Paired-pulse modulation at individual GABAergic synapses in rat hippocampus

Li Jiang, Shuangdan Sun, Maiken Nedergaard and Jian Kang

Department of Cell Biology and Anatomy, New York Medical College, Basic Science Building, Room 220, Valhalla, NY 10595, USA

(Received 16 September 1999; accepted after revision 6 December 1999)

- 1. Unitary inhibitory postsynaptic currents (uIPSCs) were recorded in synaptically coupled pairs of CA1 hippocampal interneurons and pyramidal neurons in rat brain slices by using dual patch-clamp techniques. Paired-pulse modulation of uIPSCs at individual GABAergic synapses was tested.
- 2. GABAergic synapses could be divided into two subgroups, high and low failure, depending on their failure rate.
- 3. The external Ca^{2+} levels modulate the failure rate of uIPSCs. In 0.5 mM Ca^{2+} , low-failure pairs had a high-failure characteristic, whereas high-failure pairs had a low-failure characteristic in 8 mM Ca^{2+} . The results suggest that uIPSC failures result from the Ca^{2+} dependent release mechanism rather than axon propagation failures.
- 4. Paired-pulse facilitation (PPF) occurred in high-failure pairs when the interspike interval was 20 ms. Paired-pulse depression (PPD) was not predominant in high-failure pairs.
- 5. Potency of uIPSCs, the average amplitude of non-failure events, was enhanced by PPF, suggesting that multiple synapses connect each pair. Differing numbers of activated synapses contributed to the variable amplitude of uIPSCs from a given pair.
- 6. PPD occurred in low-failure pairs at the tested range of interspike intervals (20–200 ms). The $uIPSC_2$ after a large $uIPSC_1$ was smaller than the $uIPSC_2$ after a small $uIPSC_1$, suggesting that PPD is use dependent and due to a decrease in the quantal content (*m*) after the first release.
- 7. In 8 mm Ca²⁺, PPD occurred in high-failure pairs and was larger in low-failure pairs, suggesting that the occurrence of PPF or PPD depends on the baseline release probability.
- 8. The GABA_B receptor antagonist CGP 55845A (5 μ M) decreased the baseline release probability of inhibitory synapses and attenuated PPD indirectly, rather than by blocking presynaptic GABA_B autoreceptors.

Paired-pulse facilitation (PPF) and paired-pulse depression (PPD) are two examples of frequency-dependent short-term plasticity of synapses. In contrast to the robust PPF of excitatory postsynaptic currents (EPSCs) in the hippocampus (Andreasen & Hablitz, 1994; Debanne et al. 1996), inhibitory postsynaptic currents (IPSCs) showed a robust PPD (Deisz & Prince, 1989; Davies et al. 1990; Yoon & Rothman, 1991; Mott et al. 1993). Only a few studies have indicated PPF in inhibitory transmission (Fleidervish & Gutnick, 1995; Li et al. 1999). PPF is more readily identified under conditions in which the release probability (P_r) has been reduced, such as increasing the external $[Mg^{2+}]/[Ca^{2+}]$ ratio (del Castillo & Katz, 1954; Davies & Collingridge, 1993; Lambert & Wilson, 1994; Wilcox & Dichter, 1994). The baseline $P_{\rm r}$ at individual excitatory synapses has been demonstrated to affect the subsequent occurrence of PPF or

PPD (Debanne *et al.* 1996), while there is little evidence for the relationship between the baseline $P_{\rm r}$ and paired-pulse modulation of inhibitory synapses.

One hypothesis to explain PPF at neuromuscular junctions (Katz & Miledi, 1968) is that the first action potential induces a small Ca²⁺ influx that fails to trigger transmitter release itself, but remains in the terminal for several hundred milliseconds. This residual Ca²⁺ combined with the Ca²⁺ entering during the second action potential enhances the $P_{\rm r}$. The mechanism underlying the PPD of inhibitory synaptic transmission is unknown. The widely known hypothesis, activation of presynaptic GABA_B autoreceptors (Deisz & Prince, 1989; Davies *et al.* 1991; Mott *et al.* 1993; Davies & Collingridge, 1993; Khazipov *et al.* 1995), has been challenged by Wilcox & Dichter (1994) who observed that the GABA_B receptor antagonists did not attenuate PPD

of unitary IPSCs in pairs of cultured hippocampal neurons. An alternative presynaptic mechanism for PPD is that the decreased amplitude of the second IPSC is due to a transient decrease in the quantal content (*m*) resulting from depletion of the readily releasable vesicle pool by the first spike (Stevens & Wang, 1995; Debanne *et al.* 1996). Meanwhile, postsynaptic mechanisms such as desensitization of GABA_A receptors (Alger, 1991) and decreased driving force due to intracellular accumulation of Cl⁻ and/or extracellular accumulation of K⁺ (McCarren & Alger, 1985; Thompson & Gähwiler, 1989*a*, *b*) might explain the decrease in the second pulse-induced currents.

Individual GABA ergic synapse modulation is difficult to test when recording synaptic events by stimulating multiple axonal fibres. Paired sharp electrode recordings of unitary inhibitory postsynaptic potentials (uIPSPs; Miles & Wong, 1984; Buhl et al. 1994; Poncer et al. 1997) also limit analysis of individual uIPSPs because of their low signal/noise ratio. The high signal/noise ratio of patch-clamp recordings enables detection of small synaptic currents with $\sim 10 \text{ pA}$ amplitude, and the dual patch-clamp technique can be used to analyse the characteristics of individual synapses (Vincent & Marty, 1996; Cox et al. 1997). When unitary inhibitory postsynaptic currents (uIPSCs) in a pyramidal neuron were evoked by action potentials (AP) in an interneuron, a broad amplitude distribution for uIPSCs was usually observed (Kang et al. 1998). A multisynaptic coupling model can be used to explain this variation in the uIPSC amplitude if postsynaptic $GABA_A$ receptors are saturated by a single release (Edwards et al. 1990; Mody et al. 1994). Alternatively, variation in the amplitude of uIPSCs may result from releasing various sizes of vesicles if postsynaptic $GABA_A$ receptors are not saturated by a single vesicle (Frerking et al. 1995; Hill et al. 1998).

We tested PPF and PPD at individual synapses using a dual patch-clamp technique to record uIPSCs. We demonstrated that PPF predominates at high-failure GABAergic synapses, whereas PPD occurs at low-failure synapses. When the baseline $P_{\rm r}$ was enhanced by high extracellular concentrations of Ca²⁺, PPD occurred instead of PPF at high-failure synapses, suggesting that the occurrence of PPF or PPD depends on the baseline $P_{\rm r}$. The GABA_B receptor antagonist CGP 55845A decreased baseline $P_{\rm r}$ and attenuated PPD indirectly, rather than by blocking presynaptic GABA_B autoreceptors.

METHODS

Slice preparation

Rat brain slices were prepared as described previously (Kang *et al.* 1998). Briefly, 14- to 20-day-old (P14–P20) male and female Sprague-Dawley rats were anaesthetized with pentobarbitone sodium (55 mg kg⁻¹) and decapitated. Coronal brain slices of 300 μ m were cut with a vibratome (TPI, St Louis, MO, USA) in a cutting solution containing (mM): 2·5 KCl, 1·25 NaH₂PO₄, 10 MgSO₄, 0·5 CaCl₂, 10 glucose, 26 NaHCO₃ and 230 sucrose. Slices containing the hippocampus were incubated in the slice solution gassed with

5% CO₂ and 95% O₂ for 1–7 h and then transferred to a recording chamber (1.5 ml) that was perfused with the slice solution gassed with 5% CO₂ and 95% O₂ at room temperature (23–24 °C). The slice solution contained (mM); 126 NaCl, 2.5 KCl, 1.25 NaH₂PO₄, 2 MgCl₂, 2 CaCl₂, 10 glucose and 26 NaHCO₃ (pH at 7.4 when gassed with 95% O₂ and 5% CO₂). All experiments were carried out according to the guidelines laid down by the Animal Care and Use Committee.

Dual patch-clamp recordings

The chamber was placed on the stage of an Olympus BX50 upright microscope (Olympus Optical Co., NY, USA) equipped with differential inference contrast (DIC) optics, and cells were visualized with a $\times 40$ water immersion lens. Two electrical manipulators (TS Products Co., Arleta, CA, USA) were mounted on the stage in opposing positions and moved along a plane 18 deg to the horizontal. Patch electrodes with a resistance of $3-5 M\Omega$ were pulled from KG-33 glass capillaries (i.d. 1.0 mm, o.d. 1.5 mm, Garner Glass Co., Claremont, CA, USA) using a P-97 electrode puller (Sutter Instrument Co., Novato, CA, USA). A seal resistance $< 5 \,\mathrm{G}\Omega$ was rejected. Interneurons in the stratum radiatum and pyramidal neurons in the CA1 pyramidal layer were identified morphologically using DIC optics (Kang et al. 1998). Interneurons were patched in the whole-cell current-clamp configuration (Hamill et al. 1981) using an Axoclamp-2B amplifier (Axon Instruments, Inc., Burlingame, CA, USA). The pipette solution contained (mm): 120 potassium gluconate, 10 KCl, 1 MgCl₂, 10 Hepes, 0.1 EGTA, 0.025 CaCl₂, 1 ATP, 0.2 GTP and 4 glucose (pH adjusted to 7.2 with KOH). Pyramidal neurons whose apical dendrites were positioned toward the patched interneurons were patched in the voltage-clamp configuration and recorded at a holding potential of -60 mV using an Axopatch 200B amplifier (Axon Instruments, Inc.). The pipette solution for pyramidal neurons contained (mm): 120 CsCl, 10 KCl, 1 MgCl, 10 Hepes, 0.1 EGTA, 0.025 CaCl, 1 ATP, 0.2 GTP, 5 QX-314 and 4 glucose (pH adjusted to $7 \cdot 2$ with KOH). Experiments with a holding current > -200 pA were rejected. Recordings with changes in series resistance > 10% of control were also rejected. Single, paired, or three depolarizing current pulses produced by a Master-8 stimulator (A.M.P.I., Jerusalem, Israel) were delivered to the patched interneurons at 0.1 Hz to induce single, paired, or three interneuronal spikes. Signals were filtered through an 8-pole Bessel low-pass filter with a 2 kHz cut-off frequency and sampled by an AxoScope program with an interval of 50 μ s. Data were further processed with Origin 4.1 (Microcal Software, Inc., Northampton, MA, USA) and CorelDraw 7.0 (Corel Co. Ontario, Canada) programs. Statistical data are presented as means \pm s.e.m. unless otherwise indicated.

Unitary IPSCs in pyramidal neurons (Pyr) were evoked by interneuronal APs (Fig. 1A, left trace). Synaptically coupled pairs of interneurons (Int) and pyramidal neurons were identified by trains of three spikes, which successfully evoked uIPSCs even when a single spike failed (Fig. 1A, centre traces). Unitary IPSCs had a short latency (Fig. 1B, left) between the interneuronal spike peak and the initiation of uIPSCs $(2.9 \pm 0.2 \text{ ms}, \text{mean} \pm \text{s.d.}, n = 15$ pairs), and were blocked by the GABA_A receptor antagonist bicuculline (30 μ M) confirming that they were GABAergic events (Fig. 1A, right traces). When the interpulse interval was shorter than the decay time of uIPSCs, the second uIPSC ($uIPSC_2$) occurred on the decaying part of the first uIPSC (uIPSC₁). Thus, the amplitude of $uIPSC_2$ could be measured in two ways (Fig. 1*B*): $\Delta A2$ measured from the initiation point to the peak of uIPSC, (relative amplitude) and A2 from the baseline to the peak of uIPSC, (absolute amplitude). When the first spike fails to evoke uIPSC₁, A2 and $\Delta A2$ are identical. $\Delta A2$ reflects the net increase in the number

of opening channels activated by the second spike. A2 reflects the total number of opening channels during the second spike. $\Delta A2$ will be a better measure of uIPSC₂ if the release sites activated during uIPSC₁ are refractory to the subsequent AP (Stevens & Wang, 1995; Debanne *et al.* 1996). A2 is a better measure of uIPSC₂ if both the first and second spikes trigger the same site to release but postsynaptic receptors were saturated by the first release. We used $\Delta A2$ as a measure of uIPSC₂ because the second spike may trigger release primarily from the synapses where the first spike has failed.

The patch-clamp recording technique allowed us to detect small uIPSCs of ~10 pA amplitude. Although small uIPSCs may be close to the noise levels (average peak-peak noise in our experiments: 4–9 pA), we could discern them from noise and failures (Fig. 1*C*, arrowheads) by their long decay (decay constant, $38 \cdot 2 \pm 3 \cdot 8$ ms) and constant latency (Fig. 1*C*, arrows). In our experiments, an evoked uIPSC was defined as a downward current with a decay > 20 ms and an amplitude ≥ 10 pA starting within the average latency (for a given pair) ± 2 s.p. (fast: 0·18 ms, slow: 1·1 ms). The failure rate was not correlated to peak-peak noise levels (Fig. 1*D*, correlation ceofficient, r=0.04) suggesting that failures were not

attributed to high noise levels. That high external Ca²⁺ levels decreased failures further confirmed that failures were Ca²⁺-dependent release failures rather than undetectable events. A limitation of the technique is that small uIPSCs with an amplitude < 5 pA, such as those from far away dendritic locations (Spruston *et al.* 1993), might not be detected. To prevent misclassification of failures due to this limitation, we, in analysis, used only data from cell pairs having an average amplitude of uIPSCs > 15 pA. Small uIPSCs might also be undetected if the access resistance increased significantly. To prevent this problem, we carefully controlled the access resistance during experiments and the failure rate in a given pair did not increase with the recording time suggesting that failures could not be attributed to increased access resistance.

RESULTS

Fast and slow uIPSCs

Since dendritic filtering may attenuate the amplitude of uIPSCs and influence the analysis of uIPSC amplitude, we first identified fast and slow uIPSCs by analysing their 20-70% rise time. Unitary IPSCs from dendritic synapses





A, uIPSCs in a pyramidal neuron (Pyr) evoked by single (left traces) or three (centre traces) interneuronal spikes (Int). The bottom lines are depolarization pulses (15 ms duration, 20 ms interval, 200–400 pA at 0·1 Hz) delivered to the interneuron. Unitary IPSCs were blocked by the GABA_A receptor antagonist bicuculline (30 μ M, right traces). Trains of three spikes were used to confirm the blockade of receptors rather than failures. *B*, measurements of uIPSCs. The latency (L) was measured from the peak of action potential to the initiation of uIPSC. The 20–70% rise time (T) was measured from the point where the uIPSC rose from 20% of the peak amplitude to 70% of the peak amplitude. The amplitude of uIPSC₁ (A1) was measured from the baseline to the peak of uIPSC₂. The relative amplitude of uIPSC₂ (Δ A2) was measured from the initiation point of uIPSC₂ to the peak of uIPSC₂, and the absolute amplitude of uIPSC₂ (A2) from the baseline to the peak of IPSC₂. *C*, small uIPSCs with an amplitude of ~10 pA (arrows) could be distinguished from noises and failures (arrowheads). *D*, the relationship between the failure rate (*P_t*) and peak—peak noise levels. The average peak—peak noise in each pair was plotted against the failure rate. The line is a linear regression line. Correlation coefficient r = 0.04, n = 51 cell pairs.

should rise more slowly than uIPSCs from perisomatic synapses due to dendritic filtering (Major et al. 1994; Xiang et al. 1998). Although different receptor kinetics (Pearce, 1993) or unsynchronized openings of $GABA_A$ channels may also result in slowly rising uIPSCs, a fast uIPSC should be from a location near the recording position. In 22 of 51 pairs (average rise time: 0.5-1.6 ms, the threshold of fast uIPSCs was < 2 ms), uIPSCs rose fast (Fig. 2A, upper panel). The rise time for fast uIPSCs is around 1 ms (Fig. 2A, middle panel). Larger uIPSCs had similar or somewhat longer rise times than did smaller events (Fig. 2A, bottom panel). In 25 pairs (average rise time: 2.8–10.3 ms), uIPSCs rose slowly (Fig. 2B, upper panel). There was a broad distribution of rise times (Fig. 2B, middle panel), and larger events had relatively shorter rise times than did some smaller events (Fig. 2B, bottom panel). In four pairs, a group of uIPSCs rose quickly (Fig. 2C, upper panel, Fast), but others rose slowly (Fig. 2C, upper panel, Slow). The rise time for fast uIPSCs was around 1 ms (Fig. 2C, middle panel), and the amplitude of fast uIPSCs was larger than that of slow uIPSCs (Fig. 2C, bottom panel), suggesting that dendritic uIPSCs had been attenuated by dendritic filtering. The existence of both slow and fast uIPSCs in a given pair indicated that some interneurons (4/51) might be connected to pyramidal neurons by both dendritic and perisomatic synapses. The potency of uIPSCs (the averaged amplitude of non-failure events) from fast uIPSC pairs $(112.9 \pm 27.5 \text{ pA})$ was

significantly larger than that from slow uIPSC pairs $(21 \cdot 0 \pm 1 \cdot 8 \text{ pA}, P < 0.01, \text{Student's } t \text{ test})$. Since slow uIPSCs might be produced from dendritic synapses and attenuated by dendritic filtering, we used only fast uIPSC data for amplitude distributions.

High- and low-failure synapses

Depending on the failure probability, inhibitory synapses were divided into two subgroups, 'high failure' (Fig. 3A) and 'low failure' (Fig. 3B). The definition of a high-failure pair is a cell pair having a failure rate ≥ 0.5 . Seventeen of 51 coupled cell pairs were categorized as high failure with an average failure probability $(P_{\rm f})$ of 0.69 ± 0.02 (range: 0.56-0.87); whereas, 34 pairs had very few failures ($P_{\rm f}$ of $0.08 \pm 0.02,$ range: 0–0.24). Nine of 22 fast uIPSC pairs, 7 of 25 slow uIPSC pairs, and 1 of 4 fast and slow uIPSC pairs had the high-failure characteristic. In both high- and lowfailure groups, large variations in the average amplitude of non-failure uIPSCs (potency) were noted among pairs (means \pm s.d.: 69 \pm 99 pA and 70 \pm 80 pA, range: 10–266 pA and 11–471 pA, respectively). There was no statistical difference between the two groups (P = 0.91). Although the $P_{\rm f}$ was clearly different between the two groups, the transmission latency was similar $(3 \cdot 2 \pm 0 \cdot 3 \text{ ms})$ for high-failure and 2.9 ± 0.2 ms for low-failure events, respectively, P = 0.35), indicating that uIPSCs in both groups were direct synaptic events.



Figure 2. Fast and slow uIPSCs

A, fast uIPSCs from a representative pair. B, slow uIPSCs from a representative pair. C, both fast and slow uIPSCs from a representative pair. Upper panels, representative recording traces showing fast (Fast) or slow (Slow) uIPSCs. Middle panels, the distribution for the 20-70% rise time of uIPSCs. The scale was the same as A. Bottom panels, the amplitude-rise time relationships for uIPSCs.

To verify whether failures of uIPSCs resulted from AP conduction failures (Debanne et al. 1997), we studied the influence of external Ca^{2+} on the failure of uIPSCs. We tested low-failure synapses first. In the control slice solution (2 mm Ca^{2+}) , there were very few failures of uIPSCs in a representative pair and the amplitudes of uIPSCs were distributed between 20 and 150 pA (Fig. 4A and B, 2 Ca^{2+}). When the slice solution contained 0.5 mm Ca^{2+} , uIPSC failures increased dramatically (Fig. 4A and B, $0.5 \,\mathrm{Ca}^{2+}$), and the amplitude distribution showed a failure peak around zero amplitude and a single release peak around 20 pA (Fig. 4A, 0.5 Ca^{2+}). The P_{f} of uIPSCs in $0.5 \text{ mm} \text{ Ca}^{2+}$ (Fig. 4*C*, 0.5 Ca^{2+}) was significantly higher than that in 2 mm Ca^{2+} (Fig. 4*C*, 2 Ca^{2+} , *P* < 0.001, paired *t* test, *n* = 5 low-failure pairs) indicating that the $P_{\rm r}$ decreased in low Ca^{2+} conditions. When the slice solution contained 8 mm Ca^{2+} , the amplitude distribution shifted to the right, and

there were more large amplitude events (Fig. 4A and B, 8 Ca²⁺, 100–230 pA) than those in the control solution (Fig. 4A and B, 2 Ca²⁺). The large uIPSCs in 8 mm Ca²⁺ conditions (Fig. 4A, 8 Ca²⁺, peak around 200 pA) might be close to the maximum. The results indicated that the P_r for individual synapses in low-failure pairs could be further enhanced by high concentrations of Ca²⁺. The amplitude distribution shift of uIPSCs in high Ca²⁺ suggested that each cell pair might be connected by multiple synapses and each spike activated more synapses in high Ca²⁺ than in control conditions.

Next, we examined the effects of external Ca^{2+} on uIPSCs at high-failure synapses. In the control solution (2 mm Ca^{2+}), uIPSC failures in a representative high-failure pair occurred frequently (Fig. 5A and B, 2 Ca^{2+}). When the slice solution contained 8 mm Ca^{2+} , uIPSC failures decreased dramatically





A, recording traces and the amplitude distribution for uIPSCs from a high-failure pair. The inset traces are 10 representative uIPSCs (upper) evoked by 10 continuous spikes at 0.1 Hz. The bottom traces are interneuronal spikes (Int) and depolarizing current pulses. The amplitude distribution was from 86 events. The bin step was 5 pA. The amplitude around zero indicates failure events. *B*, recording traces (inset, 10 traces) and the amplitude distribution (62 events) for uIPSCs from a low-failure pair. The bin step was 10 pA. Very few failure events (around zero) occurred.



Figure 4. Effects of external Ca²⁺ on uIPSC failures in low-failure pairs

A, recording traces (inset) and amplitude distributions of uIPSCs from a representative low-failure pair in the presence of 2 (2 Ca²⁺), 0.5 (0.5 Ca²⁺) or 8 mM Ca²⁺ (8 Ca²⁺). Different concentrations of CaCl₂ were added to the slice solution containing 2 mM MgCl₂. B, a plot of the amplitude of uIPSCs against time for the data in A. C, the $P_{\rm f}$ of uIPSCs in the presence of 2 (2 Ca²⁺), 0.5 (0.5 Ca²⁺) or 8 mM Ca²⁺ (8 Ca²⁺). * P < 0.001 compared with control (2 Ca²⁺), n = 5 low-failure pairs.





n = 5 high-failure pairs.

(Fig. 5A and B, 8 Ca²⁺). The $P_{\rm f}$ of uIPSCs in 8 mm Ca²⁺ (Fig. 5C, 8 Ca²⁺) was significantly lower than that in 2 mm Ca²⁺ (Fig. 5C, 2 Ca²⁺, P < 0.001, paired t test, n = 5 highfailure pairs). The low Ca²⁺ solution also further increased failures in high-failure pairs (Fig. 5C, 0.5 Ca²⁺). These results indicate that extracellular Ca²⁺ concentration significantly influences the uIPSC failure rate in both high- and lowfailure pairs. Since high extracellular Ca²⁺ concentrations might not enhance AP conduction (possibly enhancing the threshold for AP production due to opening more Ca²⁺activated K⁺ channels), the results suggest that uIPSC failures result from the Ca²⁺-dependent release mechanism rather than axon conduction failures.

PPF predominates at high-failure synapses

Paired-pulse modulation was first examined in high-failure pairs. The second spike more successfully evoked uIPSCs than did the first spike when the interpulse interval was 20 ms (Fig. 6A). The amplitude histogram for uIPSC, (Fig. 6B, right) disclosed fewer failure events than did the histogram for $uIPSC_1$ (Fig. 6B, left). The observed success probability (P_s) increased from 0.33 ± 0.03 (0.22-0.46) to 0.84 ± 0.03 (0.64–0.92), indicating the occurrence of PPF (Fig. 6C, P < 0.001, Student's paired t test, n = 10 pairs). In traces where the first spike failed to evoke $uIPSC_1$ (Fig. 7Aa), the second spike with a 20 ms interval evoked uIPSC₂ with a failure rate significantly lower than the overall failure rate for the first spike (P < 0.01, Student's)paired t test, n = 5 pairs). The success probability ratio (P_s ratio, dividing the $P_{\rm s}$ for uIPSC₂ where uIPSC₁ was absent by the $P_{\rm s}$ for uIPSC₁ in total traces) at 20 ms was larger than one (Fig. 7B, open circles). In total traces (Fig. 7B, filled circles), PPF was also observed at 20 ms intervals. Even at



Figure 6. PPF of uIPSCs in high-failure pairs

A, uIPSCs evoked by 6 continuous single spikes (left panel) or paired spikes (right panel) from a representative high-failure pair. The interpulse interval was 20 ms. B, the amplitude distribution for uIPSCs evoked by first (uIPSC₁, left) and second (uIPSC₂, right) spikes from the pair in A. C, left panel, the observed success probability ($P_{\rm s}$) for uIPSC₂ against $P_{\rm s}$ for uIPSC₁. Right panel, average values of the observed success probability ($P_{\rm s}$) for IPSC₁ (\Box) and IPSC₂ (\blacksquare). * P < 0.001 compared with uIPSC₁ (Student's paired t test). n = 10 pairs.

200 ms intervals, the $P_{\rm s}$ for uIPSC₂ was not significantly smaller than that for uIPSC₁ in total traces (Fig. 7*Ae* and *B*, P=0.37, Student's paired *t* test), suggesting that PPD does not predominate in high-failure pairs. In total traces, the average of $\Delta A2$ (including failures) was significantly larger than the average of A1 (including failures) at 20 ms intervals (Fig. 7*C*, P < 0.01, Student's paired *t* test, n=5high-failure pairs), confirming PPF occurrence. The $\Delta A2$ average was not smaller than the A1 average at 100 or 200 ms (Fig. 7*Ae* and *C*), confirming that PPD does not predominate at high-failure synapses.

Unitary IPSCs comprise currents from multiple synapses

To test further whether a single synapse or multiple synapses are functionally connecting a cell pair, we analysed the effect of PPF on uIPSC potency in high-failure pairs. If multiple synapses were connecting each pair, an increase in the $P_{\rm r}$ for individual synapses will increase the probability

of simultaneous release from two or more synapses which will increase uIPSC potency (Stevens & Wang, 1995). The uIPSC potency, measured from traces where the first spike failed, was significantly enhanced by PPF at 20 ms (Fig. 7*D*, P < 0.05, Student's paired *t* test compared with that in total traces), suggesting that each cell pair was functionally connected by multiple synapses. Differing numbers of activated synapses contributed to the variation in the amplitude of uIPSCs from a given pair. These results agree with previous anatomical studies by other groups who showed that multiple terminals were present between an interneuron and a pyramidal neuron (Buhl *et al.* 1994; Miles *et al.* 1996).

PPD occurs at low-failure synapses

In low-failure pairs, because $uIPSC_1$ failures were very few, the failure rate could not be used to reflect the paired-pulse modulation. We used the amplitude ratio ($\Delta A2/A1$) to test paired-pulse modulation. We observed a significantly smaller



Figure 7. PPF of uIPSCs with different intervals in high-failure pairs

A, uIPSCs from a representative pair. a, individual traces where the first spike failed to evoke uIPSC₁. b, an average of traces in a. c, individual traces where the first spike successfully evoked uIPSC₁. d, an average of traces in c. e, an average of total traces. The numbers at the top indicate interpulse intervals. The bottom lines (Int) are interneuronal spikes. B, the observed success probability ratio (P_s ratio) for uIPSCs evoked by paired spikes with different intervals. In the first spike failed group (\bigcirc , First failed), the P_s ratio was calculated by dividing the P_s for uIPSC₂ from traces where the first spike failed by the P_s for uIPSC₁ from total traces. The P_s ratio for total traces. D, the potency ratio for uIPSCs evoked by paired spikes with different intervals. The potency for uIPSC₂ from traces where uIPSC₁ was absent (\bigcirc) was divided by the potency for uIPSC₂ from traces where uIPSC₁ from total traces. n = 5 cell pairs in B, C and D.* P < 0.05 and ** P < 0.01, Student's paired t test.

 $\Delta A2$ than A1 at the tested range of interspike intervals (Fig. 8Ab and B, open circles, P < 0.01 ANOVA, n = 5low-failure pairs), indicating PPD occurrence. These data agree with previous observations by other groups of PPD of inhibitory transmission (Lambert & Wilson, 1994; Pearce *et* al. 1995). The uIPSC₂ failure rate at the 200 ms interval (0.18 ± 0.04) was significantly higher than the uIPSC₁ failure rate (0.07 ± 0.03 , P < 0.05, paired t test, n = 5 lowfailure pairs). A plot of $\Delta A2$ against A1 from a representative pair showed an inverse relationship between $\Delta A2$ and A1 (Fig. 8C, r = -0.84), indicating that $\Delta A2$ was evoked primarily from the synapses where the first spike failed. Thus, when the first spike activated more synapses to release (larger uIPSC₁), $\Delta A2$ was smaller (fewer available synapses for the second spike).

The occurrence of PPF or PPD depends on the baseline release probability

Paired-pulse modulation in both high- and low-failure pairs suggests that the occurrence of PPF or PPD is related to the baseline $P_{\rm r}$. PPF is predominant when the $P_{\rm r}$ is low (highfailure pairs) and PPD occurs when the $P_{\rm r}$ is high (lowfailure pairs). Since extracellular concentrations of Ca²⁺ can affect the baseline $P_{\rm r}$ (Figs 4 and 5), we further tested paired-pulse modulation in different concentrations of Ca²⁺ at high- or low-failure synapses. In a representative highfailure pair, $uIPSC_2$ ($\Delta A2$) was larger than $uIPSC_1$ (A1) in the averaged trace in the control solution (Fig. 9A, $2 \operatorname{Ca}^{2+}$, Ave). When the 8 mm Ca^{2+} solution was perfused (Fig. 9A, 8 Ca^{2+}), uIPSC₁ failure events were much fewer than those in the control solution (Fig. 9A, 2 Ca²⁺), and the averaged $\Delta A2$ was smaller than the averaged A1 (Fig. 9A, 8 Ca^{2+}) indicating the occurrence of PPD. The $\Delta A2/A1$ ratio showed that PPF was predominant in 2 mM Ca^{2+} (Fig. 9B, open circles), whereas PPD occurred in 8 mm Ca^{2+} (Fig. 9*B*, filled circles, P < 0.01, ANOVA, n = 4 high-failure pairs). The results indicate that when the baseline P_r at high-failure synapses is enhanced by high external Ca²⁺, PPD occurs instead of PPF. When a low-failure pair was perfused by the 8 mm Ca^{2+} solution (Fig. 9B, 8 Ca^{2+}), PPD was larger than that in the control solution (Fig. 9C, 2 Ca²⁺). The $\Delta A2/A1$ ratio in 8 mm Ca^{2+} (Fig. 9D, filled circles) was significantly smaller than the ratio in 2 mm Ca²⁺ (Fig. 9D, open circles, P < 0.01, ANOVA, n = 5 low-failure pairs), further confirming that PPD is correlated to the baseline P_r . The $\Delta A2/A1$ ratio in 0.5 mm Ca^{2+} (Fig. 9D, filled squares) was significantly larger than the $\Delta A2/A1$ ratio in the control solution (Fig. 9D, open circles, P < 0.01, ANOVA, n = 5 pairs), indicating that PPD is attenuated when the baseline $P_{\rm r}$ is reduced by low external Ca^{2+} . PPF at low-failure synapses in 0.5 mm Ca^{2+} (Fig. 9C, 0.5 Ca^{2+}) was not as robust as that at high-failure synapses in 2 mm Ca^{2+} (Figs 6 and 7) although the failure





A, uIPSCs evoked by paired spikes (Int) with different intervals from a representative low-failure pair. a, individual traces. b, average of traces in a. Interpulse intervals are indicated at the top. B, the $\Delta A2/A1$ ratio (O) at different intervals. n = 5 cell pairs. C, inverse relationship between $\Delta A2$ and A1. Data are from the same pair in A. Interpulse interval, 20 ms. The line is a regression line (r = -0.84).

rate was similar. A large variation in the $\Delta A2/A1$ ratio was observed among pairs in the 0.5 mM Ca²⁺ solution, and there was no significant difference between $\Delta A2$ and A1 at the 20 ms interval (P = 0.11, paired t test, n = 5 lowfailure pairs). These results indicate that PPF depends on both the low baseline P_r and external Ca²⁺. The effects of external Ca²⁺ on the occurrence of PPD and PPF at GABAergic synapses are similar to those observed at the squid giant synapses, where synaptic depression occurs in high concentrations of Ca²⁺ (Charlton *et al.* 1982; Swandulla *et al.* 1991).

Presynaptic $GABA_B$ autoreceptors are not involved in PPD

To determine whether presynaptic $GABA_B$ autoreceptors are involved in PPD of uIPSCs, we tested PPD in the absence or presence of the $GABA_B$ receptor antagonist CGP 55845A. First we perfused slices with the solution containing 8 mM Ca²⁺ to obtain the robust PPD in lowfailure pairs. Then CGP 55845A (5 μ M) was added to the 8 mm Ca^{2+} solution. The results showed that, if total traces in the presence of CGP 55845A were averaged, the $\Delta A2/A1$ ratio in the presence of CGP 55845A (Fig. 10Ab, Ave and C, filled circles) was larger than that in control (Fig. 10Aa, Ave and C, open circles, P < 0.01, ANOVA, n = 5 low-failure pairs). However, CGP 55845A clearly increased failures and decreased the amplitude of $uIPSC_1$ (Fig. 10Ab and B, filled circles). The average amplitude of CGP 55845A-treated $uIPSC_1$ was significantly smaller than control $uIPSC_1$ (Fig. 10C, open squares). These results indicate that the $GABA_{B}$ receptor antagonist decreases the baseline P_{r} of GABAergic synapses. The GABA_B receptor agonists are known to inhibit GABAergic synaptic release (Deisz & Prince, 1989; Yoon & Rothman, 1991). In contrast to the rapid effect of the $GABA_B$ receptor agonist (< 1 min, Kang et al. 1998), the CGP 55845A-induced response started at 4-5 min after perfusion, suggesting that CGP 55845A might block GABA_B receptors activated by background GABA rather than GABA released during the first stimulus. A possible pathway is that CGP 55845A blocks the astrocytic GABA_B receptor-mediated potentiation of inhibitory



Figure 9. Paired-pulse modulation in different extracellular concentrations of Ca²⁺

A, recording (10 continued traces) and averaged (Ave) traces of uIPSCs from a representative high-failure pair in the slice solution containing 2 (2 Ca²⁺) or 8 mM Ca²⁺ (8 Ca²⁺). Paired-pulse intervals are indicated on the top of traces (20 ms and 100 ms). The bottom traces are interneuronal spikes (Int). PPF occurred in the presence of 2 mM Ca²⁺, whereas PPD occurred in the presence of 8 mM Ca²⁺. B, the Δ A2/A1 ratio at different interspike intervals in 2 mM (O) or 8 mM Ca²⁺ (\bullet) from high-failure pairs. n = 4 high-failure pairs. PPD, instead of PPF, occurred when 8 mM Ca²⁺ was perfused. C, recording (10 continued traces) and averaged (Ave) traces from a representative low-failure pair in the slice solution containing 2 (2 Ca²⁺), 8 (8 Ca²⁺) or 0.5 mM Ca²⁺ (\bullet) from low-failure pairs. n = 5 low-failure pairs.

transmission, which has a similar time course (Kang et al. 1998). To compare the effect of CGP 55845A on PPD in conditions similar to the first spike-induced release, we selected CGP 55845A-treated traces with large uIPSC, (Fig. 10B, filled circles, > 80 pA) so that the average amplitude of uIPSC₁ in the selected traces was similar to the average of uIPSC₁ in control. The $\Delta A2/A1$ ratio for selected CGP 55845A-treated traces (Fig. 10Ac, Ave and C, filled squares) was not significantly different from the ratio in control (Fig. 10Aa, Ave and C, open circles, P = 0.77, ANOVA, n = 5 low-failure pairs), indicating that CGP 55845A did not attenuate PPD in those traces. The results indicate that the attenuation of PPD in total traces by CGP 55845A was due to the CGP 55845A-induced decrease in baseline $P_{\rm r}$ rather than blockade of presynaptic $GABA_{B}$ autoreceptors.

DISCUSSION

We have identified two subgroups of inhibitory synapses, high- and low-failure synapses. The failure characteristic in excitatory synaptic transmission has been described, wherein the unreliability has been attributed to the probabilistic nature of transmitter release (Rosenmund et al. 1993; Hessler et al. 1993), rather than axon threshold fluctuations or conduction failures (Allen & Stevens, 1994). By contrast, inhibitory synaptic transmission is reported by Miles & Wong (1984) and Miles et al. (1996) as a reliable system using intracellular recordings in the hippocampal CA3 region. We demonstrated that high-failure GABAergic synapses also exist in the hippocampal CA1 region. Failures of uIPSCs are not due to AP propagation failures because high extracellular concentrations of Ca²⁺ reduced failures in high-failure pairs (Fig. 5). The detailed mechanism underlying the failure of GABAergic synapses has not yet been determined. The $P_{\rm f}$ for a synapse with multiple release sites $(P_r > 0)$ will be lower than for a synapse with a single release site. Alternatively, variances in vesicle exocytosis caused by different sizes of the readily releasable vesicle pool (Dobrunz & Stevens, 1997), Ca²⁺ channels, Ca²⁺ sensors, or other components of synaptic exocytosis core complex (Lin & Scheller, 1997), could also be the mechanism. As yet we lack sufficient evidence to conclude whether either or both of these mechanisms underlie the different failure probabilities.



Figure 10. Effects of the ${\rm GABA}_{\rm B}$ receptor antagonist on PPD

A, recording (upper) and averaged (Ave) traces from a representative low-failure pair in the absence (Control) or presence of CGP 55845A (CGP 55845A) while the slice solution contained 8 mM Ca²⁺. *a*, 10 continued traces in the absence of CGP 55845A. *b*, total traces (47 continued traces) in the presence of CGP 55845A. *c*, the traces chosen from data in *b*, in which the amplitude of uIPSC₁ is similar to that in *a*. *B*, the amplitude of uIPSC₂ (Δ A2) was plotted against the amplitude of uIPSC₁ (A1) for the data in *A* in the absence (O) or presence of CGP 55845A (\bullet). *C*, the Δ A2/A1 ratio for the total traces in the absence (O) or presence of CGP 55845A (\bullet), and for the traces as in *Ac* (\blacksquare). The decrease in uIPSC₁ by CGP 55845A is indicated by the uIPSC₁ ratio (\Box , CGP-treated uIPSC₁/control uIPSC₁). *n* = 5 cell pairs. That the potency ratio of uIPSCs was larger than one, suggests that multiple synapses connect each pair. A question is whether multiple release sites in a single synapse can be the mechanism underlying the PPF-induced increase in uIPSC potency. Here, a single synapse is defined as any connection at which an independent group of postsynaptic receptors is activated by one or more release sites but not by release sites at other synapses. Separate release sites, which impinge on independent groups of postsynaptic receptors without overlap, in a single button are considered separate synapses. Concerning presynaptic release sites and percentage occupancy of postsynaptic GABA_A receptors, there are four models for release at a single synapse. First, there is a single release site and postsynaptic receptors are saturated by a single vesicle (Fig. 11A, 1). Second, receptors are saturated by a single vesicle, but multiple release sites exist (Fig. 11A, 2). Third, there is a single release site, but receptors are not saturated by a single vesicle (Fig. 11A, 3). Fourth, there are multiple release sites and receptors are not saturated by a single vesicle (Fig. 11A, 4). PPF will not increase the potency of uIPSCs in the first, second and third model because an increase in the $P_{\rm r}$ will not affect the average quantal size in these models. In the fourth model, release of additional vesicles induces a larger uIPSC amplitude by activating more receptors (Fig. 11A, 4). A PPFinduced increase in the P_r for each release site will enhance the probability of simultaneous release from two or more release sites. Thus, the PPF-induced increase in uIPSC

potency could occur in the fourth model. If this were true, the increase in uIPSC potency at larger synapses would be larger than at smaller synapses because smaller synapses are more easily saturated than are larger ones (Nusser *et al.* 1997). However, the potency ratio was not correlated to uIPSC₁ potency (Fig. 11*B*, r=0.03), suggesting that multiple release sites in a single synapse were not a major mechanism in the PPF-induced increase in uIPSC potency.

Connecting an inhibitory interneuron to a pyramidal neuron with multiple synapses has functional significance. In dendritically coupled pairs, an interneuronal spike may simultaneously inhibit excitatory inputs from several dendritic places. In perisonatically coupled pairs, by activating multiple synapses, an interneuronal spike may spatially control the excitability of a pyramidal neuron and the production of action potentials. Multiple synapses also play roles in modulating inhibitory transmission. At highfailure synapses, when an interneuron is firing in bursts with very short intervals (< 50 ms), PPF occurs and the second spike-induced inhibition increases due to increases in both the P_r for individual synapses (more successfully) and the number of activated synapses (more spatially). On the other hand, PPD that occurs at low-failure synapses decreases the second spike-induced inhibition by decreasing the $P_{\rm r}$ of individual synapses and the number of activated synapses. In this study, there was a small group of cell pairs (4/51) with both fast and slow uIPSCs, suggesting that both the perisonatic and dendritic synapses connect this type of



Figure 11. Release models at a single synapse

A, four release models for a single synapse: a single release site with saturated postsynaptic receptors (1), multiple release sites with saturated postsynaptic receptors (2), a single release site with unsaturated postsynaptic receptors (3), multiple release sites with unsaturated postsynaptic receptors (4). PPF may enhance the amplitude of uIPSCs in model 4. *B*, the PPF-induced increase in the potency of uIPSCs was not related to the size of synapses. The potency ratio in the first spike failed group was plotted against the potency of uIPSCs. The interpulse interval was 20 ms. The potency ratio was not correlated to the potency of uIPSCs in high-failure pairs (r = 0.03).

cell pair (Fig. 2*C*). Firing of the interneuron in this type of connection may inhibit both dendritic inputs and AP production. Moreover, if these dendritic and perisomatic synapses are differentially modulated, the inhibition locale may shift from dendrites to perisoma or vice versa. For example, if dendritic synapses have a high $P_{\rm r}$ whereas perisomatic synapses have a low $P_{\rm r}$, paired spikes will depress dendritic synapses and facilitate perisomatic synapses.

A postsynaptic mechanism, the decrease in the driving force for Cl⁻, seems unlikely to underlie PPD of uIPSCs. Perhaps the driving force did not change much in our experimental conditions because: (1) we stimulated a single interneuron that induced a small Cl⁻ efflux (only a few synapses were activated); (2) a symmetric Cl^{-} gradient $([Cl^-]_{in}/[Cl^-]_{out} = 140 \text{ mm}/140 \text{ mm})$ was applied across the recorded pyramidal neuron. Thus, a small change in $[Cl^-]_{in}$ or $[Cl^-]_{out}$ may not change the $[Cl^-]_{in}/Cl^-]_{out}$ ratio because of the high concentrations (140 mm) of Cl⁻ on both sides of the membrane. For example, if [Cl⁻]_{in} is changed from 140 mm to 135 mm, the $[Cl^-]_{in}/[Cl^-]_{out}$ ratio will change only from 1 to 0.96, the difference is negligible for E_{C1} . Our examination of the reversal potential of uIPSCs during paired pulses disclosed no change during uIPSC₂ (P = 0.54, paired t test, n = 5 pairs), confirming that PPD is not due to changes in the driving force for Cl⁻ in our experimental conditions. That the uIPSC₂ failure rate was higher than the uIPSC, failure rate suggests that the presynaptic mechanism is involved in PPD. Based on the data in this study, we could not exclude the involvement of postsynaptic $GABA_{A}$ receptor desensitization in PPD. $GABA_{A}$ receptor desensitization reportedly plays a role in shaping GABAergic responses (Jones & Westbrook, 1995). When P_r is high, the first AP-induced release of GABA may desensitize postsynaptic receptors. The negative correlation between $\Delta A2$ and A1 shown in Fig. 8C could also be attributed to receptor desensitization. However, if a terminal contains only a single release site and the release site undergoes a period after the first release, receptor refractory desensitization would not contribute much to PPD. The results in our study do not support the GABA_B autoreceptor hypothesis (Deisz & Prince, 1989; Davies et al. 1991; Mott et al. 1993; Davies & Collingridge, 1993; Khazipov et al. 1995; Ouardouz & Lacaille, 1997), but do agree with the observations in hippocampal cultures (Wilcox & Dichter, 1994). PPD with a similar time course (20 ms to 5 s) and use dependence has been observed at excitatory synapses (Debanne et al. 1996), implying that a similar mechanism may underlie both excitatory and inhibitory PPD. A possible mechanism for both excitatory and inhibitory PPD is that PPD results from a decrease in the quantal content (m) after exocytosis due to either depletion of the readily releasable vesicle pool (Stevens & Wang, 1995; Debanne et al. 1996) or reverse interaction between the components of the synaptic exocytosis core complex (Bezprozvanny et al. 1995).

Although a second spike may trigger release primarily from synapses at which the first spike has failed, we cannot exclude re-release occurring at some synapses. If a significant fraction of first-spike-activated synapses can re-release during a second spike, the use of $\Delta A2$ may underestimate second release at the 20 ms interval because most postsynaptic receptors in the first-spike-activated synapse are being activated by the first release. In other words, $\Delta A2$ primarily reflects release at the synapses where the first spike has failed. Thus, the occurrence of PPF or PPD (the $\Delta A2/A1$ ratio) at the 20 ms interval relies primarily on the baseline $P_{\rm r}$ and the release probability for uIPSC₂ ($P_{\rm r2}$) at the synapses where a first spike has failed. If $P_r \ge 0.5$ for all release sites (might apply to most low-failure pairs), PPD occurs anyway because the first spike activates more than half of the total release sites. If P_r is < 0.5, P_{r2} affects the occurrence of PPF and PPD. For example, if $P_{\rm r}$ is 0.4 for all release sites $(P_{\rm f} = 0.6)$ and $P_{\rm r2}$ is 0.8, PPF occurs $(0.6 \times 0.8 = 0.48, > 0.4)$. However, if P_{r^2} is 0.6, PPD occurs $(0.6 \times 0.6 = 0.36, < 0.4)$. For high-failure synapses, the baseline P_r might be very low because multiple synapses connect each pair. In our data, the lowest failure rate in the high-failure group is 0.53. If two synapses with similar P_r connected this pair, $P_{\rm r}$ for each synapse would be close to 0.27. If P_{r2} is > 0.4, PPF predominates at these synapses $(0.73 \times 0.4 = 0.29)$, > 0.27). Although predominant in most low-failure pairs, PPD may not occur in all low-failure pairs because PPD or PPF is not directly related to the failure rate but to the baseline P_r and P_{r2} for individual synapses. There are a few special synapse organizations in low-failure pairs in which PPD may not occur. First, in a low-failure pair connected by many synapses, the baseline P_r for individual synapses may not be high. For example, if the failure rate for a cell pair is 0.1 and 10 synapses connect this pair, the baseline $P_{\rm r}$ for individual synapses is only 0.2 (assuming that P_r is similar among synapses). In such situations, if P_{r_2} is > 0.3, PPF occurs (0.8 × 0.3 = 0.24, > 0.2). Second, if there are large differences in P_r between individual synapses in a low-failure pair, PPD may not occur. For example, there are four similar size synapses connecting a pair; one synapse has $P_r = 1$ and the other three are silent ($P_{\rm r} = 0$). If $P_{\rm r2} = 0.5$, the average amplitude of uIPSC₂ $(0.5Q \times 3 = 1.5Q)$, where Q represents quantal size) is larger than the average amplitude of $uIPSC_1(1Q)$. In our data, only one low-failure pair (1/34) expressed PPF, suggesting that these special organizations may not be the major group of inhibitory synapses in the hippocampus.

The amplitude distribution of fast uIPSCs can be influenced by several factors including synapse number, synapse size, release probability, percentage occupancy of receptors, channel gating errors and recording errors. In our study, only two of 22 fast uIPSC pairs showed separate amplitude peaks suggesting that other factors, such as different synapse sizes in a cell pair (Sorra & Harris, 1993), the coefficient of variation of a quantum plus the background noise (with a small quantal size), and percentage occupancy of receptors (Frerking *et al.* 1995; Hill *et al.* 1998), might have influenced the amplitude distributions. Although a single release peak was observed in the amplitude distribution for uIPSCs in low Ca^{2+} conditions (Fig. 4*A*, $0.5 Ca^{2+}$), we cannot conclude that the synapse size among synapses is similar because some different size synapses might be silent in low concentrations of Ca^{2+} .

We examined PPF and PPD at individual synapses using dual patch-clamp techniques in synaptically coupled cell pairs. We demonstrate that PPF predominates at highfailure GABAergic synapses, whereas PPD occurs at lowfailure synapses. By analysing PPF of uIPSCs, we determined that uIPSCs are composed of currents from multiple synapses. The variable number of activated synapses contributes to the amplitude variations of uIPSCs from a given pair. When high extracellular concentrations of Ca^{2+} enhance the baseline P_r , PPD occurs instead of PPF, indicating that the occurrence of PPF or PPD depends on the baseline P_r . The GABA_B receptor antagonist CGP 55845A decreased baseline P_r and attenuated PPD indirectly, rather than by blocking presynaptic GABA_B autoreceptors.

- ALGER, B. E. (1991). Gating of GABAergic inhibition in hippocampal pyramidal cells. Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences 627, 249-263.
- ALLEN, C. & STEVENS, C. F. (1994). An evaluation of causes for unreliability of synaptic transmission. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the USA* 91, 10380–10383.
- ANDREASEN, M. & HABLITZ, J. J. (1994). Paired-pulse facilitation in the dentate gyrus: a patch-clamp study in rat hippocampus in vitro. Journal of Neurophysiology 72, 326–336.
- BEZPROZVANNY, I., SCHELLER, R. H. & TSIEN, R. W. (1995). Functional impact of syntaxin on gating of N-type and Q-type calcium channels. *Nature* 378, 623–626.
- BUHL, E. H., HALASY, K. & SOMOGYL, P. (1994). Diverse sources of hippocampal unitary inhibitory postsynaptic potentials and the number of synaptic release sites. *Nature* 368, 823–828.
- CHARLTON, M. P., SMITH, S. J. & ZUCKER, R. S. (1982). Role of presynaptic calcium ions and channels in synaptic facilitation and depression at the squid giant synapse. *Journal of Physiology* 323, 173–193.
- Cox, C. L., HUGUENARD, J. R. & PRINCE, D. A. (1997). Nucleus reticularis neurons mediate diverse inhibitory effects in thalamus. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the USA* 94, 8854–8859.
- DAVIES, C. H. & COLLINGRIDGE, G. L. (1993). The physiological regulation of synaptic inhibition by $GABA_B$ autoreceptors in rat hippocampus. *Journal of Physiology* **472**, 245–265.
- DAVIES, C. H., DAVIES, S. N. & COLLINGRIDGE, G. L. (1990) Pairedpulse depression of monosynaptic GABA-mediated inhibitory postsynaptic responses in rat hippocampus. *Journal of Physiology* 424, 513–531.
- DAVIES, C. H., STARKEY, S. J., POZZA, M. F. & COLLINGRIDGE, G. L. (1991). GABA_B autoreceptors regulate the induction of LTP. *Nature* **349**, 609–611.
- DEBANNE, D., GUÉRINEAU, N., GÄHWILER, B. H. & THOMPSON, S. M. (1996). Paired-pulse facilitation and depression at unitary synapses in rat hippocampus: quantal fluctuation affects subsequent release. *Journal of Physiology* **491**, 163–176.

- DEBANNE, D., GUÉRINEAU, N., GÄHWILER, B. H. & THOMPSON, S. M. (1997). Action-potential propagation gated by an axonal I_A-like K⁺ conductance in hippocampus. *Nature* **389**, 286–289.
- DEISZ, R. A. & PRINCE, D. A. (1989). Frequency-dependent depression of inhibition in guinea-pig neocortex in vitro by GABA_B receptor feed-back on GABA release. Journal of Physiology **412**, 513–541.
- DEL CASTILLO, J. & KATZ, B. (1954). Statistical factors involved in neuromuscular facilitation and depression. *Journal of Physiology* 124, 574–585.
- DOBRUNZ, L. E. & STEVENS, C. F. (1997). Heterogeneity of release probability, facilitation, and depletion at central synapses. *Neuron* 18, 995–1008.
- EDWARDS, F. A., KONNERTH, A. & SAKMANN, B. (1990). Quantal analysis of inhibitory synaptic transmission in the dentate gyrus of rat hippocampal slices: a patch-clamp study. *Journal of Physiology* **430**, 213–249.
- FLEIDERVISH, I. A. & GUTNICK, M. J. (1995). Paired-pulse facilitation of IPSCs in slices of immature and mature mouse somatosensory neocortex. *Journal of Neurophysiology* 73, 2591–2595.
- FRERKING, M., BORGES, S. & WILSON, M. (1995). Variation in GABA mini amplitude is the consequence of variation in transmitter concentration. *Neuron* 15, 885–895.
- HAMILL, O. P., MARTY, A., NEHER, E., SACKMANN, B. & SIGWORTH, F. J. (1981). Improved patch-clamp techniques for high-resolution current recording from cells and cell-free membrane patches. *Pflügers Archiv* 391, 85–100.
- HESSLER, N. A., SHIRKE, A. M. & MALINOW, R. (1993). The probability of transmitter release at a mammalian central synapse. *Nature* 366, 569–572.
- HILL, M. W., REDDY, P. A., COVEY, D. F. & ROTHMAN, S. M. (1998). Contribution of subsaturating GABA concentration to IPSCs in cultured hippocampal neurons. *Journal of Neuroscience* 18, 5103–5111.
- JONES, M. V. & WESTBROOK, G. L. (1995). Desensitized states prolong GABA_A channel responses to brief agonist pulses. *Neuron* **15**, 181–191.
- KANG, J., JIANG, L., GOLDMAN, S. A. & NEDERGAARD, M. (1998). Astrocyte-mediated potentiation of inhibitory synaptic transmission. *Nature Neuroscience* 1, 683–692.
- KATZ, B. & MILEDI, R. (1968). The role of calcium in neuromuscular facilitation. *Journal of Physiology* 195, 481–492.
- KHAZIPOV, R., CONGAR, P. & BEN-ARI, Y. (1995). Hippocampal CA1 lacunosum-moleculare interneurons: modulation of monosynaptic GABAergic IPSCs by presynaptic GABA_B receptors. *Journal of Neurophysiology* 74, 2126–2137.
- LAMBERT, N. A. & WILSON, W. A. (1994). Temporally distinct mechanisms of use-dependent depression at inhibitory synapses in the rat hippocampus *in vitro*. *Journal of Neurophysiology* **72**, 121–130.
- LI, P., WILDING, T. J., KIM, S. J., CALEJESAN, A. A., HUETTNER, J. E. & ZHUO, M. (1999). Kainate-receptor-mediated sensory synaptic transmission in mammalian spinal cord. *Nature* **397**, 161–164.
- LIN, R. C. & SCHELLER, R. H. (1997). Structural organization of the synaptic exocytosis core complex. *Neuron* 19, 1087–1094.
- McCARREN, M. & ALGER, B. (1985). Use-dependent depression of IPSPs in rat hippocampal cells in vitro. Journal of Neurophysiology 53, 557–571.
- MAJOR, G., LARKMAN, A. U., JONAS, P., SAKMANN, B. & JACK, J. J. (1994). Detailed passive cable models of whole-cell recorded CA3 pyramidal neurons in rat hippocampal slices. *Journal of Neuroscience* 14, 4613–4638.

- MILES, R., TOTH, K., GULYAS, A. I., HAJOS, N. & FREUND, T. F. (1996). Differences between somatic and dendritic inhibition in the hippocampus. *Neuron* 16, 815–823.
- MILES, R. & WONG, R. K. (1984). Unitary inhibitory synaptic potentials in the guinea-pig hippocampus in vitro. Journal of Physiology 356, 97–113.
- MODY, I., DE KONINCK, Y., OTIS, T. S. & SOLTESZ, I. (1994). Bridging the cleft at GABA synapses in the brain. *Trends in Neurosciences* 17, 517–525.
- MOTT, D. D., XIE, C. W., WILSON, W. A., SWARTZWELDER, H. S. & LEWIS, D. V. (1993). GABA_B autoreceptors mediate activitydependent disinhibition and enhance signal transmission in the dentate gyrus. *Journal of Neurophysiology* **69**, 674–691.
- NUSSER, Z., CULL-CANDY, S. & FARRANT, M. (1997). Differences in synaptic GABA_A receptor number underlie variation in GABA mini amplitude. *Neuron* **19**, 697–709.
- OUARDOUZ, M. & LACAILLE, J. (1997). Properties of unitary IPSCs in hippocampal pyramidal cells originating from different types of interneurons in young rats. *Journal of Neurophysiology* 77, 1939–1949.
- PEARCE, R. A. (1993). Physiological evidence for two distinct GABA_A responses in rat hippocampus. *Neuron* 10, 189–200.
- PEARCE, R. A., GRUNDER, S. D. & FAUCHER, L. D. (1995). Different mechanisms for use-dependent depression of two GABA_A-mediated IPSCs in rat hippocampus. *Journal of Physiology* 484, 425–435.
- PONCER, J. C., MCKINNEY, R. A., GÄHWILER, B. H. & THOMPSON, S. M. (1997). Either N- or P-type calcium channels mediate GABA release at distinct hippocampal inhibitory synapses. *Neuron* 18, 463–472.
- ROSENMUND, C., CLEMENTS, J. D. & WESTBROOK, G. L. (1993). Nonuniform probability of glutamate release at a hippocampal synapse. *Science* 262, 754–757.
- SORRA, K. E. & HARRIS, K. M. (1993). Occurrence and threedimensional structure of multiple synapses between individual radiatum axons and their target pyramidal cells in hippocampal area CA1. Journal of Neuroscience 13, 3736–3748.
- SPRUSTON, N., JAFFE, D. B., WILLIAMS, S. H. & JOHNSTON, D. (1993). Voltage- and space-clamp errors associated with the measurement of electrotonically remote synaptic events. *Journal of Neurophysiology* **70**, 781–802.
- STEVENS, C. F. & WANG, Y. (1995). Facilitation and depression at single central synapses. *Neuron* 14, 795–802.
- SWANDULLA, D., HANS, M., ZIPSER, K. & AUGUSTINE, G. J. (1991). Role of residual calcium in synaptic depression and posttetanic potentiation: fast and slow calcium signaling in nerve terminals. *Neuron* 7, 915–926.
- THOMPSON, S. M. & GÄHWILER, B.H. (1989*a*). Activity-dependent disinhibition. I. Repetitive stimulation reduces IPSP driving force and conductance in hippocampus *in vitro*. *Journal of Neurophysiology* **61**, 501–511.
- THOMPSON, S. M. & GÄHWILER, B. H. (1989b). Activity-dependent disinhibition. II. Effects of extracellular potassium, furosemide, and membrane potential on $E_{\rm el}$ in hippocampal CA3 neurons. Journal of Neurophysiology **61**, 512–523.
- VINCENT, P. & MARTY, A. (1996). Fluctuations of inhibitory postsynaptic currents in Purkinje cells from rat cerebellar slices. *Journal of Physiology* **494**, 183–199.
- WILCOX, K. S. & DICHTER, M. A. (1994). Paired pulse depression in cultured hippocampal neurons is due to a presynaptic mechanism independent of GABA_B autoreceptor activation. *Journal of Neuroscience* 14, 1775–1788.

- XIANG, Z., HUGUENARD, J. R. & PRINCE, D. A. (1998). Cholinergic switching within neocortical inhibitory networks. *Science* 281, 985–988.
- YOON, K. W. & ROTHMAN, S. M. (1991). The modulation of rat hippocampal synaptic conductances by baclofen and gamma-aminobutyric acid. *Journal of Physiology* **442**, 377–390.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by NIDH/NIH IR29NS37349-01, RO130007, RO135011, and The Alexandrine and Alexander L. Sinsheimer Scholar Award. We thank Dr William N. Ross and Dr Zixiu Xiang for helpful comments on this manuscript.

Corresponding author

J. Kang: Department of Cell Biology and Anatomy, New York Medical College, Basic Science Building, Room 220, Valhalla, NY 10595, USA.

Email: jian_kang@nymc.edu