Presence of *Salmonella* spp. and *Campylobacter* spp. in shellfish

I. G. WILSON¹ and J. E. MOORE^{1,2}

¹Northern Ireland Public Health Laboratory, Bacteriology Department, Belfast City Hospital, Lisburn Road, Belfast BT9 7AD, UK ²Department of Microbiology and Immunobiology, The Queen's University of Belfast, Grosvenor Road, Belfast BT12 6BN, UK

(Accepted 14 November 1995)

SUMMARY

Bivalve molluscs, (cockles, mussels, scallops and oysters) were examined according to EC shellfish bed classification regulations for faecal coliforms, *Escherichia coli* and salmonella, and for coliforms and campylobacter which are not specified by these regulations. Salmonella serotypes were detected in 8% of 433 molluscs. Seven salmonella isolations (2%) were made from category A beds, nominally suitable for immediate consumption according to *E. coli* counts. A higher percentage of salmonella isolates (6%) was detected in shellfish which require relaying or depuration prior to eating. In another survey, thermophilic *Campylobacter* spp. were found in 42% of 380 shellfish. These findings show that shellfish bed classification on the basis of indicator organisms alone is not sufficient to assure the absence of bacterial, and no doubt viral, pathogens. Depuration and end product specifications which require the absence of salmonellae are an essential part of these regulations. Microbiologists may wish to consider whether tests for pathogens such as salmonella and campylobacter should be included when determining the suitability of shellfish for human consumption.

INTRODUCTION

It is well known that estuarine filter-feeders are prone to contamination by faecal pathogens from sewage polluting the waters in which they grow [1–3]. Viral agents such as small round virus (SRV), small round structured virus (SRSV), hepatitis A virus, poliovirus and rotavirus are responsible for more cases of illness than are bacterial pathogens [1, 4]. Salmonella have been shown to survive for over a month in aqueoussediment microcosms [5, 6] and to be detected in a high proportion of dried oysters which had not been depurated [7]. Depuration in clean water may remove bacterial contaminants [4], but is recognized as unreliable for the elimination of viral contamination [8]. In the absence of straightforward and reliable tests for viruses, the EC Directive on shellfish hygiene EC 91/492/EEC [9, 10] employs bacterial indicator organisms for the classification of shellfish harvesting beds and requires relaying or depuration or approved heat treatment for those not meeting the specification for immediate consumption (Table 1). Shellfish harvested from beds which are considered suitable must also meet end product criteria for visual inspection, salmonella, chemical, radionuclide and marine biotoxin levels. Molluscs harvested from category A beds which do not meet the end product criteria are not suitable for immediate human consumption.

United States standards likewise rely heavily on bed classification using faecal coliforms/*E. coli* indicators

148 I. G. Wilson and J. E. Moore

Table 1. Shellfish classification categories and their criteria (EC 91/492/EEC)

Category A	< 230 <i>E. coli</i> /100 g	May go for direct consumption if end product standard met.
Category B	< 4600 <i>E. coli</i> /100 g in 90% of samples	Must be depurated, heat treated or relaid to meet category A.
Category C	< 60000 faecal coliforms/100 g	Must be relaid for a long period (at least 2 months) to meet category A or B, or heat treated.
Prohibited	> 60000 faecal coliforms/100 g	Harvesting prohibited.

[11] in addition to temperature control, HACCP and education. It is acknowledged in this document that faecal coliforms/E. *coli* do not correlate with viral contamination or other bacterial pathogens.

Risk assessment for viral contamination has been performed [12] but considerable uncertainty remains. Even depurated oysters from class A beds have been responsible for viral outbreaks [13]. Investigation of viral gastroenteritis outbreaks associated with oysters has shown that monitoring waters for faecal coliforms is insufficient to indicate the presence of viruses [14, 15]. These comments have been validated by the finding [16] that rotavirus and hepatitis A virus were present in around half a category A (Table 1) mussels sampled, and that the risk of infection could be dramatically reduced by cleansing in ozonated marine water and by steaming. Mussels and cockles are generally steamed before consumption in any case. Oysters are eaten raw, but sometimes are lightly cooked when incorporated in value-added products.

The clinical and economic consequences of eating contaminated shellfish may be serious, and inadequate microbiological quality assurance of end product shellfish has led to charges of manslaughter [17], albeit unsuccessful [18].

The aim of the present study was to investigate whether shellfish bed classification and current end product specifications are adequate to protect individuals eating shellfish from bacterial pathogens.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Marine bivalve molluscs (cockles, Cardium edule; mussels, Mytilus edulis; scallops, Pecten maximus;

and oysters, *Crassostrea gigas*) were collected from authorized harvesting beds by hand fishing, dredging and diving between January and December 1994, and examined within 12 h of collection.

Shucked meat was examined for vibrio, salmonella, *Clostridium perfringens*, coliforms, faecal coliforms, and *E. coli*, generally by statutory methods [2, 10].

Examination for *Cl. perfringens* took place between March and December 1994.

Campylobacter spp. were sought by enrichment culture [19] between October 1993 and August 1994, and presumptive colonies tested essentially as described by Abeyta and colleagues [3] with the exception that Exeter medium was used instead of Campylobacter Blood Free Selective Charcoal Agar Base. Latex agglutination was not used. Presumptive colonies from Exeter agar plates were examined by light microscopy and the hanging drop technique. Typical isolates exhibiting a curved (gull) shape and rapid, darting, corkscrew-like motility were tested biochemically for genus confirmation and species identification as described by Abeyta and colleagues [3].

RESULTS

Between January and December 1994, 433 shellfish samples were examined. Of these, 36 (8%) contained salmonellas of which 7 (2%) were in shellfish from beds classified as Category A (Table 2). Most isolations were made in the cooler months between October and January (Table 2). Also, 131 shellfish (30%) contained *Clostridium* spp., mainly *Cl. perfringens*. In all cases, the numbers of clostridia present were low ($\leq 10^3$ cfu/g). *Vibrio* spp. were not isolated from any samples.

Examination for *Campylobacter* spp. was carried out on both shellfish which had been recently harvested directly from the seabed and on those which had been depurated. Of 380 shellfish tested, 331 were cockles, mussels or scallops examined shortly after harvesting and 47% contained *Campylobacter* spp. The remaining 49 samples were oysters which had been depurated and were ready to eat. Three (6%) of the depurated oysters contained *Campylobacter* spp. These three isolates were *C. lari* biotype 0075, UPTC biotype 2030 and an atypical *Campylobacter* sp. biotype 0531. None of these isolates was phagetypeable. Overall, 159 (42%) of 380 shellfish were positive. The seasonality of campylobacter isolations

	Indicator organisms cfu/g					
Month	Cl. perfringens*	Coliforms	Faecal coliforms	E. coli	Salmonella	Category
Jan.	nt	$2 \cdot 2 \times 10^3$	110	110	S. stanley	A†
Jan.	nt	4.3×10^3	320	320	S. agona	В
Jan.	nt	160	160	70	S. derby	A†
Jan.	nt	9.1×10^{4}	3.5×10^4	3.5×10^4	S. newport	С
Jan.	nt	1.6×10^{5}	5.4×10^4	3.5×10^4	S. newport	С
Jan.	nt	$9.1 imes 10^4$	9.1×10^{4}	9.1×10^{4}	S. newport	С
Jan.	nt	$9.1 imes 10^4$	3.5×10^4	3.5×10^4	S. newport	С
Jan.	nt	3.5×10^4	3.5×10^{3}	3.5×10^3	S. san-diego	В
Jan.	nt	$2 \cdot 2 \times 10^4$	5.4×10^{3}	5.4×10^{3}	S. heidelberg	С
Jan.	nt	5.4×10^4	1.1×10^4	3.5×10^{3}	S. typhimurium	В
Jan.	nt	2.4×10^{4}	3.5×10^{3}	2.4×10^{3}	S. kimuenza	В
Jan.	nt	3.5×10^4	3.5×10^{3}	3.5×10^3	S. kimuenza	В
Jan.	nt	5.4×10^{4}	3.5×10^{3}	2.4×10^{3}	S. wien	В
Jan.	nt	1.6×10^{4}	750	750	S. ohio	В
Jan.	nt	5.4×10^{4}	230	230	S. ohio	В
Feb.	nt	5.4×10^{4}	2.2×10^{3}	$2 \cdot 2 \times 10^3$	S. bredenev	В
Feb.	nt	$> 1.8 \times 10^{5}$	4.3×10^{3}	4.3×10^{3}	S. newport	В
Mar.	< 100	3.5×10^{3}	320	250	S. agona	В
Apr.	100	2.4×10^{3}	2.4×10^{3}	2.4×10^{3}	S. newport	В
Apr.	400	5.4×10^{3}	5.4×10^{3}	5.4×10^{3}	S. heidelberg	С
May	< 100	1.1×10^{3}	90	50	S. virchow	A†
May	< 100	1.1×10^{3}	90	50	S. virchow	At
Aug.	100	1.1×10^{4}	1.7×10^{3}	1.1×10^{3}	S. typhimurium	В
Aug.	400	9.1×10^{3}	1.4×10^{3}	1.1×10^{3}	S. tvphimurium	В
Sept.	< 100	2.4×10^{3}	50	50	S. typhimurium PT104	†
Sept.	< 100	2.4×10^{3}	50	50	S. typhimurium PT104	At
Oct.	< 100	310	50	50	S. typhimurium	A†
Oct.	700	5.4×10^{4}	2.4×10^{4}	2.4×10^{4}	S. typhimurium	С
Oct.	< 100	9.1×10^{3}	2.2×10^{3}	2.2×10^{3}	S. enteritidis	В
Oct.	200	9.1×10^{3}	5.4×10^{3}	5.4×10^{3}	S. bredenev	Ċ
Oct.	100	2.4×10^{3}	500	500	S. istanbul	B
Dec.	1000	$> 1.8 \times 10^{5}$	1.6×10^{5}	1.6×10^{5}	S. newport	D
Dec.	< 100	1.1×10^{3}	750	750	S. newport	B
Dec.	< 100	2.4×10^{3}	1.1×10^{3}	1.1×10^{3}	S. newport	B
Dec.	400	1.7×10^{4}	1.6×10^{4}	1.6×10^{4}	S. newport	Ē
Dec.	400	5.4×10^{3}	3.5×10^{3}	3.5×10^{3}	S. newport	B
	100	57710	55710	55710	5. 10 1001	ע

Table 2. Indicator organisms, shellfish bed category and presence of salmonella

* nt, not tested; † nominally suitable for immediate consumption.

Table 3. Seasonal variation in campylobacterisolations from shellfish

Sampling period	Number tested	Number positive	% positive
11 Oct. 1993–31 Jan. 1994	103	83	81
1 Feb. 1994–31 Apr. 1994	115	67	58
1 May 1994–31 Aug. 1994	162	9	6
Total	380	159	42

was marked (Table 3) with few recovered between May and August, and most in the cooler winter months (November-March).

The percentages of *Campylobacter* spp. among these isolates were: *C. jejuni*, 2%; *C. coli*, 8%; *C. lari*, 24%; *Campylobacter* spp., 9%; urease-positive thermophilic campylobacters (UPTC) 57% (20).

Table 4 shows the relationship between the indicator organisms used for shellfish bed classification and the detection of campylobacters. Campylobacters were isolated from 14% of shellfish where faecal

Indicator organism	Counts (cfu/g)	Number* containing campylobacter (%)
Faecal coliforms	0 1–1000 > 1000	12 (14) 66 (75) 10 (11)
E. coli	0 1-1000 > 1000	18 (21) 61 (69) 9 (10)

Table 4. Relationship between indicator organismsand campylobacter isolation from shellfish

* n = 88.

coliforms were not detected, and in 21% of those where no *E. coli* were detected.

DISCUSSION

It is noteworthy that salmonella were found in shellfish less often in the warmer summer months when the incidence of human salmonellosis is highest. In waters off Morocco, from beds which broadly corresponded to category B/C, no salmonella were found in mussels despite their much greater ability to concentrate bacteria from seawater than other shellfish [21]. This absence in warm waters and marked seasonality in temperature seawater suggests that like campylobacter [22, 23], salmonella may survive better at low temperatures. It is known that *Campylobacter* spp. die off after a few days in seawater, but survive in freshwater and shellfish meats [22].

Shellfish serve as efficient filtering devices, sampling and concentrating their surrounding aqueous environment [21]. If lower than expected numbers are isolated it suggests that salmonellae may be dying or entering a non-recoverable state [6]. Faecal contamination of marine waters with salmonella could be expected to be greater during the months of highest infection (July-September). However, the isolation of salmonella from human cases in Northern Ireland during the last quarter of 1994 was the highest ever recorded [24]. Of 276 reported salmonella isolations, 108 were made during October-December. The shellfish isolations may therefore indicate both the increased presence of salmonellae in sewage and increased survival in cooler weather. Of these 276 isolations, 129 were S. enteritidis (101 of these PT4), 58 S. typhimurium, 31 S. bredeney, and 11 S. virchow. Most of the S. bredeney isolates were associated with a community outbreak in the south of the Province; the single isolate of *S. bredeney* from shellfish was from the northern coast and most likely unconnected. The serotypes isolated from shellfish (Table 2) were not representative of those found in human infections.

Likewise, campylobacter isolation was lowest during the summer months. Human campylobacteriosis peaks in May and shows a smaller secondary park in the early autumn. It might be expected that human sewage, wild birds and farm run-off would lead to higher numbers of these organisms in the marine environment during the summer. In fact the opposite was found. Less than 6% of shellfish examined between May and August (n = 162) contained campylobacters. It is likely that this reflects the short survival of campylobacters in the marine ecosystem rather than their numbers entering it. The sharp rise in the number of cases in May must give rise to much larger numbers of these organisms entering the sewage system, although these may be greatly reduced by effective sewage treatment [25]. Higher temperatures and the photo-toxic effects of UV light in shallow tidal waters appear to prejudice their survival [23, 26, 27].

Published studies on Campylobacter spp. in sewage and river water, which may provide input into shellfish-growing beds, often do not agree. It was found [28] that most campylobacters in sewage effluent originated from animal slaughter and processing plants. A seasonal peak was noted in May and June when campylobacter counts rose from 10³ cfu/100 ml to 10⁵ cfu/100 ml. This was judged to be due mainly to zoonotic infections which also peak during this period. An opposite seasonal trend was noted in a study of river water [26]. In this study the highest counts of campylobacters were found in late autumn and winter. Raised counts were found downstream of sewage treatment plants with the highest numbers being 10² cfu/100 ml. An Italian study of sewage [29] found the greatest frequency of isolation and highest counts during May, June and July, coinciding with the peaks of human and animal infections. C. jejuni predominated in incoming sewage, but C. coli was more prevalent in activated sludge effluent due to its greater oxygen tolerance. These workers attributed the differences in seasonal isolations between studies to the closed, protected nature of the sewage system relative to surface and river waters. Seasonal variation and influences could not be ascertained in a study of a sewage system in Germany [30], but counts generally lay between 10^2 and 10^4 cfu/100 ml. Another study [31] found that sewage treatment plants reduced the campylobacter counts by 99.5%, and that C. coli was found more frequently than *C. jejuni*. However, the unreliability of enumerating *Campylobacter* spp. in sewage was highlighted [32] with minimum and maximum values lying at least two orders of magnitude apart. The effects of differential survival of *Campylobacter* spp., weather, sewage, agricultural effluent and rivers feeding into seawater shellfish beds are therefore complex and much work is required to be done in elucidating the relationships of these factors to the routes of infection.

Thermophilic Campylobacter spp. were detected in 42% of the shellfish. The greatest percentage of these (57%) were UPTC [33, 34], atypical types which do not appear to be closely associated with domestic or farm animals, or man. Their significance in foodborne human disease is probably minimal. These campylobacters may be native to the aquatic environment [20], but have been associated with clinical conditions such as diarrhoea [35] and urinary tract infection [36]. These atypical campylobacters have not been commonly reported elsewhere. This may be due to their misidentification as C. coli if the urease test is not included in phenotypic characterization tests [37]. The urease test should be included in the characterization of campylobacters from marine environments and faecal specimens.

C. lari represented almost a quarter of the campylobacters isolated and has been associated with the colonization of seagulls and the infection of humans via contaminated water [38]. As judged by the species present, most of the campylobacters found in shellfish probably originate from agricultural run-offs and wild birds. The low infectious dose of campylobacters [39], and their prevalence in shellfish, indicate that great care must be taken to avoid crosscontamination of ready-to-eat foods. Depuration has been shown by these results to be not fully effective in the elimination of potential bacterial pathogens and this indicates that some thermal processing of shellfish is generally advisable. Cockles and mussels are usually steamed or boiled before consumption, but oysters generally are not.

Vibrios, which are responsible for large numbers of cases of shellfish-associated illness [1] in warm climates, were not detected in the cooler waters around Northern Ireland.

Cl. perfringens counts broadly reflected the bed classification and E. coli counts. Category A beds often had counts of < 100 cfu/g while counts > 400 cfu/g were associated with category C or prohibited beds. Nevertheless, salmonellae were found in

category A shellfish which did not contain Cl. perfringens. All salmonella-containing shellfish from category A beds contained $< 100 \, \mathrm{cfu/g}$ Cl. perfringens. This shows that neither E. coli nor Cl. perfringens [40] is a suitable indicator of hygienic quality. It has been stated [40] that Cl. perfringens has a number of advantages over E. coli as an indicator of shellfish hygiene: it is present in greater numbers, isolated more frequently, more persistent, and in seawater indicates fresh input of spores. These workers proposed Cl. perfringens as an indicator based on sampling of 24 batches of shellfish from a limited geographical area (Strangford Lough). In the present study, sites within Strangford Lough were sampled but none was found to contain salmonella. The present work therefore cannot be compared directly with the earlier study. Nevertheless, it appears that outside the complex currents of this Lough, Cl. perfringens is not an adequate indicator to assure the safety of shellfish for immediate human consumption. Likewise, conflicting studies on the usefulness of faecal coliforms as indicators of campylobacter contamination of water have been reported [41]. In the present study, faecal coliforms and E. coli were absent in 14 and 21% respectively of samples which contained campylobacters (Table 4). Like Cl. perfringens, these are also inadequate indicators of safety from campylobacters. A period of depuration is necessary for shellfish harvested from beds of any category to ensure bacteriological safety [16]. In Northern Ireland, this is usually carried out on all shellfish, including those harvested from category A beds. However, one producer has recently begun to market category A shellfish without depuration. Bacteriological safety, which may be achieved largely by depuration, does not imply safety from pathogenic viruses which are more persistent because of their intracellular location in shellfish tissues. Where possible, thermal processing may be advisable to provide additional assurance against such contamination.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We thank the staff of the Food Hygiene Laboratory for expert technical assistance, Laboratory of Enteric Pathogens, CPHL for confirming salmonella identifications, Gerry McCurdy and the Department of the Environment for Northern Ireland (Environment Service) for commissioning the study, Environmental Health Departments for sample collection, D. R. A. Wareing for biotyping and T. S. Wilson for critical evaluation of the manuscript. J. E. Moore was supported financially by DHSS (NI).

REFERENCES

- 1. Rippey SR. Infectious diseases associated with shellfish consumption. Clin Microbiol Rev 1994; 7: 419-25.
- Roberts D, Hooper W, Greenwood M. Practical food microbiology. London: Public Health Laboratory Service, 51-5.
- Abeyta C, Deeter FG, Kaysner CA, Stott RF, Wekell MM. *Campylobacter jejuni* in a Washington State shellfish growing bed associated with illness. J Food Prot 1993; 56: 323-5.
- Sockett PN, West PA, Jacob M. Shellfish and public health. PHLS Microbiol Dig 1985; 2 (2): 29–35.
- Fish JT, Pettibone GW. Influence of freshwater sediment on the survival of *Escherichia coli* and *Salmonella* sp. as measured by three methods of enumeration. Lett Appl Microbiol 1995; 20: 277-81.
- Roszak DB, Grimes DJ, Colwell RR. Viable but nonrecoverable stage of *Salmonella enteritidis* in aquatic systems. Can J Microbiol 1984; 30: 334–8.
- 7. Arumugaswamy RK, Rasul G, Abdul Hamid, SN, Cheah CT. Prevalence of *Salmonella* in raw and cooked foods in Malaysia. Food Microbiol 1995; **12**: 3–8.
- Heller D, Gill ON, Raynham E, Kirkland T, Zadick PM, Stanwell Smith R. An outbreak of gastrointestinal illness associated with consumption of raw depurated oysters. BMJ 1986; 292: 1726–7.
- 9. 91/492/EEC. Council directive of 15 July 1991. Official Journal of the European Communities 1991; L268, 1-14.
- MAFF and PHLS Working Group. Bacteriological examination of shellfish. PHLS Microbiol Dig 1992; 9 (2): 76-82.
- National Advisory Committee on Microbiological Criteria for Foods. Microbiological criteria for raw molluscan shellfish. J Food Prot 1992; 55: 463-80.
- Rose J, Sobsey MD. Quantitative risk assessment for viral contamination of shellfish and coastal waters. J Food Prot 1993; 56: 1043-50.
- 13. Anonymous. Gastroenteritis associated with oysters. Commun Dis Rep Weekly 1994; 11 (4): 1.
- Chai T-J, Han T-J, Cockey RR. Microbiological quality of shellfish-growing waters in Chesapeake Bay. J Food Prot 1994; 57: 229–34.
- Editorial. Viral gastroenteritis associated with consumption of raw oysters – Florida, 1993. MMWR 1994; 43: 446–9.
- Bosch A, Abad FA, Gajardo R. Should shellfish be purified before public consumption? Lancet 1994; 344: 1024-5.
- Editorial. Kiwi mussels in court. Int Food Safety News 1994; 3: 5.
- 18. Editorial. Kiwi mussels trial. Int Food Safety News 1994; 3: 7.
- 19. Humphrey TJ, Mason M, Martin KW. The isolation of

Campylobacter jejuni from contaminated surfaces and its survival in diluents. Int J Food Microbiol 1995; **26**: 295–303.

- 20. Moore JE, Matsuda M, Wilson TS, Murphy PG, Wilson IG, Wareing DRA. Phenotypic and genotypic characterisation of urease-positive thermophilic campylobacters (UPTC) isolated in N. Ireland. In: Newell DG, Ketley J, Feldman RA, eds. 8th International Workshop on Campylobacters, Helicobacters and Related Organisms, abstracts from the meeting held at Winchester, United Kingdom. New Haw: Central Veterinary Laboratory, 1995: 74.
- Bouchriti N, El Marrakchi A, Goyal SM, Boutaib R. Bacterial loads in Moroccan mussels from harvest to sale. J Food Protect 1995; 58: 509-12.
- National Advisory Committee on Microbiological Criteria for Foods. Campylobacter jejuni/coli. Dairy, Food Environ Sanit 1995; 15, 133-53.
- Brennhovd O, Kapperud G, Langeland G. Survey of thermotolerant *Campylobacter* spp. and *Yersinia* spp. in three surface water sources in Norway. Int J Food Microbiol 1992; 15: 327-38.
- Mitchell E. Northern Ireland intestinal infectious disease surveillance data – summary for 1994. Commun Dis Monthly Rep, Northern Ireland Edn 1995; 4: 1.
- Stampi S, Varoli O, Zanetti F, De Luca G. Acrobacter cryaerophilus and thermophilic campylobacters in a sewage treatment plant in Italy: two secondary treatments compared. Epidemiol Infect 1993; 110: 633-9.
- Bolton FJ, Coates D, Hutchinson DN, Godfree AF. A study of thermophilic campylobacters in a river system. J Appl Bact 1987; 62: 167–76.
- 27. Moore JE, Wareing DRA, Wilson TS, Wilson IG, Murphy PG. Shellfish as a possible source of thermophilic *Campylobacter* spp. infections in man. In: Newell, DG, Ketley, J, Feldman RA, eds. 8th International Workshop on Campylobacters, Helicobacters and Related Organisms, abstracts from the meeting held at Winchester, United Kingdom. New Haw: Central Veterinary Laboratory, 1995: 74.
- Jones K, Betaieb M, Telford DR. Correlation between environmental monitoring of thermophilic campylobacters in sewage effluent and the incidence of *Campylobacter* infection in the community. J Appl Bact 1990; 69: 235–40.
- Stampi S, Varoli O, De Luca G, Zanetti F. Occurrence, removal and seasonal variation of thermophilic campylobacters in a sewage treatment plant in Italy. Zentralbl Hyg Umwelt med 192; 193: 199–210.
- Höller C. Quantitative und qualitative Untersuchungen an Campylobacter in der Kanalisation einer Großstadt. Zentralbl Bakt Hyg 1988; 185: 307-25.
- Höller C. Quantitative und qualitative Untersuchungen an Campylobacter im Klärwerk. Zentralbl Bakt Hyg 1988; 185: 326–39.
- 32. Höller C, Schomakers-Revaka U. A note: comparison of different homogenization procedures for detecting

Campylobacter spp. in sewage sludge. J Appl Bact 1994; 77: 591-6.

- 33. Bolton FJ, Holt AV, Hutchinson DS. Urease-positive thermophilic campylobacters. Lancet 1986; i: 1217–8.
- Owen RJ, Costas M, Sloss L, Bolton FJ. Numeric analysis of electrophoretic protein patterns of *Campylobacter laridis* and allied thermophilic campylobacters from the natural environment. J Appl Bact 1988; 65: 69-78.
- Mégraud F, Chevrier D, Desplaces N, Sedallian A, Guesdon JL. Urease-positive thermophilic campylobacter (*Campylobacter laridis*) isolated from an appendix and from human faeces. J Clin Microbiol 1988; 26: 1050-1.
- Bézian MC, Ribou G, Barberis-Giletti C, Mégraud F. Isolation of a urease positive thermophilic variant of *Campylobacter lari* from a patient with urinary tract infection. Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis 1990; 9: 895-7.

- Bolton FJ, Wareing DRA, Skirrow MB, Hutchinson DN. Identification and biotyping of campylobacters. In: Identification methods in applied and environmental microbiology, Technical Series no. 29. Board, R. G., Jones, D., Skinner, F. A., eds. Oxford: Blackwell, 1993; 151-61.
- 38. Allos BM, Blaser MJ. Campylobacter jejuni and the expanding spectrum of related infections. Clin Infect Dis 1995; **20**: 1092–101.
- 39. Black RE, Levine MM, Clements ML, Hughes TP, Blaser MJ. Experimental *Campylobacter jejuni* infection in humans. J Infect Dis 1988; **157**: 472–9.
- 40. Madden RH, Buller H, McDowell DW. Clostridium perfringens as an indicator of hygienic quality of depurated shellfish. J Food Protect 1986; **49**: 33-6.
- Alonso JL, Alonso MA. Presence of *Campylobacter* in marine waters of Valencia, Spain. Water Res 1993; 27: 1559–62.