Analysis of Unregulated Formin Activity Reveals How Yeast Can Balance F-Actin Assembly between Different Microfilament-based Organizations

Lina Gao and Anthony Bretscher

Department of Molecular Biology and Genetics, Institute for Cell and Molecular Biology, Cornell University, Ithaca, NY 14853

Submitted June 1, 2007; Revised January 14, 2008; Accepted January 17, 2008 Monitoring Editor: Kerry Bloom

Formins are regulated actin-nucleating proteins that are widespread among eukaryotes. Overexpression of unregulated formins in budding yeast is lethal and causes a massive accumulation of disorganized cable-like filaments. To explore the basis of this lethality, a cDNA library was screened to identify proteins whose overexpression could rescue the lethality conferred by unregulated Bnr1p expression. Three classes of suppressors encoding actin-binding proteins were isolated. One class encodes proteins that promote the assembly of actin cables (*TPM1*, *TPM2*, and *ABP140*), suggesting that the lethality was rescued by turning disorganized filaments into functional cables. The second class encodes proteins that bind G-actin (*COF1*, *SRV2*, and *PFY1*), indicating that reduction of the pool of actin available for cable formation may also rescue lethality. Consistent with this, pharmacological or genetic reduction of available actin also protected the cell from overproduction of unregulated Bnr1p. The third class consists of Las17p, an activator of the formin-independent Arp2/3p-dependent actin nucleation pathway. These results indicate that proper assembly of actin cables is sensitive to the appropriate balance of their constituents and that input into one pathway for actin filament assembly can affect another. Thus, cells must have a way of ensuring a proper balance between actin assembly pathways.

INTRODUCTION

The ability to polarize is an essential feature of all cells (Nelson, 2003). It is most clearly seen in the distinct functional domains characteristic of epithelial cells, migrating cells, and neurons. Another manifestation of cell polarity is the ability of cells to select an axis for cell division and then segregate their organelles along that axis. In budding yeast, the axis of cell division is determined early in the cell cycle by bud site selection. The bud grows by polarized growth and organelles are then segregated along this axis (Pruyne *et al.*, 2004b).

The actin cytoskeleton is responsible for this polarized growth (Pruyne et al., 2004b). The yeast actin cytoskeleton consists primarily of cortical patches, which are sites of endocytosis (Engqvist-Goldstein and Drubin, 2003), and actin cables (Adams and Pringle, 1984; Kilmartin and Adams, 1984), which are bundles of filaments arising from the bud cortex and neck and extending into the mother cell (Pruyne et al., 2004a). The cables play several roles in promoting proper bud growth, serving as tracks for the transport of secretory vesicles to support cell expansion (Govindan et al., 1995; Pruyne et al., 1998; Schott et al., 1999), for the segregation of organelles, including the vacuole, mitochondria, endoplasmic reticulum, and peroxisomes (Simon et al., 1995; Du *et al.*, 2001; Hoepfner *et al.*, 2001; Fehrenbacher *et al.*, 2002; Weisman, 2003; Fagarasanu *et al.*, 2006) and for the transport of mRNAs (Takizawa et al., 1997) and the tips of cytoplasmic

Address correspondence to: Anthony Bretscher (apb5@cornell.edu).

microtubules for nuclear orientation (Beach *et al.*, 2000; Yin *et al.*, 2000; Hwang *et al.*, 2003). Most of these transport activities, including secretory vesicle transport, are dependent on the yeast *MYO2* gene that encodes the heavy chain of the essential myosin-V; the nonessential heavy chain encoded by *MYO4* transports specific mRNAs and cortical endoplasmic reticulum into the bud along actin cables (Takizawa and Vale, 2000; Estrada *et al.*, 2003; Schmid *et al.*, 2006).

The spontaneous nucleation of filaments from G-actin occurs very slowly, so the assembly of actin containing structures is driven by the activity of nucleation factors. The regulated localization and activation of these factors controls the distribution of different actin-based structures. Yeast has two classes of actin nucleators, the Arp2/3 complex that nucleates assembly of the actin filaments of patches (Winter *et al.*, 1999b), and the two formin homologues, Bni1p and Bnr1p, that are the nucleators for cables (Evangelista *et al.*, 2002; Pruyne *et al.*, 2002; Sagot *et al.*, 2002a,b; Pruyne *et al.*, 2004a).

Regulation of the Arp2/3 complex is through the action of activators that greatly increase its very weak intrinsic actin nucleation activity (Moseley and Goode, 2006). Budding yeast has five known activators: Las17p (a member of the Wiskott-Aldrich syndrome protein family), Myo3p and Myo5p (two myosin-I family members), and Abp1p and Pan1p (related to Eps15; Duncan *et al.*, 2001; Goode *et al.*, 2001). Of these, Abp1p and Pan1p have relatively weak activity, Myo3p and Myo5p require the cofactor Vrp1p (a WIP family member) for their full activity, and Las17p exhibits strong Arp2/3-stimulatory activity on its own (Rodal *et al.*, 2003; Sun *et al.*, 2006). Like other WASp family members, the C-terminus of Las17p includes a conserved WH2 (WASp homology 2) domain that binds G-actin, and an A (acidic) region, which interacts with the Arp2/3 complex

This article was published online ahead of print in *MBC in Press* (http://www.molbiolcell.org/cgi/doi/10.1091/mbc.E07–05–0520) on January 30, 2008.

and is necessary to stimulate nucleation (Winter *et al.*, 1999a).

Regulation of the formins has been proposed to involve activation through a conformational change induced by Rho-GTPases (Alberts, 2001; Dong et al., 2003; Pruyne et al., 2004a). The formins Bni1p and Bnr1p share homologous N-terminal RBD (Rho-binding domain) and adjacent C-terminal proline-rich FH1 (formin homology 1) and FH2 (formin homology 2) domains. The FH2 domain contains the actin nucleating activity and binds the filament barbed end, protecting it from the inhibitory effects of capping protein (Zigmond et al., 2003; Moseley et al., 2004). The FHI domain recruits complexes of actin monomers bound to the protein profilin, enhancing transfer of actin to the barbed end (Evangelista et al., 1997; Vavylonis et al., 2006). Mammalian formin homologues with similar domain structures are autoinhibited by an interaction between a sequence C-terminal to the FH2 domain called the DAD (Dia-autoregulatory domain; Alberts, 2001) and a sequence overlapping the RBD called the DID (diaphanous inhibitory domain; Otomo et al., 2005). Association of a GTP-bound Rho protein with the RBD

disrupts the DID/DAD interaction, relieving the inhibition (Watanabe *et al.*, 1999; Rose *et al.*, 2005). The N-terminal regions of Bni1p and Bnr1p have been implicated in proper localization of the proteins to the cell cortex (Fujiwara *et al.*, 1998; Kikyo *et al.*, 1999; Ozaki-Kuroda *et al.*, 2001).

In support of this model, overexpression in yeast of formin constructs lacking the predicted N-terminal regulatory motif is lethal and leads to an aberrant accumulation of cable-like actin filaments (Evangelista *et al.*, 2002; Sagot *et al.*, 2002a). Here we report the identification of genes whose overexpression can suppress the lethality conferred by such a constitutively active Bnr1p-derived construct. Analysis of specific suppressors suggests that they function by shifting the balance of actin between patches and cables, thereby revealing the need to have a normal mechanism to achieve such a balance.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Construction of Yeast Strains and Plasmids

Yeast strains used in this study are described in Table 1 and plasmids in Table 2.

Table 1. Yeast strains used in this study						
Strain	Genotype	Source				
ABY204	MATα ade2-101 ade3 leu2,112 his3Δ200 ura3-52 tpm1Δ::LEU2	Lab collection				
Y1239	MATa his $3\Delta 1$ leu $2\Delta 0$ met $\Delta 15$ ura $3\Delta 0$	Evangelista et al. (2002)				
Y1240	$MAT\alpha$ his $3\Delta 1$ leu $2\Delta 0$ lys $2\Delta 0$ ura $3\Delta 0$	Evangelista et al. (2002)				
ABY1802	MATa his $3\Delta 1$ ly $s2\Delta$ leu $2\Delta 0$ ura $3\Delta 0$ bni 1Δ ::Kan ^R	Evangelista et al. (2002)				
ABY1807	MATa his $3\Delta 1$ met $15\Delta 0$ leu $2\Delta 0$ ura $3\Delta 0$ tpm 1 -2::LEU2 tpm 2Δ ::Kan ^R	Evangelista et al. (2002)				
ABY2000	MATα his 3Δ 1 leu 2Δ 1 lys 2Δ 1 ura 3Δ 0 bnr1 Δ ::Kan ^R bni1-11::ura 3Δ ::HIS3	Derived from Y4133 Evangelista <i>et al.</i> (2002)				
ABY2001	MATα his3Δ1 leu2Δ0 metΔ15 ura3Δ0 ade2Δ::GAL1-10 promoter-Bnr1ΔRBD::Kan ^R	This study				
ABY2007	MATa his $3\Delta 1$ leu $2\Delta 0$ met $\Delta 15$ ura $3\Delta 0$ bni 1Δ ::Kan ^R bnr1 ⁻ 6::HIS3	This study				
ABY2015	MAT a/α his3 $\Delta 1$ /his3 $\Delta 1$ met15 $\Delta 0$ /MET15 leu2 $\Delta 0$ /leu2 $\Delta 0$ lys2 $\Delta 0$ /LYS2 ura3 $\Delta 0$ /ura3 $\Delta 0$ ACT1/act1 Δ ::Kan ^R	This study				
ABY2061	$MAT\alpha$ his $3\Delta 1$ leu $2\Delta 0$ met $\Delta 15$ ura $3\Delta 0$ ade 2Δ ::GAL1-10 promoter-Bnr1 ΔRBD ::Kan ^R aip 1Δ :: Kan ^R	This study				
$aip1\Delta$	MATa his $3\Delta 1$ leu $2\Delta 0$ met $\Delta 15$ ura $3\Delta 0$ aip 1Δ :: Kan ^R	ATCC (Manassas VA)				

Table 2	Plasmids used in this study	

Plasmid	Backbone	Selectable marker	Features	Source
p3100	pRS315	LEU2	MYO3 under GAL1-10 promoter	C. Boone
pLG011	pRS315	LEU2	BNR1 1141-7294	This study
p015	pRS316	URA3	Bnr1- Δ RBD under GAL1-10 promoter	Pruyne <i>et al.</i> (2004a)
pLG024	pRS316	URA3	C-terminally 3HA-tagged $Bnr1-\Delta RBD$ under GAL1-10 promoter	This study
pLG028	pRS316	URA3	Bnr1- ΔRBD followed by Kan ^R marker, with flanking $ADE2$ 5' and 3' UTR	This study
pLG034	pRS303	HIS3	Integrates 3' to BNR1	This study
pLG041	pRS316	URA3	TPM1 under GAL1-10 promoter	This study
pLG044	pRS316	URA3	COF1 under GAL1-10 promoter	This study
pLG051	pRS316	URA3	LAS17 (612-1990) under GAL1-10 promoter	This study
pLG060	pRS303	HIS3	Integrates 3' to BNR1 to introduce bnr1-6	This study
pLG068	pRS316	URA3	TPM2 under GAL1-10 promoter	This study
pLG072	pRS316	URA3	SRV2 under GAL1-10 promoter	This study
pLG081	pRS316	URA3	ABP140 (1-654) under GAL1-10 promoter	This study
pLG089	pRS316	URA3	LAS17 under GAL1-10 promoter	This study
pLG096	pRS316	URA3	PFY1 under GAL1-10 promoter	This study
pLG210	pRS316	URA3	pfy1-4 under GAL1-10 promoter	This study
pLG244	pRS316	URA3	GAL1-10 promoter followed by BNR1 3' UTR	This study
pLG245	pRS316	URA3	pfy1-14 under GAL1-10 promoter	This study
pLG282	pRS316	URA3	BNR1 under GAL1-10 promoter	This study
pCM36	pRS316	URA3	las17 ΔA under GAL1-10 promoter	B. Goode
pCM37	pRS316	URA3	las17 Δ WH2-A under GAL1-10 promoter	B. Goode
PUG6	N/A	N/A	Kan ^R cassette	Guldener et al. (1996)
pYGS108	pRS316	URA3	HA-PAN1 under GAL1-10 promoter	M. Cai

The strategy used to construct myo2 temperature-sensitive mutations (Schott et al., 1999) was used here to construct bnr1 temperature-sensitive mutations. This strategy involves generating a library of PCR-mutagenized bnr1 FH2 products that are then used to replace the genomic sequence and transformants screened for temperature sensitivity. pLG011, which contains BNR1 1141-7294, was cut with MfeI to release BNR1 2810-4420, which encodes the FH2 region and 3' untranslated region (UTR). This fragment was circularized by ligation and used directly as a template for 10 PCR cycles using CACCGCCCGAAAAGGG. This generated a linear product with the distal region of the 3'UTR fused at the MfeI site to the FH2 coding sequence followed by the proximal 3'UTR sequence. The PCR product was then cut with ClaI and XbaI, sites that had been introduced by the PRC primers, and the product was ligated into ClaI/XbaI-cut pRS303. The resulting plasmid, pLG034, was cut with PvuII to release a 2-kb fragment that was used as a template for mutagenic PCR (Schott *et al.*, 1999), with primers spanning the BlpII site upstream of the FH2 region and SstII in the vector The mutagenized PCR product was cut with BlpI and SstII and ligated into pLG034 and then transformed into bacteria. Transformants were pooled and plasmid DNA was isolated from them. This library of PCR-mutagenized bnr1 FH2 sequence was cut with MfeI and transformed into ABY1802 (bni1\Delta) with selection for HIS3 to replace the endogenous sequence. About 500 transformants were isolated and screened for growth at 14, 30, and 37°C. Six strains viable at 14 and 30°C but not at 37°C were isolated. Genomic DNA of ABY2007 (bni1\Dbnr1-6) was cut with MfeI and ligated to recover plasmid pLG060 bearing the mutagenized bnr1 FH2 sequence.

To allow for galactose-inducible expression of Bnr1p lacking the RBD, a Kan^R cassette was generated by PCR from PUG6 (Guldener *et al.*, 1996) and cloned into the NotI site downstream of *BNR1* sequence in p015 (Pruyne *et al.*, 2004a), followed by 497-bp *ADE2* 3' sequence (1501–1998) cloned between NotI and SstII. A 365-bp sequence of *ADE2* 5' UTR(-23 to -390) was cloned into KpnI site upstream of the *GAL1-10* promoter with a SstII site added upstream of *ADE2* 5'UTR. The resulting plasmid, pLG028, was cut with SstII and transformed into Y1240 (wild type). This strain was called ABY2001.

To allow for overexpression of Bnr1p Δ RBD in *aip*1 Δ background, ABY2001 was crossed to *aip*1 Δ purchased from ATCC (Manassas VA), followed by sporulation. A spore containing both *Bnr*1 Δ RBD and *aip*1 Δ was selected and named ABY2061.



To generate the Bnr1p overexpression plasmid, pLG244 was first made by insertion of a NheI site between the MluI and NotI sites and insertion of *BNR1* 3'UTR (4129–4628) between the NotI and SstII sites of the pRS316-*GAL1-10* plasmid (Liu *et al.*, 1992). The *BNR1* open reading frame (ORF) was then cloned by PCR from a plasmid containing full-length *BNR1* sequence into the NheI and NotI sites of pLG209, resulting in pLG282.

The coding sequences of *pfy1-4* and *pfy1-14* were cloned by PCR from pBG832 and pBG833 (unpublished results provided by Dr. B. Goode, Brandeis University), respectively, and inserted between the NheI and NotI sites of the pRS316-*GAL1-10* plasmid (Liu *et al.*, 1992).

pLG089 was constructed by retrieving the *LAS17* sequence from p3186 (Tong *et al.*, 2002) by cutting with BamHI and NotI, followed by ligation into the pRS316-*GAL1-10* plasmid (Liu *et al.*, 1992).

Overexpression Suppression Screen

A *GAL1-10* promoter-driven cDNA library (Liu *et al.*, 1992) was transformed into ABY2001 and plated on SGal. About 200,000 transformants were screened for viability. Plasmids were recovered from surviving colonies and transformed back into ABY2001 to confirm their ability to suppress. Plasmids that continued to rescue the galactose-induced lethality were then sequenced.

Immunoblot with Bnr1p Antibodies

Rabbit polyclonal antiserum was raised against recombinant GST-Bnr1p FH1-FH2-COOH (residues 757-1375) purified from bacteria as described (Pruyne *et al.*, 2004a). Antibodies used in this study were affinity-purified first on excess glutathione S-transferase (GST) and then on GST- Bnr1p FH1-FH2-COOH. Standard Western blotting was performed using Bnr1p FH1-FH2-COOH antibody at 1:50.

Light Microscopy

Immunofluorescence microscopy with rabbit antibodies to yeast actin, Tpm1p, Myo2p, and mouse antibodies to the HA epitope was performed as



Figure 1. Loss of Bnr1p function in *bni*1 Δ cells causes loss of actin cables. (A) Bnr1p constructs used in this study: RBD, DID, dimerization domain (DD), FH1 and FH2, and DAD. (B) Localization of actin at room temperature (23°C) and after shifting to 34.5°C for 15 min in wild-type (Y1239), *bni*1 Δ (ABY1802), and *bni*1 Δ bnr1-6 (ABY2007) cells. Bar, 5 μ m. (C) Percentage of small- to medium-budded cells with actin cables in the mother cell at 23 and 34.5°C.



Figure 2. Overexpression of unregulated Bnr1p induces accumulation of disorganized cable-like filaments and delocalized Myo2p. (A) Localization of HA-Bnr1p Δ RBD, as visualized by immunofluorescence with antibody to the HA-tag, after 2-h galactose induction. (B) Immunolocalization of actin (Act1p), tropomyosin (Tpm1p), and Myo2p in wild-type cells containing a control plasmid or overexpressing Bnr1p Δ RBD after 10-h galactose induction. White arrows, excess filaments at the bud neck; arrowheads, excess filaments in the bud. Bar, 5 μ m.

described (Pruyne *et al.*, 1998; Evangelista *et al.*, 2002). Pictures were acquired with a Nikon Eclipse TE-2000U microscope (Melville, NY) on a confocal imaging system (UltraView LCI, PerkinElmer, Norwalk, CT) using a Nikon 100×1.4 NA lens and digital camera (C4742–95-12ERG; Hamamatsu, Bridgewater, NJ).

To count the number of actin patches and measure the relative intensity of individual patches, pictures of Z-series were imported into ImageJ (NIH; http://rsb.info.nih.gov/ij/) and projected onto one plane. Relative intensity was calculated by selecting the area of a patch and then dividing the average intensity by the area.

Latrunculin A Halo Assay

Y1240 and ABY2001 carrying pRS316 were grown to midlog phase (OD₆₀₀ = 0.5). 2-ml cell cultures were mixed with 2 ml 50°C 1% agar and poured onto SGal-Ura plates. Filter paper soaked with 10 μ l 10 μ M latrunculin A (LatA) in DMSO or 10 μ l DMSO were placed onto the cell-agar surface. Plates were then incubated at 26°C.

RESULTS

Bnr1p Nucleates Actin Cable Assembly In Vivo

Yeast has two formins, Bni1p and Bnr1p. Bni1p is localized to sites of polarized growth, that is, the presumptive budding site in unbudded cells, the bud tip in small- to mediumbudded cells, the bud cortex in large-budded cells, and the bud neck in dividing cells (Fujiwara *et al.*, 1998; Ozaki-Kuroda *et al.*, 2001; Pruyne *et al.*, 2004a; Buttery *et al.*, 2007). Consistent with its known nucleation activity, overexpression of Bni1p induces the accumulation of cable-like filaments in the bud (Evangelista *et al.*, 2002; Sagot *et al.*, 2002a). Bnr1p is localized to the bud neck after bud emergence and remains there during the rest of the cell cycle (Kikyo *et al.*,

Table 3.	Identity of genes isolated as overexpression suppressors of
Bnr1p ΔF	BD overexpression lethality

	Gene name	Times isolated
Class I	TPM1	8
	TPM2	2
	ABP140 N	1
Class II	PFY1	2
	COF1	1
	SRV2	3
Class III	LAS17 C	1
Class IV	SSE1	2
	TUB1	1
	PGM1	1
	PGM2	8
	GAL11	1
	SEC53	9
	GBP2, PAB1	2 each
	RPS2, MSN2, SNF5, RPG1, STP4	1 each

1999; Pruyne et al., 2004a; Buttery et al., 2007). We have previously shown that purified recombinant Bnr1p FH1-FH2 domains nucleate actin filaments in vitro, and overexpression of Bnr1p lacking the RBD results in the accumulation of excessive actin filaments in vivo (Pruyne et al., 2004a). To further confirm its biological function, we generated *bnr1* temperature-sensitive alleles in a $bni1\Delta$ background. Six mutants were recovered, and all had mutations in the Bnr1p FH2 domain that result in loss of actin cables at the restrictive temperature. One of these alleles, *bnr1-6*, is caused by an I1137F mutation in the FH2 domain (Figure 1A). Actin antibody staining showed that $bnr1-6 bni1\Delta$ cells have wildtype actin cables coming from the bud neck when grown at room temperature. On temperature shift, these cells lost actin cables in 15 min (Figure 1, B and C), confirming Bnr1p's role as a nucleator for actin cables assembled from the bud neck.



Figure 3. Suppression of Bnr1p Δ RBD overexpression lethality by the identified genes. Bnr1p Δ RBD overexpression cells (ABY2001) or wild-type cells (Y1240) containing a control vector (pRS316) or the identified cDNAs after growing for 2 d on glucose-containing media (SD) or 4 d on galactose media (SGAL).



Overexpression of Unregulated Bnr1p Is Lethal

It has been reported that overexpression of the C-terminal half of Bnr1p causes growth inhibition, abnormal cell morphology, and actin patch delocalization (Kikyo et al., 1999). We explored in greater detail how overexpression of unregulated Bnr1p affects cytoskeletal organization, cell morphology, and growth. Like its mammalian homologues, Bnr1p is believed to be autoregulated through interaction between the DID and DAD, and we have shown previously that induction of Bnr1p lacking the RBD (Bnr1p Δ RBD, Figure 1A) from the GAL1-10 promoter results in overproduction of actin filaments in the cell (Pruyne et al., 2004a). On further examination, we found that yeast bearing the Gal-inducible Bnr1p Δ RBD grew on glucose-containing media (repressive conditions) but failed to grow on galactose-containing media, confirming that overexpression of unregulated Bnr1p is lethal (Figure S1A). This is likely due to the constitutive activity of Bnr1pARBD, because overexpression of fulllength Bnr1p only caused a slight growth defect (Figure S1A).

To investigate the cause of lethality conferred by overexpression of Bnr1p Δ RBD, cells were grown overnight in galactose and examined for cell morphology and the organization of the actin cytoskeleton. Overexpressed HA-tagged Bnr1p Δ RBD localized to the bud neck (Figure 2A), just like endogenous full-length Bnr1p (Kikyo *et al.*, 1999; Pruyne *et al.*, 2004a). Most cells were unbudded, consistent with the

Suppression of Bnr1p∆RBD over-Figure 4. expression phenotype by three classes of suppressors. (A) Immunolocalization of actin (Act1p) and Myo2p in cells overexpressing Bnr1p Δ RBD and one representative of each class of suppressors. Exponentially growing cells were fixed and processed for immunofluorescence microscopy as indicated. Bar, 5 μ m. (B) Percentage of cells that had polarized Myo2p staining (bud tip in small- to mediumbudded cells and bud neck in large-budded cells) in wild-type cells and strains overexpressing the denoted genes. (C) The number of actin patches in wild-type cells and strains overexpressing the denoted genes.

lethality of Bnr1p Δ RBD upon overexpression. In a few budded cells, the mother cell was enlarged and round (Figure 2B), indicating that the normal polarized secretion to the bud was compromised. The cells accumulated actin filaments in the mother cell around the neck (indicated by white arrow) and in the bud (indicated by white arrowhead) that also contained the cable-specific protein, tropomyosin (Figure 2B). In general, cells overexpressing Bnr1p∆RBD tend to have fewer patches (see Figure 4C) and more cables than control cells. However, these cables are not long and polarized as in wild-type cells, but are usually short and randomly oriented, as most clearly seen by tropomyosin staining (Figure 2B). Again, overexpression of full-length Bnr1p does not induce this phenotype (Figure S1, B and C). This suggests that unregulated Bnr1p induces excessive nonfunctional filaments at the bud and bud neck, which may block secretion to the bud. Myo2p is normally enriched at sites of polarized growth after transporting post-Golgi secretory vesicles along polarized cables, so its localization provides a convenient marker for assessing the sites of polarized growth (Pruyne et al., 1998; Schott et al., 1999). In cells overexpressing Bnr1pARBD, Myo2p was not polarized in the majority of cells (Figures 2B and 4B), consistent with the suggestion that growth is not polarized and explaining why the cells become large and round. Thus, the overexpression of unregulated Bnr1p appears to be toxic due to the excessive accumulation of cable-like filaments that somehow disrupt polarized secretion to the bud.

Identification of Overexpression Suppressors of Bnr1p∆RBD Overexpression Lethality

In a previous study, Evangelista et al. (1997) used a GAL1-10 cDNA library to identify genes whose overexpression could suppress the lethality conferred by overexpression of the FH1FH2 domains of Bni1p. The three strongest suppressors identified encoded profilin (PFY1) and tropomyosins (TPM1, TPM2). To identify factors that might modulate Bnr1p-dependent filament assembly, the GAL1-10-cDNA library was similarly screened for genes whose overexpression could suppress the lethality caused by Bnr1p Δ RBD overexpression. The screen yielded 49 suppressors, and the suppressing cDNAs were found to be derived from 20 different genes (Table 3). A larger spectrum of suppressors, including PFY1, TPM1, and TPM2, were recovered and could be placed into four classes based on the functional properties of their protein products: 1) proteins that bind F-actin and normally organize the structure of actin cables, 2) proteins that bind monomeric actin and regulate F-actin turnover, 3) a major activator of the Arp2/3 complex, 4) proteins unrelated to the actin cytoskeleton, including chaperones, α -tubulin, proteins involved in galactose metabolism, and proteins involved in protein synthesis and modification machinery. In this study, we focus on the first three classes encoding genes whose products participate in actin organization and regulation. The ability of these genes to suppress the lethality conferred by Bnr1p Δ RBD is shown in Figure 3.

Class I Suppressors: Actin Cable Components

The first class of suppressors included TPM1, TPM2, and the N-terminal-coding region (residues 1-218) of ABP140 (ABP140N). TPM1 and TPM2 encode tropomyosin isoforms, which bind selectively to the F-actin of cables to stabilize them (Liu and Bretscher, 1989a,b; Drees et al., 1995; Pruyne et al., 1998). Abp140p is an actin-bundling protein found associated with both actin patches and cables (Asakura et al., 1998). Localization of actin in the suppressed strains overexpressing both Bnr1p Δ RBD and Tpm1p showed they have a rather normal actin cytoskeleton, but with fewer actin patches (Figure 4, A and C). When compared with cells just overproducing Bnr1p Δ RBD alone, they have less short and randomly oriented cables. Moreover, localization of Myo2p was partially restored to sites of cell growth (Figure 4, A and B), consistent with the ability of the suppressed strains to grow. As Tpm1p, Tpm2p, and Abp140p all function to organize actin cables, their capacity to suppress the lethality of Bnr1p Δ RBD overexpression is presumably due to their ability to turn disorganized actin filaments into functional cables. Another possibility is that excessive short filaments nucleated by overexpressed Bnr1p Δ RBD makes tropomyo-



Figure 5. Suppression of Bnr1pΔRBD overexpression lethality by *COF1* is not dependent on Aip1p. Top two rows, a control plasmid or $P_{GAL1-10}$ -*COF1* expressed in $P_{GAL1-10}$ -*Bnr1*Δ*RBD* cells (ABY2001). Bottom row, expression of $P_{GAL1-10}$ -*COF1* in $P_{GAL1-10}$ -*Bnr1*Δ*RBD*, *aip1*Δ cells (ABY2061). Cells were grown for 2 d on glucose-containing media (SD) or 4 d on galactose (SGAL).



Figure 6. Suppression of the Bnr1p Δ RBD overexpression lethality by *pfy*1-4 and *pfy*1-14. Dilution series of the Bnr1p Δ RBD-overexpressing yeast with *P*_{GAL1-10}-driven *PFY*1, *pfy*1-4, or *pfy*1-14 plasmid on SGAL plates at permissive (34°C) and restrictive (37°C) temperatures.

sin the limiting factor, which is suppressed by overexpression of tropomyosin.

Class II Suppressors: G-Actin-binding Proteins

The second group that can suppress Bnr1p Δ RBD-induced lethality included *COF1*, *SRV2*, and *PFY1*. The protein products of these three genes have been well characterized for their role in regulating the actin cytoskeleton (Moseley and Goode, 2006). Cells overexpressing class II suppressors and Bnr1p Δ RBD had relatively normal actin cables and a nearly normal number of actin patches (Figure 4, A and C). Class II suppressors seem to limit the actin used by the activated



Figure 7. Reducing the available G-actin suppresses the overexpression lethality of Bnr1p Δ RBD. (A) LatA suppressed Bnr1p Δ RBD-induced lethality. Wild-type cells (left) and cells overexpressing Bnr1p Δ RBD were plated on galactose-containing media. Filters soaked with 10 μ l DMSO (0 μ M) or 10 μ M LatA in DMSO (10 μ M) were placed onto the plates and then incubated for 6 d at 26°C. (B) Deletion of one copy of *ACT1* in diploid cells partially suppressed Bnr1p Δ RBD-induced lethality. An equal density of the indicated strains were diluted and spotted on SGal plates. (C) Level of Act1p in *ACT1/ACT1* and *ACT1/act1* Δ as detected by immunoblot.

formin, resulting in a restoration of the number of patches and a normal distribution of cables. As expected, Myo2p localization was restored to the bud tip (Figure 4, A and B), thereby permitting polarized growth.

Coflp (cofilin) binds to ADP-containing actin filaments and severs them (Lappalainen and Drubin, 1997; Okreglak and Drubin, 2007). It seems unlikely that this function of Coflp suppresses Bnr1p Δ RBD overexpression lethality as more nonfunctional short filaments should be produced. Because the ability of Cof1p to disassemble actin filaments is tightly coupled to its cofactor Aip1p (Balcer *et al.*, 2003; Okada *et al.*, 2006), we tested if overexpression of Cof1p could still suppress in *aip1* Δ background. We found that it suppressed even better when Aip1p is absent (Figure 5). An additional property of cofilin is its ability to bind monomeric actin (Hayden *et al.*, 1993). Overexpression of cofilin may therefore increase the level of cofilin–actin and thereby reduce the level of actin available to Bnr1p Δ RBD to assemble filaments.

Srv2p is a multifunction protein. Its N terminal domain functions as a CAP (adenylyl cyclase associated protein), and its C-terminal domain as a regulator of the actin cytoskeleton. It has been shown Srv2p performs its second function by binding monomeric actin (Freeman *et al.*, 1995). Thus, Srv2p may also suppress by reducing available Gactin. In addition, Srv2p regulates actin filament turnover. During actin filament disassembly, cofilin complexes with ADP-actin, and this inhibits ADP–ATP exchange. Srv2p enhances ADP–ATP exchange on actin by handing off ADPactin from cofilin to profilin (discussed below; Balcer *et al.*, 2003). Therefore, suppression of Bnr1p Δ RBD overexpression lethality by Srv2p overexpression might not only be due to Srv2p's G-actin–binding activity, but also its ability to promote rapid actin turnover.

Pfy1p (profilin) binds monomeric actin and stimulates ADP–ATP exchange. In this sense, it would be expected to promote filament assembly because only ATP-actin is used for assembly in vivo. Profilin also has the ability to bind to the proline-rich sequences in the FH1 domains of formins, including Bnr1p (Wasserman, 1998). By recruiting profilin–actin complexes to the FH1 domain it accelerates the elongation of actin filaments nucleated by formins (Kovar *et al.*, 2006). How might profilin overexpression suppress Bnr1p Δ RBD overexpression lethality? There are two plausible hypotheses. First, although profilin–actin is the favored substrate over free actin for filament elongation, it might be

a poorer substrate for nucleation than actin alone. Thus, when profilin is over produced, elongation is favored over nucleation, resulting in cells with long functional filaments, rather than less functional short ones. A second possibility is that when profilin is over produced, an excess of profilin over G-actin is present, so that free profilin might compete with profilin–actin for the FH1 on the formins and thereby reduce F-actin assembly. To distinguish between these two possibilities, we used two temperature-sensitive PFY1 alleles, *pfy1-4* that is conditionally defective in actin binding and *pfy1-14* that is conditionally defective in poly-proline binding (Wolven et al., 2000). Overexpression of both pfy1-4 and *pfy1-14* were able to suppress the reduced growth conferred by Bnr1pARBD overexpression at 37°C (restrictive temperature; Figure 6). Because both alleles are expected to compromise the ability of FH1 to feed profilin-actin complexes to the FH2 domain (Pfy1-4p by binding FH1 without actin and Pfy1–14p by competing with endogeneous profilin for limiting actin and its recruitment to the FH1 domain), this supports the idea that PFY1 overexpression compromises the ability of Bnr1 Δ RBD to nucleate filaments.

Analysis of the preceding suppressors suggests that they diminish the ability of the overexpressed Bnr1p Δ RBD to assemble actin filaments by reducing accessibility of the formin to actin for filament nucleation or elongation. Another possible explanation, which is not necessarily incompatible with the former, is that they suppress the lethality by promoting rapid actin turnover. Ideally this could be tested with mutants that are defective in G-actin binding, but with normal actin turnover-promoting activity. However, such mutants do not exist, because a mutant that is defective in G-actin binding will have weakened ability in actin turnover. To test the former possibility that reducing available G-actin is sufficient to suppress Bnr1p Δ RBD overexpression lethality, we examined the effect of reducing the availability of G-actin pharmacologically and genetically. LatA is an actin monomer sequestering drug, which at saturating levels can cause the loss of all filamentous actin structures from the cell (Ayscough et al., 1997; Karpova et al., 1998). We tested whether intermediate levels of LatA could suppress the overexpression lethality of Bnr1pARBD. A gradient of LatA was formed by placing a LatA-soaked filter on a lawn of *GAL*-driven Bnr1p Δ RBD cells plated on galactose media. The highest levels of LatA killed both wild-type and Bnr1p Δ RBD-expressing cells, and the Bnr1p Δ RBDexpressing cells died in the absence of LatA, but interme-



Figure 8. Suppression of Bnr1pΔRBD overexpression lethality by Las17p requires the A domain. (A) Schematic representation of the *LAS17* constructs used. EVH1, Ena/VASP homology 1; WH2, WASp homology 2; A, acidic domain. (B) Dilution series of Bnr1pΔRBD overexpressing yeast with *P*_{GAL1-10} -*LAS17*, *LAS17* C, *las17*ΔA, or *las17*ΔWH2-A plasmid on glucose- (SC) and galactose-containing (SGAL) plates.

diate levels of the drug rescued growth of the Bnr1p Δ RBD cells (Figure 7A).

To reduce the level of actin genetically, we assessed whether diploid yeast heterozygous for the loss of the actin gene ($ACT1/act1\Delta$) could tolerate Bnr1p Δ RBD overexpression. Compared with ACT1/ACT1 cells, the heterozygous $ACT1/act1\Delta$ cells grew poorly, presumably because of the lowered level of Act1p (Figure 7C), but with the expression of Bnr1p Δ RBD, the ACT1/ACT1 cells died, whereas the heterozygotes were able to grow weakly (Figure 7B). Thus, genetic and pharmacological manipulations confirm that the overall reduction of actin available for assembly is able to remediate the lethal effects of overexpression of deregulated Bnr1p.

Class III Suppressors: Las17p, Promoting Activation of Arp2/3-mediated Actin Assembly

A clone of the C-terminal region (encoding residues 204-633) of LAS17 (LAS17C) comprises the third group of suppressors of Bnr1p Δ RBD-induced lethality (Figure 8). Similarly, overexpression of full-length LAS17 also suppresses Bnr1p Δ RBD overexpression lethality (Figure 8). As a homolog of the WASp family proteins, Las17p is a modular protein with an actin monomer-binding WH2 domain, followed by an acidic domain (A domain), which together function as a major activator of the Arp2/3 complex (Winter et al., 1999a; Rodal et al., 2003; Sun et al., 2006). Two possible explanations for suppression by Las17p are that it functions either by reducing available G-actin or by stimulating the Arp2/3 to be more active in patch formation and thereby indirectly depleting the G-actin available to the formins. To distinguish between these possibilities, we overexpressed Las17p lacking the A domain, yet retaining the WH2 domain (Las17p Δ A), or Las17p lacking both the WH2 domain and the A domain (Las17p Δ WH2-Å). Neither could suppress the lethality (Figure 8), suggesting that increased activation of the Arp2/3 complex is necessary to suppress the lethality conferred by Bnr1p Δ RBD overexpression. Consistent with this, Bnr1p Δ RBD-overexpressing cells rescued by Las17pC have more actin patches compared with the first two classes of suppressors (Figure 4C).

These results suggest that increased Arp2/3-dependent filament assembly can shift actin assembly away from formin-dependent assembly. In yeast, the products of Arp2/3 stimulated filaments form the cortical patches, whereas the formin-stimulated filaments assemble into actin cables (Evangelista et al., 2002; Pruyne et al., 2002, 2004a; Sagot et al., 2002a,b). We assayed if the overactivation of the Arp2/3-complex by Las17p in wild-type yeast affects actin organization. We found that overexpression of Las17p C did not increase the number of actin patches in a cell (Figure 4C), but resulted in increased intensity of actin patches (Figure 9, A and B). We also found that the overexpression of Las17p C depleted actin cables in ~40% of small- to medium-budded cells (Figure 9, A and C). Further, overexpression of Las17p C also lowers the restrictive temperature of yeast with defects in actin cable stability ($tpm1\Delta$ and tpm1-2 $tpm2\Delta$) or actin cable assembly (*bni1-11 bnr1* Δ and *bnr1-6* $bni1\Delta$; Table 4). Thus, the overproduction of actin patches occurs to the detriment of cable assembly.

More interestingly, the reduction in cables in Las17 C–overexpressing cells could be rescued by overexpression of Bnr1p Δ RBD (Figure 9C). Taken together, our data suggest that yeast needs to balance actin between actin patches and actin cables, which is likely achieved at the nucleation level of both pathways.

DISCUSSION

Formins are critical cytoskeletal-regulatory proteins, promoting the proper assembly and organization of subsets of actin filaments (Evangelista *et al.*, 2002; Sagot *et al.*, 2002a). In this article, we show that similar to the Bni1p formin, overexpression of the Bnr1p formin lacking its regulatory Rhobinding domain is lethal. Yeast overexpressing this construct showed a massive accumulation of cable-like filaments around the neck and in the bud and a defect in the myosin-dependent polarization of secretion that normally occurs along cables. Identification of overexpression suppressors of this lethality confirmed the idea that it is this aberrant actin assembly that is the cause of the lethality.

Suppressors isolated in this screen encode proteins involved in several aspects of actin filament dynamics and



Figure 9. Overexpression of *LAS17C* enhances Arp2/3-dependent F-actin in wild-type cells. (A) Localization of actin in cells after 10-h galactose induction containing either a control plasmid or overexpressing *LAS17C*. Bar, 5 μ m. (B) Comparison of actin patch intensity in cells containing either a control plasmid or overexpressing *LAS17C*. (C) Percentage of small- to medium-budded cells that have actin cables in control cells or cells overexpressing *LAS17C* or *LAS17C* and *Bnr1*\Delta*RBD*.

	ABY204 (<i>tpm</i> 1Δ)			ABY1807 (<i>tpm1-2 tpm2</i> Δ)			ABY2000 (bni1-11 bnr1Δ)		ABY2007 (bni1∆bnr1-6)	
	23°C	32°C	34°C	23°C	32°C	34°C	23°C	32°C	23°C	36.5°C
Vector	++	++	++	++	+	_	++	-/+	++	++
LAS17C	+	-/+	_	++	_	_	++	_	+	-/+

Table 4. Overexpression of LAS17C lowers the restrictive	temperature of mutants with conditional actin cable defects
--	---

The LAS17C overexpression plasmid was introduced into the indicated strains which were then streaked on galactose-containing media and incubated at the indicated temperatures. ++, wild-type growth, -, no observable growth, +, moderate growth, -/+, poor growth.

architecture. Interestingly, all the recognizable cytoskeletal factors seemed to function by modulating the levels of constituent components of the actin cables. Overexpression of additional cable components, such as tropomyosin or Abp140p, rescued lethality caused by excess formin activity, as could suppressors that act to reduce the actin pool available to the formin. Reducing the G-actin pool by treatment with LatA or deletion of one copy of ACT1 in diploid cells similarly suppressed the unregulated Bnr1p overexpression lethality. Identification of cofilin, Srv2p, and profilin as suppressors also suggests the possibility that more rapid actin turnover plays a role in moderating the lethal effects of Bnr1p Δ RBD overexpression.

A particularly interesting finding was that mis-incorporation of actin filaments into cables could be suppressed by the enhanced assembly of actin into cortical patches by overproduction of the Arp2/3 activator Las17p. Analysis of Las17p truncations showed this activity depended on the A domain required for Arp2/3 activation (Winter et al., 1999a). Las17p represents only one of five patch-associated Arp2/3 activators of budding yeast, making the absence of the other activators curious. However, among the Arp2/3 activators of yeast, Abp1p and Pan1p exhibit relatively weak activity and so might have been insufficient to significantly shift the actin pool toward patch assembly (Duncan et al., 2001; Goode et al., 2001; Moseley and Goode, 2006). The myosin-I homologues Myo3p and Myo5p exhibit strong activity, but only in combination with the WIP homolog, Vrp1p, and so expression of either of these alone would have been unlikely to increase patch-associated filament assembly (Evangelista et al., 2000). However, Las17p is a potent Arp2/3 activator that requires no cofactor (Rodal et al., 2003; Moseley and Goode, 2006; Sun et al., 2006), making its recovery by this screen feasible. In fact, we confirmed that overexpression of Pan1p and Myo3p did not suppress Bnr1p∆RBD overexpression lethality (unpublished data).

Together, these results suggests that the detrimental effects of formin overactivity do not result simply in excess filament assembly, but from assembly of filaments that are of improper composition, having an excess of actin versus other components such as tropomyosin. Reduction of actin by various means or increase of other cable components was able to relieve the lethality. Absent from the list were factors such as kinases or phosphatases, which might be expected to regulate incorporation of available proteins into the cables, suggesting that regulation of the assembly of cable components is regulated largely by the level of formin activity and the size of the pool of cable constituents present in the cell, as well as the level of competing Arp2/3-driven actin assembly into cortical patches.

It has long been known that actin patches and actin cables are the two major actin containing organizations in yeast. Here we demonstrated for the first time that cells need to balance actin between these two structures. Because it is not easy to measure how actin is distributed, we can only assay if changes in one organization affects the other. Here we showed that overexpression of Bnr1p Δ RBD stimulates cable assembly and reduces the number of actin patches in a cell. On the other hand, overexpression of Las17p C increase the intensity of actin patches and reduces actin cables. More interestingly, when Bnr1p∆RBD and Las17p C are co-overexpressed, a balance is reconstituted. Our data also strongly suggested that such a balance is achieved through the nucleation of both pathways. It will be interesting to discover how the activity levels of the formins and patch-associated components are normally regulated to achieve the optimal balance in actin assembly between the various essential actin cytoskeletal structures that coexist in the yeast cell.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank Dr. David Pruyne for many fruitful discussions and help with the manuscript. We are indebted to Dr. Bruce Goode, who suggested the experiments involving LAS17 and kindly provided several of the reagents used in this study. This work was supported by Public Health Service, National Institute of General Medical Sciences Grant GM39066.

REFERENCES

Adams, A. E., and Pringle, J. R. (1984). Relationship of actin and tubulin distribution to bud growth in wild- type and morphogenetic-mutant Saccharomyces cerevisiae. J. Cell Biol. 98, 934-945.

Alberts, A. S. (2001). Identification of a carboxyl-terminal diaphanous-related formin homology protein autoregulatory domain. J. Biol. Chem. 276, 2824-2830.

Asakura, T., Sasaki, T., Nagano, F., Satoh, A., Obaishi, H., Nishioka, H., Imamura, H., Hotta, K., Tanaka, K., Nakanishi, H., and Takai, Y. (1998). Isolation and characterization of a novel actin filament-binding protein from Saccharomyces cerevisiae, Oncogene 16, 121-130.

Ayscough, K. R., Stryker, J., Pokala, N., Sanders, M., Crews, P., and Drubin, D. G. (1997). High rates of actin filament turnover in budding yeast and roles for actin in establishment and maintenance of cell polarity revealed using the actin inhibitor latrunculin-A. J. Cell Biol. 137, 399-416.

Balcer, H. I., Goodman, A. L., Rodal, A. A., Smith, E., Kugler, J., Heuser, J. E., and Goode, B. L. (2003). Coordinated regulation of actin filament turnover by a high-molecular-weight Srv2/CAP complex, cofilin, profilin, and Aip1. Curr. Biol. 13, 2159-2169.

Beach, D. L., Thibodeaux, J., Maddox, P., Yeh, E., and Bloom, K. (2000). The role of the proteins Kar9 and Myo2 in orienting the mitotic spindle of budding veast, Curr. Biol. 10, 1497-1506

Buttery, S. M., Yoshida, S., and Pellman, D. (2007). Yeast formins Bni1 and Bnr1 utilize different modes of cortical interaction during the assembly of actin cables. Mol. Biol. Cell 18, 1826-1838.

Dong, Y., Pruyne, D., and Bretscher, A. (2003). Formin-dependent actin assembly is regulated by distinct modes of Rho signaling in yeast. J. Cell Biol. 161, 1081-1092.

Drees, B., Brown, C., Barrell, B. G., and Bretscher, A. (1995). Tropomyosin is essential in yeast, yet the TPM1 and TPM2 products perform distinct functions. J. Cell Biol. 128, 383-392.

Du, Y., Pypaert, M., Novick, P., and Ferro-Novick, S. (2001). Aux1p/Swa2p is required for cortical endoplasmic reticulum inheritance in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. Mol. Biol. Cell *12*, 2614–2628.

Duncan, M. C., Cope, M. J., Goode, B. L., Wendland, B., and Drubin, D. G. (2001). Yeast Eps15-like endocytic protein, Pan1p, activates the Arp2/3 complex. Nat. Cell Biol *3*, 687–690.

Engqvist-Goldstein, A. E., and Drubin, D. G. (2003). Actin assembly and endocytosis: from yeast to mammals. Annu. Rev. Cell Dev. Biol. 19, 287–332.

Estrada, P., Kim, J., Coleman, J., Walker, L., Dunn, B., Takizawa, P., Novick, P., and Ferro-Novick, S. (2003). Myo4p and She3p are required for cortical ER inheritance in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. J. Cell Biol. *163*, 1255–1266.

Evangelista, M., Blundell, K., Longtine, M. S., Chow, C. J., Adames, N., Pringle, J. R., Peter, M., and Boone, C. (1997). Bni1p, a yeast formin linking cdc42p and the actin cytoskeleton during polarized morphogenesis. Science 276, 118–122.

Evangelista, M., Klebl, B. M., Tong, A. H., Webb, B. A., Leeuw, T., Leberer, E., Whiteway, M., Thomas, D. Y., and Boone, C. (2000). A role for myosin-I in actin assembly through interactions with Vrp1p, Bee1p, and the Arp2/3 complex. J. Cell Biol. *148*, 353–362.

Evangelista, M., Pruyne, D., Amberg, D. C., Boone, C., and Bretscher, A. (2002). Formins direct Arp2/3-independent actin filament assembly to polarize cell growth in yeast. Nat. Cell Biol. *4*, 32–41.

Fagarasanu, M., Fagarasanu, A., and Rachubinski, R. A. (2006). Sharing the wealth: peroxisome inheritance in budding yeast. Biochim. Biophys. Acta 1763, 1669–1677.

Fehrenbacher, K. L., Davis, D., Wu, M., Boldogh, I., and Pon, L. A. (2002). Endoplasmic reticulum dynamics, inheritance, and cytoskeletal interactions in budding yeast. Mol. Biol. Cell *13*, 854–865.

Freeman, N. L., Chen, Z., Horenstein, J., Weber, A., and Field, J. (1995). An actin monomer binding activity localizes to the carboxyl-terminal half of the *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* cyclase-associated protein. J. Biol. Chem. 270, 5680–5685.

Fujiwara, T., Tanaka, K., Mino, A., Kikyo, M., Takahashi, K., Shimizu, K., and Takai, Y. (1998). Rho1p-Bni1p-Spa2p interactions: implication in localization of Bni1p at the bud site and regulation of the actin cytoskeleton in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. Mol. Biol. Cell *9*, 1221–1233.

Goode, B. L., Rodal, A. A., Barnes, G., and Drubin, D. G. (2001). Activation of the arp2/3 complex by the actin filament binding protein abp1p. J. Cell Biol. 153, 627–634.

Govindan, B., Bowser, R., and Novick, P. (1995). The role of Myo2, a yeast class V myosin, in vesicular transport. J. Cell Biol. 128, 1055–1068.

Guldener, U., Heck, S., Fielder, T., Beinhauer, J., and Hegemann, J. H. (1996). A new efficient gene disruption cassette for repeated use in budding yeast. Nucleic Acids Res. 24, 2519–2524.

Hayden, S. M., Miller, P. S., Brauweiler, A., and Bamburg, J. R. (1993). Analysis of the interactions of actin depolymerizing factor with G- and F-actin. Biochemistry 32, 9994–10004.

Hoepfner, D., van den Berg, M., Philippsen, P., Tabak, H. F., and Hettema, E. H. (2001). A role for Vps1p, actin, and the Myo2p motor in peroxisome abundance and inheritance in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. J. Cell Biol. 155, 979–990.

Hwang, E., Kusch, J., Barral, Y., and Huffaker, T. C. (2003). Spindle orientation in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* depends on the transport of microtubule ends along polarized actin cables. J. Cell Biol. *161*, 483–488.

Karpova, T. S., McNally, J. G., Moltz, S. L., and Cooper, J. A. (1998). Assembly and function of the actin cytoskeleton of yeast: relationships between cables and patches. J. Cell Biol. 142, 1501–1517.

Kikyo, M., Tanaka, K., Kamei, T., Ozaki, K., Fujiwara, T., Inoue, E., Takita, Y., Ohya, Y., and Takai, Y. (1999). An FH domain-containing Bnr1p is a multifunctional protein interacting with a variety of cytoskeletal proteins in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. Oncogene 18, 7046–7054.

Kilmartin, J. V., and Adams, A. E. (1984). Structural rearrangements of tubulin and actin during the cell cycle of the yeast *Saccharomyces*. J. Cell Biol. *98*, 922–933.

Kovar, D. R., Harris, E. S., Mahaffy, R., Higgs, H. N., and Pollard, T. D. (2006). Control of the assembly of ATP- and ADP-actin by formins and profilin. Cell 124, 423–435.

Lappalainen, P., and Drubin, D. G. (1997). Cofilin promotes rapid actin filament turnover in vivo. Nature 388, 78-82.

Liu, H., Krizek, J., and Bretscher, A. (1992). Construction of a GAL1–regulated yeast cDNA expression library and its application to the identification of genes whose overexpression causes lethality in yeast. Genetics *132*, 665–673.

Liu, H. P., and Bretscher, A. (1989a). Disruption of the single tropomyosin gene in yeast results in the disappearance of actin cables from the cytoskeleton. Cell 57, 233–242.

Liu, H. P., and Bretscher, A. (1989b). Purification of tropomyosin from *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* and identification of related proteins in *Schizosaccharomyces* and *Physarum*. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA *86*, 90–93.

Moseley, J. B., and Goode, B. L. (2006). The yeast actin cytoskeleton: from cellular function to biochemical mechanism. Microbiol. Mol. Biol. Rev. 70, 605–645.

Moseley, J. B., Sagot, I., Manning, A. L., Xu, Y., Eck, M. J., Pellman, D., and Goode, B. L. (2004). A conserved mechanism for Bni1- and mDia1-induced actin assembly and dual regulation of Bni1 by Bud6 and profilin. Mol. Biol. Cell *15*, 896–907.

Nelson, W. J. (2003). Adaptation of core mechanisms to generate cell polarity. Nature 422, 766–774.

Okada, K., Ravi, H., Smith, E. M., and Goode, B. L. (2006). Aip1 and cofilin promote rapid turnover of yeast actin patches and cables: a coordinated mechanism for severing and capping filaments. Mol. Biol. Cell *17*, 2855–2868.

Okreglak, V., and Drubin, D. G. (2007). Cofilin recruitment and function during actin-mediated endocytosis dictated by actin nucleotide state. J. Cell Biol. *178*, 1251–1264.

Otomo, T., Otomo, C., Tomchick, D. R., Machius, M., and Rosen, M. K. (2005). Structural basis of Rho GTPase-mediated activation of the formin mDia1. Mol. Cell 18, 273–281.

Ozaki-Kuroda, K., Yamamoto, Y., Nohara, H., Kinoshita, M., Fujiwara, T., Irie, K., and Takai, Y. (2001). Dynamic localization and function of Bni1p at the sites of directed growth in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. Mol. Cell. Biol. 21, 827–839.

Pruyne, D., Evangelista, M., Yang, C., Bi, E., Zigmond, S., Bretscher, A., and Boone, C. (2002). Role of formins in actin assembly: nucleation and barbed end association. Science 297, 612–615.

Pruyne, D., Gao, L., Bi, E., and Bretscher, A. (2004a). Stable and dynamic axes of polarity use distinct formin isoforms in budding yeast. Mol. Biol. Cell *15*, 4971–4989.

Pruyne, D., Legesse-Miller, A., Gao, L., Dong, Y., and Bretscher, A. (2004b). Mechanisms of polarized growth and organelle segregation in yeast. Annu. Rev. Cell Dev. Biol. 20, 559–591.

Pruyne, D. W., Schott, D. H., and Bretscher, A. (1998). Tropomyosin-containing actin cables direct the Myo2p-dependent polarized delivery of secretory vesicles in budding yeast. J. Cell Biol. *143*, 1931–1945.

Rodal, A. A., Manning, A. L., Goode, B. L., and Drubin, D. G. (2003). Negative regulation of yeast WASp by two SH3 domain-containing proteins. Curr. Biol. 13, 1000–1008.

Rose, R., Weyand, M., Lammers, M., Ishizaki, T., Ahmadian, M. R., and Wittinghofer, A. (2005). Structural and mechanistic insights into the interaction between Rho and mammalian Dia. Nature 435, 513–518.

Sagot, I., Klee, S. K., and Pellman, D. (2002a). Yeast formins regulate cell polarity by controlling the assembly of actin cables. Nat. Cell Biol. 4, 42–50.

Sagot, I., Rodal, A. A., Moseley, J., Goode, B. L., and Pellman, D. (2002b). An actin nucleation mechanism mediated by Bni1 and profilin. Nat. Cell Biol. 4, 626–631.

Schmid, M., Jaedicke, A., Du, T. G., and Jansen, R. P. (2006). Coordination of endoplasmic reticulum and mRNA localization to the yeast bud. Curr. Biol. 16, 1538–1543.

Schott, D., Ho, J., Pruyne, D., and Bretscher, A. (1999). The COOH-terminal domain of Myo2p, a yeast myosin V, has a direct role in secretory vesicle targeting. J. Cell Biol. 147, 791–808.

Simon, V. R., Swayne, T. C., and Pon, L. A. (1995). Actin-dependent mitochondrial motility in mitotic yeast and cell-free systems: identification of a motor activity on the mitochondrial surface. J. Cell Biol. 130, 345–354.

Sun, Y., Martin, A. C., and Drubin, D. G. (2006). Endocytic internalization in budding yeast requires coordinated actin nucleation and myosin motor activity. Dev. Cell *11*, 33–46.

Takizawa, P. A., Sil, A., Swedlow, J. R., Herskowitz, I., and Vale, R. D. (1997). Actin-dependent localization of an RNA encoding a cell-fate determinant in yeast. Nature 389, 90–93.

Takizawa, P. A., and Vale, R. D. (2000). The myosin motor, Myo4p, binds Ash1 mRNA via the adapter protein, She3p. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 97, 5273–5278.

Tong, A. H. *et al.* (2002). A combined experimental and computational strategy to define protein interaction networks for peptide recognition modules. Science *295*, 321–324.

Vavylonis, D., Kovar, D. R., O'Shaughnessy, B., and Pollard, T. D. (2006). Model of formin-associated actin filament elongation. Mol. Cell 21, 455–466. Wasserman, S. (1998). FH proteins as cytoskeletal organizers. Trends Cell Biol.

8, 111–115. Watanabe, N., Kato, T., Fujita, A., Ishizaki, T., and Narumiya, S. (1999). Cooperation between mDia1 and ROCK in Rho-induced actin reorganization.

Nat. Cell Biol. 1, 136–143. Weisman, L. S. (2003). Yeast vacuole inheritance and dynamics. Annu. Rev.

Genet. 37, 435–460. Winter, D., Lechler, T., and Li, R. (1999a). Activation of the yeast Arp2/3 complex by Bee1p, a WASP-family protein. Curr. Biol. *9*, 501–504. Winter, D. C., Choe, E. Y., and Li, R. (1999b). Genetic dissection of the budding yeast Arp2/3 complex: a comparison of the in vivo and structural roles of individual subunits. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 96, 7288–7293.

Wolven, A. K., Belmont, L. D., Mahoney, N. M., Almo, S. C., and Drubin, D. G. (2000). In vivo importance of actin nucleotide exchange catalyzed by profilin. J. Cell Biol. 150, 895–904.

Yin, H., Pruyne, D., Huffaker, T. C., and Bretscher, A. (2000). Myosin V orientates the mitotic spindle in yeast. Nature 406, 1013–1015.

Zigmond, S. H., Evangelista, M., Boone, C., Yang, C., Dar, A. C., Sicheri, F., Forkey, J., and Pring, M. (2003). Formin leaky cap allows elongation in the presence of tight capping proteins. Curr. Biol. *13*, 1820–1823.