# Crystal structure of Yersinia enterocolitica type III secretion chaperone SycT

# CARINA R. BÜTTNER,<sup>1</sup> GUY R. CORNELIS,<sup>2</sup> DIRK W. HEINZ,<sup>1</sup> AND HARTMUT H. NIEMANN<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Division of Structural Biology, German Research Centre for Biotechnology, D-38124, Braunschweig, Germany <sup>2</sup>Division of Molecular Microbiology, Biozentrum, University of Basel, CH-4056 Basel, Switzerland

(RECEIVED March 23, 2005; FINAL REVISION May 24, 2005; ACCEPTED May 24, 2005)

#### Abstract

Pathogenic Yersinia species use a type III secretion (TTS) system to deliver a number of cytotoxic effector proteins directly into the mammalian host cell. To ensure effective translocation, several such effector proteins transiently bind to specific chaperones in the bacterial cytoplasm. Correspondingly, SycT is the chaperone of YopT, a cysteine protease that cleaves the membrane-anchor of Rho-GTPases in the host. We have analyzed the complex between YopT and SycT and determined the structure of SycT in three crystal forms. Biochemical studies indicate a stoichometric effector/ chaperone ratio of 1:2 and the chaperone-binding site contains at least residues 52–103 of YopT. The crystal structures reveal a SycT homodimer with an overall fold similar to that of other TTS effector chaperones. In contrast to the canonical five-stranded anti-parallel  $\beta$ -sheet flanked by three  $\alpha$ -helices, SycT lacks the dimerization  $\alpha$ -helix and has an additional  $\beta$ -strand capable of undergoing a conformational change. The dimer interface consists of two  $\beta$ -strands and the connecting loops. Two hydrophobic patches involved in effector binding in other TTS effector chaperones are also found in SycT. The structural similarity of SycT to other chaperones and the spatial conservation of effectorbinding sites support the idea that TTS effector chaperones form a single functional and structural group.

Keywords: chaperone; effector; SycT; type III secretion; Yersinia; YopT

Many Gram-negative pathogens of animals, plants, or insects, including the human-pathogenic Yersinia spp. (Y. pestis, Y. pseudotuberculosis, Y. enterocolitica), use the type III secretion pathway to deliver effectors into the eukaryotic host cell (Cornelis and Van Gijsegem 2000; Ghosh 2004). A 70-kb virulence plasmid (pYV) codes for the Ysc TTS system in Y. enterocolitica (Cornelis et al. 1998; Cornelis 2002), a food-borne pathogen causing gastroenteritis and mesenteric lymphadenitis (Bottone 1999). The syringe-shaped Ysc injectisome spans the inner membrane, the peptidoglycan layer, and the outer membrane of the bacterium (Marlovits et al. 2004). The pathogen uses this injectisome to translocate effector Yops (Yersinia outer proteins) directly into the host cell, where they interfere with host proteins and impair cell functions including transcription, inflammatory signaling, and actin cytoskeleton dynamics. Six translocated Yop effectors have been described (for review, see Navarro et al. 2005). YopH dephosphorylates phospho-tyrosine residues in focal adhesion complexes and in a signaling complex in macrophages. YopE and YopT target small Rho-

Reprint requests to: Dirk W. Heinz, Division of Structural Biology, German Research Centre for Biotechnology, Mascheroder Weg 1, D-38124, Braunschweig, Germany; e-mail: dirk.heinz@gbf.de; fax:  $+49-531-6181-763$ .

Abbreviations: #1 and #2, crystal form 1 (space group  $P2_12_12_1$ ) and crystal form 2 ( $P6_2$ ) of Syc $T_{122}$ , respectively; CBD, chaperone-binding domain; ORF, open reading frame; TTS, Type III secretion; Yop, Yersinia outer protein; SAD, single-wavelength anomalous dispersion.

Article and publication are at http://www.proteinscience.org/cgi/ doi/10.1110/ps. $0.051474605$ .

GTPases controlling actin polymerization. YopE acts as a GTPase activating protein (GAP) and YopT as a cysteine protease (see below). YopP (YopJ in Y. pseudotuberculosis and Y. pestis) impairs the MAP kinase and NF-kB signalling pathways, thereby impeding the release of proinflammatory cytokines and inducing apoptosis in macrophages. The substrate of the serine/ threonine kinase YopO (YpkA in Y. pseudotuberculosis and Y. pestis) is still unknown, as well as the targets of YopM, which locates to the nucleus of the host cell. Effective translocation of some of the TTS effectors and components is regulated by a family of specialized chaperones, which form specific complexes with their cognate substrate in the bacterial cytoplasm. These TTS chaperones, in contrast to other chaperones such as GroEL or heat shock proteins, do not bind or hydrolyze nucleotides (Wattiau et al. 1996). Despite several common properties, such as a low molecular mass  $(M_r \sim 15,000)$ and an acidic isoelectric point, they have low amino acid sequence similarity (Wattiau et al. 1994). Functions proposed for TTS chaperones include preventing effector agglomeration by binding the aggregation-prone N-terminal region of the effector; keeping the effector in a partially unfolded, secretion-competent state; and helping to regulate the TTS system (for reviews, see Francis et al. 2002; Feldman and Cornelis 2003; and Parsot et al. 2003). Based on their substrate, TTS chaperones can be grouped into three categories. The first class guides the transfer of one or more effector proteins, and several members have been characterized structurally. A second class promotes the transport of TTS components that form a pore in the host cell membrane (translocators). The third category comprises chaperones binding to other proteins of the injection apparatus. The first structure of a member of the third category of chaperones has recently been solved (Yip et al. 2005).

Yop effectors are modular proteins. They bear an N-terminal secretion/translocation signal of  $\sim$ 20 amino acids (Sory et al. 1995) not cleaved after translocation. The chaperone-binding domain in chaperone-complexed effectors generally lies within residues 50–150 (Woestyn et al. 1996; Tampakaki et al. 2004). In Yersiniae three of the translocated effectors (YopE, YopH, and YopT) possess a specific chaperone (Wattiau and Cornelis 1993; Wattiau et al. 1994; Iriarte and Cornelis 1998), whereas no chaperones have as yet been identified for YopM, YopP, or YopO (Trülzsch et al. 2003).

The effector YopT (322 amino acids, 36 kDa) (Iriarte and Cornelis 1998) is a member of a new family of papain-like cysteine proteases with the conserved catalytic triad comprising Cys139, His258, and Asp274 (Shao et al. 2002; Zhu et al. 2004). Residues 75–318 are required for proteolytic activity (Sorg et al. 2003). After translocation into the host cell, YopT cleaves the

C-terminal, prenylated cysteine of membrane-anchored Rho-GTPases, thereby releasing the GTPase into the host cytosol (Zumbihl et al. 1999; Shao et al. 2002;  $\ddot{A}$ pfelbacher et al. 2003; Sorg et al. 2003). The lack of Rho-GTPase–induced actin polymerization signal leads to the disintegration of the host actin stress fibres (Iriarte and Cornelis 1998) and contributes to the yersinial resistance to phagocytosis by inhibiting the formation of the actin-rich phagocytotic cup (Apfelbacher et al. 2003). The specific effector chaperone for YopT is SycT  $(M_r \sim 15,000;$  pI 4.6) (Iriarte and Cornelis 1998).

In this work, we present a structural and functional characterization of the chaperone SycT from Y. enterocolitica, including complex formation studies with its effector YopT.

# Results and Discussion

# SycT forms a dimer in solution

Full-length SycT (SycT<sub>1–130</sub>) was produced heterologously in Escherichia coli as a GST fusion protein. After cleavage with the site-specific tobacco etch virus (TEV) protease, the molecular mass of SycT was found to be  $\sim$ 30 kDa (gel permeation chromatography) and  $\sim$ 36 kDa (dynamic light scattering). SycT thus forms a homodimer in solution (theoretical mass, 30.6 kDa). Dimerization is a common property of TTS effector chaperones (Wattiau and Cornelis 1993; Parsot et al. 2003; Singer et al. 2004; Van Eerde et al. 2004). MALDI-TOF analysis of native SycT stored at  $4^{\circ}$ C for 4 wk indicated a molecular mass of 14,478 Da rather than 15,342 Da, the theoretical mass of TEV-cleaved SycT. N-terminal sequencing revealed an intact N terminus, implying that SycT had been cleaved C-terminally, yielding a chaperone truncated to residues 1–122 (theoretical mass 14,483 Da). For structural analysis and to facilitate crystallization, the sycT gene was accordingly truncated to produce  $SycT_{1-122}$ .

# Structure determination

Native full-length SycT crystallized in 5% isopropanol, 0.1 M sodium citrate (pH 5.6), and 21% polyethylene glycol 4000 at  $4^{\circ}$ C as rhombic plates (100  $\mu$ m) in the monoclinic space group  $P2_1$ . Attempts to solve the structure of SycT by molecular replacement using the structures of other TTS chaperones failed. Soaking crystals with mercury, platinum, osmium, or bromide caused crystal cracking and loss of diffraction or crystals did not incorporate the heavy atom. Seleno-methionine (SeMet)–substituted Syc $T_{122}$  was crystallized in 1.6 M ammonium sulfate, 0.1 M CAPS (pH 10.5), and 0.15 M lithium sulfate (plate-like crystal form  $\#1$ , space

group P2<sub>1</sub>2<sub>1</sub>2<sub>1</sub>). An unrelated crystal form  $\#2$  (P6<sub>2</sub>) was obtained from 1.8 M ammonium sulfate, 0.1 M sodium bicarbonate (pH 10.5), and 0.05 M magnesium chloride with hexagonal rod-like crystals growing to a size of  $200 \times 150$  µm. The structure of SycT<sub>122</sub> was determined in crystal form  $#2$  by selenium single-wavelength anomalous dispersion (SAD) and refined to a resolution of 1.9 Å. SycT<sub>122</sub> crystal form  $\#1$  and the structure of native SycT were then solved by molecular replacement to a resolution of 2.0  $\AA$  and 1.8  $\AA$ , respectively. In all three crystal forms, the asymmetric unit bears one homodimer (Table 1; Fig. 1A). The three crystal structures are very similar; e.g., the  $C_{\alpha}$ -atoms of  $SycT_{122}$  monomers have a root mean square deviation (RMSD) of 0.6 A<sup> $\dot{A}$ </sup> in  $\#1$  and 0.7 A<sup> $\dot{A}$ </sup> in  $\#2$ . Differences are confined to the flexible N- and C-terminal parts with the exception of residues 20–28 of one of the native SycT monomers. Well-defined electron density is observed for residues  $3-130$  (A),  $2-117$ , and  $125-130$ (B) of native SycT; residues 1–114 of both monomers in SycT<sub>122</sub> crystal form  $\#1$ ; and residues 3–122 (A) and  $1-113$  (B) in  $\#2$ .

# SycT broadly shares the fold of other effector-binding TTS chaperones

Structurally, a SycT monomer comprises two  $\alpha$ -helices packed against one side of a twisted six-stranded, antiparallel  $\beta$ -sheet (SycT<sub>122</sub>  $\#2$ ) (Fig. 1A). It thereby shares most characteristic features of the globular fold common to effector-binding TTS chaperones. Despite low sequence identity of 9%–21%, the RMSD for

Table 1. Data collection and refinement statistics

	native	$SycT_{122}$	
		crystal form #1	crystal form $#2$
Data collection statistics			
Space group	$P2_1$	$P2_12_12_1$	P6 <sub>2</sub>
Unit cell dimensions $(\AA)$ , $(^{\circ})$	$a = 34.1$ , $b = 79.6$ , $c = 52.1$ $\alpha = \gamma = 90,$	$a = 51.5$ , $b = 63.1$ , $c = 74.4$ $\alpha = \beta = \gamma = 90$	$a = b = 92.0$ , $c = 55.4$ $\alpha = \beta = 90,$
	$\beta = 101.21$		$y = 120$
Data set			Peak
Wavelength $(\AA)$	1.05	0.9795	0.97957
Resolution $(\AA)$	$79.5 - 1.83$	$48.1 - 2.0$	$79.0 - 1.9$
	$(1.86 - 1.83)$	$(2.1 - 2.0)$	$(2.0-1.9)$
Mosaicity $(°)$	0.5	0.25	0.14
Completeness $(\% )$	97.6 (83.5)	98.4 (96.4)	99.5 (96.4)
(Anomalous) redundancy	2.8	3.9	5.8
Observations	65,888	118,050	237,251
Unique reflections	23,529	16,331	21,134
$I/\sigma(I)$	14.8(2.1)	12.3(4.6)	16.6(5.4)
$R_{\rm{sym}}$ , $R_{\rm{meas}}$	5.6 $(31.6)^a$	$8.0(37.3)^{b}$	7.2 $(39.8)^{b}$
Phasing	Molecular replacement	Molecular replacement	SAD (selenium)
Number of sites			6 sites in a dimer
Molecules per asymmetric unit	$\overline{2}$	2	$\overline{2}$
Solvent content $(\% )$	46	41	45
Refinement statistics			
$R / R_{free}^c$ (%)	18.4 / 23.3	21.9 / 28.4	19.6 / 23.6
Atoms protein/solvent	2131 / 504	1950 / 358	1908 / 477
<b>RMSD</b>			
Bonds $(\AA)$ / Angles $(°)$	0.015 / 1.5	0.018 / 1.85	0.019 / 1.98
Ramachandran plot			
Residues in allowed / additionally allowed /			
generously allowed regions $(\% )$	94.1 / 5.9 / 0.0	86.1 / 13.5 / 0.5	88.2 / 10.4 / 1.4
Residues in disallowed regions $(\% )$	0.0	0.0	0.0
B factor $(\AA^2)$ average / Wilson B	28.2 / 28.5	20.6 / 31.6	32.2 / 32.1
Protein / solvent	27.8 / 33.8	21.0 / 26.2	31.0 / 40.5

Values in parentheses are for the highest resolution shell.

 $\label{eq:Rsym} ^{\rm a} R_{\rm sym} = 100 \ \Sigma_{\rm n} \ (\Sigma_{\rm j}|I_{\rm i}-\tilde{I}|)/\Sigma_{\rm n} \ (\Sigma I_{\rm i}).$ 

 ${}^{\text{b}}$  R<sub>meas</sub> = 100 n $\Sigma_1$  | $\hat{\text{I}}$  -  $I_i$ |/ $\Sigma_{\text{hkl}}$  (n - 1)  $\Sigma_i I_i$ , where  $\hat{\text{I}}$  is the mean intensity of symmetry-related reflections (Diederichs and Karplus 1997).<br>
c R = 100  $\Sigma_{\text{hkl}}$  F<sub>obs</sub> – F<sub>calc</sub>|



Figure 1. (A) Ribbon diagram of the structure of Yersinia enterocolitica chaperone SycT (SycT<sub>122</sub> crystal form #2, monomer A in blue, monomer B in red). The  $\alpha$ -helix and  $\beta$ -strand numbering corresponds to that of earlier TTS chaperone structures. (*Insets*) The well-defined electron density of the protruding Phe119 side chain (red sticks) of monomer A.  $(B)$  Multiple sequence alignment of SycT with related TTS effector chaperones. Conserved hydrophobic residues (green) in SycT helices a1 (Phe5, Met9, Leu12, and Leu16) and a3 (Met97, Leu101, Phe104, and Ile108); SycT dimerization interface (red brackets) involves residues Tyr43, His44, Trp47, Gln49, Phe51, Asp62, Asn63, Leu64, Phe65, Trp69, Pro70, Ala71, Val73, Gly75, Arg76, Leu77, Trp84, Gln87, and Val90. The sequence section containing the dimerizationmediating residues includes also conserved polar residues (Asn63 and Gln86, in blue) and hydrophobic residues (Val48, Leu50, Leu64, Phe65, Val73, Ile82, and Trp84, in purple); other conserved residues (Gly17, Leu18, Leu83, and Ile105) are highlighted in orange. Sequences were aligned with ClustalW and adjusted by analyzing the SycT structure. Secondary structure elements for SycT are indicated above and those for SycE below the sequences. Y. enterocolitica SycT (GenBank AAD16809), Yersinia pseudotuberculosis SycE (NC\_006153), Pseudomonas syringae AvrPphF ORF1 (AAF67148), Escherichia coli CesT (P58233), Salmonella typhimurium SicP (AAC38655), Salmonella enterica SigE (NP455587), Yersinia pestis SycH (NP052425), and putative chaperone Orf1 from Pseudomonas aeruginosa, abbreviated to SpcS (AAA66490).

common C<sub> $\alpha$ </sub>-positions (79–109 aligned residues) is < 2.7 A compared with other TTS chaperones such as SicP and SigE from Salmonella sp. (Luo et al. 2001; Stebbins and Galan 2001), SycE and SycH from Yersinia sp. (Birtalan and Ghosh 2001; Evdokimov et al. 2002; Trame and McKay 2003; Phan et al. 2004), CesT from enterohemorrhagic E. coli (Luo et al. 2001), and AvrPphF Orf1 from Pseudomonas syringae (Singer et al. 2004). SycT shares the highest sequence identity with the structurally uncharacterized Orf1 from *Pseudo*monas aeruginosa (abbreviated as SpcS) believed to be the chaperone of ExoS (Frithz-Lindsten et al. 1997). Apart from the overall similarity, SycT displays some unique and distinct features. The depression between the N-terminal  $\alpha$ -helices  $\alpha$ 1 and the adjoining loops L4 and L6, typical for other effector chaperones, is less pronounced in the SycT dimer (Fig. 1A). Instead, SycT exhibits a pronounced groove between loops L5 on the opposite dimer face. Overall, SycT is thus quite compact compared with the heart-shaped outline of other chaperones (Fig. 2; Fig. 4A, below).

# SycT lacks the dimerization helix

All previously determined structures of effector-binding TTS chaperones display three  $\alpha$ -helices packing against the  $\beta$ -sheet. Of these,  $\alpha$ 2 is extensively involved in dimerization (Fig. 2) (Parsot et al. 2003). Strikingly,  $\alpha$ helix  $\alpha$ 2 is consistently absent in all three crystal forms of SycT. Instead, dimerization involves hydrophobic and polar residues exclusively located in loops and  $\beta$ strands (Fig. 1B). The SycT dimerization interface involves some residues of the region between residues 43 and 90. In particular, residues from strands  $\beta$ 4 and  $\beta$ 5 are involved as are loops L4, L6, and the extended loop L5 (Fig. 1). The accessible surface area buried per



Figure 2. SycT lacks the dimerization helix  $\alpha$ 2. Ribbon models of Yersinia enterocolitica SycT, Yersinia pseudotuberculosis SycE (PDB identifier 1L2W; Birtalan et al. 2002), Salmonella typhimurium SicP (1JYO; Stebbins and Galan 2001), Salmonella enterica SigE (1K3S; Luo et al. 2001), Yersinia pestis SycH (1TTW; Phan et al. 2004), Pseudomonas syringae pv. phaseolicola AvrPphF ORF1 (1S28; Singer et al. 2004), and Shigella flexneri Spa15 (1R9Y; Van Eerde et al. 2004). The monomers in a dimer are colored blue and red. The helices  $\alpha$ 2 are highlighted in orange and turquoise.

monomer is 1100  $\AA^2$  or  $\sim$ 15% of the total surface area. This is similar to the buried surface of other TTS effector chaperones ranging from 900 to 1200  $\AA^2$ .

#### A closed cavity at the dimer interface

The dimerization interface of SycT harbors a cavity of 150  $\AA^3$  (Fig. 3). Five (native SycT, SycT<sub>122</sub> #2) or six  $(\#1)$  water molecules trapped within the cavity form H-bonds to polar residues. Symmetrically positioned Ser88 residues from both monomers restrict entry to the cavity and are mutually H-bonded in the native structure and  $#1$ . Both Val90 residues in loop L6 shield the cavity from the outside (Fig. 3). A polar cavity located at the dimerization interface of TTS effector chaperones was first described for SycE (Evdokimov et al. 2002). Fully enclosed cavities, as in SycT, are also present in Spa15 and AvrPphF Orf1 dimers (Singer et al. 2004; Van Eerde et al. 2004). The dimerization interfaces of other TTS effector chaperones, e.g., SycE, YscB/SycN (Schubot et al. 2005), or SycH (Phan et al. 2004), reveal similar yet open, solvent-accessible cavities (Fig. 3, right). Compared with other solvated intersubunit cavities (Hubbard and Argos 1994) that of SycT is quite large, with  $\sim 100 \text{ Å}^2$  or 9% of the dimerization interface per monomer not being involved in direct protein–protein interactions. Conservation of the cavity, open or closed, among TTS effector-binding chaperones is strongly suggestive of a biological role. In SycE, SycH, and SycN/YscB, the three chaperones from Y. pestis for which structural information is available, two arginines create a positively charged patch inside the cavity (Evdokimov et al. 2002; Phan et al. 2004; Schubot et al. 2005). These arginines are conserved among many of the effector chaperones and were therefore proposed to be functionally relevant, possibly for interaction with the type III secretion machinery (Phan et al. 2004; Schubot et al. 2005). The lack of charged



Figure 3. Cavity in the SycT dimerization interface. A polar cavity is enclosed in the dimerization interface of SycT (crystal form  $\#1$ , cavity as pink surface model and blue side chains of residues Trp47, Pro70, Ala71, His72, Val73, Leu83, Trp84, Ser85, and Ser88, and red side chain for Gln86). Both Val90 residues (green) shield the cavity from the outside. In contrast, the cavity in SycH is accessible and possesses a positive charge (Arg80, red side chain).

residues in the cavity of SycT means that they are unlikely to be a general point of interaction between TTS effector chaperones and the secretion machinery.

# Effector-binding sites in  $SycT$  are spatially conserved

The surface of the SycT homodimer displays three types of hydrophobic patches. Patches 1 and 2, distal to the twofold symmetry axis, are symmetrically duplicated on the dimer surface. Patch 1, near the dimer interface, comprises residues Leu64, Phe65, Gly66, and Trp69 of one monomer, and Val27, Leu39, Ile40, Ala41, Tyr43, Trp47, Gln49, Phe51, Gly75, and Ile82 of the other. Patch 2, composed of residues Leu16, Gly17, Leu18, Phe30, Val32, and Ile105, is formed by the two amphipathic  $\alpha$ -helices. A third hydrophobic patch (patch 3) stretches between both N-terminal helices  $\alpha$ 1 (residues Met0, Leu8, Leu89, Val90, Gly91, Leu92, and Ile94) (Fig. 4A, left). Patches 1 and 2 are present at roughly the same position in other effector chaperones and were initially predicted to be involved in effector binding (Birtalan and Ghosh 2001). This hypothesis was later confirmed by the crystal structures of several effector/ chaperone complexes such as YopE<sub>23-78</sub>/SycE, SptP<sub>36-139</sub>/ SicP, and  $YopN_{23-273}/SyCN-YsCB$  complexes (Stebbins and Galan 2001; Birtalan et al. 2002; Schubot et al. 2005). In these structures, the N-terminal region of the effector wraps around the chaperones, displaying only secondary but no tertiary structure. In the YopE/SycE complex, both patches 1 bind  $\alpha$ -helices, while both patches 2 bind  $\beta$ -strands of the YopE chaperone-binding domain (Fig. 4A, right). The conserved position of patches 1 and 2 in SycT suggests a similar mode of effector binding. The details of YopT/SycT interaction will presumably differ from those of other effector–chaperone pairs. The third hydrophobic patch of SycT may indicate an enlarged contact area and the effector YopT may follow a different path wrapping around SycT.

Significant differences between SycT and the other effector-binding chaperones (except possibly Spa15) are observed in the vicinity of patch 2. In most chaperones studied to date, patch 2 consists of a pocket, formed by conserved hydrophobic residues from the  $\alpha$ -helices  $\alpha$ 1 and  $\alpha$ 3 and a shallow groove between  $\beta$ -strand  $\beta$ 1 and the loop connecting the N-terminal  $\alpha$ -helix  $\alpha$ 1 with  $\beta$ 1. The effectors YopE, SptP, and YopN bind to patch 2 of their cognate chaperone, filling this shallow groove and extending the chaperone  $\beta$ -sheet by an additional strand along side strand  $\beta$ 1 (Fig. 4B, left). In five of the six crystallographically independent monomers of SycT, the residues connecting  $\alpha$ 1 and  $\beta$ 1 form an additional  $\beta$ -strand  $\beta$ 0 antiparallel to  $\beta$ 1 that occupies the shallow groove (Fig. 4B, left). This strongly resembles the situation in Spa15 (Van Eerde et al. 2004) (Fig. 4B, right). In one monomer of the



Figure 4. Effector-binding sites in SycT.  $(A)$  Two extended hydrophobic patches (1, 2) located on the surface of SycT (*left*, SycT<sub>122</sub> crystal form  $\#1$ ) are duplicated by symmetry to yield four patches per dimer. In the native SycT crystal, patch 1 forms a hydrophobic interaction with residues 125–130 of the C-terminal peptide (violet sticks). Patches 1 and 2 correspond to those of a SycE dimer involved in effector binding (right; SycE in complex with the CBD of YopE  $[YopE_{23-78},$ red]). SycT has an additional hydrophobic patch (3) not observed in SycE. Surfaces corresponding to hydrophobic side chains are depicted in green.  $(B)$  A shallow groove alongside hydrophobic patch 2 is involved in effector binding in SicP. In SycT and Spa15, this groove is filled by the additional strand  $\beta$ 0 formed by residues connecting  $\alpha$ 1 and  $\beta$ 1. Both figures show the two superimposed monomers of native SycT: Monomer one (red) displays the additional strand  $\beta$ 0, while in the other monomer (blue)  $\beta$ 0 has changed into a loop and moved toward  $\alpha$ 1, opening a shallow groove. (*Left*) The SicP/SptP complex is superimposed on SycT (SicP in green, SptP in orange). In SicP,  $\beta$ 1 and the loop connecting  $\alpha$ 1 with  $\beta$ 1 form a groove occupied by strand  $\beta$ 2 of the effector SptP, extending the chaperone  $\beta$ -sheet. Should YopT follow the same path on SycT as SptP does on SicP, it would partially overlap with strand  $\beta$ 0 of the red SycT monomer but not with the conformationally rearranged blue monomer. (Right) Overlay of Spa15 (light green) and SycT. Although the connecting loop between  $\alpha$ 1 and  $\beta$ 1 is four residues longer in Spa15 than in SycT, the structural organization is similar to the red SycT monomer.

native SycT dimer, these connecting residues do not form  $\beta$ 0. Instead they form a loop flipped toward  $\alpha$ 1, thereby opening the abovementioned groove. This conformational rearrangement of  $\beta$ 0 may be required for binding of YopT, although no conformational changes are observed upon effector binding in SycE (Birtalan et al. 2002).

# Interaction of the flexible C terminus with a hydrophobic patch

In full-length SycT, the hydrophobic peptide SPILFI (residues 125–130) of both monomers interacts with one hydrophobic patch 1 of the primary dimer and of a symmetry-related dimer at the same time, thereby mediating extensive crystal contacts (Fig. 4A, left).



Figure 5. Hydrophobic interactions of the C terminus in SycT. Hydrophobic pocket in patch 1. Phe119 from the C-terminal peptide of a symmetry-related monomer of  $SycT_{122} (\#2; backbone of loop in red)$ fills a hydrophobic pocket in patch 1 (translucent surface) created by residues Phe65 (green, monomer one) and Val27, Leu39, Ala41, Tyr43, Gln49, and Phe51 (blue, monomer two).

Hydrophobic patch 1 harbors a groove (Phe65 in loop L5 from one monomer; Val $27$  from  $\beta2$ ; Leu39, Ala41, and Tyr43 from  $\beta$ 3; Gln49 and Phe51 from  $\beta$ 4 in the other monomer). In crystal form  $#2$  of truncated  $SycT_{122}$ , this hydrophobic groove of one monomer is occupied by Phe119 from the C-terminal peptide of a neighboring monomer in the crystal (Figs. 1, 5), sustaining an important crystal contact. These C-terminal residues (115–122) are disordered in monomer B  $(\#2)$  and in both monomers of  $\#1$ . Residues 115–122 thus seem to be intrinsically flexible. Depending on the crystal packing environment, these C-terminal stretches in SycT are ordered by fortuitously binding to patch 1 of a neighboring molecule. This resembles the situation in one of the SycE structures where the flexible C terminus similarly binds to the hydrophobic patch 1 of an adjacent dimer (Trame and McKay 2003).

# Mapping of the YopT chaperone-binding domain

Complex formation between YopT and full-length SycT was experimentally verified by gel permeation chromatography: Two YopT species were copurified with SycT, full-length YopT, and a stable fragment truncated N-terminally by 13 amino acids. Full-length and truncated YopT coeluted with SycT as one complex with an apparent molecular mass of  $\sim 65$  kDa (data not shown). This indicates a YopT:SycT stoichiometry of 1:2, similar to that of other effector/chaperone complexes such as YopE/SycE (Birtalan et al. 2002). The molecular mass of  $\sim$ 84 kDa determined by dynamic light scattering suggests an elongated shape of the YopT/SycT complex (data not shown).

To determine the chaperone-binding site in YopT, the YopT/SycT complex was subjected to a protease-protection assay and digested with different proteases (trypsin, thermolysin, subtilisin, papain, chymotrypsin, and endoproteinase lys-C). Under the conditions used, SycT was stable except for the eight C-terminal residues. YopT was cleaved, and 28 degradation fragments detectable on SDS gels were N-terminally sequenced. Mapping of the protease cleavage sites revealed numerous sites in the region N-terminal of residue 52 and C-terminal of residue 140 with a single site at residue 104 (Fig. 6). Protection of residues 52–103 of YopT from proteolytic digestion in complex with SycT suggests this region to be protected by binding to the chaperone. This is supported by similar experiments defining the chaperone-binding domains of YopE and SptP (Stebbins and Galan 2001; Birtalan et al. 2002). The chaperone-binding domain of YopT most likely does not include residues N-terminal of amino acid 52, while the C-terminal boundary may be located after residue 104. Based on our findings, we propose a more detailed domain organization for YopT: The N-terminal  $\sim$ 20 amino acids of the effector comprise the secretion/translocation signal. The chaperone-binding domain of YopT covers at least residues 52– 103. The minimal YopT fragment capable of substrate binding and proteolytic cleavage includes residues 75–318 (Fig. 6) (Sorg et al. 2003).



Figure 6. Domain organization of YopT. Domain borders are designated by residue numbers. S indicates secretion signal; CBD, chaperone-binding domain. YopT: YopT region (residues 75–318, hatched) capable of substrate binding and proteolytic cleavage (catalytic triad Cys139, His258, and Asp274). Sites susceptible to protease cleavage (arrows) were identified by N-terminal sequencing of fragments produced by limited proteolysis of the complex YopT/SycT. The accumulation of sites N-terminally of amino acid 52 and C-terminally of 140 indicates the CBD to comprise at least residues 52–103. The C-terminal border of the CBD may lie after the single cleavage site at residue 104 (indicated as a gradient of gray). For comparison, the CBD of other TTS effectors are plotted below. YopE from Yersinia pseudotuberculosis, SptP from Salmonella typhimurium, and YopN from Y. pestis (Stebbins and Galan 2001; Birtalan et al. 2002; Schubot et al. 2005).

# Conclusions

The crystal structure of SycT, the chaperone of the TTS effector YopT, reveals that it shares the global fold of other effector-binding chaperones. Nevertheless, some distinct differences such as lacking the dimerization  $\alpha$ helix set SycT apart from other chaperones. Although the location of two hydrophobic patches involved in effector binding in other chaperones is conserved in SycT, the precise mode of interaction may be different, as SycT possesses an additional strand b0 that extends the  $\beta$ -sheet alongside  $\beta$ 1. Other chaperones bind their effectors (YopE, SptP, and YopN) by allowing these to extend the chaperone  $\beta$ -sheet by a  $\beta$ -strand adjacent to strand  $\beta$ 1. In one crystal packing of SycT  $\beta$ 0 undergoes a conformational change and opens a groove. This may indicate a mechanism for effector binding.

Despite low sequence conservation, TTS effectorbinding chaperones share a common fold and effector binding mode. In addition to binding multiple substrates (Parsot et al. 2003), Spa15 is structurally distinct from other effector-binding chaperones because of the relative orientation of the monomers in the dimer and the presence of an additional  $\beta$ -strand (Van Eerde et al. 2004). SycT shares the additional strand  $\beta$ 0 with Spa15 yet binds a single effector. This indicates that chaperones such as Spa15 that bind multiple effectors are structurally not set apart from other TTS chaperones. Instead effector-binding chaperones form a single functional and structural group.

# Materials and methods

#### Expression vector construction

Gene sequences of  $sycT$  and  $yopT$  were amplified from the Yersinia enterocolitica virulence plasmid pYVe227 by PCR. The sycT sequence was cloned into pET-M-30 (from G. Stier, EMBL Heidelberg, Germany) using restriction endonucleases NcoI and NotI, introducing an additional glycine codon (residue Gly1) after the sycT start codon ATG (residue Met0). Nterminal sequencing and MALDI-TOF analysis of SycT revealed that the eight C-terminal residues are susceptible to proteolysis, resulting in the stable fragment  $SycT_{1-122}$ . Hence, for a second construct used for crystallization, only codons 1– 122 of sycT were cloned into the expression vector. To coexpress  $\gamma \rho \rho T$  and  $\gamma \rho T$ , a bicistronic construct was generated. The DNA sequence encoding full-length SycT was cloned into pET-M-30 as a glutathion-S-transferase (GST) fusion followed by a consensus ribosome binding site and the untagged yopT sequence. Both expression vectors were checked by sequencing.

#### Protein expression and purification

YopT and full-length GST-tagged SycT were produced by coexpression in E. coli BL21(DE3) codon plus RIL (Stratagene). Native, full-length SycT was produced as a GST-fusion protein

in the same strain. SeMet-substituted GST-tagged  $SycT_{122}$  protein was produced as described using the strain from above (Guerrero et al. 2001). Cells were grown at  $37^{\circ}$ C to an OD<sub>600</sub> of 0.7. Recombinant gene expression was induced with 1 mM isopropyl- $\beta$ -D-thiogalactoside overnight at 20°C. Cells were resuspended in ice-cold lysis buffer (50 mM phosphate [pH 8.0], 150 mM NaCl, 10 mM  $\beta$ -mercaptoethanol) and lysed using a French press (SLM Aminco). Clarified lysates were applied to glutathione-loaded Sepharose resin (Amersham Biosciences) and washed with 20 mM Tris-HCl (pH 8.0), 150 NaCl, and 5 mM dithiothreitol (DTT). The GST-tag was cleaved on column at 16°C overnight using 1:60 TEV protease. His-tagged TEV protease was removed by  $Ni^{2+}$  loaded agarose (Qiagen). The TEV protease cleavage site (Glu-Asn-Leu-Tyr-Phe-Gln | Gly-Ala) introduces two additional amino acids (Gly-Ala) at the N terminus of the cleaved protein. The YopT/SycT complex was further purified by gel permeation chromatography (Superdex-75, Amersham Biosciences) with 20 mM Tris-HCl (pH 8.0), 150 mM NaCl, and 1 mM DTT as running buffer. Native SycT and  $SycT_{122}$  were purified by anion exchange chromatography (MonoQ, Amersham Biosciences) using a linear NaCl gradient in 20 mM Tris-HCl (pH 8.0) and gel permeation chromatography as above. Native SycT and SycT<sub>122</sub> were dialyzed against 20 mM Tris-HCl (pH 8.0), 10 mM NaCl, and 1 mM DTT; subjected to MALDI-TOF analysis to check the protein size and complete incorporation of SeMets (three per molecule), respectively; and concentrated to  $\sim$ 12 mg/mL for crystallization trials.

#### Molecular mass determination

Gel permeation chromatography as described above, calibrated with standard proteins from low- and high-molecularmass gel filtration calibration kits (Amersham Biosciences), and dynamic light scattering (DynaPro 801TC system, ProteinSolutions) were used to determine the molecular mass of SycT and the complex YopT/SycT.

#### Limited proteolysis and domain mapping

Purified YopT/SycT complex ( $\sim$ 8 mg/mL) was digested on ice with 1:70 trypsin, chymotrypsin, papain, and endoproteinase Lys-C, as well as with 1:350 subtilisin and thermolysin. SycT mostly resisted proteolytic cleavage. Fragments were separated by SDS-PAGE, blotted onto PVDF membrane, and subjected to N-terminal sequencing.

#### Crystallization, data collection, and processing

Optimizing birefringent spherulites of native SycT using hanging-drop vapor diffusion at 4°C and a crystallization cocktail of 5% isopropanol, 0.1 M sodium citrate (pH 5.6), and 21% polyethylene glycol 4000 finally yielded orthorhombic crystals. For SeMet-substituted  $SycT_{122}$ , initial screening in 96-well format using diverse commercially available screens and nano-drop pipetting resulted in a single promising condition. Optimized Syc $T_{122}$  crystals grew at 4°C using hanging-drop vapor diffusion by mixing equal volumes of  $SycT<sub>122</sub>$  and 1.6 M ammonium sulfate, 0.1 M CAPS (pH 10.5), and 0.15 M lithium sulfate, revealing crystal form  $#1$ . Changing the crystallization condition to 1.8 M ammonium sulfate, 0.1 M sodium bicarbonate (pH 10.5), and 0.05 M magnesium

chloride and using a protein/reservoir ratio of 2:1 yielded crystals with a different crystal form  $(\#2)$ . Data of native SycT and SycT<sub>122</sub> were collected at 100 K using  $19\% - 25\%$ glycerol in the crystallization mix as cryoprotectant. SAD data of  $SycT_{122}$  at the peak region of the selenium edge were collected at beamline BL14.1 (BESSY). Data of  $SycT_{122}$  were processed with XDS and scaled with XSCALE (Kabsch 1993). Data of native SycT were collected at BW6 (DESY) and processed with DENZO/SCALEPACK (Otwinowski and Minor 1997). Data collection statistics are given in Table 1.

#### Structure determination

The heavy-atom search, phasing, and solvent flattening programs SHELXD (Uson and Sheldrick 1999) and SHELXE (Sheldrick 2002) run through the graphical user interface HKL2MAP (Pape and Schneider 2004) located all six selenium atoms in the hexagonal packing  $(\#2)$  and provided interpretable electron density. Phases for  $\#2$  as output by SHELXE were used with the same anomalous data set to trace an initial model (80% complete) in ARP/wARP (Perrakis et al. 1999). The final model was obtained by several cycles of manual building with the program O (Jones et al. 1991) and TLS restrained refinement with REFMAC5 (Murshudov et al. 1997). Electron density was visible for  $SycT_{122}$  residues 1–113 in one monomer, and 3–122 in the second. The structure for the orthorhombic  $(\#1)$  data set was solved by molecular replacement using the  $\#2$  structure as search model in the program PHASER (Storoni et al. 2004) and was completed as above. Electron density was not observed for  $SycT_{122}$  residues 115–122 in both monomers of model  $#1$ . The native structure was solved using both refined  $SycT_{122}$  structures as search ensemble for molecular replacement in PHASER, and was initially refined with CNS (Brunger et al. 1998) and then with REFMAC5. The refined native SycT model comprises residues 3–130 (A), 2–117, and 125–130 (B). Refinement statistics are given in Table 1. Ribbon diagrams and surfaces were produced with PyMOL (DeLano Scientific) and Swiss-PDBViewer (Guex and Peitsch 1997), secondary structure assignment was calculated using STRIDE (Heinig and Frishman 2004), buried surface areas were calculated with AREAIMOL (Lee and Richards 1971), cavity volumes were calculated with VOIDOO (Kleywegt 1994), pairwise and multiple sequence alignments were produced with EMBOSS (Pearson 1990) and ClustalW (Thompson et al. 1994), and structures were aligned with DALI (Holm and Sander 1993).

## Coordinates

Coordinates and structure factors have been deposited in the Protein Data Bank. Accession codes are  $2BSH$  (SycT<sub>122</sub> crystal form  $\#2$ ), 2BSI ( $\#1$ ), and 2BSJ (native SycT).

#### Acknowledgments

We greatly appreciate the assistance of Dr. Uwe Müller (PSF, BESSY beamline BL14.1) during SAD data collection and acknowledge the use of beam time at DESY beamline BW6. We thank Dr. Hans-Jürgen Hecht for crystallographic advice, Dr. Wolf-Dieter Schubert for help in crystallography and critical reading of the manuscript, and Rita Getzlaff for N-terminal sequencing. This work was supported by the DFG priority program 1150—"Signalling pathways to the cytoskeleton and bacterial pathogenicity'' (to H.N.).

## References

- A¨pfelbacher, M., Trasak, C., Wilharm, G., Wiedemann, A., Trulzsch, K., Krauss, K., Gierschik, P., and Heesemann, J. 2003. Characterization of YopT effects on Rho GTPases in Yersinia enterocolitica-infected cells. J. Biol. Chem. 278: 33217–33223.
- Birtalan, S. and Ghosh, P. 2001. Structure of the Yersinia type III secretory system chaperone SycE. Nat. Struct. Biol. 8: 974–978.
- Birtalan, S.C., Phillips, R.M., and Ghosh, P. 2002. Three-dimensional secretion signals in chaperone-effector complexes of bacterial pathogens. Mol. Cell 9: 971–980.
- Bottone, E.J. 1999. Yersinia enterocolitica: Overview and epidemiologic correlates. Microbes Infect. 1: 323–333.
- Brunger, A.T., Adams, P.D., Clore, G.M., DeLano, W.L., Gros, P., Grosse-Kunstleve, R.W., Jiang, J.S., Kuszewski, J., Nilges, M., Pannu, N.S., et al. 1998. Crystallography and NMR system: A new software suite for macromolecular structure determination. Acta Crystallogr. D Biol. Crystallogr. 54: 905–921.
- Cornelis, G.R. 2002. The Yersinia YSC-YOP "type III" weaponry. Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell Biol. 3: 742–752.
- Cornelis, G.R. and Van Gijsegem, F. 2000. Assembly and function of type III secretory systems. Annu. Rev. Microbiol. 54: 735–774.
- Cornelis, G.R., Boland, A., Boyd, A.P., Geuijen, C., Iriarte, M., Neyt, C., Sory, M.P., and Stainier, I. 1998. The virulence plasmid of Yersinia, an antihost genome. Microbiol. Mol. Biol. Rev. 62: 1315–1352.
- Diederichs, K. and Karplus, P.A. 1997. Improved R-factors for diffraction data analysis in macromolecular crystallography. Nat. Struct. Biol. 4: 269–275.
- Evdokimov, A.G., Tropea, J.E., Routzahn, K.M., and Waugh, D.S. 2002. Three-dimensional structure of the type III secretion chaperone SycE from Yersinia pestis. Acta Crystallogr. D Biol. Crystallogr. 58: 398–406.
- Feldman, M.F. and Cornelis, G.R. 2003. The multitalented type III chaperones: All you can do with 15 kDa. FEMS Microbiol. Lett. 219: 151–158.
- Francis, M.S., Wolf-Watz, H., and Forsberg, A. 2002. Regulation of type III secretion systems. Curr. Opin. Microbiol. 5: 166–172.
- Frithz-Lindsten, E., Du, Y., Rosqvist, R., and Forsberg, A. 1997. Intracellular targeting of exoenzyme S of Pseudomonas aeruginosa via type III– dependent translocation induces phagocytosis resistance, cytotoxicity and disruption of actin microfilaments. Mol. Microbiol. 25: 1125–1139.
- Ghosh, P. 2004. Process of protein transport by the type III secretion system. Microbiol. Mol. Biol. Rev. 68: 771–795.
- Guerrero, S.A., Hecht, H.J., Hofmann, B., Biebl, H., and Singh, M. 2001. Production of selenomethionine-labelled proteins using simplified culture conditions and generally applicable host/vector systems. Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol. 56: 718–723.
- Guex, N. and Peitsch, M.C. 1997. SWISS-MODEL and the Swiss-PdbViewer: An environment for comparative protein modeling. Electrophoresis 18: 2714–2723.
- Heinig, M. and Frishman, D. 2004. STRIDE: A web server for secondary structure assignment from known atomic coordinates of proteins. Nucleic Acids Res. 32: W500–W502.
- Holm, L. and Sander, C. 1993. Protein structure comparison by alignment of distance matrices. J. Mol. Biol. 233: 123–138.
- Hubbard, S.J. and Argos, P. 1994. Cavities and packing at protein interfaces. Protein Sci. 3: 2194–2206.
- Iriarte, M. and Cornelis, G.R. 1998. YopT, a new Yersinia Yop effector protein, affects the cytoskeleton of host cells. Mol. Microbiol. 29: 915–929.
- Jones, T.A., Zou, J.Y., Cowan, S.W., and Kjeldgaard, M. 1991. Improved methods for building protein models in electron density maps and the location of errors in these models. Acta Crystallogr. A 47: 110-119.
- Kabsch, W. 1993. Automatic processing of rotation diffraction data from crystals of initially unknown symmetry and cell constants. J. Appl. Crystallogr. 26: 795–800.
- Kleywegt, G.J. 1994. Detection, delineation, measurement and display of cavities in macromolecular structures. Acta Crystallogr. D Biol. Crystallogr. 50: 178–185.
- Lee, B. and Richards, F.M. 1971. The interpretation of protein structures: Estimation of static accessibility. J. Mol. Biol. 55: 379–400.
- Luo, Y., Bertero, M.G., Frey, E.A., Pfuetzner, R.A., Wenk, M.R., Creagh, L., Kay, C., Haynes, C., Finley, B.B., and Strydnaka, N.C.J. 2001.

Structural and biochemical characterization of the type III secretion chaperones CesT and SigE. Nat. Struct. Biol. 8: 1031–1036.

- Marlovits, T.C., Kubori, T., Sukhan, A., Thomas, D.R., Galan, J.E., and Unger, V.M. 2004. Structural insights into the assembly of the type III secretion needle complex. Science 306: 1040-1042.
- Murshudov, G.N., Vagin, A.A., and Dodson, E.J. 1997. Refinement of macromolecular structures by the maximum-likelihood method. Acta Crystallogr. D Biol. Crystallogr. 53: 240–255.
- Navarro, L., Alto, N.M., and Dixon, J.E. 2005. Functions of the Yersinia effector proteins in inhibiting host immune responses. Curr. Opin. Microbiol. 8: 21–27.
- Otwinowski, Z. and Minor, W. 1997. Processing of X-ray diffraction data collected in oscillation mode. Methods Enzymol. 276: 307–326.
- Pape, T. and Schneider, T.R. 2004. HKL2MAP: A graphical user interface for phasing with SHELX programs. J. Appl. Crystallogr. 37: 843–844.
- Parsot, C., Hamiaux, C., and Page, A.-L. 2003. The various and varying roles of specific chaperones in type III secretion systems. Curr. Opin. Microbiol. 6: 7–14.
- Pearson, W.R. 1990. Rapid and sensitive sequence comparison with FASTP and FASTA. Methods Enzymol. 183: 63-98.
- Perrakis, A., Morris, R., and Lamzin, V.S. 1999. Automated protein model building combined with iterative structure refinement. Nat. Struct. Biol. 6: 458–463.
- Phan, J., Tropea, J.E., and Waugh, D.S. 2004. Structure of the Yersinia pestis type III secretion chaperone SycH in complex with a stable fragment of YscM2. Acta Crystallogr. D Biol. Crystallogr. 60: 1591-1599.
- Schubot, F.D., Jackson, M.W., Penrose, K.J., Cherry, S., Tropea, J.E., Plano, G.V., and Waugh, D.S. 2005. Three-dimensional structure of a macromolecular assembly that regulates type III secretion in Yersinia pestis. J. Mol. Biol. 346: 1147–1161.
- Shao, F., Merritt, P.M., Bao, Z.Q., Innes, R.W., and Dixon, J.E. 2002. A Yersinia effector and a Pseudomonas avirulence protein define a family of cysteine proteases functioning in bacterial pathogenesis. Cell 109: 575–588.
- Sheldrick, G.M. 2002. Macromolecular phasing with SHELXE. Zeitschrift für Kristallographie 217: 644–650.
- Singer, A.U., Desveaux, D., Betts, L., Chang, J.H., Nimchuk, Z., Grant, S.R., Dangl, J.L., and Sondek, J. 2004. Crystal structures of the type III effector protein AvrPphF and its chaperone reveal residues required for plant pathogenesis. Structure 12: 1669–1681.
- Sorg, I., Hoffmann, C., Dumbach, J., Aktories, K., and Schmidt, G. 2003. The C terminus of YopT is crucial for activity and the N terminus is crucial for substrate binding. Infect. Immun. 71: 4623–4632.
- Sory, M., Boland, A., Lambermont, I., and Cornelis, G.R. 1995. Identification of the YopE and YopH domains required for secretion and internalization into the cytosol of macrophages, using the cyaA gene fusion approach. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. 92: 11998–12002.
- Stebbins, C.E. and Galan, J.E. 2001. Maintenance of an unfolded polypeptide by a cognate chaperone in bacterial type III secretion. Nature 414: 77–81.
- Storoni, L.C., McCoy, A.J., and Read, R.J. 2004. Likelihood-enhanced fast rotation functions. Acta Crystallogr. D Biol. Crystallogr. 60: 432–438.
- Tampakaki, A.P., Fadouloglou, V.E., Gazi, A.D., Panopoulos, N.J., and Kokkinidis, M. 2004. Conserved features of type III secretion. Cell. Microbiol. 6: 805-816.
- Thompson, J.D., Higgins, D.G., and Gibson, T.J. 1994. CLUSTAL W: Improving the sensitivity of progressive multiple sequence alignment through sequence weighting, position-specific gap penalties and weight matrix choice. Nucleic Acids Res. 22: 4673–4680.
- Trame, C.B. and McKay, D.B. 2003. Structure of the Yersinia enterocolitica molecular-chaperone protein SycE. Acta Crystallogr. D Biol. Crystallogr. 59: 389–392.
- Trülzsch, K., Roggenkamp, A., Äpfelbacher, M., Wilharm, G., Ruckdeschel, K., and Heesemann, J.R. 2003. Analysis of chaperone-dependent Yop secretion/translocation and effector function using a minivirulence plasmid of Yersinia enterocolitica. Int. J. Med. Microbiol. 293: 167–177.
- Uson, I. and Sheldrick, G.M. 1999. Advances in direct methods for protein crystallography. Curr. Opin. Struct. Biol. 9: 643–648.
- Van Eerde, A., Hamiaux, C., Perez, J., Parsot, C., and Dijkstra, B.W. 2004. Structure of Spa15, a type III secretion chaperone from Shigella flexneri with broad specificity. EMBO Rep. 5: 477–483.
- Wattiau, P. and Cornelis, G.R. 1993. SycE, a chaperone-like protein of Yersinia enterocolitica involved in the secretion of YopE. Mol. Microbiol. 8: 123–131.
- Wattiau, P., Bernier, B., Deslee, P., Michiels, T., and Cornelis, G.R. 1994. Individual chaperones required for Yop secretion by Yersinia. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. 91: 10493–10497.
- Wattiau, P., Woestyn, S., and Cornelis, G.R. 1996. Customized secretion chaperones in pathogenic bacteria. Mol. Microbiol. 20: 255–262.
- Woestyn, S., Sory, M.P., Boland, A., Lequenne, O., and Cornelis, G.R. 1996. The cytosolic SycE and SycH chaperones of Yersinia protect the region of YopE and YopH involved in translocation across eukaryotic cell membranes. Mol. Microbiol. 20: 1261–1271.
- Yip, C.K., Finlay, B.B., and Strynadka, N.C.J. 2005. Structural characterization of a type III secretion system filament protein in complex with its chaperone. Nat. Struct. Mol. Biol. 12: 75–81.
- Zhu, M., Shao, F., Innes, R.W., Dixon, J.E., and Xu, Z. 2004. The crystal structure of Pseudomonas avirulence protein AvrPphB: A papain-like fold with a distinct substrate-binding site. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. 101: 302–307.
- Zumbihl, R., Äpfelbacher, M., Andor, A., Jacobi, C.A., Ruckdeschel, K., Rouot, B., and Heesemann, J. 1999. The cytotoxin YopT of Yersinia enterocolitica induces modification and cellular redistribution of the small GTP-binding protein RhoA. J. Biol. Chem. 274: 29289–29293.