1 (Fig. 3C) and D). The time constants τ_1 and τ_2 did not differ significantly among $\mu_1(1,2)$ hH $1_{(3,4)}$, $\mu_1(1,2,3)$ hH $1_{(4)}$ and μ_1 (Table 2). However, both $\mu_{{1,2}}hH_{{1,3,4}}$ (to $\sim 26\%$) and μ_1 _{(1,2,3})hH₁₍₄₎ (to \sim 25%) showed less slow inactivation than μ 1 (to ~18%; P < 0.01) after 10 s depolarization (Fig. 3D). With longer depolarization $(>=120 \text{ s})$, slow inactivation was less in $\mu_1_{(1,2)}$ hH $1_{(3,4)}$ (to \sim 16–19%) than in $\mu_1_{(1,2,3)}$ hH $1_{(4)}$ or μ 1 (to ~11-14%; $P < 0.05$; Fig. 3D).

Figure 3. Development of slow inactivation in $Na⁺$ channel chimaeras is intermediate relative to that in hH1 and in μ 1

Data were collected as described in Fig. 2. A, development of slow inactivation in $\mu_1_{(0)}\text{hH1}_{(2,3,4)}$ is more similar to that in hH1 than to that in μ 1. Normalized representative traces for hH1 (continuous lines) and μ_1 ₍₁₎hH_{1(2,3,4)} (dashed lines) before (larger traces) and after (smaller traces) depolarization to 0 mV for 120 s are shown. B, mean \pm s.e.m. values for hH1 (\blacksquare , continuous line; $n = 11$) and $\mu_1(\text{thH1}_{(2,3,4)}(\square, \text{dashed line})$; $n = 8$) were fitted with a double exponential function. * $P < 0.05$ vs. hH1. C, normalized traces from μ 1 (continuous lines), $\mu1_{(1,2)}$ hH $1_{(3,4)}$ (dotted lines), and $\mu1_{(1,2,3)}$ hH $1_{(4)}$ (dashed lines) before and after depolarization to 0 mV for 120 s to induce slow inactivation. D, mean \pm s.e.m. values for μ 1 (\bullet , continuous line; $n = 10$), $\mu_1_{(1,2)}$ hH $_1_{(3,4)}$ (Δ , dotted line; n = 8) and $\mu_1_{(1,2,3)}$ hH $_1_{(4)}$ (\odot , dashed line; n = 10) were fitted with a double exponential function. $\ast P < 0.05$ vs. μ 1.

Steady-state slow inactivation is less voltage dependent in hH1 than in μ 1

Steady-state slow inactivation (s_{∞}) was determined with a modification of the h_{∞} protocol. Cells were held at the prepulse potential for 120 s, stepped to -140 mV for 50 ms to allow recovery from fast inactivation, and then stepped to $+30$ mV to measure I_{Na} (see Fig. 4A, inset). As with the development of slow inactivation, steady-state slow inactivation differed between hH1 ($n = 6$) and μ 1 ($n = 8$). A Boltzmann fit of the mean data for steady-state inactivation showed that the V_{μ} for hH1 was more negative than that for $\mu1$ (-78·3 vs. -73·1; Fig. 4A and Table 2). In addition, the s_{∞} curve for μ 1 was much steeper than that for hH1 $(k = 10.3 \text{ vs. } 23.1; P < 0.001;$ Fig. 4A and Table 2).

Steady-state slow inactivation in Na⁺ channel chimaeras is different from that in hH1 and μ 1

 s_{∞} in the chimaera $\mu_1(\text{thH1}_{(2,3,4)}\mid n = 10)$ was more similar to that in hH1 than μ 1 (Fig. 4*B*). However, V_{μ} of the s_{∞} curve was significantly more positive for $\mu_1(1)$ hH $_2(2,3,4)$ than for hH1 (Table 2). In addition, the slope of the s_{∞} curve was steeper for $\mu_1_{(1)}$ hH $1_{(2,3,4)}$ than for hH1 (Table 2 and Fig. 4B).

The s_{∞} curves for the chimaeras $\mu 1_{(1,2)} H1_{(3,4)}$ and μ_1 _(1,2,3)hH₁₍₄₎ were more like that for μ 1 than that for hH₁. However, V_{ν} of the s_{∞} curves for the chimaeras was shifted compared with μ 1 (Fig. 4C). The s_{∞} curve for μ 1_(1,2)hH_{1(3,4)} $(n = 6)$ was shifted > 10 mV in a positive direction relative to that for μ 1 (P < 0.05), while the s_{∞} curve for μ_1 _(1,2,3)hH₁₍₄₎ (n = 8) was shifted > 10 mV in a negative direction relative to that for μ 1 (Table 2). The slopes of the s_{∞} curves were not different for μ 1, μ 1_(1,2)hH1_(3,4) and $\mu1_{(1,2,3)}$ hH $1_{(4)}$ (Table 2).

Steady-state slow inactivation shows little timedependent shift

A well-known feature of steady-state fast inactivation is the time-dependent shift of V_{16} in the negative direction (Wang et al. 1996a). This shift is not readily apparent in steadystate slow inactivation. For example, during 45 min of whole-cell recording from one cell expressing μ_1 , the h_{∞} curve showed a shift in V_{ν_2} of ~ -15 mV (Fig. 5A). In contrast, the $\,V_{\nu_2}$ of $\,s_{\infty}\,$ shifted $\,<-3$ mV in the same cell. The mean shift in s_{∞} between 15 and 60 min of whole-cell recording for five cells expressing μ_1 was -2.7 ± 1.0 mV

Figure 4. Voltage dependence of steady-state slow inactivation (s_{∞}) differs among wild-type and chimaeric Na⁺ channels Peak available current was obtained with a test pulse to $+30$ mV after a 120 s prepulse at the voltages shown on the abscissa. An intervening step to -140 mV for 50 ms allowed recovery from fast inactivation. Mean \pm s.e.m. values were fitted with a Boltzmann function. A, voltage dependence of steady-state slow inactivation is much less steep for hH1 (**i**; $n = 6$) than for μ_1 (**e**); $n = 8$). B, the s_{∞} curve for $\mu_1_{(1)}$ hH $_2$ _(2,3,4) $(\Box, \text{dashed line}; n = 10)$ is similar to that for hH1 (continuous line) although the slope is steeper in $\mu_1(\mu)HH_{(2,3,4)}$. C, the s_∞ curves for $\mu_1_{(1,2)}$ hH $1_{(3,4)}$ (Δ , dotted line; $n = 6$) and $\mu_1_{(1,2,3)}$ hH $1_{(4)}$ (\odot , dashed line; $n = 8$) are similar to that for μ 1 (continuous line), although V_{ν_2} is more positive in μ_1 _(1,2)hH_{1(3,4)} and more negative in μ_1 _(1,2,3)hH₁₍₄₎ than in μ_1 .

(Fig. $5B$). In three cells expressing hH1, the shift was -1.4 ± 1.3 mV (Fig. 5C). Similar negligible shifts in s_{∞} over time (i.e. $\langle -3 \text{ mV h}^{-1} \rangle$ were seen in the chimaeras (data not shown).

Recovery from slow inactivation is faster in hH1 than in μ 1

 $Na⁺$ channels recover from the slow-inactivated state when the membrane is repolarized. Mean data for recovery from slow inactivation were fitted with a double exponential function. Following depolarization to 0 mV for 120 s to induce slow inactivation (Fig. 6A, inset), I_{Na} in hH1 (n = 6) at -140 mV recovered faster than that in μ 1 (n = 10; Fig. 6A). The time constants of recovery from slow inactivation were significantly less for hH1 than for μ 1 (Table 2). Also, recovery from slow inactivation $(P > 0.05$ compared with I_{Na} at 300 s) occurred much sooner in hH1 (by 30 s) than in μ 1 (by 120 s; Fig. 6A).

Recovery from slow inactivation is intermediate in Na⁺ channel chimaeras

Recovery from slow inactivation appeared to be slower in the chimaera μ_1 ₍₁₎hH_{1(2,3,4)} (n = 8) than in hH₁ (Fig. 6*B*). However, the recovery time constants for μ_1 ₍₁₎hH_{1(2,3,4)} and hH1 were not statistically different (Table 2). Recovery was complete for both hH1 and μ_1 ₍₁₎hH_{1(2,3,4)} by 30 s at the holding potential of -140 mV following induction of slow inactivation (i.e. 120 s at 0 mV).

The recovery time constant τ_1 was similar for $\mu_1(1,2)$ hH $_1(3,4)$ $(n = 6)$, $\mu 1_{(1,2,3)} hH1_{(4)}$ $(n = 8)$, and $\mu 1$ (Table 2). However, τ_2 for μ 1 was greater than τ_2 for the chimaeras (Table 2). The chimaera μ_1 _(1,2)hH_{1(3,4)} recovered sooner (by 60 s) than either μ_1 _(1,2,3)hH₁₍₄₎ or μ 1 (by 120 s; Fig. 6C). However, all wild-type and chimaeric Na^+ channels recovered from slow inactivation $(0 \text{ mV}, 120 \text{ s})$ by 120 s at the holding potential of -140 mV (Fig. 6).

DISCUSSION

This study compared slow inactivation in wild-type human heart Na⁺ channels (hH1), wild-type rat skeletal muscle Na⁺ channels $(\mu 1)$ and Na^+ channel chimaeras constructed with domains from hH1 and μ 1 (μ 1₍₁₎hH_{1(2,3,4)}, μ 1_(1,2)hH_{1(3,4)} and μ_1 _{(1,2,3})hH₁₍₄₎). In addition, activation and fast inactivation kinetics were also studied in wild-type and chimaeric Na^+

Figure 5. Steady-state slow inactivation (s_{∞}) changes little with time Slow inactivation data were obtained as described in Fig. 4. Steady-state fast inactivation (h_{∞}) curves were obtained with a 300 ms prepulse to the voltage on the abscissa, then a test pulse to $+30$ mV. A, curves $(s_{\alpha} \text{ and } h_{\alpha})$ from one cell expressing μ 1. The s_{∞} curve (continuous lines) changes little $(\Delta V_{\nu_s} < -3$ mV) between 15 min (\blacksquare) and 60 min (\spadesuit) of whole-cell recording, while V_{ν} of the h_{∞} curve shifts by \sim -15 mV between 15 min (\Box) and 60 min (O). B, mean \pm s.e.m. values of s_{∞} for five cells expressing μ 1 were fitted with a Boltzmann equation; curves shown are at 15 min (\bullet) and 60 min (\circ) of whole-cell recording. C, mean \pm s. E.M. values of s_{∞} for three cells expressing hH1 were fitted with a Boltzmann equation; curves are at 15 min (\blacksquare) and 60 min \Box of whole-cell recording.

channels. The results showed that development of slow inactivation in μ 1 has a faster onset, a steeper voltage dependence and is more complete compared with hH1. In addition, recovery from slow inactivation was much slower for μ 1 than for hH1. Slow inactivation in the chimaeras was intermediate to that of wild-type. However, the chimaera μ_1 ₍₁₎hH_{1(2,3,4)} was more like hH₁, while the chimaeras $\mu_1_{(1,2)}$ hH $1_{(3,4)}$ and $\mu_1_{(1,2,3)}$ hH $1_{(4)}$ were more similar to μ_1 . Activation and fast inactivation of the wild-type Na^+ channels (hH1 and μ 1) also differed, while the chimaeras exhibited kinetics that were, in general, intermediate between those of the wild-type isoforms. Fast inactivation was slower, and $V_{1/2}$ of activation and steady-state inactivation were more negative, in hH1 compared with μ 1. The chimaeras were more similar to μ_1 in activation, more similar to hH1 in fast inactivation, and intermediate to hH1 and μ 1 in steady-state fast inactivation. The results demonstrate that $Na⁺$ channel kinetics can be modulated by all four domains of the Na^+ channel protein. In particular, slow inactivation appears to be a process that may involve participation of all four domains of the α -subunit of the Na⁺ channel molecule.

Activation and fast inactivation

Initial experiments determined the kinetics of activation and fast inactivation in wild-type and chimaeric Na^+ channels. Some previous studies have presented data on these parameters in cloned hH1, μ 1 and chimaeric Na⁺ channels (Chahine et al. 1996; Wang et al. 1996a; Wright et al. 1999). The data from our study are similar to those previously reported. For example, the activation and steady-state fast inactivation (h_{∞}) curves (i.e. V_{ν}) for hH1 were more negative than those for μ 1, and the macroscopic current decay (from $+30$ mV) was slower for hH1 than for μ 1. In the chimaeras, activation was similar to that in μ 1. Because all three chimaeras contained D1 from μ 1, one could speculate that D1 is 'dominant' in determining the voltage dependence of activation in $Na⁺$ channels. However, the chimaera $\mu_1_{(2,3)}$ hH1_(1,4) also exhibits a μ 1 activation phenotype (Chahine *et al.* 1996), suggesting that the presence of any μ 1 domain in a hH1- μ 1 chimaera can produce a μ 1 activation phenotype. In addition, point mutations in the S4 segment of all four domains affect the V_{ν} of activation (Chen *et al.*) 1996; Kontis et al. 1997). Taken together, these results suggest that activation is a complex process that probably involves all four domains of the $Na⁺$ channel molecule.

Figure 6. hH1 recovers from slow inactivation faster than μ 1, while recovery in the chimaeras falls between that in hH1 and in μ 1

Cells were depolarized to 0 mV for 120 s, then stepped to -140 mV for various times before the test pulse to $+30$ mV (inset in A). Current was normalized to peak current recorded after $300 \text{ s at } -140 \text{ mV}$. Mean \pm s.e.m. values were fitted with a double exponential function. A, hH1 (\blacksquare ; $n = 6$) recovers from slow inactivation much faster and sooner (by 30 s) than μ 1 (by 120 s; \bullet ; n = 10). B, recovery in μ 1₍₁₎hH1_(2,3,4) $(\Box, \text{dashed line}; n = 8)$ was slower but not statistically different from that in hH1 (continuous line). Both hH1 and $\mu_1(1)$ hH1_(2,3,4) recovered by 30 s. C, recovery in the chimaeras $\mu_{{1}_{(1,2)}}H_{{1}_{(3,4)}}(\Delta, \text{dotted line}; n = 6)$ and $\mu_1(1,2,3)$ hH1₍₄₎ (O, dashed line; $n = 6$) is similar to that in μ_1 (continuous line), although $\mu_1(1,2)$ hH $1_{(3,4)}$ recovers sooner (by 60 s) than $\mu_1(1,2,3)$ hH $1_{(4)}$ or μ 1 (by 120 s).

It should also be noted that the activation curve for the chimaeras was less steep than that for either hH1 or μ 1. If it is assumed that hH1 and μ 1 domains do not differ in net charge, a possible explanation for the difference in slope is a shift in the equilibrium of voltage-dependent activation steps (Sigworth, 1994). This shift might be due to disruption of interactions between domains that are important for stabilization of transitional conformation states (McCormack et al. 1991). Fast inactivation in the chimaeras (as measured by macroscopic current decay from +30 mV) resembled fast inactivation in hH1. All three chimaeras contained D4 and the D3-D4 linker from hH1. These results support the conclusion of other investigators that this region of the $Na⁺$ channel α -subunit is involved in fast inactivation gating (Stuhmer et al. 1989; Chen et al. 1996; McPhee et al. 1998). Steady-state fast inactivation (h_{∞}) for the chimaeras fell between that for $hH1$ and that for $\mu1$. As proposed previously, the intermediate V_{ν_2} of h_{∞} for the chimaeras suggests that all four domains contribute to the voltage dependence of Na^+ channel steady-state fast inactivation (Chen et al. 1996; Deschenes et al. 1998; Wright et al. 1999).

Development of slow inactivation

Having examined activation and fast inactivation, we determined the slow inactivation phenotype (development, steady state and recovery) in wild-type and chimaeric Na^+ channels. Slow inactivation differs from fast inactivation in the time frame of onset and recovery (seconds vs. milliseconds) and in the amount of current that slow inactivates (slow inactivation is less complete than fast inactivation). In addition, slow inactivation appears to be structurally separate from fast inactivation (Rudy, 1978; Featherstone et al. 1996), although slow inactivation can be enhanced when fast inactivation is removed (Rudy, 1978; Featherstone *et al.* 1996). Comparison of hH1 and μ 1 expressed in HEK cells showed a marked difference in slow inactivation phenotype between the two isoforms. Slow inactivation developed much faster and more completely in μ 1 than in hH1. As only the α -subunit of the respective Na⁺ channel was expressed in HEK cells and the same protocols were used to compare slow inactivation, the difference between hH1 and μ 1 is probably due to molecular differences between the α -subunits of the two isoforms (Trimmer et al. 1989; Gellens et al. 1992). In particular, several studies have shown that mutations in and around the pore region of $Na⁺$ channels can alter the slow inactivation phenotype (Balser et al. 1996; Hayward et al. 1997; Wang & Wang, 1997). Therefore, amino acid differences between hH1 and μ 1 in and/or around the pore region may underlie the difference in slow inactivation phenotype of these two Na⁺ channel isoforms.

The results in this report complement several previous studies on slow inactivation in heart and muscle Na^+ channels. Richmond et al. (1998) recently reported on slow inactivation in a human heart α -subunit, hH1a, expressed in Xenopus oocytes and recorded with on-cell macropatches.

The results are similar to the data in this study, although hH_{1a} expressed in the oocyte system appears to reach a steady-state level of slow inactivation faster (60 s) than hH1 expressed in HEK cells in this study $(\sim 120 \text{ s})$. In addition, onset of and recovery from slow inactivation in hH1a expressed in oocytes was fitted with a single exponential (Richmond et al. 1998). In hH1 expressed in HEK cells, a double exponential function best described both development of and recovery from slow inactivation (this study). In SkM1 (i.e. μ 1) expressed with the β_1 -subunit in oocytes, onset of and recovery from slow inactivation apparently also followed a single exponential (Featherstone et al. 1996), whereas slow inactivation in μ 1 expressed in HEK cells is described by (at least) a double exponential function (this study; Hayward et al. 1997). Regardless of potential differences due to different expression systems, these studies demonstrate that there are dramatic differences in slow inactivation between hH1 and μ 1.

To explore the molecular basis for these differences, we studied slow inactivation in Na^+ channel chimaeras. These experiments tested the hypothesis that the molecular mechanism for slow inactivation is localized to one or more domains of the $Na⁺$ channel molecule. The results showed that slow inactivation in the $Na⁺$ channel chimaeras is intermediate relative to that in hH1 and in μ 1. This result demonstrates that all four domains can influence or modulate the slow inactivation phenotype of the $Na⁺$ channel α -subunit. However, slow inactivation of the chimaeras $\mu_1(1,2)$ hH $1_{(3,4)}$ and $\mu_1(1,2,3)$ hH $1_{(4)}$, which have D1 and D2 from μ 1, resemble the μ 1 phenotype much more than the hH1 phenotype. Therefore, D1 and D2 (or the combination of D1 and D2) may play a more prominent role than D3 and D4 in the development of $Na⁺$ channel slow inactivation. This conclusion could be further tested in chimaeras with D1 and/or D2 from hH1, e.g. hH1₍₁₎ μ 1_(2,3,4), $hH1_{(1,2)}\mu1_{(3,4)}$ and $hH1_{(1,2,3)}\mu1_{(4)}$. These chimaeras were constructed but, despite repeated transfections, expressed little to no Na^+ current. It should be noted that while the data from the chimaera studies show that the slow inactivation phenotype can be altered by different combinations of domains in the chimaeras, the data do not determine the specific regions directly involved in the slow inactivation process.

Interaction between domains is found in other aspects of $Na⁺$ channel function. For example, all four domains appear to contribute to the voltage dependence of steady-state fast inactivation, although the respective contributions of each domain may not be equivalent (this study; Chen et al. 1996; Kontis & Goldin, 1997; Wright et al. 1999). In addition, activation gating is dependent on the S4 segment from all four domains of the $Na⁺$ channel, probably with unequal contributions (Kontis et al. 1997). The data from this study describe another kinetic process in $Na⁺$ channels, i.e. slow inactivation, that may be dependent on interactions involving all four domains.

Steady-state slow inactivation

The voltage dependence of steady-state slow inactivation (s_{∞}) also appears to be dependent on all four domains of the $Na⁺ channel. As with the development of slow inactivation,$ the chimaera $\mu_1_{(1)}$ hH $_2$ _(2,3,4) was more similar to hH1, while the chimaeras μ_1 _(1,2)hH_{1(3,4)} and μ_1 _{(1,2,3})hH₁₍₄₎ were more similar to μ 1 (at least in slope k). These results again suggest that D1 and D2 may play a more prominent role than D3 and D4 in determining Na^+ channel slow inactivation phenotype. The reason for the differences in V_{ν_2} between $\mu_1_{(1,2)}$ hH $1_{(3,4)}$, $\mu_1_{(1,2,3)}$ hH $1_{(4)}$ and μ_1 is unclear. While the s_{∞} of $\mu_1_{(1,2)}$ hH $_1_{(3,4)}$ could be interpreted as being intermediate relative to those of hH1 and μ 1, the negative shift of μ_1 _{(1,2,3})hH₁₍₄₎ (relative to μ 1) is more difficult to explain. One possible explanation is that an alteration in a cooperative interdomain mechanism in $\mu_1(1,2,3)$ hH $1_{(4)}$ promotes stabilization of the slow-inactivated state(s) (McCormack et al. 1991).

An interesting feature of the s_{∞} curve is that it does not exhibit the same time-dependent negative shift as the h_{∞} curve. Although the time-dependent shift in h_{∞} has been described in detail in heart and muscle $Na⁺$ channels, the reason for the negative shift is unknown (Hanck & Sheets, 1992; Wang et al. 1996a). One possible explanation for the time-dependent difference between s_{∞} and h_{∞} is that the fast inactivation gate is accessible to a cellular process (e.g. phosphorylation) that produces the shift, while the slow inactivation gate is not modulated by or is not accessible to the same or similar processes.

Recovery from slow inactivation

After 120 s at 0 mV, recovery from slow inactivation appears to depend on the amount of current that is slow inactivated, i.e. the less slow-inactivated current, the faster the recovery. For example, hH1 and $\mu_1(1_{11})$ hH $_2(1_{2,3,4})$ showed a similar amount of slow-inactivated current after 120 s at 0 mV, and the rate of recovery was essentially identical for these two Na^+ channels. The chimaeras $\mu_1(1,2)$ hH $_1(3,4)$ and μ_1 _(1,2,3)hH₁₍₄₎ were more like μ 1 in the amount of slow inactivation and rate of recovery. The greatest difference in recovery from slow inactivation was between μ 1 and the other Na^+ channels. μ 1 had a much greater recovery time constant τ ₂ than either hH1 or the chimaeras. One possible explanation is that disruption of domain interaction by substitution of another domain into the μ 1 background enhances the speed of recovery by destabilizing the slow inactivation state(s).

Relation of $Na⁺$ channel slow inactivation to C-type inactivation in K^+ channels

 Na^+ channels are structurally similar and evolutionarily related to voltage-gated K^+ channels (Hille, 1989) in which slow (C-type) inactivation has been described (Hoshi et al. 1991). K^+ channels are homotetramers, and mutation studies have determined that C-type inactivation occurs by a cooperative mechanism involving all four identical subunits (Yellen et al. 1994; Ogielska et al. 1995; Panyi et al. 1995). The proposed mechanism for C-type inactivation is a constriction of the outer mouth of the channel pore with each subunit contributing equally (Panyi et al. 1995), perhaps altering the ion selectivity of the pore (Starkus et al. 1997). In contrast to K^+ channels, Na⁺ channels are formed from a single protein with four homologous, but not identical, domains (Noda et al. 1986). However, studies show that $Na⁺$ channel slow inactivation is altered with point mutations in and around the pore region (Balser et al. 1996; Wang & Wang, 1997; Hayward *et al.* 1997). Therefore, slow inactivation in Na^+ channels and C-type inactivation in K^+ channels may occur via a similar molecular mechanism (Townsend & Horn, 1997; Wang & Wang, 1997).

Physiological and pathophysiological significance of slow inactivation

Slow inactivation is thought to play an important role in membrane excitability and firing properties (Ruff et al. 1988; Sawczuk *et al.* 1995). In brain, $Na⁺$ channel slow inactivation may contribute to spike frequency adaptation (Sawczuk et al. 1995; Fleidervish et al. 1996), and may encode information of previous neuronal activity (Toib *et al.*) 1998). In skeletal muscle, $Na⁺$ channel slow inactivation may figure prominently in muscle fatigue (Ruff et al. 1988). Compared with brain and muscle Na^+ channels, hH1 undergoes little slow inactivation (this study; Townsend & Horn, 1997; Richmond *et al.* 1998). The 'resistance' to slow inactivation of hH1 may avoid a potential reduction in Na^+ channel availability during the relatively long cardiac action potential (\sim 300 ms). Therefore, Na⁺ channel slow inactivation may play only a minor role in normal cardiac function, while playing a more prominent and physiologically important role in brain and muscle.

Inheritable mutations that alter slow inactivation in Na^+ channels have been identified in human skeletal muscle. These mutations underlie such diseases as hyperkalaemic periodic paralysis (Cannon, 1997). To date, no similar mutations have been identified in brain or heart Na^+ channels. It is interesting to speculate that mutations in human brain or heart Na^+ channels that alter or disrupt slow inactivation could have profound effects on normal physiological function in these tissues.

In summary, hH1 and μ 1 exhibit dramatically different slow inactivation phenotypes. The difference is probably due to molecular differences between the α -subunits of hH1 and μ 1. Studies of Na⁺ channel chimaeras constructed with domains from hH1 and μ 1 demonstrate that the slow inactivation phenotype can be modulated by all four Na^+ channel domains. The data suggest that slow inactivation in $Na⁺$ channels may occur via a mechanism similar to that involved in C-type inactivation of voltage-gated K^+ channels, i.e. a co-operative interaction involving all four domains. Future studies with site-directed mutagenesis (single, double or multiple mutations) in and around the pore region may provide further information on the molecular basis of Na^+ channel slow inactivation.

- BALSER, J. R., Nuss, H. B., Chiamvimonvat, N., Pérez-García, M. T., Marban, E. & Tomaselli, G. F. (1996). External pore residue mediates slow inactivation in μ 1 rat skeletal muscle sodium channels. Journal of Physiology $494, 431-442$.
- Cannon, S. C. (1997). From mutation to myotonia in sodium channel disorders. Neuromuscular Disorders $7, 241-249$.
- CANNON, S. C. & STRITTMATTER, S. M. (1993). Functional expression of sodium channel mutations identified in families with periodic paralysis. Neuron 10 , $317-326$.
- Chahine, M., Deschenes, I., Chen, L.-Q. & Kallen, R. G. (1996). Electrophysiological characteristics of cloned skeletal and cardiac muscle sodium channels. American Journal of Physiology 271, H498-506.
- Chen, L.-Q., Santarelli, V., Horn, R. & Kallen, R. G. (1996). A unique role for the S4 segment of domain 4 in the inactivation of sodium channels. Journal of General Physiology 108, 549-556.
- Cora, G. & ARMSTRONG, C. M. (1989). Sodium channel gating in clonal pituitary cells. The inactivation step is not voltage dependent. Journal of General Physiology 94, 213-232.
- Cummins, T. R. & Sigworth, F. J. (1996). Impaired slow inactivation in mutant sodium channels. Biophysical Journal 71 , $227-236$.
- Deschenes, I., Chen, L.-Q., Kallen, R. G. & Chahine, M. (1998). Electrophysiological study of chimeric sodium channels from heart and skeletal muscle. Journal of Membrane Biology 164 , $25-34$.
- FEATHERSTONE, D. E., RICHMOND, J. E. & RUBEN, P. C. (1996). Interaction between fast and slow inactivation in Skm1 sodium channels. Biophysical Journal 71, 3098-3109.
- Fleidervish, I. A., Friedman, A. & Gutnick, M. J. (1996). Slow inactivation of Na^+ current and slow cumulative spike adaptation in mouse and guinea-pig neocortical neurones in slices. Journal of Physiology $493, 83-97.$
- Frohnwieser, B., Chen, L.-Q., Schreibmayer, W. & Kallen, R. G. (1997). Modulation of the human cardiac sodium channel α -subunit by cAMP-dependent protein kinase and the responsible sequence domain. Journal of Physiology 498, 309-318.
- Gellens, M. E., George, A. L. J., Chen, L.-Q., Chahine, M., Horn, R., BARCHI, R. L. & KALLEN, R. G. (1992). Primary structure and functional expression of the human cardiac tetrodotoxin-insensitive voltage-dependent sodium channel. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the USA 89, 554-558.
- George, A. L. J., Komisarof, J., Kallen, R. G. & Barchi, R. L. (1992). Primary structure of the adult human skeletal muscle voltage-dependent sodium channel. Annals of Neurology 31, $131 - 137.$
- Hamill, O. P., Marty, A., Neher, E., Sakmann, B. & Sigworth, F. J. (1981). Improved patch-clamp techniques for high-resolution current recording from cells and cell-free membrane patches. P flügers Archiv 391, 85–100.
- HANCK, D. A. & SHEETS, M. F. (1992). Time-dependent changes in kinetics of Na^+ current in single canine cardiac Purkinje cells. American Journal of Physiology 262 , H1197-1207.
- Hayward, L. J., Brown, R. H. J. & Cannon, S. C. (1997). Slow inactivation differs among mutant Na channels associated with myotonia and periodic paralysis. Biophysical Journal 72, $1204 - 1219.$
- HILLE, B. (1989). The Sharpey-Schafer lecture. Ionic channels: evolutionary origins and modern roles. Quarterly Journal of Experimental Physiology 74, 785-804.
- HILLE, B. (1992). *Ionic Channels of Excitable Membranes*. Sinauer Associates, Sunderland, MA, USA.
- HOSHI, T., ZAGOTTA, W. N. & ALDRICH, R. W. (1991). Two types of inactivation in Shaker K^+ channels: effects of alterations in the carboxy-terminal region. Neuron $7,547-556$.
- KONTIS, K. J. & GOLDIN, A. L. (1997). Sodium channel inactivation is altered by substitution of voltage sensor positive charges. Journal of General Physiology $110, 403-413$.
- KONTIS, K. J., ROUNAGHI, A. & GOLDIN, A. L. (1997). Sodium channel activation gating is affected by substitutions of voltage sensor positive charges in all four domains. Journal of General Physiology 110, 391-401.
- McCormack, K., Tanouye, M. A., Iverson, L. E., Lin, J. W., Ramaswami, M., McCormack, T., Campanelli, J. T., Mathew, M. K. & RUDY, B. (1991). A role for hydrophobic residues in the voltage-dependent gating of Shaker K^+ channels. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the USA $88, 2931-2935$.
- McPhee, J. C., Ragsdale, D. S., Scheuer, T. & Catterall, W. A. (1998). A critical role for the $S4-S5$ intracellular loop in domain IV of the sodium channel α -subunit in fast inactivation. Journal of $Biological Chemistry$ 273, 1121-1129.
- Noda, M., Ikeda, T., Suzuki, H., Takeshima, H., Takahashi, T., Kuno, M. & Numa, S. (1986). Expression of functional sodium channels from cloned cDNA. Nature 322, 826-828.
- Ogielska, E. M., Zagotta, W. N., Hoshi, T., Heinemann, S. H., HAAB, J. & ALDRICH, R. W. (1995). Cooperative subunit interactions in C-type inactivation of K channels. Biophysical $Journal 69, 2449-2457.$
- O'REILLY, J. P., WANG, G. K. & WANG, S.-Y. (1998). Na channel slow inactivation differs between human heart and rat skeletal muscle isoforms. Society for Neuroscience Abstracts 18, 1077, 427.7.
- PANYI, G., SHENG, Z. & DEUTSCH, C. (1995). C-type inactivation of a voltage-gated K^+ channel occurs by a cooperative mechanism. Biophysical Journal 69, 896-903.
- PATTON, D. E., WEST, J. W., CATTERALL, W. A. & GOLDIN, A. L. (1992). Amino acid residues required for fast $Na⁺$ -channel inactivation: charge neutralizations and deletions in the III-IV linker. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the USA 89, 10905-10909.
- Richmond, J. E., Featherstone, D. E., Hartmann, H. A. & Ruben, P. C. (1998). Slow inactivation in human cardiac sodium channels. $Biophysical\ Journal\ 74, 2945-2952.$
- RUDY, B. (1978). Slow inactivation of the sodium conductance in squid giant axons. Pronase resistance. Journal of Physiology 283 , 1-21.
- RUFF, R. L., SIMONCINI, L. & STUHMER, W. (1988). Slow sodium channel inactivation in mammalian muscle: a possible role in regulating excitability. *Muscle and Nerve* $11,502-510$.
- Sawczuk, A., Powers, R. K. & Binder, M. D. (1995). Spike frequency adaptation studied in hypoglossal motoneurons of the rat. Journal of Neurophysiology 73, 1799-1810.
- SIGWORTH, F. J. (1994). Voltage gating of ion channels. Quarterly Reviews of Biophysics $27, 1-40$.
- Starkus, J. G., Kuschel, L., Rayner, M. D. & Heinemann, S. H. (1997). Ion conduction through C-type inactivated Shaker channels. Journal of General Physiology 110, 539-550.
- STUHMER, W., CONTI, F., SUZUKI, H., WANG, X. D., NODA, M., YAHAGI, N., KUBO, H. & NUMA, S. (1989). Structural parts involved in activation and inactivation of the sodium channel. Nature 339, 597-603.
- TOIB, A., LYAKHOV, V. & MAROM, S. (1998). Interaction between duration of activity and time course of recovery from slow inactivation in mammalian brain $Na⁺$ channels. Journal of Neuroscience 18, 1893-1903.
- Townsend, C. & Horn, R. (1997). Effect of alkali metal cations on slow inactivation of cardiac Na^+ channels. Journal of General Physiology $110, 23-33$.
- Trimmer, J. S., Cooperman, S. S., Tomiko, S. A., Zhou, J. Y., Crean, S. M., Boyle, M. B., Kallen, R. G., Sheng, Z. H., BARCHI, R. L. & SIGWORTH, F. J. (1989). Primary structure and functional expression of a mammalian skeletal muscle sodium channel. Neuron $3, 33-49$.
- UKOMADU, C., ZHOU, J., SIGWORTH, F. J. & AGNEW, W. S. (1992). μ 1 $Na⁺$ channels expressed transiently in human embryonic kidney cells: biochemical and biophysical properties. Neuron $8,663-676$.
- WANG, D. W., GEORGE, A. L. J. & BENNETT, P. B. (1996a). Comparison of heterologously expressed human cardiac and skeletal muscle sodium channels. Biophysical Journal 70, 238-245.
- Wang, D. W., Yazawa, K., George, A. L. J. & Bennett, P. B. (1996b). Characterization of human cardiac Na^+ channel mutations in the congenital long QT syndrome. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the USA $93, 13200-13205$.
- Wang, S.-Y. & Wang, G. K. (1997). A mutation in segment I-S6 alters slow inactivation of sodium channels. Biophysical Journal 72, 1633-1640.
- Wright, S. W., Wang, S.-Y., Xiao, Y.-F. & Wang, G. K. (1999). State-dependent cocaine block of Na channel isoforms, chimeras, and channels coexpressed with the $\beta1$ subunit. Biophysical Journal 76, 233-245.
- Yellen, G., Sodickson, D., Chen, T. Y. & Jurman, M. E. (1994). An engineered cysteine in the external mouth of a K^+ channel allows inactivation to be modulated by metal binding. Biophysical Journal 66, 1068-1075.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the National Institutes of Health (NIH) grants GM 35401 and GM 49090. Dr R. G. Kallen was supported by NIH grant AR 41762, the American Heart Association, Muscular Dystrophy Association and the University of Pennsylvania Research Foundation.

Corresponding author

J. P. O'Reilly: Department of Anesthesia, Brigham and Women's Hospital, Harvard Medical School, 75 Francis Street, Boston, MA 02115, USA.

Email: joreilly@zeus.bwh.harvard.edu