## Kinases, myosin phosphatase and Rho proteins: curiouser and curiouser

## Andrew P. Somlyo

Department of Molecular Physiology and Biological Physics, University of Virginia School of Medicine, Charlottesville, VA 22906-0011, USA

The primary mechanism activating smooth muscle is phosphorylation of the myosin regulatory light chain  $(MLC_{20})$  by a myosin light chain kinase (MLCK) activated by  $Ca^{2+}$ calmodulin (CaM)-dependent phosphorylation; this allows actin to activate myosin ATPase, causing muscle to contract. Inactivation (relaxation) occurs as the result of dephosphorylation of  $MLC_{20}$  by a heterotrimeric smooth muscle myosin phosphatase, SMPP\_1M (Hartshorne et al. 1998). Dephosphorylation of  $MLC_{20}$  was thought to be by an unregulated 'housekeeping' enzyme, until it was recognized that it can be regulated, independently of changes in  $\lbrack Ca^{2+}\rbrack_i$ , by G-protein-coupled cascades (Somlyo et al. 1989). These and related (Gallagher et al. 1997) signal transduction mechanisms play physiologically important roles in both smooth muscles and in non-muscle cells in which cytoplasmic myosin II motors are regulated by phosphorylation/dephosphorylation (Somlyo & Somlyo, 1994). Two proteins involved in such mechanisms are reported in this issue of The Journal of Physiology.

An enzyme that can phosphorylate  $MLC_{20}$  in the absence of  $Ca^{2+}-CaM$  is described by Walsh and co-workers (Weber et al. 1999). The activity of this  $Ca^{2+}$ -independent kinase in permeabilized smooth muscle is unmasked when the phosphatase is inhibited by microcystin, tautomycin or calyculin. The altered balance between the activities of  $Ca^{2+}$ -independent kinase and SMPP-1M increases  $MLC_{20}$  phosphorylation, causing contraction in the absence of  $Ca^{2+}$ . The myosin kinase responsible has not been identified, although MLCK activated by autophosphorylation in the absence of  $Ca^{2+}$  has been a suspect (Tokui et al. 1995; Walker et al. 1998). Walsh and colleagues now show that, in addition to this weakly active autophosphorylated MLCK, there is also another  $Ca^{2+}$ -independent myofibrillar kinase that is neither inhibited by MLCK inhibitors nor a protein kinase C. The physiological role of this 'new' enzyme is uncertain; its activity is low and the  $Ca^{2+}$ independent contractions induced by microcystin are extremely slow. Therefore, unless it can be activated by an as yet unknown messenger, it is unlikely to be a major contractile regulator. It may perform other, possibly important, physiological functions, with its ability to phosphorylate  $MLC_{20}$ unmasked only in the laboratory. Answers to this question should come from sequencing and cloning it.

The other paper (Loirand et al. 1999) deals with a recently discovered protein that impacts on a major pathway of signal transduction: regulation of SMPP\_1M and, through it, myosin II. Activation of a variety of G-protein-coupled excitatory receptors or direct activation of G-proteins by GTP $\gamma$ S or AlF<sub>4</sub><sup>-</sup> results in Ca<sup>2+</sup>independent inhibition of  $MLC_{20}$  dephosphorylation and slowing of relaxation (Kitazawa et al. 1991) or, in the presence of  $MLC_{20}$  kinase activity, increased  $MLC_{20}$  phosphorylation and contraction (reviewed in Somlyo & Somlyo, 1994). The major upstream G-protein activating this  $Ca^{2+}$ -sensitizing cascade is the monomeric GTPase RhoA, and the depression of the tonic component of agonist-induced contractions of intact smooth muscle by toxins (bacterial exoenzymes) that inactivate RhoA (Fujihara et al. 1997; Lucius et al. 1998) indicates the physiological importance of this mechanism. The downstream Ca<sup>2+</sup>-sensitizing effector of RhoA is Rho kinase, which phosphorylates the regulatory subunit of SMPP\_1M and so inhibits the catalytic activity of the enzyme (Kimura et al. 1996). The highly selective Rho-kinase inhibitor Y-27632 reverses  $Ca^{2+}$  sensitization of smooth muscle (Uehata et al. 1997; Fu et al. 1998) and reduces blood pressure in hypertensive animals (Uehata et al. 1997), further indicating the pathophysiological importance of this pathway.

In resting cells, most RhoA is maintained as inactive cytoplasmic RhoA·GDP complexed with another protein, guanine nucleotide dissociation inhibitor (GDI). The discovery of another GTPbinding protein, Rnd1, that is an antagonist or negative regulator of the effects of RhoA on the cytoskeleton and is hormonally regulated (Loirand et al. 1999), was not expected. Rnd1 is a GTP-binding protein without detectable GTPase activity and occurs as Rnd1·GTP associated with the cell membrane through its farnesylated C-terminus. Its absence from the cytosol indicates that, unlike its geranylgeranylated relatives (rac, rho and CDC42), it does not form a complex with GDI. Prenylated Rnd1 inhibits agonist,  $GTP\gamma S$  and recombinant RhoA induced  $Ca^{2+}$  sensitization of smooth muscle, while non-prenylated Rnd1 has very little or no such effect. Rnd1 inhibits  $Ca^{2+}$ sensitization by prenylated RhoA·GTP when added simultaneously, but does not reverse the effect of previously added RhoA, suggesting that inhibition occurs upstream: before or during activation of Rho-kinase. This and the fact that prenylation and, presumably, association with the membrane is required for Rnd1 to inhibit (Loirand et al. 1999) and RhoA to activate (Gong et al. 1996) the Rho-kinase pathway suggest that they compete for a common hydrophobic binding site. The Rnd1 content of aortic and ileum smooth muscle is increased by treatment with sex steroids, oestrogen and progesterone, and this increase is associated with a decrease in the  $Ca^{2+}$ -sensitizing effects of agonists. Sex steroids also increase the concentration of other  $Ca<sup>2+</sup>$ -desensitizing messengers such as cAMP and

cGMP, and of telokin, a protein that accelerates  $MLC_{20}$  dephosphorylation and relaxation of smooth muscle (Wu et al. 1998; Smith et al. 1998). These studies also underline the value of smooth muscle as an experimental paradigm of signalling systems operating in both muscle and non-muscle cells and modulating important mechanisms such as cell migration and cytokinesis, propelled by myosin II regulated by kinases and phosphatases. The complexity of these mechanisms is becoming more apparent as their studies delve deeper and deeper, like Alice into the rabbit hole.

- Fu, X. et al. (1998). FEBS Letters 440, 183-187.
- Fujihara, H. et al. (1997). Molecular Biology of the Cell  $8, 2437 - 2447$ .
- GALLAGHER, P. J. et al. (1997). Journal of Muscle Research and Cell Motility  $18, 1-16$ .
- Gong, M. C. et al. (1996). Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the USA 93, 1340-1345.
- HARTSHORNE, D. J. et al. (1998). Journal of Muscle Research and Cell Motility 19, 325-341.
- KIMURA, K. et al. (1996). Science 273, 245-248.
- Kitazawa, T. et al. (1991). Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the USA 88, 9307-9310.
- LOIRAND, G. et al. P. (1999). Journal of  $Physiology 516, 825-834.$
- Lucius, C. et al. (1998). Journal of Physiology 506, 83-93.
- SMITH, A. F. et al. (1998). American Journal of Physiology 274, C1188-1195.
- SOMLYO, A. P. et al. (1989). Advances in Protein  $Phosphatases 5, 181–195.$
- Somlyo, A. P. & Somlyo, A. V. (1994). Nature 372, 231-236.
- Tokui, T. et al. (1995). Biochemistry 34, 5173-5179.
- UEHATA, M. et al. (1997). Nature 389, 990-994.
- WALKER, L. A. et al. (1998). FASEB Journal 12, 813-821.
- WEBER, L. P. et al. (1999). Journal of Physiology 516, 805-824.
- Wu, X. et al. (1998). Journal of Biological  $Chemistry 273, 11362–11369.$