Naturally Occurring Nonpathogenic Isolates of the Plant Pathogen *Pseudomonas syringae* Lack a Type III Secretion System and Effector Gene Orthologues⁷†

Toni J. Mohr,¹ Haijie Liu,¹ Shuangchun Yan,¹ Cindy E. Morris,² José A. Castillo,³ Joanna Jelenska,³ and Boris A. Vinatzer¹*

Department of Plant Pathology, Physiology, and Weed Science, Virginia Tech, Latham Hall, Ag Quad Lane, Blacksburg, Virginia 24061¹; INRA, Unité de Pathologie Végétale, BP 94, 84140 Montfavet, France²; and Department of Molecular Genetics and Cell Biology, University of Chicago, 1103 East 57th Street, Chicago, Illinois 60637³

Received 2 November 2007/Accepted 28 January 2008

Pseudomonas syringae causes plant diseases, and the main virulence mechanism is a type III secretion system (T3SS) that translocates dozens of effector proteins into plant cells. Here we report the existence of a subgroup of *P. syringae* isolates that do not cause disease on any plant species tested. This group is monophyletic and most likely evolved from a pathogenic *P. syringae* ancestor through loss of the T3SS. In the nonpathogenic isolate *P. syringae* 508 the genomic region that in pathogenic *P. syringae* strains contains the *hrp-hrc* cluster coding for the T3SS and flanking effector genes is absent. *P. syringae* 508 was also surveyed for the presence of effector orthologues from the closely related pathogenic strain *P. syringae* pv. syringae B728a, but none were detected. The absence of the *hrp-hrc* cluster and effector orthologues was confirmed for other nonpathogenic isolates. Using the AvrRpt2 effector as reporter revealed the inability of *P. syringae* 508 to translocate effectors into plant cells. Adding a plasmid-encoded T3SS and the *P. syringae* 508 supplemented with a T3SS could be used to determine functions of individual effectors in the context of a plant infection, avoiding the confounding effect of other effectors with similar functions present in effector mutants of pathogenic isolates.

Pseudomonas syringae is probably the most intensively studied bacterial plant pathogen for which molecular interactions with host and nonhost plants have been dissected in great detail (16, 43, 50). P. syringae is a member of the Gammaproteobacteria and comprises strains isolated from dozens of cultivated, ornamental, and wild plants. According to the current taxonomy, isolates are grouped into different pathovars based on the plant host from which they were isolated (65). The diseases that P. syringae strains cause range from foliar spot diseases to blights, stripes, and cankers (1). Bacteria are transmitted mainly by rain and wind, can survive for periods of time on leaf surfaces as epiphytes without causing disease, and then enter leaves either through natural openings like stomata or through wounds and finally reach high population densities in the intercellular plant spaces and cause visible disease symptoms (26). Recently, P. syringae isolates have been found in clouds, rain, snow, and river epilithion, suggesting that these environments are important inoculum sources (44).

P. syringae is able to cause diseases in its hosts because of its ability to suppress plant defenses elicited by microbe-associated molecular patterns (MAMPs) or pathogen-associated molecular patterns (PAMPs) like flagellin (23). These defenses are called PAMP-triggered immunity (PTI) (11). Suppression

of PTI is accomplished by effector proteins that are translocated from *P. syringae* into plant cells by means of a type III secretion system (T3SS) and by toxins (for example, coronatine) (38, 43). However, on some plants, effectors are directly or indirectly detected by resistance proteins that alert the plant to the presence of the invading bacterium, and effector-triggered immunity (ETI) is activated (11). Effectors that elicit ETI were previously called avirulence proteins since they abolish virulence in plants with the cognate resistance proteins. Resistance may be accompanied by programmed cell death as part of the hypersensitive response (HR), which comprises activation of a variety of plant defenses (18). The HR is visible as total leaf collapse when a high dose of pathogen is infiltrated in a leaf.

The genes coding for the structural and regulatory components of the T3SS in P. syringae are located in the hrp-hrc cluster (12). The structural components of the T3SS include the basal body embedded in the bacterial cell membrane and the pilus, a long tube that is believed to grow through the plant cell wall to reach and attach to the plant cell membrane to deliver effector proteins into the plant cell. Since many structural components of the basal body are conserved in all T3SS in various animal and plant pathogens and the components have homology to components of the flagellum, it is believed that the T3SS evolved from the flagellum in one organism and was then horizontally transferred to other organisms (56). Helper proteins encoded by some genes in the hrp-hrc cluster and elsewhere in the chromosome aid in pilus growth through the bacterial and plant cell wall and in docking of the pilus to the plant cell membrane (37). The hrp-hrc cluster is flanked on one side by the conserved effector locus (CEL), which contains

^{*} Corresponding author. Mailing address: Department of Plant Pathology, Physiology, and Weed Science, Virginia Tech, Latham Hall, Ag Quad Lane, Blacksburg, VA 24061. Phone: (540) 231-2126. Fax: (540) 231-3347. E-mail: vinatzer@vt.edu.

[†] Supplemental material for this article may be found at http://jb.asm.org/.

⁷ Published ahead of print on 8 February 2008.

genes coding for homologous effectors in most P. syringae strains, and on the other side by the exchangeable effector locus (EEL), which is variable and contains different effector genes and hypothetical genes in different strains (3). The entire region is considered to be a pathogenicity island (PAI) (22) acquired by horizontal transfer from other bacterial species (3). The CEL, the *hrp-hrc* cluster, and the EEL are present in the same genomic context in the three completely sequenced P. syringae strains (6, 15, 33). Phylogenetic analysis has indicated that the most recent common ancestor (MRCA) of today's P. syringae isolates contained the hrp-hrc cluster (54). Since the CEL is also present in all P. syringae isolates analyzed to date, it was probably also present in the MRCA. Moreover, the similar G+C contents of the hrp-hrc cluster, the CEL, and the rest of the *P. syringae* genome are in agreement with the ancient acquisition hypothesis (3). In contrast, the EEL varies considerably between strains and has a lower G+C content, indicating that there was relatively frequent acquisition of genes by horizontal transfer from other bacterial species at this locus (10, 14). Additional effector genes are located in different genomic contexts in the three sequenced strains (9), suggesting that these genes were also acquired by horizontal transfer. The different repertoires of effectors in different P. syringae strains are believed to be some of the main determinants of host range (52). Acquisition and loss of effectors through acquisition or loss of entire PAIs, nonsense mutations, and transposon insertions and by terminal reassortment (57) can be assumed to have changed the host range of P. syringae strains during evolution. For example, the effector gene avrPphB (hopAR1) has been shown to be lost at a high frequency from a *P. syringae* pv. phaseolicola strain during infection of bean plants containing a resistance gene that induces defenses when hopAR1 is recognized (47). This is accomplished by excision of the PAI that carries hopAR1, which allows P. syringae pv. phaseolicola strains to instantly change from avirulent to virulent for the plant that it is infecting (47).

While most P. syringae strains have been isolated from symptomatic plants, there are a few examples of *P. syringae* strains that were isolated from healthy plants. One example is P. syringae strain cit7, which was isolated from a healthy orange tree (46). This strain became famous as the first gene-engineered microorganism released into the environment after the genes coding for its ice nucleation activity were removed (40). Other examples are P. syringae strain TLP2, isolated from a healthy potato leaf (41), and P. syringae strain 508, isolated from an apple leaf on an orchard floor (7). P. syringae TLP2 and P. syringae 508 are both examples of biocontrol strains. P. syringae TLP2 was found to moderately control pathogenic P. syringae pv. tomato strains when it was applied to tomato (Solanum lycopersicum) (64), and P. syringae 508 was found to have exceptional antimicrobial activity against the apple scab fungus Venturia inaequalis (7). The antifungal activity of P. syringae 508 is believed to be due in part to the production of the toxin syringopeptin. P. syringae 508 produces a particular syringopeptin with elevated antifungal and antibacterial activity (19).

Besides the biocontrol activity against V. *inaequalis*, Burr and colleagues (7) also noticed that P. *syringae* 508 was unable to cause disease in any of the plant species tested (green bean, bush bean, apple, peach, cherry, and pea) and that it was unable to cause an HR in tobacco at the dose at which all other *P. syringae* strains cause an HR or disease (10^9 CFU/ml) . The ability to induce an HR, which is visible within 20 h after a bacterium has been infiltrated into a tobacco leaf as a collapsed area corresponding to the infiltration area, is an easy test to determine if a bacterium is a plant pathogen since plant-pathogenic bacteria cause an HR, while nonpathogens do not. Burr and colleagues (7) also noticed that P. syringae 508 DNA did not hybridize with an hrpZ probe, a conserved gene coding for a helper protein known to be present in all pathogenic P. syringae strains. Starting from this observation, we further investigated the apparent inability of P. syringae 508 to cause disease. We found that P. syringae 508 does not possess either an hrp-hrc cluster coding for a T3SS or orthologues of effectors of the closely related pathogenic isolate P. syringae pv. syringae B728a and cannot efficiently translocate the effector AvrRpt2 into plant cells. Surprisingly, we found that nonpathogenic P. syringae isolates like P. syringae 508 lacking a T3SS are common leaf colonizers in Europe and North America. These isolates most likely evolved from a pathogenic P. syringae ancestor through loss of its T3SS. Interestingly, adding a T3SS and one effector back to P. syringae 508 increased in planta growth, suggesting that the identified nonpathogenic isolates could be used to study P. syringae pathogenicity factors, particularly effectors. It may even be possible to engineer these isolates back into pathogens with different host ranges by adding a functional T3SS and different assortments of effector genes. This may provide an excellent tool to elucidate the basis of host range in P. syringae.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Bacteria, isolation of bacteria from plants, and media. *P. syringae* isolates were either obtained from colleagues or isolated from plants at the Hahn Horticultural Garden at Virginia Tech or in the Jura and southern Alps regions of France (Table 1). For isolation from plants at Virginia Tech, leaves were surface sterilized for 10 s with 70% ethanol, patted dry on a paper towel, and then ground with a plastic pestle in 200 μ l of 10 mM MgSO₄ in a 1.5-ml microcentrifuge tube. Twenty microliters of the bacterial suspension was plated on KB (35) plates containing 100 μ g/ml of nitrofurantoin. For plants from France, strains were obtained from fresh leaves by dilution plating ground tissue on KB supplemented with cephalexin (80 μ g/ml) and boric acid (1500 μ g/ml). *P. syringae* isolates were generally grown on KB plates with antibiotics at the following concentrations when they were necessary to maintain plasmids: kanamycin, 50 μ g/ml; and tetracycline, 15 μ g/ml.

Molecular biology. Fragments of the *gap1*, *gltA*, *gyrB*, and *rpoD* genes were amplified from *P. syringae* genomic DNA extracted with a Puregene DNA purification system cell and tissue kit (Gentra Systems, Minnesota) using primers described by Hwang and colleagues (28). PCR products were sequenced at the University of Chicago Cancer Research Center DNA Sequencing & Genotyping Facility. Sequences were edited and assembled using Seqman (Lasergene, DNASTAR, Wisconsin). Evolution models were tested using Modeltest 3.7 (48) and PAUP* 4.0b10 (x86 binary portable version for Mac OS X) (58). A maximum likelihood tree was generated in PAUP* 4.0b10 using the model selected in the previous step and 2,000 bootstrap replicates.

Two integrative plasmids were constructed from pBAV208. pBAV208 is a cloning vector with an origin of transfer for transferring constructs from *Escherichia coli* to *P. syringae* by triparental mating. pBAV208 can be used either for disrupting genes in *P. syringae* or for adding genes to the chromosome of *P. syringae* by Campbell integration. It was cloned by combining the origin of transfer from a pBSL vector (2), the multiple-cloning site and the origin of replication from pBC SK+ (Stratagene, California), and the *nptII* gene for kanamycin resistance from pZERO2.1 (Invitrogen, California). The sequence of pBAV208 is available upon request. A fragment corresponding to the *P. syringae* 508 orthologue of Psy_1182 was amplified from *P. syringae* 508 genomic DNA by PCR using *Pfu* Turbo (Stratagene, California) and primers Psy_1182-F and Psy_1182-R (see Table S1 in the supplemental material), digested with the

Isolate or plasmid	Description	Resistance	Reference(s)	
Isolates				
P. syringae pv. syringae B728a	<i>P. syringae</i> isolate from <i>Phaseolus vulgaris</i> (snap bean)	Rifampin	15, 42	
P. syringae pv. syringae B728a hrcC::nptII	<i>P. syringae</i> pv. syringae B728a with a disrupted T3SS	Rifampin, kanamycin	25	
P. syringae pv. tomato DC3000	P. syringae isolate from tomato	Rifampin	13	
P. syringae pv. tomato JL1065	P. syringae isolate from tomato	Rifampin	63	
P. syringae 508	<i>P. syringae</i> isolate from a fallen apple leaf in orchard	None	7	
P. syringae TLP2	P. syringae isolate from healthy potato leaf	None	41	
P. syringae cit7	P. syringae isolate from healthy orange leaf	None	40	
P. syringae B2-1-1	<i>P. syringae</i> isolate from unidentified plant on Virginia Tech campus	None	This study	
P. syringae B1-11-10	<i>P. syringae</i> isolate from unidentified plant on Virginia Tech campus	None	This study	
P. syringae cc667	P. syringae isolate from "old stand" garden Primula	None	This study	
P. syringae cc1502	P. syringae isolate from wild Primula farinosa	None	This study	
P. syringae cc1503	P. syringae isolate from wild Primula farinosa	None	This study	
Plasmids				
pME6010	E. coli-Pseudomonas shuttle vector	Tetracycline	24	
pLAFR3	E. coli-Pseudomonas shuttle vector	Tetracycline	56	
pHIR11	pLAFR3 vector containing the <i>hrp-hrc</i> cluster of <i>P. syringae</i> pv. syringae 61	Tetracylcine	27	
pLN18	pHIR11 derivative in which <i>hopPsyA</i> has been replaced with the <i>nptII</i> gene	Tetracycline, kanamycin	30	
pBAV208	E. coli vector with oriT based on pBC SK+	Kanamycin	This study	
pVT138	Integration vector containing a fragment of the <i>P. syringae</i> 508 gene homologous to the <i>P. syringae</i> pv. syringae B728a gene with the locus tag Psyr 1182	Kanamycin	This study	
pVT359	pVT138 containing the <i>avrRpt2</i> gene	Kanamycin	This study	
pLH12	pLAFR3 expressing avrRpt2	Tetracycline	63	

TABLE 1. Bacterial isolates and plasmids

restriction enzymes ApaI and ClaI (New England Biolabs, Massachusetts), and ligated to pBAV208 digested with the same enzymes using TaKaRa Bio USA (Wisconsin) Mighty Mix. The resulting construct, pVT138, was transferred to the *P. syringae* 508 chromosome by triparental mating. *E. coli* pVT138, *P. syringae* 508, and the helper strain *E. coli* RK600 were streaked with a loop over each other on a KB plate without selection, and after 24 h of incubation at 28°C a loopful of bacteria was streaked on a KB plate containing 100 μ g/ml of nitro-furantoin and 50 μ g/ml of kanamycin. The plate was incubated for 48 h, after which single colonies became visible.

avrRpt2 was added to pVT138 to construct pVT359 using the same procedure that was used to add the *P. syringae* 508 Psyr_1182 orthologue fragment to pBAV208; the only difference was that the EcoRI and SpeI enzymes and primers avrRpt2-F and avrRpt2-R (see Table S1 in the supplemental material) were used for PCR with *P. syringae* pv. tomato JL1065 DNA as the template.

For plasmid rescue of the CEL-hrp-hrc-EEL region of P. syringae 508, genomic DNA was extracted from P. syringae 508(pVT138) by using a Puregene DNA purification system cell and tissue kit (Gentra Systems, Minnesota) and following the manufacturer's instructions. Ten microliters of extracted DNA was digested with restriction enzyme AscI (New England Biolabs, Massachusetts) in a 20-µl (total volume) mixture for 3 h. After the enzyme was heat inactivated at 70°C for 15 min, 5 µl of the digest was mixed with 5 µl of water and 10 µl of a ligation mixture (TaKaRa Bio USA Mighty Mix) to allow self-ligation to occur, incubated at 16°C for 30 min, and transformed into E. coli using the heat shock procedure (51). The plasmid was extracted from an E. coli colony resistant to kanamycin. The insert size of the plasmid was determined by restriction digestion and was found to be approximately 17,000 bp. This plasmid was subcloned using a TOPO shotgun subcloning kit (Invitrogen, California), and colonies were sent to the University of Chicago Cancer Research Center DNA Sequencing & Genotyping Facility for plasmid extraction and sequencing. Sequences were assembled using Seqman (Lasergene, DNASTAR, Wisconsin), and gaps were closed by designing primers on contig ends and sequencing PCR products obtained with various primer combinations. The sequence obtained was annotated using GRC (http: //staff.vbi.vt.edu/jcslab/gat/gat.html).

The *P. syringae* 508 genomic regions corresponding to the *P. syringae* pv. syringae B728a loci containing the effector genes *avrPto1*, *hopAE1*, and *hopH1* were amplified with primers avrPto1-loop-F and avrPto1-loop-R, primers ho-

pAE1-loop-F and hopAE1-loop-R, and primers hopH1-loop-F and hopH1loop-R (see Table S1 in the supplemental material) by using TaKaRa LA *Taq* (Takara Bio USA, Wisconsin) and following the manufacturer's instructions. The PCR products obtained were sequenced as described above for the plasmid insert corresponding to the *P. syringae* 508 CEL-*hrp-hrc*-EEL region.

Dot blotting was performed as described previously (61) using genomic DNA of *P. syringae* 508 and *P. syringae* pv. syringae B728a as a probe. Southern blotting was done by using the DIG system (Roche, Indiana) and following the manufacturer's instructions after genomic DNA digested with restriction enzymes EcoRI and HindIII (New England Biolabs, Massachusetts) was electrophoresed on a 0.8% 1× Tris-borate-EDTA agarose gel.

Plant infection. Bacteria used for HR tests were grown for 24 h on KB plates, scraped off the plates with a spatula, and resuspended in 10 mM MgSO₄. After optical densities were determined, bacteria were diluted to obtain an optical density at 600 nm (OD₆₀₀) of 0.1 for HR tests with 4-week-old *Nicotiana tabacum*, *Nicotiana benthamiana*, and *Arabidopsis thaliana* 'Columbia' plants grown at room temperature on light racks under conditions that included 16 h of light and 8 h of darkness per day. Bacteria were infiltrated into leaves using a bluntend 1-ml syringe. Pictures of infiltrated leaves were taken 20 h after infection using an Olympus Camedia C-765 digital camera.

For disease assays with *N. benthamiana*, bacteria were prepared as described above for HR tests except that the OD_{600} of bacteria infiltrated into leaves was 0.00001. For disease assays with tomato and *A. thaliana*, plants were sprayed with water 1 day before infection and the humidity was kept high by putting plants in plastic bags. For disease assays with tomato, bacteria were prepared as described above for HR assays, but whole plants of cultivar Sunpride that were 3 weeks old were dipped into bacterial suspensions having an OD_{600} of 0.01 that also contained 0.02% Silwet. For *A. thaliana* disease assays, bacterial suspensions having an OD_{600} of 0.1 that also contained 0.02% Silwet are sprayed onto leaves of ecotype Columbia using plants that were 3 weeks old. Plants were kept in plastic bags for 24 after infection. To measure bacterial populations in plants, bacteria were extracted from leaf disks, which were punched out with the lid of a microcentrifuge tube. Leaf disks were then ground in 200 μ l of 10 mM MgSO₄, bacterial suspensions were dilution plated, and colonies were counted 2 days later.

Nucleotide sequence accession numbers. The nucleotide sequences of fragments of the *gap1*, *gltA*, *gyrB*, and *rpoD* genes have been deposited in the GenBank database under accession numbers EU257726, EU257732, EU257738, and EU257744, respectively.

RESULTS

P. syringae 508 does not cause disease on the model plants A. thaliana, N. benthamiana, and tomato. Burr and colleagues (7) showed that P. syringae 508, which was found to strongly interfere with the germination of spores of the apple scab fungus V. inaequalis, does not cause disease on green bean, bush bean, apple, peach, cherry, and pea and does not elicit an HR on tobacco. We extended the pathogenicity assays to three widely used P. syringae hosts: A. thaliana, S. lycopersicum (tomato), and N. benthamiana. We infected these plants with P. syringae 508 and pathogens of the plants at a dose at which the known pathogens cause disease symptoms within 5 days. We also included E. coli DH5a and a T3SS-deficient mutant of the pathogenic strain P. syringae pv. syringae B728a in the N. benthamiana assay. Figure 1 shows disease symptoms and bacterial populations which revealed that P. syringae 508 was unable to cause disease or to reach population levels typical of pathogens on any of the plants tested. Moreover, on N. benthamiana P. syringae 508 grew to the same population density as the T3SS-deficient mutant of P. syringae pv. syringae B728a and only slightly better than E. coli DH5 α . However, when the concentration of the P. syringae 508 inoculum was increased to an OD₆₀₀ of 0.1 in the N. benthamiana assay, slight water soaking (wet-looking leaf area) was observed (Fig. 2A). The water soaking observed may have been due to the production of syringopeptin, which has known phytotoxic effects (5) and which was found to be produced by *P. syringae* 508 (19).

P. syringae 508 and other P. syringae isolates that do not cause an HR on tobacco are closely related to the sequenced pathogenic strain P. syringae pv. syringae B728a. After we found that P. syringae 508 did not cause disease on any plant tested, we were interested in determining if P. syringae 508 is really a P. syringae strain, as Burr and coworkers (7) determined based on fatty acid fingerprinting and carbohydrate assimilation tests. We sequenced fragments of the gap1, gltA, gyrB, and rpoD genes used by Hwang and coworkers (28) in their multilocus sequence typing scheme for P. syringae. We found that *P. syringae* 508 is in fact a member of the species *P*. syringae. The P. syringae strain tested by Hwang and coworkers (28) that was most similar to P. syringae 508 was P. syringae TLP2, an isolate from a healthy potato leaf, as described in the Introduction (41). We then identified three additional P. syringae isolates obtained from wild Primula species in the French Alps, which did not cause an HR on N. tabacum. P. syringae isolates were also collected from healthy plants at the Virginia Tech Hahn Horticultural Garden, and two of these isolates did not induce an HR on N. tabacum (Fig. 2A). The three Primula isolates and the two isolates obtained from plants at the Virginia Tech Hahn Horticultural Garden were found to be between 98.1 and 99% identical to P. syringae 508 when the DNA fragments from the gap1, gltA, gyrB, and rpoD genes were examined. It should be noted that P. syringae cit7, the P. syringae isolate obtained from a healthy orange and originally considered incapable of causing disease (40), caused an HR on



FIG. 1. P. syringae 508 neither causes disease nor grows to a high population density on N. benthamiana, A. thaliana, or S. lycopersicum (tomato). (A) N. benthamiana leaves were infiltrated with bacterial suspensions of P. syringae 508 (Psy508), E. coli DH5 α , the bean and N. benthamiana pathogen P. syringae pv. syringae B728a (PsyB728a), and a T3SS-deficient mutant of P. syringae pv. syringae B728a (PsyB728a T3SS-) at an OD₆₀₀ of 0.00001. (B) A. thaliana ecotype Columbia plants were spray inoculated with P. syringae 508 and the A. thaliana pathogen P. syringae pv. tomato DC3000 (PtoDC3000) at an OD₆₀₀ of 0.01. (C) Tomato cultivar Sunpride plants were spray inoculated with P. syringae 508 and the tomato pathogen P. syringae pv. tomato JL1065 (PtoJL1065) at an OD_{600} of 0.01. Bacterial population sizes were measured either 2 or 3 days postinfection, and pictures were taken 1 week postinfection. Different types of bars indicate significantly different population sizes based on analysis of four leaf disks per strain (P < 0.05). Similar results were obtained in at least two independent experiments.

tobacco (Fig. 2A) and is not part of the group that we identified as nonpathogens. Figure 2B shows a maximum likelihood tree based on the concatenated set of the four sequenced gene fragments from the nonpathogenic isolates, from the three



FIG. 2. P. syringae 508 and other closely related isolates cause neither an HR nor disease symptoms when high doses are infiltrated into leaves of N. tabacum and N. benthamiana. (A) Bacterial isolates were infiltrated into leaves of 4-week-old N. benthamiana and N. tabacum plants at an OD_{600} of 0.1, and pictures were taken 24 h later. The plant responses to P. syringae TLP2 are shown as an example of identical plant responses to P. syringae cc667, P. syringae cc1502, P. syringae cc1503, P. syringae B1-11-10, and P. syringae B2-1-1. Circles indicate where the syringe was pressed against the leaf during infiltration. WS, water soaking. (B) Maximum likelihood tree based on the concatenated sequence of four gene fragments (gap1, gltA, gyrB, and rpoD) showing that all isolates that do not cause an HR on N. tabacum are closely related to each other and cluster separately from the most closely related pathogenic P. syringae strains analyzed in previous studies (28, 53). Psy, P. syringae pv. syringae; Ppi, P. syringae pv. pisi; Ptt, P. syringae pv. aptata; Pbr, P. syringae pv. broussonetiae; Pph, P. syringae pv. phaseolicola; Pcn, P. syringae pv. coronafaciens; Pma, P. syringae pv. maculicola; Pto, P. syringae pv. tomato; Pa, P. aeruginosa.

sequenced *P. syringae* strains, from *P. syringae* cit7, and from a selection of representative *P. syringae* isolates analyzed by Sarkar and Guttman (53) and by Hwang and colleagues (28). The pathogenic strains most similar to the nonpathogenic isolates are the completely sequenced bean pathogen *P. syringae* pv. syringae B728a and other closely related *P. syringae* pv. syringae, *P. syringae* pv. pisi, and *P. syringae* pv. aptata strains. The average DNA sequence identity between these pathogenic strains and the nonpathogenic strains is 96.6%. Interestingly,



FIG. 3. Neither genes of the *hrp-hrc* T3SS cluster nor conserved effector genes are amplified from *P. syringae* 508 and other closely related isolates. Degenerate PCR primers were designed based on the three sequenced *P. syringae* isolates, *P. syringae* pv. syringae B728a, *P. syringae* pv. tomato DC3000, and *P. syringae* pv. phaseolicola 1448A. These primers and a primer pair for the housekeeping gene gyrB were used in PCRs performed with genomic DNA from the three sequenced *P. syringae* isolates, the HR-eliciting isolate *P. syringae* cit7, and the HR-negative isolate *P. syringae* 508 and other closely related isolates (Fig. 2A).

the nonpathogenic strains form a distinct cluster separated from all of the pathogenic strains in the maximum likelihood tree in Fig. 2B and in each of the four trees based on individual gene fragments (data not shown). However, the relative positions of the pathogenic and nonpathogenic strains in the *gyrB* tree are different from the relative positions in all other trees, confirming the effect of recombination on the *gyrB* locus (53). Recombination is probably also the cause of the low statistical support for the nonpathogenic cluster in the concatenated tree.

Nonpathogenic isolate P. syringae 508 contains neither an *hrp-hrc* cluster nor orthologues of effector genes of the closely related pathogenic strain P. syringae pv. syringae B728a. To understand why the nonpathogenic strains are unable to cause disease and to elicit an HR on the plant species tested, we designed a series of degenerate PCR primers based on the allele sequences in the three sequenced P. syringae strains of the hrp-hrc cluster genes hrpK, hrpL, and hrcC, the CEL effector genes avrE and hrpW known to be present in all pathogenic P. syringae strains, and the effector gene hop I1, which we previously found to also be present in all pathogenic *P. syringae* strains, including P. syringae cit7 (31). Since the three sequenced P. syringae strains on which the primers were based represent three of the five clades of the P. syringae tree constructed by Hwang and coworkers (28), these primers could be expected to anneal to orthologues in our nonpathogenic isolates closely related to P. syringae pv. syringae B728a. Figure 3 shows that the gyrB gene primers (one of the gene fragments used to construct the maximum likelihood tree in Fig. 2B) gave a PCR product for the three sequenced P. syringae strains, P. syringae cit7, and all nonpathogenic isolates. However, the hrphrc gene primers and all three effector gene primers gave products only for the three sequenced P. syringae strains and P. syringae cit7 and not for any of the nonpathogenic isolates. This suggests that the hrp-hrc cluster, the CEL locus, and hop11 are not present in any of the nonpathogenic strains except P. syringae cit7. To confirm that the hrp-hrc genes are not present in P. syringae 508 and P. syringae TLP2, we used fragments of the hrp-hrc cluster genes hrpL, hrpS, hrpF, and hrcC of P. syringae



FIG. 4. Southern blot and dot blot experiments indicating that P. syringae 508 does not contain either an hrp-hrc gene cluster or any orthologues of effectors from the closely related pathogenic isolate P. syringae pv. syringae B728a. (A) Genomic DNA of P. syringae pv. syringae B728a, P. syringae pv. tomato DC300, P. syringae cit7, P. syringae 508, and P. syringae TLP2 was digested with HindIII and EcoRI and analyzed by Southern blotting using either genes of the hrp-hrc cluster or housekeeping genes as the probe. While P. syringae pv. syringae B728a, P. syringae pv. tomato DC300, and P. syringae cit7 DNA hybridized with both probes, P. syringae 508 and P. syringae TLP2 hybridized only with the housekeeping gene probe. (B) DNA of plasmids containing cloned effector genes of P. syringae pv. syringae B728a (PsyB728a) was blotted on a membrane and probed with genomic DNA of P. syringae pv. syringae B728a and P. syringae 508 (Psy508). While all effectors hybridized with the P. syringae pv. syringae B728a probe, only the hopAJ2 gene hybridized with P. syringae 508. The hopAJ2 gene of P. syringae pv. maculicola ES4326 was originally identified as having a type III secretion signal (21), but the full-length gene was later found not to code for a secreted protein (60).

pv. syringae B728a to probe a Southern blot containing *P. syringae* 508 and *P. syringae* TLP2 genomic DNA. In parallel, we used as a hybridization probe a mixture of the housekeeping genes *acnB*, *gap1*, *gltA*, *gyrB*, *rpoD*, and *pgi*. Figure 4A

shows that P. syringae 508 and P. syringae TLP2 hybridized only with the housekeeping gene probes and not with the hrp-hrc gene probes, confirming that the hrp-hrc cluster is not present in these strains. We then used a DNA dot blot filter spotted with 20 P. syringae pv. syringae B728a effector genes (avrPto1, avrRpm1, hopAJ2, hopH1, hopI1, hopAE1, hopAG1, hopAH1, avrB3, hopX1, hopZ3, hopAA1, hopH1, hopAF1, hopM1, hrpA1, hrpK1, hrpW1, hrpZ1, and hopAB1) and probed it separately with P. syringae pv. syringae B728a and P. syringae 508 genomic DNA. Figure 4B shows that the P. syringae pv. syringae B728a probe hybridized with all effector genes, but the P. syringae 508 probe hybridized only with P. syringae pv. syringae B728a hopAJ2. Interestingly, the N-terminal region of the hopAJ2 protein from amino acid 1 to amino acid 14 was originally found to be able to translocate the AvrRpt271-255 reporter to plant cells (21), but later the product of the full-length gene was found not to be secreted by the T3SS (60). Thus, the hopAJ2 gene cannot be considered a T3SS effector. In a separate dot blot experiment DNA of a pathogenic P. syringae pv. syringae isolate from tomato also closely related to P. syringae pv. syringae B728a hybridized to 14 effector genes on the filter (data not shown). Moreover, we previously reported that genes with levels of DNA identity to genes in the probe as low as 85% gave a positive signal in our dot blot filters (61). Therefore, no orthologue of the P. syringae pv. syringae B728a effectors analyzed appears to be present in P. syringae 508 based on DNA-DNA hybridization.

hrp-hrc cluster, CEL, and EEL are largely missing from *P.* syringae 508. The *hrp-hrc* region and the flanking CEL and EEL regions are located downstream of a gene which is annotated in *P. syringae* pv. tomato DC3000 as coding for a "conserved effector locus protein" with the locus tag PSPTO_1371 and is annotated in *P. syringae* pv. syringae B728a as coding for a "hypothetical protein" with the locus tag PSyr_1182, while the *P. syringae* pv. phaseolicola 1448A orthologue of this gene has a frameshift in the sequence encoding amino acid 27 and is annotated as a pseudogene with the locus tag PSPPH_1262 (Fig. 5). The effector gene *hopAA1-1* is located immediately



FIG. 5. CEL, the *hrp-hrc* cluster, and most of the EEL are missing from *P. syringae* 508. *P. syringae* pv. phaseolicola 1448A (*Pph*1448A) genes and their orthologues in *P. syringae* 508 (*Psy*508) are green, *P. syringae* pv. syringae B728a (*Psy*B728a) genes and their orthologues in *P. syringae* 508 are blue, and *P. syringae* pv. tomato DC3000 (*Pto*DC3000) genes and their orthologues in *P. syringae* 508 are purple. Genes conserved in all four isolates are blue, hypothetical genes in *P. syringae* 508 are white, and the prophage genome is indicated by a brown box. Individual *hrp-hrc*, CEL, EEL, and bacteriophage genes are not shown. The numbers indicate the gene identification numbers for *P. syringae* 508 genes (Table 2). AscI is the restriction enzyme that was used for plasmid rescue of this *P. syringae* 508 region. Genes are not drawn to scale.

downstream of this gene in the CEL of all three sequenced strains, followed by the other genes of the CEL, the hrp-hrc region, and finally the EEL. Immediately downstream of the EEL, the three sequenced P. syringae strains and all other P. syringae isolates analyzed have the tRNA^{Leu} gene, followed by the queA gene (10, 14). Using primers based on the sequence encoding the hypothetical protein with the locus tag Psyr 1182 immediately upstream of the CEL in P. syringae pv. syringae B728a, we were able to amplify an orthologue from P. syringae 508, which shared 91% DNA identity over 804 bp with the P. syringae pv. syringae B728a allele. We cloned this PCR fragment into the vector pBAV208 without the origin of replication for P. syringae and integrated this plasmid into the P. syringae 508 chromosome. We then "rescued" this plasmid, including 4,039 bp upstream of the gene and 11,739 bp downstream of the gene, using restriction enzyme AscI. Sequencing and annotation of the rescued region revealed that the entire CEL locus and the hrp-hrc cluster are missing from P. syringae 508 (Fig. 5; see Table S2 in the supplemental material). One hundred sixty-five base pairs with similarity to the intergenic P. syringae pv. syringae B728a region between the Psyr 1182 locus and hopAA1-1 is still present downstream of the Psyr_1182 orthologue. This short region is directly flanked by a DNA sequence with similarity to the EEL of P. syringae pv. tomato DC3000 and other P. syringae isolates and contains two EEL genes (T54 and T60 [see Table S2 in the supplemental material]) with no features of T3SS effectors. These two genes are followed by a 19-bp fragment of the tRNA^{Leu} gene, which represents the end of the EEL in all pathogenic P. syringae strains analyzed (10, 14). Upstream of the two EEL genes is a 138-bp open reading frame (ORF) (T51 [see Table S2 in the supplemental material]) that in BLAST searches showed homology over its 20 most 3' codons to the 20 most 3' codons of the avrPphE8 allele (new designation, hopX1) of P. syringae pv. phaseolicola BK378. However, this region of P. syringae pv. phaseolicola BK378 avrPphE8 (avrPphE8_{PphBK378}) has no homology with any of the other avrPphE8 alleles. Thus, either these 20 codons are a result of a sequencing error and in reality not part of avrPphE8_{PphBK378} or avrPphE8_{PphBK378} has a very unusual C terminus. Moreover, the 5' end of ORF T51 is located in the region with similarity to the noncoding region of P. syringae pv. syringae B728a downstream of the Psyr_1182 locus. Thus, we believe that ORF T51 should not be considered an effector or effector remnant. It is probably not even a coding sequence but is a pseudogene. This suggests that the CEL, the hrp-hrc cluster, and most of the EEL, including all effector genes, were deleted during the evolution of P. syringae 508. Just downstream of the tRNA^{Leu} fragment a bacteriophage genome was found. This bacteriophage genome may have integrated into the genome at the tRNA^{Leu} locus. Based on our data, it is impossible to say if this happened before, at the same time as, or after the loss of the hrp-hrc cluster, CEL, and EEL.

Genomic regions containing effector genes in *P. syringae* pv. syringae B728a are replaced or modified in *P. syringae* 508. After determining that the CEL, *hrp-hrc*, and EEL region was probably deleted during the evolution of *P. syringae* 508, we wanted to determine what happened to some of the effector genes that may have been present in the pathogenic ancestor of *P. syringae* 508. We aligned the *P. syringae* pv. syringae B728a



FIG. 6. Long-range PCR products obtained from *P. syringae* 508 and *P. syringae* pv. syringae B728a using primers that flank *P. syringae* pv. syringae B728a-specific regions ("loops"), which contain the effector genes *hopH1*, *hopAE1*, and *avrPto1*. Primers were designed for regions conserved in *P. syringae* pv. syringae B728a and *P. syringae* pv. tomato DC3000 located up- and downstream of effector-containing *P. syringae* pR28a-specific regions and used in long-range PCR. Note that all three regions are slightly shorter in *P. syringae* 508 than in *P. syringae* pv. syringae B728a, indicating that there are gene differences in these regions between the two isolates.

genome with the P. syringae pv. tomato DC3000 genome using MUMmer (36) and determined the location of all P. syringae pv. syringae B728a effector genes in the alignment. We found that the effector genes avrPto1 and hopAE1 and the helper protein gene hopH1 were located in P. syringae pv. syringae B728a-specific regions ("loops") that were short enough to be amplified with primers located on flanking core genome regions present in both P. syringae pv. syringae B728a and P. syringae pv. tomato DC3000 using long and accurate PCR. Using such primers with P. syringae pv. syringae B728a and P. syringae 508 as templates, we found that these loops were slightly shorter in P. syringae 508, suggesting that the gene contents of these loops were different in P. syringae 508 and P. syringae pv. syringae B728a (Fig. 6). We sequenced all three PCR products from P. syringae 508 and aligned the sequence obtained with the P. syringae pv. syringae B728a loops. The annotation of the avrPto1 and hopAE1 loops is shown in Table 2, while the sequence obtained for the *hopH1* loop was simply used in a BLASTX search against the NCBI nonredundant protein database (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov /BLAST/BLAST.cgi). The loop that contains the hopAE1 gene in P. syringae pv. syringae B728a is nearly identical to the loop in P. syringae 508. The only difference is the replacement of the *hopAE1* gene and its promoter region with a 52-bp noncoding region with no homology to any sequence in the GenBank database. It is impossible to say if hopAE1 was inserted into this region after P. syringae pv. syringae B728a and P. syringae 508 diverged or if hopAE1 was present in their common ancestor and was deleted from an ancestor of P. svringae 508 after it diverged from the P. syringae pv. syringae B728a lineage. In the case of the avrPto1 loop (Table 2) and the *hopH1* loop (data not shown), the differences between P. syringae pv. syringae B728a and P. syringae 508 are more substantial, and there are several gene differences. Major rearrangements may have taken place at the location of these loops since P. syringae 508 and P. syringae pv. syringae B728a diverged from their MRCA. However, the significant result is that the effector genes avrPto1 and hopH1 are missing from the P. syringae 508 loops and no other effector gene orthologue was found.

TABLE 2. Annotation of the P. syringae 508 loops corresponding to the avrPto1 and hopAE1 loops in P. syringae pv. syringae B728a

Identification no.	Start position	Stop position	Length (nucleotides)	Strand	Description of best hit	Best hit accession no.	Best hit organism
avrPto1 loop (accession no. EU255788)							
T2	77	307	228	+	No hits		
Τ8	896	474	420	_	Hypothetical protein	YP_233221	P. syringae pv. syringae B728a
T13	1024	899	123	_	No hits		
T16	1084	1425	339	+	Hypothetical protein	NP_795111	<i>P. syringae</i> pv. tomato DC3000
T19	1525	2169	642	+	Phosphoglycerate mutase family protein	NP_795110	P. syringae pv. tomato DC3000
T29	2606	2262	342	_	Hypothetical protein	NP_795102	<i>P. syringae</i> pv. tomato DC3000
T32	2926	2603	321	-	Hypothetical protein	NP_795101	<i>P. syringae</i> pv. tomato DC3000
T37	2932	3048	114	+	No hits		200000
T39	3952	3224	726	_	Hypothetical protein	YP_238047	P. syringae pv. syringae B728a
T45	4993	3962	1,029	_	Hypothetical protein	YP_238046	P. syringae pv. syringae B728a
T52	5077	5400	321	+	No hits		
T57	5742	5527	213	_	No hits		
T59	5769	6104	333	+	Hypothetical protein	YP_583029	Ralstonia metallidurans CH34
T67	6262	6441	177	+	No hits		
<i>hopAE1</i> loop (accession no. EU255789)							
Т3	387	217	168	_	No hits		
T5	476	4312	3834	+	PAS:GGDEF	YP_237333	P. syringae pv. syringae B728a
T39	4451	5443	990	+	Regulatory protein LuxR	YP_237334	<i>P. syringae</i> pv. syringae B728a
T46	5542	6477	933	+	Hypothetical protein	YP_237335	P. syringae pv. syringae B728a
T55	6525	7976	1,449	+	Amidase	YP_237336	<i>P. syringae</i> pv. syringae B728a
Noncoding	7987	8039	52		a		
T70	8199	8059	138	_	No hits		

^a This region is the only region in the *P. syringae* 508 hopAE1 loop without homology to *P. syringae* pv. syringae B728a. This region correspond to hopAE1 and its promoter in *P. syringae* pv. syringae B728a.

P. syringae 508 can efficiently translocate AvrRpt2 into N. benthamiana and A. thaliana only when a T3SS is expressed ectopically from a plasmid. P. syringae 508 and the other closely related nonpathogenic isolates were not able to cause disease or induce an HR, and components of the T3SS could not be amplified from their genomes. We thus wanted to test the ability of *P. syringae* 508 to elicit an HR on *N. benthamiana* when it was expressing the effector AvrRpt2. AvrRpt2 is a well-established reporter for type III secretion since it elicits a typical HR when it is recognized by intracellular plant resistance genes, and the N terminus of AvrRpt2 was repeatedly used by us in the past as a reporter to test for translocation of effector fusion proteins from P. syringae to A. thaliana (20, 21, 60). We knew that the sequenced pathogenic P. syringae pv. syringae B728a strain, which contains a functional T3SS and is thus able to translocate effectors into plant cells, induces characteristic HR spots on N. benthamiana when it is expressing AvrRpt2. A T3SS-deficient mutant of P. syringae pv. syringae B728a unable to translocate effectors into plant cells does not elicit any HR spots in N. benthamiana when it is expressing

AvrRpt2 (Fig. 7A). The AvrRpt2 effector could thus be used as a reporter to test the ability of our nonpathogenic P. syringae isolates to translocate effectors into N. benthamiana. Figure 7A shows that P. syringae 508 did not cause significant HR spots on N. benthamiana when it was expressing AvrRpt2, confirming the inability of P. syringae 508 and its relatives to translocate effectors into host cells. However, a very small number of spots were consistently detected, while the T3SS-deficient mutant of P. syringae pv. syringae B728a did not elicit any HR spots when it was expressing AvrRpt2. We do not believe that these spots were an indication of T3SS-dependent translocation of Avr-Rpt2, but they may have been due to secretion through the flagellum (see Discussion). Also, after a similar translocation assay with P. syringae 508 and A. thaliana, no HR could be detected when P. syringae 508 expressing AvrRpt2 was infiltrated into leaves of A. thaliana ecotype Columbia (Fig. 7B). Note that P. syringae strain cit7, which we found to contain a T3SS based on HR tests with tobacco (Fig. 2) and based on PCR and Southern blot assays (Fig. 3 and 4), elicited a strong HR on A. thaliana when it was expressing AvrRpt2 (Fig. 7B).



FIG. 7. P. syringae 508 does not efficiently translocate AvrRpt2 into cells of N. benthamiana and A. thaliana. (A) P. syringae pv. syringae B728a (PsyB728a) causes water soaking as a sign of disease when it is infiltrated into N. benthamiana leaves but causes HR spots when it is expressing AvrRpt2 (from plasmid pLH12). A T3SS-deficient mutant of P. syringae pv. syringae B728a (PsyB728a T3SS-) was unable either to cause disease or to induce HR spots when it was expressing Avr-Rpt2. P. syringae 508 (Psy508) was unable to cause disease and elicited a few individual HR spots (arrows) when it was expressing AvrRpt2 (from plasmid pVT359). Although the number of spots was low, spots were observed in 10 independent experiments. When plasmid pHIR11 encoding the T3SS of P. syringae pv. syringae 61 was added to the P. syringae 508 strain that expressed AvrRpt2, many HR spots were visible. Bacteria were infiltrated at an OD₆₀₀ of 0.0001 since a higher dose of P. syringae pv. syringae B728a with and without expression of AvrRpt2 caused complete tissue collapse, making it impossible to distinguish between disease and HR. Leaves were photographed 48 h postinfection. WS, water soaking. (B) P. syringae cit7 (Psycit7) does not cause disease in A. thaliana 'Columbia'. P. syringae cit7 expressing AvrRpt2 (from plasmid pLH12) caused an HR. P. syringae 508 was unable to cause disease or to elicit an HR when it was expressing AvrRpt2. Bacteria were infiltrated into A. thaliana leaves at an OD₆₀₀ of 0.1. Leaves were photographed 24 h postinfection. All strains not expressing genes from plasmids contained empty vectors.

Huang and coworkers (27) cloned the *hrp-hrc* region of *P*. syringae pv. syringae 61, including the *P*. syringae pv. syringae 61 flanking effector gene *hopA1* (*hopA1*_{Psy61}) (originally designated *hrmA*), in a plasmid designated pHIR11. This plasmid and its derivative pLN18 (30), in which *hopA1*_{Psy61} was re-



FIG. 8. Adding a T3SS and the effector gene $hopA1_{Psy61}$ to P. syringae 508 increases growth in planta and leads to water soaking. Plasmid pHIR11 contains the hrp-hrc cluster of P. syringae pv. syringae 61 and the effector gene $hopA1_{Psy61}$. Bacteria were infiltrated at an OD₆₀₀ of 0.00001. (A) Addition of pHIR11 to P. syringae 508 (Psy508 pHIR11) increased bacterial population size in planta almost 10-fold by day 3 postinfection. This increase was statistically significant compared to the data for P. syringae 508 (Psy508) and for a T3SS-deficient mutant of P. syringae pv. syringae B728a (PsyB728a T3SS-). Different types of bars indicate significantly different population sizes based on analysis of four leaf disks per strain (P < 0.05). PsyB728a, P. syringae pv. syringae B728a. (B) Water soaking on the lower side of the leaf appeared after infection with P. syringae 508(pHIR11). The results for P. syringae pv. syringae B728a and a T3SS-deficient mutant of P. syringae pv. syringae B728a are shown for comparison. Pictures were taken 3 days postinfection. All strains not expressing genes from plasmids contained empty vectors. All infections were repeated at least three times, and similar results were obtained. WS, water soaking.

placed with a kanamycin resistance cassette, have since been used extensively for secretion assays and for HR assays when they were ectopically expressed in the nonpathogen *Pseudomonas fluorescens* 55, a *P. fluorescens* strain that naturally does not encode a T3SS (27). We wanted to determine if expressing pHIR11 in *P. syringae* 508 would allow translocation of AvrRpt2 into *N. benthamiana*. Figure 7A shows that it did. Very clear HR spots were induced by *P. syringae* 508 containing pHIR11 and expressing AvrRpt2. This demonstrates that expression of a functional T3SS in *P. syringae* 508 allows translocation of the AvrRpt2 effector into *N. benthamiana* cells. We could not perform this assay with *A. thaliana*, since most *A. thaliana* ecotypes are resistant to HopA1_{Psy61} (17) and the pHIR11 derivative pLN18 does not translocate effectors into plants very efficiently (17; our unpublished data).

Ectopic expression of a T3SS and an effector in *P. syringae* 508 can reconstitute a low level of pathogenicity. Could *P. syringae* 508 and its relatives be engineered into pathogenic *P. syringae* strains by adding a T3SS and an assortment of effectors? We wondered if adding pHIR11 to *P. syringae* 508 could be a first step in engineering *P. syringae* 508 into a pathogen, i.e., if adding pHIR11 could in fact increase the growth of *P.*

syringae 508 on N. benthamiana because of a possible virulence effect of the HopA_{Psv61} effector. We infected N. bethamiana with P. syringae 508 and P. syringae 508(pHIR11) using a very low dose (OD₆₀₀, 0.00001) and using as controls *P. syringae* pv. syringae B728a (which caused disease when it was infiltrated at an OD₆₀₀ of 0.00001) and a T3SS-deficient mutant of P. syringae pv. syringae B728a (which did not cause any disease). We observed a nearly 10-fold increase in the size of the P. syringae 508(pHIR11) bacterial population 3 days after infection compared to the results after infection with P. syringae 508 without pHIR11 (Fig. 8A) and mild water soaking (Fig. 8B), which we never observed when we infiltrated P. syringae 508 without T3SS at this low dose. To determine if the observed increase in bacterial population size and in water soaking was the result of a virulence effect of the translocated HopA1_{Psv61} effector, the result of the combined effects of the expressed T3SS and the translocated HopA1_{Psv61} effector, or the result of the expression of the T3SS, we expressed the pHIR11 derivative pLN18 in P. syringae 508, which does not contain hopA1_{Psv61}, and compared the growth of the resulting strain with the growth of P. syringae 508(pHIR11). The growth of this strain was indistinguishable from that of P. syringae 508 (data not shown). However, as mentioned above, pLN18 does not translocate effectors very efficiently (17; our unpublished data). Therefore, we cannot exclude the possibility that the observed increase in virulence of P. syringae 508(pHIR11) compared to P. syringae 508 and P. syringae 508(pLN18) may have been due in part to an effect of the expression of the T3SS of P. syringae pv. syringae 61 from pHIR11 and not exclusively because of a virulence effect of the translocated HopA1_{Psy61} effector. However, regardless of whether the increase in virulence of P. syringae 508(pHIR11) was caused by translocation of HopA1_{Psv61} alone or was due to a combined effect of the expression of the P. syringae pv. syringae 61 T3SS and HopA1_{Psy61}, this result clearly shows that P. syringae 508 can be engineered toward virulence using appropriate virulence genes.

DISCUSSION

Although it has been extensively reported previously that some *P. syringae* strains can live epiphytically without causing disease on plants for extended periods of time, it has always been assumed that all P. syringae strains eventually enter plant tissue and cause disease when they find themselves on a susceptible plant under favorable environmental conditions (26). Here we show that within the species *P. syringae*, there is a group of isolates that do not contain an hrp-hrc cluster coding for a T3SS. Since type III secretion is an essential virulence mechanism in P. syringae (32) and since the identified T3SS⁻ isolates are unable to translocate effectors into plant cells, to elicit an HR, and to cause disease on any plant species tested, it appears that these P. syringae strains have an entirely nonpathogenic lifestyle. One interesting question is whether syringopeptin plays a role in this nonpathogenic interaction with plants. Syringopeptin is a toxin produced by several pathogenic P. syringae isolates and by P. syringae 508 (19). It has been found to have antimicrobial activity and phytotoxic activity because it forms pores in cell membranes (5). Therefore, production of syringopeptin may simply allow P. syringae 508 to

better compete with other microbes in the leaf environment, or its phytotoxic activity may increase nutrient and water release from plant cells. In fact, *P. syringae* 508 causes water soaking when a high dose is infiltrated into *N. benthamiana*. However, our data clearly indicate that this phytotoxic activity is not enough to cause disease since *P. syringae* 508 growth is indistinguishable from the growth of a T3SS-deficient mutant of *P. syringae* pv. syringae B728a and only slightly greater than the growth of *E. coli* on *N. benthamiana*. Moreover, no other identified T3SS⁻ isolate causes any water soaking on *N. benthamiana* even at a high dose. The nonpathogenic lifestyle of these *P. syringae* isolates might also involve colonization of other niches, such as epilithic biofilms and other aquatic environments (44, 45).

The first T3SS is believed to have evolved in an ancestor of the genus Chlamydia (59). It is believed that the genes coding for the T3SS were then acquired through horizontal gene transfer by other gram-negative pathogens and symbionts. Congruence between phylogenetic trees of P. syringae isolates constructed using the core genome genes gyrB and rpoD and the tree constructed using the *hrpL* and *hrpS* genes of the hrp-hrc cluster (54) and the conserved chromosomal location of the hrp-hrc cluster indicate that all pathogenic P. syringae isolates that exist today were derived from one ancestor and that the hrp-hrc clusters present in pathogenic strains today were inherited vertically from that ancestor. Are the isolates that lack a T3SS descendants of an ancient P. syringae isolate that never acquired a T3SS, or did a T3SS-containing P. syringae isolate at some point lose its hrp-hrc cluster and give rise to today's isolates lacking a T3SS? The presence of remnants of the EEL in P. syringae 508 and the fact that the isolates lacking a T3SS cluster tightly together in one group with much lower diversity than members of the species *P. syringae* overall suggest that the MRCA of the T3SS⁻ isolates lived much later than the MRCA of all P. syringae isolates. Therefore, loss of the T3SS in one pathogenic T3SS-containing P. syringae strain appears to have been the initial event in the evolution of the T3SS⁻ isolates described here. However, more nonpathogenic isolates must be analyzed to confirm this hypothesis. In particular, it is necessary to sequence the genomic region corresponding to the CEL, the hrp-hrc cluster, and the EEL in additional nonpathogenic isolates to determine if all T3SS⁻ isolates were derived from the same deletion event.

Loss of T3SSs has been reported in other species and even in one sequenced P. syringae strain. P. syringae pv. phaseolicola 1448A contains a cluster of genes coding for T3SS components with the closest homologues in Rhizobium, Photorhabdus, Aeromonas spp., and Pseudomonas aeruginosa (33). Some essential T3SS components are missing in this cluster, and thus no functional T3SS is encoded. Whether the T3SS was used by an ancestor of P. syringae pv. phaseolicola 1448A for plant infection or possibly to infect nonplant hosts or whether it was used in a symbiotic interaction is not known. Another example is E. coli. Even the laboratory strain K-12 and the majority of all other pathogenic and nonpathogenic E. coli isolates contain the ETT2 gene cluster coding for a nonfunctional T3SS that contains genes with homology to the Salmonella Spi-1, Spi-2, and Spi-3 PAIs (49). Surprisingly, although degenerate, ETT2 has been shown to have a role in virulence in some E. coli isolates (29).

Why do bacteria lose T3SSs, and in particular, why did the P. syringae T3SS⁻ isolates lose the T3SS? Even pathogenic P. syringae strains spend part of their life cycle growing epiphytically without causing disease. Therefore, loss of the T3SS may have allowed *P. syringae* isolates to simply expand that part of their life cycle. It is well known that the effectors secreted by the T3SS into plants are "double agents" (4) and can, depending on the plant that is infected, be either virulence factors that subvert the plant immune system or avirulence factors that betray the presence of the bacterium to the plant immune system, eliciting ETI (11). Because of the evolution of a wide array of plant resistance genes, each P. syringae isolate can cause disease on only a limited number of host plants, while on a majority of plants T3SS-translocated effectors trigger ETI. Therefore, loss of the T3SS may have been advantageous in the ancestor of today's T3SS⁻ isolates; although losing the T3SS abolished the ability to cause disease on some plants, it may have allowed this strain to grow better on all the plants on which any of its effectors elicited ETI. Of course, T3SS- isolates can be assumed to still elicit microbe-associated molecular pattern-triggered immunity (11), like all other pathogenic and nonpathogenic bacteria. This assumption is in agreement with our observation that P. syringae 508 growth on N. benthamiana in controlled infections is indistinguishable from the growth of a P. syringae pv. syringae B728a T3SS-deficient mutant and only slightly greater than the growth of E. coli. Therefore, the isolates lacking a T3SS may have adapted to an ecological niche in which moderate growth in the presence of PTI on many different plants may be more advantageous (or at least comparably advantageous) than extensive growth on a small number of susceptible plants.

How did nonpathogenic *P. syringae* isolates evolve after the T3SS was lost? Based on DNA-DNA hybridization and PCR, P. syringae 508 does not contain any orthologues of effectors from the closely related strain P. syringae pv. syringae B728a (Fig. 4) and from P. syringae pv. tomato DC3000 (data not shown). However, we cannot exclude the possibility that it contains some effectors with low homology to the effectors that we analyzed or to members of undiscovered effector families. Sequencing of the P. syringae 508 genome is necessary to definitely determine if there are any effector genes present in its genome. In the meantime, the absence of effector genes from the CEL and the EEL and from the loci that in *P. syringae* pv. syringae B728a contain hopAE, hopH1, and avrPto1 strongly suggests that P. syringae 508 lost most of its effectors after it lost its ability to secrete them. This is not at all surprising since it would be disadvantageous to maintain effector genes if effectors cannot be secreted. However, it is also possible that the pathogenic ancestor of today's nonpathogenic isolates had only a very small number of effectors since it may have existed during a period in the evolution of P. syringae when the number of effector genes was still very limited.

All our molecular data point to the absence of a T3SS in *P. syringae* 508, but why then does expression of AvrRpt2 cause a small number of HR spots on *N. benthamiana*? We believe that the most likely explanation is very inefficient translocation of AvrRpt2 through the flagellum. The flagellum contains its own T3SS that secretes flagellin and other distal components during construction of the flagellum (34). Expression of the T3SS, in particular the sigma factor HrpL, has been found to down-

regulate the flagellar system in Erwinia amylovora (8), and negative cross-control between these two systems has also been observed in P. aeruginosa (55). Considering that in a laboratoryconstructed T3SS-deficient P. syringae mutant only one of the T3SS components is missing and HrpL can be assumed to still be expressed, the flagellar T3SS is probably down-regulated during infection in these T3SS mutants (like the T3SS-deficient P. syringae pv. syringae B728a mutant that we used [Fig. 7A]). Therefore, translocation of AvrRpt2 through the flagellum may be blocked in these mutants because the flagellum is not expressed. In the case of P. syringae 508, however, the entire hrp-hrc cluster, including hrpL, is missing. Therefore, in P. syringae 508 the flagellum T3SS may be highly expressed during infection and be capable of weakly translocating AvrRpt2. In fact, it has been shown that the flagellum can secrete proteins besides flagellum components (34). Another possible explanation is that P. syringae 508 contains a T3SS with no homology to the typical P. syringae T3SS. This T3SS may be able to inefficiently translocate AvrRpt2. Only genome sequencing of P. syringae 508 will be able to definitely tell us which of the two hypotheses is correct as mutation of the flagellum in P. syringae 508 may cause the disappearance of the HR spots because of pleiotropic effects.

Expression of a plasmid-borne T3SS and of the effector HopA1_{*Psy61*} increased growth of *P. syringae* 508 in *N. benthamiana* almost 10-fold and allowed *P. syringae* 508 to cause water soaking. This suggests that *P. syringae* 508 or other nonpathogenic *P. syringae* isolates supplemented with a T3SS could be used as a tool to identify the functions of individual effectors in the context of infection. This is very important since the functions of individual effectors are often masked by other effectors with partially redundant functions when mutations in individual effectors are made in pathogenic isolates (39). Making a multiple-effector deletion mutant is one way to solve this problem, and making a multiple-effector mutant of *P. syringae* pv. tomato DC3000 has already revealed interesting virulence effects (37, 62), but it will be challenging to delete all effectors in a single isolate.

Could P. syringae 508 and its relatives be engineered back into pathogenic strains? The fact that growth of P. syringae 508 is indistinguishable from growth of a T3SS mutant of P. syringae pv. syringae B728a indicates that a T3SS and the right assortment of effectors could allow P. syringae 508 to cause disease on N. benthamiana and other plants. We also coinfected N. benthamiana with P. syringae pv. syringae B728a and P. syringae 508 and observed no reduction in the growth of P. syringae pv. syringae B728a but a nearly 100-fold increase in the growth of P. syringae 508 (data not shown). This suggests that P. syringae 508 does not elicit any plant defenses that cannot be overcome by the right assortment of P. syringae pv. syringae B728a effectors and therefore that P. syringae 508 can potentially be engineered into an N. benthamiana pathogen or a pathogen of other plants. Hence, we believe that P. syringae 508 may be an excellent tool to identify the minimum repertoire of T3SS effectors that cause disease in different plant species and to determine the underlying virulence mechanisms. This should ultimately be very helpful in unraveling the molecular basis of host range determination in P. syringae.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This research was funded by Virginia Tech start-up funds and by a Virginia Tech ASPIRES grant to Boris Vinatzer.

We thank Ryan Anderson, Peter Bowerman, Christopher Clarke, Chandler Douglas, and Eric Hall for their help with cloning and sequencing genomic regions of *P. syringae* 508, with construction of *P. syringae* strains, and with plant infection. We thank Joao Setubal (Virginia Bioinformatics Institute), Andrew Warren, and Lokesh Chandra Das for help with annotation of the sequenced *P. syringae* 508 regions and for aligning the *P. syringae* pv. syringae B728a and *P. syringae* pv. tomato D3000 genomes. We thank Jeff Jones (University of Florida) for providing *P. syringae* isolates TLP2 and cit7 and Thomas Burr (Cornell University) for providing *P. syringae* 508.

REFERENCES

- Agrios, G. 1997. Plant pathology, 4th ed. Academic Press, San Diego, CA.
 Alexeyev, M. F., I. N. Shokolenko, and T. P. Croughan. 1995. New mini-Tn5 derivatives for insertion mutagenesis and genetic engineering in Gram-neg-
- ative bacteria. Can. J. Microbiol. 41:1053–1055.
 3. Alfano, J. R., A. O. Charkowski, W. L. Deng, J. L. Badel, T. Petnicki-Ocwieja, K. van Dijk, and A. Collmer. 2000. The *Pseudomonas syringae* Hrp pathogenicity island has a tripartite mosaic structure composed of a cluster of type III secretion genes bounded by exchangeable effector and conserved effector loci that contribute to parasitic fitness and pathogenicity in plants. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 97:4856–4861.
- Alfano, J. R., and A. Collmer. 2004. Type III secretion system effector proteins: double agents in bacterial disease and plant defense. Annu. Rev. Phytopathol. 42:385–414.
- Bender, C. L., F. Alarcon-Chaidez, and D. C. Gross. 1999. *Pseudomonas syringae* phytotoxins: mode of action, regulation, and biosynthesis by peptide and polyketide synthetases. Microbiol. Mol. Biol. Rev. 63:266–292.
- 6. Buell, C. R., V. Joardar, M. Lindeberg, J. Selengut, I. T. Paulsen, M. L. Gwinn, R. J. Dodson, R. T. Deboy, A. S. Durkin, J. F. Kolonay, R. Madupu, S. Daugherty, L. Brinkac, M. J. Beanan, D. H. Haft, W. C. Nelson, T. Davidsen, N. Zafar, L. Zhou, J. Liu, Q. Yuan, H. Khouri, N. Fedorova, B. Tran, D. Russell, K. Berry, T. Utterback, S. E. Van Aken, T. V. Feldblyum, M. D'Ascenzo, W. L. Deng, A. R. Ramos, J. R. Alfano, S. Cartinhour, A. K. Chatterjee, T. P. Delaney, S. G. Lazarowitz, G. B. Martin, D. J. Schneider, X. Tang, C. L. Bender, O. White, C. M. Fraser, and A. Collmer. 2003. The complete genome sequence of the *Arabidopsis* and tomato pathogen *Pseudomonas syringae* pv. tomato DC3000. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 100:10181–10186.
- Burr, T. J., M. C. Matteson, C. A. Smith, M. R. Corral-Garcia, and T. C. Huang. 1996. Effectiveness of bacteria and yeasts from apple orchards as biological control agents apple scab. Biol. Control 6:151–157.
- Cesbron, S., J. P. Paulin, M. Tharaud, M. A. Barny, and M. N. Brisset. 2006. The alternative sigma factor HrpL negatively modulates the flagellar system in the phytopathogenic bacterium *Erwinia amylovora* under hrp-inducing conditions. FEMS Microbiol. Lett. 257:221–227.
- Chang, J. H., J. M. Urbach, T. F. Law, L. W. Arnold, A. Hu, S. Gombar, S. R. Grant, F. M. Ausubel, and J. L. Dangl. 2005. A high-throughput, nearsaturating screen for type III effector genes from *Pseudomonas syringae*. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 102:2549–2554.
- Charity, J. C., K. Pak, C. F. Delwiche, and S. W. Hutcheson. 2003. Novel exchangeable effector loci associated with the *Pseudomonas syringae* hrp pathogenicity island: evidence for integron-like assembly from transposed gene cassettes. Mol. Plant-Microbe Interact. 16:495–507.
- Chisholm, S. T., G. Coaker, B. Day, and B. J. Staskawicz. 2006. Hostmicrobe interactions: shaping the evolution of the plant immune response. Cell 124:803–814.
- Collmer, A., J. L. Badel, A. O. Charkowski, W. L. Deng, D. E. Fouts, A. R. Ramos, A. H. Rehm, D. M. Anderson, O. Schneewind, K. van Dijk, and J. R. Alfano. 2000. *Pseudomonas syringae* Hrp type III secretion system and effector proteins. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 97:8770–8777.
- Cuppels, D. A. 1986. Generation and characterization of Tn5 insertion mutations in *Pseudomonas syringae* pv. tomato. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 51: 323–327.
- Deng, W. L., A. H. Rehm, A. O. Charkowski, C. M. Rojas, and A. Collmer. 2003. *Pseudomonas syringae* exchangeable effector loci: sequence diversity in representative pathovars and virulence function in *P. syringae* pv. syringae B728a. J. Bacteriol. 185:2592–2602.
- 15. Feil, H., W. S. Feil, P. Chain, F. Larimer, G. DiBartolo, A. Copeland, A. Lykidis, S. Trong, M. Nolan, E. Goltsman, J. Thiel, S. Malfatti, J. E. Loper, A. Lapidus, J. C. Detter, M. Land, P. M. Richardson, N. C. Kyrpides, N. Ivanova, and S. E. Lindow. 2005. Comparison of the complete genome sequences of *Pseudomonas syringae* pv. syringae B728a and pv. tomato DC3000. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 102:11064–11069.
- Fu, Z. Q., M. Guo, B. R. Jeong, F. Tian, T. E. Elthon, R. L. Cerny, D. Staiger, and J. R. Alfano. 2007. A type III effector ADP-ribosylates RNA-binding proteins and quells plant immunity. Nature 447:284–288.

- Gassmann, W. 2005. Natural variation in the Arabidopsis response to the avirulence gene hopPsyA uncouples the hypersensitive response from disease resistance. Mol. Plant-Microbe Interact. 18:1054–1060.
- Greenberg, J. T., and N. Yao. 2004. The role and regulation of programmed cell death in plant-pathogen interactions. Cell. Microbiol. 6:201–211.
- Grgurina, I., M. Bensaci, G. Pocsfalvi, L. Mannina, O. Cruciani, A. Fiore, V. Fogliano, K. N. Sorensen, and J. Y. Takemoto. 2005. Novel cyclic lipodepsipeptide from *Pseudomonas syringae* pv. lachrymans strain 508 and syringopeptin antimicrobial activities. Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 49:5037– 5045.
- Guttman, D. S., and J. T. Greenberg. 2001. Functional analysis of the type III effectors AvrRpt2 and AvrRpm1 of *Pseudomonas syringae* with the use of a single-copy genomic integration system. Mol. Plant-Microbe Interact. 14: 145–155.
- Guttman, D. S., B. A. Vinatzer, S. F. Sarkar, M. V. Ranall, G. Kettler, and J. T. Greenberg. 2002. A functional screen for the type III (Hrp) secretome of the plant pathogen *Pseudomonas syringae*. Science 295:1722–1726.
- Hacker, J., G. Blum-Ochler, I. Muhldorfer, and H. Tschape. 1997. Pathogenicity islands of virulent bacteria: structure, function and impact on microbial evolution. Mol. Microbiol. 23:1089–1097.
- He, P., L. Shan, and J. Sheen. 2007. Elicitation and suppression of microbeassociated molecular pattern-triggered immunity in plant-microbe interactions. Cell. Microbiol. 9:1385–1396.
- 24. Heeb, S., Y. Itoh, T. Nishijyo, U. Schnider, C. Keel, J. Wade, U. Walsh, F. O'Gara, and D. Haas. 2000. Small, stable shuttle vectors based on the minimal pVS1 replicon for use in gram-negative, plant-associated bacteria. Mol. Plant-Microbe Interact. 13:232–237.
- Hirano, S. S., A. O. Charkowski, A. Collmer, D. K. Willis, and C. D. Upper. 1999. Role of the Hrp type III protein secretion system in growth of *Pseudo-monas syringae* pv. syringae B728a on host plants in the field. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 96:9851–9856.
- Hirano, S. S., and C. D. Upper. 2000. Bacteria in the leaf ecosystem with emphasis on *Pseudomonas syringae*—a pathogen, ice nucleus, and epiphyte. Microbiol. Mol. Biol. Rev. 64:624–653.
- Huang, H. C., R. Schuurink, T. P. Denny, M. M. Atkinson, C. J. Baker, I. Yucel, S. W. Hutcheson, and A. Collmer. 1988. Molecular cloning of a *Pseudomonas syringae* pv. syringae gene cluster that enables *Pseudomonas* fluorescens to elicit the hypersensitive response in tobacco plants. J. Bacteriol. 170:4748-4756.
- Hwang, M. S., R. L. Morgan, S. F. Sarkar, P. W. Wang, and D. S. Guttman. 2005. Phylogenetic characterization of virulence and resistance phenotypes of *Pseudomonas syringae*. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. **71**:5182–5191.
- Ideses, D., U. Gophna, Y. Paitan, R. R. Chaudhuri, M. J. Pallen, and E. Z. Ron. 2005. A degenerate type III secretion system from septicemic *Escherichia coli* contributes to pathogenesis. J. Bacteriol. 187:8164–8171.
- Jamir, Y., M. Guo, H. S. Oh, T. Petnicki-Ocwieja, S. Chen, X. Tang, M. B. Dickman, A. Collmer, and J. R. Alfano. 2004. Identification of *Pseudomonas* syringae type III effectors that can suppress programmed cell death in plants and yeast. Plant J. 37:554–565.
- Jelenska, J., N. Yao, B. A. Vinatzer, C. M. Wright, J. L. Brodsky, and J. T. Greenberg. 2007. A J domain virulence effector of *Pseudomonas syringae* remodels host chloroplasts and suppresses defenses. Curr. Biol. 17:499–508.
- Jin, Q., R. Thilmony, J. Zwiesler-Vollick, and S. Y. He. 2003. Type III protein secretion in *Pseudomonas syringae*. Microbes Infect. 5:301–310.
- 33. Joardar, V., M. Lindeberg, R. W. Jackson, J. Selengut, R. Dodson, L. M. Brinkac, S. C. Daugherty, R. Deboy, A. S. Durkin, M. G. Giglio, R. Madupu, W. C. Nelson, M. J. Rosovitz, S. Sullivan, J. Crabtree, T. Creasy, T. Davidsen, D. H. Haft, N. Zafar, L. Zhou, R. Halpin, T. Holley, H. Khouri, T. Feldblyum, O. White, C. M. Fraser, A. K. Chatterjee, S. Cartinhour, D. J. Schneider, J. Mansfield, A. Collmer, and C. R. Buell. 2005. Whole-genome sequence analysis of *Pseudomonas syringae* pv. phaseolicola 1448A reveals divergence among pathovars in genes involved in virulence and transposition. J. Bacteriol. 187:6488–6498.
- Journet, L., K. T. Hughes, and G. R. Cornelis. 2005. Type III secretion: a secretory pathway serving both motility and virulence (review). Mol. Membr. Biol. 22:41–50.
- King, E. O., M. K. Ward, and D. E. Raney. 1954. Two simple media for the demonstration of pyocyanin and fluorescin. J. Lab. Clin. Med. 44:201–207.
- Kurtz, S., A. Phillippy, A. L. Delcher, M. Smoot, M. Shumway, C. Antonescu, and S. L. Salzberg. 2004. Versatile and open software for comparing large genomes. Genome Biol. 5:R12.
- 37. Kvitko, B. H., A. R. Ramos, J. E. Morello, H. S. Oh, and A. Collmer. 2007. Identification of harpins in *Pseudomonas syringae* pv. tomato DC3000, which are functionally similar to HrpK1 in promoting translocation of type III secretion system effectors. J. Bacteriol. 189:8059–8072.
- Li, X., H. Lin, W. Zhang, Y. Zou, J. Zhang, X. Tang, and J. M. Zhou. 2005. Flagellin induces innate immunity in nonhost interactions that is suppressed by *Pseudomonas syringae* effectors. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 102:12990– 12995.
- Lin, N. C., and G. B. Martin. 2005. An avrPto/avrPtoB mutant of *Pseudo-monas syringae* pv. *tomato* DC3000 does not elicit Pto-mediated resistance and is less virulent on tomato. Mol. Plant-Microbe Interact. 18:43–51.

- Lindow, S. E. 1987. Competitive exclusion of epiphytic bacteria by ice *Pseudomonas syringae* mutants. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 53:2520–2527.
- 41. Lindow, S. E. 1985. Ecology of *Pseudomonas syringae* relevant to the field use of Ice⁻ deletion mutants constructed in vitro for plant frost control, p. 23–35. *In* D. P. H. O. Halvorson and M. Rogul (ed.), Engineered organisms in the environment: scientific issues. American Society for Microbiology, Washington, DC.
- Loper, J. E., and S. E. Lindow. 1987. Lack of evidence for *in situ* fluorescent pigment production by *Pseudomonas syringae* pv. *syringae* on bean leaf surfaces. Phytopathology 77:1449–1454.
- Melotto, M., W. Underwood, J. Koczan, K. Nomura, and S. Y. He. 2006. Plant stomata function in innate immunity against bacterial invasion. Cell 126:969–980.
- Morris, C. E., L. L. Kinkel, K. Xiao, P. Prior, and D. C. Sands. 2007. Surprising niche for the plant pathogen *Pseudomonas syringae*. Infect. Genet. Evol. 7:84–92.
- 45. Morris, C. E., D. C. Sands, B. A. Vinatzer, C. Glaux, C. Guilbaud, A. Buffiere, S. Yan, H. Dominguez, and B. M. Thompson. 2008. The life history of the plant pathogen *Pseudomonas syringae* is linked to the water cycle. ISME J. [Epub ahead of print.] doi:10.1038/ismej.2007.113.
- Orser, C., B. J. Staskawicz, N. J. Panopoulos, D. Dahlbeck, and S. E. Lindow. 1985. Cloning and expression of bacterial ice nucleation genes in *Escherichia coli*. J. Bacteriol. 164:359–366.
- Pitman, A. R., R. W. Jackson, J. W. Mansfield, V. Kaitell, R. Thwaites, and D. L. Arnold. 2005. Exposure to host resistance mechanisms drives evolution of bacterial virulence in plants. Curr. Biol. 15:2230–2235.
- Posada, D., and K. A. Crandall. 1998. MODELTEST: testing the model of DNA substitution. Bioinformatics 14:817–818.
- 49. Ren, C. P., R. R. Chaudhuri, A. Fivian, C. M. Bailey, M. Antonio, W. M. Barnes, and M. J. Pallen. 2004. The ETT2 gene cluster, encoding a second type III secretion system from *Escherichia coli*, is present in the majority of strains but has undergone widespread mutational attrition. J. Bacteriol. 186:3547–3560.
- Rosebrock, T. R., L. Zeng, J. J. Brady, R. B. Abramovitch, F. Xiao, and G. B. Martin. 2007. A bacterial E3 ubiquitin ligase targets a host protein kinase to disrupt plant immunity. Nature 448:370–374.
- Sambrook, J., E. F. Fritsch, and T. Maniatis. 1989. Molecular cloning: a laboratory manual, 2nd ed. Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press, Cold Spring Harbor, NY.
- Sarkar, S. F., J. S. Gordon, G. B. Martin, and D. S. Guttman. 2006. Comparative genomics of host-specific virulence in *Pseudomonas syringae*. Genetics 174:1041–1056.

- Sarkar, S. F., and D. S. Guttman. 2004. Evolution of the core genome of *Pseudomonas syringae*, a highly clonal, endemic plant pathogen. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. **70**:1999–2012.
- Sawada, H., F. Suzuki, I. Matsuda, and N. Saitou. 1999. Phylogenetic analysis of *Pseudomonas syringae* pathovars suggests the horizontal gene transfer of *argK* and the evolutionary stability of *hrp* gene cluster. J. Mol. Evol. 49:627–644.
- Soscia, C., A. Hachani, A. Bernadac, A. Filloux, and S. Bleves. 2007. Cross talk between type III secretion and flagellar assembly systems in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. J. Bacteriol. 189:3124–3132.
- Staskawicz, B., D. Dahlbeck, N. Keen, and C. Napoli. 1987. Molecular characterization of cloned avirulence genes from race 0 and race 1 of *Pseudomonas syringae* pv. glycinea. J. Bacteriol. 169:5789–5794.
- Stavrinides, J., W. Ma, and D. S. Guttman. 2006. Terminal reassortment drives the quantum evolution of type III effectors in bacterial pathogens. PLoS Pathog. 2:e104.
- Swofford, D. L. 2003. PAUP*: phylogenetic analysis using parsimony, 4th ed. Sinauer Associates, Sunderland, MA.
- Troisfontaines, P., and G. R. Cornelis. 2005. Type III secretion: more systems than you think. Physiology (Bethesda) 20:326–339.
- Vinatzer, B. A., J. Jelenska, and J. T. Greenberg. 2005. Bioinformatics correctly identifies many type III secretion substrates in the plant pathogen *Pseudomonas syringae* and the biocontrol isolate *P. fluorescens* SBW25. Mol. Plant-Microbe Interact. 18:877–888.
- 61. Vinatzer, B. A., G. M. Teitzel, M. W. Lee, J. Jelenska, S. Hotton, K. Fairfax, J. Jenrette, and J. T. Greenberg. 2006. The type III effector repertoire of *Pseudomonas syringae* pv. *syringae* B728a and its role in survival and disease on host and non-host plants. Mol. Microbiol. 62:26–44.
- 62. Wei, C. F., B. H. Kvitko, R. Shimizu, E. Crabill, J. R. Alfano, N. C. Lin, G. B. Martin, H. C. Huang, and A. Collmer. 2007. A Pseudomonas syringae pv. tomato DC3000 mutant lacking the type III effector HopQ1-1 is able to cause disease in the model plant Nicotiana benthamiana. Plant J. 51:32–46.
- Whalen, M. C., R. W. Innes, A. F. Bent, and B. J. Staskawicz. 1991. Identification of *Pseudomonas syringae* pathogens of *Arabidopsis* and a bacterial locus determining avirulence on both *Arabidopsis* and soybean. Plant Cell 3:49–59.
- Wilson, M., H. L. Campbell, P. Ji, J. B. Jones, and D. A. Cuppels. 2002. Biological control of bacterial speck of tomato under field conditions at several locations in North America. Phytopathology 92:1285–1292.
- 65. Young, J. M., G. Saddler, Y. Takikawa, S. H. De Boer, L. Vauterin, L. Gardan, R. I. Gvozdyak, and D. E. Stead. 1996. Names of plant pathogenic bacteria 1864-1995. Rev. Plant Pathol. 75:721–763.