Recombinant interleukin-16 selectively modulates surface receptor expression and cytokine release in macrophages and dendritic cells

E. HERMANN, E. DARCISSAC, T. IDZIOREK, A. CAPRON & G. M. BAHR *Institut Pasteur de Lille, INSERM U167, Lille, France*

SUMMARY

Interleukin-16 (IL-16), a natural ligand for the CD4 receptor*,* has been found to modulate Tlymphocyte function and to inhibit human immunodeficiency virus type 1 (HIV-1) replication. Antigen-presenting cells (APC), including macrophages and dendritic cells, are known to express functional surface CD4 molecules, to be susceptible to HIV-1 infection and to play a critical role in different immune processes. Therefore, we evaluated the ability of recombinant IL-16 (rIL-16) to regulate receptor expression and cytokine release in monocyte-derived macrophages (MDM) and monocyte-derived dendritic cells (MDDC). Recombinant IL-16 was found to up-regulate CD25 and CD80 but to down-regulate CD4 and CD86 surface expression in MDM cultures. However, no change could be observed on the level of CD4, CD80 and CD86 expression in IL-16-stimulated MDDC, although a significant up-regulation of CD25 and CD83 was consistently detected. Furthermore, the level of gene expression of the chemokine receptors CCR5 and CXCR4 was significantly reduced in rIL-16-treated MDM and costimulation with IL-2 did not modify the activity of the recombinant cytokine. The effects on chemokine receptor gene expression were less evident in MDDC and only a transient down-regulation of weak intensity could be detected following stimulation with rIL-16. Analysis of supernatants from rIL-16-stimulatedcultures revealed a different profile of released cytokines/chemokines among the two cell populations studied. These findings establish an important role for IL-16 in modulating the activity of APC and may have relevance regarding the protection of reservoir cells against HIV-1 infection.

levels as well as phosphorylation of the CD4 molecule.² IL-16
has been reported to enhance IL-2 receptor expression but to
in the effects of IL-16 on dendritic cells or on macrophages,
inhibit the lymphoproliferative re mixed lymphocyte reactions or by anti-CD3 antibodies.^{3–5} In stress-activated protein kinase signalling in human macro-
long-term lymphocyte cultures this chemoattractant cytokine phages.¹² In an attempt to understand long-term lymphocyte cultures, this chemoattractant cytokine phages.¹² In an attempt to understand the role of IL-16 in
was found to induce the release of granulocyte-macrophage regulating the activity of APC, we have an was found to induce the release of granulocyte–macrophage regulating the activity of APC, we have analysed the changes
colony-stimulating factor (GM-CSF) and to synergize with induced on the expression of a battery of rece colony-stimulating factor (GM- CSF) and to synergize with induced on the expression of a battery of receptors that IL-2 in the expansion of CD4 T cells ⁶ In addition, recombinant mediate accessory cell function (CD80, CD IL-2 in the expansion of CD4 T cells.⁶ In addition, recombinant mediate accessory cell function (CD80, CD86), that are required IL-16 (rIL-16), corresponding to the C-terminal 130 amino cell-type specific (CD14, CD1a and IL-16 (rIL-16), corresponding to the C-terminal 130 amino acids of the natural molecule, has been reported recently to for HIV-1 entry (CD4, CCR5 and CXCR4). Moreover, the inhibit human immunodeficiency virus type-1 (HIV-1) repli- ability of rIL-16 to induce the release of representative cation in peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMC) from inflammatory and HIV-enhancing cytokines, anti-inflam-

© 1999 Blackwell Science Ltd 241

INTRODUCTION Among the antigen-presenting cells (APC), macrophages Interleukin-16 (IL-16) is a pleiotropic cytokine secreted mainly
by CD8 T cells, and has chemoattractant activity on CD4-
positive lymphocytes, monocytes and eosinophils.¹ Following
binding to the CD4 receptor on lympho infected subjects.⁷ matory cytokines and HIV-suppressive β -chemokines has been examined. Our results demonstrate different effects of the Received 26 November 1998; revised 4 February 1999; accepted recombinant cytokine on the two cell populations studied, 4 February 1999. with a marked and selective down-regulation of CD4 and Correspondence: Dr G. M. Bahr, Institut Pasteur de Lille, CCR5 expression in macrophages. The presented findings are INSERM U167, 1 rue du Pr. A. Calmette, 59019 Lille Cedex, France. discussed in the context of a potential discussed in the context of a potential role of IL-16 in

regulating the immune and inflammatory functions of APC cells recovered nor the percentage of viable cells ($>70\%$) were

MATERIALS AND METHODS *Levels of secreted cytokines*

Murine anti-human monoclonal antibodies $[CD4 - phycoer-$ onist $(IL-1RA)$, macrophage inflammatory protein-1 α (MIP-
ythrin (PE), CD95–PE, CD1a–PE, CD3–PE, CD83–PE, α) and RANTES (regulating upon activation normal T CD25–PE, CD14–fluorescein isothiocyanate (FITC), expressed and secreted) in culture supernatants, were deter-CD80–FITCE, CD86–PE and their matched isotypes) used mined by using enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) for cytofluorimetric detection were purchased from kits (R&D Systems, Abingdon, UK) and following the manu-Immunotech (Marseille, France), except for CD86–PE which facturer's instructions. From three preliminary experiments, was obtained from Pharmingen (San Diego, CA). Human peak cytokine release was observed after a 24- but not after recombinant tumour necrosis factor- α (rTNF- α), rIL-4 and 6- or 48-hr stimulation period. Therefore, in all subsequent rIL-2 were purchased from R&D Systems (Abingdon, UK). experiments the levels of secreted cytokine rIL-2 were purchased from R&D Systems (Abingdon, UK). Human rGM-CSF was provided by Sandoz Pharma (Basel, following 24-hr stimulation with rIL-16. Switzerland). Recombinant rat IL-5, produced in *Escherichia coli*, was kindly provided by Dr J. Khalife (Institut Pasteur *Flow cytometric analysis* de Lille, France) and was used as a control of an irrelevant Cells (2×10^5 cells) were incubated with 1 µg of mouse antirecombinant protein. bodies for 30 min at 4°, in PBS containing 0·5% bovine serum

previously.¹³ The histidine-tagged 130-amino acid protein analysed by an Epics Coulter cytofluorimeter (Coultronics produced in *E. coli* was rendered endotoxin-free $(<0.125$ France SA, Margency, France). Dead cells wer produced in *E. coli* was rendered endotoxin-free (<0.125 France SA, Margency, France). Dead cells were excluded from endotoxin unit/10 µg protein) by passages over polymyxin-B the analysis by propidium iodide staining and endotoxin unit/10 µg protein) by passages over polymyxin-B the analysis by propidium iodide staining and live cells were columns (Pierce, Montlucon, France). Using sodium dodecyl gated on the basis of their forward scatter columns (Pierce, Montluçon, France). Using sodium dodecyl sulphate–polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (SDS–PAGE) characteristics. and Coomassie blue staining, rIL-16 was found to migrate as a single band of 20 000 molecular weight (MW) and to be *Semi-quantitative reverse transcription–polymerase chain* >95% pure. Only 2–10% of rIL-16 corresponded to the active *reaction (RT-PCR) analysis* homotetrameric form, whereas the rest of the protein was found as inactive monomers or homodimers.¹³ The concen- using RNAzol (Bioprobes Systems, Montreuil, France) and tration of rIL-16 presented throughout the study was $10 \mu g/ml$ following the manufacturer's protocol. To remove traces of of total protein (corresponding to $0.5 \mu g/ml$ of homotetrameric DNA contamination, the RNA samples (5 μg) were treated form). This concentration was the highest to induce measurable with 2.5 U of RO1 Rnase-free Dnase (P effects and to be free of endotoxin contaminants. Lower Norwalk, CT) in the presence of $2 \times$ rTth reverse transcriptase concentrations of 3 and 1 μ g/ml were evaluated in four separate buffer (Perkin-Elmer Corporation, Foster City, USA), 2 mm experiments and were found to produce weaker and dose-
MgCl₂ and 20 U of RNAsin (Promega). The RT-PCR reaction
dependent effects.
Was carried out in a reaction mixture of 50 μ l per tube

PBMC were isolated from heparinized blood samples by Ficoll–Hypaque density gradient centrifugation (Pharmacia, 0.4 μ M primer pairs (Genset, Paris, France) and 5 U of rTth Uppsala, Sweden). Monocytes were obtained by adherence as DNA polymerase (Perkin-Elmer). The sense a Uppsala, Sweden). Monocytes were obtained by adherence as DNA polymerase (Perkin-Elmer). The sense and antisense described previously.¹⁴ They were allowed to differentiate into primer pairs used to specifically amplify m described previously.¹⁴ They were allowed to differentiate into macrophages after 5–7 days culture in RPMI containing 10% CCR5: 5′GCT CTC TCC CAG GAA TCA TCT TTA C-3′ human AB serum. Monocyte-derived dendritic cells (MDDC) and 5′-TTG GTC CAA CCT GTT AGA GCT ACT G-3′;¹⁵ human AB serum. Monocyte-derived dendritic cells (MDDC) and 5'-TTG GTC CAA CCT GTT AGA GCT ACT G-3'₁¹⁵ were obtained by culturing monocytes for 7 days in medium for CXCR4: 5'-TGA CTC CAT GAA GGA ACC CTG-3' were obtained by culturing monocytes for 7 days in medium supplemented with 1000 U/ml of rGM-CSF, 10 ng/ml of rIL-4 and 200 U/ml of rTNF-α. After differentiation in six-well 5′-AAG ACC CTC TCC GTG TCT-3′ and 5′-GTC AGC plates (Falcon, Le Pont de Claix, France), 3×10^6 monocyte-
derived macrophages (MDM) or MDDC were cultured with TCA GAA GGA TTC CTA GG-3′ and 5′-GGT CTC AAA derived macrophages (MDM) or MDDC were cultured with or without IL-16 (10 μ g/ml), IL-2 (100 U/ml) or a combi- CAT GAT CTG GG-3′. The RT reaction started at 55° for nation of the two cytokines. In MDDC cultures, stimulation 2 min with an additional 30 min at 60°. The different PCR with IL-16 was performed in the presence of exogenous amplification conditions were: CCR5, 30 cycles (94° with IL-16 was performed in the presence of exogenous cytokines that were used to drive the differentiation of monocytokines that were used to drive the differentiation of mono-
cycloseconds, 56° for 45 seconds, 72° for 45 seconds); CXCR4, 45
cytes into mature dendritic cells. At different time-points cycles (94° for 30 seconds, 54° f following stimulation, cells were washed in cold phosphate-
buffered saline (PBS), removed by gentle scrapping and

and the control of HIV replication in reservoir cells. different between non-stimulated and IL-16-stimulated cultures from all donors tested.

Reagents used The levels of TNF- α , IL-6, IL-10, IL-12, IL-1 receptor antag- 1α) and RANTES (regulating upon activation normal T

albumin. Cells were then washed twice with PBS, resuspended *Preparation of rIL-16* and fixed with 1% paraformaldehyde. The percentage of Recombinant IL-16 was produced and purified as described positive cells and mean fluorescence intensity (MFI) were

with 2·5 U of RQ1 Rnase-free Dnase (Promega Corporation, was carried out in a reaction mixture of $50 \mu l$ per tube containing serial 1:5 dilutions of RNA samples (100, 20 or *Culture condition* 4 ng , $1 \times \text{ rTth}$ reverse transcriptase buffer, 2.5 mm MnCl₂, PBMC were isolated from heparinized blood samples by 300μ m of each dNTP (Pharmacia Biotech, Uppsala, Sweden), and 5'-CTT GGC CTC TGA CTG TTG GTG-3';¹⁶ for CD4: cycles (94° for 30 seconds, 54° for 30 seconds, 72° for 30 seconds); CD4, 25 cycles (94° for 15 seconds, 56° for 15 buffered saline (PBS), removed by gentle scrapping and seconds, 72° for 15 seconds); β-actin, 20 cycles (94° for 15 counted using trypan blue dye. Neither the number of total seconds, 55° for 15 seconds, 72° for 15 second seconds, 55° for 15 seconds, 72° for 15 seconds). The PCR

PCR products were separated on a 1·8% agarose gel visualized culture conditions, monocytes could be easily differentiated and photographed under UV light after ethidium bromide into macrophages or mature dendritic cells. The latter popustaining. Quantification of the PCR products was obtained by lation could also be verified on the basis of morphological densitometric analysis (Image Master 1D prime; Pharmacia criteria, as we observed typical adherent aggregates of dendritic Biotech). The mRNA levels of the receptors were normalized cells with fine membrane projections. to the corresponding β -actin levels, by calculating the ratio of the receptor RNA band volume over that of β -actin, in the the receptor RNA band volume over that of ^b-actin, in the **^Effect of IL-16 on surface receptors expression** linear phase of the amplification. Results are expressed as the percentage of maximum mRNA expression relative to the Stimulation of MDM or MDDC for 5–72 hr with rIL-16 condition giving the highest gene expression, arbitrarily resulted in selective modulation of receptor expression that

for paired data. Probability values below 0·05 were considered in the mean percentage of cells expressing either CD25 or significant. CD80. This was accompanied with a significant decrease in

Phenotypic characterization of MDM and MDDC

The level of T-cell contamination in MDM and MDDC cultures was found to be below 2%, as revealed by the absence of CD3-positive cells (Fig. 1). MDM exhibited a high-level expression of the monocyte/macrophage marker CD14 (mean percentage positive cells \pm SEM: 83 \cdot 6 \pm 3 \cdot 6; MFI \pm SEM: $97.8 + 19.8$) and over 70% of cells were CD4- or CD86positive, in contrast to the low expression level of CD1a, CD25, CD80 and CD95 (Fig. 1). On the other hand, MDDC lacked the expression of CD14 and resembled mature dendritic cells, as judged by the expression of the differentiation marker CD83. The CD86-, CD4-, CD80-, CD1a- and CD95-positive cells in MDDC cultures ranged between 35% and 90%, whereas CD25 expression was relatively low (mean percentage positive cells \pm SEM: 10·7 \pm 2·7%). The detected differences in the

tested. tive donor.

© 1999 Blackwell Science Ltd, *Immunology*, **97**, 241–248

reactions were terminated by an incubation at 72° for 7 min. expression of surface markers clearly indicated that, under our

considered as 100%. peaked at the 24 hr time-point (Table 1). No significant change in the level of expression of CD14, CD1a and CD95 could be *Statistical analysis* observed in either cell population (data not shown). However, Statistical comparisons were made using the Student's *t*-test IL-16-stimulated MDM presented a two- to threefold increase CD4 (Fig. 2) and, to a lesser extent, in CD86 expression **RESULTS** (Table 1). In contrast, stimulation of MDDC with IL-16 resulted only in a significant up-regulation of CD25 and CD83

Figure 1. Expression of surface receptor markers on MDM and **Figure 2.** Effect of rIL-16 on CD4 expression in monocyte-derived MDDC. Following a 7-day differentiation period of monocytes *in* macrophages (a–d) and dendritic cells (e–h). Following a 24-hr *vitro*, MDM and MDDC were stained with monoclonal antibodies to incubation in the absence $(a, b, e$ and f) or presence of $1 \mu g/ml$ (c different CD antigens or with isotype controls and were subjected to and g) and $10 \mu g/ml$ (d and h) of rIL-16, cells were stained either with flow cytometric analysis. Barograms represent the mean percentage murine anti-CD4–PE (b–d and f–h) or with isotype-matched control positive cells with SE bars. *n* reflects the number of different donors (a and e). Histograms shown are data on cells from one representa-

Table 1. Changes in surface receptor expression in MDM and MDDC following 24-hr stimulation with IL-16 (10 µg/ml)

Cell surface receptor studied	Mean percentage positive cells					
	MDM			MDDC		
	RPMI	$II - 16$	п§	RPMI	$II - 16$	\boldsymbol{n}
CD4	$78.3 + 6.8$ † $(30.8 + 7.7)$ i	$60.5 + 8.6*$ (18.6 + 5.8*)	11	$66.4 + 7.4(16.8 + 4.1)$	$63.8 + 8.3(16.6 + 4.3)$	8
CD25	$5.0 + 2.2 (0.9 + 0.3)$	$13.4 + 4.4* (2.0 + 0.7*)$	12	$10.7 + 2.7$ $(1.9 + 0.5)$	$16.1 + 4.4* (3.3 + 1.2)$	9
CD80	$9.2 + 3.9(1.0 + 0.3)$	19.4 ± 7.5 (2.3 \pm 0.6 [*])	11	$49.1 + 8.6$ ($10.8 + 3.3$)	$53.2 + 8.4$ (12.0 + 3.4)	7
CD86	$89.2 + 3.5(55.9 + 12.5)$	$84.4 + 3.2* (48.4 + 11.6)$	10	90.7 ± 2.2 (86.6 \pm 21.9)	$91.5 \pm 1.3 (99.9 \pm 14.8)$	7
CD83	< 0.5	< 0.5		$36.0 + 8.5(6.3 + 1.5)$	$44.4+9.0*$ $(8.6+2.2*)$	$\overline{4}$

*Significant difference (*P*<0.05, Student's *t*-test) between stimulated and unstimulated cells.

 \dagger Mean percentage positive cells \pm SE.

‡Mean fluorescence intensity±SE.

§*n*=the number of different donors tested.

(Table 1 and Fig. 2). The down-regulation or the lack of (Figs 5 and 6). These effects were transient as no significant modulation of CD4 expression by IL-16 in MDM or in modulation of CXCR4 expression could be detected after 24- MDDC, respectively, was also evident at the 48 and 72 hr hr stimulation with the two cytokines, used separately or in time-points (data not shown). In addition, the specificity of combination (data not shown). the IL-16 effect was verified in two separate experiments by using $10 \mu g/ml$ of rat IL-5 to stimulate MDM and MDDC. **Effect of IL-16 on cytokines production**
This control recombinant protein did not induce any detectable
Effect of IL-16 on cytokines production effect on surface receptor expression or on the parameter of MDM and MDDC were incubated for 24 hr with rIL-16 and cytokine release described below.

prompted us to examine whether this effect could be observed and MDDC cultures from seven separate donors. However, at the mRNA level Total RNA was extracted from unstimu-
results shown in Fig. 7a demonstrate a significant at the mRNA level. Total RNA was extracted from unstimu-
lated or stimulated MDM at 2 or 24 hr post-stimulation and MIP-1 α release in IL-16-stimulated MDM. This was lated or stimulated MDM, at 2 or 24 hr post-stimulation, and $\frac{MIP-1\alpha}{MIP-1\alpha}$ release in IL-16-stimulated MDM. This was CD4 gene expression was quantified by RT-PCR. Results accompanied by a significant up-regulation, CD4 gene expression was quantified by RT-PCR. Results accompanied by a significant up-regulation, although of shown in Fig. 3 using cells prepared from five different donors smaller magnitude, in RANTES, TNF- α , IL-6 and shown in Fig. 3, using cells prepared from five different donors, smaller magnitude, in RANTES, TNF- α , IL-6 and IL-10 demonstrate significant down-regulation of CD4 mRNA secretion. Moreover, MDM displayed a high sponta demonstrate significant down-regulation of $CD4$ mRNA secretion. Moreover, MDM displayed a high spontaneous expression with a mean percentage inhibition of 49% or 55% release of IL-1RA (mean + SEM: 57315 + 6735 pg/ml) t expression, with a mean percentage inhibition of 49% or 55% release of IL-1RA (mean \pm SEM: 57315 \pm 6735 pg/ml) that following respectively a 2- or 24-hr treatment with 10 ug/ml was significantly up-regulated following s following, respectively, a 2- or 24-hr treatment with $10 \mu\text{g/ml}$ was significantly up-regulated following stimulation with rIL-
of rIL-16. No such effect could be observed in MDDC cultures 16 (mean + SEM: 72747 + 8824 p of rIL-16. No such effect could be observed in MDDC cultures from three separate donors (data not shown). Stimulation of MDDC with rIL-16 did not induce a significant

receptor gene expression in MDM and MDDC. A 2-hr stimula-
tion of MDM with H₂2. H₂16 or a combination of the two spontaneous TNF- α levels detected in MDDC cultures were tion of MDM with IL-2, IL-16 or a combination of the two spontaneous TNF- α levels detected in MDDC cultures were extokines significantly inhibited CCR5 mRNA accumulation mainly attributed to the exogenous recombinant c cytokines significantly inhibited CCR5 mRNA accumulation mainly attributed to the exogenous reported to 10^{10} mainly 42% , 56% and 67% reconstruely (Fig. 4) Similar results added to induce cellular differentiat by 42%, 56% and 67%, respectively (Fig. 4). Similar results were observed when the stimulation period was extended to 24 hr, although the inhibitory effect of IL-16 alone, which was **DISCUSSION** noted on cells from four out of five donors tested, did not attain statistical significance (Fig. 4). The regulation of CCR5 Macrophages and dendritic cells are integral components of gene expression in MDDC was minimal and a significant the immune system capable of regulating immu gene expression in MDDC was minimal and a significant the immune system capable of regulating immune responses inhibition (22%) was only detected following a 2-hr stimulation via antigen presentation, cytokine secretion an inhibition (22%) was only detected following a 2-hr stimulation

population with $rIL-16$, in the absence or presence of IL-2, resulted in a significant inhibition of CXCR4 mRNA accumu- (10 mg/ml) of rIL-16 to achieve measurable effects *in vitro* is

surface expression without any measurable effect on CD4 lation, and this was more dramatic in MDM than in MDDC

supernatants were then collected for titration of different cytokines and chemokines. Among the interleukins tested, IL-**Effect of IL-16 on CD4 gene expression** 12 was always below the detection limit $(< 5 \text{ pg/ml})$ in either The stable down-regulation of CD4 in IL-16-treated MDM non-stimulated or IL-16-stimulated supernatants of MDM non-stimulated is to examine whether this effect could be observed and MDDC cultures from seven separate donors. increase in the secreted levels of RANTES, IL-10, TNF- α (Fig. 7b) or IL-1RA (data not shown). However, the levels of **^Effects of cytokines on CCR5 and CXCR4 gene expression** IL-6 and MIP-1^a were found to be significantly elevated in We evaluated the effects of IL-16 or/and IL-2 on chemokine
receptor α il -16-stimulated MDDC compared with unstimulated cultures
receptor α or α and MDDC A 2-hr stimula-
(Fig. 7b). Finally, it is worth pointing o

with rIL-16 (data not shown).
Stimulation for 2 hr of MDM (Fig. 5) or MDDC (Fig. 6) study demonstrates that rIL-16 can interact with either macro-Stimulation for 2 hr of MDM (Fig. 5) or MDDC (Fig. 6) study demonstrates that rIL-16 can interact with either macro-
h IL-2 had no modulatory effect on the baseline level of blages or with dendritic cells, and can induce t with IL-2 had no modulatory effect on the baseline level of phages or with dendritic cells, and can induce the modulation CXCR4 gene expression. In contrast, stimulation of either cell of certain parameters relevant to the CXCR4 gene expression. In contrast, stimulation of either cell of certain parameters relevant to the functional activities of population with rIL-16, in the absence or presence of IL-2, the two cell types. The need for rel

Effects of IL-16 on macrophages and dendritic cells 245

Figure 3. Regulation by IL-16 of CD4 mRNA expression in MDM. Following 2- and 24-hr culture of MDM in the absence or presence of IL-16, total RNA was extracted and subjected to RT-PCR using specific primers for CD4 and β -actin. (a) Representative results of RT-PCR analysis on RNA samples (100, 20 and 4 ng) from MDM of one donor. (b) Relative CD4 mRNA expression in MDM from five different donors following 2-hr and (c) 24-hr stimulation with IL-16.

time, the capacity of the chemoattractant cytokine IL-16 to modulate CD80 and CD86 expression in MDM but not in MDDC. Indeed, differential regulation between both types of CD4 binding on MDM by rIL-16 appear to enhance CD80 cells has already been reported for CD80, which was found to but to suppress CD86 expression. Other cytokines, such as be up-regulated in macrophages but to be down-regulated in IL-10, IL-4 and TNF- α , have also been shown to exert Langerhans' cells following stimulation with IL-10 and differential effects on CD80 and CD86 expression in mono-IFN- γ ^{19,21} Furthermore, the signals transduced following cytes.²¹ It has been suggested that these two costimulatory

Figure 4. Regulation of CCR5 mRNA expression in MDM following 2- and 24-hr stimulation with IL-2, IL-16 or a combination of the two cytokines. Total RNA, extracted from non-treated (RPMI) and cytokine-treated MDM, was subjected to RT-PCR analysis using specific primers for CCR5 and for β -actin. Barograms represent the means with SE bars of percentage maximum CCR5 mRNA expression from five different donors. **P*<0·05 versus non-treated controls.

Figure 5. Regulation of CXCR4 mRNA expression in MDM followattributed to the low content (2–10%) of the active homotetra-
meric form in the bacterially derived recombinant cytokines.¹³ explores the samples (100, 20 and 4 ng) from MDM of a single donor using specific
Certain cyt Certain cytokines, such as interferon- γ (IFN- γ) and IL-10, primers for CXCR4 and for β -actin. (b) Relative CXCR4 mRNA have been reported to regulate the expression of costimulatory expression in non-treated and c have been reported to regulate the expression of costimulatory expression in non-treated and cytokine-treated MDM represented as molecules on APC.¹⁹⁻²¹ Our findings demonstrate, for the first means with SE bars of percen means with SE bars of percentage maximum gene expression from five different donors. $P < 0.05$ versus non-treated controls.

ing 2-hr stimulation with IL-2, IL-16 or a combination of the two cytokines. (a) Representative results of RT-PCR analysis on RNA down-regulation of CCR5 gene expression in MDM, and to samples (100, 20 and 4 ng) from MDDC of a single donor using a lesser extent in MDDC, is mediated via t samples (100, 20 and 4 ng) from MDDC of a single donor using a lesser extent in MDDC, is mediated via the adenosine specific primers for CXCR4 and for β -actin. (b) Relative monophosphate pathway, would need to be addre CXCR4 mRNA expression in non-treated and cytokine-treated future studies.
MDDC represented as means with SE bars of percentage maximum Inhibition MDDC represented as means with SE bars of percentage maximum
mRNA expression from five different donors. $P < 0.05$ versus non-
observed in MDM stimulated with sither H, 2 can with the

in the development of IL-4-producing cells²³ while CD80 days with IL-2 resulted in CD4 down-regulation, our results appears more potent in inducing IFN- γ secretion by T cells.²⁴ indicate that stimulation of already appears more potent in inducing IFN- γ secretion by T cells.²⁴ indicate that stimulation of already differentiated macrophages
Thus, it will be of interest to determine whether IL-16 could with the same cytokine had n Thus, it will be of interest to determine whether IL-16 could affect, either directly or indirectly via macrophages, the differ- expression. On the other hand, an effect of IL-2 on entiation of Th cell populations. CXCR4 mRNA accumulation was lacking in MDM and in

previously observed on T cells.3 Our results extend this finding populations, resulted in a rapid but reversible down-regulation to show a similar effect on both MDM and MDDC. Although of CXCR4 gene expression that was not significantly modified the role of CD25 is not yet clearly established in dendritic by the co-presence of IL-2. However, it would be unlikely that cells, up-regulation of this receptor as well as of CD83 has been this transient effect on the level of gene expression could associated with dendritic cells maturation.²⁵ Thus, based on induce a considerable down-regula our findings demonstrating the ability of rIL-16 to up-regulate expression. Nevertheless, our results suggest that signalling CD25 and CD83 expression in MDDC, it is tempting to through the IL-2 receptor selectively modulates CCR5 suggest that this cytokine might be an enhancing factor for expression, whereas signalling via CD4 can modulate, to a dendritic cell maturation. Moreover, it will be of interest to variable extent, both CCR5 and CXCR4 gene examine, in future studies, whether rIL-16 could induce the the other hand, the implications for some of the selective expression of the β and γ chains of the IL-2 receptor, which effects of IL-16 in MDM but not in MDDC need to be have been reported to be lacking in dendritic cells.²⁶ In this considered. For example, through its capacity to induce susregard, an up-regulation by IL-16 of the IL-2 receptor β chain tained CD4 and CCR5 down-regulation in MDM, rIL-16 may

MDM but neither in MDDC nor in T lymphocytes, could imply different signal transduction pathways mediated by the MDM suggests a potential regulatory effect of the cytokine same receptor in different cell populations. Differential modu- on T-cell responses that are dependent on antigen presentation lation of the expression of one defined receptor by the same by macrophages. However, it is essential to determine, in stimulus in different cell types has been reported previously. future studies, the relevance of these findings to the immune For instance, CD4 cross-linking by anti-CD4 monoclonal and antiviral responses *in vivo*.

antibodies or by HIV envelope glycoprotein gp120 was found to up-regulate CD95 ligand expression in monocytes but not in T lymphocytes. 27 Furthermore, this selective effect of rIL-16 in MDM could not simply be explained on the basis of higher receptor expression on macrophages, as T cells are known to express much higher levels of CD4 than MDM. Although the mechanism of down-regulation of CD4 in T cells, by other ligands, was found to involve the dissociation of CD4 with the protein kinase p56^{lck},²⁸ this mechanism cannot explain the observed IL-16 effect in MDM, which are known to lack p56lck kinase activity.28 In addition, the finding that CD4 down-regulation was stable and detectable at the mRNA level, argues against internalization of the receptor as a major mechanism of the IL-16-induced effect.

Macrophages and dendritic cells have been shown to express the chemokine receptors CCR5 and CXCR4, which were identified as co-receptors for macrophage-tropic and Tcell tropic HIV-1 strains, respectively.8,9 Regulation of the expression of chemokine receptors has been observed with different cytokines and with HIV antigens.^{29,30} The ability of IL-16 to induce rapid and sustained down-regulation of CCR5 mRNA expression in MDM is similar to the effect **Figure 6.** Regulation of CXCR4 mRNA expression in MDDC follow-

ing 2-hr stimulation with H₂2 H₂16 or a combination of the two cyclic adenosine monophosphate.³¹ Whether the IL-16-induced monophosphate pathway, would need to be addressed in

mRNA expression from five different donors. $P < 0.05$ versus non-
treated controls. combination of IL-2 and IL-16. These findings agree with a
and IL-16. These findings agree with a recent report demonstrating the capacity of IL-2 to downmolecules influence T-helper (Th) cell differentiation into Th1 regulate CCR5 surface expression in macrophages.³² Although, or Th2 cell subsets.²² In this regard, CD86 has been implicated in this latter study, continu in this latter study, continuous culture of monocytes for 10 days with IL-2 resulted in CD4 down-regulation, our results The ability of rIL-16 to enhance CD25 expression has been MDDC. In contrast, IL-16 signalling via CD4, in both cell induce a considerable down-regulation of CXCR4 surface variable extent, both CCR5 and CXCR4 gene expression. On has already been observed in T lymphocytes.⁶ have a role to play in protecting macrophages, but not The down-regulation of CD4 expression by rIL-16 in dendritic cells, against HIV-1 infection. Similarly, the selective dendritic cells, against HIV-1 infection. Similarly, the selective modulation of the expression of costimulatory molecules in

Figure 7. Profile of cytokines induced in cultures of MDM (a) and MDDC (b) following 24-hr stimulation with IL-16. Barograms represent the means with SE bars of the levels of cytokines in supernatants of unstimulated (RPMI) and IL-16-stimulated cultures from 4–12 different donors $(n =)$. * $P < 0.05$ versus controls.

The release of cytokines by IL-16-stimulated MDM was **ACKNOWLEDGMENTS** of relatively low magnitude compared with the previously
reported levels induced in macrophages by another CD4
ligand, gp120.³³ Moreover, rIL-16 did not appear to trigger
significant release of cytokines in MDDC, except and IL-6. These results confirm earlier reports on the low for her assistance in the design of the RT-PCR studies. capacity of mature dendritic cells to secrete cytokines.34 In addition, the profile of IL-16-induced cytokines in APC **REFERENCES** cultures, including the absence of IL-12 release, is unlikely to be a major factor contributing to the previously suggested

inflammatory property of IL-16¹ or to a potential capacity to

drive Th1 responses. Nevertheless, with the limited number of

tested cytokines in our study, it the spectrum of cytokines induced by IL-16 or to rule out a
potential role for other cytokines in mediating some of its
biological activities.
3. CRUIKSHANK W.W., BERMAN J.S., THEODORE A.C., BERMAND J.

its natural ligand IL-16 appears to result in a different spectrum T lymphocytes and monocytes. *J Immunol* **138,** 3817. of activities when compared with other CD4 ligands (gp120 4. THEODORE A.C., CENTER D.M., NICOLL J., FINE G., KORNFELD
and CD4 monoclonal antibodies) This has already been H. & CRUIKSHANK W.W. (1996) CD4 ligand IL-16 inhibi dependent of CD4 monoclonal antibodies). This has already been and CD4 mixed lymphocyte reaction. *J Immunol* 157, 1958.

observed in normal T cells where stimulation with anti-CD4 mixed lymphocyte reaction. *J Immunol* 15 antibodies or with gp120, in contrast to stimulation with rIL-
16, resulted in an increase in phosphatidyl serine synthesis.³⁵
In addition, the level of HIV replication and of activation-
16, PARADA NA CENTER DM KORNELD In addition, the level of HIV replication and of activation-
induced cell death in infected T lymphocytes was found to Synergistic activation of CD4+ T cells by IL-16 and IL-2. J decrease following stimulation with IL-16^{5,7,13} but to increase *Immunol* **160**, 2115.
upon CD4 ligation with gp120.^{36,37} Taken together, these 7. AMEL C., DARCISSAC E., TRUONG M.-J. *et al.* (1998) Interleukinupon CD4 ligation with gp120.^{36,37} Taken together, these results substantiate the findings that the CD4 molecule can 16 (IL-16) inhibits human immunodeficiency virus replication in
transmit either activating or inhibiting intracellular signals cells from infected subjects and se transmit either activating or inhibiting intracellular signals cells from infected subjects and depending on the CD4 ligand used 35 Finally the protective progression. *J* Infect Dis 179, 83. depending on the CD4 ligand used.³⁵ Finally, the protective effect of IL-16 against HIV-1 replication in PBMC^{7,13} together effect of IL-16 against HIV-1 replication in PBMC^{7,13} together with our findings on the capac HIV-1 infection. However, the efficacy of IL-16 to inhibit interaction of HIV-1 with purified dendritic cells via multiple virus replication in APC still needs to be established and is chemokine coreceptors. *J Exp Med* 184, 2433. currently being investigated in our laboratory. 10. GENDELMAN H.E., ORENSTEIN J.M., BACA L.M.

-
-
- 3. CRUIKSHANK W.W., BERMAN J.S., THEODORE A.C., BERNARDO J. It is of interest to point out that CD4 cross-linking with $\&$ CENTER D.M. (1987) Lymphokine activation of T4+
	-
	-
	-
	-
	-
	- 9. GRANELLI-PIPERNO A., MOSER B., POPE M. *et al.* (1996) Efficient
	- 10. GENDELMAN H.E., ORENSTEIN J.M., BACA L.M. *et al.* (1989) The

macrophage in the persistence and pathogenesis of HIV infection. expression and function of CD80 (B7-1) and CD86 (B7-2) on *AIDS* **3,** 475. human peripheral blood monocytes. *Immunology* **89,** 592.

-
- 12. KRAUTWALD S. (1998) IL-16 activates the SAPK signaling path-
- 13. IDZIOREK T., BILLAUT-MULOT O., HERMANN E. et al. (1998) receptor α chain induced on dendritic cells have a biological
Recombinant human IL-16 inhibits HIV-1 replication and protects against activation-induced cell
- 14. HERMANN E., IDZIOREK T., KUSNIERZ J.-P., MOUTON Y., CAPRON

A. & BAHR G.M. (1997) Role of nitric oxide in the regulation

of lymphocyte apoptosis and HIV-1 replication. *Int J*

Immunopharmacol 19, 387.

15. Y1 Y., RAN
- tropic isolates of human immunodeficiency virus type 1. *J Virol* 30. UEDA H., HOWARD O.M.Z., GRIMM M.C. *et al.* (1998) HIV-1
 12. 772. 772.
- 16. FEAR W.R., KESSON A.M., NAIF H., LYNCH G.W. & CUNNINGHAM expression and function in monocytes. *J Clin Invest* 102, 804.
A.L. (1998) Differential tropism and chemokine receptor 31. THIVIERGE M., LE GOUILL C., TREMBLAY A.L. (1998) Differential tropism and chemokine receptor 31. THIVIERGE M., LE GOUILL C., TREMBLAY M.J., STANKOVA J. & expression of human immunodeficiency virus type 1 in neonatal ROLA-PLESZCZYNSKI M (1998) Prostaglandin E
- 17. DOHERTY T.M. (1995) T-cell regulation of macrophage function. cyclic adenosine monophosphate. *Blood* 92, 40. *Curr Opin Immunol* **7,** 400. 32. KUTZA J., HAYES M.P. & CLOUSE K.A. (1998) Interleukin-2
- which control the primary immune response. *Blood* 90, 3245.
- 19. OZAWA H., AIBA S. & NAKAGAWA & TAGAMI H. (1996) Interferon- F59-F64. gamma and interleukin-10 inhibit antigen presentation by 33. MERRILL J.E., KOYANAGI Y. & CHEN I.S.Y. (1989) Interleukin-1
Langerhans cells for T helper type 1 cells by suppressing their and tumor necrosis factor α can Langerhans cells for T helper type 1 cells by suppressing their
- 20. BUELENS C., WILLENS F., DELVAUX A. et al. (1995) Interleukin-10
differentially regulated B7-1 (CD80) and B7-2 (CD86) expression
on human peripheral blood dendritic cells. *Eur J Immunol* 25, 2668.
on human peripheral
- 21. CREERY W.D., DIAZ-MITOMA F., FILION L. & KUMAR A. (1996) *in vitro* with HIV-1 and herpes simplex virus. *J Immunol* 157, 4028.
21. CREERY W.D., DIAZ-MITOMA F., FILION L. & KUMAR A. (1996) 35. DUMAURIER M.-J., PELASSY
- or 1 neiper cell phenotype. *Eur 3 Immunol* 26, 12/3.
22. KUCHROO V.K., PRABHU DAS M., BROWN J.A. *et al.* (1995) B7-1
and B7-2 costimulatory molecules activate preferentially the
Th1/Th2 developmental pathways: applicatio
- disease therapy. *Cell* 80, 707.

23. RANGER A.M., PRABHU DAS M., KUCHROO V.K. & GLIMCHER 37. OYAIZU N., MCCLOSKEY T.W., THAN S., HU RANGER A.M., PRABHU DAS M., KUCHROO V.K. & GLIMCHER 37. OYAIZU N., MCCLOSKEY T.W., THAN S., HU R., KALYANARAMAN
L.H. (1996) B7-2 (CD86) is essential for the development of IL-
V.S. & PAHWA S. (1994) Cross-linking of CD4 mo
-

- 11. GRAZIOSI C., SOUDEYNS H., RIZZARDI G.P. *et al.* (1998) 25. DELGADO E., FINKEL V., BAGGIOLINI M., MACKAY C.R., STEINMAN Immunopathogenesis of HIV infection. *AIDS Res Hum. Retrovir* R.M. & GRANELLI-PIPERNO A. (1997/1998) Mature dendritic cells **14,** S135. respond to SDF-1, but not to several β -chemokines. *Immunobiol* KBATTWALD S. (1998) II. 16 activates the SABK signaling path **198.** 490.
	- way in CD4+ macrophages. *J Immunol* **160**, 5874.

	IDZIOREK T. BUT AUTY-MULOT O. HERMANN E. et al. (1998) receptor α chain induced on dendritic cells have a biological
	- **112, 84.** express Fas ligand upon CD4 cross-linking and induce CD4 +
112, 84. Express Fas ligand upon CD4 cross-linking and induce CD4 +
11. Express Fas ligand upon CD4 cross-linking and induce CD4 +
		-
		-
- **72,** 772.
 16. FEAR W.R., KESSON A.M., NAIF H., LYNCH G.W. & CUNNINGHAM expression and function in monocytes. *J Clin Invest* 102, 804.
	- expression of human immunodeficiency virus type 1 in neonatal R_{OLA} -PLESZCZYNSKI M. (1998) Prostaglandin E₂ induces resist-
monocytes, monocyte-derived macrophages, and placental macro-
ance to human immunodeficiency monocytes, monocyte-derived macrophages, and placental macro-

	ance to human immunodeficiency virus-1 infection in monocyte-

	derived macrophages: downregulation of CCR5 expression by derived macrophages: downregulation of CCR5 expression by
- 18. HART D.N.J. (1997) Dendritic cells: unique leukocyte populations inhibits HIV-1 replication in human macrophages by modulating which control the primary immune response *Blood* 90, 3245 expression of CD4 and CC-chemoki
	- phagocytes by human immunodeficiency virus type 1 binding to CD80 (B7-1) expression. *Eur J Immunol* entrated the CD4 receptor. *J Virol* 63, 4404.
		-
		-
		-
- L.H. (1996) B7-2 (CD86) is essential for the development of IL-
4-producing T cells. *Int Immunol* 8, 1549.
4-producing T cells. *Int Immunol* 8, 1549. regulates Fas antigen expression in lymphocytes by inducing 24. FLEISCHER J., SOETH E., REILLING N. *et al.* (1996) Differential interferon- γ and tumor necrosis factor- α secretion. *Blood* 84, 2622.