

# NIH Public Access

Author Manuscript

Hematol Oncol Clin North Am. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2009 April 1

# Hematol Oncol Clin North Am. 2008 April; 22(2): 319-342.

# Promoting a Healthy Lifestyle among Cancer Survivors

# Wendy Demark-Wahnefried, PhD, RD<sup>a</sup> and Lee W. Jones, PhD<sup>b</sup>

**a***Professor of Behavioral Science, The University of Texas-MD Anderson Cancer Center, Houston, Texas* bAssistant Research Professor of Surgery, Duke University Medical Center, Durham, North Carolina

# Abstract

In 2007, an estimated 1.6 million North Americans will be diagnosed with cancer. Given significant improvements in early detection and treatment, increasing numbers of patients can expect to be alive in five years. With improving longevity, the late-occurring adverse effects of cancer and its treatment are becoming increasingly apparent. As in other clinical populations, healthy lifestyle behaviors encompassing weight management, a healthy diet, regular exercise, and smoking cessation have the potential to significantly reduce morbidity and mortality in cancer survivors. This review will address: (1) the strength of evidence for recommendations in areas of weight management, diet, exercise and smoking cessation; and (2) the current evidence examining the efficacy of various intervention approaches to promote health behavior changes among adult cancer survivors.

# Keywords

Neoplasms; Survivors; Life Style; Diet; Exercise; Smoking Cessation

# Introduction

This year, roughly 1.6 million people in the United States and Canada will be diagnosed with cancer. (1,2) Given advances in early detection and treatment, two-thirds of those diagnosed with this disease can expect to be alive in 5-years. (1) These individuals will join the ever-expanding numbers of cancer survivors who now comprise 3–4% of the North American population.(3) While these statistics are encouraging, it is important to acknowledge that the impact of cancer is significant and associated with several long-term health and psychosocial sequelae.(4–18) In addition to risk for recurrence, data clearly show that compared to general age- and race-matched populations, cancer survivors are at greater risk for developing second malignancies, cardiovascular disease (CVD), diabetes, osteoporosis, and functional decline. (4–18) These comorbid conditions and competing causes of death are believed to result from cancer treatment, genetic predisposition and/or common lifestyle factors. (12,13,17)

In two recent Institute of Medicine reports entitled "From Cancer Patient to Cancer Survivor: Lost in Transition" and "Implementing Cancer Survivorship Care Planning," the numerous health issues of cancer survivors were summarized, and the potential benefits of lifestyle

aCorresponding Author for proof and reprints: Wendy Demark-Wahnefried, PhD, Department of Behavioral Science, University of Texas - MD Anderson Cancer, 1155 Herman P. Pressler, CPPB3.3245, Houston, TX 77030, (713) 563-7366, (713) 745-4286 (fax), wdemarkw@mdanderson.org (email).

Coauthors Addresses: Lee W. Jones, PhD, Department of Surgery, Duke University Medical Center, Box 3624 DUMC, Durham, NC 27710, (919) 668-6791, (919) 684-8203 (fax), lee.w.jones@duke.edu (email)

**Publisher's Disclaimer:** This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final citable form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

modifications were reviewed.(19,20) Also during this period of time, the American Cancer Society (ACS) reissued its guide for Informed Choices on Nutrition and Physical Activity During and After Cancer Treatment.(21,22) Taken together, these reports serve as resources for health care providers, patient advocates, and other stakeholders to improve the health and well-being of this rapidly expanding and high risk population (see List 1 and List 2 for reproduced diet and physical activity guidelines published within the ACS report). The goal of this paper is to review these recommendations in light of more recent advances, with the following topic areas addressed: 1) strength of evidence for recommendations in areas of weight management, diet, exercise, and smoking cessation; and 2) current evidence examining the efficacy of various intervention approaches to promote health behavior changes among adult cancer survivors. To this end, an updated search of literature published on adult cancer survivors within the past two years was performed using CancerLit, PubMed, and Medline databases and employing search terms of cancer survivor(s) or neoplasms/survivor crossreferenced with MeSH terms of life style, health behavior, cardiovascular training, rehabilitation, physical fitness, physical activity, exercise, body weight, obesity, weight loss, diet, nutrition, tobacco, smoking cessation, and intervention studies. Relevant articles were then hand searched for pertinent previously published papers. Because prospective intervention studies offer the strongest evidence regarding potential benefit, we have excerpted key elements of recent lifestyle intervention trials that have health-related endpoints and have included this information in Table 1.

#### List 1. American Cancer Society Guidelines on Nutrition and Physical Activity for Cancer Prevention

Maintain a healthy weight throughout life.

- Balance caloric intake with physical activity.
- Avoid excessive weight gain throughout the lifecycle.
- Achieve and maintain a healthy weight if currently overweight or obese.

Adopt a physically active lifestyle.

- Adults: engage in at least 30 minutes of moderate-to-vigorous physical activity, above usual activities, on 5 or more days of the week. Forty-five to 60 minutes of intentional physical activity are preferable.
- Children & adolescents: engage in at least 60 minutes/day of moderate-to-vigorous physical activity at least 5 days/week.

Consume a healthy diet, with an emphasis on plant sources.

- Choose foods and beverages in amounts that help achieve and maintain a healthy weight.
- Eat five or more servings of a variety of vegetables and fruits each day.
- Choose whole grains in preference to processed [refined] grains.
- Limit consumption of processed and red meats.

If you drink alcoholic beverages, limit consumption.

• Drink no more than one drink per day for women or two per day for men.

Taken from: Nutrition and Physical Activity During and After Cancer Treatment: An American Cancer Society Guide for Informed Choices. CA: A Cancer Journal for Clinicians 56: 323-353, 2006. Reproduced with Permission from the American Cancer Society

# List 2. Exercise Prescription Guidelines for Cancer Survivors After Completion of Primary Treatment

#### Low Intensity (light effort) Endurance Exercise

- <sup>•</sup> 20% 39% of HR<sub>reserve</sub>; 40% 50% VO<sub>2peak</sub>; RPE of 10 to 11; 2 to 4 METs
- 45 60 minutes per day (total exercise minutes can be accumulated by performing short bouts of light intensity endurance exercise throughout the day)
- 5–7 days of week
- Gardening, carrying groceries, raking lawn

#### Moderate Intensity (moderate effort) Endurance Exercise

- 40% 59% of HR<sub>reserve</sub>; 60% 75% VO<sub>2peak</sub>; RPE of 12 to 13; 4 to 6 METs
- 20 60 minutes per day (total exercise minutes can be accumulated by performing short bouts of moderate intensity endurance exercise throughout the day)
- 3–5 days of week
- Brisk walking, ( $\geq 2.5$ mph 4.0 mph), swimming, cycling

#### Vigorous Intensity (strenuous effort) Endurance Exercise

- 60% 84% of HR<sub>reserve</sub>;  $\geq 75\%$  VO<sub>2peak</sub>; RPE of 14 to 16; 6 to 8 METs
- 20 45 minutes per day (total exercise minutes can be accumulated by performing short bouts of vigorous intensity endurance exercise throughout the day)
- 3–5 days of week
- Jogging ( $\geq$  5.0mph), vigorous swimming, vigorous cycling

#### Progressive Resistance Exercise (Weight-Bearing)

- 1–2 sets (8–12 repetitions each) of 8–10 large-muscle group resistance exercises at moderate intensity
- 2–3 nonconsecutive days of week

#### Flexibility/Stretching Exercise (Weight-Bearing)

- Gentle reaching, bending and stretching of the large muscle groups
- Hold each stretch for 20–30 seconds; perform each stretch at least twice

Calculations:  $HR_{reserve}$  = maximal heart rate ( $HR_{max}$ ) minus resting heart rate ( $HR_{rest}$ ). Multiply  $HR_{reserve}$  by .20 to .84 to obtain target heart rate for desired intensity of exercise.

Abbreviations: VO<sub>2peak</sub>, Peak Oxygen Consumption (mL.kg.min<sup>-1</sup>); RPE, rate of perceived exertion; METs, metabolic equivalent *Adapted with Permission from the American Cancer Society* 

# Lifestyle Concerns for Cancer Survivors

#### Weight Management

Positive and negative energy balance are dual concerns in cancer populations. However, as noted in both the IOM and ACS reports,(19–21) as critical as anorexia and cachexia are to cancer care, for the majority of cancer survivors, obesity and overweight are problems that are

far more prevalent.(21-24) Obesity is a well-established risk factor for cancers of the breast (post-menopausal), colon, kidney (renal cell), esophagus (adenocarcinoma), and endometrium; (25) thus a high proportion of cancer survivors are overweight or obese at the time of diagnosis. Furthermore, increased pre-morbid body weight and/or body weight at the time of diagnosis has been associated with overall and cancer-specific mortality for cancers of the breast, esophagus, colon and rectum, cervix, uterus, liver, gallbladder, stomach, pancreas, prostate, kidney, non-Hodgkin's lymphoma and multiple myeloma, as well as all cancers combined. (26–32) Finally, additional weight gain is common during or after treatment for various cancers, and has been found to reduce quality of life (QOL) and exacerbate risk for functional decline, comorbidity and perhaps even cancer recurrence and cancer-related death.(20,21,33, 34) While studies exploring the relationship between post-diagnosis weight gain and diseasefree survival have been somewhat inconsistent, (23, 33, 35, 36) one of the largest studies (n=5,204) by Kroenke and colleagues,(36) found that breast cancer survivors who increased their BMI by 0.5 to 2 units post-diagnosis were found to have a relative risk (RR) of recurrence of 1.40 (95% CI: 1.02–1.92) and those who gained more than 2.0 BMI units had a RR of 1.53 (95% CI: 1.54–2.34); both groups also experienced significantly higher all-cause mortality. In addition, several studies have reported that increased body weight post-diagnosis negatively impacts QOL.(23,33,37) This accumulating evidence of adverse effects of obesity in cancer survivors, plus evidence indicating that obesity has negative consequences for overall health and physical function make the pursuit of weight management a priority for cancer survivors (23,33,38) – a priority which is substantiated through viable physiologic mechanisms, (39) as well as concern that the health issues of this population are overlaid upon the pandemic of overweight and obesity increasing worldwide.(39,40)

Despite the documented adverse effects of obesity in cancer survivors, to date only five reported studies have examined weight management in cancer populations, and all were conducted among women with breast cancer. Two of these studies were performed largely on survivors who had completed active treatment, and found that individualized dietary counseling provided by a dietitian was effective in promoting weight loss.(41,42) The more recent study by Djuric and colleagues,(42) found that counseling by a dietitian was most effective if combined with a structured Weight Watchers® program which included exercise; weight change at 12 months was  $+.85 \pm 6.0$  kg vs.  $-8.0 \pm 5.5$  kg or  $-9.4 \pm 8.6$  kg in the control vs. dietitian or dietitian + Weight Watchers® program, respectively. Multiple behavior interventions that utilize a comprehensive approach to energy balance, and that include both diet and exercise components have higher likelihood of being more effective than interventions relying on either component alone.(43) In their evaluation of a diet and exercise intervention among early stage breast cancer patients which was begun during the time of treatment and extended throughout the year following diagnosis, Goodwin et al.(44) found that exercise was the strongest predictor of weight loss. These findings were corroborated more recently by the observational study of Herman and colleagues.(33) Given evidence that sarcopenic obesity (gain of adipose tissue at the expense of lean body mass) is a documented side effect of both chemotherapy and hormonal therapy,(23,45-47) exercise, specifically resistance exercise, may be especially important for cancer survivors since it is considered the cornerstone of treatment for this condition.

Until more is known, guidelines established for weight management in the general population should be applied to cancer survivors, and include not only dietary and exercise components, but also behavior therapy.(48) With research indicating that 71% of cancer survivors are overweight or obese, there is a definite need to develop effective weight management interventions for this needy population.(38)

# **Nutrition and Diet**

#### **Energy Restriction**

As noted, accumulating evidence suggests that weight management should be a priority for cancer survivors. (20,21,34) Thus, for the majority of cancer survivors who are overweight, energy restricted diets are recommended. (21,22,38) Moderate energy deficits of up to 1,000 Calories/day can be achieved by concomitantly increasing energy expenditure (via exercise) and reducing energy intake.(21) Energy restriction can be achieved by reducing the energy density of the diet by substituting low-energy density foods (e.g., water-rich vegetables, fruits, cooked whole grains, soups) for foods that are higher in Calories.(49) This "volumetric approach" enhances satiety and reduces feelings of hunger and deprivation that often serve to undermine energy-restricted diets. An additional strategy is limiting portion sizes of energy dense foods.(49) The newly issued dietary guidelines for cancer survivors emphasize energy balance and largely endorse dietary recommendations that have been established for the primary prevention of cancer and other chronic diseases.(21,40,50)

#### Balancing Fat, Protein, and Carbohydrate Intake

Protein, carbohydrate and fat all contribute energy (Calories) in the diet, and each of these dietary constituents is available from a wide variety of foods. Making informed choices about foods that provide these macronutrients can ensure variety and nutrient adequacy. In general, the choice of foods and their proportions within an overall diet (dietary pattern) may be more important than absolute amounts.(23,40,51) Given that cancer survivors are at high risk for other chronic diseases, the recommended amounts and type of fat, protein, and carbohydrate to reduce these disease risks also is germane.(19,21) Observational studies of breast cancer survivors (n=2,619) and colorectal cancer survivors (n=1009) within the Nurse's Health Study cohort suggest that as compared to those who reported a Western-type diet (e.g., high proportional intakes of meat, refined grains, high fat dairy products, and desserts), those who reported a prudent diet (e.g. high proportional intakes of fruits, vegetables, whole grains and low-fat dairy products) had significantly better outcomes, i.e., improved overall survival and reduced rates of colorectal recurrence and mortality, respectively (52,53) Furthermore, crosssectional data from 714 breast cancer survivors participating in the Health, Eating, Activity and Lifestyle (HEAL) study suggest that post-diagnosis diet quality is significantly association with mental and physical functioning.(54) Recently, a feasibility study aimed at reducing functional decline among 182 elderly breast and cancer survivors by delivering a multicomponent intervention to increase diet quality and physical activity found evidence of improved physical functioning;(55) this study is currently being followed up with a full scale RCT entitled Reach-Out to Enhance Wellness (RENEW - CA106919) (N=641) with results anticipated in 2009.

# Fat

To date, roughly 20 reported studies have examined the relationship between fat intake and survival post-diagnosis, with almost all of these studies conducted among breast cancer survivors.(17,21,23) By-in-large results tend to be mixed; however, in the few studies that have assessed type of fat there appears to be a consistent finding that high intakes of saturated fat are associated with worse survival.(21). The recently completed Women's Intervention Nutrition Study (WINS), a randomized controlled trial (RCT) that accrued 2437 post-menopausal women within 12-months of breast cancer diagnosis and followed them for roughly 5-years found a hazards ratio (HR) for recurrence of 0.76 (95% CI 0.60, 0.98) among women assigned to the low fat diet (<15% of energy from fat) as compared to those assigned to a nutritionally-adequate diet, an effect which was even stronger among participants with estrogen-receptor negative disease.(56) While these findings may have been confounded by the six pound weight loss observed with the low fat diet over the course of the study period,

these results nonetheless provide support for the USDA recommendations to limit total fat intake to 20-35% of energy intake, saturated fat intake to <10%, and trans fatty acids to <3%), especially in view of the greater risk for CVD among survivors .(50)

#### Protein

Relatively few studies have examined associations between protein intake and cancer specific outcomes, though one study among early stage breast cancer survivors found that increased intakes of red meat, bacon and liver were associated with increased rates of recurrence.(57) Given these data, as well as strong evidence that red meat and processed meat are associated with increased primary risk for colorectal cancer, survivors are encouraged to limit their consumption of these foods. Protein intakes of 0.8 g/kg of body weight are recommended with 10–35% of energy coming from protein.(21,58)

#### Carbohydrates

Similar to protein, little research has been undertaken with regard to carbohydrates, specifically starches and sugars, and cancer survival. Although fiber is classified as a carbohydrate and its relationship to cancer has been explored extensively, such research has been limited largely to the recurrence of precancerous lesions (e.g. colorectal adenomas), more than to survivorship per se.(21) Given that glycemic control is a newly emerging area of interest in relation to cancer, more research is anticipated in this area in the next few years.(59) Given a lack of definitive data, survivors are encouraged to follow dietary guidelines established for the prevention of chronic diseases that endorse intakes of carbohydrates ranging from 45–65% of total energy intake and fiber intakes of 14 g. per 1,000 kcal. (50) Carbohydrates should come primarily from nutrient-dense food sources, such as vegetables, whole fruits, and whole grains - low-energy density foods that promote satiety, and weight control, while enhancing nutrient adequacy.(21,50) Refined carbohydrates and sugars are discouraged given their relative lack of nutritional benefit and their contribution to energy intake.(21,50)

## Vegetables and Fruits (V&F)

Given high concentrations of various phytochemicals, anti-oxidants, and fiber, V&F have been promoted, not only among healthy populations for the prevention of disease, but also among cancer survivors.(21) Of a dozen observational studies examining the relationship between intakes of V&F (or nutrients indicative of those foods) and risk for cancer recurrence, the evidence has been mixed.(21,60,61) Half of the studies have observed a protective effect of V&F or specific items such as tomato sauce or cruciferae, while the remaining studies have found no associations. Results of the Women's Healthy Eating and Living (WHEL) trial, which not only promoted a low fat, high fiber diet, but also daily minimum intakes of 5 vegetable and 3 fruit servings, as well as 16 oz. of vegetable juice found no differences in recurrence among 3088 pre- and post-menopausal women followed over 7 years (62) Null findings have be attributed to high baseline V&F intakes in both study arms (mean of 7.4 servings/day) and/or an absence of weight loss, despite a low energy density diet.(63) Cancer survivors are still encouraged to consume at least 5 servings of V&F/day based on proven cardioprotective effects. (21,50)

# Exercise

Increased attention has focused on the role of exercise training interventions as an adjunct supportive therapy for cancer survivors both during and following the completion of primary therapy. Up to March, 2004, 16 independent research investigations had been published examining the role of exercise training interventions in cancer survivors.(64–66) From March 2004 to March, 2006, we conducted a systematic review that identified 9 additional studies for a total of 25 studies of exercise among cancer survivors. To summarize, these studies were

conducted predominately in breast cancer survivors testing the effects of either endurance (aerobic) or combined (endurance plus resistance training) exercise training prescribed according to standard exercise prescription guidelines for healthy adults. (i.e., exercise sessions 3–5 days/week for 20–45 minutes, at a moderate-intensity for 2 to 6 months). Major outcomes of these reports included cardiorespiratory fitness, strength, psychosocial outcomes (e.g., QOL, depression, etc.), as well as various biochemical outcomes such as metabolic and sex hormones. The extant literature indicates that exercise training is safe and feasible for cancer survivors following the completion of primary therapy. Moreover, exercise training is associated with a moderately positive effect on cardiorespiratory fitness and QOL. Exercise training also is generally associated with a small positive effect on other outcomes such as fatigue, anxiety, and depression. Overall, the current literature provides sufficient evidence that exercise is a safe and well-tolerated supportive intervention that physicians can recommend to their patients following the completion of primary therapy.(17)

In the present paper, we evaluate the strength of the evidence in light of studies published within the past year (March 2006 to October 2007). A comprehensive review of the literature identified 7 new independent studies that examined the effects of an exercise training intervention among cancer survivors that had completed therapy.(67–73) Studies that examined the effects of exercise training in combination with other interventions (e.g., dietary interventions) or examined the utility of a behavioral-based intervention to promote exercise among cancer survivors were excluded.

As before, these new studies continue to focus predominantly on breast cancer, (68–72) with the remaining studies focusing on mixed cancer patients.(67,73) Four studies were randomized controlled trials,(68-71) while the other three used pre-post designs.(67,72,73) Four studies examined the effects of aerobic exercise training only,(68,71-73), two assessed the effects combined aerobic and resistance training(69,70), while one used resistance training only.(67) The intervention length ranged from 8 to 24 weeks and study endpoints were various and included exercise capacity (assessed either via a maximal cardiopulmonary exercise test or submaximal treadmill test), muscle strength, and a numerous psychosocial outcomes (e.g., fatigue, overall QOL, stress, subcomponents of QOL) (see Table 1). Findings from these more recent studies corroborate our prior conclusion that the current literature provides sufficient evidence that exercise is safe and feasible for cancer survivors following the completion of primary therapy and such interventions may be associated with potentially clinically meaningful improvements in exercise capacity and overall QOL. Although not a primary focus of this review, these results also are consistent with the findings of exercise intervention studies conducted during cancer therapy.(74) For example, in the largest study to date, Courneya et al. (75) examined the effects of aerobic exercise alone, resistance exercise alone, or usual care on physical fitness, muscle strength, body composition, and indices of QOL and other psychosocial outcomes among 242 operable breast cancer patients initiating adjuvant chemotherapy. Results indicated significant favorable effects of aerobic and resistance exercise training on multiple outcomes (including increased chemotherapy completion rates) in comparison to usual care without any significant adverse events.

Further strong evidence for the role of exercise for cancer survivors is provided by four landmark studies that examined the association between physical activity and cancer recurrence and overall survival in persons diagnosed with breast (76,77) and colon cancer.(78,79) Results of these studies suggest that survivors who engaged in routine physical activity had a significant disease-free and mortality risk reduction compared with those who were physically inactive. Of note, these findings also include data obtained from women participating in the control arm of the WHEL study, and show that increased physical activity (equivalent of brisk walking for 30 minutes 6 days/week) was associated with a significant reduction in the risk of death among

Findings from these observational studies have important implications for future exercise interventions focused on cancer survivors. At present the exercise training studies included in the current paper, as well as those reviewed in our prior paper, have predominantly focused on the effects of exercise training on exercise capacity and overall QOL.(17) These interventions have included relatively small sample sizes ( $\leq 100$ ) with short-term intervention periods ( $\leq 6$ months) that are prescribed 2 to 3 times a week. If exercise training or cancer exercise rehabilitation programs are to become an integral component of multidisciplinary management of cancer survivors, large-scale randomized controlled trials will be required that go beyond simply including exercise capacity and QOL outcomes; examine long-term interventions ( $\geq 6$ months), and prescribe exercise training 3 to 5 days a week which is consistent with the results of the observational studies and current recommendations of the American Cancer Society, the Centers for Disease Control, the American College of Sports Medicine and the World Health Organization (see List 2).(40) Thus, well-designed trials that examine the efficacy of exercise training on biologic mechanisms, derived from preclinical studies, or clinically-meaningful intermediate surrogate endpoints of cancer recurrence and/or overall survival are urgently required. Findings from studies of this nature will provide the necessary evidence to convince policy makers for the inclusion of exercise rehabilitation in cancer management.

# **Smoking Cessation**

As noted in the IOM report,(19) nearly one-third of all cancers are caused by smoking, thus there is a high likelihood of tobacco-use among survivors, especially those who have been diagnosed with smoking-related malignancies, i.e., lung, head and neck, cervix, bladder, kidney, pancreas and myeloid leukemia.(80,81) Persistent tobacco-use post-diagnosis also is associated with poorer outcomes, including increased complications of treatment, progressive disease, second primaries and increased comorbidity.(82,83) Thus, while smoking cessation plays a substantial role in prevention and primary care, it is perhaps even more critical for cancer survivors to quit smoking.(84) Fortunately, many survivors respond to the "teachable moment that a cancer diagnosis provides, (85) and quit of approximately 50% are noted among survivors with smoking-related tumors.(86,87) Unfortunately, data from a recent study suggest that most institutions fail to offer smoking prevention (61%) or cessation (75%) programs to individuals diagnosed with cancer, and many even lack a referral system (42%).(88) Furthermore, even among survivors who are able to quit, high percentages are unable to remain smoke-free with approximately one-third of smokers continuing to smoke after their cancer diagnosis.(87) Recent data from the National Health Interview Survey also suggest that current smoking rates may be especially high in younger cancer survivors (ages 18-40) than in the general population,(89) though subsequent controlled analyses on data with longer follow-up suggest that these differences may not be as discrepant as previously thought.(90)

Given evidence that combined interventions that utilize behavioral counseling along with pharmacotherapy are effective, definitive guidelines exist for providing care as it relates to smoking cessation.(19) The 5-A approach endorsed by the US Preventive Services Task Force provides a concrete framework for health care providers to deliver appropriate care regarding smoking cessation and is a featured element within the IOM report.(19,91) Despite this extant framework, the barriers to longstanding smoking cessation success are substantial and findings from intervention trials have been mixed; the IOM report provides a solid overview of studies conducted up until 2005 and notes the significance of smoking cessation within the survivor population and the numerous barriers that exist.(19) Fortunately, the early trials of Gritz et al. (92) as well as the most recent trial of Emmons et al.(93) provide success stories that can guide future treatment, research and practice. The randomized controlled trial by Emmons et al.

(93) tested a peer telephone counseling intervention with tailored materials against standardized self-help materials (both with optional nicotine replacement) among 796 currently smoking adult childhood cancer survivors. They found that quit rates were significantly higher in the counseling group compared to the self-help group at both the 8-month (16.8% v 8.5%; P < .01) and 12-month follow-up (15% v 9%; P < .01).(93) This home-based intervention also was found to be cost-effective. This recent positive trial not only is important for it's contribution to smoking cessation research, but it also paves the way more generally for future health promotion programs by testing innovative strategies that are well-accepted and more readily disseminable to survivor populations who often are hard to reach. As noted in the IOM report.(19) opportunities also exist for interventions that incorporate social or familial support as a key element. An ongoing trial which is currently testing the efficacy of such a familybased intervention is entitled "Family-Ties" (CA92622) and results are imminent. As in areas of diet and exercise, more research is necessary to determine interventions that are optimally effective and promote permanent smoking cessation – acknowledging that continued tobaccouse may be particularly resistant in cancer survivors. It is also worth noting that smokers may represent a prime population not only for smoking cessation efforts, but also for multiple risk factor interventions since findings of Butterfield et al.(94) suggest that the majority (63%) of cancer survivors who smoke also are likely to engage in at least two to three other unhealthful lifestyle behaviors, such as sedentary behavior, high red meat consumption and excessive alcohol-use.

# Health Behavior Change and Preferences for Delivery among Cancer Survivors

#### **Behavior Change Post-Diagnosis**

Most earlier research suggested that the practice of healthy lifestyle behaviors was higher among cancer survivors than in the general population; however, recent large-scale studies now indicate that few health behavior differences exist between cancer survivors and healthy populations or non-cancer controls.(35,89,90) Two of these studies relied on data collected from survivors of several different cancers and who were nested within a U.S. sample which included both cancer cases and controls, thus yielding data that are less likely to be influenced by responder bias. Analyses by Coups and Ostroff (89) and Bellizzi et al.(90) on health behaviors of cancer cases compared to age- and race-matched controls participating in the National Health Initiative Survey-2000 indicate that while cancer survivors are slightly more likely to adhere to physical activity guidelines, for the most part their health behaviors parallel those of the general population -a population marked by inactivity, overweight or obesity, suboptimal fruit, vegetable and fiber consumption, and high intakes of fat. (90) Similar results were found in another study that exclusively tracked lifestyle behaviors in a cohort of women (n = 2,321) with early stage breast cancer. (35) These studies suggest that although many cancer patients report healthful lifestyle changes after diagnosis, these changes may not generalize to all populations of cancer survivors or may be temporary. Given higher rates of comorbidity within this population and evidence that diet, exercise, and tobacco-use affect risk for other cancers and other chronic diseases, these recent data support a terrific need for lifestyle interventions that target this vulnerable population.

# When is the best time to intervene?

Little data exist as to when cancer survivors may be most receptive to health behavior interventions. An early study of 978 breast and prostate cancer survivors,(95) suggests that most (57%) reported a preference for diet, exercise and/or smoking cessation information "at diagnosis or soon thereafter" and that a significant decrease (p=.003) was noted as time elapsed from diagnosis. Factors such as age and gender also may affect interest and uptake of lifestyle interventions. For example, McBride et al.(96) found that interest levels for lifestyle

interventions may be sustained over time among women, but not in men since the psychological impact of disease diminishes significantly with time from diagnosis among males, but not in female survivors.

Timing of interventions also is dependent upon the targeted behavior (e.g., diet, exercise, etc); the channel of delivery (clinic or home-based), treatments received (e.g., surgery, radiation, chemotherapy), side effects (fatigue, pain, nausea, etc.), and desired outcomes (short-term symptom management or overall long term health). For example, observations from recent studies suggest that interventions involving physical activity may receive better uptake and continued adherence if introduced after primary therapy is complete rather than during active treatment.(75,97–99) Furthermore, issues such as time, transportation, child-care and patients' willingness to undertake new lifestyle behaviors may undermine the success of health promotion efforts and require careful consideration regarding timing, content, delivery channel and patient selection. Also important is the realization that several strategic iterations may be necessary in order to create an intervention that not only has proven efficacy, but that also is well-accepted and generalizable to the patient population at large.

#### **Preferred Channels for Delivery**

As with intervention timing, there are relatively few studies that have explored patient preferences regarding intervention delivery channel and even fewer that have compared the relative efficacy of different methods. In one study of 307 cancer survivors, Jones et al.(100) found that 85% of cancer survivors preferred face-to-face exercise counseling for a one session class. Other researchers have found that distance and accompanying issues of time and transportation pose significant barriers for in-person programs, especially among older cancer survivors (61% of cancer survivors are comprised of those 65 years of age or older).(95,101-103) Such barriers also are present among survivors of more rarely occurring cancers who often have to travel great distances to receive specialized care in appropriate clinical settings, i.e., childhood survivors.(104) In two separate survey studies among breast and prostate cancer survivors(95) and childhood cancer survivors,(104) Demark-Wahnefried and colleagues found that distance medicine-based or home-based programs were significantly favored over clinicbased venues. Surprisingly, mailed interventions garnered higher preference scores than computer-based formats among younger cancer survivors. Similarly, Rutten et al.(105) reported that cancer survivors were twice as likely to report reliance on print materials as sources of health information rather than the internet or other media sources. It is currently unknown whether these results are apt to change over time or whether there is a definite hardset preference for print materials over computer-based venues. Given that cancer is a disease associated with aging and that receptivity for computer-based formats is even lower in older populations, it is safe to say that although web-based programs offer future promise, full penetrance of such programs, especially among the most underserved populations of cancer survivors, is currently questionable.(38)

As recently reviewed by Stull and colleagues,(106) the preponderance of reported health promotion efforts among cancer survivors have utilized clinic-based interventions, though increasing numbers of interventions have employed hybrid programs that rely on both clinic-based sessions with follow-up telephone counseling.(38,43) Although the potential acceptability and reach for home-based interventions is notably greater than for clinic-based programs, to date only five studies have employed this approach (55,93,107–109) By-in-large most health promotion interventions among cancer survivors have reported favorable findings although more research is needed to determine optimal approaches, not only with regard to delivery channel, but also to the aforementioned areas, i.e., timing, pairing of behavioral components, etc.(38,106)

# Summary

Currently, there is scant evidence regarding the direct impact of post-diagnosis behavioral change on cancer-related progression, recurrence or survival. Indeed, much more research is necessary, not only to determine proof of concept (i.e., that behavior change can make an impact on cancer-specific outcomes and overall health), but also to arrive at interventions that are well-accepted and that reach cancer survivors who are most vulnerable. Research is ongoing and data are beginning to accumulate. In the interim, oncologists should not lose sight of the fact that there exists a significant body of research that shows the benefit of a healthful diet, regular exercise and smoking cessation for reducing risk for many of the comorbid conditions (i.e., other cancers, CVD, diabetes and osteoporosis) and side effects (i.e., fatigue and depression) for which cancer survivors are especially prone. Thus, oncology care providers can assist their patients by endorsing existing health guidelines and encouraging their patients to take active roles in pursuing general preventive health strategies.

#### Acknowledgements

This work was supported by Grant No. CA106919 (WDW) and CA122143 (WDW,LWJ) from the National Institutes of Health, and MD Anderson Cancer Center (WDW)

### References

- 1. Jemal A, Siegel R, Ward E, et al. Cancer statistics, 2007. CA: Cancer J Clin 2007;57:43–66. [PubMed: 17237035]
- 2. Canadian Cancer Society. Canadian Cancer Statistics 2007. 2007
- Rowland J, Mariotto A, Aziz N, et al. Cancer Survivorship United States. MMWR 2004;53:526–529. [PubMed: 15215740]
- 4. Stricker CT. Endocrine effects of breast cancer treatment. Sem Oncol Nurs 2007;23:55-70.
- Rowland JH, Yancik R. Cancer survivorship: the interface of aging, comorbidity, and quality care. J Natl Cancer Inst 2006;98:504–505. [PubMed: 16622113]
- Nord C, Mykletun A, Thorsen L, Bjoro T, Fossa SD. Self-reported health and use of health care services in long-term cancer survivors. Int J Cancer 2005;114:307–316. [PubMed: 15543613]
- Eakin EG, Youlden DR, Baade PD, et al. Health status of long-term cancer survivors: results from an Australian population-based sample. Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev 2006;15:1969–1976. [PubMed: 17035407]
- Hooning MJ, Aleman BMP, van Rosmalen AJM, Kuenen MA, Klijn JGM, van Leeuwen FE. Causespecific mortality in long-term survivors of breast cancer: A 25-year follow-up study. Intl J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys 2006;64:1081–1091.
- 9. Hoff AO, Gagel RF. Osteoporosis in breast and prostate cancer survivors. Oncol 2005;19:651-658.
- Chlebowski RT. Bone health in women with early-stage breast cancer. Clin Breast Cancer 2005;5 (Suppl):S35–S40. [PubMed: 15807922]
- 11. Deimling GT BK, Sterns S, Wagner LJ, Kahana B. Cancer-related health worries and psychological distress among older adult, long-term cancer survivors Psycho-Oncol 2006;15(4):306–320.
- Demark-Wahnefried W, Pinto BM, Gritz ER. Promoting health and physical function among cancer survivors: potential for prevention and questions that remain. Journal of Clinical Oncology 2006;24:5125–5131. [PubMed: 17093274]
- Demark-Wahnefried W, Aziz NM, Rowland JH, Pinto BM. Riding the crest of the teachable moment: promoting long-term health after the diagnosis of cancer. J Clin Oncol 2005;23:5814–5830. [PubMed: 16043830]
- Carver JR, Shapiro CL, Ng A, et al. American Society of Clinical Oncology clinical evidence review on the ongoing care of adult cancer survivors: cardiac and pulmonary late effects. J Clin Oncol 2007;25:3991–4008. [PubMed: 17577017]
- 15. Baade PD, Fritschi L, Eakin EG. Non-cancer mortality among people diagnosed with cancer (Australia). Cancer Causes Control 2006;17:287–297. [PubMed: 16489536]

- Deimling GT, Sterns S, Bowman KF, Kahana B. The health of older-adult, long-term cancer survivors. Cancer Nurs 2005;28:415–424. [PubMed: 16330962]
- Jones LW, Demark-Wahnefried W, Jones LW, Demark-Wahnefried W. Diet, exercise, and complementary therapies after primary treatment for cancer. Lancet Oncol 2006;7:1017–1026. [PubMed: 17138223]
- Chlebowski RT. Bone health in women with early-stage breast cancer. Clin Breast Cancer 2005;5 (Suppl):S35–S40. [PubMed: 15807922]
- Hewitt, M.; Greenfield, S.; Stovall, EL. Institute of Medicine and National Research Council: From Cancer Patient to Cancer Survivors: Lost in Transition. Washington, DC: National Academies Press; 2005.
- 20. Jones, L.; Demark-Wahnefried, W. Recommendations for health behavior and wellness following primary treatment for cancer. Washington, DC: National Academies Press; 2007.
- Doyle C, Kushi LH, Byers T, et al. Nutrition and physical activity during and after cancer treatment: an American Cancer Society guide for informed choices. CA Cancer J Clin 2006;56:323–353. [PubMed: 17135691]
- Brown JK, Byers T, Doyle C, et al. Nutrition and physical activity during and after cancer treatment: an American Cancer Society guide for informed choices. CA Cancer J Clin 2003;53:268–291. [PubMed: 14570227]
- 23. Rock CL, Demark-Wahnefried W. Nutrition and survival after the diagnosis of breast cancer: a review of the evidence. J Clin Oncol 2002;20:3302–3316. [PubMed: 12149305]
- Demark-Wahnefried, W. Diet: Energy Balance and Adiposity. In: Brawley, O., editor. American Society of Clinical Oncology Curriculum: Cancer Prevention. 5. Alexandria, VA: American Society of Clinical Oncology; 2007. p. 33
- 25. World Health Organization. International Agency for Research in Cancer Handbook of Cancer Prevention. 2002.
- Calle EE, Rodriguez C, Walker-Thurmond K, Thun MJ. Overweight, obesity, and mortality from cancer in a prospectively studied cohort of U.S. adults. N Engl J Med 2003;348:1625–1638. [PubMed: 12711737]
- Abrahamson PE, Gammon MD, Lund MJ, et al. General and abdominal obesity and survival among young women with breast cancer. Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev 2006;15:1871–1877. [PubMed: 17035393]
- Dignam JJ, Polite BN, Yothers G, et al. Body mass index and outcomes in patients who receive adjuvant chemotherapy for colon cancer. J Natl Cancer Inst 2006;98:1647–1654. [PubMed: 17105987]
- Dignam JJ, Wieand K, Johnson KA, et al. Effects of obesity and race on prognosis in lymph nodenegative, estrogen receptor-negative breast cancer. Breast Cancer Res Treat 2006;97:245–254. [PubMed: 16331345]
- Reeves KW, Faulkner K, Modugno F, et al. Body mass index and mortality among older breast cancer survivors in the Study of Osteoporotic Fractures. Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev 2007;16:1468– 1473. [PubMed: 17627012]
- Tao MH, Shu XO, Ruan ZX, et al. Association of overweight with breast cancer survival. Am J Epidemiol 2006;163:101–107. [PubMed: 16339054]
- 32. Wright ME, Chang S-C, Schatzkin A, et al. Prospective study of adiposity and weight change in relation to prostate cancer incidence and mortality. Cancer 2007;109:675–684. [PubMed: 17211863]
- Herman DR, Ganz PA, Petersen L, Greendale GA. Obesity and cardiovascular risk factors in younger breast cancer survivors: The Cancer and Menopause Study (CAMS). Breast Cancer Res Treat 2005;93:13–23. [PubMed: 16184454]
- Chlebowski RT, Aiello E, McTiernan A. Weight loss in breast cancer patient management. J Clin Oncol 2002;20:1128–1143. [PubMed: 11844838]
- Caan B, Sternfeld B, Gunderson E, Coates A, Quesenberry C, Slattery ML. Life After Cancer Epidemiology (LACE) Study: a cohort of early stage breast cancer survivors (United States). Cancer Causes Control 2005;16:545–556. [PubMed: 15986109]
- Kroenke CH, Chen WY, Rosner B, Holmes MD. Weight, weight gain, and survival after breast cancer diagnosis. J Clin Oncol 2005;23:1370–1378. [PubMed: 15684320]

- Courneya KS, Karvinen KH, Campbell KL, et al. Associations among exercise, body weight, and quality of life in a population-based sample of endometrial cancer survivors. Gynecol Oncol 2005;97:422–430. [PubMed: 15863140]
- Demark-Wahnefried W, Aziz NM, Rowland JH, Pinto BM. Riding the crest of the teachable moment: promoting long-term health after the diagnosis of cancer. J Clin Oncol 2005;23:5814–5830. [PubMed: 16043830]
- Calle EE, Kaaks R. Overweight, obesity and cancer: epidemiological evidence and proposed mechanisms. Nat Rev Cancer 2004;4:579–591. [PubMed: 15286738]
- 40. Work Health Organization. Global Strategy on Diet, Physical Activity, and Health. 2004.
- 41. de Waard F, Ramlau R, Mulders Y, de Vries T, van Waveren S. A feasibility study on weight reduction in obese postmenopausal breast cancer patients. Eur J Cancer Prev 1993;2:233–238. [PubMed: 8490542]
- 42. Djuric Z, DiLaura NM, Jenkins I, et al. Combining weight-loss counseling with the weight watchers plan for obese breast cancer survivors. Obes Res 2002;10:657–665. [PubMed: 12105288]
- 43. Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality. Effectiveness of Behavioral Interventions to Modify Physical Activity Behaviors in General Populations and Cancer Patients and Survivors. Rockville, MD: U.S. Department of Health and Human Services; 2004. p. 107-111.(AHRQ Publ. No. 04-E027-2)
- 44. Goodwin P, Esplen MJ, Butler K, et al. Multidisciplinary weight management in locoregional breast cancer: results of a phase II study. Breast Cancer Res Treat 1998;48:53–64. [PubMed: 9541189]
- 45. Demark-Wahnefried W, Peterson BL, Winer EP, et al. Changes in weight, body composition, and factors influencing energy balance among premenopausal breast cancer patients receiving adjuvant chemotherapy. J Clin Oncol 2001;19:2381–2389. [PubMed: 11331316]
- 46. Harvie MN, Howell A, Thatcher N, Baildam A, Campbell I. Energy balance in patients with advanced NSCLC, metastatic melanoma and metastatic breast cancer receiving chemotherapy-- a longitudinal study. Br J Cancer 2005;92:673–680. [PubMed: 15726121]
- Smith MR. Changes in body composition during hormonal therapy for prostate cancer. Clin Prostate Cancer 2003;2:18–21. [PubMed: 15046678]
- 48. National Institutes of Health. The Practical Guide: Identification, Evaluation, and Treatment of Overweight and Obesity in Adults. 2000.
- 49. Rolls BJ, Drewnowski A, Ledikwe JH. Changing the energy density of the diet as a strategy for weight management. J Am Diet Assoc 2005;105:S98–S103. [PubMed: 15867904]
- 50. Dietary Guidelines for Americans 2005. Department of Health and Human Services (DHHS), Department of Agriculture (USDA); 2005.
- Kennedy ET. Evidence for nutritional benefits in prolonging wellness. American Journal of Clinical Nutrition 2006;83:410S–414S. [PubMed: 16470004]
- Kroenke CH, Fung TT, Hu FB, Holmes MD. Dietary patterns and survival after breast cancer diagnosis. J Clin Oncol 2005;23:9295–9303. [PubMed: 16361628]
- Meyerhardt JA, Niedzwiecki D, Hollis D, et al. Association of dietary patterns with cancer recurrence and survival in patients with stage III colon cancer. JAMA 2007;298:754–764. [PubMed: 17699009]
- 54. Wayne SJ, Baumgartner K, Baumgartner RN, Bernstein L, Bowen DJ, Ballard-Barbash R. Diet quality is directly associated with quality of life in breast cancer survivors. Breast Cancer Res Treat 2006;96:227–232. [PubMed: 16538543]
- 55. Demark-Wahnefried W, Clipp EC, Morey MC, et al. Lifestyle intervention development study to improve physical function in older adults with cancer: outcomes from Project LEAD. J Clin Oncol 2006;24:3465–3473. [PubMed: 16849763]
- Chlebowski RT, Blackburn GL, Thomson CA, et al. Dietary fat reduction and breast cancer outcome: interim efficacy results from the Women's Intervention Nutrition Study. J Natl Cancer Inst 2006;98:1767–1776. [PubMed: 17179478]
- 57. Hebert JR, Hurley TG, Ma Y. The effect of dietary exposures on recurrence and mortality in early stage breast cancer. Breast Cancer Res Treat 1998;51:17–28. [PubMed: 9877026]
- 58. Institute of Medicine. Dietary reference intakes for energy, carbohydrates, fiber, fat, fatty acids, cholesterol, protein, and amino acids. 2002.

- 59. Krone CA, Ely JT. Controlling hyperglycemia as an adjunct to cancer therapy. Integr Cancer Ther 2005;4:25–31. [PubMed: 15695475]
- 60. Skuladottir H, Tjoenneland A, Overvad K, Stripp C, Olsen JH. Does high intake of fruit and vegetables improve lung cancer survival? Lung Cancer 2006;51:267–273. [PubMed: 16469411]
- 61. Fink BN, Gaudet MM, Britton JA, et al. Fruits, vegetables, and micronutrient intake in relation to breast cancer survival. Breast Cancer Res Treat 2006;98:199–208. [PubMed: 16538530]
- 62. Pierce JP, Natarajan L, Caan BJ, et al. Influence of a diet very high in vegetables, fruit, and fiber and low in fat on prognosis following treatment for breast cancer: the Women's Healthy Eating and Living (WHEL) randomized trial. JAMA 2007;298:289–298. [PubMed: 17635889]
- 63. Agency on Healthcare Research and Quality. Effectiveness of behavioral interventions to modify physical activity behaviors in general populations and cancer patients and survivors. Evidence report/ technology assessment (no. 102) Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality. 2004.
- McNeely ML, Campbell KL, Rowe BH, Klassen TP, Mackey JR, Courneya KS. Effects of exercise on breast cancer patients and survivors: a systematic review and meta-analysis. CMAJ 2006;175:34– 41. [PubMed: 16818906]
- Schmitz KH, Holtzman J, Courneya KS, Masse LC, Duval S, Kane R. Controlled physical activity trials in cancer survivors: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev 2005;14:1588–1595. [PubMed: 16030088]
- 66. Knols R, Aaronson NK, Uebelhart D, Fransen J, Aufdemkampe G. Physical exercise in cancer patients during and after medical treatment: a systematic review of randomized and controlled clinical trials. J Clin Oncol 2005;23:3830–3842. [PubMed: 15923576]
- 67. De Backer IC, Van Breda E, Vreugdenhil A, Nijziel MR, Kester AD, Schep G. High-intensity strength training improves quality of life in cancer survivors. Acta Oncol 2007:1–9.
- 68. Daley AJ, Crank H, Saxton JM, Mutrie N, Coleman R, Roalfe A. Randomized trial of exercise therapy in women treated for breast cancer. J Clin Oncol 2007;25:1713–1721. [PubMed: 17470863]
- Herrero F, San Juan AF, Fleck SJ, et al. Combined aerobic and resistance training in breast cancer survivors: A randomized, controlled pilot trial. Int J Sports Med 2006;27:573–580. [PubMed: 16802254]
- Milne HM, Wallman KE, Gordon S, Courneya KS. Effects of a combined aerobic and resistance exercise program in breast cancer survivors: a randomized controlled trial. Breast Cancer Res Treat. 2007
- 71. Nikander R, Sievanen H, Ojala K, Oivanen T, Kellokumpu-Lehtinen PL, Saarto T. Effect of a vigorous aerobic regimen on physical performance in breast cancer patients - a randomized controlled pilot trial. Acta Oncol 2007;46:181–186. [PubMed: 17453366]
- 72. Schneider CM, Hsieh CC, Sprod LK, Carter SD, Hayward R. Effects of supervised exercise training on cardiopulmonary function and fatigue in breast cancer survivors during and after treatment. Cancer 2007;110:918–925. [PubMed: 17582616]
- Schneider CM, Hsieh CC, Sprod LK, Carter SD, Hayward R. Exercise training manages cardiopulmonary function and fatigue during and following cancer treatment in male cancer survivors. Integr Cancer Ther 2007;6:235–241. [PubMed: 17761636]
- Schmitz KH, Holtzman J, Courneya KS, Masse LC, Duval S, Kane R. Controlled physical activity trials in cancer survivors: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev 2005;14:1588–1595. [PubMed: 16030088]
- Courneya KS, Segal RJ, Mackey JR, et al. Effects of aerobic and resistance exercise in breast cancer patients receiving adjuvant chemotherapy: a multicenter randomized controlled trial. J Clin Oncol 2007;25:4396–4404. [PubMed: 17785708]
- Holmes MD, Chen WY, Feskanich D, Kroenke CH, Colditz GA. Physical activity and survival after breast cancer diagnosis. JAMA 2005;293:2479–2486. [PubMed: 15914748]
- Pierce JP, Stefanick ML, Flatt SW, et al. Greater survival after breast cancer in physically active women with high vegetable-fruit intake regardless of obesity. J Clin Oncol 2007;25:2345–2351. [PubMed: 17557947]
- Meyerhardt JA, Giovannucci EL, Holmes MD, et al. Physical activity and survival after colorectal cancer diagnosis. J Clin Oncol 2006;24:3527–3534. [PubMed: 16822844]

- Meyerhardt JA, Heseltine D, Niedzwiecki D, et al. Impact of physical activity on cancer recurrence and survival in patients with stage III colon cancer: findings from CALGB 89803. J Clin Oncol 2006;24:3535–3541. [PubMed: 16822843]
- 80. International Agency for Research on Cancer. International Agency for Research on Cancer monographs on the evaluation of the carcinogenic risk of chemicals to humans. Lyon: International Agency for Research on Cancer Press; 2004.
- 81. American Cancer Society. Cancer Prevention and Early Detection. 2007. http://www.cancer.org
- 82. Gritz ER, Dresler C, Sarna L. Smoking, the missing drug interaction in clinical trials: ignoring the obvious. Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev 2005;14:2287–2293. [PubMed: 16214906]
- 83. Lin K, Patel SG, Chu PY, et al. Second primary malignancy of the aerodigestive tract in patients treated for cancer of the oral cavity and larynx. Head Neck 2005;27:1042–1048. [PubMed: 16265657]
- 84. Gritz, ER.; Vidrine, DJ.; Lazev, AB. Smoking cessation in cancer patients: Never too late to quit. In: Given, B.; Given, CW.; Champion, V.; Kozachik, S.; Devoss, D., editors. Evidence-Based Interventions in Oncology. New York: Springer Publishing Company; 2003. p. 107-140.
- McBride CM, Ostroff JS. Teachable moments for promoting smoking cessation: the context of cancer care and survivorship. Cancer Control 2003;10:325–333. [PubMed: 12915811]
- 86. Gritz ER, Fingeret MC, Vidrine DJ, Lazev AB, Mehta NV, Reece GP. Successes and failures of the teachable moment: smoking cessation in cancer patients. Cancer 2006;106:17–27. [PubMed: 16311986]
- Demark-Wahnefried W, Pinto BM, Gritz ER. Promoting health and physical function among cancer survivors: Potential for prevention and questions that remain. J Clin Oncol 2006;24(32):5125–5131. [PubMed: 17093274]
- de Moor JS, Puleo E, Butterfield RM, Li FP, Emmons KM. Availability of smoking prevention and cessation services for childhood cancer survivors. Cancer Causes Control 2007;18:423–430. [PubMed: 17297556]
- 89. Coups EJ, Ostroff JS. A population-based estimate of the prevalence of behavioral risk factors among adult cancer survivors and noncancer controls. Prev Med 2005;40:702–711. [PubMed: 15850868]
- 90. Bellizzi KM, Rowland JH, Jeffery DD, McNeel T. Health behaviors of cancer survivors: examining opportunities for cancer control intervention. J Clin Oncol 2005;23:8884–8893. [PubMed: 16314649]
- 91. US Preventative Task Force. Counseling to Prevent Tobacco Use and Tobacco-Related Disease: Recommendation Statement. 2003
- 92. Gritz ER, Carr CR, Rapkin D, et al. Predictors of long-term smoking cessation in head and neck cancer patients. Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev 1993;2:261–270. [PubMed: 8318879]
- 93. Emmons KM, Puleo E, Park E, et al. Peer-delivered smoking counseling for childhood cancer survivors increases rate of cessation: the partnership for health study. J Clin Oncol 2005;23:6516– 6523. [PubMed: 16116148]
- 94. Butterfield RM, Park ER, Puleo E, et al. Multiple risk behaviors among smokers in the childhood cancer survivors study cohort. Psycho-Oncol 2004;13:619–629.
- 95. Demark-Wahnefried W, Peterson B, McBride C, Lipkus I, Clipp E. Current health behaviors and readiness to pursue life-style changes among men and women diagnosed with early stage prostate and breast carcinomas. Cancer 2000;88:674–684. [PubMed: 10649263]
- 96. McBride CM, Clipp E, Peterson BL, Lipkus IM, Demark-Wahnefried W. Psychological impact of diagnosis and risk reduction among cancer survivors. Psycho-Oncol 2000;9:418–427.
- Courneya KS, Mackey JR, Bell GJ, Jones LW, Field CJ, Fairey AS. Randomized controlled trial of exercise training in postmenopausal breast cancer survivors: cardiopulmonary and quality of life outcomes. J Clin Oncol 2003;21:1660–1668. [PubMed: 12721239]
- 98. Demark-Wahnefried W. Move onward, press forward, and take a deep breath: can lifestyle interventions improve the quality of life of women with breast cancer, and how can we be sure? J Clin Oncol 2007;25:4344–4345. [PubMed: 17785702]
- 99. Moadel AB, Shah C, Wylie-Rosett J, et al. Randomized controlled trial of yoga among a multiethnic sample of breast cancer patients: effects on quality of life. J Clin Oncol 2007;25:4387–4395. [PubMed: 17785709]
- 100. Jones LW, Courneya KS. Exercise counseling and programming preferences of cancer survivors. Cancer Pract 2002;10:208–215. [PubMed: 12100105]

- 101. Jones LW, Guill B, Keir ST, et al. Exercise interest and preferences among patients diagnosed with primary brain cancer. Support Care Cancer. 2006
- 102. Vallance JK, Courneya KS, Jones LW, Reiman T. Exercise preferences among a population-based sample of non-Hodgkin's lymphoma survivors. Eur J Cancer Care (Engl) 2006;15:34–43. [PubMed: 16441675]
- 103. Karvinen KH, Courneya KS, Campbell KL, et al. Exercise preferences of endometrial cancer survivors: a population-based study. Cancer Nurs 2006;29:259–265. [PubMed: 16871091]
- 104. Demark-Wahnefried W, Werner C, Clipp EC, et al. Survivors of childhood cancer and their guardians. Cancer 2005;103:2171–2180. [PubMed: 15812823]
- 105. Rutten LJ, Arora NK, Bakos AD, Aziz N, Rowland J. Information needs and sources of information among cancer patients: a systematic review of research (1980–2003). Patient Educ Couns 2005;57:250–261. [PubMed: 15893206]
- 106. Stull VB, Snyder DC, Demark-Wahnefried W. Lifestyle Interventions in Cancer Survivors: Designing Programs That Meet the Needs of This Vulnerable and Growing Population. J Nutr 2007:243S–248S. [PubMed: 17182834]
- 107. Demark-Wahnefried W, Clipp EC, Lipkus IM, et al. Main outcomes of the FRESH START trial: a sequentially tailored, diet and exercise mailed print intervention among breast and prostate cancer survivors. J Clin Oncol 2007;25:2709–2718. [PubMed: 17602076]
- 108. Vallance JKH, Courneya KS, Plotnikoff RC, Yasui Y, Mackey JR. Randomized controlled trial of the effects of print materials and step pedometers on physical activity and quality of life in breast cancer survivors. J Clin Oncol 2007;25:2352–2359. [PubMed: 17557948]
- 109. Wilson RW, Jacobsen PB, Fields KK. Pilot study of a home-based aerobic exercise program for sedentary cancer survivors treated with hematopoietic stem cell transplantation. Bone Marrow Transplant 2005;35:721–727. [PubMed: 15696182]

	Results		Overall, patients assigned to the low fat diet had significant reductions in recurence (HR= 0.76; 95% CI: 0.6–0.98); though this effect was limited to patients who had ER- receptor disease HR=0.58; 95% CI: 0.37–0.91); and not patients with ER+ disease (HR=0.85; 95% CI: 0.63–1.14).	No significant differences in rates of breast cancer recurrence found between study arms (HR=. 96 95% CI: 0.8–1.14).		Statistically significant $\uparrow$ in muscle strength, exercise capacity (VO <sub>2peak</sub> ), and QOL	Statistically significant ↑ QOL & aerobic fitness favoring aerobic exercise versus usual care. Indices of psychosocial health improved for both intervention groups	Statistically significant ↑ QOL, VO <sub>2peak</sub> , and muscle strength	Statistically significant ↑ overall QOL, subcomponents of QOL that favored the exercise group. No significant between group ↑ in aerobic fitness and muscle strength	Significant between-group differences in several physical performance outcomes.	Significant within group improvements in blood pressure,
.006 – October 2007)	Frequency/Intensity/ Duration		5 year median follow- up	7.3 year mean follow- up		I to 2 times/wk of 6 exercises at 65% to 80% of one-repetition maximum for 18 weeks	A Aerobic Exercise Group: 3x/wk @ 65% to 85% of heart rate max Placebo-Exercise Group: Light-intensity stretching/conditioning 3x/wk for 18 weeks	3x, 90 minute sessions/ wk at a moderate intensity for 8 weeks	Aerobic training (20 mins in duration) & resistance training (12 different exercises) performed 3x/wk for 12 weeks. No intensity was provided	1x/wk & home-based exercise 2x/wk at a moderate intensity for 12 weeks	Exercise sessions performed 2 to 3x/wk at
th Outcomes (March 2	Intervention		Low fat, nutrient adequate diet (<15% of total energy) vs. a nutrient adequate diet	Very high V&F (5+ daily servings of vegetables, 3+ daily servings of fruit + 16 oz. of vegetable juice/ day). Iow fat (15–20% of energy) and high fiber (30 g/day) diet vs. standard instruction on 5+ daily servings of V&F		Supervised high- intensity resistance training program	Participants were randomized to 1 of 3 groups: (1) Supervised aerobic exercise training (2) Supervised placebo-Exercise (3) Usual Care	Supervised combined aerobic and resistance training program	Supervised combined aerobic and resistance training program	Supervised and home- based aerobic exercise training	Supervised aerobic- based exercise sessions
<b>Table 1</b> eneral Heal	Design		RCT	RCT		Pre-Post	RCT	RCT	RCT	RCT	Pre-Post
Specific or G	Age		48–79 years Mean = 58.5 years	18–70 years Mean = 53 years		24 to 73	Mean age ~ 50	Mean age ∼ 50	Mean age ~ 50	41 to 65	Mean age ∼ 50
als Employing Cancer-	Sample		2437 post-menopausal patients diagnosed with localized disease within 12 months	3088 pre- & post- menopausal patients diagnosed with stage I- IIIA disease within 4 years		57 patients who had received chemotherapy for lymphoma, breast, gynecologic, testicular, or colorectal cancer	108 operable breast cancer patients who had received adjuvant therapy who were 12 – 36 mths post treatment	16 operable breast cancer survivors who had completed primary adiuvant therapy	58 operable breast cancer patients within 2 years of completing primary therapy	30 operable breast cancer patients within 6 months of chemotherapy completion	96 operable breast cancer patients who
e Intervention Tri	Site	ntions	Breast	Breast	entions	Mixed	Breast cancer	Breast cancer	Breast cancer	Breast cancer	Breast cancer
Diet and Exercise	Authors	Dietary Interve	Chlebowski et al. [56]	Pierce et al. [62]	Exercise Interv	De Backer et al. [67]	Daley et al.[68]	Herrero et al. [69]	Milne et al.[70]	Nikander et al. [71]	Schneider et al. [72]

**NIH-PA** Author Manuscript

**NIH-PA Author Manuscript** 

**NIH-PA Author Manuscript** 

Significant within group improvements in blood pressure, heart rate, cardiopulmonary

Exercise sessions performed 2 to 3x/wk at 40% to 70% of heart

NIH-PA Autho	// Results	fitness (predicted VO <sub>2nmx</sub> ), pulmonary function. Improvements were also observed for several psychosocial outcomes	Significant within group   at improvements in, heart rate and cardiopulmonary fitness (predicted VO <sub>2max</sub> ). Improvements were also observed for several   psvehosocial outcomes		As compared to attention controls, Intervention participants significant improved diet quality (-2,9 vs. +2.2; p = .003); trends were observed in physical activity energy expenditure (-400 vs. +111 kcal/week) and physical functioning (-0.5 vs. +3.1)
or Manuscript	Frequency/Intensity Duration	rate reserve for 24 weeks	Exercise sessions performed 2 to 3x/wk 40% to 70% of hear rate reserve for 12 weeks		Telephone counselin, delivered every two weeks for 24 weeks
NIH-F	Intervention		Supervised aerobic- based exercise sessions		Home-based, telephone counseling – mailed material intervention on diet and exercise vs. telephone counseling and mailed materials on general health topics
A Autho	Design		Pre-Post		RCT
r Manuscri	Age		Mean age ~ 64		Age 65+, Mean age 72 years
ipt N	Sample	had completed adjuvant therapy	37 male cancer survivors who had received treatment for prostate, colon, Hodgkin's, lung cancer	and Exercise)	182 elderly breast and prostate cancer survivors diagnosed within the 18 months
<b>JIH-PA</b> Author	Site		. Mixed	ent Interventions (Diet	Breast & Prostate
Manuscript	Authors		Schneider et al. [73]	Multi-compon	Demark- Wahnefried et al. [55]