# The Peroxiredoxin and Glutathione Peroxidase Families in Chlamydomonas reinhardtii

Régine Dayer,\* Beat B. Fischer,<sup>+</sup> Rik I. L. Eggen\* and Stéphane D. Lemaire<sup>‡,1</sup>

<sup>‡</sup>Institut de Biotechnologie des Plantes, Unité Mixte de Recherche 8618, Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique, Université Paris-Sud 11, 91405 Orsay Cedex, France, \*Department of Environmental Toxicology, Eawag, Swiss Federal Institute of Aquatic Science and Technology, Ueberlandstrasse 133, CH-8600, Duebendorf, Switzerland and <sup>†</sup>Department of Plant and Microbial Biology, University of California, Berkeley, California 94720-3102

> Manuscript received December 15, 2007 Accepted for publication February 12, 2008

### ABSTRACT

Thiol/selenol peroxidases are ubiquitous nonheme peroxidases. They are divided into two major subfamilies: peroxiredoxins (PRXs) and glutathione peroxidases (GPXs). PRXs are present in diverse subcellular compartments and divided into four types: 2-cys PRX, 1-cys PRX, PRX-Q, and type II PRX (PRXII). In mammals, most GPXs are selenoenzymes containing a highly reactive selenocysteine in their active site while yeast and land plants are devoid of selenoproteins but contain nonselenium GPXs. The presence of a chloroplastic 2-cys PRX, a nonselenium GPX, and two selenium-dependent GPXs has been reported in the unicellular green alga Chlamydomonas reinhardtii. The availability of the Chlamydomonas genome sequence offers the opportunity to complete our knowledge on thiol/selenol peroxidases in this organism. In this article, Chlamydomonas PRX and GPX families are presented and compared to their counterparts in Arabidopsis, human, yeast, and Synechocystis sp. A summary of the current knowledge on each family of peroxidases, especially in photosynthetic organisms, phylogenetic analyses, and investigations of the putative subcellular localization of each protein and its relative expression level, on the basis of EST data, are presented. We show that Chlamydomonas PRX and GPX families share some similarities with other photosynthetic organisms but also with human cells. The data are discussed in view of recent results suggesting that these enzymes are important scavengers of reactive oxygen species (ROS) and reactive nitrogen species (RNS) but also play a role in ROS signaling.

IFE in an oxygen-rich environment has to deal with ↓ the danger of oxidative stress. During normal cell metabolism, reactive oxygen species (ROS) and reactive nitrogen species (RNS) are constantly produced, essentially by respiratory and photosynthetic electron transfer chains. These highly reactive molecules can react with many cell components and damage DNA, proteins, and lipids. Thus, their concentration has to be strictly controlled. For this purpose, aerobic organisms are equipped with nonenzymatic (ascorbate, glutathione, tocopherol, and carotenoid) or enzymatic (catalase, ascorbate peroxidase, superoxide dismutase, glutathione peroxidase, and peroxiredoxin) antioxidant systems to remove ROS from the cells. To control their concentrations, ROS and RNS have to be sensed. It has been established in many organisms that ROS, and especially hydrogen peroxide, are signaling molecules that diffuse across membranes and induce specific signal transduction pathways. Furthermore, ROS can also be produced on purpose by cells in response to

several stimuli to function as second messengers inside the cell. Finally, ROS and RNS can control enzyme activities by triggering several post-translational modifications such as disulfide bond formation, thiol oxidation to sulfenic/sulfinic/sulfonic acid, glutathionylation, nitrosylation, or carbonylation.

Thiol/selenol peroxidases have emerged, during recent years, as important scavengers of ROS/RNS but they have also been shown to play some role in ROS signaling (ROUHIER and JACQUOT 2005; DIETZ et al. 2006; HERBETTE et al. 2007). These nonheme peroxidases are ubiquitous and present in several subcellular compartments. They are divided into two major subfamilies: peroxiredoxins (PRXs) and glutathione peroxidases (GPXs). PRXs were initially identified in yeast and mammals as thiol-specific antioxidants protecting glutamine synthetase from oxidation (KIM et al. 1989; CHAE et al. 1993, 1994a,b; LIM et al. 1994; for a historical review see RHEE et al. 2005b). Since then, PRXs have been identified in many organisms and constitute a ubiquitous family of thiol-dependent peroxidases catalyzing the reduction of hydrogen peroxide, alkylhydroperoxides, and peroxynitrite to water, the corresponding alcohol, or nitrite, respectively (NOGOCEKE et al. 1997; BRYK et al. 2000; HILLAS et al. 2000). Pe-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Corresponding author: Institut de Biotechnologie des Plantes, Bâtiment 630, Université Paris-Sud, F-91405 Orsay Cedex, France. E-mail: stephane.lemaire@u-psud.fr

roxiredoxins are divided into four types: 2-cys PRX, 1-cys PRX, PRX-Q, and type II PRX (PRXII). They share a common reaction mechanism where the catalytic cysteine, called the peroxidatic cysteine, is oxidized by hydroperoxides to sulfenic acid and subsequently regenerated to its reduced form by different molecules, such as thioredoxin (TRX), glutaredoxin (GRX), or glutathione, depending on the type of PRX considered (ROUHIER and JACQUOT 2005).

Glutathione peroxidase was discovered in human as an erythrocyte enzyme protecting hemoglobin from oxidative damage (MILLS 1957). The enzyme was shown to catalyze the glutathione-dependent reduction of hydrogen peroxide and diverse alkylhydroperoxides to water or the corresponding alcohol. Initial studies on human GPX1 revealed that it is a selenoenzyme containing a highly reactive selenocysteine (Se-Cys) residue in its active site (FLOHÉ et al. 1973; ROTRUCK et al. 1973). Since then, GPXs have been shown to constitute a small multigenic family in mammals. Most human GPX contains a Se-Cys residue at its active site. Once oxidized, these Se-Cys-containing GPXs (selenoGPXs) are regenerated to their reduced form by reduced glutathione (GSH). By contrast, yeast, land plants, and some animals, are devoid of selenoproteins. However, these organisms contain seleno-independent GPXs, also named nonselenium GPX (NS-GPX), where the Se-Cys residue of the active site is replaced by a cysteine (HERBETTE et al. 2007). Mammals contain several NS-GPXs in addition to the classical selenoGPXs. It was found that many NS-GPXs are not reduced by GSH but are efficiently reduced by thioredoxins in Plasmodium falciparum (SZTAJER et al. 2001), Saccharomyces cerevisiae (TANAKA et al. 2005), Drosophila (MAIORINO et al. 2007), and land plants (HERBETTE et al. 2002; JUNG et al. 2002; NAVROT et al. 2006). Consequently, NS-GPX may be considered as atypical PRXs although their sequence is more closely related to the sequence of standard selenoGPXs.

In *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii*, one PRX and three GPXs have been studied so far. Like human cells, Chlamydomonas likely contains both selenoGPXs and NS-GPXs. Now, the availability of Chlamydomonas genome sequence (MERCHANT *et al.* 2007) offers the opportunity to complete our knowledge on thiol/selenol peroxidases in this organism. A total of seven PRXs and five GPXs could be identified in the genome of *C. reinhardtii* due to their sequence homology to PRXs and GPXs of other organisms. Phylogenetic analysis revealed that Chlamydomonas PRXs and GPXs share similarities with members of both plant and animal thiol/selenol peroxidase families as observed for many other Chlamydomonas gene families (MERCHANT *et al.* 2007).

## THE PEROXIREDOXIN FAMILY

Phylogenetic analysis of peroxiredoxins: The PRX family is divided into four subgroups on the basis of

sequence analysis: 2-cys PRX, PRXII, 1-cys PRX, and PRX-Q. 2-cys PRXs contain two conserved cysteine residues, both essential for catalysis. Type II PRXs, also named atypical 2-cys PRXs, contain two cysteine residues but the position of one of these cysteines is not conserved (BréhéLIN *et al.* 2003; ROUHIER and JACQUOT 2005). PRX-Qs also contain two cysteine residues while 1-cys PRXs contain only one conserved cysteine residue.

Six isoforms of PRX (I-VI) have been identified in mammals. HsPRXI-HsPRXIV are 2-cys PRXs located in the cytosol (HsPRXI-HsPRXII), mitochondria (Hs-PRXIII), or secreted (HsPRXIV) (RHEE et al. 2005b). HsPRXV is a type II PRX localized in the cytosol, mitochondria, and peroxisomes (KNOOPS et al. 1999) while HsPRXVI is a 1-cys PRX located in the cytosol (CHOI et al. 1998; KANG et al. 1998). Higher plants contain more PRX isoforms than mammals (ROUHIER and JACQUOT 2005). For example, the genome of Arabidopsis thaliana contains 10 distinct PRX genes. Among these PRXs, 2 belong to the 2-cys PRX subgroup, 6 are type II PRXs, 1 is a 1-cys PRX, and 1 is a PRX-Q. Both 2-cys PRXs are located in chloroplasts (König et al. 2002). The plastidial localization of 2-cys PRXs was confirmed experimentally in several organisms (BAIER and DIETZ 1997; GENOT et al. 2001; KÖNIG et al. 2002). 1-Cys PRXs harbor a nuclear bipartite signal allowing targeting to the nucleus and/or the cytosol (STACY et al. 1999; HASLEKAS et al. 2003) while type II PRXs are found in several subcellular compartments. Arabidopsis type II PRXs AtPRXIIB, AtPRXIIC, and AtPRXIID are in the cytosol, AtPRXIIE is chloroplastic, and AtPRXIIF is targeted to mitochondria (Bréhélin et al. 2003; Finkemeier et al. 2005). AtPRXIIA is an atypically long PRX that does not seem to be expressed (Horling et al. 2002; Bréhélin et al. 2003). The fourth type of PRX, PRX-Q, corresponds to proteins targeted to chloroplasts in higher plants. The enzyme was reported to be associated with PSII particles on the stromal side of thylakoid membranes and proposed to play a role in the protection of PSII from oxidative stress (LAMKEMEYER et al. 2006). However, another study suggested that the enzyme is targeted to the thylakoid lumen (PETERSSON et al. 2006). Clearly, further work is required to determine the precise subcellular localization and function of PRX-Q in chloroplasts.

Analysis of the genome of Chlamydomonas allowed us to identify seven PRX genes (Table 1; Figure 1). CrPRX1 and CrPRX2 are 2-cys PRXs, CrPRX3–5 are type II PRXs, CrPRX6 belongs to the PRX-Q subgroup, and CrPRX7 is a fusion protein between a 2-cys PRX and a TRX. No 1-cys PRX has been identified in the Chlamydomonas genome. The PRX genes are distributed in different scaffolds and predicted to be localized in various compartments. The phylogenetic analysis of Chlamydomonas PRXs and PRX families from Arabidopsis, human, yeast, and Synechocystis sp. clearly shows that the members of each subgroup are more

## TABLE 1

The peroxiredoxin family in Chlamydomonas reinhardtii

Name	PRX type	Scaffold	Putative subcellular localization	Number of ESTs	Protein length (aa)	
PRX1	2-cys PRX	3:2064657-2066515	Chloroplast	458	235	
PRX2	2-cys PRX	5:332956-334928	Cytosol/flagella	128	198	
PRX3	Type II	4:617682-619635	Cytosol/flagella	2	161	
PRX4	Type II	7:1092036-1094611	Mitochondrion	21	226	
PRX5	Type II	1:570296-572738	Chloroplast	43	194	
PRX6	PRX-Q	8:1690047-1692411	Chloroplast	22	196	
PRX7	2-cys PRX/TRX fusion	105:86535-91084	Mitochondrion	0	301	

Scaffold indicates the location of the corresponding gene in *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii* genome version 3.0 (http://genome.jgipsf.org/Chlre3). Subcellular localizations are deduced from the analysis of the protein N-terminal sequence or the localizations demonstrated for homologous proteins in higher plants or proteomic analyses. The number of ESTs was determined by BLAST alignment of the transcript sequence with NCBI DBest database of November 12, 2007 and supplemented with JGI EST sequences.

closely related to members of the same subgroup from other organisms than to PRXs from the same organism but from different subgroups (Figure 2).

CrPRX1 was the first PRX identified in Chlamydomonas (GOYER et al. 2002). CrPRX1 is homologous to the two 2-cys PRXs from Arabidopsis (Figure 2), of which both were shown to be localized in the chloroplast (KÖNIG et al. 2002). The presence of PRX2 in the Chlamydomonas genome is more surprising. Even though this gene encodes a typical 2-cys PRX it lacks any targeting sequence and the protein is likely to be located in the cytosol. Still, all 2-cys PRXs from higher plants are chloroplastic proteins while in mammals, 2cys PRXs are found in cytosol, mitochondria, or are secreted by the cell. A cytosolic 2-cys PRX suggests that the Chlamydomonas PRX family shares some similarities with animal systems as observed for many gene families in the genome of Chlamydomonas (MERCHANT et al. 2007). This is supported by the phylogenetic analysis presented in Figure 2. While CrPRX1 is clearly related to higher plant chloroplastic 2-cys PRXs, CrPRX2 is found within a different subgroup along with cytosolic human PRXs (PRXI-IV). CrPRX2 was found in the flagellar proteome (PAZOUR et al. 2005), suggesting that despite the absence of any obvious Nterminal extension, the enzyme might be present in both the cytosol and the flagella. Genome data indicate that the genome of Chlamydomonas contains a third gene related to 2-cys PRX, named PRX7. This gene encodes a hybrid protein containing an N-terminal 2cys-PRX domain fused to a C-terminal TRX h domain. The enzyme is probably mitochondrial. Interestingly, some bacteria contain fusion proteins composed of a type II PRX followed by a GRX module. These proteins can use GSH to reduce a wide range of peroxide substrates (PAUWELS et al. 2003; ROUHIER and JACQUOT 2003; CHA et al. 2004). Moreover, artificial hybrid proteins between poplar type II peroxiredoxin and glutaredoxin or thioredoxin h were recently engineered and shown to be functional, indicating that the two

modules can function together (ROUHIER *et al.* 2006). This suggests that CrPRX7 may function as a peroxidase using electrons provided by mitochondrial NADPH-thioredoxin reductase. However, the *CrPRX7* gene model is uncertain and no EST corresponding to this putative PRX was found in EST databases, suggesting that this gene might not be expressed.

Chlamydomonas also contains three type II PRXs: CrPRX3, CrPRX4, and CrPRX5. CrPRX4 is related to Arabidopsis mitochondrial PRXIIF (Figure 2) and exhibits an N-terminal extension that could function as a mitochondrial targeting sequence (Figure 1). CrPRX3 and CrPRX5, the two other Chlamydomonas type II PRXs, are distantly related to Arabidopsis chloroplastic PRXIIE. However, only CrPRX5 contains a putative chloroplast transit peptide (Figure 1) and CrPRX3 is more likely a cytosolic type II PRX like Arabidopsis PRXIIA–D. However, as with CrPRX2, CrPRX3 was also found in the flagellar proteome (PAZOUR *et al.* 2005) and thus might also be present in this compartment.

Surprisingly, in the genome of Chlamydomonas, no gene encoding a 1-cys PRX was identified while this type of PRX appears ubiquitous and was found in many organisms including higher plants and cyanobacteria (Figure 2) (ROUHIER and JACQUOT 2005; STORK *et al.* 2005). However, this type of PRX also appears to be absent from the genomes of *Ostreococcus tauri* and *Volvox carteri*. This strongly suggests that 1-cys PRXs are not present in green algae.

Chlamydomonas PRX6 is a typical PRX-Q, closely related to higher plant PRX-Q (Figures 1–2). The protein contains an N-terminal extension that might correspond to a transit peptide for targeting to the chloroplast. Therefore, PRX6 is likely to be the functional homolog of higher plant PRX-Q. Initially identified in *Sedum lineare*, as a homolog of *Escherichia coli* bacterioferritin comigratory protein, PRX-Q is the most recently characterized PRX type (Kong *et al.* 2000). In cyanobacteria, an unexpected multiplicity of *PRX-Q* 

## R. Dayer et al.

CrPRX1 CrPRX2 CrPRX3 CrPRX4 CrPRX5 CrPRX6 CrPRX7 AtPRXIIB AtPRXIIC AtPRXIIC AtPRXIIF AtPRXIIF AtPRX2cys-A AtPRX2cys-B AtPRX1cys AtPRXQ	MAALQSASSVARRSLA MQQSASSVFRLAFGALAQRQQMSQQAIRLFGAAAEPLRKAGPVPDGHLNSDL MLANIFQQTRLAGRQMQRAAVRSSHGRA MATSLSVSRFMSSSATVISVAKPLLSPTVSFTAPLSFTRSLAPNLSLKFRNRRTNSASATTRSFAT MATSLSVSRFMSSSATVISVAKPLLSPTVSFTAPLSFTRSLAPNLSLKFRNRRTNSASATTRSFAT MASVASSTTLISSPSSRVFPAKSSLSSPSVSFLRTLSSPSASAS -LRSGFARRSSLS STSRRSFA MASIASSSSTLLSS - SRVLLPSKSSLSPTVSFPRIIPSSASSSLCSGFSSLGSLTTNRSASRNFA	<ul> <li>/ 35</li> <li>/ 2</li> <li>1</li> <li>52</li> <li>/ 28</li> <li>/ 35</li> <li>16</li> <li>1</li> <li>1</li> <li>- 1</li> <li>66</li> <li>64</li> <li>69</li> <li>- 1</li> <li>57</li> </ul>
CrPRX1 CrPRX2 CrPRX3 CrPRX4 CrPRX5 CrPRX6 CrPRX7 AtPRXIIC AtPRXIIC AtPRXIIC AtPRXIIC AtPRXIIF AtPRXIF AtPRX2cys-A AtPRX2cys-B AtPRX1cys AtPRXQ	VRASHAEKPLVGSVAPDFKAQAVFDQEFQEITLSKYRG-KYVVLFFYPLDFTFVCPTEITA-FSDRYKE AK-IGAPAPKFKAQAVVNGEIKEISLDDYKG-KYVVLFFYPLDFTFVCPTEITA-FSDRYKE SDRYE SDRYE SDRYE RAELKVGEKLEDYPNYKVLKTSEGKTISLSSYKGKVVLFAVPGGAFTPTCSKAHLPGFYOKADE RAELKVGEKLEDYPNYYKVLKTSEGKTISLSSYKGKQPIVLFFYPKDFTFVCPTEIIA-FSDRAKE MAPIAVGDVVPDGTISFFDEND-QLQTASVHSLAAGKKVILFGVPGAFTPTCSMSHVPGFIGKAEE MAPITVGDVVPDGTISFFDEND-QLQTVSVHSLAAGKKVILFGVPGAFTPTCSMSHVPGFIGKAEE MAPITVGDVVPDGTISFFDEND-QLQTVSVHSLAAGKKVILFGVPGAFTPTCSMSHVPGFIGKAEE MAPITVGDVVPDGTISFFDEND-QLQTVSVHSLAAGKKVILFGVPGAFTPTCSMSHVPGFIGKAEE MAPITVGDVVPDGTISFFDEND-QLQTVSVHSLAAGKKVILFGVPGAFTPTCSMSHVPGFIGKAEE MAPITVGDVVPDGTISFFDEND-QLQTVSVHSLAAGKKVILFGVPGAFTPTCSMSHVPGFIGKAEE MAPITVGDVVPDGTISFFDEND-QLQTVSVHSLAAGKKVILFGVPGAFTPTCSMSHVPGFIGKAEE MAPITVGDVVPDGTISFFDEND-QLQTVSVHSLAAGKKVILFGVPGAFTPTCSMSHVPGFIGKAEE MAPITVGDVVPDGTISFFDEND-QLQTVSVHSLAAGKKVILFGVPGAFTPTCSMSHVPGFIGKAEE MAPITVGDVVPDGTISFFDEND-QLQTVSVHSLAAGKKVILFGVPGAFTPTCSMSHVPGFIGKAEE 	103 62 65 119 97 101 81 - 66 - 66 - 136 - 104 - 133 - 138 - 60 - 125
CrPRX1 CrPRX2 CrPRX3 CrPRX4 CrPRX5 CrPRX6 CrPRX7 AtPRXIIC AtPRXIIC AtPRXIIC AtPRXIIC AtPRXIIF AtPRX2cys-A AtPRX2cys-B AtPRX2cys-B AtPRX2cys-A	KDINT EVLG - VSVDSQFTHLAWIQTDRKEGGLGDLAYPLVADLKKEISKAYGVLTED GISLR RAINTEV G - ASIDSQFTHLAFSNTPRTKGGLGGCKYPLVADLKKLAVGVLIEDGPD AGVTLR KAKGVDTLACLSVNDAFVMGAWGQSIGVGD KVTMLADGAAAFTKAVGLDQDLSEA - GLGVRSQ ATMGVDKVLCVTVDAPAAVAELAARPTLQHK RVELLADKNGGLVRLLGLEIGAPEAG - QGPKCQ KTKGVDTLACVSVNDAFVMAAWGKDLKAGD KVTMLADGAAAFTKAVGLDUVDK - GLGLSR GAANCQLA - ASTDTEETHLAWIRTPRNRGGLGYMQIPILADTKDISARYGVLIEK LGVALR KSKGVDEIICFSVNDPFVMKAWGKTYPENK HVKFVADGSGEYTHLLGLELDLKDK - GLGVRSR KSKGVDEIICFSVNDPFVMKAWGKTYPENK HVKFVADGSGEYTHLLGLELDLKDK - GLGIRSR KSKGIDEIICFSVNDPFVMKAWGKTYPENK HVKFVADGSGEYTHLLGLELDLKDK - GLGIRSR KSKGIDEIICFSVNDPFVMKAWGKTYPENK HVKFVADGSGEYTHLLGLELDLKDK - GLGIRSR KSKGIDEIICFSVNDPFVMKAWGKTYPENK HVKFVADGSGEYTHLLGLELDLKDK - GLGIRSR KSKGIDEIICFSVNDPFVMKAWGKTYQENK HVKFVADGSGEYTHLLGLELDLKDK - GLGIRSR KSKGIDSVICVSVNDPFAMKAWGKTYQENK	<ul> <li>165</li> <li>128</li> <li>128</li> <li>128</li> <li>128</li> <li>128</li> <li>128</li> <li>183</li> <li>160</li> <li>158</li> <li>144</li> <li>129</li> <li>149</li> <li>149</li> <li>129</li> <li>149</li> <li>129</li> <li>149</li> <li>149</li></ul>
CrPRX1 CrPRX2 CrPRX3 CrPRX4 CrPRX5 CrPRX6 CrPRX7 AtPRXIIC AtPRXIIC AtPRXIIC AtPRXIIC AtPRXIIF AtPRX2cys-A AtPRX2cys-B AtPRX1cys AtPRX2Q	LFIIDKEGVVQHATINNLAFGRSVDETKRVLQAIQYVQSNPDEVCPAG-WKPGDKTMKPDPKGSKEYFS/ LFIISPTGVLRQITINDLPVGRSVDETLRLVKAFQFTDEHG-EVCPAN-WNPGAKTMKADPTKSLEYFS/ YAMLVEDGVVKVLNVEPA-RGLTCSSAASMLEGL YAAVVEDGVLKLRVESAPADLKVTDCKSMIDLWKCIYPHSCN YSMYVEDGVVKVLHLEG-GAFTVSSAEDMLGSLP TYVIDVNGKCVMAFNDQLNVEQHVDEALKVLASVKVAA- LFIINPQGVVQHVTINDLPIGRSVDEALKTLQAIQYHAEHG-EVCPAN-WKPGSKTMVADAEKSLEYFSI FALLLDDLKVTVANVESG-GEFTVSSAEDILKAL FALLLDNLKVTVANVESG-GEFTVSSAEDILKAL YAILADDGVVKVLNLEGG-GAFTNSSAEDMLKAL YAILADDGVVKVLNLEGG-GAFTNSSAEDMLKAL YAILADDGVVKVLNLEGG-GAFTNSSAEDMLKAL YAILADDGVVKVLNLEGG-GAFTNSSAEDMLKAL YAILADDGVVKVLNLEGG-GAFTNSSAEDMLKAL YAILADDGVVKVLNLEGG-GAFTNSSAEDMLKAL TIIDKEGVIQHSTINNLGIGRSVDETMRTLQALQVIQENPDEVCPAG-WKPGEKSMKPDPKLSKEYFS/ LFIIDKEGVIQHSTINNLGIGRSVDETMRTLQALQVIQENPDEVCPAG-WKPGEKSMKPDPKLSKEYFS/ LFIIDKEGVIQHSPINNLGIGRSVDETMRTLQALQVIQENPDEVCPAG-WKPGEKSMKPDPKLSKEYFS/ LHIVGPDSKIKLSFLYPSTTGRNMDEVLRALDSLLMASKHNNKIATPVNWKPDQPVVISPAVSDEEAKKI	<ul> <li>234</li> <li>196</li> <li>226</li> <li>194</li> <li>196</li> <li>226</li> <li>196</li> <li>212</li> <li>162</li> <li>162</li> <li>162</li> <li>162</li> <li>234</li> <li>201</li> <li>234</li> <li>201</li> <li>265</li> <li>270</li> <li>3193</li> <li>216</li> </ul>
CrPRX1 CrPRX2 CrPRX3 CrPRX4 CrPRX5 CrPRX6 CrPRX7 AtPRXIIB AtPRXIIE AtPRXIIE AtPRXIIE AtPRXIIE AtPRX2cys-A AtPRX2cys-A AtPRX2cys-A AtPRX2cys-A	V	



FIGURE 2.—Phylogenetic tree of the peroxiredoxin families in Chlamydomonas reinhardtii and diverse organisms. The unrooted tree was constructed with the ClustalX program. Gaps were excluded. Accession numbers and abbreviations are as follows: At, Arabidopsis thaliana as in Figure 1; Cr, Chlamydomonas reinhardtii as in Table 1; Hs, Homo sapiens PRXI, Q06830; PRXII, P32119; PRXIII, P30048; PRXIV, Q13162; PRXV, P30044; PRXVI, P30041; Sc, Saccharomyces cerevisiae cTPX1, P34760; cTPX2, Q04120; cTPX3, P38013; m-TPX, P34227; ScDot5, P40553; sll or slr, Synechocystis PCC6803 sll0221; sll1621: slr0242; and slr1198.

genes was reported with two genes in Synechocystis sp., four in *Synechococcus elongatus* PCC 7942, and four in Anabaena sp. PCC 7201 (STORK *et al.* 2005; LATIFI *et al.* 2007). Several of these cyanobacterial PRX-Q constitute a distinct subgroup within the PRX-Q family in which the second cysteine is more distant in the primary sequence, compared to higher plants. This subgroup is also present in photosynthetic bacteria (WAKITA *et al.* 2007). These atypical PRX-Q exhibit a thioredoxin dependent peroxidase activity and appear to play a major role in the protection of these organisms against oxidative stress (LATIFI *et al.* 2007; WAKITA *et al.* 2007).

Activity of peroxiredoxins: All PRX isoforms share a conserved cysteine, named the peroxidatic cysteine (WOOD *et al.* 2002), which is converted, by nucleophilic attack, to sulfenic acid (SOH) (CHAE *et al.* 1994a; BRYK *et al.* 2000). This oxidized cysteine residue is regenerated by intra- or intermolecular disulfide-bond formation (WOOD *et al.* 2003b). Depending on the PRX type and the organism considered, this disulfide bond can be reduced by diverse thiol reductants such as TRX, GRX,

and cyclophilins in eukaryotes (CHAE *et al.* 1994b; LEE *et al.* 2001; ROUHIER *et al.* 2001) or AhpF in prokaryotes (POOLE 2005).

Mammalian 2-cys PRXs are obligate homodimers, independent of the oxidation state of the two conserved cysteines, with a head-to-tail arrangement (SCHRODER *et al.* 2000). An intermolecular disulfide bond is formed at the active site during catalysis (CHAE *et al.* 1994a). 2cys PRXs are regenerated by TRX reduction of this intermolecular disulfide bond (CHAE *et al.* 1994b). Upon reduction, 2-cys PRX dimers can aggregate into decameric doughnut-shaped structures (SCHRODER *et al.* 2000; Wood *et al.* 2002).

Chloroplastic CrPRX1 was initially trapped on a monocysteinic TRX column aimed at identifying new TRX targets and subsequently purified and sequenced (GOYER *et al.* 2002). In a similar way, CrPRX2 was identified as a cytosolic TRX-bound protein in a large-scale proteomic study (LEMAIRE *et al.* 2004), suggesting that this 2-cys PRX is likely to be reduced by cytosolic TRX. Since the reaction mechanism of plant chloro-

FIGURE 1.—Multiple sequence alignment of peroxiredoxins from *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii* and *Arabidopsis thaliana*. The proteins were aligned with the ClustalW program. Residues in solid boxes correspond to 50% identity. Residues in shaded boxes correspond to 50% conservation with the BLOSUM62 matrix. Accession nos. and abbreviations are as follows: Cr, *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii*, accession numbers as in Table 1, only the N-terminal domain of CrPRX7 was used for the alignment; At, *Arabidopsis thaliana* Prx IIA, At1g65990; Prx IIB, At1g65980; Prx IIC, At1g65970; Prx IID, At1g60740; Prx IIE, At3g52960; Prx IIF, At3g06050; 2-Cys Prx A, At3g11630; 2-Cys Prx B, At5g06290; 1-Cys Prx, At1g48130; and Prx Q, At3g26060.

plastic 2-cys PRXs is similar to the one described for yeast and mammalian 2-cys PRXs that involves the formation of an intermolecular disulfide bond reduced by TRX (CHEONG et al. 1999; KÖNIG et al. 2002, 2003), CrPRX1 might have the same reaction mechanism too. Four types of TRXs (f, m, x, and y) are present in both Chlamydomonas and higher plant chloroplasts (LEM-AIRE et al. 2003b; 2007). TRX x was shown to be the most efficient chloroplastic TRX for reduction of Arabidopsis 2-cys PRXs (COLLIN et al. 2003, 2004). A similar specificity was found for CrPRX1, which is more efficiently reduced by Chlamydomonas TRX x (S. D. LEMAIRE, unpublished results) than by TRX m, f, or y (LEMAIRE et al. 2003a). Besides TRX, chloroplastic 2-cys PRXs can also be reduced by CDSP32, a drought-induced TRX-like protein containing two TRX modules (BROIN et al. 2002) and by NTRC, a chloroplastic bipartite enzyme containing an NADPH-TRX reductase fused to its own TRX module (Moon et al. 2006; Pérez-Ruiz et al. 2006). Interestingly, genes encoding homologs of CDSP32 and NTRC are present in the Chlamydomonas genome and might therefore also act as reductants for PRX (LEMAIRE et al. 2007). Chloroplastic 2-cys PRXs can reduce a broad range of hydroperoxides but also peroxynitrites (GOYER et al. 2002; König et al. 2003; Sakamoto et al. 2003). In Chlamydomonas, CrPRX1 was shown to catalyze  $H_2O_2$ and tertiary butyl-hydroperoxide (tBOOH) reduction and to protect DNA against ROS-induced degradation (GOYER et al. 2002). Chloroplastic 2-cys PRXs also appear to form decameric structures like their homologs from nonphotosynthetic organisms (König et al. 2003). This oligomeric form of 2-cys PRX reversibly associates with thylakoid membranes (König et al. 2002).

Type II PRXs have also been characterized extensively at the biochemical level. Human type II PRX, HsPRXV, contains three cysteine residues. Once oxidized to SOH the peroxidatic cys47 forms a disulfide with cys151 that can be reduced by TRX (EVRARD et al. 2004). Chlamydomonas type II CrPRX4 is related to Arabidopsis mitochondrial PRXIIF, which can reduce a broad range of substrates but more efficiently H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> than alkylhydroperoxides (HORLING et al. 2003). Analysis of knockout Arabidopsis plants suggested that PRXIIF is essential for redox homeostasis and root growth under stress conditions (FINKEMEIER et al. 2005). The most efficient reductants for this PRX appear to be GRXs (FINKEMEIER et al. 2005; GAMA et al. 2007). Similarly, Arabidopsis PRXIIB is not regenerated by TRX but can efficiently catalyze peroxide reduction in the presence of GSH and GRX (BRÉHÉLIN et al. 2003).

In contrast, poplar cytosolic PRXII can use either GRXs or TRXs as proton donors (ROUHIER *et al.* 2001). Only one cysteine appears essential for the activity of poplar PRXIIC (ROUHIER *et al.* 2002). This enzyme is a homodimer that dissociates into monomers when the peroxidatic cysteine undergoes glutathionylation (NOGUERA-MAZON *et al.* 2006). Therefore, glutathionylation might be required for the GRX-dependent reduction of the enzyme as previously observed for mammalian 1-cys PRX, which interacts with IIGST and undergoes glutathionylation (MANEVICH *et al.* 2004). Alternatively, glutathionylation, which occurs under oxidative stress, might constitute a mechanism of regulation of the activity of PRXs, as observed for many other enzymes (reviewed in MICHELET *et al.* 2006).

Chlamydomonas type II PRX5 may be the functional homolog of higher plant plastidial PRXIIE, whose physiological electron donor remains unknown since this PRX is reduced by neither GRX nor TRX (BRÉHÉLIN et al. 2003). However, only "classical" GRX, containing a CPYC active site, have been tested on PRXIIE while this type of GRX does not appear to be present in chloroplasts from higher plants or Chlamydomonas (LEMAIRE 2004; ROUHIER et al. 2004a). Most GRXs located in chloroplasts belong to the CGFS type, a subgroup distinct from classical GRXs and with distinct biochemical properties (TAMARIT et al. 2003; CHENG et al. 2006). Therefore, PRXIIE might possibly be reduced by this type of GRX in chloroplasts. However, like CrPRX1 and CrPRX2, CrPRX5 was found among putative TRX targets bound to TRX affinity columns (LEMAIRE et al. 2004), suggesting that this type II PRX might be reduced by TRX.

In higher plants, the chloroplast targeted PRX-Q can reduce a broad range of peroxides (ROUHIER *et al.* 2004b; LAMKEMEYER *et al.* 2006). Once oxidized to the sulfenic form, the peroxidatic cysteine is regenerated by formation of an intramolecular disulfide bond that exhibits a redox potential of -325 mV at pH 7.0 (ROUHIER *et al.* 2004b). This disulfide bond is much more efficiently reduced by TRX y than by all other chloroplastic TRX types (COLLIN *et al.* 2004; ROUHIER *et al.* 2004b). Arabidopsis mutant lines deficient in PRX-Q are not distinguishable from wild-type plants but the enzyme has been proposed to play a role in the protection of the photosynthetic apparatus under oxidative stress (LAMKEMEYER *et al.* 2006).

**Expression of peroxiredoxins:** The analysis of EST abundance data indicates that *CrPRX1* and *CrPRX2* are, by far, the most highly expressed PRX genes in Chlamy-domonas (Table 1). In higher plants, chloroplastic 2-cys PRXs are also the most highly expressed PRXs at the mRNA level (HORLING *et al.* 2003; ROUHIER and JACQUOT 2005). However, in higher plants the number of ESTs is only 2-fold higher for 2-cys PRXs compared to PRX-Q or several type II PRXs while this difference is close to 10-fold in Chlamydomonas. This suggests an important role for 2-cys PRXs in the alga.

In higher plants, the expression of 2-cys PRXs is regulated by light and ascorbate treatment at both the mRNA and protein levels (HORLING *et al.* 2001, 2003). The transcript levels are also decreased under salt stress (HORLING *et al.* 2002). In Arabidopsis, the promoter appears to be under the control of ABA and photosystem I (PSI) redox state (BAIER *et al.* 2004). Chlamydomonas *PRX1* mRNA accumulation was shown to be regulated by light, oxygen concentration, and the redox state of the photosynthetic electron transfer chain (GOVER *et al.* 2002). Genetic analyses suggested that chloroplastic 2-cys PRXs participate in the protection of the photosynthetic apparatus (BAIER and DIETZ 1999). All these data indicate that Chlamydomonas PRX1 is the functional homolog of higher plant 2-cys PRXs and is likely to play a similar role in the single chloroplast of the green alga.

EST data suggest that CrPRX5 is the most highly expressed type II PRX in Chlamydomonas (Table 1). The corresponding transcript was shown to be increased during sulfur starvation (ZHANG et al. 2004). Analyses at the mRNA and protein levels in Arabidopsis and poplar indicate that PRXIIB is expressed in all tissues while PRXIIC and PRXIID are almost only expressed in pollen (ROUHIER et al. 2001; BRÉHÉLIN et al. 2003). The transcript level of AtPRXIIB is increased under phosphorus starvation and by salt and tBOOH treatments, while AtPRXIIC mRNA level is strongly affected by phosphorus deprivation, salts, ascorbate, and oxidative stress treatments (Horling et al. 2002, 2003; KANDLBINDER et al. 2004). In Arabidopsis, PRXIIF expression is constitutive, suggesting a housekeeping function (FINKEMEIER et al. 2005; DIETZ et al. 2006) while PRXIIE is mainly expressed in reproductive tissues and its transcript level is increased under high light, decreased by salt or ascorbate treatments but unaffected by oxidative stress (HORLING et al. 2002, 2003; BRÉHÉLIN et al. 2003).

In poplar, PRX-Q protein is mainly found in leaves and the protein level is increased by pathogen attack (ROUHIER *et al.* 2004b). Overexpression of PRX-Q in tobacco was shown to increase tolerance to fungal diseases (KIBA *et al.* 2005). In Arabidopsis, the accumulation of *PRX-Q* mRNA is increased under high light or oxidative stress and decreased by salt stress, ascorbate, or under low light (HORLING *et al.* 2002, 2003). The transcript levels of Chlamydomonas PRX-Q, *CrPRX6*, was reported to be induced in Chlamydomonas cells exposed to copper stress (JAMERS *et al.* 2006) but also during sulfur starvation (ZHANG *et al.* 2004).

## THE GLUTATHIONE PEROXIDASE FAMILY

**Phylogenetic analysis of glutathione peroxidases:** Phylogenetic studies of the GPX gene family usually distinguish three main groups. Group 1 contains human GPX1 and GPX2; group 2 contains human GPX3, GPX5, and GPX6; and a third group, named the phospholipid hydroperoxide GPX (PHGPX) group, includes human GPX4 and GPXs from various other organisms including plants (BRIGELIUS-FLOHÉ *et al.* 1994; HERBETTE *et al.* 2007). Mammals contain both selenocysteine-containing GPXs and nonselenium GPXs (NS-GPXs). The analysis of the genome of C. reinhardtii revealed the presence of five GPX genes (Table 2) that, according to sequence homology, all belong to the PHGPX group (Figure 4). However, two Chlamydomonas GPXs, CrGPX1 and CrGPX2, are selenoproteins whereas CrGPX3, -4, and -5 are NS-GPXs. This is different from the situation in plants but similar to that of mammals, which contain both selenocysteine-containing GPXs and nonselenium GPXs (NS-GPXs). For example, humans contain five selenoGPXs (GPX1-4, -6) and two NS-GPXs (GPX5, -7). Selenocysteine is a rare amino acid that can be incorporated cotranslationally into proteins (PAPP et al. 2007). It is encoded by the opal codon UGA, which is not recognized as a stop codon due to the presence of a cisacting mRNA structure called the selenocysteine insertion sequence (SECIS) element. The Se-Cys insertion machinery also requires several trans-acting factors including the Se-Cys tRNA (reviewed in ALLMANG and KROL 2006). Selenoproteins have been identified in archaea, bacteria, and eukaryotes but not in all organisms. There is no overlap between the sets of selenoproteins present in prokaryotes and eukaryotes. Selenoproteins are generally involved in catabolic pathways in prokaryotes while in eukaryotes they participate in biosynthetic pathways or antioxidant processes such as TRX reductase, methionine sulfoxide reductase, or GPX (HERBETTE et al. 2007).

CrGPX1 was the first selenoprotein identified in Chlamydomonas (Fu et al. 2002; NOVOSELOV et al. 2002). Early studies had indicated that addition of sodium selenite to Chlamydomonas cultures led to a strong increase in GPX activity in total soluble extracts (YOKOTA et al. 1988). Purification of the corresponding protein revealed that the enzyme was associated with selenium and exhibited biochemical properties comparable to mammalian selenoGPXs (SHIGEOKA et al. 1991). Although these studies suggested the existence of selenoGPX in Chlamydomonas, no DNA or protein sequence was determined. The existence of a UGA codon in the coding sequence or the incorporation of Se-Cys in the protein were shown more than 10 years later by two research groups. Cloning of the CrGPX1 cDNA confirmed the presence of an in-frame UGA codon and mass spectrometry demonstrated that this codon allows Se-Cys incorporation into the protein (Fu et al. 2002). In the same year, another research group identified 10 selenoproteins in Chlamydomonas and confirmed the existence of a selenocysteyl-tRNA that recognized specifically the UGA codon and showed that Chlamydomonas SECIS elements are similar, but not identical, to those of animals, indicating a common origin for the animal and Chlamydomonas selenoprotein insertion system (NOVOSELOV et al. 2002). The newly identified selenoproteins included CrGPX1 but also the second Chlamydomonas selenoGPX, CrGPX2.

NS-GPXs show high sequence similarity with selenoGPXs but the active site selenocysteine is replaced by

TABLE 2	2
---------	---

The glutathione peroxidase family in Chlamydomonas reinhardtii

Name	Se-Cys	Scaffold	Putative subcellular localization	No. of ESTs	Protein length (aa)
GPX1	Yes	7:731611-733930	Mitochondrion and/or chloroplast	25	200
GPX2	Yes	95:205010-208056	Mitochondrion and/or chloroplast	3	258
GPX3	No	19:607308-609738	Mitochondrion	15	200
GPX4	No	10:678260–680851 or 10:676669–680804	Cytosol or membrane anchored	6 or 8	206 or 536
GPX5	No	4:1975794-1977833	Cytosol (short form), chloroplast (long form)	59	162/211

Scaffold indicates the location of the corresponding gene in *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii* genome version 3.0 (http://genome.jgipsf.org/Chlre3). Subcellular localizations are deduced from the analysis of the protein N-terminal sequence by several prediction programs (Predotar, TargetP, SignalP, and PSORT available at http://www.expasy.ch). The number of ESTs was determined by BLAST alignment of the transcript sequence with NCBI DBest database of November 12, 2007, and supplemented with JGI EST sequences.

a cysteine. Some organisms, such as yeast and higher plants, are devoid of selenoproteins since they do not possess the SECIS machinery. Phylogenetic analyses of selenoGPXs and NS-GPXs from different organisms suggest that Se-Cys utilization has been independently lost during evolution by many groups of organisms, including higher plants, yeast, and some animals (COPELAND 2005). It has been suggested that the rise in atmospheric oxygen concentration disfavored the use of the easily oxidized Se-Cys and selected for NS-GPX evolution in plants. The Se-Cys utilization system has probably been lost in plants over a billion years ago, when the Chlorophytes, including Chlamydomonas, diverged from the Streptophytes including land plants and their close relatives (MERCHANT et al. 2007). Consequently, these organisms only contain NS-GPXs. Eight NS-GPXs are present in A. thaliana while six have been identified in the genome of Populus trichocarpa (RODRIGUEZ MILLA et al. 2003; ROUHIER and JACQUOT 2005; NAVROT et al. 2006). But why did green algae like Chlamydomonas retain the Se-Cys machinery? Unlike higher plants Chlamydomonas is an aquatic organism living in an environment with lower oxygen levels, where the selection pressure against Se-Cys might have been more limited. Recent comparative analysis of selenoproteinrich and deficient organisms revealed that many aquatic organisms retained their selenoproteome, whereas the selenoproteomes of some terrestrial organisms were reduced or completely lost, suggesting that aquatic life indeed supports selenium utilization (LOBANOV et al. 2007).

Most NS-GPXs, including those from higher plants, yeast, and cyanobacteria belong to the PHGPX group (HERBETTE et al. 2007). PHGPXs were shown to be able to reduce phospholipid hydroperoxides directly in membranes and are expressed as monomers because they lack subunit interaction sites present in GPXs of the other groups (THOMAS et al. 1990; MAIORINO et al. 1991; IMAI and NAKAGAWA 2003). These sites correspond to the gaps visible on GPX sequence alignments (Figure 3). The PHGPX group also contains selenoGPXs, including Chlamydomonas CrGPX1 and CrGPX2 and human GPX4/PHGPX whose sequence was proposed to represent the ancestor of the mammalian GPX gene family (BRIGELIUS-FLOHÉ et al. 1994; HERBETTE et al. 2007). However, CrGPX1 and CrGPX2 are not closely related to human selenoGPXs and form a distinct subgroup within the PHGPX group (Figure 4).

The phylogenetic tree of the GPX family presented in Figure 4 suggests that the PHGPX group can be further divided into two distinct groups. The first one (group 3) comprises human GPX4, plant GPXs, and several Chlamydomonas GPXs (CrGPX1, CrGPX2, and CrGPX4). Chlamydomonas GPX4 is related, like higher plant GPXs, to human GPX4, suggesting that it might display PHGPX activity, as already shown for several higher plant NS-GPXs (HERBETTE *et al.* 2002). The second group (group 4) includes NS-GPXs from Chlamydomonas (CrGPX3 and CrGPX5), yeast, and Synechocystis and can be further subdivided into 2 subgroups (4a and 4b), each containing a Chlamydomonas NS-GPX (Figure 4). Subgroup 4a contains

FIGURE 3.—Multiple sequence alignment of glutathione peroxidases from *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii* and diverse organisms. The proteins were aligned with the ClustalW program. Residues in solid boxes correspond to 50% identity. Residues in shaded boxes correspond to 50% conservation with the BLOSUM62 matrix. U stands for selenocysteine residues. Accession numbers and abbreviations are as follows: Cr, *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii*, accession numbers as in Table 2; At, *Arabidopsis thaliana* GPX1, At2g25080; GPX2, At2g31570; Hs, *Homo sapiens* GPX1, P07203; GPX3, P22352; GPX4, P36969; Sc, *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* GPX1, P36014; GPX2, P38143; GPX3, P40581; sll or slr, Synechocystis *PCC6803* slr1171; and slr1992. The asterisks indicate the position of the conserved residues involved in the catalytic mechanism of selenoGPX (First Cys, Gln, Trp). The striped bar corresponds to the region containing the second partially conserved cysteine involved in the catalytic mechanism of NS-GPX.

- 1	ſ	٦
-4	٩	1
-	٠	

CrGPX1	AARRDVRAMSVAVRPARAARRDVRAMS		24
CrGPX2			51
CrGPX4			23
CrGPX5	MLSAYACGSPLHLLNQRRLQSIAVLLSPLAASVAVA		36
Hs GPX1	MCAARL AAAAAQS MCAARL AAAAAQS		13
Hs GPX3	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		23
Hs GPX4			28
AtGPX1			6
slr1171			6
slr1992	MP.LPTSLTT		9
ScGPX1			1
ScGPX2			1
SCOFAS	*		
CrGPX1	·····LL GNL FGGGSKPTSSTSN FHQL SAL DI DK - KNVD FKSL NN RVVL VVNVASKUL	TA	78
CrGPX2	SALAAPSPAPSSPSSDSIYQFSALQYGK ERSLADYR <mark>GKVVVIM</mark> NIASEUA	LQ	103
CrGPX3	LFGFGKTAEP-ETTSEFYQFQVKDIDG-KNFKLSSLKDKAVLVVNLASACO	FT	81
CrGPX4		Y L	62
Hs GPX1			90 49
Hs GPX3	GQEKSKMDCHGGISGTIYEYGALTIDGEEYIPFKQYAGKYVLFVNVASYU	GL	75
Hs GPX4	CASRDDWRCAR SMH EFSAKDIDG - HMVNLDKYRGFVCIVTNVASQU	κT	76
AtGPX1	INPGFLFKSRPFTVQARAAAEKTVHDFTVKDIDG-KDVALNKFKGKVMLIVNVASRCO	€L T	114
AtGPX2			44
sir1992		зLТ	37
ScGPX1		A F T	39
ScGPX2	······································	ΒFΤ	40
ScGPX3	······································	βFT	39
C+CBV4		11	122
CrGPX2	KVNYPGIRSIYDKYNAAGEDLICEPCNOEGGOAPGTSOEREWAWKKFGLEI	) G V	158
CrGPX3	- PQYAELQDLQDKYGKQGFVVLGFPCNQFGAQEPGSNQTIKQ FAKSN YGVTFF	- L	134
CrGPX4	D ENYKGLTKTYNKYRDHGLEILGFPCNQFG <mark>KQEPG</mark> DEKEIKH FCSTKYHVDFF	- M	116
CrGPX5	- P QY K GL E E L Y Q Q Y K D R G L V I L G F P C N Q F G G Q E P G D A S A I G E F C Q R N F G V T F	- 1	143
Hs GPX1	V RDYT QMN EL QRRL GPRGL VVL GFPCNQFGHQENAKNEETLNSL KYVRPGGGFEPNFN	1 - L	108
Hs GPX4	EV NYT OL V DL HARVA ECGLELLA EP CNOEGKOEP GENEELK E FAA GYNVKE	2 - L	129
AtGPX1	SSNYSELSHLYEKYKTQGFEILAFPCNQFGFQEPGSNSEIKQFACTRFKAEF	- 1	168
AtGPX2	DANYKELNVLYEKYKEQGLEILAFPCNQFLGQEPGNNEEIQQTVCTRFKAEFF	2 - T	98
slr1171	- P QY Q G L Q A L YN R F G D R G F T V L G F P C N Q F G Q E P G G S G E I K N F C E T R Y G V T F F	- L	97
SICDV1		- L	90
ScGPX1	- P QYKEL E ELYKKY QD KGFVILGEP CNQEG KQEP GSDEQITE FC QD N Y GVT FF		93
ScGPX3	- PQYKELEALYKRYKDEGFTIIGFPCNQFGHQEPGSDEEIAQ FCQLN - YGVTFF	- i -	92
CrGPX1		RP	172
CrGPX2		NK	175
CrGPX4	FSKVDVNGAHTHPVYQFLKRELPVSEGGGGGGSGAGKDLIWNFQKILV	DH.	165
CrGPX5	MEKSDVNGNDANPVFKYLKSQKKQFM MEMIKWNFEKFLV	/DK	184
Hs GPX1	FEKCEVNGAGAHPLFAFLREALPAPSDDATALMTDPKLITWSPVCRNDVAWNFEKFLV	GP	168
HS GPX3		GP	190
AtGPX1		DK	209
AtGPX2	FDKVDVNGKNTAPLYKYLK-AEKGGLLIDAIKWNFTKFLV	SΡ	139
slr1171	FEKVEVNGPNAHPLFKFLTAASPGMAIP · · · · · · · · · · · FLGGAEDIKWNFTKFLV	/DR	144
slr1992		GR	126
ScGPX1			134
ScGPX2		/DK	134
CrGPX1	DGTVFGRYAPTTGPLSLEKYIVELINSR200		
CrGPX2	RGV PVKRYKPS FDPL NME I DP QPAECVL HP GRKVCKL PP EL QAAQQQLAEA 258		
CrGPX3			
CrGPX5	SGQVVARFSSMATPASLAPELEKVLNA		
Hs GPX1	DGVPLRRYSRR FQTIDIEPDIEALLSQGPSCA 200		
Hs GPX3	DGIPIMRWHHRTTVSNVKMDILSYMRRQAALGVKRK 226		
Hs GPX4			
AtGPX1			
slr1171			
slr1992	DGQVVARFDPQTKPDDTNLKAAIEKALG		
ScGPX1	NGKVVKRFSCMTRPLELCPIIEELLNQPPEEQI167		
ScGPX2			
SCGPX3			

Chlamydomonas GPX3 and two Synechocystis GPXs. The cyanobacterial enzymes display PHGPX activity and can be reduced by NADPH but not by GSH (GABER *et al.* 2001). Subgroup 4b contains Chlamydomonas GPX5 (formerly named GPXH) and several yeast NS-GPXs, indicating a stronger homology of CrGPX5 with the yeast proteins than with other Chlamydomonas

GPXs. Subcellular localization of Chlamydomonas glutathione peroxidases: The subcellular localization of Chlamydomonas GPXs has not been determined experimentally and appears difficult to predict on the basis of protein sequence analyses. Several prediction programs suggest that some Chlamydomonas GPXs might be targeted to mitochondria or chloroplasts (Table 2). Both selenoGPXs, CrGPX1 and CrGPX2, harbor N-terminal extensions predicted to target the proteins to mitochondria or chloroplasts, depending on the program used. CrGPX3 exhibits an N-terminal extension predicted to target the protein to mitochondria by most programs. However, proteins that contain an N-terminal extension and that are related to cyanobacterial enzymes, like GPX3, are often targeted to chloroplasts. The N-terminal extension of CrGPX4 is very short, suggesting that the protein is cytosolic although some programs suggest a possible chloroplast localization. An alternate gene structure is also possible for GPX4 (Table 2) and would encode a protein of 536 amino acids where the GPX domain would be fused to an upstream domain containing an N-terminal transmembrane anchor. For CrGPX5/GPXH the situation seems to be even more complex since two forms of CrGPX5/GPXH may be expressed in Chlamydomonas. A short form corresponds to the cDNA originally cloned and encodes a protein with the "MANPE" N-terminal sequence (LEISINGER et al. 1999). However, some ESTs are longer than the original cDNA and contain an upstream in-frame ATG codon that could allow synthesis of a longer form of GPX5/GPXH with the "MLSAY" N-terminal sequence (Figure 3). Synthesis of this long form would require the translation to start very close to the 5'end of the transcript. The short form is a 162amino-acid protein and is most probably cytosolic. The long form is a polypeptide of 211 amino acids containing an N-terminal extension predicted to target the protein to the chloroplast. A putative localization of Chlamydomonas GPX isoforms in different subcellular compartments is in agreement with the situation in higher plants, where GPXs are predicted to be present in the cytosol, mitochondria, chloroplasts, or secreted (RODRIGUEZ MILLA et al. 2003; NAVROT et al. 2006). In poplar, for example, the targeting of GPX1 to chloroplasts and of GPX3.2 to both chloroplasts and mitochondria has been confirmed experimentally (NAVROT et al. 2006). Similarly, the targeting of the different Chlamydomonas GPXs to individual compartments will have to be experimentally demonstrated.

Activity of glutathione peroxidases: All GPX isoforms contain three strictly conserved amino acids that are involved in the catalytic mechanism as shown for mammalian selenoGPXs (MAIORINO et al. 1995). These residues, which are all conserved in the five Chlamydomonas GPX isoforms, are one cysteine, replaced by a Se-Cys in selenoGPXs, a Gln and a Trp (marked by asterisks in Figure 3). The first step of the catalytic mechanism of GPXs involves a primary oxidation of the catalytic Cys or Se-Cys residue to sulfenic or selenenic acid, respectively. In selenoGPXs, nucleophilic attack of the selenenic acid by GSH forms a selenylsulfide that reacts with a second GSH molecule to regenerate a reduced selenol at the Se-Cys residue and liberate oxidized glutathione (GSSG) (JOHANSSON *et al.* 2005). The low  $pK_a$  of Se-Cys ( $pK_a =$ 5.2) compared to cysteine ( $pK_a = 8.3$ ) confers a high reactivity to selenoproteins employing the reactivity of Se-Cys during their catalytic cycle, such as GPXs (JOHANSSON et al. 2005). Indeed, the selenol group is mainly in its anion selenolate form at physiological pH while the thiol of a Cys residue is only partly ionized, making Se-Cys more reactive than Cys. Consistently, sitedirected replacement of Se-Cys by Cys considerably decreases the activity of selenoGPXs (ROCHER et al. 1992; MAIORINO et al. 1995). In the case of NS-GPX, the sulfenic acid forms a disulfide with a second cysteine of the enzyme (striped bar in Figure 3) and this disulfide can be reduced in a second step (NAVROT *et al.* 2006; HERBETTE et al. 2007). Many studies have shown that most NS-GPXs use thioredoxin rather than glutathione as an electron donor. This TRX dependence has been established for GPXs from P. falciparum (SZTAJER et al. 2001), yeast (TANAKA et al. 2005), and Drosophila (MAIORINO et al. 2007). Human GPX3, a selenoGPX was also shown to use either TRX or GRX as an electron donor (BJORNSTEDT et al. 1994). This is consistent with the localization of this GPX in plasma where the concentration of glutathione is very low. Early studies on plant GPXs from diverse sources had shown that several NS-GPXs could be reduced by TRX (HERBETTE et al. 2002; JUNG et al. 2002). A systematic analysis demonstrated that all poplar GPXs use TRX rather than GSH as a reductant (NAVROT et al. 2006). Similar results were also reported for several Arabidopsis GPXs (IQBAL et al. 2006). This suggests that in higher plants, all GPX isoforms are reduced by TRX. More generally, most NS-GPXs, despite their sequence homology with selenoGPX, are functionally TRX-dependent peroxidases. Therefore, their catalytic mechanism is similar to the one described for some PRX, like PRX-Q, suggesting that NS-GPXs may be considered as a novel class of PRX as proposed by several groups (ROUHIER and JACQUOT 2005; TANAKA et al. 2005; HERBETTE et al. 2007).

Four types of TRX (f, m, x, and y) are present in the chloroplast of Chlamydomonas (LEMAIRE *et al.* 2003b) and other photosynthetic organisms (LEMAIRE *et al.* 2007), but only y-type TRXs appear to be able to reduce



FIGURE 4.—Phylogenetic tree of the glutathione peroxidase families in Chlamydomonas reinhardtii and diverse organisms. The unrooted tree was constructed with the ClustalX program. Gaps were excluded. Accession numbers and abbreviations are as follows: At. Arabidopsis thaliana GPX1, At2g25080; GPX2, At2g31570; GPX3, At2g43350; GPX4, At2g48150; GPX5, At3g63080; GPX6, At4g11600; GPX7, At4g31870; GPX8, At1g63480; Cr, Chlamydomonas reinhardtii as in Table 2; Hs, Homo sapiens GPX1, P07203; GPX2, P18283; GPX3, P22352; GPX4, P36969; GPX5, O75715; GPX6, P59796: GPX7, Q96SL4; Sc, Saccharomyces cerevisiae GPX1. P36014; GPX2, P38143: GPX3, P40581; sll or slr, Synechocystis PCC6803 slr1171; and slr1992.

poplar chloroplastic GPXs (NAVROT et al. 2006). In C. reinhardtii, no GPX activity was tested with TRX so far, but CrGPX5/GPXH was retained on a TRX h1 affinity column loaded with Chlamydomonas soluble extracts (LEMAIRE et al. 2004) indicating that, as most NS-GPXs, this GPX is likely reduced by TRX. Indeed, activity measurement using a recombinant CrGPX5/GPXH protein expressed in E. coli failed to detect any significant activity with reduced GSH, showing that this was probably the wrong reductant (LEISINGER et al. 1999). Furthermore, CrGPX5/GPXH is related to yeast NS-GPXs, which were shown to be efficiently reduced by TRX (TANAKA et al. 2005). Conversely, a GPX related to CrGPX5/GPXH, from the halotolerant strain Chlamydomonas sp. W80 can use GSH as an electron donor to reduce lipid hydroperoxides but not H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> or phospholipid hydroperoxides (TAKEDA et al. 2003). The specific activity of the enzyme was 36-fold higher than for higher plant NS-GPXs. On the other hand, it was >10-fold lower than the activity of mammalian PHGPX enzymes and >100-fold lower than the activity of purified CrGPX1, of which both are SelenoGPXs (Fu et al. 2002). This shows that the specificity for GSH or TRX is not depending on the active site (Cys or Se-Cys) but rather on the substrate binding capacity. TRXs possess the so-called thioredoxin fold, a conserved secondary structure containing α-helices and β-sheets and a CxxC motif (FOMENKO and GLADYSHEV 2003). A derivative of this motif (CxxT) is found in all Chlamydomonas NS-GPX including CrGPX5/GPXH but is absent in the SelenoGPXs CrGPX1 and CrGPX2. This suggests that

GSH might be the substrate for CrGPX1 and CrGPX2, whereas CrGPX3–5 might be reduced by TRX. However, the resolving cysteine involved in the regeneration of NS-GPX by TRX is not strictly conserved in all NS-GPX (striped bar region in Figure 3). This cysteine is absent in CrGPX3, which therefore may not be reduced by TRX. Nevertheless, the residue appears to be present in CrGPX4 and CrGPX5, suggesting that these two latter GPXs are likely to be reduced by TRX.

Expression of glutathione peroxidases: In higher plants, GPX genes appear to be expressed in most tissues, although some accumulate only low mRNA levels (Rodriguez Milla et al. 2003; NAVROT et al. 2006). Several plant hormones (salicylic acid, jasmonic acid, abscissic acid, and auxin) could increase GPX mRNA levels in Arabidopsis but with different patterns for each individual gene, suggesting the involvement of multiple signaling pathways (RODRIGUEZ MILLA et al. 2003). In Chlamydomonas, several examples suggest that the expression of GPXs is regulated by diverse stress conditions. An induction of CrGPX1 expression was observed under sulfur starvation (ZHANG et al. 2004) and CrGPX3 and CrGPX4 transcript levels were shown to be upregulated during manganese deficiency probably due to the resulting oxidative stress (ALLEN et al. 2007). Similarly, the mRNA levels of CrGPX5/GPXH, which appear to be the most highly expressed GPX on the basis of EST abundance (Table 2), were increased in Chlamydomonas cells exposed to copper stress (JAMERS et al. 2006).

The expression of *CrGPX5/GPXH* under different stress conditions has been extensively studied by differ-

ent research groups at the mRNA level (FISCHER et al. 2006; LEDFORD et al. 2007). The CrGPX5/GPXH gene was originally isolated in a screen for Chlamydomonas peroxidase and a strong accumulation of the corresponding transcript was observed in response to oxidative stress (LEISINGER et al. 1999). A more detailed analysis revealed a specific transcriptional upregulation of CrGPX5/GPXH transcripts by singlet oxygen either generated by exogenous photosensitizers such as rose bengal or neutral red (LEISINGER et al. 2001), evolving in a xantophyll-deficient mutant in the light (LEDFORD et al. 2004), or produced in the photosystem II reaction center during high light illumination (FISCHER et al. 2006). Other ROS, like superoxide radicals or  $H_2O_2$ , only slightly increased the expression of the CrGPX5/ GPXH gene. GPX5/GPXH mRNA levels also slowly but continuously increased upon exposure to organic hydroperoxides like tBOOH or cumene hydroperoxide (CuOOH). It was shown that the induction of the CrGPX5/GPXH gene by singlet oxygen and organic hydroperoxides involves two different regulation mechanisms of which one is a transcriptional activation and the other a mRNA stabilization process (FISCHER et al. 2007a). Since the formation of organic hydroperoxides via lipid peroxidation is stimulated directly by singlet oxygen, it was suggested that CrGPX5/GPXH expression is regulated by both primary (singlet oxygen) and secondary effects (lipid hydroperoxides) of high light stress (FISCHER et al. 2007a). The upregulation by singlet oxygen might be a preventive response to combat expected membrane damage because the CrGPX5/GPXH protein may be involved in the removal of lipid hydroperoxides from membranes. Indeed, acclimation to low concentrations of singlet oxygen or overexpression of the GPX5/GPXH gene increased the resistance of C. reinhardtii to photooxidative stress conditions (LEDFORD et al. 2007). Similarly, overexpression of a CrGPX5 homologous protein from Chlamydomonas W80 in tobacco plants reduced the level of unsaturated fatty acid hydroperoxides and thus enhanced tolerance to oxidative stress (YOSHIMURA et al. 2004). Furthermore, the CrGPX5/GPXH gene seems to encode both a chloroplastic and a cytosolic protein, indicating a role in defense against oxidative stress in multiple cellular compartments. Recent findings showed that singlet oxygen produced in the photosystem II reaction center can diffuse as far as into the cytoplasm of Chlamydomonas exposed to very high light intensity, which could explain the strong induction of a nuclear gene like the long form of CrGPX5/GPXH under this condition (FISCHER et al. 2007b).

## PHYSIOLOGICAL FUNCTION OF GPX AND PRX

Due to their ability to reduce  $H_2O_2$  and organic hydroperoxides, PRXs and GPXs were considered to be mainly involved in protection of cells from oxidative stress caused by the formation of reactive oxygen species during normal metabolism or harsh environmental conditions. In Chlamydomonas, chloroplastic CrPRX1 was shown to protect DNA against ROS-induced degradation and to detoxify hydroperoxides using chloroplastic TRXs as reductants (GOYER et al. 2002; S. D. LEMAIRE, unpublished results). Thus, similar to Arabidopsis 2-Cys PRXs, CrPRX1 might be involved in the protection of the chloroplast from increased ROS formation (BAIER and DIETZ 1999). Overexpression of the CrGPX5/GPXH protein in Chlamydomonas increased the tolerance against photooxidative stress (LEDFORD et al. 2007) and transgenic tobacco plants overexpressing a GPX protein from a Chlamydomonas sp. W80 strain were more resistant to oxidative stress caused by paraquat treatment, chilling, and osmotic stress (YOSHIMURA et al. 2004). All these data suggest that also in C. reinhardtii, PRXs and GPXs play a major role in the protection of the cell from ROS-induced damage and oxidative stress. However, this raises the question of why Chlamydomonas has seven members of the PRX and five of the GPX family of which two are selenoGPXs, which exhibit a much higher peroxidase activity than NS-GPXs. In higher plants, PRXs and GPXs generally have a low specific activity compared to other cellular peroxidases, such as catalase or ascorbate peroxidases. In the case of PRXs, this lower activity could be compensated by their high affinity for peroxides and their high abundance. Indeed, several PRX isoforms, and especially 2-cys PRXs, are abundant proteins expressed at a high level in plants. Although there may be some discrepancies between mRNA and protein levels, the analysis of EST abundance suggests that 2-cys PRXs are also expressed at high levels in Chlamydomonas while it does not seem to be the case for GPX genes, except GPX5/GPXH, which is more highly expressed than other GPXs (Tables 1 and 2). The different GPX and PRX isoforms are located in diverse subcellular compartments and their expression appears to be upregulated under stress conditions. Thus, the level of these ROS scavengers might be even further increased under particular conditions when more ROS are produced. All together, these data suggest that a specific expression and localization of the PRX and GPX proteins in the cell might be a reason for the presence of multiple members of these gene families in one organism.

Another explanation for the presence of multiple members of related gene families in one organism might be linked to different substrate specificities. Compared to other peroxidases, PRXs and GPXs can reduce a broader range of substrates. Therefore, their physiological function may be related to their ability to scavenge reactive species that are not efficiently detoxified by other types of peroxidases. PRXs, for example, can reduce peroxynitrite (Wong *et al.* 2002; SAKAMOTO *et al.* 2003) while some GPXs can reduce phospholipids and lipid hydroperoxides (AVERY and AVERY 2001; HERBETTE et al. 2002; TAKEDA et al. 2003). Several NS-GPXs have been shown to scavenge lipid or phospholipid hydroperoxides in vivo in Synechocystis (GABER et al. 2001) and yeast (AVERY et al. 2004). By contrast, CrGPX1 showed the highest activity with H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> and could also reduce tBOOH and CuOOH, but not lipid hydroperoxides (Fu et al. 2002). All these data suggest that GPXs play a role in the protection against lipid peroxidation and thereby in the maintenance of membrane integrity. Structural studies on poplar GPX5 suggest that this enzyme might interact with Cd<sup>2+</sup> and could therefore play a role as Cd2+ sink in defense against heavy metals (Кон et al. 2007). Increased levels of GPX in poplar leaves exposed to high concentrations of cadmium and copper (NAVROT et al. 2006) and higher tolerance to cadmium of yeast cells overexpressing the GPX3 protein also support a putative role of GPXs in heavy metal tolerance (AVERY et al. 2004). Still, it is not clear whether this increased tolerance is due to a stimulated oxidative stress defense or to direct detoxification of Cd<sup>2+</sup> by GPX. GPXs have also been suggested to play a role in defense/response to adverse conditions, sexual reproduction, and host pathogen interaction (reviewed in HERBETTE et al. 2007). Once again, some of these functions may indeed be linked to the peroxidase activity of GPXs.

Besides their antioxidant function, PRXs and GPXs could also have a signaling function. ROS not only are toxic molecules that have to be rapidly scavenged to protect cellular constituents from oxidative damage but also are intracellular messengers that can be produced on purpose and play a key role in signaling pathways of several physiological processes (APEL and HIRT 2004; LALOI et al. 2004; HANCOCK et al. 2006; PITZSCHKE et al. 2006; D'AUTRÉAUX and TOLEDANO 2007). Thus, controlling the intracellular ROS levels is essential to reach a balance between optimal cellular response and minimal stress. No direct involvement of plant PRXs in ROS signaling has been proven so far but, in mammals, 2-cys PRXs have been suggested to play an important role in H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> signaling (reviewed in RHEE et al. 2005a). During the catalytic cycle, the peroxidatic cysteine of 2cys PRXs can become overoxidized to sulfinic acid (RABILLOUD et al. 2002; YANG et al. 2002). However, this overoxidation is not irreversible since an enzyme named sulfiredoxin (SRX) can reduce hyperoxidized PRX (BITEAU et al. 2003; CHANG et al. 2004). Reduction of sulfinic acid by SRX is specific to 2-cys PRX isoforms (Woo et al. 2005). Since the reduction of overoxidized 2cys PRX by SRX is a slow process, 2-cys PRXs can become transiently inactivated, thereby allowing H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> to accumulate above the critical level to function as a signal activating downstream responses (WOOD et al. 2003a). Higher plants also contain 2-cys PRX and SRX in chloroplasts where they might play a role in H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> signaling, chloroplasts being major sites of production of ROS in the light under normal conditions (LIU et al.

2006; REY *et al.* 2007). A putative SRX gene, more closely related to animal SRX than to higher plant SRXs, was identified in the genome of Chlamydomonas.

A role as intermediate in signal transduction has been demonstrated for several NS-GPXs. In S. cerevisiae, GPX3 was shown to be a sensor and transducer of the H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> signal to the transcription factor Yap1 (DELAUNAY et al. 2002). Upon increased production of  $H_2O_2$  inside the cell, the oxidized GPX3 protein interacts with the transcription factor Yap1 forming an intermolecular disulfide bond that is resolved into an intramolecular disulfide bond in Yap1. Once Yap1 regulatory cysteines are oxidized by GPX3, Yap1 is activated, accumulates in the nucleus, and activates the expression of antioxidants and components of the cellular thiol-reducing pathways (LEE et al. 1999; DELAUNAY et al. 2000, 2002). Deactivation of Yap1 is mediated by TRX reduction of the regulatory disulfide, which leads to accumulation of the transcription factor in the cytosol (KUGE et al. 2001). S. cerevisiae GPX3 may also regulate the activity of methionine-sulfoxide reductase (Кно et al. 2006). In Arabidopsis, an NS-GPX was recently shown to also function as a redox transducer by regulating the activity of a protein phosphatase 2C in response to drought stress and abscisic acid (MIAO et al. 2006).

Overall, these data suggest that GPXs and PRXs may have important roles in signal transduction, control of enzyme activity, and regulation of gene expression. Both types of enzymes may function as thiol oxidoreductases playing a role in redox signaling and oxidant scavenging, just like TRXs and GRXs. In photosynthetic organisms, proteomic approaches have allowed identification of nearly 300 putative targets of TRX and GRX (BUCHANAN and BALMER 2005; ROUHIER *et al.* 2005; MICHELET *et al.* 2006; LEMAIRE *et al.* 2007). In the coming years, similar approaches may be crucial to unravel the cellular targets and the multiple functions of PRXs and GPXs in Chlamydomonas and other organisms.

The authors thank Myroslawa Miginiac-Maslow for critical reading of the manuscript and helpful suggestions. The authors also thank all people who have allowed the release of Chlamydomonas genome sequence and especially the U.S. Department of Energy Joint Genome Institute (http://www.jgi.doe.gov/). This work was supported by Agence Nationale de la Recherche grant JC-45751 and by the Swiss National Science Foundation, grant 3100A0-111919.

#### LITERATURE CITED

- ALLEN, M. D., J. KROPAT, S. TOTTEY, J. A. DEL CAMPO and S. S. MERCHANT, 2007 Manganese deficiency in Chlamydomonas results in loss of photosystem II and MnSOD function, sensitivity to peroxides, and secondary phosphorus and iron deficiency. Plant Physiol. 143: 263–277.
- ALLMANG, C., and A. KROL, 2006 Selenoprotein synthesis: UGA does not end the story. Biochimie 88: 1561–1571.
- APEL, K., and H. HIRT, 2004 Reactive oxygen species: metabolism, oxidative stress, and signal transduction. Annu. Rev. Plant Biol. 55: 373–399.
- AVERY, A. M., and S. V. AVERY, 2001 Saccharomyces cerevisiae expresses three phospholipid hydroperoxide glutathione peroxidases. J. Biol. Chem. 276: 33730–33735.

- AVERY, A. M., S. A. WILLETTS and S. V. AVERY, 2004 Genetic dissection of the phospholipid hydroperoxidase activity of yeast gpx3 reveals its functional importance I Biol Chem **279**: 46652–46658
- reveals its functional importance. J. Biol. Chem. **279**: 46652–46658. BAIER, M., and K. J. DIETZ, 1997 The plant 2-Cys peroxiredoxin BAS1 is a nuclear-encoded chloroplast protein: its expressional regulation, phylogenetic origin, and implications for its specific physiological function in plants. Plant J. **12**: 179–190.
- BAIER, M., and K. J. DIETZ, 1999 Protective function of chloroplast 2cysteine peroxiredoxin in photosynthesis. Evidence from transgenic Arabidopsis. Plant Physiol. 119: 1407–1414.
- BAIER, M., E. STROHER and K. J. DIETZ, 2004 The acceptor availability at photosystem I and ABA control nuclear expression of 2-Cys peroxiredoxin-A in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. Plant Cell Physiol. 45: 997–1006.
- BITEAU, B., J. LABARRE and M. B. TOLEDANO, 2003 ATP-dependent reduction of cysteine-sulphinic acid by *S. cerevisiae* sulphiredoxin. Nature **425:** 980–984.
- BJORNSTEDT, M., J. XUE, W. HUANG, B. AKESSON and A. HOLMGREN, 1994 The thioredoxin and glutaredoxin systems are efficient electron donors to human plasma glutathione peroxidase. J. Biol. Chem. **269**: 29382–29384.
- BRÉHÉLIN, C., E. H. MEYER, J. P. DE SOURIS, G. BONNARD and Y. MEYER, 2003 Resemblance and dissemblance of Arabidopsis type II peroxiredoxins: similar sequences for divergent gene expression, protein localization, and activity. Plant Physiol. 132: 2045–2057.
- BRIGELIUS-FLOHÉ, R., K. D. AUMANN, H. BLOCKER, G. GROSS, M. KIESS et al., 1994 Phospholipid-hydroperoxide glutathione peroxidase. Genomic DNA, cDNA, and deduced amino acid sequence. J. Biol. Chem. 269: 7342–7348.
- BROIN, M., S. CUINE, F. EYMERY and P. REY, 2002 The plastidic 2-cysteine peroxiredoxin is a target for a thioredoxin involved in the protection of the photosynthetic apparatus against oxidative damage. Plant Cell 14: 1417–1432.
- BRYK, R., P. GRIFFIN and C. NATHAN, 2000 Peroxynitrite reductase activity of bacterial peroxiredoxins. Nature 407: 211–215.
- BUCHANAN, B. B., and Y. BALMER, 2005 Redox regulation: a broadening horizon. Annu. Rev. Plant Biol. 56: 187–220.
- CHA, M. K., S. K. HONG, D. S. LEE and I. H. KIM, 2004 Vibrio cholerae thiol peroxidase-glutaredoxin fusion is a 2-Cys TSA/AhpC subfamily acting as a lipid hydroperoxide reductase. J. Biol. Chem. 279: 11035–11041.
- CHAE, H. Z., I. H. KIM, K. KIM and S. G. RHEE, 1993 Cloning, sequencing, and mutation of thiol-specific antioxidant gene of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. J. Biol. Chem. **268**: 16815–16821.
- CHAE, H. Z., K. ROBISON, L. B. POOLF, G. CHURCH, G. STORZ et al., 1994a Cloning and sequencing of thiol-specific antioxidant from mammalian brain: alkyl hydroperoxide reductase and thiol-specific antioxidant define a large family of antioxidant enzymes. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 91: 7017–7021.
- CHAE, H. Z., S. J. CHUNG and S. G. RHEE, 1994b Thioredoxin-dependent peroxide reductase from yeast. J. Biol. Chem. 269: 27670–27678.
- CHANG, T. S., W. JEONG, H. A. WOO, S. M. LEE, S. PARK *et al.*, 2004 Characterization of mammalian sulfiredoxin and its reactivation of hyperoxidized peroxiredoxin through reduction of cysteine sulfinic acid in the active site to cysteine. J. Biol. Chem. 279: 50994–51001.
- CHENG, N. H., J. Z. LIU, A. BROCK, R. S. NELSON and K. D. HIRSCHI, 2006 AtGRXcp, an Arabidopsis chloroplastic glutaredoxin, is critical for protection against protein oxidative damage. J. Biol. Chem. 281: 26280–26288.
- CHEONG, N. E., Y. O. CHOI, K. O. LEE, W. Y. KIM, B. G. JUNG *et al.*, 1999 Molecular cloning, expression, and functional characterization of a 2Cys-peroxiredoxin in Chinese cabbage. Plant Mol. Biol. **40**: 825–834.
- CHOI, H. J., S. W. KANG, C. H. YANG, S. G. RHEE and S. E. RYU, 1998 Crystallization and preliminary X-ray studies of hORF6, a novel human antioxidant enzyme. Acta Crystallogr. D Biol. Crystallogr. 54: 436–437.
- COLLIN, V., E. ISSAKIDIS-BOURGUET, C. MARCHAND, M. HIRASAWA, J. M. LANCELIN *et al.*, 2003 The Arabidopsis plastidial thioredoxins: new functions and new insights into specificity. J. Biol. Chem. 278: 23747–23752.
- Collin, V., P. Lamkemeyer, M. Miginiac-Maslow, M. Hirasawa, D. B. Knaff *et al.*, 2004 Characterization of plastidial thioredoxins

from Arabidopsis belonging to the new y-type. Plant Physiol. 136: 4088–4095.

- COPELAND, P. R., 2005 Making sense of nonsense: the evolution of selenocysteine usage in proteins. Genome Biol. 6: 221.
- D'AUTRÉAUX, B., and M. B. TOLEDANO, 2007 ROS as signalling molecules: mechanisms that generate specificity in ROS homeostasis. Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell Biol. 8: 813–824.
- DELAUNAY, A., A. D. ISNARD and M. B. TOLEDANO,  $2000 \quad H_2O_2$  sensing through oxidation of the Yap1 transcription factor. EMBO J. **19:** 5157–5166.
- DELAUNAY, A., D. PFLIEGER, M. B. BARRAULT, J. VINH and M. B. TOLEDANO, 2002 A thiol peroxidase is an H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> receptor and redox-transducer in gene activation. Cell **111**: 471–481.
- DIETZ, K. J., S. JACOB, M. L. OELZE, M. LAXA, V. TOGNETTI *et al.*, 2006 The function of peroxiredoxins in plant organelle redox metabolism. J. Exp. Bot. **57**: 1697–1709.
- EVRARD, C., A. CAPRON, C. MARCHAND, A. CLIPPE, R. WATTIEZ et al., 2004 Crystal structure of a dimeric oxidized form of human peroxiredoxin 5. J. Mol. Biol. 337: 1079–1090.
- FINKEMEIER, I., M. GOODMAN, P. LAMKEMEYER, A. KANDLBINDER, L. J. SWEETLOVE *et al.*, 2005 The mitochondrial type II peroxiredoxin F is essential for redox homeostasis and root growth of *Arabidopsis thaliana* under stress. J. Biol. Chem. **280**: 12168–12180.
- FISCHER, B. B., R. I. EGGEN, A. TREBST and A. KRIEGER-LISZKAY, 2006 The glutathione peroxidase homologous gene Gpxh in *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii* is upregulated by singlet oxygen produced in photosystem II. Planta **223**: 583–590.
- FISCHER, B. B., R. DAYER, M. WIESENDANGER and R. I. L. EGGEN, 2007a Independent regulation of the GPXH gene expression by primary and secondary effects of high light stress in *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii*. Physiol. Plant **130**: 195–206.
- FISCHER, B. B., A. KRIEGER-LISZKAY, E. HIDEG, I. SNYRYCHOVA, M. WIESENDANGER et al., 2007b Role of singlet oxygen in chloroplast to nucleus retrograde signaling in *Chlamydomonas reinhard*tii. FEBS Lett. 581: 5555–5560.
- FLOHÉ, L., W. A. GUNZLER and H. H. SCHOCK, 1973 Glutathione peroxidase: a selenoenzyme. FEBS Lett. 32: 132–134.
- FOMENKO, D. E., and V. N. GLADYSHEV, 2003 Identity and functions of CxxC-derived motifs. Biochemistry **42**: 11214–11225.
- FU, L. H., X. F. WANG, Y. EYAL, Y. M. SHE, L. J. DONALD et al., 2002 A selenoprotein in the plant kingdom. Mass spectrometry confirms that an opal codon (UGA) encodes selenocysteine in *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii* gluththione peroxidase. J. Biol. Chem. 277: 25983–25991.
- GABER, A., M. TAMOI, T. TAKEDA, Y. NAKANO and S. SHIGEOKA, 2001 NADPH-dependent glutathione peroxidase-like proteins (Gpx-1, Gpx-2) reduce unsaturated fatty acid hydroperoxides in Synechocystis PCC 6803. FEBS Lett. 499: 32–36.
- GAMA, F., O. KEECH, F. EYMERY, I. FINKEMEIER, E. GELHAYE *et al.*, 2007 The mitochondrial type II peroxiredoxin from poplar. Physiol. Plant. **129**: 196–206.
- GENOT, G., H. WINTZ, G. HOULNE and E. JAMET, 2001 Molecular characterisation of a bean chloroplastic 2-Cys peroxiredoxin. Plant Physiol. Biochem. 39: 449–459.
- GOYER, A., C. HASLEKAS, M. MIGINIAC-MASLOW, U. KLEIN, P. LE MARÉCHAL *et al.*, 2002 Isolation and characterization of a thioredoxin-dependent peroxidase from *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii*. Eur. J. Biochem. **269**: 272–282.
- HANCOCK, J., R. DESIKAN, J. HARRISON, J. BRIGHT, R. HOOLEY *et al.*, 2006 Doing the unexpected: proteins involved in hydrogen peroxide perception. J. Exp. Bot. **57**: 1711–1718.
- HASLEKAS, C., M. K. VIKEN, P. E. GRINI, V. NYGAARD, S. H. NORDGARD et al., 2003 Seed 1-cysteine peroxiredoxin antioxidants are not involved in dormancy, but contribute to inhibition of germination during stress. Plant Physiol. 133: 1148–1157.
- HERBETTE, S., C. LENNE, N. LEBLANC, J. L. JULIEN, J. R. DREVET et al., 2002 Two GPX-like proteins from *Lycopersicon esculentum* and Helianthus annuus are antioxidant enzymes with phospholipid hydroperoxide glutathione peroxidase and thioredoxin peroxidase activities. Eur. J. Biochem. **269**: 2414–2420.
- HERBETTE, S., P. ROECKEL-DREVET and J. R. DREVET, 2007 Seleno-independent glutathione peroxidases. More than simple antioxidant scavengers. FEBS J. 274: 2163–2180.
- HILLAS, P. J., F. S. DEL ALBA, J. OYARZABAL, A. WILKS and P. R. ORTIZ DE MONTELLANO, 2000 The AhpC and AhpD antioxidant de-

fense system of *Mycobacterium tuberculosis*. J. Biol. Chem. **275**: 18801–18809.

- HORLING, F., M. BAIER and K. J. DIETZ, 2001 Redox-regulation of the expression of the peroxide-detoxifying chloroplast 2-cys peroxiredoxin in the liverwort *Riccia fluitans*. Planta 214: 304–313.
- HORLING, F., J. KONIG and K.-J. DIETZ, 2002 Type II peroxiredoxin C, a member of the peroxiredoxin family of *Arabidopsis thaliana*: its expression and activity in comparison with other peroxiredoxins. Plant Physiol. Biochem. **40**: 491–499.
- HORLING, F., P. LAMKEMEYER, J. KONIG, I. FINKEMEIER, A. KANDL-BINDER *et al.*, 2003 Divergent light-, ascorbate-, and oxidative stress-dependent regulation of expression of the peroxiredoxin gene family in Arabidopsis. Plant Physiol. **131**: 317–325.
- IMAI, H., and Y. NAKAGAWA, 2003 Biological significance of phospholipid hydroperoxide glutathione peroxidase (PHGPx, GPx4) in mammalian cells. Free Radic. Biol. Med. 34: 145–169.
- IQBAL, A., Y. YABUTA, T. TAKEDA, Y. NAKANO and S. SHIGEOKA, 2006 Hydroperoxide reduction by thioredoxin-specific glutathione peroxidase isoenzymes of *Arabidopsis thaliana*. FEBS J. 273: 5589–5597.
- JAMERS, A., K. VAN DER VEN, L. MOENS, J. ROBBENS, G. POTTERS et al., 2006 Effect of copper exposure on gene expression profiles in *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii* based on microarray analysis. Aquat. Toxicol. 80: 249–260.
- JOHANSSON, L., G. GAFVELIN and E. S. ARNER, 2005 Selenocysteine in proteins-properties and biotechnological use. Biochim. Biophys. Acta 1726: 1–13.
- JUNG, B. G., K. O. LEE, S. S. LEE, Y. H. CHI, H. H. JANG *et al.*, 2002 A Chinese cabbage cDNA with high sequence identity to phospholipid hydroperoxide glutathione peroxidases encodes a novel isoform of thioredoxin-dependent peroxidase. J. Biol. Chem. **277**: 12572–12578.
- KANDLBINDER, A., I. FINKEMEIER, D. WORMUTH, M. HANITZSCH and K. J. DIETZ, 2004 The antioxidant status of photosynthesizing leaves under nutrient deficiency: redox regulation, gene expression and antioxidant activity in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. Physiol. Plant **120:** 63–73.
- KANG, S. W., I. C. BAINES and S. G. RHEE, 1998 Characterization of a mammalian peroxiredoxin that contains one conserved cysteine. J. Biol. Chem. 273: 6303–6311.
- KHO, C. W., P. Y. LEE, K. H. BAE, S. CHO, Z. W. LEE *et al.*, 2006 Glutathione peroxidase 3 of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* regulates the activity of methionine sulfoxide reductase in a redox state-dependent way. Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun. **348**: 25–35.
- KIBA, A., M. NISHIHARA, N. TSUKATANI, T. NAKATSUKA, Y. KATO *et al.*, 2005 A peroxiredoxin Q homolog from gentians is involved in both resistance against fungal disease and oxidative stress. Plant Cell Physiol. **46**: 1007–1015.
- KIM, I. H., K. KIM and S. G. RHEE, 1989 Induction of an antioxidant protein of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* by O<sub>2</sub>, Fe<sup>3+</sup>, or 2-mercaptoethanol. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA **86:** 6018–6022.
- KNOOPS, B., A. CLIPPE, C. BOGARD, K. ARSALANE, R. WATTIEZ *et al.*, 1999 Cloning and characterization of AOEB166, a novel mammalian antioxidant enzyme of the peroxiredoxin family. J. Biol. Chem. **274**: 30451–30458.
- KOH, C. S., C. DIDIERJEAN, N. NAVROT, S. PANJIKAR, G. MULLIERT et al., 2007 Crystal structures of a poplar thioredoxin peroxidase that exhibits the structure of glutathione peroxidases: insights into redox-driven conformational changes. J. Mol. Biol. 370: 512–529.
- KONG, W., S. SHIOTA, Y. SHI, H. NAKAYAMA and K. NAKAYAMA, 2000 A novel peroxiredoxin of the plant *Sedum lineare* is a homologue of *Escherichia coli* bacterioferritin co-migratory protein (Bcp). Biochem. J. **351**: 107–114.
- KÖNIG, J., M. BAIER, F. HORLING, U. KAHMANN, G. HARRIS et al., 2002 The plant-specific function of 2-Cys peroxiredoxin-mediated detoxification of peroxides in the redox-hierarchy of photosynthetic electron flux. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 99: 5738–5743.
- KÖNIG, J., K. LOTTE, R. PLESSOW, A. BROCKHINKE, M. BAIER *et al.*, 2003 Reaction mechanism of plant 2-Cys peroxiredoxin. Role of the C terminus and the quaternary structure. J. Biol. Chem. 278: 24409–24420.
- KUGE, S., M. ARITA, A. MURAYAMA, K. MAETA, S. IZAWA et al., 2001 Regulation of the yeast Yap1p nuclear export signal is me-

diated by redox signal-induced reversible disulfide bond formation. Mol. Cell. Biol. 21: 6139–6150.

- LALOI, C., K. APEL and A. DANON, 2004 Reactive oxygen signalling: the latest news. Curr. Opin. Plant Biol. 7: 323–328.
- LAMKEMEYER, P., M. LAXA, V. COLLIN, W. LI, I. FINKEMEIER *et al.*, 2006 Peroxiredoxin Q of *Arabidopsis thaliana* is attached to the thylakoids and functions in context of photosynthesis. Plant J. **45:** 968–981.
- LATIFI, A., M. RUIZ, R. JEANJEAN and C. C. ZHANG, 2007 PrxQ-A, a member of the peroxiredoxin Q family, plays a major role in defense against oxidative stress in the cyanobacterium *Anabaena sp.* strain PCC7120. Free Radic. Biol. Med. **42**: 424–431.
- LEDFORD, H. K., I. BAROLI, J. W. SHIN, B. B. FISCHER, R. I. EGGEN *et al.*, 2004 Comparative profiling of lipid-soluble antioxidants and transcripts reveals two phases of photo-oxidative stress in a xanthophyll-deficient mutant of *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii*. Mol. Genet. Genomics **272**: 470–479.
- LEDFORD, H. K., B. L. CHIN and K. K. NIYOGI, 2007 Acclimation to singlet oxygen stress in *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii*. Eukaryot. Cell 6: 919–930.
- LEE, J., C. GODON, G. LAGNIEL, D. SPECTOR, J. GARIN *et al.*, 1999 Yap1 and Skn7 control two specialized oxidative stress response regulons in yeast. J. Biol. Chem. **274**: 16040–16046.
- LEE, S. P., Y. S. HWANG, Y. J. KIM, K. S. KWON, H. J. KIM *et al.*, 2001 Cyclophilin a binds to peroxiredoxins and activates its peroxidase activity. J. Biol. Chem. **276**: 29826–29832.
- LEISINGER, U., K. RUFENACHT, A. J. B. ZEHNDER and R. I. L. EGGEN, 1999 Structure of a glutathione peroxidase homologous gene involved in the oxidative stress response in *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii*. Plant Sci. 149: 139–149.
- LEISINGER, U., K. RUFENACHT, B. FISCHER, M. PESARO, A. SPENGLER et al., 2001 The glutathione peroxidase homologous gene from *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii* is transcriptionally up-regulated by singlet oxygen. Plant Mol. Biol. 46: 395–408.
- LEMAIRE, S. D., V. COLLIN, E. KERYER, A. QUESADA and M. MIGINIAC-MASLOW, 2003a Characterization of thioredoxin y, a new type of thioredoxin identified in the genome of *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii*. FEBS Lett. 543: 87–92.
- LEMAIRE, S. D., V. COLLIN, E. KERYER, E. ISSAKIDIS-BOURGUET, D. LAVERGNE *et al.*, 2003b *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii*: a model organism for the study of the thioredoxin family. Plant Physiol. Biochem. **41**: 513–521.
- LEMAIRE, S. D., B. GUILLON, P. LE MARÉCHAL, E. KERYER, M. MIGINIAC-MASLOW et al., 2004 New thioredoxin targets in the unicellular photosynthetic eukaryote *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii*. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA **101**: 7475–7480.
- LEMAIRE, S. D., 2004 The glutaredoxin family in oxygenic photosynthetic organisms. Photosyn. Res. **79:** 305–318.
- LEMAIRE, S. D., L. MICHELET, M. ZAFFAGNINI, V. MASSOT and E. ISSAKIDIS-BOURGUET, 2007 Thioredoxins in chloroplasts. Curr. Genet. 51: 343–365.
- LIM, Y. S., M. K. CHA, H. K. KIM and I. H. KIM, 1994 The thiol-specific antioxidant protein from human brain: gene cloning and analysis of conserved cysteine regions. Gene **140**: 279–284.
- LIU, X. P., X. Y. LIU, J. ZHANG, Z. L. XIA, X. LIU *et al.*, 2006 Molecular and functional characterization of sulfiredoxin homologs from higher plants. Cell Res. 16: 287–296.
- LOBANOV, Á. V., D. E. FOMENKO, Y. ZHANG, A. SENGUPTA, D. L. HATFIELD *et al.*, 2007 Evolutionary dynamics of eukaryotic selenoproteomes: large selenoproteomes may associate with aquatic life and small with terrestrial life. Genome Biol. 8: R198.
- MAIORINO, M., J. P. THOMAS, A. W. GIROTTI and F. URSINI, 1991 Reactivity of phospholipid hydroperoxide glutathione peroxidase with membrane and lipoprotein lipid hydroperoxides. Free Radic. Res. Commun. 12–13(Pt 1): 131–135.
- MAIORINO, M., K. D. AUMANN, R. BRIGELIUS-FLOHE, D. DORIA, J. VAN DEN HEUVEL et al., 1995 Probing the presumed catalytic triad of selenium-containing peroxidases by mutational analysis of phospholipid hydroperoxide glutathione peroxidase (PHGPx). Biol. Chem. Hoppe Seyler **376:** 651–660.
- MAIORINO, M., F. URSINI, V. BOSELLO, S. TOPPO, S. C. TOSATTO *et al.*, 2007 The thioredoxin specificity of Drosophila GPx: a paradigm for a peroxiredoxin-like mechanism of many glutathione peroxidases. J. Mol. Biol. **365**: 1033–1046.
- MANEVICH, Y., S. I. FEINSTEIN and A. B. FISHER, 2004 Activation of the antioxidant enzyme 1-CYS peroxiredoxin requires glutathio-

nylation mediated by heterodimerization with pi GST. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA **101**: 3780–3785.

- MERCHANT, S. S., S. E. PROCHNIK, O. VALLON, E. H. HARRIS, S. J. KARPOWICZ et al., 2007 The Chlamydomonas genome reveals the evolution of key animal and plant functions. Science **318**: 245–250.
- MIAO, Y., D. LV, P. WANG, X. C. WANG, J. CHEN et al., 2006 An Arabidopsis glutathione peroxidase functions as both a redox transducer and a scavenger in abscisic acid and drought stress responses. Plant Cell 18: 2749–2766.
- MICHELET, L., M. ZAFFAGNINI, V. MASSOT, E. KERYER, H. VANACKER et al., 2006 Thioredoxins, glutaredoxins, and glutathionylation: new crosstalks to explore. Photosyn. Res. 89: 225–245.
- MILLS, G. C., 1957 Hemoglobin catabolism. I. Glutathione peroxidase, an erythrocyte enzyme which protects hemoglobin from oxidative breakdown. J. Biol. Chem. 229: 189–197.
- MOON, J. C., H. H. JANG, H. B. CHAE, J. R. LEE, S. Y. LEE et al., 2006 The C-type Arabidopsis thioredoxin reductase ANTR-C acts as an electron donor to 2-Cys peroxiredoxins in chloroplasts. Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun. 348: 478–484.
- NAVROT, N., V. COLLIN, J. GUALBERTO, E. GELHAYE, M. HIRASAWA et al., 2006 Plant glutathione peroxidases are functional peroxiredoxins distributed in several subcellular compartments and regulated during biotic and abiotic stresses. Plant Physiol. 142: 1364–1379.
- NOGOCEKE, E., D. U. GOMMEL, M. KIESS, H. M. KALISZ and L. FLOHE, 1997 A unique cascade of oxidoreductases catalyses trypanothione-mediated peroxide metabolism in *Crithidia fasciculata*. Biol. Chem. **378**: 827–836.
- NOGUERA-MAZON, V., J. LEMOINE, O. WALKER, N. ROUHIER, A. SALVADOR *et al.*, 2006 Glutathionylation induces the dissociation of 1-Cys D-peroxiredoxin non-covalent homodimer. J. Biol. Chem. **281**: 31736–31742.
- NOVOSELOV, S. V., M. RAO, N. V. ONOSHKO, H. ZHI, G. V. KRYUKOV et al., 2002 Selenoproteins and selenocysteine insertion system in the model plant cell system, *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii*. EMBO J. 21: 3681–3693.
- PAPP, L. V., J. LU, A. HOLMGREN and K. K. KHANNA, 2007 From selenium to selenoproteins: synthesis, identity, and their role in human health. Antioxid. Redox Signal. 9: 775–806.
- PAUWELS, F., B. VERGAUWEN, F. VANROBAEYS, B. DEVREESE and J. J. VAN BEEUMEN, 2003 Purification and characterization of a chimeric enzyme from *Haemophilus influenzae* Rd that exhibits glutathione-dependent peroxidase activity. J. Biol. Chem. **278**: 16658– 16666.
- PAZOUR, G. J., N. AGRIN, J. LESZYK and G. B. WITMAN, 2005 Proteomic analysis of a eukaryotic cilium. J. Cell Biol. 170: 103–113.
- PÉREZ-RUIZ, J. M., M. C. SPINOLA, K. KIRCHSTEIGER, J. MORENO, M. SAHRAWY *et al.*, 2006 Rice NTRC is a high-efficiency redox system for chloroplast protection against oxidative damage. Plant Cell **18**: 2356–2368.
- PETERSSON, U. A., T. KIESELBACH, J. G. GARCIA-CERDAN and W. P. SCHRODER, 2006 The Prx Q protein of *Arabidopsis thaliana* is a member of the luminal chloroplast proteome. FEBS Lett. **580:** 6055–6061.
- PITZSCHKF, A., C. FORZANI and H. HIRT, 2006 Reactive oxygen species signaling in plants. Antioxid. Redox Signal. 8: 1757–1764.
- POOLE, L. B., 2005 Bacterial defenses against oxidants: mechanistic features of cysteine-based peroxidases and their flavoprotein reductases. Arch. Biochem. Biophys. 433: 240–254.
- RABILLOUD, T., M. HELLER, F. GASNIER, S. LUCHE, C. REY *et al.*, 2002 Proteomics analysis of cellular response to oxidative stress. Evidence for in vivo overoxidation of peroxiredoxins at their active site. J. Biol. Chem. **277**: 19396–19401.
- REY, P., N. BECUWE, M. B. BARRAULT, D. RUMEAU, M. HAVAUX et al., 2007 The Arabidopsis thaliana sulfiredoxin is a plastidic cysteinesulfinic acid reductase involved in the photooxidative stress response. Plant J. 49: 505–514.
- RHEE, S. G., S. W. KANG, W. JEONG, T. S. CHANG, K. S. YANG *et al.*, 2005a Intracellular messenger function of hydrogen peroxide and its regulation by peroxiredoxins. Curr. Opin. Cell Biol. 17: 183–189.
- RHEE, S. G., H. Z. CHAE and K. KIM, 2005b Peroxiredoxins: a historical overview and speculative preview of novel mechanisms and emerging concepts in cell signaling. Free Radic. Biol. Med. 38: 1543–1552.

- ROCHER, C., J. L. LALANNE and J. CHAUDIÈRE, 1992 Purification and properties of a recombinant sulfur analog of murine seleniumglutathione peroxidase. Eur. J. Biochem. 205: 955–960.
- RODRIGUEZ MILLA, M. A., A. MAURER, A. RODRIGUEZ HUETE and J. P. GUSTAFSON, 2003 Glutathione peroxidase genes in Arabidopsis are ubiquitous and regulated by abiotic stresses through diverse signaling pathways. Plant J. **36**: 602–615.
- ROTRUCK, J. T., A. L. POPF, H. E. GANTHER, A. B. SWANSON, D. G. HAFEMAN *et al.*, 1973 Selenium: biochemical role as a component of glutathione peroxidase. Science **179**: 588–590.
- ROUHIER, N., and J. P. JACQUOT, 2003 Molecular and catalytic properties of a peroxiredoxin-glutaredoxin hybrid from *Neisseria meningitidis*. FEBS Lett. 554: 149–153.
- ROUHIER, N., and J. P. JACQUOT, 2005 The plant multigenic family of thiol peroxidases. Free Radic. Biol. Med. **38**: 1413–1421.
- ROUHIER, N., E. GELHAYE, P. E. SAUTIERE, A. BRUN, P. LAURENT *et al.*, 2001 Isolation and characterization of a new peroxiredoxin from poplar sieve tubes that uses either glutaredoxin or thioredoxin as a proton donor. Plant Physiol. **127**: 1299–1309.
- ROUHIER, N., E. GELHAYE and J. P. JACQUOT, 2002 Glutaredoxindependent peroxiredoxin from poplar: protein-protein interaction and catalytic mechanism. J. Biol. Chem. 277: 13609– 13614.
- ROUHIER, N., E. GELHAYE and J. P. JACQUOT, 2004a Plant glutaredoxins: still mysterious reducing systems. Cell. Mol. Life Sci. 61: 1266–1277.
- ROUHIER, N., E. GELHAYE, J. M. GUALBERTO, M. N. JORDY, E. DE FAY et al., 2004b Poplar peroxiredoxin Q. A thioredoxin-linked chloroplast antioxidant functional in pathogen defense. Plant Physiol. 134: 1027–1038.
- ROUHIER, N., A. VILLAREJO, M. SRIVASTAVA, E. GELHAYE, O. KEECH et al., 2005 Identification of plant glutaredoxin targets. Antioxid. Redox Signal. 7: 919–929.
- ROUHIER, N., F. GAMA, G. WINGSLE, E. GELHAYE, P. GANS *et al.*, 2006 Engineering functional artificial hybrid proteins between poplar peroxiredoxin II and glutaredoxin or thioredoxin. Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun. **341:** 1300–1308.
- SAKAMOTO, A., S. TSUKAMOTO, H. YAMAMOTO, M. UEDA-HASHIMOTO, M. TAKAHASHI *et al.*, 2003 Functional complementation in yeast reveals a protective role of chloroplast 2-Cys peroxiredoxin against reactive nitrogen species. Plant J. 33: 841–851.
- SCHRODER, E., J. A. LITTLECHILD, A. A. LEBEDEV, N. ERRINGTON, A. A. VAGIN et al., 2000 Crystal structure of decameric 2-Cys peroxiredoxin from human erythrocytes at 1.7 A resolution. Structure 8: 605–615.
- SHIGEOKA, S., T. TAKEDA and T. HANAOKA, 1991 Characterization and immunological properties of selenium-containing glutathione peroxidase induced by selenite in *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii*. Biochem. J. 275(Pt 3): 623–627.
- STACY, R. A., T. W. NORDENG, F. A. CULIANEZ-MACIA and R. B. AALEN, 1999 The dormancy-related peroxiredoxin anti-oxidant, PER1, is localized to the nucleus of barley embryo and aleurone cells. Plant J. 19: 1–8.
- STORK, T., K. P. MICHEL, E. K. PISTORIUS and K. J. DIETZ, 2005 Bioinformatic analysis of the genomes of the cyanobacteria Synechocystis sp. PCC 6803 and Synechococcus elongatus PCC 7942 for the presence of peroxiredoxins and their transcript regulation under stress. J. Exp. Bot. 56: 3193–3206.
- SZTAJER, H., B. GAMAIN, K. D. AUMANN, C. SLOMIANNY, K. BECKER et al., 2001 The putative glutathione peroxidase gene of *Plasmo*dium falciparum codes for a thioredoxin peroxidase. J. Biol. Chem. 276: 7397–7403.
- TAKEDA, T., K. MIYAO, M. TAMOI, H. KANABOSHI, H. MIYASAKA et al., 2003 Molecular characterization of glutathione peroxidase-like protein in halotolerant *Chlamydomonas sp. W80*. Physiol. Plant 117: 467–475.
- TAMARIT, J., G. BELLI, E. CABISCOL, E. HERRERO and J. Ros, 2003 Biochemical characterization of yeast mitochondrial Grx5 monothiol glutaredoxin. J. Biol. Chem. 278: 25745–25751.
- TANAKA, T., S. IZAWA and Y. INOUE, 2005 GPX2, encoding a phospholipid hydroperoxide glutathione peroxidase homologue, codes for an atypical 2-Cys peroxiredoxin in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. J. Biol. Chem. **280**: 42078–42087.
- THOMAS, J. P., M. MAIORINO, F. URSINI and A. W. GIROTTI, 1990 Protective action of phospholipid hydroperoxide glutathi-

one peroxidase against membrane-damaging lipid peroxidation. In situ reduction of phospholipid and cholesterol hydroperoxides. J. Biol. Chem. **265:** 454–461.

- WAKITA, M., S. MASUDA, K. MOTOHASHI, T. HISABORI, H. OHTA et al., 2007 The significance of type II and PrxQ peroxiredoxins for antioxidative stress response in the purple bacterium *Rhodobacter* sphaeroides. J. Biol. Chem. 282: 27792–27801.
- WONG, C. M., Y. ZHOU, R. W. NG, H. F. KUNG HF and D. Y. JIN, 2002 Cooperation of yeast peroxiredoxins Tsa1p and Tsa2p in the cellular defense against oxidative and nitrosative stress. J. Biol. Chem. 277: 5385–5394.
- WOO, H. A., W. JEONG, T. S. CHANG, K. J. PARK, S. J. PARK *et al.*, 2005 Reduction of cysteine sulfinic acid by sulfiredoxin is specific to 2-cys peroxiredoxins. J. Biol. Chem. **280**: 3125–3128.
- WOOD, Z. A., L. B. POOLF, R. R. HANTGAN and P. A. KARPLUS, 2002 Dimers to doughnuts: redox-sensitive oligomerization of 2-cysteine peroxiredoxins. Biochemistry 41: 5493–5504.
- WOOD, Z. A., L. B. POOLE and P. A. KARPLUS, 2003a Peroxiredoxin evolution and the regulation of hydrogen peroxide signaling. Science **300**: 650–653.

- WOOD, Z. A., E. SCHRODER, J. ROBIN HARRIS and L. B. POOLE, 2003b Structure, mechanism and regulation of peroxiredoxins. Trends Biochem. Sci. 28: 32–40.
- YANG, K. S., S. W. KANG, H. A. WOO, S. C. HWANG, H. Z. CHAE *et al.*, 2002 Inactivation of human peroxiredoxin I during catalysis as the result of the oxidation of the catalytic site cysteine to cysteinesulfinic acid. J. Biol. Chem. **277**: 38029–38036.
- YOKOTA, A., S. SHIGEOKA, T. ONISHI and S. KITAOKA, 1988 Selenium as inducer of glutathione peroxidase in low-CO(2)-grown Chlamydomonas reinhardtä. Plant Physiol. 86: 649–651.
- YOSHIMURA, K., K. MIYAO, A. GABER, T. TAKEDA, H. KANABOSHI *et al.*, 2004 Enhancement of stress tolerance in transgenic tobacco plants overexpressing Chlamydomonas glutathione peroxidase in chloroplasts or cytosol. Plant J. **37**: 21–33.
- ZHANG, Z., J. SHRAGER, M. JAIN, C. W. CHANG, O. VALLON et al., 2004 Insights into the survival of *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii* during sulfur starvation based on microarray analysis of gene expression. Eukaryot. Cell 3: 1331–1348.

Communicating editor: S. DUTCHER