# Relative Rates of Nitric Oxide and Nitrous Oxide Production by Nitrifiers, Denitrifiers, and Nitrate Respirers

IRIS COFMAN ANDERSON'\* AND JOEL S. LEVINE2

National Research Councill and Atmospheric Sciences Division,2 National Aeronautics and Space Administration Langley Research Center, Hampton, Virginia 23665-5225

Received 16 September 1985/Accepted 31 January 1986

Biogenic emissions of nitric and nitrous oxides have important impacts on the photochemistry and chemistry of the atmosphere. Although biogenic production appears to be the overwhelming source of  $N_2O$ , the magnitude of the biogenic emission of NO is very uncertain. In soils, possible sources of NO and  $N_2O$  include nitrification by autotrophic and heterotrophic nitrifiers, denitrification by nitrifiers and denitrifiers, nitrate respiration by fermenters, and chemodenitrification. The availability of oxygen determines to a large extent the relative activities of these various groups of organisms. To better understand this influence, we investigated the effect of the partial pressure of oxygen  $(pQ_2)$  on the production of NO and N<sub>2</sub>O by a wide variety of common soil nitrifying, denitrifying, and nitrate-respiring bacteria under laboratory conditions. The production of NO per cell was highest by autotrophic nitrifiers and was independent of  $pO<sub>2</sub>$  in the range tested (0.5 to 10%), whereas  $N_2O$  production was inversely proportional to  $DO<sub>2</sub>$ . Nitrous oxide production was highest in the denitrifier Pseudomonas fluorescens, but only under anaerobic conditions. The molar ratio of NO/N<sub>2</sub>O produced was usually greater than unity for nitrifiers and much less than unity for denitrifiers. Chemodenitrification was the major source of both the NO and  $N_2O$  produced by the nitrate respirer Serratia *marcescens*. Chemodenitrification was also a possible source of NO and  $N_2O$  in nitrifier cultures but only when high concentrations of nitrite had accumulated or were added to the medium. Although most of the denitrifiers produced NO and N<sub>2</sub>O only under anaerobic conditions, chemostat cultures of Alcaligenes faecalis continued to emit these gases even when the cultures were sparged with air. Based upon these results, we predict that aerobic soils are primary sources of NO and that  $N_2O$  is produced only when there is sufficient soil moisture to provide the anaerobic microsites necessary for denitrification by either denitrifiers or nitrifiers.

Nitric and nitrous oxides are key trace gas species affecting the photochemistry and chemistry of the atmosphere (26, 27). In the troposphere, NO is an important species controlling the concentration of ozone and is converted via nitrogen dioxide to nitrous and nitric acids. Nitric acid is the fastest growing component of acid precipitation (18). Because  $N_2O$  is chemically inert in the troposphere, it readily diffuses up to the stratosphere where it initiates chemical reactions that lead to the chemical destruction of  $O_3$ . In addition,  $N_2O$  absorbs surface-emitted infrared radiation and, therefore, impacts the climate of the earth via the greenhouse effect.

Photochemical models have been developed to help predict possible anthropogenic-induced perturbations in the composition and chemistry of the atmosphere. Such models require accurate information on the global annual emissions of gases such as  $NO$  and  $N<sub>2</sub>O$ . The biosphere is the overwhelming source of  $N_2O$ , although the exact amount of  $N_2O$ produced by the biosphere remains uncertain. Considerably less is known about the relative importance of the various sources of NO, including biogenic activity. For example, Baulch et al. (5) have recently summarized information on the global sources of NO, along with estimates of source strengths in units of  $10^{12}$  g of N per year as follows: biomass burning, 10 to 40; industry, 8.2 to 18.5; lightning, 3 to 4; soil, 0 to 15; oxidation of  $N_2O$  in the stratosphere, 0.5 to 1.5; and jet aircraft, 0.25. Other estimates give a similar range of uncertainty (14, 30, 41). In addition, oxidation of ammonia is another source of NO ( $8 \times 10^{12}$  g of N per year) (11). The most uncertain of the source strengths listed above is that

given for soil. Attempts to assess the amount of NO contributed by biogenic emissions have been limited until recently by the lack of a sufficiently sensitive and inexpensive analytical tool. The development of the chemiluminescence detector in the late 1970s (17) has permitted detection of NO in parts per billion (nanoliters per liter), and with modification, the sensitivity can be extended to parts per trillion (picoliters per liter) (12).

Autotrophic nitrifiers can produce nitric oxide either by oxidation of hydroxylamine during nitrification or by reduction of nitrite (denitrification) (22, 23, 34). Nitric oxide has been observed in pure cultures of the nitrifier Nitrosomonas europaea (28, 29). Denitrifying bacteria also produce NO by denitrification, although it is not yet clear whether NO is <sup>a</sup> direct intermediate or is in rapid equilibrium with a bound intermediate (2, 15, 20). Nitric oxide has been observed in cultures, resting cell suspensions, and partially purified extracts of a variety of denitrifiers (3, 4, 6, 28, 31, 40).

Formation of  $N_2O$  has been observed in cultures of both autotrophic and heterotrophic nitrifiers (9, 21, 28, 29) and in cultures of denitrifiers (6, 9, 32, 33, 40) and nitrate-respiring organisms (7, 38, 39). The production of  $N_2O$  by autotrophic nitrifiers appears to be primarily a result of nitrite reduction under anaerobic conditions (23, 34, 36). In denitrifiers  $N_2O$ has been identified as a free obligatory intermediate of denitrification (16, 25, 33). Another possible source of NO and  $N_2O$  is chemodenitrification, the nonenzymatic decomposition of nitrite. Chalk and Smith (10) have suggested that chemodenitrification is the major source of the NO emitted from soils.

There is currently available a much larger data base on  $N<sub>2</sub>O$  emissions from both bacterial cultures and soils (13, 24)

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author.

than there is for NO. We know of only <sup>a</sup> few reports (6, 16, 28, 29, 35, 40) which describe the simultaneous measurement of NO and  $N<sub>2</sub>O$  in bacterial cultures. Such information might allow use of the  $N_2O$  data base in predicting NO emissions to the atmosphere. Before attempting field measurements of biogenic NO and N<sub>2</sub>O emissions from soils, a laboratory investigation was performed to determine how the partial pressure of oxygen ( $pO_2$ ) affects emissions of NO and N<sub>2</sub>O from nitrifying, denitrifying, and nitrate-respiring bacteria. The organisms which were chosen for the study are commonly found in soils (19).

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

Cultures. N. europaea ATCC 19718, Alcaligenes facecalis ATCC 8750, Serratia marcescens ATCC 13880, and Citrobacter freundii ATCC <sup>8090</sup> were purchased from the American Type Culture Collection, Rockville, Md. Nitrosomonas strain ATCC <sup>25981</sup> (from <sup>a</sup> marine source) was provided by F. Valois, Woods Hole Oceanographic Institution, Woods Hole, Mass. Pseudomonas fluorescens was provided by M. Rhodes, Virginia Institute of Marine Sciences, Gloucester Point, Va. Rhizobium japonicum 143 was provided by J. Neal, Virginia Polytechnic Institute and State University, Blacksburg, Va.

Media. N. europaea was grown in a medium containing 3.0 g of  $(NH_4)_2SO_4$ , 0.5 g of  $K_2HPO_4$ , 0.05 g of MgSO<sub>4</sub>, 0.004 g of CaC12, 0.1 mg of chelated iron (Sequestrene 138Fe; CIBA-GEIGY Corp., Greensboro, N.C.), and 0.05 mg of cresol red in <sup>1</sup> liter of distilled water. The medium was adjusted to pH 8.2.

Nitrosomonas strain ATCC <sup>25981</sup> was grown in <sup>a</sup> seawater medium containing 1.32 g of  $(NH<sub>4</sub>)<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>$ , 200 mg of  $MgSO_4 \cdot H_2O$ , 20 mg of  $CaCl_2 \cdot 2H_2O$ , 1 mg of chelated iron (Sequestrene 138Fe), 100  $\mu$ g of Na<sub>2</sub>MoO<sub>4</sub> · 2H<sub>2</sub>O, 200  $\mu$ g of  $MnCl_2 \cdot 4H_2O$ , 2 µg of  $CoCl_2 \cdot 6H_2O$ , 100 µg of  $ZnSO_4 \cdot 7H_2O$ , 8.7 mg of  $K_2HPO_4$ , 2.38 g of HEPES (N-2hydroxyethylpiperazine-N'-2-ethanesulfonic acid) (Sigma Chemical Co., St. Louis, Mo.), and 0.05 mg of cresol red in <sup>1</sup> liter of diluted aged seawater (diluted twofold with distilled water). The medium was adjusted to pH 7.5.

A. faecalis,  $P$ . fluorescens,  $S$ . marcescens, and  $C$ . freundii were grown in the same denitrification medium but with different energy sources. The medium was a modification of that described by Smith (38).  $Ca(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>$  was omitted; 0.2 g of  $MgSO_4 \cdot 7H_2O$  and 0.1 g of  $KNO_3$  were added per liter of medium. The pH was adjusted to 7.5. Sodium citrate at 11.8 g/liter served as the energy source for  $A$ . faecalis and  $C$ . freundii, and glucose at 2.0 g/liter was the energy source for P. fluorescens and S. marcescens. The  $KNO<sub>3</sub>$  concentrations were varied in the experiments with  $S$ . marcescens, as described below.

R. *japonicum* was grown in a *Rhizobium* medium containing 10 g of mannitol,  $0.4$  g of yeast extract, 1 g of  $KNO_3$ ,  $0.1$ g of NaCl, 0.5 g of  $K_2HPO_4$ , and 0.2 g of  $MgSO_4 \cdot 7H_2O$  per liter. The pH was adjusted to 6.8.

Batch cultures. Pure cultures of bacteria were grown in a batch culture apparatus (Fig. 1). The medium was continuously sparged with gas containing a  $pO<sub>2</sub>$  varying from 0 to 20%, with the balance of the gas made up of nitrogen. Gases were either purchased premixed from Scott Environmental Technology, Inc., Plumsteadville, Pa., or were mixed in our laboratory. The concentration of oxygen in the final gas mixture was determined by injecting samples into a Carle 8500 gas chromatograph (Fig. 1) equipped with a thermal conductivity detector and molecular sieve column held at ambient temperature. The flow rate through the batch culture device was maintained at 150 ml/min by using a flow controller and was monitored with a mass flowmeter. The flushing time was 3.7 min. The gas supplied to nitrifiers also contained 300 ppm (microliters per liter) of carbon dioxide. Organisms were grown to the early stationary phase and diluted 5- to 100-fold into fresh, pregassed medium at the start of each experiment. All cultures were grown at 28 to 30°C unless otherwise specified.

Chemostat cultures. A. faecalis was maintained in a chemostat at a constant growth rate to study the effect of dissolved oxygen (DO) partial pressure on denitrification. DO partial pressure was determined with <sup>a</sup> Dissolved Oxygen Analyzer (DO-50; New Brunswick Scientific Co., Inc., Edison, N.J.) equipped with <sup>a</sup> Series <sup>900</sup> DO electrode. The denitrification medium was the same as that described above, except that sodium citrate was supplied at a concentration of 2.94 mg/liter to limit growth. An early-stationaryphase culture of A. faecalis (50 ml) was injected with a syringe into 350 ml of pregassed medium in the chemostat vessel. Gas supply and sampling ports on the chemostat vessel were similar to those shown in Fig. <sup>1</sup> for the batch culture apparatus. The temperature was maintained at 30°C in a water bath. The medium was supplied by a peristaltic pump at a flow rate of 46 ml/h.

Bacterial enumeration. Nitrifiers were counted directly either in a Petroff-Hausser counter or on filters with an epifluorescence microscope after staining with proflavine  $(1)$ . A. faecalis, P. fluorescens, C. freundii, and S. marcescens were enumerated by serial dilution and plating on trypticase soy agar.  $R$ . japonicum was enumerated on  $Rhi$ zobium medium with added agar.

Cell transfer studies. For cell transfer studies, exponentially growing cultures of  $N$ . *europaea* were collected on cellulose filters (Type GS,  $0.22 \mu m$ ; Millipore Corp., Bedford, Mass.), washed with <sup>3</sup> volumes of medium 221 without ammonium, and resuspended in fresh medium with ammonium.

Biological inactivation studies. To stop biological activity in growing bacterial cultures, saturated mercuric chloride was added at a final concentration of 0.6% (vol/vol).

Analytical methods. Nitric oxide was analyzed by pumping effluent gas through a mass flowmeter into a chemiluminescence detector (CSI-1600; Columbia Scientific Industries Corp., Austin, Tex.) with a detection limit of 2 ppb. Nitrogen was mixed with the sample gas to provide a flow rate of 520 ml/min into the instrument. The instrument was zeroed with the same nitrogen source and calibrated with a standard containing <sup>113</sup> ppb of NO in nitrogen (Scott Environmental



FIG. 1. Batch culture apparatus.

Technology). The NO standard was periodically checked against a National Bureau of Standards source (SRM 2628).

Samples of headspace gas for  $N<sub>2</sub>O$  determination were taken by syringe through the sampling septum (Fig. 1). These samples were injected through a 2-ml loop into an F and M <sup>810</sup> gas chromatograph (Hewlett-Packard Co., Palo Alto, Calif.) equipped with <sup>a</sup> Poropak Q column (9 ft by 1/8 in [ca. 274 by  $0.3$  cm]) at ambient temperature and an  $Ni<sup>63</sup>$ electron capture detector held at 350°C. The precision of this instrument was 6% (standard deviation/mean) in ambient air. The carrier gas  $(5\% \text{ CH}_4 - 95\% \text{ argon})$  was supplied at a flow rate of 18 ml/min. Peak area was computed by an integrator (model 3390A; Hewlett-Packard). Carbon dioxide was scrubbed from the samples by injecting them through an ascarite column.

Nitrite analysis was performed by Environmental Protection Agency method 353.2 with a Technicon Autoanalyzer II (Technicon Instruments Corp., Tarrytown, N.Y.).

Calculations. In Fig. 2 through 5, the y axes represent concentrations (in microliters per liter) of NO or  $N_2O$  in the effluent gas stripped from the batch cultures. The concentrations of NO were corrected for dilution by nitrogen gas. These concentrations can be converted to production rates (microliters per minute) by multiplying them by 0.15 liters/min (the flow rate of the gas used to strip the cultures). Increases in the concentrations of NO or  $N_2O$  were results of increasing cell numbers during logarithmic growth of the organisms. Production 'rates normalized for cell number

TABLE 1. Production rates of NO and  $N<sub>2</sub>O$  in nitrifiers, denitrifiers, and a nitrate respirer

Organism	$pO_2(\%)$	Production rate $(10^{12}$ mmol/cell per day) of":		Molar ratio of NO/
		<b>Nitric</b> oxide	<b>Nitrous</b> oxide	$N_2O^{a,b}$
<b>Nitrifiers</b>				
N. europaea	0.5	5.35(2.31)	7.41(4.47)	0.9(0.21)
	5.0	3.92 (2.59)	0.45(0.50)	8.5(15.9)
	10.0	4.83 (2.31)	0.85(0.12)	3.7 (1.21)
Nitrosomonas strain ATCC 25981	0.5	6.86(2.49)	2.62(0.78)	5.6 (2.28)
Denitrifiers				
P. fluorescens	0.5	0.09(0.03)	10.09 (4.41)	0.01(0.0)
A. faecalis	0.5	0.45(0.07)	0.19(0.09)	3.0(1.36)
	1.0	0.05(0.01)	0.07(0.03)	0.87(0.27)
	5.0	0.02(0.01)	0.13(0.01)	0.18(0.09)
	10.0	0.02(0.01)	0.11(0.02)	0.24(0.08)
	20.0	0.05(0.01)	0.21(0.03)	0.21(0.05)
R. japonicum	0	0.19(0.05)	0.82(0.70)	0.30(0.06)
	0.5	0.01(0.0)	0.16(0.07)	0.10(0.03)
	5.0	0	0	
Nitrate respirer				
S. marcescens	0.5	0.01(0.0)	0.05(0.03)	0.08(0.04)

 $a<sup>a</sup>$  Values are means (95% confidence limits).

 $b$  Molar ratios were determined from concentrations of NO and N<sub>2</sub>O in the effluent gas. The concentrations were not normalized for cell number as production rates were.

were calculated whenever cell enumerations were performed. These data are shown in Table 1.

## RESULTS

Nitrifiers (N. europaea and Nitrosomonas strain ATCC 25981) produced the highest concentrations of NO (Table 1). Production of NO (millimoles per cell per day) was independent of  $pO<sub>2</sub>$  in the range tested (0.5 to 10%) (Table 1). Below a  $pO<sub>2</sub>$  of 0.5% the NO production rate declined (data not shown). The yield of NO was constant relative to the amount of nitrite produced (data not shown). The concentration of accumulated nitrite as each culture reached the stationary phase ranged from 1.7 to 2.5 mM.  $N_2O$  production by nitrifiers was inversely proportional to  $pO<sub>2</sub>$  (Table 1), and the yield of  $N_2O$  relative to that of nitrite declined with increasing  $pO_2$ . The molar ratio of NO/N<sub>2</sub>O produced was in most cases greater than unity.

To test the effect of  $NO_2^-$  concentration on the production of NO and  $N_2O$ , filtered and washed N. europaea cultures were suspended in medium containing nitrite concentrations of 0 to 2.2 mM. NO and  $N_2O$  emissions increased with increasing nitrite concentration (Fig. 2A and B). To determine the source of the NO and  $N_2O$  produced (chemodenitrification versus biological production), cultures were poisoned with saturated  $HgCl<sub>2</sub>$  with or without added nitrite (Fig. 3A and B).  $HgCl<sub>2</sub>$  was added to an early-stationaryphase culture of *N. europaea* which contained approximately 2.2 mM  $NO<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup>$  (Fig. 3A). The rate of production of NO decreased rapidly, although some residual activity remained through the 20-h test period. The addition of  $HgCl<sub>2</sub>$ and additional 2.2 mM  $NO<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup>$  to a parallel culture (final concentration 4.4 mM  $NO<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup>$ ) (Fig. 3A and B) resulted in initial increases in both  $\overline{NO}$  and  $N_2O$  production.  $N_2O$ production then gradually decreased over the 20-h test period, but at a rate slower than that expected from the stripping rate (approximately  $0.18$   $\mu$ *l*/liter per min). Nitric oxide production remained at a high level (Fig. 3A) throughout the test period. After 20 h, both cultures were filtered. The filtrates continued to emit NO at <sup>a</sup> constant rate over <sup>a</sup> 3.5-h period.

The three denitrifiers studied (A. faecalis, P. fluorescens, and R. japonicum) produced nitric oxide. Of these organisms, A. faecalis produced the highest concentration of NO, but only under near anaerobic or anaerobic conditions. Although NO formation was observed even in A. faecalis cultures sparged with air, the rate of production was inversely proportional to  $pO<sub>2</sub>$  (Table 1). The final concentration of accumulated nitrite in the medium as each A. faecalis culture reached the stationary phase ranged from 0.002 to 0.07 mM and increased with increasing  $pO<sub>2</sub>$ . Nitric oxide emission was also observed in exponentially growing cultures of P. fluorescens (Fig. 4A and Table 1) and R. japonicum (Fig. 5 and Table 1). Emissions of both NO and  $N_2O$  from cultures of *P. fluorescens* (Fig. 4A and B) and *R*. japonicum (Fig. 5) increased dramatically when nitrogen replaced oxygen as the sparging gas. Nitrite concentrations in early-stationary-phase cultures of P. fluorescens and R. japonicum averaged 0.2 and 0.5 mM, respectively. Addition of HgCl<sub>2</sub> stopped production of both NO and  $N_2O$ , suggesting that these gases were of biological origin.

P. fluorescens produced more  $N_2O$  per cell than did any other organism studied (Table 1). The relative rates of production of  $N_2O$  in cultures of P. fluorescens, N. europaea, and A. faecalis, grown under anaerobic or near anaerobic conditions, were approximately 50:10:1, respectively. A. faecalis appeared to be capable of producing NO



FIG. 2. Nitric oxide (A) and nitrous oxide (B) production by cultures of N. europaea grown in medium containing various amounts of nitrite. Exponentially growing cultures were filtered, washed, and suspended in medium containing 0, 1.1, or 2.2 mM nitrite. The cultures were sparged with 0.5% oxygen. Symbols:  $\bigcirc$ , 0 mM NO<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup>;  $\bigtriangleup$ , 1.1 mM NO<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup>;  $\Box$ , 2.2 mM NO<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup>.

and  $N_2O$  under aerobic conditions, unlike the other denitrifiers studied (Tables <sup>1</sup> and 2). The rates of production (normalized for cell number) of these gases declined with increasing  $pO_2$  (Table 1). To better control  $pO_2$  and thus determine its effect on NO and  $N_2O$  production by A. faecalis, the organism was grown at a low cell concentration in <sup>a</sup> chemostat equipped with <sup>a</sup> DO probe. The carbonlimited culture contained a mean cell concentration of 6.6  $\times$  $10<sup>7</sup>$  cells per ml. The culture was sparged with gas mixtures containing various concentrations of oxygen. NO and  $N<sub>2</sub>O$ production was observed at all  $pO<sub>2</sub>s$  (Table 2). The high rate of NO production observed in batch cultures of A. faecalis sparged with 0.5% oxygen (Table 1) was not observed in the chemostat. Because of the higher cell density, conditions in the batch culture were likely to have been closer to anaerobic than conditions in the chemostat; this would

explain the higher NO production rate. The DO probe used was not capable of measuring small differences in DO partial pressure at low  $pO_2s$ .

Production of NO and  $N<sub>2</sub>O$  by the fermentative organism S. marcescens followed a pattern distinctly different from that observed for the denitrifiers. Nitric and nitrous oxide emissions occurred in denitrifier cultures supplied with <sup>1</sup> mM nitrate, whereas these gases were only observed in S. marcescens cultures supplied with <sup>50</sup> to <sup>100</sup> mM nitrate and only after <sup>a</sup> high concentration of nitrite (53 mM) had accumulated in the medium (Table 1). Nitric oxide emissions from the medium continued at a constant rate for up to 4 h after removal of cells by filtration. Residual N<sub>2</sub>O production also occurred at a rate higher than that predicted based upon loss due to stripping. It therefore appears that chemodenitrification was the major source of the NO and  $N_2O$ 



FIG. 3. Chemical versus biological production of nitric oxide (A) and nitrous oxide (B) by N. europaea. At the times indicated by the arrows, saturated mercuric chloride with and without 2.2 mM nitrite was added to exponentially growing cultures sparged with 0.5% oxygen. Symbols:  $\circ$ , no additional nitrite (medium contained 2.2 mM NO<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup>);  $\triangle$ , 2.2 mM NO<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup> added (total NO<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup> concentration was 4.4 mM).



FIG. 4. Production of nitric oxide (A) and nitrous oxide (B) by P. fluorescens cultures. Exponentially growing cultures of P. fluorescens were diluted 50-fold into fresh denitrification medium at zero time. At the times indicated by the arrows, various gases were used to sparge the cultures. After 480 min of incubation saturated HgCl<sub>2</sub> was added. Symbols:  $\bigcirc$  and  $\bigtriangleup$ , duplicate treatments.

observed in cultures of S. marcescens. The only other nitrate respirer included in this study was C. freundii. Cultures of this organism, sparged with 0.5% oxygen, produced traces of  $N_2O$  but no measurable NO.

#### DISCUSSION

Although it is difficult to extrapolate from laboratory to field conditions, our laboratory results allow us to hypothesize that autotrophic nitrification is likely to be an important source of NO in soil. NO emission from soil should take place over a wide range of soil moisture conditions, provided that soil nitrifiers are not stressed by lack of water and the soil is not water saturated. Hooper and Terry (23) have proposed that, in nitrifiers, production of NO occurs primarily by the aerobic oxidation of hydroxylamine. Under anaerobic conditions, nitrite can replace oxygen as an electron acceptor. Poth and Focht (34) observed that  $N_2O$  was a product of this denitrification by nitrifying bacteria. Hooper and Terry (23) noted that both NO and  $N_2O$  were emitted as a result of nitrifier denitrification, although with  $NH<sub>2</sub>OH$  as the electron donor,  $N_2O$  predominated. Upon addition of artificial electron acceptors to crude extracts of N. europaea cultures held under anaerobic conditions, Ritchie and Nicholas (36) observed that NO was the main end product of denitrification.

The results of our experiments with N. europaea were similar in most respects to those reported by Lipschultz et al. (29). One major difference, however, was that they observed an inverse relationship between  $pO<sub>2</sub>$  and production of both NO and  $N_2O$ , whereas we observed this with  $N_2O$  but not with NO. If NO is produced primarily by aerobic nitrification, we would have expected production to be independent of  $pO_2$ , provided that the oxygen concentration in our cultures was sufficient to saturate the oxidase system. Goreau et al. (21) have reported that the  $K_m$  for oxygen in N. europaea is approximately 0.15 mg/liter, which is approximately the concentration expected in a medium sparged with gas containing 0.5% oxygen. Nitric oxide



FIG. 5. Production of nitric and nitrous oxides by R. japonicum cultures sparged with 0.5% oxygen or with nitrogen. At zero time exponentially growing cultures were diluted 100-fold into fresh Rhizobium medium sparged with 0.5% oxygen. At the time indicated by the arrow, nitrogen replaced 0.5% oxygen as the sparging gas. After 7 h of incubation, saturated HgCl<sub>2</sub> was added to the cultures. -----, N<sub>2</sub>O production;  $-\rightarrow$ , NO production. Symbols:  $\circ$  and  $\triangle$ , duplicate treatments.

production should, therefore, have been independent of  $pO<sub>2</sub>$ for most of the conditions of growth maintained in our experiments.  $N_2O$  emissions, on the other hand, depend upon competition between nitrite and oxygen for electrons removed from ammonium during nitrification. The more oxygen available, the less  $N<sub>2</sub>O$  should be released, as was observed by Goreau et al. (21), Lipschultz et al. (29), and in our study.

In our experiments, we observed that under near anaerobic conditions, emissions of both NO and  $N_2O$  were directly proportional to the nitrite concentration in the growth medium. The predominant gaseous product observed was NO. Therefore, in cultures of N. europaea sparged with 0.5% oxygen, nitrite apparently behaved as an electron acceptor for reactions which produced both NO and  $N_2O$ .

TABLE 2. Effect of DO partial pressure on NO and  $N_2O$ production by A. faecalis in chemostat culture

$pO2$ of sparging gas $(\%)$	DO (%) in	<b>Production rate</b> $(10^{12}$ mmol/cell per day) of":	Molar ratio of NO/	
	medium	Nitric oxide	<b>Nitrous</b> oxide	$N_2O^{a,b}$
0.5	0	0.01(0.002)	0.04(0.01)	0.20
5.0	3.6	0.03(0.02)	0.13(0.09)	0.17
10.0	8.8	0.03(0.02)	0.10(0.02)	0.17

<sup>a</sup> Values are means (95% confidence limits).

 $b$  Molar ratios were determined from concentrations of NO and N<sub>2</sub>O in the effluent gas. Concentrations were not normalized for cell number as production rates were.

Under most conditions, addition of  $HgCl<sub>2</sub>$  to N. europaea cultures caused <sup>a</sup> rapid decline in emissions of both NO and N20, suggesting that these gases were of biological origin. However, when high concentrations of nitrite had accumulated or were added to the medium, chemodenitrification was responsible for production of much of the NO and some of the  $N_2O$  observed (Fig. 3A and B).

Our results suggest that  $N_2O$  emission from soil takes place in a more restricted range of soil moisture conditions than does emission of NO. It is difficult to predict whether nitrifiers or denitrifiers are the more important source of  $N_2O$ under these soil moisture conditions. It is clear that  $N_2O$ emissions from both nitrifiers and denitrifiers increase with decreasing availability of oxygen. The denitrifier P. fluorescens was the most productive organism that we tested with respect to  $N_2O$  emission. In order of importance,  $P$ . fluorescens and Alcaligenes spp. have been reported (19) to be the numerically dominant denitrifiers in world soils. We would, therefore, expect that under strictly anaerobic conditions P. fluorescens or similar species would be important sources of  $N_2O$  in soils. With increasing availability of oxygen, organisms such as A. faecalis or nitrifiers are more likely to be sources of  $N_2O$ . Based upon results of experiments using acetylene blockage techniques, Bremner and Blackmer (8) have proposed that much of the  $N_2O$  emitted from ammonium-treated soils is generated by nitrifying bacteria. They observed that  $N_2O$  emissions from soils were directly proportional to percent soil moisture; this supports the hypothesis that  $N<sub>2</sub>O$  production by nitrifiers results from  $NO<sub>2</sub>$ <sup>-</sup> reduction.

Most denitrifiers emit NO and  $N_2O$  only under anaerobic

conditions. In our batch culture studies A. faecalis continued to emit these gases in air, although production rates declined. Robertson and Kuenen (37) have reported that cultures of Thiosphaera pantotropha are capable of simultaneous reduction of nitrate and oxygen with production of  $N<sub>2</sub>O$ , a process they call aerobic denitrification; as was the case in our experiment, DO was carefully monitored. Another possible mechanism for the production of  $N_2O$  and perhaps NO by A. faecalis grown under aerobic conditions is heterotrophic nitrification. Castignetti and Hollocher (9) observed the formation of ammonium ions and  $N_2O$  at almost equal rates by Alcaligenes sp. grown with  $NH<sub>2</sub>OH$ . The conditions used in our chemostat experiments did not allow us to distinguish between these two possible mechanisms for NO and  $N_2O$  production.

Based upon these laboratory results, we predict that NO emissions in soils result primarily from autotrophic nitrification and occur over a wide range of soil moisture conditions. We predict that  $N_2O$  on the other hand, is produced mainly by denitrification, performed either by nitrifiers or denitrifiers, and is emitted primarily under oxygen-limiting conditions. Field measurements of NO and  $N_2O$  fluxes are required to test these predictions.

### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was performed while the senior author was supported as an American Society of Engineering Education Summer Faculty Fellow (1982-84) and as a National Research Council Postdoctoral Fellow in the Atmospheric Sciences Division at the National Aeronautics and Space Administration Langley Research Center. This research was supported by the Tropospheric Chemistry Program, Office of Space Science and Applications, National Aeronautics and Space Administration.

It is a pleasure to acknowledge the helpful assistance and advice of Mary Wallace, Edwin Shaw, and Ed Winstead of the Bionetics Corp., Hampton, Va.

#### LITERATURE CITED

- 1. Anderson, I. C., M. W. Rhodes, and H. I. Kator. 1983. Seasonal variation in survival of Escherichia coli exposed in situ in membrane diffusion chambers containing filtered and nonfiltered estuarine water. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 45:1877-1883.
- 2. Averill, B. A., and J. M. Tiedje. 1982. Hypothesis. The chemical mechanism of microbial denitrification. FEBS Lett. 138:8-12.
- Baalsrud, K., and K. S. Baalsrud. 1954. Studies on Thiobacillus denitrificans. Arch. Microbiol. 20:34-36.
- Barbaree, J. M., and W. J. Payne. 1967. Products of denitrification by a marine bacterium as revealed by gas chromatography. Mar. Biol. 1:136-139.
- 5. Baulch, D. L., R. A. Cox, P. J. Crutzen, R. F. Hampson, J. A. Kerr, J. Troe, and R. T. Watson. 1982. Evaluated kinetic and photochemical data for atmospheric chemistry: supplement 1. J. Phys. Chem. Ref. Data 11:327-496.
- 6. Betlach, M. R., and J. M. Tiedje. 1981. Kinetic explanation for accumulation of nitrite, nitric oxide, and nitrous oxide during bacterial denitrification. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 42:1074- 1084.
- 7. Bleakley, B. H., and J. M. Tiedje. 1982. Nitrous oxide production by organisms other than nitrifiers or denitrifiers. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 44:1342-1348.
- 8. Bremner, J. M., and A. M. Blackmer. 1980. Mechanisms of nitrous oxide production in soils, p. 279-291. In P. A. Trudinger, M. R. Walter, and B. J. Ralph (ed.), Biogeochemistry of ancient and modem environments. Springer-Verlag, New York.
- 9. Castignetti, D., and T. C. Hollocher. 1982. Nitrogen redox metabolism of a heterotrophic, nitrifying-denitrifying Alcaligenes sp. from soil. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 44:923-928.
- 10. Chalk, P. M., and C. J. Smith. 1983. Chemodenitrification, p.

65-90. In J. R. Freney and J. R. Simpson (ed.), Gaseous loss of nitrogen from plant-soil systems. Martinus Nijhoff/Dr. W. Junk, Dordrecht, The Netherlands.

- 11. Crutzen, P. J. 1979. The role of NO and  $NO<sub>2</sub>$  in the chemistry of the troposphere and stratosphere. Annu. Rev. Earth Planet. Sci. 7:443-472.
- 12. Dickerson, R. R., A. C. Delany, and A. F. Wartburg. 1984. Further modification of a commercial NO, detector for high sensitivity. Rev. Sci. Instrum. 55:1995-1998.
- 13. Duxbury, J. M., D. R. Bouldin, R. E. Terry, and R. L. Tate III. 1982. Emissions of nitrous oxide from soils. Nature (London) 298:462-464.
- 14. Ehhalt, D., and J. W. Drummond. 1982. The tropospheric cycle of  $NO<sub>x</sub>$ , p. 219-251. In H. W. Georgii and W. Jaeschke (ed.), Chemistry of the unpolluted and polluted troposphere. D. Reidel, Dordrecht, The Netherlands.
- 15. Firestone, M. K., R. B. Firestone, and J. M. Tiedje. 1979. Nitric oxide as an intermediate in denitrification: evidence from nitrogen-13 isotope exchange. Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun. 91:10-16.
- 16. Firestone, M. K., M. S. Smith, R. B. Firestone, and J. M. Tiedje. 1979. The influence of nitrate, nitrite, and oxygen on the composition of the gaseous products of denitrification in soil. Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J. 43:1140-1144.
- 17. Galbally, I. E. 1977. Measurement of nitrogen oxides in the background atmosphere, p. 179-185. In Air pollution measurement techniques. Special environmental report no. 10. World Meterological Organization, Geneva.
- 18. Galloway, J. N., and G. E. Likens. 1981. Acid precipitation: the importance of nitric acid. Atmos. Environ. 15:1081-1085.
- 19. Gamble, T. N., M. R. Betlach, and J. M. Tiedje. 1977. Numerically dominant denitrifying bacteria from world soils. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 33:926-939.
- 20. Garber, E. A. E., and T. C. Hollocher. 1981. <sup>15</sup>N tracer studies on the role of NO in denitrification. J. Biol. Chem. 256: 5459-5465.
- 21. Goreau, T. J., W. A. Kaplan, S. C. Wofsy, M. B. McElroy, F. W. Valois, and S. W. Watson. 1980. Production of  $NO_2^-$  and  $N_2O$ by nitrifying bacteria at reduced concentrations of oxygen. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 40:526-532.
- 22. Hooper, A. B. 1978. Nitrogen oxidation and electron transport in ammonia-oxidizing bacteria, p. 299-304. In D. Schlessinger (ed.), Microbiology-1978. American Society for Microbiology, Washington, D.C.
- 23. Hooper, A. B., and K. R. Terry. 1979. Hydroxylamine oxidoreductase of Nitrosomonas. Production of nitric oxide from hydroxylamine. Biochim. Biophys. Acta 571:12-20.
- 24. Hutchinson, G. L., and A. R. Mosier. 1979. Nitrous oxide emissions from an irrigated cornfield. Science 205:1125-1126.
- 25. Knowles, R. 1981. Denitrification. Ecol. Bull. 33:315-329.
- 26. Levine, J. S. 1984. Water and the photochemistry of the troposphere, p. 123-166. In A. Henderson-Sellers (ed.), Satellite sensing of a cloudy atmosphere: observing the third planet. Taylor & Francis, Ltd., London.
- 27. Levine, J. S., and F. Allario. 1982. The global troposphere: biogeochemical cycles, chemistry, and remote sensing. Environ. Monit. Assess. 1:263-306.
- 28. Levine, J. S., T. R. Augustsson, I. C. Anderson, J. M. Hoell, and D. A. Brewer. 1984. Tropospheric sources of NO: lightning and biology. Atmos. Environ. 18:1797-1804.
- 29. Lipschultz, F., 0. C. Zafiriou, S. C. Wofsy, M. B. McElroy, F. W. Valois, and S. W. Watson. 1981. Production of NO and N20 in soil nitrifying bacteria. Nature (London) 294:641-643.
- 30. Logan, J. A. 1983. Nitrogen oxides in the troposphere: global and regional budgets. J. Geophys. Res. 88:10785-10804.
- 31. Najjar, V. A., and M. B. Allen. 1954. Formation of nitrogen, nitrous oxide, and nitric oxide by extracts of denitrifying bacteria. J. Biol. Chem. 206:209-214.
- 32. Neal, J. L., G. C. Allen, R. D. Morse, and D. D. Wolf. 1981. Anaerobic nitrate-dependent chemolithotrophic growth by Rhizobium japonicum. Can. J. Microbiol. 29:316-320.
- 33. Payne, W. J. 1981. The status of nitric oxide and nitrous oxide as intermediates in denitrification, p. 85-103. In C. C. Delwiche

(ed.), Denitrification, nitrification, and atmospheric nitrous oxide. John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York.

- 34. Poth, M., and D. D. Focht. 1985.  $^{15}N$  kinetic analysis of N<sub>2</sub>O production by Nitrosomonas europaea: an examination of nitrifier denitrification. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 49:1134-1141.
- 35. Renner, E. D., and G. E. Becker. 1970. Production of nitric oxide and nitrous oxide during denitrification by Corynebacterium nephridii. J. Bacteriol. 101:821-826.
- 36. Ritchie, G. A. F., and D. J. D. Nicholas. 1972. Identification of the sources of nitrous oxide produced by oxidative and reductive processes in Nitrosomonas europaea. Biochem. J. 126: 1181-1191.
- 37. Robertson, L. A., and J. G. Kuenen. 1984. Aerobic denitrification: a controversy revived. Arch. Microbiol. 139:351-354.
- 38. Smith, M. S. 1982. Dissimilatory reduction of  $NO<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup>$  to  $NH<sup>+</sup>$  and N<sub>2</sub>O by a soil Citrobacter sp. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 43:854-860.
- 39. Smith, M. S., and K. Zimmerman. 1981. Nitrous oxide production by nondenitrifying soil nitrate reducers. Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J. 45:865-871.
- 40. Sørensen, J., J. M. Tiedje, and R. B. Firestone. 1980. Inhibition by sulfide of nitric and nitrous oxide reduction by denitrifying Pseudomonas fluorescens. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 39:105- 108.
- 41. Stedman, D. H., and R. E. Shetter. 1983. The global budget of atmospheric nitrogen species, p. 411-454. In S. E. Schwartz (ed.), Trace atmospheric constituents. John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York.