Evolution and Genetic Population Structure of Prickly Lettuce (Lactuca serriola) and Its RGC2 Resistance Gene Cluster

Hanhui Kuang,^{*,†,1} Herman J. van Eck,^{*,2} Delphine Sicard,^{†,3} Richard Michelmore[†] and Eviatar Nevo^{*,4}

*Institute of Evolution, University of Haifa, Mount Carmel, Haifa 31905, Israel and [†]Department of Plant Science and The Genome Center, University of California, Davis, California 95616

> Manuscript received August 27, 2007 Accepted for publication December 23, 2007

ABSTRACT

Genetic structure and diversity of natural populations of prickly lettuce (*Lactuca serriola*) were studied using AFLP markers and then compared with the diversity of the *RGC2* disease resistance gene cluster. Screening of 696 accessions from 41 populations using 319 AFLP markers showed that eastern Turkish and Armenian populations were the most diverse populations and might be located in the origin and center of diversity of *L. serriola*. Screening 709 accessions using the microsatellite MSATE6 that is located in the coding region of most *RGC2* homologs detected 366 different haplotypes. Again, the eastern Turkish and Armenian populations had the highest diversities at the *RGC2* cluster. The diversities at the *RGC2* cluster in different populations were significantly correlated with their genomewide diversities. There was significant variation of copy number of *RGC2* homologs in different populations, ranging from 12 to 22 copies per genome. The nucleotide diversities of two conserved lineages (type II) of *RGC2* genes (*K* and *L*) were not correlated with diversities calculated using the MSATE6 or AFLP data. We hypothesize that the high genomewide diversity and diversity of the *RGC2* cluster in eastern Turkish and Armenian populations resulted from high abiotic and biotic stresses in the regions of origin of *L. serriola*.

M^{AXIMAL} use of wild genetic resources requires a detailed understanding of the genetic structure, diversity, and divergence of the wild progenitor species. Understanding the nature, organization, geographical structure, and differentiation of a wild species is critical for its biological conservation and is also an important aspect of evolutionary genetics (Nevo 1998). Little is known about these aspects of prickly lettuce (*Lactuca serriola*; 2x = 2n = 18), the progenitor of cultivated lettuce, *L. sativa* (LINDQVIST 1960; KESSELI *et al.* 1991; ZOHARY 1991; HILL *et al.* 1996).

The wild and cultivated lettuces are sexually compatible and lettuce geneticists and breeders have made extensive use of this wild species, mainly for disease resistance, including resistance against downy mildew caused by *Bremia lactucae* (CRUTE 1992; LEBEDA *et al.* 2002; BEHARAV *et al.* 2006). At least eight functional resistance genes (R-genes) with different specificities against downy mildew have been mapped to the RGC2 cluster, the major cluster of R-genes in lettuce (FARRARA et al. 1987; KESSELI et al. 1994). A functional R-gene, Dm3, conferring resistance against downy mildew, was cloned from the RGC2 cluster (MEYERS et al. 1998; SHEN et al. 2002). Several other Dm specificities as well as resistance to root aphids have since been shown to be conferred by members of the RGC2 family using RNAi (WROBLEWSKI et al. 2007). The RGC2 cluster varies considerably in copy number, ranging from ~ 10 to > 30copies in a haplotype (KUANG et al. 2004). The RGC2 cluster in natural populations of L. serriola is very diverse, as shown by the numerous haplotypes identified by a microsatellite marker located in intron 3 of many RGC2 homologs (SICARD et al. 1999).

Fragments from >300 RGC2 homologs from multiple lettuce cultivars and wild Lactuca species were sequenced in previous studies (KUANG *et al.* 2004, 2006). Sequence analysis indicated that *RGC2* homologs exhibit heterogeneous rates of evolution and could be classified into two types: type I and type II *R*-genes. Type I *R*-genes are extensive chimeras generated by frequent sequence exchanges among paralogs, while type II *R*-genes evolve independently and are highly conserved in different genotypes or closely related species (KUANG *et al.* 2004). The sequence exchanges between type I *R*-gene homologs generate a large number of distinct *R*-gene candidates in a plant population or species

Sequence data from this article have been deposited with the GenBank Data Libraries under accession nos. AY206086–AY206260.

¹*Present address*: Department of Plant and Microbial Biology, Plant and Gene Expression Center, University of California at Berkeley, 800 Buchanan St., Albany, CA 94710.

²*Present address*: Laboratory of Plant Breeding, Wageningen University, P.O. Box 386, 6700 AJ Wageningen, The Netherlands.

³Present address: Université Paris-Sud, UMR de Génétique Végétale du Moulon, INRA/UPS/CNRS/AgroParisTech, F-91190 Gif-sur-Yvette, France.

⁴Corresponding author: Institute of Evolution, University of Haifa, Mount Carmel, Haifa 31905, Israel. E-mail: nevo@research.haifa.ac.il

TABLE 1	
---------	--

Oligonucleotide primer sequences

Name	Sequence 5'-3'						
AdaptorE	CTCGTAGACTGCGTACC CTGACGCATGGTTAA-5'						
AdaptorM	GACGATGAGTCCTGAG TACTCAGGACTCAT-5'						
E + 0	CTCGTAGACTGCGTACCAATTC						
E + ACA	CTCGTAGACTGCGTACCAATTCACA						
E + ACT	CTCGTAGACTGCGTACCAATTCACT						
M + 0	GACGATGAGTCCTGAGTAA						
M + CAC	GATGAGTCCTGAGTAACAC						
M + CGA	GATGAGTCCTGAGTAACGA						
5E6	AATGAAAGTGATWGTGAAG						
3E6	TCWTCCCCAAGAAGAA						
K-for	CAAGTGCTGAATATATACAGGTG						
K-rev	AAGGCGAGCAAGTGTTACAGTT						
Ex4b	GCATTGTCAAGTGTGATTCCAT						
L-rev	TTGCCAATAGATTCTTCTTCC						

(KUANG *et al.* 2006). However, the frequent sequence exchanges between type I *R*-gene homologs make it difficult to study their evolutionary and population genetics.

Previous studies of evolutionary and population genetics of other R-genes were done mainly on single-copy Rgenes that had no sequence exchange with paralogs (CAICEDO et al. 1999; MAURICIO et al. 2003; CAICEDO and SCHAAL 2004; BAKKER et al. 2006; Rose et al. 2007). These single-copy R-genes have evolutionary patterns similar to those of type II R-genes. The single-copy R-gene, if present, is highly conserved and maintains an obvious allelic relationship in different genotypes. Some singlecopy R-genes in Arabidopsis were shown to be under balancing selection (STAHL et al. 1999; TIAN et al. 2002; MAURICIO et al. 2003). Balancing selection was even detected on R-genes with null alleles (STAHL et al. 1999; TIAN et al. 2002). Null alleles are common for single-copy R-genes and type II R-genes (STAHL et al. 1999; TIAN et al. 2002; KUANG et al. 2004; SHEN et al. 2006). Old null alleles were hypothesized to be maintained in a species due to a fitness cost of the corresponding functional alleles (TIAN et al. 2003). Although type II R-genes in R-gene clusters appear to have evolved similarly to single-copy R-genes, a comprehensive study of their population genetics is lacking.

The alleles of resistance gene *RPP13*, which is a singlecopy *R*-gene in Arabidopsis, show much higher nucleotide diversity ($\pi = 0.04$ for the whole gene and $\pi = 0.10$ for the leucine-rich repeat (LRR)-encoding region) than those for other single-copy *R*-genes (Rose *et al.* 2004; BAKKER *et al.* 2006). The *RPP13* homologs are extensive chimeras, similar to type I *R*-genes. High nucleotide diversity and chimeric structure were also discovered for the alleles of the *L* resistance gene in flax (ELLIS *et al.* 1999). It remains unknown how the high diversity of these single-copy *R*-genes was generated and maintained in natural populations. We assessed the genetic structure of natural populations of *L. serriola* using AFLPs as genetic markers. The population genetics of the *RGC2* resistance gene cluster was studied in detail using a microsatellite located in the coding region of most *RGC2* genes. Nucleotide diversity of two lineages of type II genes at the *RGC2* locus was also studied. The diversity of the *RGC2* cluster in different populations was compared with genomewide diversity. Linkage disequilibrium and evolution of the *RGC2* cluster were investigated. We found extensive AFLP polymorphism within and between populations climaxing in the populations from eastern Turkey and Armenia, suggesting that this region is the center of origin of the wild lettuce *L. serriola*.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Plant materials: Seeds from 993 individuals (accessions) of L. serriola populations were collected during the summers of 1993–1998 from 49 populations from 11 countries, with the majority included in previous studies (supplemental Table 1 at http://www.genetics.org/supplemental/; SICARD et al. 1999; KUANG et al. 2006). Each collection was made from a single individual and subsequently treated as an independent accession. The individuals collected from within a population were growing at least 1 m apart. Twenty-five accessions were collected from five different patches 500-3000 m apart in Wageningen, The Netherlands, and are considered one population (Wageningen) in this study. Five to 10 seedlings from each accession were pooled to extract DNA representing the progenitor's genotype. DNA was extracted following the method of SICARD et al. (1999). Each individual is considered homozygous because L. serriola is predominantly self-pollinated (PRINCE and CARTER 1977).

AFLP analysis: AFLP was performed following the procedures described by Vos *et al.* (1995). Genomic DNA was digested with restriction enzymes *Eco*RI and *Msel*. Adaptors were ligated to the digested fragments, and the ligated fragments were preamplified using the primer combination E + 0 and M + 0 (Table 1). Selective amplifications were performed with two primer combinations: E + ACA/M + CACand E + ACT/M + CGA (Table 1). The primers derived from



*Eco*RI adaptors were labeled with 1 μ Ci [γ^{33} P]ATP. PCR products were resolved electrophoretically in a 5% polyacrylamide gel and the AFLP images were scored manually. Fragments that were amplified by the same primer combination and had identical mobility are considered to be the same allele at a locus; accessions with no amplification at the locus are considered to have the alternate allele (ROUPPE VAN DER VOORT et al. 1997).

Microsatellite MSATE6: The compound microsatellite MSATE6 comprises three trinucleotide repeat motifs, (ACA)_x, $(TCA)_{y}$, and $(TCT)_{z}$, and is located in exon 5 of most RGC2 genes (Figure 1). Primers 5E6 and 3E6 were designed to conserved sites flanking the trinucleotide repeats and were expected to amplify PCR products from the majority (>80%) of known RGC2 genes (Table 1). PCR was performed in a 20-µl reaction volume containing 2 mм MgCl₂, 0.25 mм dNTPs, 50 mм KCl, 10 mм Tris, 1 unit Taq, 50 ng genomic DNA, 0.5 µм of primer 3E6, and 0.05 µM primer 5E6 that was labeled with 1 μ Ci [γ^{33} P]ATP. PCR products were resolved electrophoretically in a 5% polyacrylamide gel.

Due to the three trinucleotide repeats, various insertion/ deletions between the two primers, and amplification from multiple paralogs, the MSATE6 profile of each accession displayed complex informative patterns containing multiple fragments (Figure 2). Most amplified MSATE6 fragments were 122-221 bp in length. Each MSATE6 fragment may represent alleles of the same gene or belong to different paralogs. Each fragment with a different size in the sequencing gel is called an "array" in this study and named "E6-" followed by its length, for example, array E6-122. A few large arrays (>500 bp), which were amplified from the RGC2 genes with unequal crossover in exon 5, were also observed for most genotypes (Figure 1; KUANG et al. 2004). These large arrays were excluded from further analysis since the genes from which they were amplified also amplified arrays of \sim 130 bp in length.

AFLP and microsatellite marker data analysis: The AFLP marker data were used to estimate Nei's diversity index and genetic distance using the software Popgene (NEI 1973, 1978; YEH and BOYLE 1997). Genetic diversity in the total population $(H_{\rm T})$ was decomposed into genetic diversity within and between populations ($H_{\rm C}$ and $D_{\rm CT}$). The relative degree of gene differentiation among populations was measured as G_{ST} = $D_{\rm CT}/H_{\rm T}$ (NeI 1973). Nei's genetic distance was calculated using Popgene (NEI 1978; YEH and BOYLE 1997). Correlation between genetic distance and geographic distance was tested using the Mantel test (SIMIER et al. 1998).

zontal lines after K-for and Ex4b indicate the region sequenced and analyzed in this study. (b) Positions of genes TDK and TDL in the RGC2 cluster in cv. Diana are indicated by arrows. Beads in a string indicated the determined physical positions. Genes TDF and TDL were located outside the string. "TD" was omitted for all gene names. Modified from KUANG et al. (2004).

FIGURE 1.-Positions of PCR primers and

MSATE6 in RGC2 K and RGC2 L. (a) The hori-

Sequencing alleles of TDK and TDL: RGC2 homologs TDK and TDL from the lettuce (L. sativa) cultivar Diana belong to two different lineages of type II RGC2 genes in Lactuca (KUANG et al. 2004). TDL is located at one edge of the cluster and is separated by at least four RGC2 genes from TDK (Figure 1; KUANG et al. 2004). It is estimated that TDL and TDK in cultivar Diana are at least 200 kb apart. To study the evolution and population genetics of these two genes, fragments from the LRR-encoding region were amplified from 124 and 83 accessions of L. serriola using primer combinations K-for/K-rev and Ex4b/L-rev, respectively (Table 1; Figure 1). The PCR products were treated with ExoSAP-IT (USB, Cleveland) and then sequenced directly. The alleles of these two genes are called RGC2 K alleles and RGC2 L alleles, respectively, in this article.

Sequences of RGC2 K and L alleles, respectively, were aligned using ClustalX (THOMPSON et al. 1997). Nucleotide diversity (π) , recombination (HUDSON and KAPLAN 1985), Tajima's D test (TAJIMA 1989), Fu and Li's D test (Fu and LI 1993), Fu's F test (Fu 1997), and linkage disequilibrium were calculated using DnaSP (Rozas and Rozas 1999).

RESULTS

Genomewide diversity shown by AFLP markers: Screening of 696 L. serriola accessions from 41 populations using AFLP primer combinations E + ACA/M + CAC and E + ACT/M + CGA identified 168 and 151 loci (markers), respectively. Of the 319 AFLP markers, 294 (92.2%) were polymorphic. Using the AFLP data, the genetic diversity of the whole species population was estimated to be $H_{\rm T} = 0.184$. The majority of the genetic diversity was attributed to the diversity between populations ($D_{CD} = 0.117$), with a genetic differentiation of $G_{\rm ST} = 0.636.$

The most diverse populations shown by AFLP markers were from Armenia and eastern Turkey, while European and Israeli populations were the least polymorphic (Table 2). Six of the 10 Turkish populations (Istanbul, Bolu, Kayseri, Kayseri-Sivas, Sivas, and Ankara), three of the five Armenian populations (Chor, Abovian, and

H. Kuang et al.

TABLE 2

Genetic diversity of natural populations of wild lettuce

		AFLP		MSAT E6					RGC2 K		RGC2 L	
Population	Coll. year	N	H _e	N	No. Hap.	Hap div.	Array no.	He	N	π	N	π
					Turk	ey						
Goreme	98	19	0.081	18	11	2.08	13.1	0.103				
Istanbul	98	20	0.109	7	6	1.75	11.7	0.223				
Bolu	98	20	0.103	16	14	2.60	12.8	0.255	7	0.008	6	0.010
Ankara	98	10	0.113	8	7	1.91	12.4	0.284				
Yakakent	98	19	0.052	18	5	1.33	11.7	0.131				
Bergama	98	21	0.048	16	7	1.45	12.2	0.252				
Kayseri	98	20	0.120	19	14	2.48	14.4	0.263	9	0.011	6	0.003
Kayseri–Sivas	98	18	0.102	20	9	1.86	11.4	0.113				
Sivas	98	20	0.101	8	3	0.87	11.1	0.144				
Afyon	98	20	0.070	19	7	1.38	11.4	0.132				
					Armer	nia						
Chor	98	20	0.104	20	16	2.74	12.9	0.162	11	0.008	15	0.001
Abovian	98	20	0.132	17	13	2.43	14.5	0.219				
Areni	98	19	0.080	17	15	2.96	16.2	0.199				
Sevan	98	16	0.098	12	3	0.76	11.5	0.081				
Artanish	98	18	0.125	6	6	1.75	12.8	0.172				
					Israe	•]						
N. Nazareth	94	11	0.052	19	6	1.49	11.4	0.154				
S. Nazareth	94	31	0.034									
Haifa Freud	94	43	0.045	50	8	1.55	11.9	0.158	16	0.004	10	0.000
Shefavim	94	12	0.000	11	1	0.00	10.0	0	10	0.001	10	0.000
Be'er Sheva	94	15	0.056	36	99	3.04	13.5	0 1 2 9	99	0.000		
Tel Hanan	95	99	0.043	16	13	2 31	13.8	0 1 1 1		0.000		
Netanya	95	6	0.089	13	8	1 97	14.0	0.099				
Haifa Oren Road	95	22	0.035	22	15	2.60	14.9	0.033 0.174				
					Furo	ne						
Salamanca	94	9	0.041	8	2	0.74	10.9	0.006				
Alconicos	94 04	9	0.041	6	5	1 70	10.9	0.000				
Alcallices Demon and	94 07	19	0.028	6	1	1.79	12.7	0.175				
Airy log Doing	97	14	0.041	e o	1	1 20	12.2	0 1 4 0				
Aix les Dallis	97	17	0.049	0	4	1.39	11.5	0.149				
Mont blanc	97	14	0.027	10	E	1 56	19.0	0.107				
Nancy 1	97	0	0.004	10	5	1.50	15.6	0.197				
Nancy 2	97	9	0.072									
r avia	97	10	0.095	17	4	1.96	12.0	0.009				
Luzern	97	19	0.019	17	4	1.20	15.9	0.082				
Landau	96	10	0.000	10	Z C	0.23	12.9	0.005				
Koblenz	96	14	0.057	14	6	1.23	14.9	0.156				
Rostock	96	7	0.030	8	2	0.38	12.3	0.064				
Antwerp	97	8	0.003	13	1	0	13.0	0				
Wageningen	98	25	0.010									
	6 ²		0.110	10	Califor	nia	11.0	0.001	00	0.001	1 -	0.000
Yolo landfill	95	32	0.112	19	17	2.77	11.8	0.204	23	0.001	15	0.008
Putah Creek	95	13	0.087	25	16	2.62	12.8	0.223				
Road 29	95	15	0.117	55	24	2.56	11.1	0.169				
Road 32	95	15	0.091	30	13	1.79	14.8	0.079				
Salinas	95			26	14	2.32	14.7	0.217	13	0.011	14	0.006
Gilroy	95			55	37	3.43	15.0	0.258				
Russel	95			$\overline{7}$				0.098				

N, number of accessions studied, only populations with six or more accessions were included; $H_{\rm e}$, Nei's diversity index; $H_{\rm e}$ for MSATE6, calculated considering the RGC2 cluster as 34 loci; No. Hap, number of different MSATE6 haplotypes; Array no., average number of MSATE6 arrays; Hap Div, Shannon's index for haplotype diversity; π , nucleotide diversity.

Artanish), and two of the four Californian populations (Yolo landfill and Road 29) had a genetic diversity of $H_e > 0.1$. The most polymorphic population was Abovian (Armenia) with $H_e = 0.132$. By contrast, all European and Israeli populations had genetic diversity with $H_e < 0.1$. The highest diversity present in eastern Turkish and Armenian populations suggests that Turkey/Armenia or surrounding regions might be the center of diversity and origin of *L. serriola*.

The least polymorphic populations were Shefayim (Israel), Wageningen (The Netherlands), Landau (Germany), Antwerp (Belgium), all with $H_{\rm e} < 0.01$. The 24 accessions from the Shefavim population (Israel) were monomorphic, suggesting that this population was derived from a single genotype. The Wageningen population also had very low genetic diversity: 23 of the 25 accessions analyzed had the same AFLP profiles. These 23 accessions were located throughout five different patches collected 500-3000 m apart. Thus, the high frequency (23/25) of this AFLP-defined genotype suggests its prevalence in Wageningen rather than sampling errors. The other two accessions from the Wageningen population had different AFLP profiles, giving a total of three genotypes in the 25 accessions from Wageningen. Interestingly, the three genotypes from the Wageningen population were very diverse, with a total of 36 polymorphic AFLP markers among them. Such a genetic structure is consistent with a low outcrossing rate in L. serriola (PRINCE and CARTER 1977). The other two populations with low diversity were Antwerp and Landau, containing only 3 and 12 polymorphic AFLP markers among the 8 and 16 accessions studied, respectively.

MSATE6 polymorphism: *MSATE6 arrays derived from type II genes have higher frequencies than those from type I genes:* The lengths of MSATE6 arrays encoded by type I *RGC2* genes differ from those encoded by type II genes. In the seven Lactuca genotypes that were investigated extensively in a previous study (KUANG *et al.* 2004), all MSATE6 fragments >158 bp were encoded by type I *RGC2* genes (Figure 2). In contrast, all MSATE6 fragments <152 bp were encoded by type II *RGC2* genes.

We screened a total of 709 accessions from 45 natural populations of L. serriola using MSATE6, yielding 34 arrays ranging from 119 to 221 bp in length. The arrays form ladders composed of 3-bp increments except that an array of 212 bp was not observed in any of the 709 accessions screened. Arrays <152 bp, which were most likely encoded by type II genes, were found in higher frequencies than arrays >155 bp, which were most likely encoded by type I genes. The average of frequency for arrays <152 bp is 0.735, in contrast to only 0.219 for arrays >155 bp. Eight of the 10 arrays <152 bp had frequency >0.5, while only 1 (E6-191) of the 21 arrays >155 bp had frequency >0.5. This is consistent with the previous conclusion that each type I R-gene tends to have low frequencies, while each type II R-gene tends to have high frequencies in natural populations.

The number of arrays in MSATE6 profiles differs signifi*cantly among populations:* The number of MSATE6 arrays amplified from an accession varied from 8 to 22, with an average of 13.0 ± 2.4 . The majority (81%) of the 709 accessions screened had 10-15 MSATE6 arrays. However, 16 accessions had only 8 arrays, in contrast to 9 accessions with no less than 20 arrays. The average number of arrays is significantly different among populations [F = 7.89, d.f. = (42, 683)]. The average number of arrays for individuals in a population varied from 10.0 ± 0 (Shefayim, Israel) to 16.2 ± 2.99 (Areni, Armenia). Excluding the Shefavim population, which is monomorphic in both AFLP and MSATE6 markers, the Salamanca population (Spain) had the lowest average number of MSATE6 arrays (10.9 \pm 0.35). The variation of array number is attributed mainly to variations in the number of arrays >155 bp, *i.e.*, those likely encoded by type I RGC2 genes. The Areni population (Armenia) has an average of 7.2 arrays >155 bp, in comparison to only 3.0 in the Salamanca population.

The relationship between the number of MSATE6 arrays and the total number of *RGC2* genes in seven genotypes had been determined previously (KUANG *et al.* 2004). The best regression between the two variables was found to be $y = 4.64 \times 1.10^{x}$, where y is the total number of *RGC2* genes in a genome and x is the number of MSATE6 arrays in that genome. Using this formula, the average number of *RGC2* homologs in a genome of an *L. serriola* accession in the study reported here is estimated to be 16.3 ± 5.9 and the number of *RGC2* genes in a *L. serriola* population is estimated to vary from 12 to 22.

Genetic diversity calculated using MSATE6 data: To simplify the analysis, each MSATE6 array was considered to be a dominant marker with two alleles: the present allele and the absent allele. Since a total of 34 arrays were detected, the RGC2 cluster was considered a region with 34 tightly linked loci. Genetic diversity of the RGC2 cluster in different populations was calculated using the MSATE6 data. Nei's diversity index for all accessions included in this study was 0.278. Approximately half of the diversity was attributed to diversity between populations, with genetic differentiation of $G_{ST} = 0.491$. The diversity index of a population varied from 0 to 0.284 (Table 2). Again, eastern Turkish populations had high diversity at the RGC2 locus: the Ankara population had the largest genetic diversity (0.284), and the populations of Kayseri, Bergama, and Bolu were among the five populations with a diversity index >0.25. European populations were the least polymorphic, with an average diversity index of 0.088. The populations of Antwerp (Belgium), Mount Blanc (France), and the Pyrenees (France) all had monomorphic MSATE6 profiles.

There are a large number of RGC2 haplotypes in natural populations: The diversity of the RCG2 locus in a population was also estimated considering each distinct RGC2 cluster as a haplotype. RGC2 haplotypes were



FIGURE 2.—MSATE6 profiles of seven *Lactuca* genotypes. MSATE6 fragments in type I *RGC2* genes are 152 bp or larger, while MSATE6 fragments in type II genes are 158 bp or shorter. Numbers on the left show the sizes (bp) of fragments. Modified from Figure 2 of KUANG *et al.* (2004).

considered to be different as long as they varied in one array in the MSATE6 profile. We identified 366 different haplotypes in the 709 accessions analyzed, with an average of one haplotype for every two accessions. Of the 366 haplotypes, 274 haplotypes were observed only once (singletons) and the other 92 were present in >1accession. Accessions with identical MSATE6 profiles were mainly (96.4%) from the same population and only 13 MSATE6 haplotypes occurred in two or three populations, which were from California or Israel, respectively. The most common haplotype, present in Israeli populations, had a frequency of 3.7%. The four most common haplotypes were present in either Israeli populations or Californian populations, probably because a large number of accessions were sampled from these populations (Table 2). The number of different haplotypes in each population is listed in Table 2. The Gilroy population (California) had 37 different RGC2 haplotypes, while the populations of Shefayim (Israel), the Pyrenees (France), and Antwerp (Belgium) each had only 1 haplotype. Comparisons between the num-



FIGURE 3.—Two groups of accessions from population Bergama (Turkey) showing very distinctive MSAT E6 profiles. Numbers on the left show the sizes (bp) of fragments.

bers of haplotypes in different populations should be treated with caution because sample sizes varied considerably for these populations. To reduce the effects of sampling size, Shannon's diversity index was calculated for each population (Table 2). There was a significant correlation between the diversities when considering the *RGC2* cluster as a single haplotype and the diversities when considering the *RGC2* cluster as multiple loci (r=0.741, P < 0.01). However, the former was not as informative as the latter since different MSATE6 profiles may vary by as few as 1 to as many as 10 arrays (Figure 3). Therefore, the latter scenario (*RGC2* cluster as multiple loci) was considered to be more informative and, hereafter, the diversity of the *RGC2* cluster was calculated considering the *RGC2* cluster as multiple loci.

As expected, accessions with identical AFLP profiles always had the same MSATE6 profiles (Figure 4). Some accessions with different AFLP profiles had identical MSATE6 profiles as well; for example, accessions T96, T97, and T98 had different AFLP profiles but had identical MSATE6 profiles (Figure 4). Similarly, accessions T128 and T133 from population Bolu (Turkey) had different AFLP profiles but had the same MSATE6 profiles (data not shown). Sequencing K and L alleles in accessions T128 and T133 showed that they have identical K and L sequences (see below), suggesting that the RGC2 cluster in accessions T128 and T133 are identical. Potentially identical RGC2 clusters (i.e., identical MSATE6 profiles) occurred only in different genotypes from the same population or from geographically close populations, suggesting that the RGC2 clusters



FIGURE 4.—Different genotypes may have identical *RGC2* haplotype. Accession T96, T97, and T98 from population Afyon (Turkey) have different AFLP profiles but identical MSATE6 profiles.

were identical by descent and there was gene flow within and locally between different populations.

Evolution and population genetics of RGC2 K and L: Nucleotide diversity of RGC2 K: The RGC2 K alleles/ orthologs belong to one of the most distinct lineages of type II RGC2 genes and were investigated in a panel of 40 accessions from different populations in a previous study (KUANG et al. 2004). Here, we extended the study to examine its population structure. Screening 337 accessions using the K-specific primer combination K-for/K-rev showed that RGC2 K had a frequency of 77% in natural populations. To calculate its nucleotide diversity, fragments (1058 bp) of the RGC2 K were PCR amplified and sequenced from 88 accessions. The 88 RGC2 K sequences were combined with the 37 RGC2 K sequences cloned previously from L. serriola, yielding a total of 125 RGC2 K sequences. Twenty-six distinct nucleotide sequences were discovered. The most frequent K allele is present in 41 of the 124 accessions of nine populations; the second most frequent Kallele was present in 36 accessions of three Israeli populations; eight Kalleles were present in 2 to 7 accessions; and the other 16 alleles were singletons.

There are 62 single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) among the 125 *RGC2 K* fragments; twenty-two of them are singletons. The total nucleotide diversity for the 125 *K* alleles was $\pi = 0.010$. Nucleotide diversities were calculated for seven populations in which *RGC2 K*

fragments were sequenced from at least six accessions (Table 2). The nucleotide diversities in the seven populations varied from $\pi = 0.00$ (Be'er Sheva, Israel) to $\pi = 0.011$ (Kayseri, Turkey). All 22 *K* alleles sequenced from Be'er Sheva (Israel) were identical. The Yolo population (California) had the second lowest nucleotide diversity, with $\pi = 0.001$. A total of 23 *K* alleles were cloned from the Yolo population; 22 of them had identical sequences; the other fragment (Y2301) had 11 SNPs. Among the seven populations studied, the populations of Salinas (California) and Kayseri (Turkey) had the highest nucleotide diversity ($\pi = 0.011$).

Nucleotide diversity of the gene L: We also studied nucleotide diversity of a second lineage of type II RGC2 homologs, the alleles of RGC2 L. Screening 93 accessions using an L-specific primer combination showed that RGC2 L had a frequency of 83% in natural populations. Fragments (565-577 bp) of RGC2 L were PCR amplified and sequenced from 55 accessions, which were combined with the 28 RGC2 L sequences obtained previously (KUANG *et al.* 2004). Fourteen distinct RGC2 L nucleotide sequences were discovered and ten of them were singletons. The other four sequences were present in 3, 16, 17, and 37 accessions, respectively. There were a total of 22 polymorphic sites (including both SNPs and indels) among the 83 L alleles; seven of them were singletons.

The nucleotide diversities for all 83 RGC2 L fragments was $\pi = 0.010$, similar to that for the *RGC2 K*. Nucleotide diversities were calculated for six natural populations with the RGC2 L gene sequenced from six or more accessions. Nucleotide diversity of RGC2 L varied from $\pi = 0.000$ to 0.010 in the six populations (Table 2). The Bolu population (Turkey) had the highest nucleotide diversity for RGC2 L, with $\pi = 0.010$. The Haifa Freud Road population (Israel) had the lowest nucleotide diversity ($\pi = 0$), and all ten *RGC2L* sequences obtained were identical. Nucleotide diversity in the Chor population (Armenia) was near zero. Fifteen RGC2L sequences were obtained from the Chor population and the only polymorphism among them was a synonymous mutation in accession A6, changing one trinucleotide repeat (TCA) to (TCT) in MSATE6.

Purifying selection acting on RGC2 K and L: The 125 RGC2 K sequences had 62 SNPs and 23 polymorphic amino acid sites. Its nonsynonymous mutation rate is $K_a =$ 0.0067 and synonymous mutation rate is $K_s = 0.0227$, with a $K_a:K_s = 0.3$. Fu and Li's D, Fu's F, and Tajima's D tests all indicated that RGC2 K was under purifying selection, though the parameters are not statistically significant (data not shown). Purifying selection also occurred at the putative solvent exposed residues. The RGC2 K sequences have 48 codons (144 nt encoding solvent exposed residues that had previously been shown to be under significant diversifying selection in comparisons between RGC2 homologs (KUANG et al. 2004). Only eight SNPs were found in the 144 bp sequences of all 125 *RGC2 K* fragments and only two of them resulted in an amino acid change. The ratio of nonsynonymous to synonymous mutation rates at the codons encoding putative solvent exposed residues is $K_a:K_s = 0.067$. The $K_a:K_s$ ratio, Fu and Li's *D*, Fu's *F*, and Tajima's *D* tests all suggested that *RGC2 K* has been under purifying selection. Analysis of the 83 *RGC2 L* sequences provided similar results indicating that the *RGC2 L* gene has also been under purifying selection.

Linkage disequilibrium (LD) in the RGC2 cluster: To investigate linkage disequilibrium in the RGC2 cluster, LD between polymorphic sites within RGC2 K and L genes was measured. Accessions with identical AFLP and MSATE6 profiles were excluded from the LD analysis because they were believed to be the same genotype. A total of 106 nonredundant RGC2 K sequences were available for analysis. The 23 most polymorphic sites in RGC2 K sequences were used for LD analysis. Each nucleotide at these polymorphic sites had frequency >10% in the 106 RGC2 K sequences. Of the 253 possible pairwise combinations, 159 pairs showed significant LD (Bonferroni procedure). Similar results were found for the RGC2 L alleles. LD was investigated between the ten most polymorphic SNPs among 85 RGC2 L sequences. Forty-two of all 45 possible pairwise combinations in the RGC2 L sequences showed significant LD. Using the four-gamete test, twelve and three intragenic recombination events were discovered between RGC2 Kand RGC2 Lalleles, respectively (HUDSON and KAPLAN 1985). Therefore, recombination between alleles had not significantly decayed LD between SNPs within the 565 bp of RGC2 K or the 1058 bp of RGC2 L analyzed.

LD between *RGC2 K* and *RGC2 L* was also investigated. Both *RGC2 K* and *L* sequences were available from 66 accessions. Intergenic LD was investigated using the 23 most informative sites in the *RGC2 K* sequences and the 10 most informative sites in the *RGC2 L* sequences of the 66 accessions. None of the 230 possible pairs showed significant LD, suggesting that the *RGC2* cluster has been considerably shuffled through recombination. Frequent recombination within the *RGC2* locus is consistent with a large number of *RGC2* haplotypes observed in natural populations.

Comparison of genomewide diversity and RGC2 cluster diversity: Correlation between diversities calculated by different methods: Correlation between diversities calculated using different methods was analyzed. The Shefayim population (Israel) was derived from a single genotype and was excluded from these correlation studies. Significant correlation was detected between genomewide diversity shown by AFLP marker and diversity at the RGC2 cluster calculated from the MSATE6 data (r = 0.67, d.f. = 36, P < 0.001).

The nucleotide diversity of *RGC2 K* and *RGC2 L* was not significantly correlated with the *RGC2* cluster di-

versity (calculated by using MSATE6 data) or genomewide diversity (calculated using AFLP data) in different populations. Furthermore, the nucleotide diversity of the K alleles is not significantly correlated with that of the Lalleles. For example, the Chor population (Armenia) had high diversities at the RGC2 cluster and genomewide diversity, but its nucleotide diversity for the L alleles was very low (Table 2). The 20 accessions from the Chor population have 20 different AFLP profiles and 16 different MSATE6 profiles; the nucleotide diversity of the K alleles in Chor was moderately high ($\pi = 0.008$); however, nucleotide diversity of the L alleles in the Chor population was near zero (see above). By contrast, the Yolo population (California) had high nucleotide diversity of the L allele ($\pi = 0.008$) but low nucleotide diversity of the K alleles ($\pi = 0.001$). In summary, the nucleotide diversity of one or a few type II R-genes is not indicative of the diversity of the R-gene cluster in which it resides.

Population distance: Unbiased genetic distance (NEI 1978) among 41 populations was calculated using the AFLP markers. The largest genetic distance was observed between Tel Hanan (Israel) and Alcanices (Spain) (D = 0.270), and the smallest was between Tel Hanan and Haifa Freud Road (D = 0.009), two populations separated by about 15 km on the western and eastern slopes of Mount Carmel, Israel. The Israeli group was least related to other groups, even though it was geographically close to Turkey. The four Davis, California, populations, recent colonizers, were most related to the Turkish populations, and vice versa. Our data suggest that the California populations might be derived from the Turkish or surrounding populations.

A Mantel test was performed to investigate the correlation between genetic distance (calculated from AFLP data) and geographic distance among populations. The correlation was significant when all populations except the California populations were analyzed (P < 0.00001). The Mantel Test was also performed for populations in Europe, Israel, Turkey, and Armenia, independently, and the only significant correlation was found between Israeli populations (P < 0.05). This may suggest that local ecological stresses may prevail and represent the operating selective forces on the local population's genetic structure. Alternatively, complex colonization patterns as well as isolation by geographical barriers may break simple correlations between genetic and geographical distances.

Genetic distance between populations calculated using MSATE6 data varied from 0.0332 (between the Haifa Oren St and Be'er Sheva populations from Israel) to 0.4964 (between the Road 32 population from California and the Landau population from Germany). Populations with very low diversity (Antwerp, Afyon, Landau, Rostock, and Pyrenees) had very large genetic distances from other populations. The Californian populations were most closely related with the Turkish populations, consistent with the AFLP data. The genetic distances calculated using MSATE6 data had a medium correlation coefficient with those calculated using the AFLP data (r = 0.38).

DISCUSSION

The center of genetic diversity and origin of L. serriola: We assessed the level of genetic diversity in natural populations of L. serriola using AFLP markers. Populations from eastern Turkey and Armenia were more polymorphic than those from Europe and Israel. Our data suggested that eastern Turkey and Armenia (or surrounding regions) might be the center of diversity of L. serriola and possibly its center of origin. The Iran-Turkey region is the distribution center of L. serriola and several other closely related species such as L. aculeata, L. scarioloides, and L. georgica (ZOHARY 1991; LEBEDA et al. 2004). Further sampling of the genetic diversity of L. serriola from other eastern Mediterranean areas, particularly the Iran–Turkey region, would provide better definition of the geographical limits of its center of diversity and origin.

Multiple regression results showed that longitude was significantly correlated with genetic distance (data not shown). This correlation may reflect the origin and dispersion of this species. *L. serriola* might have spread from its center of origin (including eastern Turkey and Armenia) first to the Mediterranean basin and then to central and western Europe after the glaciers' retreat in the Upper-Pleistocene Holocene periods. Consequently, the peripheral populations in central and western Europe had only part of the diversity of the original populations. Furthermore, recent data showed that diversity of race-specific resistance in *L. serriola* populations against *B. lactucae* dramatically decreased from central to western Europe (the Czech Republic to The Netherlands and UK) (LEBEDA and PETRŽELOVÁ 2004b).

The center of origin of a species should have most of the alleles that are present in its peripheral populations, and the peripheral populations may have only part of the diversity present in the center of origin. Eastern Turkish populations had a large number of alleles of AFLP markers that were absent in other groups and the majority of alleles found in other populations. Similar numbers of accessions from Turkey/Armenia and Israel were included in this study; 110 alleles were discovered in Turkey but not in Israel, and only 32 alleles were present in Israel but not found in Turkey. A similar pattern was observed for the MSATE6 marker. All 34 arrays of MSATE6 were present in Turkish/Armenian populations, but only 27 different arrays were found in Israeli populations, and 31 in Armenian, European, or Californian populations.

Methodology of studies on diversity of *R***-gene cluster:** The paucity of studies on *R*-gene clusters is in part due to the formidable complexity of such studies. The diversity of an R-gene cluster includes variation in overall copy number, presence/absence of type II R-genes, SNPs in type II and type I R-genes, and the chimeric, nonorthologous structures of type I R-genes. Such variety makes the study on diversity of R-gene clusters difficult. If an R-gene cluster contains a few type II genes but no type I genes, its diversity in a population or species can be analyzed more readily. PCR primers specific to each lineage of type II gene can be designed so that individual allelic sequences can be amplified and sequenced directly from each accession. However, for a complex Rgene locus such as the RGC2 cluster in lettuce, it is impractical to sequence all type II genes in the cluster. Sampling a few lineages of type II genes also may not adequately address the problem. We showed in this study that there was no correlation between diversity in two lineages of type II genes. Therefore, diversity of one lineage of type II genes may not represent either the diversity of other lineages of type II genes or the diversity of the *R*-gene cluster as a whole.

The presence of chimeric type I *R*-genes in a cluster makes studies on its diversity further complicated. Type I *R*-genes, if present, can be a large component of the diversity of an *R*-gene cluster. However, it is nearly impossible to measure the diversity of type I *R*-genes in a population or a species. First, it is difficult to obtain the sequences of type I *R*-genes because unlike type II *R*-genes, type I *R*-genes can not be reliably PCR amplified using specific primers. Second, the frequent sequence exchanges between type I *R*-genes abolish allelic relationships between genes in different genotypes and violate the assumptions of most population genetics and evolution tests.

An alternative for measuring the diversity of an R-gene cluster is to use haplotype data. The R-gene cluster can be fingerprinted using locus-specific markers that resolve multiple family members. RFLP markers can be used if there are no close homologs elsewhere in the genome. In this study, we used a highly informative microsatellite, MSATE6, to investigate the diversity of the RGC2 cluster. Such an informative marker is unavailable for most Rgene families. However, the use of haplotypes to estimate population diversities has several limitations. First, different haplotypes contribute equally to the estimation of diversity regardless of how much they differ. Second, different populations may not share any common haplotypes due to the complexity of the *R*-gene cluster and presence of the fast-evolving type I R-genes. Consequently, the haplotype analysis may provide little information on population structure such as relative diversity in different populations, population distance, differentiation, etc.

Evolution of *R***-gene cluster:** Previous data indicated that there were numerous type I *R*-genes in natural populations and each genotype had a different set of them (KUANG *et al.* 2004). The data from this study were consistent with this hypothesis since a large number of distinct haplotypes of *RGC2* clusters was detected in

natural populations. Accessions with identical *RGC2* haplotypes usually had identical AFLP profiles as well, suggesting that they were derived from the same ancestral genotypes. Only rarely did different AFLP genotypes have the same *RGC2* haplotype; these may have been the consequence of recent outcrossing.

Outcrossing, which even occurs in selfing species such as Arabidopsis, wild barley (Hordeum spontaneum) or wild emmer (Triticum dicoccoides) will bring two R-gene haplotypes into one genome and provides the opportunity for the generation of novel haplotypes through recombination and the decay of LD. The lack of LD between RGC2 K and RGC2 L suggested both some level of outcrossing for L. serriola and recombination within the RGC2 cluster. Heterozygosity at an R-gene locus might lead to heterosis and be selected for in natural populations. Rare heterozygosity has previously been observed at the RGC2 locus in L. serriola (KUANG et al. 2004) and resistance gene locus (loci) against Phytophora infestans in Solanum demissum (BLACK and GALLEGLY 1957). It will be interesting to compare the relative frequency of heterozygosity at R-gene loci with that at non-R-gene loci in natural populations of selfing species.

Novel *R*-gene haplotypes can be also generated without outcrossing. First, unequal crossovers between paralogs within a gene cluster expand or contract an *R*gene cluster and generate chimeras. Second, gene conversions between paralogs, though not changing the copy number of *R*-genes in a cluster, generate novel chimeric sequences and therefore a new *R*-gene haplotypes (KUANG *et al.* 2004).

Microsatellite in RGC2 locus: The role of the compound MSATE6, which was used as a marker in this study, on function (i.e., resistance specificity) and evolution of RGC2 genes remains unclear. MSATE6 is located in the coding region of an extended LRR repeat (KUANG et al. 2004) and comprises three trinucleotide repeat motifs that maintain open reading frames. Some RGC2 homologs (such as the Kalleles) have no tri-nucleotide repeats in the MSATE6, while others may have as many as 30 repeats. It remains unknown if changes of repeat numbers in MSATE6 affect gene function. Substantial data indicate that microsatellites located in coding regions play important roles in generating genetic variation underlying adaptive evolution (reviewed by LI et al. 2004; KASHI and KING 2006). Interestingly, the type I RGC2 genes tend to have more repeats in MSATE6 and greater variation of repeat numbers than type II genes (Figure 2). Presumably, the repeat number in MSATE6 in type I genes, which are under diversifying selection, is subjected to stronger selective pressures than in type II genes.

Genomewide diversity and diversity at *R*-gene locus: Genomewide comparison between *R*-gene loci and non-*R*-gene loci in Arabidopsis indicated that most *R*-genes have exceptional polymorphism and often show frequency-dependent selection (BOREVITZ *et al.* 2007; CLARK *et al.* 2007). We showed that diversity at the *RGC2* locus in different populations is significantly correlated with genomewide AFLP diversity. Genomewide diversity of a wild species is determined by many factors, including its relative location to its origin center and its macrogeographic and microgeographic environmental stresses (Nevo 2001). Recombination frequencies and mutation rates tend to increase under abiotic stresses (MOLINIER *et al.* 2006) and, consequently, increase the genomewide diversity of a species in a natural population (reviewed by NEvo 2001). The effects of abiotic stress on the evolution of disease resistance genes remain unknown, but could certainly affect the levels of biotic stresses.

The diversity of the RGC2 cluster might have been influenced by biotic (pathogenic) stresses. The RGC2 cluster contains at least eight functional genes with different specificities against downy mildew caused by B. lactucae (FARRARA et al. 1987; KESSELI et al. 1994). B. lactucae is present at least in temperate regions with L. serriola (LEBEDA et al. 2001; LEBEDA and PETRŽELOVA 2004a; PETRZELOVA and LEBEDA 2004), and it was prevalent in the collection sites in eastern Turkey (data not shown). A diverse RGC2 cluster is expected in a L. serriola population if a diverse population of B. lactucae is also present; however, comprehensive surveys of pathogens infecting natural populations of L. serriola are lacking. Furthermore, R-gene diversity reflects host-pathogen coevolution over evolutionary time and pathogens collected at a single timepoint may have only limited relevance to long-term R-gene evolution. The effects of the pathogen on R-gene evolution are not just limited to selection for genes encoding the corresponding resistance, but also include the changes in frequency of events generating diversity in R-gene homologs (LUCHT et al. 2002; BOYKO et al. 2007). The high diversity of the RGC2 cluster and genomewide diversity (shown by AFLP analysis) in eastern Turkish and Armenian populations might be the result of high levels of abiotic and biotic stresses in the region of origin of L. serriola, where the RGC2 diversity and the genomewide AFLP diversity evolved together during the long-term evolution of L. serriola.

We thank Youchun Li, Avigdor Beiles, Alex Beharav, Alan Templeton, and Alex Lebeda for critical reviewing of this manuscript. This research was supported by U.S.-Israel BARD program grant no. US-2547-95 to R.W.M. and E.N. and by National Science Foundation Plant Genome Program grant #0211923 to R.W.M.

LITERATURE CITED

- BAKKER, E. G., C. TOOMAJIAN, M. KREITMAN and J. BERGELSON, 2006 A genome-wide survey of R gene polymorphisms in *Arabidopsis*. Plant Cell **18**: 1803–1818.
- BEHARAV, A., D. LEWINSOHN, A. LEBEDA and E. NEVO, 2006 New wild *Lactuca* genetic resources with resistance against *Bremia lactucae*. Genet. Resour. Crop Evol. 53: 467–474.
- BLACK, W., and M. E. GALLEGLY, 1957 Screening of Solanum species for resistance to physiologic races of *Phytophthora infestans*. Amer. Potato J. 34: 273–281.
- BOREVITZ, J. O., S. P. HAZEN, T. P. MICHAEL, G. P. MORRIS, I. R. BAXTER et al., 2007 Genome-wide patterns of single-feature

polymorphism in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA **104:** 12057–12062.

- BOYKO, A., P. KATHIRIA, F. J. ZEMP, Y. YAO, I. POGRIBNY *et al.*, 2007 Transgenerational changes in the genome stability and methylation in pathogen-infected plants (virus-induced plant genome instability). Nucleic Acids Res. 35: 1714–1725.
- CAICEDO, A. L., and B. A. SCHAAL, 2004 Heterogeneous evolutionary processes affect R gene diversity in natural populations of Solanum pimpinellifolium. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 101: 17444–17449.
- CAICEDO, A. L., B. A. SCHAAL and B. N. KUNKEL, 1999 Diversity and molecular evolution of the *RPS2* resistance gene in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA **96**: 302–306.
- CLARK, R. M., G. SCHWEIKERT, C. TOOMAJIAN, S. OSSOWSKI, G. ZELLER et al., 2007 Common sequence polymorphisms shaping genetic diversity in Arabidopsis thaliana. Science 317: 338–342.
- CRUTE, I. R., 1992 The role of resistance breeding in the integrated control of downy mildew (*Bremia lactucae*) in protected lettuce. Euphytica 63: 95–102.
- ELLIS, J. G., G. J. LAWRENCE, J. E. LUCK and P. N. DODDS, 1999 Identification of regions in alleles of the flax rust resistance gene *L* that determine differences in gene-for-gene specificity. Plant Cell 11: 495–506.
- FARRARA, B., T. W. ILOTT and R. W. MICHELMORE, 1987 Genetic analysis of factors for resistance to downy mildew (*Bremia lactucae*) in species of lettuce (*Lactuca sativa* and *L. serriola*). Plant Pathol. 36: 499–514.
- Fu, Y. X., 1997 Statistical tests of neutrality of mutations against population growth, hitchhiking and background selection. Genetics 147: 915–925.
- FU, Y. X., and W. H. LI, 1993 Statistical tests of neutrality of mutations. Genetics 133: 693–709.
- HILL, M., H. WITSENBOER, M. ZABEAU, P. VOS, R. KESSELI *et al.*, 1996 PCRbased fingerprinting using AFLPs as a tool for studying genetic relationships in *Lactuca* spp. Theor. Appl. Genet. **93**: 1202–1210.
- HUDSON, R. R., and N. L. KAPLAN, 1985 Statistical properties of the number of recombination events in the history of a sample of DNA sequences. Genetics 111: 147–164.
- KASHI, Y., and D. G. KING, 2006 Simple sequence repeats as advantageous mutators in evolution. Trends Genet. 22: 253–259.
- KESSELI, R., O. OCHOA and R. MICHELMORE, 1991 Variation at RFLP loci. in *Lactuca* spp. and origin of cultivated lettuce *Lactuca sativa*. Genome **34:** 430–436.
- KESSELI, R. V., I. PARAN and R. W. MICHELMORE, 1994 Analysis of a detailed genetic linkage map of *Lactuca sativa* (Lettuce) constructed from RFLP and RAPD markers. Genetics **136**: 1435–1446.
- KUANG, H., S.-S. WOO, B. C. MEYERS, E. NEVO and R. W. MICHELMORE, 2004 Multiple genetic processes result in heterogeneous rates of evolution within the major cluster disease resistance genes in lettuce. Plant Cell 16: 2870–2894.
- KUANG, H., O. E. OCHOA, E. NEVO and R. W. MICHELMORE, 2006 The disease resistance gene *Dm3* is infrequent in natural populations of *Lactuca serriola* due to deletions and frequent gene conversions at the *RGC2* locus. Plant J. 47: 38–48.
- LEBEDA, A., and I. PETRŽELOVA, 2004a Variation and distribution of virulence phenotypes of *Bremia lactucae* in natural populations of *Lactuca serriola*. Plant Pathol. 53: 316–324.
- LEBEDA, A., and I. PETRŽELOVÁ, 2004b Occurrence of race-specific resistance to *Bremia lactucae* in *Lactuca serriola* germplasm originating from four European countries, pp. 113–116 in *Genetic Variation for Plant Breeding*, edited by J. VOLLMANN, H. GRAUSGRUBER and P. RUCKENBAUER. BOKU-University of Natural Resources and Applied Life Sciences, Vienna.
- LEBEDA, A., I. DOLEZALOVA, E. KRISTKOVA and B. MIESLEROVA, 2001 Biodiversity and ecogeography of wild *Lactuca* spp. in some European countries. Genet. Resour. Crop Evol. 48: 153–164.
- LEBEDA, A., D. A. C. PINK and D. ASTLEY, 2002 Aspects of the interactions between wild *Lactuca* spp. and related genera and lettuce downy mildew (*Bremia lactucae*), pp. 85–117 in *Advances in Downy Mildew Research*, edited by P. T. N. SPENCER-PHILLIPS, U. GISI and A. LEBEDA. Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, The Netherlands.
- LEBEDA, A., I. DOLEŽALOVÁ, V. FERÁKOVÁ and D. ASTLEY, 2004 Geographical distribution of wild *Lactuca* species (Asteraceae, Lactuceae). Botanical Review **70**: 328–356.

- LI, Y.-C., A. B. KOROL, T. FAHIMA and E. NEVO, 2004 Microsatellites within genes: Structure, function, and evolution. Mol. Biol. Evol. 21: 991–1007.
- LINDQVIST, K., 1960 On the origin of cultivated lettuce. Hereditas **46:** 319–350.
- LUCHT, J. M., B. MAUCH-MANI, H.-Y. STEINER, J.-P. METRAUX, J. RYALS et al., 2002 Pathogen stress increases somatic recombination frequency in Arabidopsis. Nature Genet. 30: 311–314.
- MAURICIO, R., E. A. STAHL, T. KORVES, D. TIAN, M. KREITMAN *et al.*, 2003 Natural selection for polymorphism in the disease resistance gene *RPS2* of *Arabidopsis thaliana*. Genetics **163**: 735–746.
- MEYERS, B. C., D. B. CHIN, K. A. SHEN, S. SIVARAMAKRISHNAN, D. O. LAVELLE et al., 1998 The major resistance gene cluster in lettuce is highly duplicated and spans several megabases. Plant Cell 10: 1817–1832.
- MOLINIER, J., G. RIES, C. ZIPFEL and B. HOHN, 2006 Transgenerational memory of stress in plants. Nature **442**: 1046–1049.
- NEI, M., 1973 Analysis of gene diversity in subdivided populations. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 70: 3321–3323.
- NEI, M., 1978 Estimation of average heterozygosity and genetic distance from a small number of individuals. Genetics 89: 583–590.
- NEVO, E., 1998 Genetic diversity in wild cereals: Regional and local studies and their bearing on conservation *ex-situ* and *in-situ*. Genet. Resour. Crop Evol. 45: 355–370.
- NEVO, E., 2001 Evolution of genome-phenome diversity under environmental stress. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA **98:** 6233–6240.
- PETRŽELOVA, I., and A. LEBEDA, 2004 Occurrence of *Bremia lactucae* in natural populations of *Lactuca serriola*. J. Phytopathol. **152**: 391–398.
- PRINCE, S. D., and R. N. CARTER, 1977 Prickly lettuce (*Lactuca serriola* L.) in Britain. Watsonia 11: 331–338.
- ROSE, L. E., P. D. BITTNER-EDDY, C. H. LANGLEY, E. B. HOLUB, R. W. MICHELMORE *et al.*, 2004 The maintenance of extreme amino acid diversity at the disease resistance gene, *RPP13*, in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. Genetics **166**: 1517–1527.
- Rose, L. E., R. W. MICHELMORE and C. H. LANGLEY, 2007 Natural variation in the *Pto* disease resistance gene within species of wild tomato (Lycopersicon) II. Population genetics of *Pto*. Genetics 175: 1307–1319.
- ROUPPE VAN DER VOORT, J. N., P. VAN ZANDVOORT, H. J. VAN ECK, R. T. FOLKERTSMA, R. C. HUTTEN *et al.*, 1997 Use of allele specificity of comigrating AFLP markers to align genetic maps from different potato genotypes. Mol. Gen. Genet. **255:** 438–447.
- ROZAS, J., and R. ROZAS, 1999 DnaSP version 3: an integrated program for molecular population genetics and molecular evolution analysis. Bioinformatics 15: 174–175.
- SHEN, J., H. ARAKI, L. CHEN, J.-Q. CHEN and D. TIAN, 2006 Unique evolutionary mechanism in *R*-genes under the presence/absence polymorphism in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. Genetics **172**: 1243–1250.
- SHEN, K. A., D. B. CHIN, R. ARROYO-GARCIA, O. E. OCHOA, D. O. LAVELLE et al., 2002 Dm³ is one member of a large constitutively expressed family of nucleotide binding site-leucine-rich repeat encoding genes. Mol. Plant-Microbe Interact. 15: 251–261.
- SICARD, D., S. S. WOO, R. ARROYO-GARCIA, O. OCHOA, D. NGUYEN et al., 1999 Molecular diversity at the major cluster of disease resistance genes in cultivated and wild *Lactuca* spp. Theor. Appl. Genet. **99:** 405–418.
- SIMIER, M., J. THIOULOUSE and J.-M. OLIVIER, 1998 ADE-4 software: A tool for multivariate analysis and graphical display. Oceanis 24: 393–416.
- STAHL, E. A., G. DWYER, R. MAURICIO, M. KREITMAN and J. BERGELSON, 1999 Dynamics of disease resistance polymorphism at the *Rpm1* locus of *Arabidopsis*. Nature **400**: 667–671.
- TAJIMA, F., 1989 Statistical method for testing the neutral mutation hypothesis by DNA polymorphism. Genetics 123: 585–595.
- THOMPSON, J. D., T. J. GIBSON, F. PLEWNIAK, F. JEANMOUGIN and D. G. HIGGINS, 1997 The CLUSTAL_X windows interface: flexible strategies for multiple sequence alignment aided by quality analysis tools. Nucleic Acids Res. 25: 4876–4882.
- TIAN, D., H. ARAKI, E. STAHL, J. BERGELSON and M. KREITMAN, 2002 Signature of balancing selection in *Arabidopsis*. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 99: 11525–11530.
- TIAN, D., M. B. TRAW, J. Q. CHEN, M. KREITMAN and J. BERGELSON, 2003 Fitness costs of *R*-gene-mediated resistance in *Arabidopsis* thaliana. Nature 423: 74–77.

- Vos, P., R. HOGERS, M. BLEEKER, M. REIJANS, T. VAN DE LEE *et al.*, 1995 AFLP: a new technique for DNA fingerprinting. Nucleic Acids Res. **23**: 4407–4414.
- WROBLEWSKI, T., U. PISKUREWICZ, A. TOMCZAK, O. OCHOA and R.W. MICHELMORE, 2007 Silencing of the major family of NBS-LRRencoding genes in lettuce results in the loss of multiple resistance specificities. Plant J. 51: 803–818.
- YEH, F. C., and T. J. B. BOYLE, 1997 Population genetic analysis of codominant and dominant markers and quantitative traits. Belg. J. Bot. 129: 157.
- ZOHARY, D., 1991 The wild genetic-resources of cultivated lettuce (*Lactuca sativa* L). Euphytica **53**: 31–35.

Communicating editor: J. A. BIRCHLER