# The Sigma Factors of Bacillus subtilis

# WILLIAM G. HALDENWANG

Department of Microbiology, University of Texas Health Science Center, San Antonio, Texas 78284-7758

INTRODUCTION	2
COMPARISON BETWEEN RNAPS OF F. COLI AND R. SUBTILIS	3
S SUBTILIS σ FACTORS	
VEGETATIVE-CELL SIGMA FACTORS	4
Sigma Factor $\sigma^{A}$	4
Isolation and characterization	4
Structure of the $\sigma^{A}$ operon	5
Regulation of the sight operon	5
$E - \sigma^A$ -transcribed genes	5
Sigma Factor σ <sup>B</sup>	6
Isolation and characterization	6
Cloning the $\sigma^{\rm B}$ structural gene	6
$\sigma^{\rm B}$ regulation	7
E-σ <sup>B</sup> -transcribed genes	9
Sigma Factor $\sigma^{c}$	10
Isolation and characterization	10
$E-\sigma^{C}$ -transcribed genes	10
Sigma Factor $\sigma^{\mathrm{D}}$	10
Isolation and characterization	10
Cloning the $\sigma^{ m D}$ structural gene	10
E-σ <sup>D</sup> -transcribed genes	10
Sigma Factor σ <sup>H</sup>	11
Isolation and characterization	11
Regulation of $\sigma^{H}$	11
Е-о <sup>н</sup> -transcribed genes	12
Sigma Factor σ <sup>L</sup>	12
SPORULATION-SPECIFIC SIGMA FACTORS	13
Sigma Factor σ <sup>E</sup>	13
Isolation and characterization	13
Cloning of $\sigma_{\mu}^{\mu}$ structural gene	14
Regulation of $\sigma^{L}$	14
(i) Pro-σ <sup>E</sup> synthesis	14
(ii) <b>Fro-or</b> processing	14
E-o <sup></sup> transcribed genes	16
Sigma Factor of	17
Closing and characterization	17
σ <sup>°</sup> regulation	18
(1) Transcriptional regulation	18
(ii) Postransiational regulation	10
E-G -transcribed genes	19
Signa Factor O	19
a source tion	19
o regulation	19
E-0 -transcribed genes	
Signa fattor 0 Isolation and cloning	, <u>2</u> 1 21
rsonation and crowing	21
(i) Formation of viok	21
(ii) sigK transcription	
(iii) Pro-σ <sup>K</sup> processing	
E-σ <sup>K</sup> -transcribed genes	
CONCLUDING REMARKS	
ACKNOWLEDGMENTS	
REFERENCES	24

# INTRODUCTION

Regulation of gene expression in bacteria occurs primarily at the level of transcription. Although DNA-binding proteins (repressors and activators) can significantly affect the efficiency of transcription, the specificity of the transcription reaction rests on interactions between the transcribing enzyme (RNA polymerase [RNAP]) and the DNA sites (promoters) with which it makes contact. Bacterial RNAPs are routinely isolated in two distinct forms: core RNAP, which catalyzes the polymerization of ribonucleotides into the RNA complement of a DNA template, and RNAP holoenzyme, which contains the subunits of the core molecule ( $\beta$ ,  $\beta'$ , and  $\alpha_2$ ) plus an additional protein ( $\sigma$ factor) that permits the holoenzyme to recognize promoter elements and initiate transcription at these sites. Much of what is known of the interactions between  $\sigma$ , core RNAP, and promoters came originally from experiments with Escherichia coli RNAP (reviewed in references 30 and 39). These studies showed that the specificity of the RNAP holoenzyme for its cognate promoter depends on its sigma subunit and that the association of  $\sigma$  with core RNAP is transient. The holoenzyme form initiates transcription; however, shortly after this initiation, the  $\sigma$  subunit is discharged, leaving a processive core enzyme to elongate the initiated transcript.

If cells contained multiple sigma proteins with unique promoter preferences, sigma factor substitution could be a potent vehicle for gene control. The cycling of the  $\sigma$  subunit on and off of core RNAP during the transcription cycle would provide an opportunity for  $\sigma$  factor exchange and a reprogramming of RNAP. The notion that multiple  $\sigma$  factors might exist as bacterial gene regulators was proposed when the properties of  $\sigma$ were first described (31). However, the discovery of alternative sigma factors was not immediately forthcoming. In both E. coli and Bacillus subtilis, only a single form of RNAP sigma factor was identified to direct the transcription of the available DNA templates (29, 31, 270). Early evidence that RNAP could be reprogrammed by multiple  $\sigma$  factors came from studies of bacteriophage-infected cells. The B. subtilis bacteriophages SPO1 and SP82 and the E. coli bacteriophage T4 were shown to encode regulatory proteins that were essential for the transcription of portions of their genomes (71, 95, 153, 154, 278, 303). The B. subtilis phage system proved to be more amenable than that of E. coli to biochemical analysis, and it was from SPO1-infected cells that the first "alternate" sigma factors were purified (71, 95, 228, 303). These proteins fulfilled the requirements of new specificity determinants. They could associate with core RNAP and, in the absence of the known B. subtilis  $\sigma$  factor  $\sigma^{55}$  (now designated  $\sigma^A$ ), direct it to bind and initiate transcription at novel bacteriophage promoters. Details of the discovery and biochemical properties of the B. subtilis bacteriophage  $\sigma$  factors have been previously summarized in detail (64, 100). Throughout this review, I will refer to individual RNAP holoenzymes as  $E - \sigma^X$ : E represents core RNAP, and  $\sigma^X$  represents the particular  $\sigma$  factor that it carries. B. subtilis sigma factors were initially designated by a number which corresponded to their apparent molecular masses (e.g.,  $\sigma^{55}$ , 55,000 Da;  $\sigma^{37}$ , 37,000 Da). This nomenclature has been abandoned and replaced by letter designations ( $\sigma^{55} = \sigma^A, \sigma^3$ =  $\sigma^{B}$ , etc.), which permits the signa factor's identity to be incorporated into its genetic designation (e.g., the gene for  $\sigma^{A}$ is sigA) (187).

The discovery of multiple sigma factors in bacteriophageinfected cells established a precedent for the reprogramming of RNAP by a change in sigma factor composition, but direct evidence for alternative  $\sigma$  factors in uninfected bacteria was still lacking. It was possible that the bacteriophage-encoded sigma factors were merely specialized devices for usurping the host cell's biosynthetic machinery to viral ends rather than an indication that multiple  $\sigma$  factors existed as a general mode of gene control to be used by uninfected bacteria. Circumstantial evidence that multiple forms of RNAP were present in uninfected bacteria came from studies of sporulating B. subtilis. Sporulation is a starvation response by which B. subtilis converts itself from an actively growing vegetative cell into a dormant spore. It represents a series of morphological and physiological events that occur by the sequential activation and silencing of blocks of genes (a recent review of sporulation can be found in reference 76). It was noted more than 20 years ago that during sporulation, changes occur in the template specificity of the sporulating cell's RNAP. Virulent bacteriophages, which normally multiply in and lyse vegetatively growing B. subtilis, fail to replicate in bacteria that are sporulating. The phage genome enters the developing cell but becomes trapped and unable to direct the synthesis of progeny phage (276, 332). The failure of the viral genomes to be expressed in sporulating B. subtilis parallels a progressive inability of RNAP extracted from these cells to transcribe bacteriophage templates in vitro. During the first 2 h after the onset of sporulation, there is a sharp decrease in both the ability of the cells to support a productive bacteriophage infection and the activity of the extractable RNAP to transcribe bacteriophage but not synthetic [i.e., poly(dAT)] DNAs in vitro (25, 26, 185). The loss in bacteriophage-specific transcription correlates with a loss of  $\sigma^{A}$  ( $\sigma^{55}$ ) from the isolated RNAP (25, 185) and prompted Losick and Sonenshein to suggest that changes in the template specificity of RNAP during sporulation are responsible for the failure of virus replication (185). Following this finding, workers in the Losick laboratory (107, 108, 181) and others (98, 129, 219) purified RNAP from sporulating B. subtilis and isolated several different sporulation forms of this enzyme. Each of the enzymes had distinct subunit structures and chromatographic properties. They contained the same RNAP core component but had additional sporulation-specific polypeptides that ranged in size from 20,000 to 95,000 Da, copurifying with the core molecule (98, 107, 108, 129, 181, 216, 219).

Although it was widely believed that these RNAP-associated proteins could be contributing to the altered specificity of the sporulating cell's RNAP, a lack of cloned sporulation-specific promoters prevented a direct test of their significance. A breakthrough occurred when a sporulation-specific gene was identified and its coding sequence cloned on an E. coli plasmid (265). This cloned sporulation gene (0.4Kb, now known as spoVG) was the first B. subtilis gene to be cloned. By using in vitro transcription of spoVG as an assay, a novel form of RNA polymerase which initiated transcription at the spoVG promoter but not at promoters that are recognized by the known B. subtilis RNAP holoenzyme  $E-\sigma^A$  was isolated from sporulating B. subtilis (113, 114). The novel RNAP had the subunit composition of core RNAP plus an additional polypeptide with an apparent molecular mass of 37,000 Da. Reconstitution experiments demonstrated that the promoter specificity of the RNA polymerase was a function of this protein and that, in the absence of  $\sigma^{A}$ , it conferred on core RNAP the ability to bind and initiate transcription at a unique promoter element (114). From these criteria, this protein was designated a novel  $\sigma$ factor ( $\sigma^{37}$ , renamed  $\sigma^{B}$ ). At about the same time that  $\sigma^{B}$  was discovered, a third unique RNAP holoenzyme (E- $\sigma^{28}$ , now  $E - \sigma^{D}$ ) was detected and purified from vegetative *B. subtilis* on the basis of novel in vitro transcription from an E. coli bacteriophage T7 DNA template (143, 324). Since then, seven additional  $\sigma$  proteins have been identified in *B. subtilis*. Although multiple sigma factors have now been documented in several

different bacterial species and are likely to be a common feature in most, if not all, bacteria, *B. subtilis* remains the bacterium with the largest number of characterized sigma proteins. This review will describe these interesting proteins and their discovery, likely functions, and unexpectedly complex regulation.

# COMPARISON BETWEEN RNAPS OF E. COLI AND B. SUBTILIS

Although it is assumed that much of the RNAP biochemistry learned in *E. coli* is directly applicable to the *B. subtilis* enzyme, the RNAPs from these bacteria are not identical. In *E. coli*, the largest polypeptide of core RNAP is  $\beta'$ , a subunit that can bind DNA (99, 267), while the second largest subunit,  $\beta$ , is the target for the initiation inhibitors rifampin and streptolydigin (141, 243). In *B. subtilis*, rifampin resistance mutations occur in  $\beta'$ , the largest subunit (115). Furthermore, mutations that confer streptolydigin resistance do not map to this subunit but are found in the gene for the other large subunit,  $\beta$  (116). The significance of these differences in antibiotic targeting is unknown.

More striking than the potential distinctiveness of the  $\beta$  and  $\beta'$  subunits of *E. coli* and *B. subtilis* is the presence of a unique RNAP subunit ( $\delta$ ) in *B. subtilis*. Delta peptide was discovered as a host-encoded 21,000-Da protein that was associated with the RNAP extracted from SPO1-infected cells and subsequently found to be a normal component of RNAP from uninfected bacteria (228). In vitro, the addition of  $\delta$  protein to transcription reactions reduces nonspecific initiation by RNAP containing either  $\sigma^{A}$  or bacteriophage-encoded  $\sigma$  factors (2, 3, 62, 277, 302). Although there is a report that the binding of either  $\sigma$  or  $\delta$  to core RNAP is mutually exclusive (325), others have found that  $\delta$  can bind to core RNAP in either the presence or absence of  $\sigma$  (131). The idea that  $\delta$  functions prior to the initiation of transcription was suggested by a study in which the subunit composition of B. subtilis RNAP was determined under conditions which allowed the formation of stable enzyme-DNA complexes but which either blocked the initiation of transcription or permitted transcription to occur (279). Delta peptide was shown to be released from RNAP upon formation of enzyme-DNA complexes, whereas the sigma subunit was not released until transcription was ongoing (279).

Methylation protection and DNA footprinting experiments demonstrated that the presence or absence of  $\delta$  has little or no effect on the region of promoters bound by E- $\sigma$  (1). This result has been taken as evidence that  $\delta$  enhances promoter selectivity by limiting the number of possible interactions that E- $\sigma$  can make with DNA rather than by contributing to promoter recognition. In *E. coli*,  $\sigma^{70}$  has been attributed with both the properties of promoter recognition and the inhibition of nonspecific complex formation. It has been hypothesized that these functions may be allocated to separate proteins in B. subtilis (1, 120). Demonstrating a role for  $\delta$  in vivo has been problematic. By using a synthetic oligonucleotide as a probe, the structural gene for  $\delta$  (*rpoE*) was cloned and sequenced (173). rpoE has no significant homology to any other protein, including  $\sigma$  factors and the known bacterial RNAP subunits, and its disruption confers no obvious phenotype on B. subtilis. Viability, sporulation, and bacteriophage growth are unimpaired in an rpoE mutant strain (173). It has been suggested that if  $\delta$  plays an important role in gene expression in vivo, there must be other gene products that can substitute for it in the *rpoE* mutant (173).

Although differences in antibiotic targeting to separate RNAP core subunits and the presence of the  $\delta$  protein in the

*B. subtilis* enzyme imply that the *B. subtilis* and *E. coli* RNAPs have unique qualities, there do not appear to be substantial differences between the core enzymes in the way that they interact with and use  $\sigma$  factors. Transcription by either *E. coli* or *B. subtilis* core RNAP can be stimulated in vitro by the other's sigma factor (322). In addition, *B. subtilis*  $\sigma^{A}$  protein has been shown to direct *E. coli* core RNAP to  $\sigma^{A}$ -dependent promoters in vitro (58), and synthesis of the *B. subtilis*  $\sigma^{D}$  protein in *E. coli* has been reported to substitute for its *E. coli* counterpart ( $\sigma^{F}$ ) in activating flagellar gene expression (43).

# **B. SUBTILIS σ FACTORS**

The first alternate sigma factors were discovered in *B. subtilis* on the basis of their biochemical qualities, with their structural genes revealed in later studies. More recently, homology searches of protein sequence databases have reversed the process and established several cloned regulatory genes as the coding sequences for putative  $\sigma$  factors. The structural genes for  $\sigma^{\rm E}$ ,  $\sigma^{\rm F}$ ,  $\sigma^{\rm G}$ , and  $\sigma^{\rm L}$  were identified in this manner (60, 78, 152, 201, 283, 285).

There are at present 10 known  $\sigma$  factors in *B. subtilis* (Table 1). Nine of these have been cloned, sequenced, and mapped to sites on the B. subtilis chromosome. These sites, along with the relative map positions of the other RNAP subunits ( $\beta$  and  $\beta'$ [*rpoBC*],  $\alpha$  [*rpoA*], and  $\delta$  [*rpoE*]), are illustrated in Fig. 1. Only two of the known sigma factor genes (sigE and sigG) are linked. The remaining sigma factor genes are scattered along the B. subtilis chromosome with no obvious rationale for their location. The current and historical designations of the 10 sigma factors, along with their putative functions and target promoter sequences, are included in Table 1. The sigma factors that were discovered on the basis of biochemical activity (e.g.,  $\sigma^{A}$ ) were initially designated on the basis of their apparent mass when electrophoresed on sodium dodecyl sulfate (SDS)-polyacrylamide gels (e.g.,  $\sigma^{A} = \sigma^{55}$ ). Invariably, these apparent molecular masses were overestimates. When the structural genes for the sigma factors were cloned and sequenced, the predicted masses of their products were found to be approximately 20% less than the size estimated by their mobilities on SDS-polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (PAGE). Hence,  $\sigma^{55}$  became  $\sigma^{43}$  (314). The confusion caused by the changing estimates of  $\boldsymbol{\sigma}$  factor size was lessened with the introduction of letter designations for the sigma factors ( $\sigma^{55} = \sigma^{43} = \sigma^{A}$ ). The letter designations for the sigma factors provide a simple way of carrying their identity over to the names for their structural genes (e.g., the gene for  $\sigma^{A}$  is *sigA*) (187); however, historical influences have complicated the naming of sigma factor genes. For example, the target promoter sequence and function of  $\sigma^{A}$ are similar to those of the principal sigma factor of E. coli  $(\sigma^{70})$ , which led to the  $\sigma^{A}$  structural gene's being given the genetic designation used for its E. coli counterpart (i.e., rpoD) (239). In addition, several of the sigma factors were found to be the products of genes that were originally identified as sporulation-essential loci and designated on the basis of this feature (e.g.,  $\sigma^{H}$  is encoded by *spo0H*) (70). These designations are included in Table 1.

Table 1 also lists the generally accepted functions of the regulons that each sigma factor directs. It should be noted, however, that this list of functions is almost certainly incomplete because of limited representation of candidate genes for some of the sigma factors (e.g.,  $\sigma^{B}$  and  $\sigma^{C}$ ). The small number of available "target genes" for some of the sigma factors also compromises the list of consensus sequences. Although most consensus sequences are based on homologies shared by many promoters and verified by mutational studies (e.g.,  $\sigma^{A}$  and  $\sigma^{E}$ ),

Sigma factor (alternative designation)	Gene(s)	Function	Promoter sequence <sup>b</sup>			
			-35	Spacer (bp)	-10	Reference
Vegetative-cell factors						
$\sigma^{A}(\sigma^{43},\sigma^{55})$	sigA, rpoD	Housekeeping/early sporulation	TTGACA	17	TATAAT	210
$\sigma^{\rm B}(\sigma^{37})$	sigB	General stress response	RGGXTTRA	14	GGGTAT	24
$\sigma^{C}(\sigma^{32})$	Unknown	Postexponential gene expression	AAATC	15	TAXTGYTTZTA	145
$\sigma^{D}(\sigma^{28})$	sigD, flaB	Chemotaxis/autolysin/flagellar gene expression	TAAA	15	GCCGA <u>T</u> AT	119
$\sigma^{H}(\sigma^{30})$	sigH, spoOH	Postexponential gene expression; competence and early sporulation genes	RWAGGAXXT	14	HGAA <u>T</u>	237
$\sigma^{L}$	sigL	Degradative enzyme gene expression	TGGCAC	5	TTGCANN <u>N</u>	59
Sporulation-specific factors						
$\sigma^{\rm E}(\sigma^{29})$	sigE, spoIIGB	Early mother cell gene expression	ZHATAXX	14	CATACAHT	252
$\sigma^{\rm F}$ ( $\sigma^{\rm spolIAC}$ )	sigF, spoILAC	Early forespore gene expression	GCATR	15	GGHRARHTX	291
$\sigma^{G}$	sigG, spoIIIG	Late forespore gene expression	GHATR	18	CATXHTA	217
$\sigma^{K}(\sigma^{27})$	sigK, spoIVCB:spoIIIC	Late mother cell gene expression	AC	17	CATA <u>N</u> NNTA	338

TABLE 1. B. subtilis  $\sigma$  factors<sup>a</sup>

<sup>*a*</sup> The designations for the sigma proteins and their structural genes as well as likely functions of their regulons are listed. References for each item can be found in the text. The probable consensus sequences for the holoenzyme forms are aligned at their -10 positions (underlined). The spacer region represents the number of bases between the upstreammost -10 region base that is given and the downstreammost base of the -35 region. The reference for each consensus sequence is listed to its right.

right. <sup>b</sup> H, A or C; N, A, G, C, or T; R, A or G; W, A, G, or C; X, A or T; Y, C or T; Z, T or G.

others (e.g.,  $\sigma^{C}$ ) are inferred from as few as two promoters. A detailed description of each of the sigma factors follows.

# **VEGETATIVE-CELL SIGMA FACTORS**

#### Sigma Factor $\sigma^{A}$

**Isolation and characterization.**  $\sigma^{A}$  is the principal sigma factor present in vegetatively growing *B. subtilis*. E- $\sigma^{A}$  was the first holoenzyme isolated from *B. subtilis*, being readily purified by the standard chromatographic techniques that had been used for the isolation of the *E. coli* enzyme (8, 185, 190, 234, 270, 271).  $\sigma^{A}$ , like its *E. coli* counterpart  $\sigma^{70}$ , is separable from core RNAP by chromatography on phosphocellulose (190, 271). The  $\sigma^{A}$  protein has an apparent molecular mass of 55,000 Da when electrophoresed through SDS-polyacrylamide gels (8, 270, 271). The addition of purified  $\sigma^{A}$  to core RNA polymerase stimulates in vitro transcription from several *B. subtilis* 



FIG. 1. Chromosomal locations of *B. subtilis* RNAP subunits. The map is based on the maps of Henner and Hoch (124) and Losick et al. (187). RNAP subunits are represented on the outside of the map, with "linked" landmark loci drawn within the circle. Min 360/0, 90, 180, and 270 are indicated.

bacteriophage DNAs as well as E. coli phage T4 and synthetic [poly(dA-dT)] DNAs (41, 190, 271, 302). The specificity of E- $\sigma^{A}$  appears to be very similar to that of *E*. *coli* E- $\sigma^{70}$ . Early transcription studies revealed that E. coli RNAP and  $E-\sigma^A$ bound the same restriction endonuclease-generated fragments of B. subtilis SPO1 DNA and initiated transcription at similar sites on SPO1 (100, 176) and \$\$\phi29\$ (57, 58) DNA. More recently, genetic experiments demonstrated that homologous substitutions in  $\sigma^{A}$  and  $\sigma^{70}$  have similar consequences for promoter recognition (160). Although the transcription specificities of the B. subtilis and E. coli holoenzymes are similar, they are not identical. E. coli RNAP can initiate transcription at additional sites in  $\phi$ 29 template that are not used by E- $\sigma^A$  (58). In addition, E- $\sigma^{A}$  transcribes T4 DNA relatively poorly (271) and interacts more weakly with T7 promoter sites (323) than does the *E. coli* enzyme. In one study,  $E - \sigma^A$  transcribed an SPO1 promoter 30 times more effectively than the lacUV5 promoter, while  $E - \sigma^{70}$  was only 50% more active on the SPO1 promoter (176). When  $\sigma^{A}$ -dependent promoters were cloned and sequenced, it was found that their common sequences, at the regions known to be important in promoter recognition by  $\sigma^{70}$  (i.e., bp -10 and -35), were identical to their counterparts in  $\sigma^{70}$ -dependent promoters (176, 210, 214). To account for the difference in specificity between the holoenzymes in light of identical -10 and -35 recognition regions, additional sequence elements, either between these regions or upstream of the -35 region, were proposed to be important for efficient use of promoters by E- $\sigma^{A}$  (176, 210, 214). One study attempted to identify such elements by isolating mutant *lacUV5* promoters that displayed increased activity in *B. subtilis* (123). Mutations conferring the desired phenotype were found to be clustered between bp -18 and -14 and near the transcription initiation site. Most of the mutations had little effect on promoter activity in E. coli, but they increased lacUV5 activity as much as 28-fold in B. subtilis. It was concluded that although the sequences in the highly conserved -35 and -10 regions of the promoter are B. subtilis sigA operon



FIG. 2. B. subtilis sigA operon. The sigA operon, based on an overview by Qi et al. (242), is drawn with the E. coli rpoD operon (32) provided for comparison. The dnaE/dnaG and sigA/rpoD genes encode homologous proteins. The six promoters of sigA (P<sub>1</sub> to  $P_6$ ) and the approximate start sites of their mRNAs (arrows) are illustrated. The form of RNAP that recognizes each promoter is indicated, if known.

important, additional sequences in the promoter region contribute to gene expression in *B. subtilis* (123).

**Structure of the**  $\sigma^{A}$  **operon.** The structural gene for  $\sigma^{A}$  was isolated by using polyclonal anti- $\sigma^{A}$  antibody to probe a *B. subtilis* genomic library (239). The nucleotide sequence of the cloned DNA revealed two genes preceding *sigA* (314). The three genes are organized into an operon which is similar to the operon that encodes  $\sigma^{70}$  of *E. coli* (Fig. 2). The *E. coli* operon specifies three products: the ribosomal protein S21 (*rpsU*), DNA primase (*dnaG*), and  $\sigma^{70}$  (*rpoD*) (32). The three genes forming the *B. subtilis*  $\sigma^{A}$  operon are P<sup>23</sup>, *dnaE*, and *rpoD* (*sigA*) (314). Both *dnaE* and *sigA* resemble the *E. coli* genes. *dnaE* encodes a 68,428-Da protein with significant similarity (31%) to *E. coli* DNA primase (318). The *sigA/rpoD* product (42,828 Da) is more than 50% homologous with  $\sigma^{70}$  (105, 314).

The similarities that are found in the two promoter-distal genes of the E. coli and B. subtilis operons do not extend to the first gene of the operons. P23 is more than twice the size of S21 and shares no homology with it (314). The sequence of P23 contains three potential translation initiation sites that, if used, would form proteins of 23,000 (P23), 19,000 (P19), and 9,000 (P9) Da with the same carboxy terminus. Fusion protein studies, using E. coli lacZ as a reporter gene, have shown that all three translation initiation sites are used in vivo and that their expression is developmentally regulated (316). During vegetative growth, P23 and P19 but not P9 are synthesized; however, when the stationary phase is reached, promoter switching occurs within the operon (see below), resulting in P9's becoming the primary translation product (316). The P23 function is unknown. Mutagenesis studies in which P23 was inactivated by inserting a chloramphenicol acetyltransferase (CAT) gene into its interior revealed no obvious detrimental effect on growth or sporulation (343). One mutant in which P23 was deleted sporulated earlier than the wild-type strain; however, this deletion also removed one of the operon's  $\sigma^{H}$ -dependent promoters, thus making the cause of the altered sporulation phenotype unclear (343).

**Regulation of the** *sigA* **operon.** Two of the three genes encoded by the *sigA* operon are essential for growth. It is therefore not unreasonable to expect that the cell would provide multiple means by which these gene products could be expressed in response to a number of different growth conditions.

The observed transcription of the sigA operon seems to bear out this notion. Six promoters of the sigA operon have been identified (Fig. 2) and characterized. The P1 and P2 promoters are active at a significant level only during vegetative growth, with P2 being used to a greater extent then P1 (317). P1 and P2 were found to contain sequences typical of promoters recognized by  $\sigma^A$ , with the -35 region of P2 overlapping the -10region of P1 (317). Why two similar overlapping promoters direct vegetative expression of *sigA* has not been determined. A third promoter, P3, differs from the preceding two in both sequence and time of expression. P3 is not active during vegetative growth but is used after B. subtilis begins sporulation (317). The sequence of P3 as well as its time of expression suggested that it might be transcribed by an alternate form of RNAP. E- $\sigma^{B}$  was initially proposed to be the transcribing enzyme (317); however, studies of sigA expression in B. subtilis mutants that lacked  $\sigma^{B}$  demonstrated that  $\sigma^{B}$  is not needed for expression from P3 (38). Instead,  $E-\sigma^{H}$  appears to be the enzyme that recognizes P3.  $E-\sigma^{H}$  efficiently and accurately uses P3 in vitro, and the P3 transcript is not synthesized in cells which lack  $\sigma^{H}$  (38).  $\sigma^{H}$  is believed to direct post-exponentialphase gene expression (see below), and so the identification of an E- $\sigma^{H}$  promoter for the *sigA* operon can be viewed as an indication of the need for continued sigA expression after the end of vegetative growth (38). A second  $\sigma^{H}$ -dependent promoter (P4) was subsequently demonstrated (241). Both  $\sigma^{H}$ dependent promoters are employed at low levels during vegetative growth and are activated during early stationary phase (241). Expression from the upstream  $\sigma^{H}$ -dependent promoter (P3) allows transcription of a portion of the P23 gene (316) as well as the dnaE and sigA genes (317); however, expression from P4, which is located in the ribosome-binding site (RBS) of dnaE, results in the expression of only the sigA gene because of abbreviation of the dnaE RBS in the P4-directed transcript (241). Promoter changeover thus appears to alter the expression pattern of the operon.

Aside from the changes in P23, which are of unknown significance, there is the potential for the continued synthesis of  $\sigma^{A}$  in post-exponential-phase cells but reduced expression of *dnaE* (primase). This has been proposed to be in keeping with the cessation of chromosome replication that occurs at this time (241). Recently, a fifth promoter (P5) was discovered in primer extension and promoter probe studies (242). Unlike the other four promoters, transcription from P5 does not become evident until 3 to 5 h after the onset of sporulation (242). Mutations in the genes for  $\sigma$  factors ( $\sigma^{E}$ ,  $\sigma^{G}$ ,  $\sigma^{H}$ , and  $\sigma^{K}$ ) that are known to be needed during sporulation did not block transcription from P5, although mutations in the sporulation gene activator-repressor system (Spo0A) severely reduced expression from P5 (242). The existence of P5 is taken as evidence that  $\sigma^{A}$  has an ongoing role during the later stages of sporulation (242). It has not been determined whether an unknown form of RNAP is needed for transcription from P5 or if a known holoenzyme (e.g.,  $E-\sigma^A$ ), in concert with DNAbinding proteins, triggers P5 activation. There is a sixth promoter (P6) in the sigA operon which is incompletely defined but appears to be used when B. subtilis is exposed to elevated temperatures (315). P6 is positioned in the operon so as to allow only the expression of  $\sigma^{A}$  (Fig. 2). P6 may represent a mechanism for the synthesis of  $\sigma^{A}$  during thermal stress, as occurs for a  $\sigma^{70}$  in *E. coli* following heat shock (299).

**E-\sigma^{A}-transcribed genes.**  $\sigma^{A}$  is the most abundant sigma factor found in purified RNAP from vegetatively growing *B. subtilis* (63, 270) and also the most similar to  $\sigma^{70}$ , the primary *E. coli*  $\sigma$  factor (120).  $\sigma^{A}$  and  $\sigma^{70}$  have a similar consensus promoter sequence (120) and are the host sigma factors that

direct the transcription of bacteriophage genes following infection by their respective viruses (64, 120, 176, 278). These similarities argue that  $\sigma^{A}$  is the *B. subtilis* counterpart of  $\sigma^{70}$ and, as such, likely to direct the transcription of most of the B. subtilis genes expressed during growth in rich medium. In addition to expressing these "housekeeping genes,"  $\sigma^{A}$  is also involved in specialized gene expression. The DNA damageinducible (*din*) promoter regions of B. subtilis contain  $\sigma^{A}$ -like recognition sequences (44), as do at least some of the genes that are required for the heat shock response (40, 180, 321) and the B. subtilis stationary-phase functions of degradative enzyme synthesis (225) and the development of competence for DNA transformation (68).  $\sigma^{A}$  also plays a role in the expression of early sporulation genes. Several catabolite-resistant sporulation (crsA) mutations, which both overcome the repressive effects of glucose on sporulation and partially suppress some mutations in sporulation regulatory genes (spo0B, spo0E, spo0F, spo0K, and spoIIN/spoIIG279), have been found to be base changes within the coding sequence for sigA (155, 156, 177, 238). Conversely, intergenic suppressors of a crsA mutation were isolated and mapped to the spo0A, spo0B, and spo0E genes (156). Spo0A is a DNA-binding protein which functions as a transcriptional activator-repressor of post-exponential-phase gene expression (28, 127). It is critical for the initiation of sporulation, with several of the other stage 0 sporulation gene products participating in Spo0A activation. Genetic evidence for an interaction between sigA and components of the spo0A regulatory machine suggested that  $\sigma^A$  has a role in expressing genes that are involved in the initiation of sporulation (238). Explicit evidence for this role came with the discovery that specific base substitutions in the promoter elements of the spo0A-dependent sporulation genes spoIIG and *spoIIE* could be suppressed by amino acid substitutions in  $\sigma^A$ (158, 161, 258, 334). Transcription of spoIIG and spoIIE does not begin until after the onset of sporulation; hence, at least some  $\sigma^{A}$  activity persists into the early stages of this process (101, 109, 159, 334).

Although there is clear evidence that  $\sigma^A$  has a function in early spore gene expression, its role at later times in sporulation is controversial. The loss of  $\sigma^{A}$  activity and the disappearance of extractable  $E - \sigma^A$  from sporulating *B. subtilis* was an early indication of this organism's RNAP heterogeneity (25, 182); however, there are reports of some investigators being able to isolate  $E - \sigma^A$  from sporulating *B. subtilis* (63). Although  $E - \sigma^A$  is not routinely isolated from sporulating *B. subtilis*, immunological probes can detect  $\sigma^{A}$  in these cells (73, 301). Anti- $\sigma^{A}$  antibody immunoprecipitates similar amounts of  $\sigma^{A}$ from crude extracts of cells that either were growing vegetatively or had progressed 3 to 4 h into sporulation (301). The segregation of  $\sigma^{A}$  from RNAP during RNAP purification was found to be a chloramphenicol-sensitive phenomenon. Addition of chloramphenicol to sporulating B. subtilis rapidly restores both  $\sigma^{A}$  activity in the treated cells and the ability to isolate  $E - \sigma^A$  from their extracts (266). From these results, sporulating cells were hypothesized to contain an unstable (half-life, 11 min) inhibitor of  $\sigma^{A}$  that can become depleted after chloramphenicol treatment to allow the reformation of E- $\sigma^{A}$  (266). This hypothetical  $\sigma^{A}$  inhibitor and its proposed instability could account for the disparity among investigators' abilities to isolate  $E \cdot \sigma^A$  from cultures of sporulating *B. subtilis*. The loss of the putative inhibitor from some preparations may be responsible for the reformation of  $E-\sigma^A$ .

The role, if any, of  $\sigma^{A}$  in late spore gene expression remains undefined. The isolation of E- $\sigma^{A}$  from sporulating *B. subtilis* (63) and the discovery of a promoter (P5) within the *sigA* operon which may direct the synthesis of  $\sigma^{A}$  late in sporulation (242) offer the possibility that  $E - \sigma^A$  could be needed late in development. Presumably, its role at this time would involve directing the transcription of  $\sigma^A$ -dependent genes whose expression must persist throughout sporulation. However, the difficulty with which  $E - \sigma^A$  is isolated from sporulating cells (25, 182) and the loss of bacteriophage gene expression (25, 185) following the onset of sporulation suggest that once the early sporulation genes are expressed,  $\sigma^A$  may become largely inactive. If this is so, the possible synthesis of  $\sigma^A$  late in sporulation (242) could represent a device to provide the spore with  $\sigma^A$  that is inactivated and stored for use during germination and outgrowth (33).

## Sigma Factor $\sigma^{B}$

**Isolation and characterization.**  $\sigma^{B}$ , the first alternate  $\sigma$  factor to be found in bacteria, was detected as a subunit of an RNAP holoenzyme that transcribed a cloned sporulation gene (0.4Kb/spoVG) in vitro (113). spoVG could not be transcribed in vitro by purified  $E - \sigma^A$  but was transcribed by partially purified RNAP that had been prepared from sporulating B. subtilis (113). The enzyme responsible for the transcription, resolved by sequential chromatography on phosphocellulose and DNA-cellulose, was found to be a minor component of the total RNAP population (114). It had the subunit composition of core RNAP plus an additional protein  $(\sigma^{37}/\sigma^{B})$  with an apparent molecular mass of 37,000 Da.  $\sigma^{B}$ , unlike  $\sigma^{A}$ , did not separate from core RNAP during chromatography on phosphocellulose (113). Although this characteristic aided in the initial purification of  $E \cdot \sigma^{B}$ , it complicated the isolation of  $\sigma^{B}$ for reconstitution studies. Dissociation of highly purified  $E\text{-}\sigma^{B}$ with 6 M urea followed by fractionation of the subunits by phosphocellulose chromatography was used to isolate the  $\sigma^{\check{\mathbf{B}}}$ protein (114). The fractionated  $\sigma^{B}$  subunit, when added back to purified core RNAP, reconstituted a holoenzyme that would selectively bind and initiate transcription from promoters (ctc and spoVG) that were not recognized by E- $\hat{\sigma}^{A}$  (114). The probable promoter sequences of these genes argued that  $E - \sigma^B$ was recognizing a nucleotide sequence element (Table 1) that was distinct from that used by  $E^{-\sigma^{A}}$  (208–211, 297).  $E^{-\sigma^{B}}$  was initially isolated from early sporulating B. subtilis; however, subsequent studies demonstrated that it is primarily a vegetative-cell  $\sigma$  factor. The levels of E- $\sigma^{\rm B}$  and E- $\sigma^{\rm A}$  purified from vegetatively growing or sporulating B. subtilis decrease in parallel as the cells proceed into development, so that by 2 h after the onset of sporulation, the levels of both enzymes are markedly reduced (112). Although  $E - \sigma^B$ 's period of abundance par-allels that of  $E - \sigma^A$ , the amount of  $E - \sigma^B$  present in vegetatively growing or early sporulating cells is less than 5% of the  $E \cdot \sigma^{\hat{A}}$  level (112, 113). Thus, compared with  $\sigma^{A}$ ,  $\sigma^{B}$  is a minorabundance sigma factor.

**Cloning the**  $\sigma^{\text{B}}$  **structural gene.** The gene that encodes  $\sigma^{\text{B}}$  was independently cloned and sequenced by two laboratories, using either a deduced oligonucleotide sequence (18) or anti- $\sigma^{\text{B}}$  polyclonal antibodies (75) as probes to identify the  $\sigma^{\text{B}}$ -encoding region. The nucleotide sequence of *sigB* specifies a protein of 30,143 Da whose predicted amino acid sequence shows significant homology with that of known  $\sigma$  proteins (18, 75). Disruption of the *sigB* sequence has no obvious effect on the ability of *B. subtilis* to grow or sporulate (18, 75).

Sequence analysis of the region surrounding *sigB* revealed the presence of three additional open reading frames, which were initially called *orfV*, *orfW*, and *orfX* but renamed *rsb* (regulator of sigma B) once their role in  $\sigma^{\rm B}$  control was established (Fig. 3) (75, 151). *rsbV*, *rsbW*, and *rsbX* are calculated to encode proteins of 12,000, 18,000, and 22,000 Da, respectively Α.

FIG. 3. B. subtilis sigB and sigF operons. The sigB (A) and sigF (spoILA) (B) operons are drawn based on the data of Kalman et al. (151) and Fort and Piggot (91), respectively. rsbV/W and spoILAA/AB encode homologous proteins, with the initiation codon of the downstream member of the pair (i.e., rsbW and spoILAB) overlapping the termination codon of the upstream member (rsbV or spoILAA). Thirteen codons of the sigB structural gene are contained within rsbW, while the sigF structural gene is separated by 11 bp from the spoILAB termination codon. The sigB operon contains a fourth gene (rsbX) not found in the sigF operon. rsbX's initiation codon overlaps the termination codon of sigB. sigB and sigF both have at least two promoters, with the principal promoters of each operon being dependent on  $E-\sigma^{B}$  and  $E-\sigma^{H}$ , respectively.

(151). The gene order is rsbV-rsbW-sigB-rsbX, with the initiation codons for *rsbW* and *rsbX* overlapping the termination codons for rsbV and sigB, respectively, and the first 13 codons of sigB lying within, but out of frame with, the last codons of rsbW (151). Primer extension and S1 nuclease mapping demonstrated that the major sigB operon RNA initiates 32 nucleotides upstream of rsbV and terminates 34 nucleotides downstream of rsbX (151). sigB is therefore the third gene of a four-gene operon. A comparison of the sequence of the sigBoperon with sequences in a protein data bank revealed a striking similarity of the genetic organization of the sigB operon to that of the B. subtilis sigF operon (spoIIA) (151). Both sigma factor genes are preceded in their respective operons by two highly homologous proteins (RsbV, SpoIIAA; RsbW, SpoI-IAB) (Fig. 3). RsbV has 32% amino acid sequence identity to SpoIIAA, and RsbW has 27% identity with SpoIIAB (151). From these similarities, it was speculated that these protein pairs might regulate their respective sigma factors by a similar molecular mechanism and that the spoIIA and sigB operons could control divergent branches of post-exponential-phase gene expression (151).

 $\sigma^{\rm B}$  regulation. The  $\sigma^{\rm B}$  structural gene is the third gene in a four-gene operon whose principal promoter is itself dependent on E- $\sigma^{\rm B}$  (151). The nucleotide sequence of the promoter has the consensus sequence at -10 and -35 found in other  $\sigma^{B}$ dependent promoters (151). In addition, the activity of the promoter, measured either by means of a sigB-lacZ transcriptional fusion (151) or by Northern (RNA blot) analysis (13), is eliminated in *B. subtilis* strains with null mutations in the  $\sigma^{B}$ structural gene. At least one additional promoter for the sigB operon, which does not depend on  $\sigma^{B}$  for its activity, is likely to exist. Plasmids which form transcriptional fusions and express the E. coli lacZ gene upon integrating into active operons were found to display β-galactosidase activity when they entered the sigB operon upstream of the  $\sigma^{\rm B}$  coding region (151). This integration event should have disrupted  $\sigma^{B}$  synthesis, and yet transcription into the reporter gene persisted. There is no obvious termination site upstream of the sigB operon. This

leaves open the possibility that the transcript of an upstream promoter or operon could extend into *sigB*. The RNAP responsible for this transcript and the conditions under which it contributes to *sigB* expression are unknown. Under routine culture conditions, expression of the *sigB* operon is  $\sigma^{\rm B}$  dependent and likely originates from the promoter immediately upstream of *rsbV*.

The  $\sigma^{\rm B}$ -dependent promoter of the sigB operon is principally regulated by the activity of  $\sigma^{\rm B}$  itself. Although  $\sigma^{\rm B}$ 's activity does not change dramatically under conventional culture conditions (13, 151), it has the potential to be silenced or activated to very high levels by the products of the other genes within the sigB operon (13-15, 75, 133, 151, 312). The most promoter-distal gene of the sigB operon was the first to be implicated as a regulator of  $\sigma^{B}$  activity. Two groups independently discovered that null mutations in rsbX caused both an elevation in the expression of  $\sigma^{\rm B}$ -dependent promoters and an inhibition of the growth of *B. subtilis* strains which carried the mutations (75, 133). The growth inhibition observed in the RsbX<sup>-</sup> strains was relieved by secondary mutations within the  $\sigma^{\rm B}$  coding region (75, 133). RsbX was proposed to be a negative regulator of  $\sigma^{\rm B}$  synthesis or activity, with the heightened  $\sigma^{B}$  activity in its absence being detrimental to *B. subtilis* growth (75, 133, 151). Roles for RsbV and RsbW as additional regulators of  $\sigma^{B}$  activity were first proposed on the basis of their similarity to regulatory genes contained within *spoIIA*, the  $\sigma^{F}$ operon (151). A direct test of the significance of *rsbV* and *rsbW* in regulating  $\sigma^{B}$  came from studies in which their coding sequences were inactivated by directed frameshift (13) or inframe deletion (23) mutations. Mutations in rsbW, like those in *rsbX*, resulted in elevated expression from  $\sigma^{\rm B}$ -dependent promoters. In contrast,  $\sigma^{B}$ -dependent promoter activity in *B. sub*tilis strains with mutations in rsbV was found to be virtually nonexistent, resembling the level of activity found in mutant strains which lack  $\sigma^{B}$  itself. RsbW and RsbX thus acted as negative regulators of  $\sigma^{B}$ , while RsbV behaved as a positive regulator. rsbV mutations were found to be epistatic to rsbX mutations but subordinate to mutations in rsbW. These results were interpreted as evidence that RsbW is the primary negative regulator of  $\sigma^{B}$ , with RsbV being needed to counteract its inhibitory effects (13, 23). Because RsbV must be present for RsbX to exert its effect, its site of negative regulation is thought to be upstream of RsbV/RsbW in the regulatory pathway (13, 15, 23).

The above-referenced results did not distinguish between an effect of the *rsbV*, *rsbW*, and *rsbX* proteins at the  $\sigma^{B}$ -dependent promoter of sigB alone or at additional promoters that are recognized by  $E \cdot \sigma^B$ . Although the mutations in *rsbV*, *rsbW*, and *rsbX* have identical effects on the activity of both the  $\sigma^{B}$ dependent sigB and ctc promoters, the effect of the mutations on ctc transcription could be indirect, i.e., a consequence of their influence on sigB expression and the resulting changes in  $\sigma^{\rm B}$  levels. Studies in which the  $\sigma^{\rm B}$ -dependent promoter of sigB was deleted and replaced with an inducible  $\sigma^{A}$ -dependent promoter (P<sub>SPAC</sub>) argued that the rsbV/rsbW regulatory system is not limited to the expression of sigB (15, 23). In B. subtilis strains in which sigB expression is dependent on  $P_{SPAC}$ , the  $\sigma^{\rm B}$ -dependent *ctc* transcription remains sensitive to the *rsbV*/ rsbW network: i.e., even with a P<sub>SPAC</sub>-driven sigB operon, ctc transcription is barely detectable in an rsbV mutant strain and expressed at very high levels in an rsbW mutant strain (15, 23). On the basis of this finding, RsbV and RsbW were concluded to be general regulators of  $\sigma^{B}$  activity. Unlike the RsbW-RsbV pair, RsbX mutations had no apparent effect on  $\sigma^{\rm B}$  activity when the sigB operon was expressed from  $P_{SPAC}$  (15, 23). Although this finding suggested that RsbX controls sigB

operon expression and not the state of  $\sigma^{\rm B}$  activity, such a notion was not easily reconciled with the observation that  $\sigma^{\rm B}$ -dependent promoters are expressed at very high levels in RsbX<sup>-</sup> RsbW<sup>+</sup> RsbV<sup>+</sup> strains. It would be expected that if a loss of RsbX merely elevated the expression of the *sigB* operon, the coordinately enhanced synthesis of RsbW as well as  $\sigma^{\rm B}$  would continue to inhibit  $\sigma^{\rm B}$ .

This apparent paradox was resolved by the discovery of an additional *sigB* regulatory gene, *rsbU*, whose product is essential for the RsbX-regulated pathway (312). This gene, lying immediately upstream of the *sigB* operon, was disrupted during the construction of the strains used in some of the earlier *rsbX* studies (15). If *rsbU* is intact, inactivation of RsbX results in a dramatic increase in  $\sigma^{B}$ -dependent transcription regardless of whether the *sigB* operon is expressed from its normal promoter or  $P_{SPAC}$  (312). The increase in  $\sigma^{B}$  activity following a loss of RsbX still requires a functional RsbV. The data are consistent with RsbX's being an indirect regulator of  $\sigma^{B}$  activity, inhibiting an RsbU-dependent process that stimulates RsbV's ability to counteract RsbW. Although important details of the interactions of RsbU, X, V, and W are largely missing, the basic mechanism by which RsbW inhibits  $\sigma^{B}$ -dependent transcription is becoming clear.

Antibodies specific for either RsbW or  $\sigma^{\rm B}$  have been found to coprecipitate both proteins from crude cell extracts (14). In addition, gel filtration studies, coupled with Western (immunoblot) analyses, demonstrated that the binding of  $\sigma^{\rm B}$  to RNAP and its association with RsbW are mutually exclusive (14). In reconstitution experiments, in which partially purified  $\sigma^{\rm B}$  and RsbW were added to core RNAP in vitro, RsbW efficiently blocked  $\sigma^{\rm B}$ -dependent transcription, but only if it was incubated with  $\sigma^{\rm B}$  prior to the addition of core RNAP (14). Taken together, these results support a model (14) in which RsbW functions as an anti- $\sigma$  factor, binding to  $\sigma^{\rm B}$  and preventing  $\sigma^{\rm B}$ 's association with core RNAP.

RsbV's role as a positive regulator appears to involve keeping  $\sigma^{\rm B}$  free from RsbW- $\sigma^{\rm B}$  complexes. Gel filtration chromatography and nondenaturing gel electrophoresis studies visualized complexes of RsbW-RsbV that were distinct from the RsbW- $\sigma^{\rm B}$  complexes (72). The data support a model in which RsbV binds directly to RsbW and blocks its ability to form the RsbW- $\sigma^{B}$  complex. Thus,  $\sigma^{B}$  activity would appear to be controlled by the differential association of RsbW with either  $\sigma^{B}$ or RsbV. The factors determining whether RsbW will bind to either RsbV or  $\sigma^{\rm B}$  are largely unknown; however, two conditions have been proposed to influence RsbW's binding decision. The first circumstance was suggested from in vitro studies of the RsbW homolog SpoIIAB, the anti- $\sigma^{F}$  factor (5). These studies showed that SpoIIAB preferentially binds to  $\sigma^{F}$  or SpoIIAA (an RsbV counterpart) in response to the ATP/ADP ratio present in the reaction mixture. A high ATP/ADP ratio favors SpoIIAB binding to  $\sigma^{F}$ , while a low ratio results in preferential association of SpoIIAB with SpoIIAA (5). RsbW is hypothesized to react similarly to ATP/ADP ratios, with  $\sigma^{B}$ induction triggered by a drop in ATP, which shifts RsbW to RsbV and the release of  $\sigma^{B}$ . A second factor that could potentially influence RsbW's binding decision is the phosphorylation state of RsbV. Both RsbV and SpoIIAA can be kinased in vitro by their respective anti-o partners (RsbW and SpoI-IAB, respectively) (72, 202). In the case of RsbV, variants of RsbV with isoelectric focusing (IEF) properties identical to those of phosphorylated and unphosphorylated forms of RsbV have also been visualized when crude B. subtilis extracts were subjected to IEF and analyzed by Western blot (72). An IEF analysis of the proteins present in gel filtration fractions containing RsbV-RsbW complexes or RsbV alone revealed that

only the unmodified form of RsbV was associated with RsbW (72). The presumed phosphorylated variant of RsbV was present in those fractions which did not contain RsbW. Apparently, the phosphorylation of RsbV by RsbW diminishes RsbV's ability to associate with RsbW. It is not known whether the RsbW-dependent phosphorylation of RsbV represents a device to prevent binding of the modified RsbV to RsbW or a consequence of a reaction that RsbW must catalyze in order to change its specificity for RsbV or  $\sigma^{B}$ .

 $\sigma^{B}$ -dependent transcription is activated when *B. subtilis* enters the stationary phase of growth or is subjected to any of several environmental insults, including heat shock, O<sub>2</sub> limitation, or exposure to ethanol or high salt (13, 16, 21, 23, 313). Although all of these conditions involve a release of  $\sigma^{B}$  from RsbW inhibition, different pathways may be involved in effecting this release. Heat shock-induced  $\sigma^{B}$  activation, in contrast to the  $\sigma^{\rm B}$  activation that occurs upon entry into stationary phase or following treatments with ethanol or high salt, can at least partially occur without a functional RsbV gene (16, 21). Either the RsbW- $\sigma^{B}$  complex itself is thermolabile, or RsbW is dissociated from  $\sigma^{\rm B}$  by an alternative release factor that has not yet been found. If this second possibility is correct, RsbW could be a common target for distinct factors that respond to different environmental cues. Further complexity comes from the observation that even the RsbV-dependent  $\sigma^{B}$  activation response can require additional components. The induction of  $\sigma^{B}$  by ethanol and salt stress but not by entry into the stationary phase requires RsbU as well as RsbV (312). This suggests that entry into stationary phase and ethanol or salt stress are either generating different signals which influence RsbW's binding decision or ultimately generate the same signal, with entry into stationary phase initiating this signal without the need for RsbU. It is unknown which regulatory protein receives and responds to the  $\sigma^{B}$  activation signal; however, RsbW's central role makes it a probable target.

Although the induction of  $\sigma^{B}$ -dependent genes by several different environmental stresses argues that  $\sigma^{B}$  is a component of the B. subtilis general stress response, it does not appear to be essential to B. subtilis's survival under any of these conditions. The dispensability of  $\sigma^{B}$  is most clearly illustrated during heat shock. The B. subtilis homologs of the essential E. coli heat shock genes have been identified (6, 166, 180, 262). As would be expected from the finding that B. subtilis strains with null mutations in sigB are no more temperature sensitive than their wild-type counterparts, it has been observed that  $SigB^+$ and SigB<sup>-</sup> strains produce the principal heat-inducible proteins in similar amounts (16, 313). There are, however, additional proteins which are present after a temperature shift in the wild-type strain but are absent in a *sigB* null mutant (16, 313). Thus, although  $\sigma^{B}$  is not required for the expression of essential heat shock genes, it is activated by heat shock to elevate its own synthesis and the synthesis of several other heat-inducible proteins. Although the role of most of the  $\sigma^{B}$ dependent gene products is unknown, they presumably provide a beneficial but nonessential function that aids B. subtilis in adopting to heat shock and other stresses.

The observation that both *rsbV* and *rsbW* and their homologs *spoIIAA* and *spoIIAB* have overlapping termination and initiation codons with identical sequences at the junction of each suggested the possibility that each gene pair may be translationally coupled (151). Presumably, this would be a device to ensure equimolar synthesis of proteins that act together in vivo. Experimental support for translational coupling in the *spoIIAA* system came when a mutation in the RBS of *spoIIAA* was found to confer the phenotype of a strain deficient in both *spoIIAA* and *spoIIAB* and significantly reduce the expression of

a downstream spoIIAB::lacZ translational fusion (227). A corresponding loss in rsbW activity was not seen, however, when a frameshift mutation was introduced into rsbV (13). The rsbV mutation had a distinct phenotype that was opposite that elicited by rsbV rsbW double mutations (13). In experiments in which antibody probes specific for RsbV, RsbW, and  $\sigma^{B}$  were used to monitor possible polar effects of the mutations on the accumulation of downstream gene products, the rsbV frameshift mutation was shown to have no effect on RsbW levels, but an *rsbW* frameshift mutation dramatically reduced the abundance of  $\sigma^{B}$  (15). The RBS and coding region for the first 13 amino acid residues of  $\sigma^{\rm B}$  are within the *rsbW* open reading frame (151). This overlap suggests that the reduction in  $\sigma^{\rm B}$ levels could be due to translational coupling between  $\sigma^{\rm B}$  and the gene product, RsbW, that is critical to its regulation. It should be noted, however, that RsbW and  $\sigma^{B}$  appear to form a complex in vivo. Thus, it is possible that  $\sigma^{\rm B}$  is more susceptible to degradation in the absence of RsbW, and its low levels in the RsbW strain reflects its enhanced turnover.

**E**-σ<sup>B</sup>-transcribed genes. σ<sup>B</sup> was initially purified based on its ability to transcribe the early sporulation gene *spoVG* (0.4*Kb*) in vitro (113). This transcription was likely fortuitous. σ<sup>B</sup> is dispensable for sporulation (18), and an in vivo role for σ<sup>B</sup> in transcribing this gene is in doubt (70). *spoVG* is one of three genes (*spoVG*, *aprE* [subtilisin], and P43 [function unknown]) that are transcribed by E-σ<sup>B</sup> in vitro but have not been shown to be expressed by this form of RNAP in vivo (106, 209, 225, 325). All three are transcribed by several forms of RNAP, and in the cases of *spoVG* and *aprE*, there is evidence that σ<sup>B</sup> does not contribute significantly to their expression under the growth conditions that are normally employed (70, 225).

ctc (catabolite controlled) represents another gene of unknown function that is transcribed by  $E - \sigma^{B}$ . However, unlike the examples given above, there is good evidence that ctc's  $\sigma^{\rm B}$ -dependent promoter is active in vivo (18, 133, 134, 247, 298). *ctc* was fortuitously discovered as a  $\sigma^{B}$ -dependent transcription unit on a DNA fragment which contained the cloned spoVG gene (222). It was initially thought that ctc was spoVC, a sporulation gene that is closely linked to it (212); however genetic and sequencing studies revealed that they are distinct (132, 308). The 5' terminus of *spoVC* begins 60 bp downstream of the 3' end of the ctc coding sequence (132). Although ctc and spoVC are separate genes, they may have synergistic functions. If a strain contains a mutation in spoVC as well as a disrupted *ctc*, a growth lag is observed when the culture is shifted from 37 to 48°C (308). Disruption of ctc alone does not affect growth but inhibits sporulation at elevated temperatures (48°C). These data suggested that ctc could have a role to play, albeit a minor one, during thermal stress. The ctc promoter was also found to be activated during heat shock, salt stress, glucose or oxygen limitation, oxidative stress, and cessation of growth under conditions that inhibit the activity of the tricarboxylic acid cycle (TCA) (16, 21, 134, 313). ctc induction following growth arrest has been shown to require only the minimum ctc promoter element (134). Induction of ctc under this and the other activation conditions is likely due to the activation of  $\sigma^{B}$  by its partial release from RsbW-dependent inhibition (15, 23). Although the  $\sigma^{\rm B}$ -dependent promoter of *ctc* is the major contributor to ctc expression, there appears to be a second ctc transcript that initiates upstream of the  $\sigma^{B}$ -dependent promoter (17). Immediately upstream of the -35 region of the ctc promoter is the structural gene for the purine and pyrimidine nucleotide biosynthetic enzyme phosphoribosyldiphosphate synthetase (218). It is likely that this operon's transcript contributes to ctc expression.

Another  $\sigma^{\text{B}}$ -controlled gene is gsiB (313). This gene was

identified as one of several glucose starvation-inducible (gsi) transcription units that were isolated on the basis of their activation following glucose deprivation (213). Although originally proposed to be transcribed by  $E \cdot \sigma^A$  (213), gsiB has a promoter element that is highly homologous to  $\sigma^B$ -dependent promoters and fails to be induced in vivo in a *B. subtilis* strain lacking  $\sigma^B$  (313). gsiB appears to be a monocistronic operon encoding a 13,789-Da hydrophilic protein, organized in five tandem units of 20 amino acids (213). The product shows no strong homology to known proteins, and its loss does not confer an obvious phenotype on *B. subtilis. gsiB*, like *ctc*, is induced in response to a number of environmental stresses (313).

A gene (csbA [controlled by sigma B]) with induction properties similar to those of ctc, i.e., it is maximally expressed during early stationary phase in medium that nutritionally suppresses the TCA cycle, was identified during the screening of a random Tn917 lacZ library for lacZ fusions whose maximum expression required an intact sigB gene (24). The csbA reading frame encodes a 76-residue product of unknown function that is both hydrophobic and basic (24). A  $\sigma^{\rm B}$ -dependent promoter was identified 83 bp upstream of the csbA coding sequence, with a weaker  $\sigma^{A}$ -like promoter located between the  $\sigma^{B}$  promoter and the csbA open reading frame (24). Screening a similar Tn917 lacZ library for  $\beta$ -galactosidase expression in a B. subtilis strain with an rsbX mutation (i.e., a strain with heightened  $\sigma^{\rm B}$  activity) led to the isolation of 11 additional operons that appeared to be wholly or partly controlled by  $\sigma^{\rm B}$ (22). The lacZ fusions mapped to six different loci which displayed diverse patterns of expression during logarithmic and stationary growth phases, with the  $\sigma^{B}$ -dependent aspect of their transcription largely confined to stationary-phase cells (22). The high frequency with which independent loci were detected by this means suggested to the investigators that  $\sigma^{B}$ controls a large stationary-phase regulon (22).

One of the fusions (*gta*) isolated in the Tn917 *lacZ* screening was found to encode UDP-glucose pyrophosphorylase, the enzyme that catalyzes the synthesis of UDP-glucose (310). *gta* is the first gene with a known function that has been shown to be transcribed by  $E-\sigma^B$  in vivo. It has been proposed that UDP-glucose could be important for *B. subtilis* to respond to stationary-phase stress and that the transcription of *gta* by  $E-\sigma^B$  reflects a role for  $\sigma^B$  in this process (310).

At present, 17 B. subtilis genes have been identified as having  $\sigma^{B}$ -like promoter elements upstream of their coding sequences. In addition, one of two cloned Bacillus licheniformis alkaline phosphatase genes has been reported to have a  $\sigma^{B}$ -like promoter that can be used by  $E - \sigma^B$  in vitro (130). Most, if not all, of the *B. subtilis* genes that are transcribed by  $E - \sigma^B$  are transcribed at a heightened level when B. subtilis is exposed to environmental stress, have additional promoters that are recognized by other RNAP holoenzymes, and, for those that have been tested, are nonessential for growth or sporulation under normal laboratory conditions. If the B. subtilis genes that are known to rely on  $\sigma^{B}$  for their expression in vivo are representative of the  $\sigma^{B}$  regulon as a whole, their expression patterns suggest that  $\sigma^{B}$  participates in general stress response (heat shock, osmotic shock, entry into stationary phase, etc.). However, the apparent nonessential role of  $\sigma^{B}$  in permitting B. subtilis to deal with these stresses leaves its function in this process obscure. The multiple promoters of E- $\sigma^B$ -transcribed genes may contribute to the cryptic nature of  $\sigma^{B}$ 's role. If the bulk of the  $\sigma^{B}$  regulon can be transcribed by alternative means,  $\sigma^{\rm B}$  may enhance some stress responses without being critical to any of them.

# Sigma Factor $\sigma^{C}$

Isolation and characterization.  $E{\text{-}}\sigma^{C}$  was detected as an in vitro transcriptional activity that initiates RNA synthesis from a site (P2) on the spoVG/0.4Kb gene which is distinct from the site (P1) where  $E \cdot \sigma^B$  initiates transcription (145, 209). RNAP purified by phosphocellulose and DNA-cellulose chromatography gives only the  $\sigma^{\rm B}$ -dependent transcript from the spoVG template; however, in the absence of phosphocellulose chromatography, a less purified enzyme yields dual transcripts from *spoVG* (145). The E- $\sigma^{C}$  activity was separated from E- $\sigma^{B}$  by gradient elution from DNA-cellulose and purified further by DEAE-Sephacel chromatography, followed by gradient elution from a second DNA-cellulose column (145, 209). SDS-PAGE analysis of the proteins present in the fractions eluting from the second DNA-cellulose column revealed a 32,000-Da protein whose abundance paralleled the transcriptional activity at P2. This protein was present in very small amounts and detectable only by high-sensitivity silver staining (145). The 32-kDa protein  $(\sigma^{32}/\sigma^{C})$  band was cut from the gel, renatured, and shown to confer on core RNAP the ability to initiate transcription at the P2 promoter of spoVG (145). As implied by the need to use silver stain to detect it,  $\sigma^{C}$  is a very low abundance protein. From the apparent ratio of  $\sigma^{C}$  to RNAP core subunits and on the assumption that there are approximately 5,000 molecules of RNAP per cell, it has been estimated that there are only 30 molecules of  $\sigma^{C}$  per *B. subtilis* cell, 10-fold lower than the estimate for  $\sigma^{\rm B}$  (145).  $\sigma^{\rm C}$  protein cannot be directly detected without extensive purification; however, its activity can be measured in partially purified extracts. B. subtilis was surveyed for  $\sigma^{C}$  activity in different growth states by using P2 promoter activity as an assay. From this measurement,  $\sigma^{C}$ , like  $\sigma^{B}$ , was found to be present in growing cells, early sporulating cells, and stationary-phase cells that were blocked by mutation (spo0A) from entering sporulation (145). The low abundance of  $\sigma^{C}$  has discouraged its study. No report has been published on this  $\sigma$  factor since its discovery. Its function, structural gene, and regulation remain undefined.

E- $\sigma^{C}$ -transcribed genes. There are two genes at which E- $\sigma^{C}$ is known to initiate transcription in vitro, spoVG/0.4Kb and ctc (145). In neither case has it been shown that  $E-\sigma^{C}$  functions at these promoters in vivo. The transcriptional start site of spoVG that is used by  $E - \sigma^{C}$  in vitro can be detected as an in vivo initiation site by S1 nuclease analysis of cellular RNAs (145); however, it is not known whether  $E - \sigma^{C}$  is actually responsible for this in vivo transcript. There are no known null mutations in the  $\sigma^{\rm C}$  structured gene, and hence, the contribution of E- $\sigma^{\rm C}$ to this transcript is, at present, untestable. E- $\sigma^{C}$  also initiates transcription at the *ctc* promoter; however, unlike the situation at *spoVG*, where  $E \cdot \sigma^B$  and  $E \cdot \sigma^C$  have distinct start sites, both enzymes initiate ctc transcription at the same point on the ctc template (145) and mutations in the promoter region which diminish its use by  $E - \sigma^B$  also reduce its use by  $E - \sigma^C$  (296). The contribution of  $E - \sigma^{C}$  to *ctc* expression in vitro does not appear to be significant under the culture conditions that are normally employed. Null mutations in sigB virtually eliminate ctc transcription (13, 23, 134). If  $E - \sigma^{C}$  transcribes *ctc* in vivo, either its contribution is minor or the proper environmental stimulus for its activation has not yet been determined. A comparison of the ctc promoter with the P2 promoter of spoVG revealed conserved sequences that were different from those shared by *ctc* and other  $\sigma^{\text{B}}$ -recognized promoters (Table 1) (145).

# Sigma Factor $\sigma^{D}$

**Isolation and characterization.** Preparations of RNAP from exponentially growing *B. subtilis* were found to recognize an in

vitro promoter on E. coli bacteriophage T7 DNA that is not used by E- $\sigma^{A}$  (324). Fractionation of the preparation by chromatography on heparin-agarose separated the RNAP into two peaks of activity. The high-salt-eluting fraction was composed of E- $\sigma^{A}$ , while the fraction which eluted at low ionic strength had a relatively low level of RNAP but a novel transcriptional activity on T7 DNA (324). SDS-PAGE analysis of the fractions containing the novel activity demonstrated the absence of  $\sigma^{A}$ but revealed the presence of a polypeptide of 28,000 Da ( $\sigma^{\rm D}$ ), with a direct coincidence between content of this protein and the novel T7 transcription. RNAP with this unique specificity was used to transcribe pooled plasmid DNAs from a library of cloned B. subtilis chromosomal DNA (103). Plasmids that functioned as highly active templates for this enzyme were selected and shown to contain promoters used by the novel RNAP but not by  $E - \sigma^A$ . By employing one of these "strong" promoters as a sensitive assay, a reconstitution experiment was performed in which the 28,000-Da protein was cut from an SDS-polyacrylamide gel, renatured, and shown to confer the distinct promoter specificity on core RNAP (122). The 28,000-Da protein thus appeared to be a novel  $\sigma$  factor ( $\sigma^{D}$ ). E- $\sigma^{D}$  represents only 1.2% of the RNA polymerase isolated during an enzyme purification (122). Quantitative immunoblot analysis was used to estimate the cellular abundance of  $\sigma^{D}$  at 220  $\pm$  50 molecules per cell for late-logarithmic-phase B. subtilis (122). This level is roughly comparable to that of  $\sigma^{\rm B}$ .

Cloning the  $\sigma^{D}$  structural gene. Tryptic peptide fragments of purified  $\sigma^{D}$  were sequenced, and a degenerate oligonucleotide, specific for a portion of  $\sigma^{28}$ , was synthesized and used to identify the  $\sigma^{28}$  sequence in a *B. subtilis* subgenomic library (121). Sequence analysis of the cloned DNA revealed an open reading frame for a 29,500-Da protein that contained the sequence identified in the tryptic fragments. The  $\sigma^D$  open reading frame was preceded by a sequence found in  $\sigma^{A}$ -dependent promoters; however, normal  $\sigma^{D}$  transcription appears to depend on promoters upstream of this region (121). sigD is located immediately downstream of a chemotaxis locus. Transposon insertions or the introduction of transcription terminators at sites up to 24 kb upstream of sigD reduce its expression (195). sigD is believed to depend upon promoter sequences >24 kb upstream of its structural gene and to be part of a large operon (>26 kb) which encodes structural proteins that form the flagellar hook-basal body complex and chemotaxis regulatory proteins (195).

**E**- $\sigma^{D}$ -transcribed genes. Disruption of the resident copy of sigD with an integrating plasmid results in a viable mutant strain that is sporulation proficient but grows as filamentous chains of cells that are nonmotile (121). Western blot analyses demonstrated a lack of flagellin protein in these cells, leading to the proposal that  $\sigma^{D}$  controls flagellin production (121). Direct support for  $\sigma^{D}$  as a positive regulator of flagellar synthesis came with the cloning of the B. subtilis flagellin gene (hag) and the findings that it is transcribed by  $E - \sigma^{D}$  in vitro and that its transcription in vivo is eliminated by mutations that block  $\sigma^{D}$  synthesis (203). Several known  $\sigma^{D}$ -dependent genes are clustered near hag in operons with organizations similar to those of the E. coli operons which encode flagellar and chemotactic genes (42, 96, 121). The sigD gene itself was found to be allelic to the B. subtilis flaB gene (194). sigD resides within the *fla-che* region of the *B. subtilis* chromosome as part of a large operon containing at least 30 genes whose products are involved in flagellar or chemotaxis functions (223).

A role for  $\sigma^{D}$  in the expression of the methyl-accepting chemotaxis proteins was suggested by the findings that some *sigD* mutant cells lack methyl-accepting chemotaxis proteins and that other mutant *sigD* cells, which synthesize reduced levels of  $\sigma^{D}$ , are deficient in chemotaxis, although they accumulate nearly normal amounts of flagellin (194).

Electron microscopic studies of the filamentous cells formed by *sigD* mutants show normal division septa, indicating that the absence of  $\sigma^{\rm D}$  results in a deficiency in autolysin activity rather than a defect in cell division (121). An autolysin defect was supported by the observation that the principal *B. subtilis* autolysin activities in extracts of a *sigD* mutant are reduced relative to those found in extracts of a wild-type strain (194) and that autolysin genes are transcribed, in part, from  $\sigma^{\rm D}$ -dependent promoters (170, 175, 193). Additional  $\sigma^{\rm D}$ -dependent promoters, isolated by screening a

collection of B. subtilis genomic fragments for in vitro promoter activity, were analyzed for unique versus duplicate clones (103). The distribution implied that there were a relatively small number (25 to 30) of  $\sigma^{\rm D}$ -dependent promoters in B. subtilis (103). A comparison of the sequence upstream of a number of  $\sigma^{D}$ -dependent promoters revealed a consensus sequence (Table 1) which is distinct from that found to be conserved in promoters used by the other forms of B. subtilis RNAP (103, 104, 119). The specificity of E- $\sigma^{D}$  overlaps that of the *E. coli* RNAP species ( $E - \sigma^{32}$ ) that recognizes heat shock promoters.  $E - \sigma^{D}$  and  $E - \sigma^{32}$  can initiate transcription on each other's templates in vitro (27). There is, however, no evidence that  $E - \sigma^{D}$ -recognized promoters in *B. subtilis* are temperature inducible or that  $\sigma^{D}$  participates in the *B. subtilis* heat shock response (194). Unlike the case of the overlapping specificities of the *B. subtilis*  $\sigma^A$  and *E. coli*  $\sigma^{70}$  proteins, for which the similarities appear to reflect similar functions, the similarities between  $\sigma^{D}$ - and  $\sigma^{32}$ -recognized promoters are thought to be fortuitous (194).

The unique sequence of  $\sigma^{\rm D}$ -recognized promoters permitted a nucleic acid database search for genes with upstream  $\sigma^{\rm D}$ promoters. This led to the preliminary identification of  $\sigma^{\rm D}$ -like promoters upstream of the gene (*degR*) for a regulator of protease and exoenzyme secretion and the gene (*epr*) for an extracellular protease (119). Most *Bacillus* protease and exoenzyme genes do not have identifiable  $\sigma^{\rm D}$  promoter sequences, and so the significance of the  $\sigma^{\rm D}$ -recognized sequence at *degR* and *epr* is unclear (119).

An analysis of the transcription pattern of several  $\sigma^{D}$ -dependent promoters, including hag, demonstrated transient peak expression at the end of exponential growth  $(T_0)$  (102, 103, 119). This parallels the abundance of  $\sigma^{D}$  protein, which appears to be maximum at  $T_0$  and decreases thereafter (J. Helmann, unpublished; cited in reference 119). Although  $\hat{\sigma}^{D}$ levels and the expression of  $\sigma^{\rm D}$ -dependent promoters are maximum at the end of exponential growth, this stationary-phase increase is independent of a number of genes (spo0, abrB, and sin) whose products normally control stationary-phase gene expression (196). The factors that are responsible for the stationary-phase elevation in  $\sigma^{\rm D}$  levels are unknown.  $\sigma^{\rm D}$ -dependent transcription declines after the onset of sporulation, and inactivation of sigD itself causes no overt defect in sporulation (121). These results argue that  $\sigma^{D}$ 's contribution to sporulation, if any, is modest.

Present evidence demonstrates that  $\sigma^{D}$  is primarily involved in the expression of flagellar, motility, and autolysin genes and their regulators.

# Sigma Factor $\sigma^{H}$

**Isolation and characterization.** The sporulation gene spoVG was the template used to detect the activities of both  $\sigma^{\rm B}$  and  $\sigma^{\rm C}$  (113, 145). The RNAs synthesized in vivo from spoVG initiate at the same sites as the transcripts generated in vitro by  $\text{E}-\sigma^{\rm B}$ 

and  $E-\sigma^{C}$ ; however, the in vitro transcriptions fail to entirely mimic the in vivo condition (37). In vitro, the spoVG promoter is relatively inefficient when transcribed by  $E \cdot \sigma^{B}$  or  $E \cdot \sigma^{C}$ , yet its activity level during early sporulation is very high. This disparity implied that another RNAP form or a positive regulator was contributing to spoVG transcription in vivo. The  $\sigma^{\rm B}$ -dependent promoter of *ctc* is a more efficient target for  $E - \sigma^B$  than is the *spoVG* promoter. The preference of  $E - \sigma^B$  for ctc is so great that under conditions of template excess, ctc will sequester the available E- $\sigma^B$  and prevent transcription of spoVG (222). By employing this template system as an assay, a search was initiated for a form of RNAP that could use the spoVG promoter in the presence of the ctc promoter (37). RNAP prepared from early-stationary-phase cells and fractionated by gradient salt elution from a DNA-cellulose column was resolved into two activities in the mixed-template competition reaction on the ctc and spoVG promoters. The low-salteluting activity primarily recognized the *ctc* promoter, while fractions eluting at higher salt concentrations predominantly transcribed spoVG (37). SDS-PAGE analysis of the proteins present in each of the fractions demonstrated the presence of  $\sigma^{\rm B}$  in the early fractions and the coincidence of a 30,000-Da protein with the spoVG transcription activity that was present in the high-salt fractions (37). This 30,000-Da protein band was cut from the gel, renatured, and demonstrated to direct core RNAP to the spoVG promoter. From this result, the 30,000-Da protein was designated a new  $\sigma$  factor ( $\sigma^{30}$ ) (37). As a test of the notion that  $E - \sigma^{30}$  might be the major species of RNAP responsible for spoVG expression in vivo, mutant B. subtilis that failed to express spoVG was examined for the presence of  $E - \sigma^{30}$ . In general, the effects of the mutations on  $\hat{E} - \sigma^{30}$  levels were found to parallel the effect of the mutations on spoVG. An exception was spo0H. A B. subtilis strain with a missense allele of *spo0H* (*spo0H81*) contained E- $\sigma^{30}$  but failed to transcribe spoVG, and a strain with a deletion of spo0H lacked detectable  $\sigma^{30}$ . These findings argued that  $\sigma^{30}$  could be Sp00H (37).

The structural gene for Spo0H was cloned from *B. subtilis* based on its ability to complement the Spo<sup>-</sup> phenotype of a *spo0H* mutant (320). The *spo0H* sequence encoded an open reading frame for a 26,097-Da polypeptide with significant homology to known prokaryotic sigma factors (69). Rabbit polyclonal antibodies prepared against the *B. licheniformis spo0H* gene reacted with Spo0H from *B. subtilis* and with the  $\sigma^{30}$  subunit of purified E- $\sigma^{30}$  (70). The immunological evidence for  $\sigma^{30}$  as the *spo0H* gene product prompted a change in designation from  $\sigma^{30}$  to  $\sigma^{H}$  (70). E- $\sigma^{H}$  as the *spoVG*-transcribing enzyme was confirmed by the observation that a block on *spoVG* expression caused by a mutant *spo0H* allele could be suppressed in an allele-specific fashion by a single base substitution in the *spoVG* promoter (340).

**Regulation of \sigma^{H}.**  $\dot{E}$ - $\sigma^{H}$  was not detected in *B. subtilis* strains with mutations in the stage 0 genes *spo0A* and *spo0F* but was readily found if the *spo0A* strain contains a second mutation (*abrB*) which restores part of the *spo0A* cell's early sporulation phenotype (37). This result implies that  $\sigma^{H}$  synthesis is controlled by post-exponential-phase gene regulators. Spo0A is both a negative and positive regulator of post-exponential-phase genes which is activated through a transphosphorylation cascade that includes Spo0F, Spo0B, and SpoIIJ (*kinA*) (28, 127). *abrB* encodes a negative regulator of certain sporulation genes and is itself downregulated by activated Spo0A (288, 289). A translational fusion of the *E. coli lacZ* gene to *spo0H* was used to study the regulation of  $\sigma^{H}$  synthesis in more detail (320). With this reporter system, *spo0H* was found to be expressed during vegetative growth with increasing synthesis as

*B. subtilis* enters the mid-logarithmic stage of growth. The increased expression of *spo0H* requires the *spo0A*, *0B*, *0E*, and *0F* gene products unless the strain also contains a mutation in *abrB*. The *abrB* mutation results in constitutive high expression of *spo0H* during vegetative growth and bypasses the requirement for the *spo0A* and *spo0B* gene products (69, 320). In addition, a mutation in *spo0A* (*sof-1*) which circumvents requirements for *spo0B*, *spo0E*, and *spo0F* gene products (128) permits *spo0H* expression in an *spo0F* background. Taken together, these results argue that *spo0H* is negatively regulated by AbrB and released from this inhibition by the effects of Spo0A on *abrB* expression (273).

Sequence analysis identified a  $\sigma^{A}$  consensus promoter that is used in vitro by E- $\sigma^{A}$  immediately upstream of the  $\sigma^{H}$  structural gene (70). The relevance of this potential promoter was verified by primer extension experiments, which detected an RNA initiating at this site in vivo (319). Thus, *spo0H/sigH* is likely to be contained in a  $\sigma^{A}$ -dependent operon that is negatively controlled by AbrB (320). Northern blot analyses indicate that the *spo0H/sigH* transcript is 1,300 bases (319). This is approximately 600 bases larger than the  $\sigma^{H}$  coding region. The function of the bases that do not directly encode  $\sigma^{H}$  is unknown.

Regulation of  $\sigma^{H}$  abundance is not limited to *sigH* transcription. *spo0H* mRNA levels observed during growth do not directly correspond to  $\sigma^{H}$  levels, suggesting that *spo0H* has a posttranslational regulatory component (118, 320). This idea was tested in experiments in which *spo0H* transcription was artificially controlled by using an inducible promoter (P<sub>SPAC</sub>) (118).  $\sigma^{H}$  levels increased significantly and  $\sigma^{H}$ -dependent genes were activated only when the *sigH* operon was induced under conditions that also induced sporulation (118). Pulse-labeling and turnover studies revealed that sporulation conditions stimulated the rate of  $\sigma^{H}$  synthesis relative to total protein synthesis approximately fivefold and increased its half-life to 90 to 130 min from the 20- to 30-min half-life found in growing cells (118). It was concluded that the increase in  $\sigma^{H}$  which occurs at the end of exponential growth is principally due to a posttranscriptionally regulated elevation in  $\sigma^{H}$  synthesis coupled with decreased  $\sigma^{H}$  turnover (118). The mechanisms by which this regulation is accomplished are unknown.

**E**- $\sigma^{H}$ -transcribed genes. The role of  $\sigma^{H}$  in *B. subtilis* transcription has not been fully defined. Although  $\sigma^{H}$  is essential for sporulation, it also is present during growth, when it may direct the transcription of a subset of vegetative genes. A substantial number of genes with  $\sigma^{H}$ -dependent promoters have been detected, and many have been characterized. The largest number of  $\sigma^{H}$ -controlled genes were identified in a study in which a reporter gene was randomly inserted into the B. subtilis chromosome and used to monitor gene expression at the integration site in the presence and absence of  $\sigma^{H}$  (142). Eighteen csh (controlled by sigma H) genes whose expression was influenced by  $\sigma^{H}$  levels were found (142). Most of strains carrying the  $\sigma^{H}$ -dependent reporter gene fusions, which are likely to have inactivated the gene at the insertion site, displayed no overt phenotype; however, two of the insertion mutations caused a sporulation defect. A third insertion mutation caused a growth defect, but this phenotype was only seen when the insertion mutation was combined with a mutation in spo0H (142). One of the sporulation mutants also had an impaired ability to become competent for genetic transformation. On the basis of the reporter gene activity, the expression patterns of the 18 csh genes are similar in that all are induced within 30 min after the onset of sporulation (142). The level of expression, amount of induction, and degree of dependence on  $\sigma^{\rm H}$ for expression differ among the csh genes. It was suggested that

these differences could be due to additional regulatory factors acting at these promoters as well as the presence of multiple promoters at some of the genes (142).

The idea that multiple factors regulate  $\sigma^{H}$ -dependent promoters is supported by the varied expression patterns of the known E- $\sigma^{H}$ -read genes: spoIIA (327, 328), spoVG (37), citG (87, 240, 294), sigA (38), spo0F (237), kinA (237), and spo0A (237, 272). These genes encode products that participate in diverse processes. *spoIIA* and *sigA* encode sporulation-specific (78, 283) and vegetative-cell (239) sigma factors, respectively. spo0A, spo0F, and kinA (spoIIJ) specify functions needed for, or are components of, the sporulation signal transduction pathway (28, 127). citG is the structural gene for the TCA cycle enzyme fumarase (240), and spoVG is an early sporulation gene which appears to play a role during the forespore septation process (192). The expression patterns of these genes, which are partially or exclusively dependent of  $E - \sigma^{H}$  for their transcription, reflect the diversity of their functions. The  $\sigma^{H}$ dependent spoIIA, spoVG, sigA, spo0A, and spo0F promoters become active after the end of exponential growth (38, 222, 237, 328), while the  $\sigma^{H}$ -dependent *citG* and *kinA* promoters are active in the middle and late stages of exponential growth (237, 294). Activating  $\sigma^{H}$  synthesis from an inducible promoter  $(P_{SPAC})$  fails to induce the expression of either the sporulationexpressed *spoVG* (118) or the vegetatively expressed *citG* (240) genes, arguing that additional factors are involved. In the case of spoVG and spoIIA, the additional regulatory factors have been identified (AbrB and Spo0A, respectively) (28, 251, 327, 342). The control of most  $\sigma^{H}$ -dependent promoters whose expression increases at the end of exponential growth may ultimately be accounted for by a combination of release from the effects of repressors (e.g., AbrB and Sin) and the positive effects of the Spo0A induction pathway (273). The regulators of the vegetatively expressed  $\sigma^{H}$ -dependent promoters are more obscure. The  $\sigma^{H}$ -dependent promoter of *citG* was found to vary during growth and sporulation, but unlike the developmentally regulated  $\sigma^{H}$  promoters, its post-exponential-phase induction does not depend on the spo0A pathway (240). citG is also regulated by carbon source during vegetative growth, with a 50-fold increase in expression in lactate medium relative to the level seen in glucose-glutamine medium (240). The basis of this regulation is unknown. Aside from the cloned genes whose transcription has been shown to require  $\sigma^{H}$ , there is genetic evidence that some  $\sigma^{H}$ -dependent process is involved in the induction of competence (4, 68, 142, 272) and the DNA dam-

age-inducible response (SOB) (331). The present collection of  $\sigma^{\rm H}$ -dependent promoters argues that  $\sigma^{\rm H}$  has an important role in post-exponential-phase gene expression but that its activity is not limited to this stage of culture growth.  $\sigma^{\rm H}$  is needed for the vegetative functions of TCA cycle enzyme synthesis and DNA repair as well as the mutually exclusive post-exponential-phase processes of competence development and sporulation. When the expression of  $\sigma^{\rm H}$ -dependent promoters has been studied in detail,  $\sigma^{\rm H}$  has been found to play an essential but insufficient role in their activation.

## Sigma Factor $\sigma^{L}$

 $\sigma^{L}$  is the most recently discovered *B. subtilis* sigma factor. It has not yet been isolated for biochemical studies but is known by its in vivo activity and the similarities of its cloned structural gene to known sigma factors (60). *sigL* was identified during a study of the levanase operon of *B. subtilis*. Levanase is an exofructosidase that hydrolyzes both levan and inulin for the production of free fructose. The levanase structural gene

(sacC) is the fifth gene in an operon whose promoter-proximal gene products form a fructose phosphotransferase system (197, 198). A positive regulatory gene (levR) homologous to the NifA/NtrC regulatory proteins of Klebsiella pneumoniae lies upstream of the levanase operon's promoter (59). NifA and NtrC are positive activators of promoters that are recognized by a minor species of RNAP, E- $\sigma^{54}$  (171).  $\sigma^{54}$ -dependent promoters require these positive activator proteins for expression and have conserved sequences at bp -12 and -24 rather than at the more typical -10 and -35 positions (171). It was determined that the levanase promoter is only weakly similar to  $\sigma^{A}$ -dependent promoters but is very similar to the  $\sigma^{54}$ -recognized promoters of enteric bacteria (59). The levanase promoter was found to be identical to the  $\sigma^{54}$ -recognized promoter at 11 bases of the 12-base consensus sequence (Table 1) and to have a potential activator site, at which LevR might bind (59).

The dependence of levanase transcription on LevR and a  $\sigma^{54}$ -like RNAP was tested by reconstituting its transcription in E. coli. Expression of a levD::lacZ fusion was found to be dependent on both *levR* and the *E. coli ntrA* gene product ( $\sigma^{54}$ ) (59). The discovery that *E. coli*  $E - \sigma^{54}$  could transcribe the levanase gene prompted a search for a similar enzyme in B. subtilis. A merodiploid strain that contained two copies of a levR allele (sacL8) which confers constitutive expression on the levanase operon, as well as two copies of the levanase operon: one containing a levD-lacZ fusion, and the second expressing levanase (sacC) was used for the search (60). In this background, levanase expression could be monitored by both  $\beta$ -galactosidase and levanase activity, and the isolation of levRmutants would be minimized. Mutants which failed to express the levanase operon were isolated (60). The gene affected in these mutants was cloned by complementation, sequenced, and found to encode a 49,644-Da protein that was homologous to  $\sigma^{54}$  of *E. coli*. Its coding sequence was designated *sigL* (60).

A null mutation was constructed in sigL and introduced into B. subtilis, where it abolished expression of sacC (60). Other bacterial species that lack  $\sigma^{54}$  are viable but have very diverse pleiotropic phenotypes, including defects in nitrogen metabolism (171). The sigL mutant was tested for growth in minimal medium containing different nitrogen sources and found to be indistinguishable from the parent strain except when the amino acids arginine, ornithine, valine, and isoleucine were provided as nitrogen sources. These amino acids supported the growth of  $sigL^+$  but not sigL strains (66). The current evidence limits the role of  $\sigma^L$  to the expression of genes for a subset of degradative enzymes (e.g., levanase and amino acid degradation). The loss of sigL does not affect sporulation, competence, or mobility (60). Whether  $\sigma^{L}$ , like its counterpart in other bacteria, has more wide-ranging activities remains to be demonstrated.

#### SPORULATION-SPECIFIC SIGMA FACTORS

Nutrient deprivation triggers *B. subtilis* to differentiate into an alternate cell type, the dormant spore. During the process of sporulation, groups of genes are sequentially activated and silenced to lead the cell through a series of intermediate developmental stages (reviewed in references 76, 187, and 232). The process of sporulation begins at the end of exponential growth and requires approximately 8 to 10 h to complete under standard laboratory conditions. Cells that have ceased exponential growth in a sporulation medium (sporulation onset,  $T_0$ ) but have not undergone any obvious morphological change are classified as being at the earliest stage of sporulation, stage 0. By 1.5 to 2 h into sporulation ( $T_{1.5}$  to  $T_2$ ), the cell has partitioned itself into two compartments by invagination of the plasma membrane to form a septum near one pole of the cell. Completion of the septum defines stage II of sporulation. The peripheral septal membrane then migrates toward the forespore pole of the cell, engulfing the smaller compartment as a double-membraned entity (the forespore protoplast) within the mother cell. This event signals stage III, which is normally completed by  $T_{3.5}$ . During stage IV, a thick peptidoglycan layer (cortex) is placed between the two membranes surrounding the forespore protoplast. This is followed by the deposition of layers of coat proteins on the outer membrane that envelopes the cortex (stage V). Development of spore resistances (heat, organic solvents, radiation, etc.) occurs fully during stage VI ( $T_6$  to  $T_7$ ). At stage VII, the mature spore is released by lysis of the mother cell.

Genes whose products are sporulation specific and essential to the spore-forming process are designated by the stage at which the absence of their products blocks sporulation. For example, a strain with a sporulation-specific mutation that prevents a cell from forming the asymmetric septum and proceeding to stage II would be termed a stage 0 mutant, with the gene containing the mutation designated *spo0X*. Likewise, a cell that can form the septum but not a forespore protoplast would contain a stage II mutation (*spoIIX*).

The phenotypes of null mutations in the four known sporulation-specific sigma factors of *B. subtilis* ( $\sigma^{F}$ ,  $\sigma^{F}$ ,  $\sigma^{G}$ , and  $\sigma^{K}$ ) bear out the prediction that cascades of sigma factors might be responsible for the sequential pattern of gene expression that occurs in sporulation (184, 287). The loss of any of these proteins blocks spore development at a particular stage in the process. The inability to form active  $\sigma^{E}$  or  $\sigma^{F}$  confers a stage II terminal phenotype on *B. subtilis*, while  $\sigma^{G}$ - and  $\sigma^{K}$ -deficient strains arrest sporulation at stages III and IV, respectively. As will be described below, the sporulation sigma factors not only are important regulators of temporal gene expression but also appear to play pivotal roles in activating compartment-specific gene expression in the mother cell and forespore.

# Sigma Factor $\sigma^{E}$

Isolation and characterization. As B. subtilis proceeds into sporulation, dramatic changes occur in the subunit composition of its extractable RNAP. Aside from the disappearance of the vegetative-cell sigma subunits, novel polypeptides become associated with RNAP. One of the more obvious new polypeptides is a protein with an apparent molecular mass of 27,000 to 29,000 Da (P<sup>29</sup>) (112, 181, 216). Purified RNAP carrying the 27,000- to 29,000-Da protein can be readily separated from other forms of RNAP by its unusually high affinity for DNA cellulose (112, 181, 216). When the in vitro transcription properties of  $E\cdot P^{29}$  are compared with those of  $E\text{-}\sigma^A$  or core RNAP, it is found to display a distinct response to  $Mg^{2+}$  and KCl and a characteristic activity on poly(dA-dT) or bacteriophage DNA templates (181, 216). E · P<sup>29</sup>'s novel transcriptional properties and sporulation-specific appearance prompted speculation that P<sup>29</sup> might be a sporulation-specific transcription factor which could participate in the control of spore gene expression (181, 216). Evidence that P<sup>29</sup> was a sigma-like protein came from experiments in which RNAP carrying P<sup>29</sup> was shown to have a unique profile of in vitro transcriptional specificity on cloned B. subtilis DNA compared with that displayed by other *B. subtilis* holoenzymes (E- $\sigma^{A}$  and  $\sigma^{B}$ ). A reconstitution experiment in which P<sup>29</sup> was separated from the other  $E \cdot P^{29}$  subunits by SDS-PAGE, renatured, and added to core RNAP demonstrated that the unique transcriptional specificity

B. subtilis sigE/sigG operon



FIG. 4. B. subtilis sigE and sigG operons. The bicistronic sigE and monocistronic sigG operons are drawn with the forms of RNAP responsible for each operon's transcription illustrated (152, 159, 160, 201, 290). The sigE operon's mRNA can extend through sigG; however, the sigG portion of the transcript does not appear to be translated (201, 290) (see text).

of  $E \cdot P^{29}$  was due to  $P^{29}$  (112).  $P^{29}$  therefore became  $\sigma^{29}$  and was later renamed  $\sigma^{E}$ .

Cloning of  $\sigma^{E}$  structural gene. The structural gene for  $\sigma^{E}$ was cloned by investigators who were seeking to isolate early sporulation genes (20, 163). The sequence of a cloned portion of the B. subtilis chromosome that complemented a stage II sporulation mutation (spoIIG) was determined to encode a 27,652-Da polypeptide with a 65-amino-acid region that is highly homologous to an internal part of the *E. coli*  $\sigma^{70}$  protein (285). From this analysis, it was proposed that spoIIG could encode  $\sigma^{E}$  or a not yet characterized sporulation sigma factor (285). Support for the idea that  $\sigma^{E}$  is the *spoIIG* product came from an immunological study in which an anti- $\sigma^{E}$  antibody detected a  $\sigma^{E}$ -like protein in an *E. coli* strain that expressed the cloned *spoIIG* sequence and  $\sigma^{E}$  variants in a *B. subtilis* strain with a mutant spoIIG allele (spoIIG41) (304). Direct sequencing of the amino terminus of  $\sigma^{E}$  and its alignment with the spoIIG open reading frame verified spoIIG (sigE) as the  $\sigma^{E}$ coding sequence (172).

**Regulation of**  $\sigma^{E}$ . É- $\sigma^{E}$  is isolated only from *B. subtilis* cells that have progressed approximately 2 h into sporulation (112) and appears to be maximally present between  $T_2$  and  $T_4$  (307). As determined by Western blot and reporter gene expression studies,  $\sigma^{E}$  synthesis is controlled at two levels. The first level is transcriptional control, with the promoter of the *spoIIG* operon becoming active only after the onset of sporulation. The second level of regulation is posttranslational, with the primary product of *spoIIG* being an inactive precursor form (pro- $\sigma^{E}$ ) of  $\sigma^{E}$ . Conversion of pro- $\sigma^{E}$  to the active sigma factor occurs at approximately  $T_2$  of sporulation. Thus, formation of  $\sigma^{E}$  depends not only on the expression of its structural gene but also on the subsequent activation of its product.

(i) **Pro-\sigma^{E} synthesis.** Synthesis of pro- $\sigma^{E}$  parallels the transcription of the spoIIG operon, which only occurs during sporulation in cells that contain the wild-type alleles of at least several stage 0 (spo0A, 0B, 0E, 0F, and 0H) and stage II (spoIIJ, IIL, and IIN) sporulation genes (146, 159). Experiments in which reporter gene plasmids were integrated into the B. subtilis chromosome at the spoIIG locus demonstrated both that spoIIG transcription is induced at the onset of sporulation and that the spoIIG operon includes a promoter-proximal gene (spoIIGA) which, like sigE (spoIIGB), is essential for sporulation (Fig. 4) (149, 159). The start site of the spoIIG transcript was precisely mapped by RNase protection and primer extension techniques (158). Once the initiation site was localized, transcriptional factors which acted at this site were sought. Given that *spo0H*, which encodes  $\sigma^{H}$ , is required for *sigE* expression in vivo (159), it seemed possible that  $E - \sigma^{H}$  could be the sigE-transcribing enzyme. However, this notion was not supported by an in vitro experiment in which  $E \cdot \sigma^A$  but not  $E-\sigma^{H}$  initiated *spoIIG* transcription (158). Compelling evidence for E- $\sigma^{A}$  as the transcribing enzyme for sigE came with

the discovery that the deleterious effects of a base substitution at the -10 region of the *spoIIG* promoter on *spoIIG* transcription could be suppressed in an allele-specific fashion by a change of an amino acid residue in the -10 recognition segment of  $\sigma^{A}$  (161). E- $\sigma^{H}$ 's role in *sigE* expression is likely to be indirect.

The *spoIIG* promoter sequence resembles a  $\sigma^{A}$  "consensus" promoter" except for the spacing between the putative -35and -10 regions of *spoIIG*, which is 22 bp instead of the usual 17 or 18 bp (158). This unusual spacing was investigated by a mutagenesis experiment in which substitutions at sites in and around positions -35 and -87 were found to reduce promoter activity (259). These regions resemble the target site for the Spo0A activator protein. Gel mobility shift and DNase protection experiments showed that Spo0A could bind to these sites in vitro (9, 259) and that Spo0A stimulates in vitro transcription from the spoIIG promoter (19, 258). It is now thought that the sequence originally hypothesized to be a "displaced" -35consensus sequence is in fact an Spo0A binding site. Many if not all of the other loci required for sigE transcription participate in the induction or activation of Spo0A at the onset of sporulation (28, 127, 273). A simple model for the regulation of spoIIG transcription envisions the activation of Spo0A and its binding to the sigE promoter as the sporulation-specific regulatory event that induces the vegetative-cell holoenzyme  $E - \sigma^A$  to initiate the synthesis of pro- $\sigma^E$  at the onset of sporulation (258).

(ii)  $\mathbf{Pro} \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma}^{\mathbf{E}}$  processing. An unusual aspect of  $\boldsymbol{\sigma}^{\mathbf{E}}$  synthesis is its formation from a precursor protein (pro- $\sigma^{E}$ ). Pro- $\sigma^{E}$  was detected in Western blot analyses of sporulating B. subtilis, in which an anti- $\sigma^{E}$  monoclonal antibody reacted not only with  $\sigma^{E}$  (apparent mass, 29 kDa) but also with a larger protein (P<sup>31</sup>; apparent mass, 31 kDa) which had a similar peptide substructure (307). Two comparable proteins were also observed in extracts prepared from other sporulating Bacillus species (305). Synthesis of  $P^{31}$  was found to occur earlier in sporulation than that of  $\sigma^{E}$ , with no *B. subtilis* strain synthesizing  $\sigma^{E}$ without first accumulating  $P^{31}$ , although several Spo<sup>-</sup> strains could synthesize  $P^{31}$  but not  $\sigma^{E}$  (307). A pulse-chase experi-ment revealed that [<sup>35</sup>S]methionine is "chased" into  $\sigma^{E}$  concomitantly with the disappearance of previously labeled P<sup>31</sup> (172) and that the induced expression of the sigE gene in E. coli gives rise to the synthesis of a single protein with the mobility of  $P^{31}$  on SDS-polyacrylamide gels (304). These findings indicated that a precursor-product relationship existed between  $P^{31}$  and  $\sigma^{E}$  (172). When anti- $\sigma^{E}$  antibody was used to monitor  $P^{31}$ 's fate during purification of *B. subtilis* RNAP, the bulk of  $P^{31}$ , unlike  $\sigma^E$ , was found to separate from RNAP during the purification process. A small portion (5%) of the  $P^{31}$  did remain associated with the RNAP and conferred on the resulting E-P<sup>31</sup> the chromatographic properties normally associated with  $E-\sigma^{E}$  (306). Although  $E-P^{31}$  had physical properties similar to those of  $E - \sigma^E$ , its transcriptional activity was distinct: E-P<sup>31</sup> could synthesize RNA in vitro from the nonspecific template poly(dA-dT), but it was inactive on  $\sigma^{E}$ -dependent promoters (306). In this regard, E-P<sup>31</sup> resembled core RNAP rather than a holoenzyme.

 $\sigma^{E}$  has been independently sequenced by several groups, who identified either residue 28 (204, 207a) or residue 30 (172) of the *sigE* open reading frame as the  $\sigma^{E}$  amino terminus.  $\sigma^{E}$ is therefore likely to be derived from pro- $\sigma^{E}$  by the removal of 27 to 29 amino acids from the pro- $\sigma^{E}$  amino terminus. It is not known whether the ambiguity in the  $\sigma^{E}$  amino terminus is due to differences among *B. subtilis* strains, a lack of precision in the processing reaction, or a secondary loss of two amino acids from one of the  $\sigma^{E}$  samples subsequent to processing. In order to test whether a proteolytic event alone could change pro- $\sigma^{E}$ into a protein with  $\sigma^{E}$ -like properties, a fusion protein (P<sup>31\*</sup>) containing most of pro- $\sigma^{E}$  joined at its amino terminus to 12 amino acids of the *E. coli* lipoprotein was overproduced in *E. coli*, partially purified, and converted in vitro into a protein with electrophoretic mobility similar to that of  $\sigma^{E}$  by treatment with *Staphylococcus aureus* V8 protease (172). A preferred cleavage site for *S. aureus* V8 protease exists two to four residues upstream of the putative pro- $\sigma^{E}$  processing sites (172, 304). Protease-treated P<sup>31\*</sup>, but not untreated P<sup>31\*</sup>, was capable of directing *B. subtilis* core RNAP to specifically initiate RNA synthesis at a  $\sigma^{E}$ -recognized promoter in vitro (172). Thus, although it is possible that further modifications occur to  $\sigma^{E}$  in vivo, proteolytic modification is sufficient for the activation of  $\sigma^{E}$  in vitro.

Mutant sigE alleles which fail to encode the first 15 or 16 amino acids of pro- $\sigma^{\rm E}$  synthesize a  $\sigma^{\rm E}$ -like protein that is active without processing (148, 283a). A deletion analysis of the pro- $\sigma^{E}$  amino terminus revealed that the first 15 residues of the "pro" sequence contains elements that are essential for silencing not only its  $\sigma^E$  activity but also its recognition by the processing apparatus. Deletions into this region both increase the expression of a  $\sigma^{E}$ -dependent reporter gene in the absence of processing and reduce the ability of the mutant product to be processed (229). Deletions which extend into the next 15 amino acids lessen the accumulation of a sigE product in B. subtilis and, as a consequence, reduce  $\sigma^{E}$ -dependent reporter gene activity (148, 229). Mutant sigE genes whose translated sequence begins at either of the two putative pro- $\sigma^{E}$  processing sites (i.e., residue 27 or 29) specify products that are virtually undetectable in *B. subtilis* (148, 229). It is unlikely that the absence of the pro sequence hinders  $\sigma^{E}$  accumulation by impairing the translation of  $\sigma^{E}$  mRNA. Missense or insertion mutations 20 to 50 nucleotides downstream of the translational start site also substantially reduce the accumulation of the sigE product (148, 254). The data are most consistent with the pro sequence either being necessary for a posttranslational step in  $\sigma^{E}$  synthesis (e.g., facilitating proper protein folding) or stabi-lizing a labile  $\sigma^{E}$  in a proprotein form until it is processed and joined to RNAP. If the second possibility is correct, the hypothetical lability of free  $\sigma^{\rm E}$  may represent a device to ensure its disappearance after  $T_4$  of sporulation, when new  $\sigma$  factors replace  $\sigma^{E}$  to establish the pattern of late gene expression.

The failure of  $\sigma^{E}$  to accumulate when synthesized without the pro sequence is partly due to its amino-terminal sequence. A deletion of the *sigE* coding sequence that extends 10 amino acids beyond the mature  $\sigma^{E}$  amino terminus enhances the accumulation of product approximately 10-fold over the level observed for the product of a gene whose amino terminus is similar to that of the "processed"  $\sigma^{E}$  (H. Carlson, unpublished; cited in reference 229). The ability of the  $\sigma^{E}$  pro sequence to facilitate  $\sigma^{E}$  accumulation appears to be specific.  $\sigma^{K}$ , like  $\sigma^{E}$ , is made as a proprotein (see below). If the  $\sigma^{K}$  pro sequence is exchanged for the  $\sigma^{E}$  pro sequence, the resulting chimeric *sigK/sigE* allele fails to specify a product that can accumulate in *B. subtilis* (36). There is apparently a particular quality to the  $\sigma^{E}$  pro sequence that is important for  $\sigma^{E}$  accumulation. The pro sequence of  $\sigma^{E}$  contains most, if not all, of the

The pro sequence of  $\sigma^{E}$  contains most, if not all, of the target elements required for its removal. Placement of the  $\sigma^{E}$  pro sequence on the sporulation sigma factor  $\sigma^{K}$  results in a chimeric proprotein that is processed in *B. subtilis* at the time in development when pro- $\sigma^{E}$  processing normally occurs (36). Mutational studies were undertaken to identify regions of the pro sequence that were essential for recognition by the processing apparatus (229). These experiments suggested that the presence of particular amino acids at the cut site itself is not

essential for processing and that pro- $\sigma^{E}$  processing requires, at a minimum, an element within the first 15 amino acids of the amino terminus of the pro sequence and at least one specific residue (Glu) close to the processing site (position 25) (229).

The activity which processes pro- $\sigma^{E}$ , like the synthesis of pro- $\sigma^{E}$  itself, is developmentally regulated and appears in sporulating B. subtilis approximately 1 h after the onset of pro- $\sigma^{\rm E}$  synthesis (307). The importance of the processing reaction as the device which determines when  $\sigma^{\rm E}$  is activated can be seen when a *B. subtilis* strain with a *sigE* allele that directly encodes an active product (i.e., missing the first 15 codons of the pro sequence) is allowed to sporulate. Such a strain is Spoand blocked in the earliest stages of sporulation (stage 0) (231). Apparently, transcriptional control alone results in the synthesis of  $\sigma^{E}$  at a time when its activity disrupts the normal pattern of early spore gene expression. The time in sporulation at which pro- $\sigma^{E}$  is converted into  $\sigma^{E}$  coincides with the time at which the developing cell divides into mother cell and forespore compartments. There is considerable speculation that these two events are related and that some aspect of the septation process functions either directly or indirectly as a signal for the activation of  $\sigma^{\rm E}$  (79, 125, 186, 284, 304). One of the strongest arguments for a dependence of pro- $\sigma^{E}$  processing on septation is the observation that mutant B. subtilis cells that are depleted of the products of essential septation genes (e.g., ftsZ and *divIC*) fail both to form spore septa and to convert pro- $\sigma^{E}$ into  $\sigma^{E}$  (11, 179). It is assumed that the lack of septationessential gene products inhibits pro- $\sigma^{E}$  processing indirectly, via the block on septum formation (11, 179). Although septa-tion and  $\text{pro-}\sigma^{\text{E}}$  processing appear to be normally coupled, intact septa per se may not be essential for processing of pro- $\sigma^{E}$  to occur. It has been reported that penicillin, at concentrations that block normal stage II septation, fails to block pro- $\sigma^{E}$  processing (147). It is not known how penicillin uncouples these two processes.

Aside from ftsZ and divIC, the products of at least four additional genes (spoIIGA, spoIIAA, spoIIAC, and spoIIE) are needed for  $\text{pro-}\sigma^{E}$  processing (146, 149, 284, 307). Of these four genes, spoIIGA, the upstream gene of the operon that encodes pro- $\sigma^{E}$ , is most likely to encode a protein that participates directly in the processing reaction (284). Forced expression of both spoIIGA and spoIIGB/sigE, but not spoIIGB/sigE alone, in vegetative B. subtilis cells leads to a low but measurable level of  $\sigma^{E}$ -dependent promoter activity (284). This has been interpreted as evidence that SpoIIGA is the pro- $\sigma^{E}$  processing enzyme (284). The idea that SpoIIGA plays a direct role in  $\text{pro-}\sigma^{\rm E}$  processing is supported by the isolation of a missense mutation in spoIIGA that suppresses the impaired processing of a mutant sigE (sigE25EK) allele's product (231). The predicted amino acid sequence of SpoIIGA suggests that it could be both a membrane-associated protein and a protease (200, 284). Consistent with SpoIIGA's potential membrane association is the finding that a chimera of SpoIIGA and the E. coli lacZ gene product cosediments during ultracentrifugation with the membrane components of a crude B. subtilis extract (230). The predicted and observed properties of SpoIIGA, when taken with the apparent coupling of  $\text{pro-}\sigma^{E}$  processing to the forespore septation event, have led to the suggestion that SpoIIGA could be the pro- $\sigma^{E}$  processing enzyme and be activated to cleave pro- $\sigma^{E}$  upon becoming embedded in the newly formed forespore membrane. Such a process would tie  $\sigma^{\rm E}$  activation to the spore's morphological development (284). Although the simplicity of this model is attractive, it is probably an oversimplification. Both *spoIIE* and *spoIIA* mutants form septa but fail to process  $\sigma^{E}$  (137).

The role of *spoILA* products in pro- $\sigma^{E}$  processing is likely to

be indirect. *spoIIA* encodes the sporulation-specific sigma factor  $\sigma^{\rm F}$  and its regulators (91, 283).  $\sigma^{\rm F}$ , like  $\sigma^{\rm E}$ , is activated after septation (191, 227). It is not essential for the transcription of any of the operons known to be required for the conversion of pro- $\sigma^{\rm E}$  into  $\sigma^{\rm E}$  (11, 109, 158, 329). Thus, if  $\sigma^{\rm F}$ 's contribution to  $\sigma^{\rm E}$  synthesis depends on its function as a transcription factor, the processing reaction will require the product of at least one unidentified  $\sigma^{\rm F}$ -dependent operon. Although  $\sigma^{\rm F}$ 's known function as a transcription factor is the simplest explanation for its role in pro- $\sigma^{\rm E}$  processing, other possibilities exist. A subclass of *spoIIAC* mutants [*spoIIAC*(P)] appear to encode an inactive  $\sigma^{\rm F}$  that still permits pro- $\sigma^{\rm E}$  processing (137). From the phenotype of this class of mutants, it has been proposed that  $\sigma^{\rm F}$  could have an indirect role in pro- $\sigma^{\rm E}$  processing that does not depend on its function as a transcriptional activator (137, 227). What this alternative role might be remains undefined.

spoIIE is also known to be essential for  $\sigma^{E}$  synthesis. The sequence of SpoIIE does not predict its function but reveals hydrophobic domains found in membrane-associated proteins (334a). *B. subtilis* cells which lack SpoIIE form septa containing abnormally large amounts of cell wall material (137, 232). If a "normal" septum proves to be a prerequisite for pro- $\sigma^{E}$ processing, SpoIIE may be needed for this reaction only insofar as it is important to the formation of the normal forespore septum (284). It is worth noting that SpoIIE is not only required for the processing of pro- $\sigma^{E}$  but also plays an essential role in the activation of  $\sigma^{F}$  (191, 227). Recalling that active  $\sigma^{F}$ (SpoIIAC) is itself needed for pro- $\sigma^{E}$  processing, it is unclear whether SpoIIE's role in pro- $\sigma^{E}$  processing is limited to the activation of  $\sigma^{F}$  or if it also plays a direct part in the pro- $\sigma^{E}$ processing reaction.

The expression patterns of genes known to depend on  $E-\sigma^E$ or  $E-\sigma^{F}$  for their transcription (34, 66, 191) (see below) argue that these holoenzymes are likely to be active in different compartments of the sporulating cell, with  $E - \sigma^E$  participating in mother cell-specific transcription (66) and  $E - \sigma^{F}$  devoted to forespore-specific gene expression (191). To account for this compartmentalized activity, sophisticated models of  $\sigma$  factor activation have been developed in which the formation of the septum is proposed to trigger not only the temporal activation of  $\sigma^E$  and  $\sigma^F$  but also their compartment-specific activation (79, 125, 186, 191). One model depicts septation as an event that leads to the activation of  $\sigma^{F}$  only in the forespore compartment. This results in the forespore-specific expression of  $\sigma^{\rm F}$ -dependent genes, which then communicate with SpoIIGA on the mother cell side of the forespore membrane, signaling it to process pro- $\sigma^{E}$  only in the mother cell compartment. Proposed mechanics for the individual steps involved in this process have been covered in several recent reviews (76, 79, 125, 186).

An alternative model, based on a fluorescence microscopy study of compartment-specific gene expression, proposes that  $\sigma^{F}$  and  $\sigma^{E}$  are initially active on both sides of the forespore membrane but later become selectively inactivated in one or the other cell compartment to ultimately restrict  $\sigma^{E}$ -dependent transcription to the mother cell and  $\sigma^{F}$  transcription to the forespore (34). Western blot analyses designed to detect processed  $\sigma^{E}$  in extracts enriched for mother cell or forespore material and test the competing models have yielded conflicting results (35, 162). Regardless of which model for  $\sigma$  factor localization is correct, there is general agreement that  $\sigma^{E}$  and  $\sigma^{F}$  ultimately direct mother cell- and forespore-specific gene expression, respectively.

**E**- $\sigma^{\text{E}}$ -transcribed genes. The biochemical qualities of  $\sigma^{\text{E}}$  as a novel sigma factor were defined in vitro by using a fortuitous promoter-like sequence on the *E. coli* plasmid pMB-9 and

several regions of cloned *B. subtilis* DNA (112). These templates are transcribed weakly by  $E - \sigma^E$  in vitro and are unlikely to depend on  $E - \sigma^E$  in vivo (112). A *B. subtilis* promoter (G4) that is efficiently used by  $E - \sigma^E$  in vitro and dependent on this form of RNAP in vivo was identified in a *B. subtilis* clone bank by using RNA transcribed by  $E - \sigma^E$  in vitro from total *B. subtilis* DNA as a hybridization probe (249). G4 was used in a mutational analysis which defined the sequences that are important for  $\sigma^E$ -dependent promoter recognition (Table 1) (245, 248). A second  $\sigma^E$ -dependent promoter (*bvx*) was discovered on a DNA fragment that carried the cloned *B. subtilis rmB* operon (117). The functions of the operons controlled by the *G4* and *bvx* promoters are unknown.

Seventeen known sporulation genes (spoIID, spoIIM, spoIIID, spoIIIA, spoIVA, spoIVCA, spoIVCB, spoIVF, bofA, spoVB, spoVD, spoVE, spoVJ, spoVM, spoVR, spoVID, and cotE) have been cloned, sequenced, and shown to depend on  $E-\sigma^{E}$  for at least a part of their expression. Most of these genes had been previously known by mutation and cloned either on the basis of the wild-type allele's ability to complement a mutant phenotype or by selection for an antibiotic resistance marker present on the transposon that generated the mutation. Two of the genes (spoVID and spoVR) were specifically identified in a search for  $\sigma^{E}$ -dependent promoters (10, 12), while *cotE* was identified by using an oligonucleotide probe that was developed from the sequence of the cotE (337) protein. These genes were assigned to the  $\sigma^{E}$  regulon based on their meeting several, if not all, of the following criteria: (i) failure to be expressed in *B. subtilis* strains lacking  $\sigma^{E}$ , (ii) transcription by  $E \cdot \sigma^{E}$  in vitro, (iii) the presence of a  $\sigma^{E}$  consensus sequence in their promoters, and (iv) their expression in vegetative B. subtilis following the artificially induced synthesis of an active form of  $\sigma^{\rm E}$ .

spoIID was the first known gene to be identified as part of the  $\sigma^{\rm E}$  regulon (253). The explicit function of the *spoIID* product is not certain; however, B. subtilis strains which lack SpoIID fail to completely remove the cell wall material that lies in the periphery of the spore septum and, as a consequence, fail to progress to forespore engulfment (137). The possibility that the spoIID product may directly participate in the removal of septal wall material is suggested by sequence similarity between it and a modifier of amidase activity (D. Karamata, unpublished; cited in reference 125). Although SpoIID's putative role in modification of the septum that separates the mother cell from the forespore leaves open the possibility that it could be needed in both compartments, there is genetic evidence that its expression in the mother cell compartment is sufficient for sporulation (139) and immunoelectron microscopic evidence that its expression is restricted to that compartment (66). The electron microscopic study provided compelling visual documentation of *spoIID* expression only in the mother cell compartment and focused attention on the idea that  $\sigma^{\rm E}$  could be a mother cell-specific  $\sigma$  factor (66).

spoIIM encodes a 29-kDa protein, with basic and hydrophilic elements, that is required for the expression of forespore genes transcribed by E- $\sigma^{G}$  (274). Cell fractionation studies documented the presence of  $\beta$ -galactosidase from an *spoIIM-lacZ* fusion in both the mother cell and forespore compartments, with the mother cell extract containing approximately twice as much  $\beta$ -galactosidase per milligram of protein as the forespore extract (275). Genetic studies argued that expression of *spoIIM* in the forespore is essential to sporulation but that its transcription is independent of the forespore-specific  $\sigma$  factors  $\sigma^{F}$ and  $\sigma^{G}$  (275). The dependence of *spoIIM* transcription on  $\sigma^{E}$ , when taken with the need for *spoIIM* expression in the forespore compartment, implies that *spoIIM* is transcribed by E- $\sigma^{E}$  in the forespore. This conflicts with the notion that  $\sigma^{E}$  is a mother cell-specific  $\sigma$  factor. However, if, as one study suggests (34),  $\sigma^{E}$  is initially activated in both compartments and only restricted to the mother cell later in sporulation, *spoIIM* may be transcribed by  $\sigma^{E}$  in the forespore immediately after  $\sigma^{E}$  activation, with this early expression being adequate to satisfy the forespore's requirement for its product. Although this is a simple explanation of the data, it is still possible that  $E - \sigma^{E}$  is solely a mother cell-specific enzyme. If this is so, an alternate, undiscovered way of expressing *spoIIM* in the forespore that does not depend on  $\sigma^{E}$  would be needed.

spoIIID is another sporulation-essential gene transcribed by  $E - \sigma^{E}$ . The *spoIIID* gene was independently cloned by two laboratories (167, 281) and found to encode a previously identified sporulation transcription factor that modulates the expression of both  $E-\sigma^{E}$ - and  $E-\sigma^{K}$ -dependent genes (165). Aside from dependence on  $E - \sigma^E$ , maximum expression of *spoIIID* also requires an intact copy of spoIIID, suggesting autoregulation of spoIIID transcription (167, 281). The spoIIID promoter has been used in studies of  $\sigma$  factor-promoter interactions in which amino acid substitutions in  $\sigma^E$  were found to suppress the negative effects of specific base pair changes in the spoIIID promoter (295). There is genetic evidence that spoIIID needs to be expressed only in the mother cell compartment for it to perform its sporulation-essential function (61), and cell fractionation data have localized the  $\beta$ -galactosidase product of an spoIIID-lacZ fusion gene to the mother cell compartment (167).

*spoIIIA* defines an operon of at least three genes (138) which is required for a sporulating cell to advance beyond the engulfment stage (232). The explicit functions of the *spoIIIA* products are unknown, although sporulation-essential expression of *spoIIIA* is required only in the mother cell (139). Mutations in *spoIIIA* lead not only to a significant reduction is the transcription of several of the mother cell-expressed coat protein genes (255, 339) but also to variable reductions in forespore-specific gene expression (49, 136, 152, 199). It has been proposed that *spoIIIA* provides a mother cell-specific function that indirectly affects forespore development (136, 138).

Several  $\sigma^{E'}$ -dependent genes (*spoIVCA*, *spoIVCB*, *spoIVFA*, *spoIVFB*, and *bofA/ski-4*) are involved in the synthesis of  $\sigma^{K}$ , the late-acting mother cell  $\sigma$  factor (see below).  $\sigma^{K}$  is encoded by a composite gene (*sigK*) formed when two truncated genes are joined by the excision of an intervening sequence (286). *spoIVCB*, the amino-terminal portion of this composite gene, and *spoIVCA*, which encodes the recombinase that catalyzes the excision, are both initially transcribed by E- $\sigma^{E}$ , although later expression of *sigK* occurs by E- $\sigma^{K}$  (164, 169, 256).

spoIVF encodes two genes (A and B) that participate in the processing of  $\sigma^{K}$  from its inactive precursor form (pro- $\sigma^{K}$ ) (53, 188). Mutations (*bofB* [bypass of forespore]) which uncouple the processing of pro- $\sigma^{K}$  from events occurring in the forespore (51) have been mapped to spoIVFA (53). From the phenotypes of missense and null mutations in the two spoIVF cistrons and the predicted properties of their products, SpoIVFA and SpoIVFB are hypothesized to lie in the outer forespore membrane, where they could function in intercompartmental coupling between forespore and mother cell, i.e., SpoIVFB is thought to be a protein that promotes  $\text{pro-}\sigma^{K}$  processing but is prevented from acting by SpoIVFA unless a signal from the forespore triggers its release (53). A second gene (bofA/ski-4), in which mutations are found that uncouple pro- $\sigma^{K}$  processing from forespore events, also appears to be transcribed by E- $\sigma^{E}$  (140, 250). This gene was identified by two laboratories studying different regulatory phenomenon: the coupling of pro- $\sigma^{K}$  processing to forespore events (*bofA*) (250) and the

suppression of sporulation by the overexpression of a histidine protein kinase, KinA (*ski-4*) (140). BofA is hypothesized to cooperate with SpoIVFA to inhibit SpoIVFB-dependent pro- $\sigma^{K}$  processing (250).

The *spoVB*, *spoVD*, *spoVE*, *spoVM*, and *spoVR* genes are all transcribed from one or more  $\sigma^{E}$ -dependent promoters, with some of these genes also requiring the mother cell-specific transcriptional regulatory protein SpoIIID for their expression (12, 55, 178, 204, 235, 300). Mutations in these genes lead to the production of spores containing a defective cortex, the modified peptidoglycan stratum laid down between the membranes that separate the forespore from the mother cell. In keeping with their role in synthesizing this specialized cell wall material, two of these genes (*spoVD* and *spoVE*) have been found to be homologous to penicillin-binding proteins (i.e., peptidoglycan synthesizing) or morphogenic proteins of other bacteria (55, 135, 150).

*spoVK* (*spoVJ*) is also part of the  $\sigma^{E}$ -dependent regulon, with an additional requirement for the SpoIIID protein for its expression (84). RNA analysis revealed two overlapping *spoVK* transcripts (93). The putative promoter for one of the transcripts resembles a consensus promoter for E- $\sigma^{E}$ , while the second resembles that of a  $\sigma^{K}$ -dependent promoter (93). Cell fractionation experiments have localized SpoVK expression principally to the mother cell compartment (93). The function of *spoVK* is unknown, but mutations at this locus result in immature spores that are resistant to lysozyme (an early spore resistance marker) but sensitive to organic solvents (a later marker) (126). Dipicolinic acid (DPA), a compound associated with the spore's resistance to heat (76), appears to be synthesized in *spoVK* mutants but is not incorporated into the prespore, leading to the suggestion that SpoVK is involved in DPA transport into the developing spore (126).

spoIVA (232, 252, 280), spoVID (10), and cotE (337, 339) encode morphogenic proteins that are needed for the proper assembly of the spore coat, a proteinaceous structure that surrounds the spore and contributes to its resistance properties. All three are transcribed from  $\sigma^{E}$ -dependent promoters, with *cotE* having dual  $\sigma^{E}$  promoters, one of which requires SpoIIID for its activation (10, 252, 280, 337, 339). Electron microscopic studies revealed that SpoIVA and CotE surround the forespore, likely sitting close to the outer forespore membrane, from where they direct the assembly of the spore coat (73).

With the possible exception of *spoIIM*, all of the  $\sigma^{E}$ -dependent *spo* genes that have been analyzed in detail are believed to be expressed exclusively in the mother cell compartment. Additional genes encoding extracellular enzymes (e.g., alkaline phosphatase and DNase) which are likely to be expressed in the mother cell for excretion also contain potential  $\sigma^{E}$  promoter sequences (reviewed in reference 76). The restricted expression of  $\sigma^{E}$ -dependent genes to the mother cell compartment is the principal argument for  $\sigma^{E}$ 's being a mother cell-specific  $\sigma$  factor.

# Sigma Factor $\sigma^{F}$

**Cloning and characterization.** The existence of the novel sigma factor that would become  $\sigma^F$  was deduced from the sequence of the sporulation gene *spoIIAC* (78, 283). The *spoIIA* locus was cloned on the basis of the wild-type sequence's ability to complement Spo<sup>-</sup> mutations which mapped to this site (183, 260). The original cloned DNA was found to contain only a portion of *spoIIA* (183) and was used as a hybridization probe to clone the entire transcription unit (233). Plasmid integration (233) and DNA sequencing (91) studies

revealed that spoIIA was a polycistronic operon that included three open reading frames. Although there was a sequencing error in the third open reading frame (spoIIAC), which resulted in an underestimate of its product's size (78, 283), the similarity between this open reading frame and the sequence of known sigma factors was still appreciated (78). The similarities became even more apparent once the sequencing error was discovered (283). From the homologies between spoIIAC and other bacterial sigma factors, as well as the phenotype of B. subtilis mutants that lacked it, SpoIIAC was assumed to be a sporulation-essential sigma factor which had not yet been detected biochemically (283). The idea that spoIIAC encoded a sigma-like protein was supported by genetic studies which found that missense mutations that compromised SpoIIAC's sporulation-essential activity were localized to regions of its predicted DNA-binding or core RNAP-binding domain (335, 336). Discovery of the *spoIIAC* product occurred unexpectedly during a search for a form of RNAP that could transcribe the forespore-specific sspE gene (292). Genetic and biochemical evidence suggested that neither SpoIIAC nor  $\sigma^{E}$  (the only other sporulation-specific sigma factor known at that time) was directly involved in *sspE* transcription, and so a novel sporulation-specific sigma factor that could direct the transcription of sspE in vitro was sought (292). RNAP from cells expressing sspE was purified by heparin-agarose chromatography, glycerol gradient centrifugation, and DNA-cellulose chromatography, with the sspE-transcribing portion of the preparation subsequently fractionated by preparative SDS-PAGE (292). A reconstitution experiment revealed that the renatured products of two different protein bands could independently stimulate sspE transcription in vitro (292). Sequence analysis demonstrated that the stimulating proteins were likely the products of the spoIIAC and spoIIIG genes and were designated  $\sigma^{F}$  and  $\sigma^{\rm G}$ , respectively (292). Although E- $\sigma^{\rm F}$  is able to transcribe *sspE* in vitro, it is not likely to be responsible for its expression in vivo. A *B. subtilis* strain which lacks  $\sigma^{G}$  but contains  $\sigma^{F}$  displays no sspE-lacZ activity in vivo (292).  $\sigma^{F}$  and  $\sigma^{G}$  have similar promoter recognition properties, which is assumed to account for their overlapping in vitro activities (Table 1) (291, 292).

 $\sigma^{\rm F}$  regulation. (i) Transcriptional regulation. The  $\sigma^{\rm F}$  structural gene is the promoter-distal cistron of an operon whose principal transcriptional start site is located 27 nucleotides upstream of the first open reading frame (Fig. 3) (327). spoIIAlacZ expression studies revealed that spoIIA-dependent  $\beta$ -galactosidase expression is absent from vegetatively growing B. subtilis but begins to appear 30 to 60 min after the induction of sporulation (82, 327). This expression is dependent upon the products of all known spo0 loci but none of the later spo loci that were tested (82, 327). Similar results were obtained when spoIIA-specific mRNA was analyzed; however, these experiments also revealed two RNA transcripts originating from the *spoIIA* sequence (261). The smaller transcript (1.6 kb) appears early  $(T_1)$  and persists, while a larger second transcript (2.6 kb) does not appear until  $T_3$  (261). The larger RNA is thought to originate from a promoter located approximately 1 kbp upstream of the proximal promoter (261, 264, 329) and includes an open reading frame (dacF) whose predicted product has extensive sequence homology with DD-carboxypeptidases (329). Sequences upstream of the transcriptional start site for the larger transcript are similar to those of  $\sigma^{F}$ -dependent promoters (264) and resemble sequences found upstream of a gene (0.3 kb) which is expressed late in sporulation in the forespore (224, 329). dacF expression is eliminated in SigF<sup>-</sup> and reduced in SigG<sup>-</sup> strains of B. subtilis and induced in vegetatively growing *B*. subtilis by the artificial synthesis of  $\sigma^{\rm F}$  or  $\sigma^{\rm G}$  (264). This argues that the *dacF* promoter is principally transcribed by

E- $\sigma^{F}$  and that the synthesis of  $\sigma^{F}$  from this promoter is thus autoregulated (264). Integrating plasmids, used to delimit the size of the essential spoIIA control region, showed that at least 52 bp upstream of the start site are essential for spoIIA expression but that the region upstream of bp -168 is unnecessary for maximum spore formation (329). It therefore appears that the upstream  $\sigma^{F}$ -dependent promoter is dispensable for adequate spoIIA expression under laboratory conditions. The region approximately -35 and -10 bp upstream of the principal spoIIA transcriptional start site shows homology to B. subtilis  $E-\sigma^{H}$ -recognized promoters (329). *spoIIA* can be efficiently transcribed by  $E-\sigma^{H}$  in vitro (328). In vivo, *spoIIA* transcription depends on the presence of  $\sigma^{H}$  (329) and the activated form of Spo0A (28). These results are consistent with spoILA's being part of the  $\sigma^{H}$  regulon, with Spo0A as an additional regulator. The requirement for Spo0A in *spoIIA* transcription resembles a similar requirement in *spoIIG* transcription. Thus, although spoIIA (sigF) and spoIIG (sigE) differ in the form of RNAP  $(E - \sigma^{H} \text{ and } E - \sigma^{A}, \text{ respectively})$  that recognizes their promoters, they both likely receive their sporulation-specific activation signal via the spo0A phosphorelay system. Given that spoIIA and spoIIG are both transcribed at the same time in sporulation (101, 334), under the control of the same activation system (Spo0A), their dependence on separate holoenzymes is puzzling.

(ii) Posttranslational regulation. Even though transcriptional regulation determines when  $\sigma^{\rm F}$  appears in the developing cell, its activation requires additional factors. A genetic study revealed that the two upstream genes of the spoIIA operon, *spoIIAA* and *spoIIAB*, encode potent regulators of  $\sigma^{I}$ activity (263). Overexpression of spoIIAB was found to inhibit  $\sigma^{\rm F}$ -directed gene expression, while mutations in *spoIIAB* stimulate  $\sigma^{F}$ -dependent genes. Furthermore, it was observed that a mutation in *spoIIAA* that blocks  $\sigma^{F}$ -directed transcription could be suppressed by a mutation in *spoIIAB*. These results were interpreted as evidence for SpoIIAB's being an antagonist of  $\sigma^{F}$ , with SpoIIAA counteracting its negative effects (263). It was also noted that mutant  $\sigma^{F}s$ , which become capable of recognizing promoters that are normally transcribed by other RNAP holoenzymes (i.e.,  $E - \sigma^B$  and  $E - \sigma^G$ ), remain sensitive to control by SpoIIAA and SpoIIAB in initiating transcription from these previously unrecognized promoters (191, 263). This implied that SpoIIAA and SpoIIAB functioned at the level of  $E - \sigma^F$  activity rather than at the level of a particular promoter sequence (263). Evidence that SpoIIAB affects  $\sigma^{F}$ dependent transcription by a direct protein-protein interaction came from an in vitro cross-linking study in which purified SpoIIAB was shown to physically associate with  $\sigma^{\rm F}$  (74). Incubation of  $\sigma^{F}$  with SpoIIAB also inhibits  $\sigma^{F}$ -dependent transcription in vitro (74, 202). From these findings, SpoIIAB was viewed as an anti-sigma factor, with SpoIIAA suggested to function as an anti-anti- $\sigma$  factor (74).

The expected importance of SpoIIAB in properly regulating  $\sigma^{F}$  activity during sporulation was confirmed in a study in which a *spoIIAB* deletion mutation was found to cause hyperexpression of genes that are normally expressed in the forespore and arrest sporulation at its earliest stage (stage 0) (48). Thus, the appearance of active  $\sigma^{F}$  at the time when the operon encoding it becomes transcriptionally active results in a disruption of the sporulation program. This is the same phenomenon that occurs if an active form of  $\sigma^{E}$  is synthesized directly from *spoIIG* (231). Apparently, the synthesis of  $\sigma^{F}$  and  $\sigma^{E}$  in inactive forms is essential for establishing the correct program of early spore gene expression. The posttranscriptional regulation of  $\sigma^{F}$  and  $\sigma^{E}$  thus represents a device that permits the operons that encode them to be controlled by the principal early spore gene regulator (Spo0A) while preventing them from prematurely reprogramming RNAP as they accumulate.

It has been proposed that the SpoIIAA/SpoIIAB regulatory system, like the pro- $\sigma^{E}$  processing system, plays a critical role in controlling not only the timing of  $\sigma$  factor activation, but also the cell compartment in which this activation takes place. Just as the pro- $\sigma^{E}$  processing reaction is believed to restrict  $\sigma^{E}$ transcription to the mother cell, the SpoIIAA/SpoIIAB system has been proposed to restrict  $\sigma^{\rm F}$ -directed gene expression to the forespore (5, 191, 227, 263). The mechanism by which SpoIIAA causes the release of  $\sigma^{F}$  from SpoIIAB-mediated inhibition is not known; however, in vitro, SpoIIAB has been shown to preferentially bind to either SpoIIAA or  $\sigma^{\rm F}$ , depending on the ratio of ADP to ATP that is present in the reaction mixture. A high ADP/ATP ratio favors the formation of the SpoIIAB-SpoIIAA complex, while a low ratio facilitates SpoIIAB binding to  $\sigma^{\rm F}$  (5). A model has been proposed in which ATP levels could selectively drop in the forespore compartment while remaining relatively high in the mother cell, thereby activating  $\sigma^{F}$  only on the forespore side of the septal membrane (5). It is unknown whether the hypothetical changes in ATP levels occur in vivo or what processes could cause such an ATP change in the forespore. Other experiments demonstrated that SpoIIAB has a kinase activity that can phosphorylate SpoIIAA in vitro (202). This modification appears to inhibit SpoIIAA's ability to bind to SpoIIAB (5). The role of SpoIIAA phosphorylation in the in vivo control of  $\sigma^{F}$  and the signals that instigate it are unclear.

Although details of  $\sigma^{\rm F}$  regulation still need to be resolved, there is general agreement that the transcription of  $\sigma^{\rm F}$ -dependent genes eventually becomes restricted to the forespore compartment. As is the case with the restriction of  $\sigma^{E}$  activity to the mother cell, there are competing models in which  $\sigma^{\rm F}$  is either selectively activated only in the forespore compartment because of a unique environment in that compartment (5, 191) or initially active in both compartments and restricted to the forespore as a consequence of its eventual inactivation in the mother cell (34). Regardless of which model is correct, activation of  $\sigma^{F}$  appears to require the septation event and the product of at least one sporulation-essential gene (spoIIE) (191, 227). The product of a second sporulation gene (spoIIIE) is also normally needed for the expression of  $\sigma^{F}$ -dependent genes (92); however, the SpoIIIE requirement can be bypassed if the E- $\sigma^{F}$ -transcribed gene (e.g., *spoIIIG* or *gpr*) is moved to a different site on the B. subtilis chromosome (291). The fact that  $\sigma^{\rm F}$ -dependent transcription can occur in the absence of SpoIIIE argues that SpoIIIE is not required for  $\sigma^{F}$ 's release from SpoIIAB (191). A recent electron microscopic study demonstrated convincingly that SpoIIIE plays a role in the proper partitioning of the B. subtilis chromosome to the forespore (330). This result implies that the requirement for SpoIIIÈ in  $\sigma^{F}$ -dependent transcription is likely to be indirect and a result of the need for SpoIIIE in transferring an intact chromosome to the compartment where  $\sigma^{\rm F}$  is maximally active.

**E-\sigma^{F}-transcribed genes.** Besides the *dacF* promoter, which appears to be part of an extended *sigF* operon (264), *spoIIIG* and *gpr* are the only additional examples of genes that are known to rely on  $\sigma^{F}$  for at least a portion of their expression (227, 293). As with *dacF*, both of these genes can also be transcribed by the E- $\sigma^{G}$  form of RNAP (227, 293). *spoIIIG*, the  $\sigma^{G}$  structural gene, was identified as a sigma-like open reading frame immediately downstream of the operon (*spoIIG*) that encodes  $\sigma^{E}$  (152, 201). As its designation implies, disruption of the *spoIIIG* reading frame blocks sporulation at stage III (152, 201). A *spoIIIG-lacZ* reporter system, used to estimate the time in development when *spoIIIG* transcription becomes ac-

tive, synthesized  $\beta$ -galactosidase 2.5 h after the onset of sporulation and continued to generate  $\beta$ -galactosidase during the next 2 h (152). Fractionation of these sporulating cells into mother cell- and forespore-enriched extracts segregated the  $\beta$ -galactosidase primarily into the forespore extract (152). Genetic experiments implied that *spoIIIG* expression in the forespore compartment was necessary if a cell is to sporulate successfully (139). The data were consistent with *spoIIIG*'s encoding a forespore-specific sigma factor (152). The notion that *spoIIIG* expression is dependent on  $\sigma^{F}$  is based both on in vitro experiments in which  $E - \sigma^{F}$  was found to transcribe *spoIIIG* (292), in vivo experiments in which induction of  $\sigma^{F}$ synthesis in vegetatively growing *B. subtilis* activated *spoIIIG* transcription (227), and genetic studies in which mutations which inactivate  $\sigma^{F}$  were shown to block *spoIIIG* expression (92, 152, 227).

The last gene known to be transcribed by  $E - \sigma^{F}$  in vivo is gpr. gpr is the coding sequence for an endopeptidase which degrades the spore's protein reserve (small, acid-soluble spore proteins [SASPs]) upon germination (293). B. subtilis gpr, as judged from the compartment-specific partitioning of the product of a gpr-lacZ fusion, is expressed primarily if not exclusively in the forespore (292). gpr synthesis is abolished in a spoIIAC (sigF) mutant and reduced 50% in a spoIIIG (sigG) mutant (293). Thus, gpr is likely to be transcribed by both  $E-\sigma^{F}$ and  $E \cdot \sigma^G$ . Aside from *spoIIIG* and *gpr*, several foresporespecific genes (e.g., gerA and sspE) that appear to be primarily transcribed by  $E - \sigma^{G}$  in vivo can also be transcribed by  $E - \sigma^{F}$ , for unknown reasons, if their chromosomal location is altered (291). At least one of them, sspE, can also be transcribed by  $E-\sigma^{F}$ <sup>7</sup> in vitro (292). The consensus sequences for  $\sigma^{F}$ - and  $\sigma^{G}$ -dependent promoters (Table 1) are very similar (291, 293), and it is possible that one of  $\sigma^{F}$ 's functions is to initially turn on some of the operons which ultimately will be transcribed by E- $\sigma^{G}$ .

There is evidence that at least one  $\sigma^{F}$ -dependent gene remains to be discovered. The processing of pro- $\sigma^{E}$  into its active form requires the *spoIIAC/sigF* gene product (146, 284); however, no operon known to be needed for the processing reaction has been found to require  $\sigma^{F}$  for its expression. Thus, either the  $\sigma^{F}$  protein itself somehow directly participates in the processing reaction (137, 227) or there is an undiscovered  $\sigma^{F}$ -dependent operon whose products are required for pro- $\sigma^{E}$  processing. From a small number of identified target genes,  $\sigma^{F}$ -dependent transcription appears to be limited to the early expression of forespore-specific genes.

# Sigma Factor $\sigma^{G}$

**Identification and cloning.** The  $\sigma^{G}$  structural gene was discovered immediately downstream of the  $\sigma^{E}$ -encoding (spoIIG) operon as an open reading frame (spoIIIG) that contained sequence elements in common with known sigma factors (152, 201). The  $\sigma^{G}$  protein itself was uncovered, along with  $\sigma^{F}$ , during a search for factors that could activate the transcription of the forespore-specific sspE gene (292). RNAP prepared from sporulating cells and purified by column chromatography was observed to transcribe sspE in vitro. When the proteins contained in this RNAP fraction were separated by SDS-PAGE, renatured, and added back to core RNAP, two different proteins were found that were capable of directing RNAP to the sspE promoter (292). A comparison of their aminoterminal sequences with the sequences of known sporulation genes identified one as SpoIIAC ( $\sigma^{F}$ ) and the second as SpoIIIG ( $\sigma^{G}$ ) (292).

 $\sigma^{G}$  regulation. From the accumulation of  $\beta$ -galactosidase

from an spoIIIG-lacZ translational fusion, expression of spoIIIG begins between 2 and 2.5 h after the onset of sporulation and requires the products of all of the stage 0 sporulation genes as well as wild-type spoIIA, spoIIE, and spoIIIE operons (92, 290). In contrast to the delay in  $\beta$ -galactosidase accumulation obtained with the lacZ translational fusion, transcriptional fusions of *lacZ* to the *spoIIIG* region result in  $\beta$ -galactosidase synthesis within the first hour of sporulation (290). The transcriptional fusion result was consistent with S1 nuclease protection assays of spoIIIG-specific RNA, which detected spoIIIG transcripts 1 h after the onset of sporulation (201). Measurements of the amount of  $\beta$ -galactosidase expressed from different spoIIIG-lacZ transcriptional fusions, constructed by joining various lengths of upstream regions of spoIIIG to lacZ, revealed that the early synthesis of spoIIIG mRNA is due to readthrough from the upstream spoIIG operon (290). The same conclusion could be drawn from the size of the spoIIIG mRNA that is synthesized early (201). It is thought that the spoIIIG portion of this early RNA is not translated because of a region, approximately 50 bases upstream of the spoIIIG translational initiation site, which can base pair with the initiation site and block its availability to ribosomes (201, 290). A second spoIIIG mRNA, beginning 27 nucleotides upstream of the spoIIIG initiation codon, becomes evident between  $T_2$  and  $T_4$  (201, 290). This transcript lacks the upstream sequences needed to form the translational block on  $\sigma^{G}$  expression and appears at the time when the product of spoIIIG-lacZ translational fusions becomes assayable (290). The "late-appearing" spoIIIG transcript is the only one essential for providing the cell with  $\sigma^{G}$ . Introduction of a wild-type *spoIIIG* gene, expressible from its late-activated promoter but lacking the ability to synthesize the early transcript, suppresses the Spo phenotype of a spoIIIG null mutation (290). This principal spoIIIG promoter can be activated in vivo during vegetative growth by the induced expression of either  $\sigma^{G}$  or  $\sigma^{F}$  (227, 263, 291). In vitro, both E- $\sigma^{G}$  and E- $\sigma^{F}$  transcribe *spoIIIG*; however, E- $\sigma^{F}$ 's preference for *spoIIIG* is 20-fold higher than that of  $E-\sigma^{G}$  (290). Furthermore, mutations which inactivate  $\sigma^F$  but not those which affect only  $\sigma^{G}$  block *spoIIIG* expression (227, 290). Thus, the activity of the principal spoIIIG promoter appears to depend on  $\sigma^{F}$ . As such, *spoIIIG* expression would be expected to be controlled by the  $\sigma^{\rm F}$  regulators spoIIAA and spoIIAB and limited to the forespore compartment (191, 263).

The question of why spoIIIG is cotranscribed with spoIIG early in sporulation if this mRNA is dispensable for  $\sigma^{G}$  synthesis and fails to be translated has been discussed by Sun et al. (290). They suggest the possibility of two independent mechanisms for  $\sigma^{G}$  synthesis: one dependent on E- $\sigma^{F}$ , and a second involving a hypothetical, developmentally regulated translation of the polycistronic spoIIG-spoIIIG mRNA to yield  $\sigma^{G}$ , which then directs further *spoIIIG* expression (290). The notion that *spoIIIG* could be expressed by  $E - \sigma^G$ , with its initial synthesis driven by readthrough transcription from spoIIG, was in fact proposed when spoIIIG was first identified as a potential  $\sigma$  factor (152). It has been hypothesized (290) that although  $E - \sigma^{F}$  is the principal *spoIIIG*-transcribing enzyme under normal laboratory conditions, there could be other growth conditions in which the E- $\sigma^{G}$  pathway is favored. There is evidence that *spoIIAB* not only may be a negative regulator of  $\sigma^{F}$  but also may directly affect the activity of  $\sigma^{G}$  (94, 162, 244). Thus, if a E- $\sigma^{G}$ -dependent *spoIIIG* expression pathway exists, the environmental factors that modulate  $\sigma^{\rm F}$  activity through SpoI IAA and SpoIIAB might still be in control, directing the activity of  $\sigma^{G}$  (290).

Aside from SpoIIAA/SpoIIAB control,  $\sigma^{F}$ -dependent expression of *spoIIIG* also depends on the *spoIIIE* and possibly

the spoIIIA products (92, 152, 291). Although required for sporulation, spoIIIE is constitutively expressed at a low rate during growth. It has consensus sequences for mononucleotide binding as well as several potential membrane-spanning domains (92). spoIIIE mutations block spoIIIG transcription and subsequent forespore gene expression but do not seem to directly affect mother cell gene regulation (92). It has been shown that  $\sigma^{\text{F}}\text{-dependent}$  spoIIIG expression is freed from a need for SpoIIIE but not from a need for release from SpoI IAB if spoIIIG is moved to an alternate site on the B. subtilis chromosome (291). Recent microscopic analyses have revealed that SpoIIIE is needed for the proper segregation of the B. subtilis chromosome into the forespore compartment (330). An intriguing model has been proposed in which the defective DNA segregation that occurs in spoIIIE mutants is hypothesized to result in only a specific segment of the B. subtilis chromosome's entering the forespore. This partitioning of a limited portion of the chromosome into the forespore would explain why a forespore-specific gene's chromosomal location determines whether or not it is expressed in a spoIIIE mutant. If it resided in the region of the chromosome that entered the forespore, it would be expressed. Otherwise it would remain in the mother cell and not become active (330). An additional factor that could influence forespore gene expression comes from the observation that the forespore chromosome condenses early in development (268). This has promoted the suggestion that chromosome condensation, with its potential for limiting RNAP access, may establish accessibility domains which are altered in the spoIIIE mutant and that only genes that are physically located in an accessible region of the chromosome can be expressed (291).

The  $\sigma^{E}$ -dependent, and presumably mother cell-specific, spoIIIA gene has also been implicated as a regulator of spoIIIG expression (152) as well as that of other forespore genes (81, 199, 224, 246); however, contradictory evidence has been published (136) leaving SpoIIIA's role in forespore gene expression in question. A third stage III spo gene, spoIIIJ, may encode a regulator of  $\sigma^{G}$  activity. It is not required for the expression of the  $\sigma^{G}$  structural gene but is needed for the transcription of genes that are dependent on E- $\sigma^{G}$  (77). spoIIIJ consists of a bicistronic operon that is expressed primarily in vegetative cells, with the promoter-proximal cistron needed for sporulation (77). How SpoIIIJ controls E- $\sigma^{G}$ -dependent gene expression is unknown.

**E**-σ<sup>G</sup>-transcribed genes. Several genes are known to depend on σ<sup>G</sup> for their expression. The transcription of these genes, like the synthesis of σ<sup>G</sup> itself, appears to be restricted to the forespore compartment. Among the E-σ<sup>G</sup>-transcribed genes is σ<sup>G</sup>'s own coding sequence (*spoIIIG*) (290). σ<sup>G</sup> is not required for the expression of *spoIIIG*. E-σ<sup>G</sup> transcribes *spoIIIG* in vitro but with a lower efficiency than does E-σ<sup>F</sup>, the RNAP form thought to be the principal *spoIIIG*-transcribing enzyme (290). σ<sup>G</sup> has been speculated to enhance the expression of *spoIIIG* or to be the principal *spoIIIG*-transcribing enzyme under undefined sporulation conditions in which σ<sup>F</sup> activity may not be high (152, 290).

The first gene demonstrated to be transcribed by  $E \cdot \sigma^G$  was *sspE* (292). *sspE* is one of five genes (*sspA* through *sspE*) which encode a group of SASPs that constitute 10 to 20% of the protein found in dormant spores (89). These proteins are rapidly degraded as a source of amino acids upon spore germination. In addition, the SASPs play a role in the resistance of dormant spores to UV light (269). All five of these genes have been cloned, sequenced, and mapped on the *B. subtilis* chromosome (45–47, 110). Translational fusions of four of the *ssp* 

genes to *lacZ* revealed that all are similarly regulated and produced  $\beta$ -galactosidase, which accumulated almost exclusively in the forespore compartment (199). These genes are not expressed in mutant *B. subtilis* lacking  $\sigma^{G}$  but are expressed in vegetatively growing *B. subtilis* if a source of  $\sigma^{G}$  is provided (292). They have common conserved sequences at bp -35 and -10 which are believed to be the target sequences for E- $\sigma^{G}$ (Table 1) (217). A late sporulation gene (0.3 kb) that is turned on later than the other *ssp* genes has been recently redesignated as a sixth *ssp* gene (*sspF*) (224). The *sspF* promoter is less well conserved than the other *ssp* promoters; however, *sspF* expression can be induced by the synthesis of  $\sigma^{G}$  in vegetative cells, and so its transcription is thought to rely on  $\sigma^{G}$  (224).

In addition to the *ssp* genes, the gene (*gpr*) encoding the principal endoprotease responsible for the degradation of the SASPs is also recognized by  $E-\sigma^{G}$ . Both  $E-\sigma^{F}$  and  $E-\sigma^{G}$  effectively initiate *gpr* transcription at the same site in vitro (293). In vivo, *gpr-lacZ* transcription is eliminated in the absence of  $\sigma^{F}$  and reduced by half, with early *gpr* expression unaffected, in mutant *B. subtilis* cells that lack  $\sigma^{G}$  (293). Thus, *gpr* appears to be transcribed first by  $E-\sigma^{F}$ , with its late expression dependent on  $E-\sigma^{G}$  (293).

The glucose dehydrogenase structural gene (gdh) is likely to be part of the  $\sigma^{G}$  regulon. Glucose dehydrogenase is a forespore-specific enzyme that becomes evident 2 to 3 h into sporulation (97, 199), with its appearance paralleling the accumulation of the gdh mRNA (174). The promoter of the cloned gdh gene resembles known  $\sigma^{G}$ -recognized promoters (215, 246, 311). E- $\sigma^{G}$  transcribes gdh in vitro (215), and glucose dehydrogenase accumulation in sporulating cells is blocked in a *spoIIIG/sigG* null mutant (292).

*spoIVB* is a gene whose product is required for activation of the mother cell-specific sigma factor  $\sigma^{K}$  (49). Although it is essential for a mother cell-specific event, its expression appears to be limited to the forespore compartment, where it is induced at the engulfment stage of development (49). *spoIVB* contains a  $\sigma^{G}$  consensus promoter, is not expressed in *spoIIIG/sigG* mutant strains, and is induced in vegetatively growing *B. subtilis* if  $\sigma^{G}$  is present (49, 309).

spoVA is an operon with an expression pattern similar to that of genes dependent on  $\sigma^{G}$  (81). spoVA mutations result in the production of immature spores that are partially resistant to toluene and lysozyme but sensitive to heat and chloroform and fail to accumulate DPA in the prespore (80). The spoVA locus has been cloned (260, 261) and, from its sequence, is believed to encode a polycistronic mRNA including at least five genes (90). Genetic analyses have shown that spoVA must be expressed in the forespore if sporulation is to proceed (61, 139). Cell fractionation studies demonstrated that the product of a spoVAA-lacZ fusion accumulates predominantly, if not exclusively, in the forespore compartment (81). The promoter region of spoVA contains a consensus  $\sigma^{G}$  promoter sequence (207, 217), which is recognized by E- $\sigma^{G}$  in vitro (217).

Germination of *B. subtilis* spores to recover the vegetative state can be induced by a variety of amino acids and sugars (reviewed in reference 206). Mutations at more than a dozen loci (ger) which alter the germination properties of the cells which carry them have been isolated. At least two of these ger loci (gerA and gerD) appear to be part of the  $\sigma^{G}$  regulon (85, 157). The gerA and gerD genes have been cloned, sequenced, and predicted to encode proteins with features found in membrane-associated proteins (86, 333). The putative promoter regions of both operons resemble  $\sigma^{G}$ -consensus promoters (85, 157). gerA and gerD are not expressed in spoIIIG mutant cells, are turned on in vegetatively growing *B. subtilis* by the induction of  $\sigma^{G}$  synthesis, and are transcribed by E- $\sigma^{G}$  in vitro (85, 157).

From its known members, the  $\sigma^{G}$  regulon appears to encode products that are synthesized within the forespore compartment during the later stages of sporulation to enhance spore survival and facilitate germination.

## Sigma Factor $\sigma^{K}$

Isolation and cloning.  $\sigma^{K}$  was detected and purified in an in vitro study of compartment-specific transcription using cloned copies of mother cell-expressed genes (spoIVC and cotD) as templates (165). RNAP partially purified from sporulating cells was shown to initiate transcription at the in vivo start sites of both spoIVC and cotD (165). DNA-cellulose chromatography separated the RNAP activities into fractions having a preference for one or the other of the two promoters. The proteins found in these samples were separated by SDS-PAGE, eluted from the gel, and tested for their ability to direct core RNAP to each of the two promoters. A single 27-kDa protein present in both peak fractions was responsible for the recognition of both the spoIVC and cotD promoters; however, it was significantly more effective in directing RNAP to cotD than to spoIVC (165). This difference in activity occurred even if the 27-kDa protein was purified from an RNAP fraction that had originally demonstrated a preference for spoIVC. The basis of this discrepancy was revealed when a 14-kDa protein found in the fraction that originally preferred the spoIVC template was shown to stimulate transcription of spoIVC by RNAP plus the 27-kDa protein ( $\sigma^{K}$ ) (165). The 14-kDa protein proved to be a DNA-binding protein and the product of the spoIIID gene (167, 281). Amino-terminal sequencing of  $\sigma^{K}$ revealed that its predicted coding sequence matched that of one of the genes (spoIVCB) which had been used as a template for its biochemical isolation (165). spoIVCB is one of two separate operons, A and B, that make up the spoIVC locus (169). The  $\sigma^{K}$  sequence matched the predicted *spoIVCB* product sequence beginning at residue 21. This suggested that  $\sigma^{K}$ , like  $\sigma^{E}$ , is processed from a precursor (165). An additional curiosity associated with *spoIVCB* as the  $\sigma^{K}$  structural gene was the fact that its open reading frame was inadequate to encode a protein of the size of  $\sigma^{K}$  (165). This discrepancy was resolved when it was noted (286) that another sporulation locus (spoIIIC), whose predicted product is similar to the carboxyterminal domain of sigma factors but lacks a recognizable sigma factor amino terminus (83), was near spoIVC. It was proposed that the  $\sigma^{K}$  structural gene (*sigK*) could be a composite gene formed from spoIVCB and spoIIIC (286). This notion was substantiated by Southern blot analyses in which the spoIVCB-spoIIIC region of the B. subtilis chromosome was seen to rearrange during sporulation, forming a composite *sigK* gene that could be cloned from the chromosome of sporulating cells (286).

 $\sigma^{K}$  regulation. The synthesis of  $\sigma^{K}$  is more complexly controlled than that of the other known *B. subtilis* sigma factors. It depends on a sporulation-specific rearrangement of the *B. subtilis* chromosome to form the  $\sigma^{K}$  structural gene, a highly regulated transcriptional activation to synthesize its mRNA, and a posttranslational processing reaction to convert an inactive pro- $\sigma^{K}$  into the active sigma factor (164). This multilevel control represents a device to prevent inappropriate expression of  $\sigma^{K}$  under nonsporulation conditions, a situation which occurs if  $\sigma^{K}$  synthesis is controlled by transcription alone (221).

(i) Formation of *sigK*. *sigK* is formed by a site-specific recombination event which joins the previously separated *spoIVCB* and *spoIIIC* genes into a single cistron (286). As

determined by Southern blot analysis of fractionated mother cell and forespore chromosomes, the rearrangement occurs only in the mother cell compartment (286). It is a reciprocal recombination event in which the intervening DNA (approximately 42 kb) is deleted from the chromosome as a circle that can be detected as an extrachromosomal element on gels (168). The recombination occurs at a 5-bp sequence found in both spoIVCB and spoIIIC (286). This sequence in spoIVCB is followed closely by a 21-bp sequence that is present in an inverted form upstream of the same 5-bp sequence in spoIIIC (286). The recombinase responsible for the recombination reaction is encoded by a gene, spoIVCA, which lies within the excised DNA and whose transcription precedes sigK rearrangement (168, 257). The predicted product of spoIVCA has an amino terminus that is homologous to site-specific DNA recombinases (257) and binds in vitro to the recombination sites interrupting sigK (236). Rearrangement of spoIVCB-spoIIIC fails to occur in *spoIVCA* mutants, and the requirement for SpoIVCA in sporulation can be bypassed if the cell is provided with a rearranged copy of sigK (168). In keeping with the observation that the recombination event is dependent on the products of the spoIIG/sigE and spoIIID genes (286), in vitro transcription of *spoIVCA* occurs by  $E - \sigma^E$  and is stimulated by purified SpoIIID protein (164, 256). Although SpoIIID is likely to affect sigK formation by facilitating the transcription of the recombinase, a direct role for SpoIIID in the sigK rearrangement has not been ruled out. The dependence of the sigK rearrangement on E- $\sigma^E$  and SpoIIID ensures that this reaction will be highly controlled. Together, these two transcription factors limit *sigK* rearrangement to the mother cell and postpone its occurrence until  $\sigma^{E}$ -dependent gene expression is well under way.

(ii) sigK transcription. sigK expression, studied by using an spoIVCB-lacZ fusion, is turned on between the third and fourth hour of sporulation and requires the *spoIIG* (*sigE*) and spoIIID gene products (169). As would be expected of a gene dependent on  $\sigma^{E}$  and SpoIIID, the  $\beta$ -galactosidase produced by the spoIVCB-lacZ fusion was enriched in extract fractions containing mother cell components (169). Besides sigE and spoIIID, sigK expression is also at least partially dependent on other spo genes, including sigK itself (169). A requirement for  $\sigma^{K}$  in attaining maximal *sigK* transcription was anticipated, given that the spoIVC (sigK) promoter was one of the assay templates used for the isolation of  $\sigma^{K}$  (165). sigK can be transcribed, in vitro, by either  $E - \sigma^E$  or  $E - \sigma^K$  (165). In vivo, sigK transcription is absolutely dependent on sigE and partially dependent on sigK (169). Although a requirement for  $E - \sigma^E$  in SpoIIID synthesis complicates the interpretation of this in vivo observation, the data suggest that in the presence of the SpoIIID, *sigK* is initially transcribed by  $E - \sigma^E$  and then by  $E - \sigma^K$ (164, 287).

(iii) **Pro-\sigma^{K} processing.** The  $\sigma^{K}$  amino terminus aligns with the *spoIVCB* gene at the 21st codon of *spoIVCB*'s open reading frame (165). This observation implies that  $\sigma^{K}$ , like  $\sigma^{E}$ , is synthesized as a proprotein (pro- $\sigma^{K}$ ). By using antibodies specific for pro- $\sigma^{K}/\sigma^{K}$ , the putative pro- $\sigma^{K}$  was detected in crude *B*. *subtilis* extracts, where its appearance preceded that of  $\sigma^{K}$  by approximately 1 h (i.e., pro- $\sigma^{K}$  was first seen at 3 h into the sporulation process, while  $\sigma^{K}$  was not detected before the fourth hour) (188). The precursor form of  $\sigma^{K}$  appears to be inactive. Expression of *cotD*, which depends on  $\sigma^{K}$  for its transcription, only begins at about the time when the processed form of  $\sigma^{K}$  appears (188). In addition, the artificially induced synthesis of pro- $\sigma^{K}$  in vegetatively growing *B*. *subtilis* fails to lead to the expression of a *cotD-lacZ* reporter gene until the cells proceed into sporulation and the accumulated pro- $\sigma^{K}$  is converted into  $\sigma^{K}$  (188). In vitro,  $\sigma^{K}$  but not pro- $\sigma^{K}$  was found to stimulate core RNAP to transcribe  $\sigma^{K}$ -dependent genes (188). The observation that pro- $\sigma^{K}$  accumulates during sporulation and is converted into  $\sigma^{K}$  only later in development suggests that pro- $\sigma^{K}$  processing is a developmentally regulated event distinct from pro- $\sigma^{K}$  synthesis (188). By using an anti-pro- $\sigma^{K}/\sigma^{K}$  antibody as a probe, extracts of

mutant B. subtilis strains were examined to determine the importance of various spo genes in the processing reaction. Mutations in eight loci (spoIIB, spoIID, spoIIIA, spoIIIE, spoIIIG, spoIVA, spoIVB, and spoIVF) permit the accumulation of pro- $\sigma^{K}$  but block or reduce its conversion into  $\sigma^{K}$  (188). The two stage II loci that are needed for processing (spoIIB and spoIID) encode products that function relatively early in sporulation. They are hypothesized to play a role in modifying the forespore septum as a prerequisite for forespore engulfment (137, 192). Ongoing morphological development has been proposed to be an important regulatory cue for spore gene activation (51, 79, 125, 186). If this is so, then the need for these gene products in pro- $\sigma^{K}$  processing likely reflects the failure of the cells which lack them to reach the stage of morphological development which activates the processing machinery and not an indication that their products are directly involved in the processing reaction. Three stage III genes (spoIIIA, IIIE, and IIIG) are needed for pro- $\sigma^{K}$  processing. The explicit function of *spoIIIA* is unknown. From its dependence on  $\sigma^{E}$  for expression, *spoIIIA* is likely to be a mother cell-specific operon (138) and therefore could at least theoretically participate directly in pro- $\sigma^{K}$  processing. *spoIIIE* has been better characterized. It is expressed predominantly in vegetatively growing cells (92). *spoIIIE* may play a direct, albeit undefined, role in  $\text{pro-}\sigma^{\text{K}}$ processing; however, as described above, it is required for chromosome partitioning to the forespore and the expression of *spoIIIG* (330). Thus, SpoIIIE's role in pro- $\sigma^{K}$  processing may be indirect and limited to spoIIIG expression (92). spoIIIG encodes the forespore-specific  $\sigma$  factor  $\hat{\sigma}^{G}$  (152, 201).

The dependence of pro- $\sigma^{K}$  processing on  $\sigma^{G}$  argues that one or more  $\sigma^{G}$ -dependent (i.e., forespore-expressed) genes are needed for pro- $\sigma^{\rm K}$  processing, a circumstance that could coordinate late gene expression between the two compartments (51). The identity of one of these  $\sigma^{G}$ -dependent genes was revealed when the processing-essential spoIVB gene was cloned and analyzed (49, 309). From the time of appearance and disposition of an spoIVB-lacZ product, spoIVB is induced at the engulfment stage of development in the forespore compartment (49). The principal promoter of spoIVB has a  $\sigma^{G}$  consensus sequence (49, 309). It is not expressed in spoIIIG/sigG mutant strains, and it is induced in vegetatively growing *B. subtilis* if  $\sigma^{G}$  is provided (49). Given that *spoIVB* is transcribed in the forespore by  $E \cdot \sigma^G$ , it has been proposed that the *spoIVB* product, or an event under its control, represents the factor which couples the processing of pro- $\sigma^{K}$  to  $\sigma^{G}\text{-di-}$ rected gene expression in the forespore (49). Gene products through which this hypothetical coupling could occur were identified by mutations that released the expression of the  $\sigma^{K}$ -dependent *cotA* gene from  $\sigma^{G}$ -dependent gene expression (51). One of these mutations, *bofB* (bypass of forespore), per-mitted the processing of pro- $\sigma^{K}$  in *spoIIIE*, *spoIIIA*, *spoIIIG*, and spoIVB mutant strains and was mapped to the spoIVF locus (51). spoIVF consists of a two-cistron operon (spoIVFA and *spoIVFB*) under the control of  $\sigma^{E}$  (52). The *bof* mutations were found in the 3' end of the spoIVFA cistron. It was shown that both spoIVF cistrons are required for spore formation at 37°C (53). The promoter-proximal spoIVFA product is dispensable for sporulation at 30°C but its loss confers a Bof phenotype at this temperature (53). These findings suggested that

SpoIVFB is a thermolabile protein that instigates pro- $\sigma^{K}$  processing but is both inhibited in its activities and stabilized to heat by SpoIVFA (53). A second  $\sigma^{E}$ -dependent gene, *bofA*/ ski-4 (140, 250), also discovered in the "bypass of forespore" mutant search (51), appears to cooperate with SpoIVFA in inhibiting the action of SpoIVFB (250). The gene products known to influence  $\text{pro-}\sigma^{\text{K}}$  processing have been assembled into a model in which SpoIVFB is thought to promote pro- $\sigma^{K}$ processing in response to an SpoIVB-dependent signal from the forespore that releases its inhibition by SpoIVFA/BofA (49, 51). Overproduction of pro- $\sigma^{K}$  uncouples  $\sigma^{K}$ -dependent gene expression from dependence on intercompartmental communication (189). The uncoupled pro- $\sigma^{K}$  processing appears to be due to a low-level spolVF-independent processing reaction that depends on one or more  $\sigma^{E}$ -transcribed genes. It is unknown whether this processing activity represents an additional protease or residual activity of the "normal" pro- $\sigma^{K}$ processing enzyme.

**E-\sigma^{K}-transcribed genes.** The genes that rely on E- $\sigma^{K}$  for part or all of their expression are expressed in the mother cell compartment at late times in sporulation. They include the regulatory gene *gerE* (52, 338), genes involved in DPA synthesis and accumulation (56, 76, 93), and genes for the synthesis and assembly of the spore coat (52, 54, 252, 337, 339).

gerE mutations result in spores that are germination defective and have aberrant protein coat composition and structure (88, 205). The gerE gene was cloned (144) and found to encode a small (74-amino-acid) protein whose synthesis is switched on after  $T_3$  of sporulation (50). gerE is transcribed in vitro by E- $\sigma^{K}$ (338). The product of a gerE-lacZ fusion accumulates in the mother cell compartment of sporulating cells that are able to form an active  $\sigma^{K}$  (52). gerE is a DNA-binding protein that stimulates the transcription of the spore coat genes *cotB*, *cotD*, and cotC and inhibit the transcription of cotA and sigK in vitro (338). In vivo, gerE is needed for the expression of cotB and cotC and full expression of cotD, while its absence results in overexpression of cotA and the operon (dpa) encoding DPA synthetase (52, 56, 255, 339). GerE is proposed to be a regulatory protein that establishes the pattern of late, mother cellspecific transcription (338, 339).

Six genes encoding spore coat proteins (*cotA*, -*B*, -*C*, -*D* [65], -*F* [54], and -*T* [7]) have been identified and cloned. These gene products form the protein coat which is laid down around the developing forespore by the mother cell to provide the mature spore with a protective barrier (232). Their expression has been described as a cascade, with an ordered sequential appearance of both structural and regulatory proteins (339). At least several of these *cot* genes depend on  $E-\sigma^{K}$  for their transcription, in some instances with an additional level of regulation provided by GerE (7, 255, 338, 339).

Two loci (*spoVK* and *spoVE*) involved in DPA accumulation are also transcribed by E- $\sigma^{K}$  (56, 93). *spoVK* (*spoVJ*) has a  $\sigma^{E}$ -dependent promoter that is responsible for its initial expression but also contains a  $\sigma^{K}$ -dependent promoter which ensures its expression after  $T_4$  (93). The *spoVE* locus, encoding two genes (*dpaA* and *dpaB*) that together specify DPA synthetase, has a  $\sigma^{K}$  consensus promoter element, is activated at  $T_4$ , when  $\sigma^{K}$  activity appears, and is silent in *B. subtilis* mutants which are incapable of synthesizing  $\sigma^{K}$  (56).

In addition to activating a number of late sporulation genes, the appearance of  $E \cdot \sigma^{K}$  also coincides with a reduction in the expression of at least some earlier *spo* genes.  $E \cdot \sigma^{K}$  has been hypothesized to trigger a feedback loop that decreases the level of SpoIIID, a mother cell regulatory protein of the preceding sporulation stage, and thereby reduce the transcription dependent on it (111).

## CONCLUDING REMARKS

The sigma factors of *B. subtilis* illustrate the complexity to be found in prokaryotic gene regulation. Not only are the structural genes for these proteins expressed under sophisticated systems of transcriptional control; additional layers of posttranslational regulation are also present. Particularly noteworthy is the use of proprotein sequences and anti- $\sigma$  factor proteins to modulate  $\sigma$  factor activity. These devices presumably tie sigma factor activation to cues that are less readily joined to conventional systems of transcriptional or translational regulation. Posttranslational controls on sigma factor activity are most evident during B. subtilis sporulation, the process in which multiple  $\sigma$  factors were first discovered. At several stages of the spore-forming process, the activation of preexisting  $\sigma$ factors appears to couple spo gene induction to the cell's ongoing morphological development, not only regulating temporal and compartment-specific gene expression but also coordinating gene expression between the mother cell and forespore compartments.

The specific use of either a proprotein sequence or an anti- $\sigma$ factor as the  $\sigma$  factor's negative regulator has distinct consequences once the sigma factor is activated. Sigma factor activation by the removal of the proprotein sequence is irreversible, while activation by the release of a binding protein could, at least theoretically, be reversed by the reassociation of the  $\sigma$ factor with its regulator. It is probably not a coincidence that the two  $\sigma$  factors which are "permanently activated" by the removal of a pro sequence are either sporulation-specific sigma factors that are short-lived ( $\sigma^{E}$ ) or the terminal  $\sigma$  factor ( $\sigma^{K}$ ) of a cell compartment that is destined to lyse. Silencing of genes dependent on these sigma factors necessitates either degradation of the  $\sigma$  factor or destruction of the cell in which it is active. This lack of flexibility may be useful in committing a terminally differentiating cell to provide spore-essential products in the face of changing environmental signals but is likely unsuitable for processes that must remain responsive to change. In contrast, anti-o factors, with their capacity to reversibly modulate  $\sigma$  factor activity, would be expected to have the flexibility needed to regulate dynamic processes. The B. subtilis  $\sigma$  factors ( $\sigma^{B}$ ,  $\sigma^{F}$ , and  $\sigma^{G}$ ) that are inhibited by anti- $\sigma$ factors are found in vegetatively growing cells or the spore compartment, which regenerates to form a new vegetative cell.

Anti- $\sigma$  factors may prove to be common regulators of  $\sigma$  factor activity. In addition to  $\sigma^{B}$ ,  $\sigma^{F}$ , and  $\sigma^{G}$ , it is possible that the sporulation-induced inhibitor of the principal *B. subtilis*  $\sigma$  factor ( $\sigma^{A}$ ) (266) is also a protein of this class. If  $\sigma^{A}$  does have a corresponding anti- $\sigma$  factor, it would be a strong argument for wide-ranging control by this species of regulator in *Bacillus* gene expression. Given that an anti- $\sigma$  factor has also been observed in *Salmonella typhimurium*, where it controls a motility sigma factor (220), it would not be surprising to find that anti- $\sigma$  factors, like multiple  $\sigma$  factors themselves, are ubiquitous among procaryotes. Anti- $\sigma$  factors and their corresponding anti-anti- $\sigma$  factors may well become the next two-component system (282) of bacterial gene regulation.

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

I thank the many colleagues who provided preprints and comments on the manuscript and Deborah Yrle for her help in the preparation of the manuscript.

Work in my laboratory has been principally supported by grants from the National Science Foundation and the National Institutes of Health.

#### REFERENCES

- Achberger, E. C., M. D. Hilton, and H. R. Whitely. 1982. The effect of the delta subunit on the interaction of *Bacillus subtilis* RNA polymerase with bases in a SP82 early gene promoter. Nucleic Acids Res. 10:2893–2910.
- Achberger, E. C., M. Tahara, and H. R. Whiteley. 1982. Interchangeability of delta subunits of RNA polymerase from different species of the genus *Bacillus*. J. Bacteriol. 150:977–980.
- Achberger, E. C., and H. R. Whiteley. 1981. The role of the delta peptide of the *Bacillus subtilis* RNA polymerase in promoter selection. J. Biol. Chem. 256:7424–7432.
- Albano, M., J. Hahn, and D. Dubnau. 1987. Expression of competence genes in *Bacillus subtilis*. J. Bacteriol. 169:3110–3117.
- Alper, S., L. Duncan, and R. Losick. 1994. An adenosine nucleotide switch controlling the activity of a cell type-specific transcription factor in *B.* subtilis. Cell 77:195–205.
- Arnosti, D. N., V. L. Singer, and M. J. Chamberlin. 1986. Characterization of heat shock in *Bacillus subtilis*. J. Bacteriol. 168:1243–1249.
- Aronson, A. I., H.-Y. Song, and N. Bourne. 1989. Gene structure and precursor processing of a novel *Bacillus subtilis* spore coat protein. Mol. Microbiol. 3:437–444.
- Avila, J., J. M. Hermoso, C. Vinuela, and M. Salas. 1971. Purification and properties of DNA-dependent RNA polymerase from *B. subtilis* vegetative cells. Eur. J. Biochem. 21:526–535.
- Baldus, J. M., B. D. Green, P. Youngman, and C. P. Moran, Jr. 1994. Phosphorylation of *Bacillus subtilis* transcription factor Spo0A stimulates transcription from the *spoIIG* promoter by enhancing binding to weak 0A boxes. J. Bacteriol. 176:296–306.
- Beall, B., A. Driks, R. Losick, and C. P. Moran, Jr. 1993. Cloning and characterization of a gene required for assembly of the *Bacillus subtilis* spore coat. J. Bacteriol. 175:1705–1716.
- Beall, B., and J. Lutkenhaus. 1991. *ftsZ* in *Bacillus subtilis* is required for vegetative septation and for symmetric septation during sporulation. Genes Dev. 5:447–455.
- Beall, B., and C. P. Moran, Jr. 1994. Cloning and characterization of *spoVR*, a gene from *Bacillus subtilis* involved in spore cortex formation. J. Bacteriol. 176:2003–2012.
- Benson, A. K., and W. G. Haldenwang. 1992. Characterization of a regulatory network that controls σ<sup>B</sup> expression *Bacillus subtilis*. J. Bacteriol. 174:749–757.
- Benson, A. K., and W. G. Haldenwang. 1993. Bacillus subtilis σ<sup>B</sup> is regulated by a binding protein (RsbW) that blocks its association with core RNA polymerase. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 90:2330–2334.
- Benson, A. K., and W. G. Haldenwang. 1993. Regulation of σ<sup>B</sup> levels and activity in *Bacillus subtilis*. J. Bacteriol. 175:2347–2356.
- Benson, A. K., and W. G. Haldenwang. 1993. The σ<sup>B</sup>-dependent promoter of the *Bacillus subtilis sigB* operon is induced by heat shock. J. Bacteriol. 175:1929–1935.
- Benson, A. K., A. Stevenson, and W. G. Haldenwang. 1990. Mutations in Bacillus subbilis which influence the activity of a promoter recognized by a minor form of RNA polymerase (E-σ<sup>B</sup>), p. 13–22. In M. M. Zukowski, A. T. Ganesan, and J. A. Hoch (ed.), Genetics and biotechnology of bacilli, vol. 3. Academic Press, San Diego, Calif.
- Binnie, C., M. Lampe, and R. Losick. 1986. Gene encoding the σ<sup>37</sup> species of RNA polymerase σ factor from *Bacillus subtilis*. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 83:5943–5947.
- Bird, T. H., J. K. Grimsley, J. A. Hoch, and G. B. Spiegelman. 1993. Phosphorylation of Spo0A activates its stimulation of *in vitro* transcription form the *Bacillus subtilis spoIIG* operon. Mol. Microbiol. 9:741–749.
- Bonamy, C., and J. Szulmajster. 1982. Cloning and expression of *Bacillus subtilis* spore genes. Mol. Gen. Genet. 188:202–210.
- Boylan, S. A., A. R. Redfield, M. S. Brody, and C. W. Price. 1993. Stressinduced activation of the σ<sup>B</sup> transcription factor of *Bacillus subtilis*. J. Bacteriol. 175:7931–7937.
- Boylan, S. A., A. R. Redfield, and C. W. Price. 1993. Transcription factor σ<sup>B</sup> of *Bacillus subtilis* controls a large stationary-phase regulon. J. Bacteriol. 175:3957–3963.
- Boylan, S. A., A. S. Rutherford, S. M. Thomas, and C. W. Price. 1992. Activation of *Bacillus subtilis* transcription factor σ<sup>B</sup> by a regulatory pathway responsive to stationary-phase signals. J. Bacteriol. 174:3695–3706.
- Boylan, S. A., M. D. Thomas, and C. W. Price. 1991. Genetic method to identify regulons controlled by nonessential elements: isolation of a gene dependent on alternate transcription factor σ<sup>B</sup> of *Bacillus subtilis*. J. Bacteriol. 173:7856–7866.
- Brevet, J. 1974. Direct assay for sigma factor activity and demonstration of the loss of this activity during sporulation in *Bacillus subtilis*. Mol. Gen. Genet. 128:223–231.
- Brevet, J., and A. L. Sonenshein. 1972. Template specificity of ribonucleic acid polymerase in asporogenous mutants of *Bacillus subtilis*. J. Bacteriol. 112:1270–1274.
- 27. Briat, J.-F., M. Z. Gilman, and M. J. Chamberlin. 1985. *Bacillus subtilis*  $\sigma^{28}$  and *Escherichia coli*  $\sigma^{32}$  are minor  $\sigma$  factors that display an overlapping promoter specificity. J. Biol. Chem. **260**:2038–2041.

- Burbulys, D., K. A. Trach, and J. A. Hoch. 1991. Initiation of sporulation in B. subtilis is controlled by a multicomponent phosphorelay. Cell 64:545–
- Burgess, R. R. 1969. Separation and characterization of the subunits of RNA polymerase. J. Biol. Chem. 244:6168–6176.

552

- Burgess, R. R. 1976. Purification and physical properties of *E. coli* RNA polymerase, p. 69–100. *In* R. Losick and M. Chamberlin (ed.), RNA polymerase: Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory, Cold Spring Harbor, N.Y.
- Burgess, R. R., A. A. Travers, J. J. Dunn, and E. K. F. Bautz. 1969. Factor stimulating transcription by RNA polymerase. Nature (London) 221:43–46.
- 32. Burton, Z. F., C. A. Gross, K. K. Watanabe, and R. R. Burgess. 1983. The operon that encodes the sigma subunit of RNA polymerase also encodes ribosomal protein S21 and DNA primase in *E. coli* K12. Cell 32:335–349.
- Buu, A., and A. L. Sonenshein. 1975. Nucleic acid synthesis and ribonucleic acid polymerase specificity in germinating and outgrowing spores of *Bacillus subtilis*. J. Bacteriol. 124:190–200.
- Bylund, J. E., L. Zhang, M. A. Haines, M. L. Higgins, and P. J. Piggot. 1994. Analysis by fluorescence microscopy of the development of compartmentspecific gene expression during sporulation of *Bacillus subtilis*. J. Bacteriol. 176:2898–2905.
- Carlson, H. C., and W. G. Haldenwang. 1989. The sigma E subunit of Bacillus subtilis RNA polymerase is present in both forespore and mother cell compartments. J. Bacteriol. 171:2216–2218.
- 36. Carlson, H. C., S. Lu, L. Kroos, and W. G. Haldenwang. Unpublished results.
- Carter, H. L., 3d, and C. P. Moran, Jr. 1986. New RNA polymerase sigma factor under *spo0* control in *Bacillus subtilis*. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 83:9438–9442.
- Carter, H. L., III, L. F. Wang, R. H. Doi, and C. P. Moran, Jr. 1988. rpoD operon promoter used by o<sup>H</sup>-RNA polymerase in *Bacillus subtilis*. J. Bacteriol. 170:1617–1621.
- Chamberlin, M. J. 1976. RNA polymerase—an overview, p. 17–67. *In R. Losick and M. Chamberlin (ed.)*, RNA polymerase. Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory, Cold Spring Harbor, N.Y.
- Chang, B.-Y., K.-Y. Chen, Y.-D. Wen, and C.-T. Liao. 1994. The response of a *Bacillus subtilis* temperature-sensitive *sigA* mutant to heat stress. J. Bacteriol. 176:3102–3110.
- Chang, B. Y., and R. H. Doi. 1990. Overproduction, purification, and characterization of *Bacillus subtilis* RNA polymerase sigma A factor. J. Bacteriol. 172:3257–3263.
- Chen, L., and J. D. Helmann. 1994. The *Bacillus subtilis* σ<sup>D</sup>-dependent operon encoding the flagellar proteins FliD, FliS, and FliT. J. Bacteriol. 176:3093–3101.
- Chen, Y.-F., and J. D. Helmann. 1992. Restoration of motility to an *Escherichia coli fliA* flagellar mutant by a *Bacillus subtilis* σ factor. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 89:5123–5127.
- Cheo, D. L., K. W. Bayles, and R. E. Yasbin. 1991. Cloning and characterization of DNA damage-inducible promoter regions from *Bacillus subtilis*. J. Bacteriol. 173:1696–1703.
- Connors, M. J., S. Howard, J. Hoch, and P. Setlow. 1986. Determination of the chromosomal locations of four *Bacillus subtilis* genes which code for a family of small, acid-soluble spore proteins. J. Bacteriol. 166:412–416.
- Connors, M. J., J. M. Mason, and P. Setlow. 1986. Cloning and nucleotide sequencing of genes for three small, acid-soluble proteins from *Bacillus* subtilis spores. J. Bacteriol. 166:417–425.
- Connors, M. J., and P. Setlow. 1985. Cloning of a small, acid-soluble spore protein gene from *Bacillus subtilis* and determination of its complete nucleotide sequence. J. Bacteriol. 161:333–339.
- Coppolecchia, R., H. DeGrazia, and C. P. Moran, Jr. 1991. Deletion of spoIIAB blocks endospore formation in *Bacillus subtilis* at an early stage. J. Bacteriol. 173:6678–6885.
- Cutting, S., A. Driks, R. Schmidt, B. Kunkel, and R. Losick. 1991. Forespore-specific transcription of a gene in the signal transduction pathway that governs Pro-σ<sup>K</sup> processing in *Bacillus subtilis*. Genes Dev. 5:456–466.
- Cutting, S., and J. Mandelstam. 1986. The nucleotide sequence and transcription during sporulation of the *gerE* gene of *Bacillus subtilis*. J. Gen. Microbiol. 132:3013–3024.
- Cutting, S., V. Oke, A. Driks, R. Losick, S. Lu, and L. Kroos. 1990. A forespore checkpoint for mother cell gene expression during development in *B. subtilis*. Cell 62:239–250.
- Cutting, S., S. Panzer, and R. Losick. 1989. Regulatory studies on the promoter for a gene governing synthesis and assembly of the spore coat in *Bacillus subtilis*. J. Mol. Biol. 207:393–404.
- Cutting, S., S. Roels, and R. Losick. 1991. Sporulation operon *spoIVF* and the characterization of mutations that uncouple mother-cell from forespore gene expression in *Bacillus subtilis*. J. Mol. Biol. 221:1237–1256.
- Cutting, S., L. Zheng, and R. Losick. 1991. Gene encoding two alkalisoluble components of the spore coat from *Bacillus subtilis*. J. Bacteriol. 173:2915–2919.
- Daniel, R. A., S. Drake, C. E. Buchanan, R. Scholle, and J. Errington. 1994. The *Bacillus subtilis spoVD* gene encodes a mother-cell-specific penicillinbinding protein required for spore morphogenesis. J. Mol. Biol. 235:209–220.

- Daniel, R. A., and J. Errington. 1993. Cloning, DNA sequence, functional analysis and transcriptional regulation of genes encoding dipicolinic acid synthetase required for sporulation in *Bacillus subtilis*. J. Mol. Biol. 232: 468–483.
- Davison, B. L., T. Leighton, and J. C. Rabinowitz. 1979. Purification of Bacillus subtilis RNA polymerase with heparin-agarose: in vitro transcription of φ29 DNA. J. Biol. Chem. 254:9220–9226.
- Davison, B. L., C. L. Murray, and J. C. Rabinowitz. 1980. Specificity of promoter site utilization *in vitro* by bacterial RNA polymerases on Bacillus phage φ29 DNA. J. Biol. Chem. 255:8819–8830.
- 59. Débarbouillé, M., I. Martin-Verstraete, A. Klier, and G. Rapaport. 1991. The transcriptional regulator LevR of *Bacillus subtilis* has domains homologous to both σ<sup>54</sup> and phosphotransferase system-dependent regulators. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 88:2212–2216.
- Débarbouillé, M., I. Martin-Verstraete, F. Kunst, and G. Rapaport. 1991. The Bacillus subtilis sigL gene encodes an equivalent of σ<sup>54</sup> from gramnegative bacteria. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 88:9092–9096.
- DeLencastre, H., and P. J. Piggot. 1979. Identification of different sites of expression for spo loci by transformation of *Bacillus subtilis*. J. Gen. Microbiol. 114:377–389.
- Dickel, C. D., K. C. Burtis, and R. H. Doi. 1980. Delta factor increases promoter selectivity of *Bacillus subtilis* vegetative cell RNA polymerase. Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun. 95:1789–1795.
- 63. Doi, R. H. 1982. RNA polymerase of *Bacillus subtilis*, p. 72–110. In D. A. Dubnau (ed.), The molecular biology of the bacilli, vol. 1: *Bacillus subtilis*. Academic Press Inc., New York.
- Doi, R. H., and L. F. Wang. 1986. Multiple procaryotic ribonucleic acid polymerase sigma factors. Microbiol. Rev. 50:227–24.
- Donovan, W., L. Zheng, K. Sandman, and R. Losick. 1987. Genes encoding spore coat polypeptides from *Bacillus subtilis*. J. Mol. Biol. 196:1–10.
- 66. Driks, A., and R. Losick. 1991. Compartmentalized expression of a gene under the control of sporulation transcription factor σ<sup>E</sup> in *Bacillus subtilis*. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 88:9934–9938.
- Driks, A., S. Roel, B. Beall, C. P. Moran, Jr., and R. Losick. 1994. Subcellular localization of proteins involved in the assembly of the spore coat of *Bacillus subtilis*. Genes Dev. 8:234–244.
- Dubnau, D. 1991. Genetic competence in *Bacillus subtilis*. Microbiol. Rev. 55:395–424.
- Dubnau, E. J., K. Cabane, and I. Smith. 1987. Regulation of *spo0H*, an early sporulation gene in bacilli. J. Bacteriol. 169:1182–1191.
- Dubnau, E., J. Weir, G. Nair, H. L. Carter III, C. P. Moran, Jr., and I. Smith. 1988. Bacillus sporulation gene spo0H codes for σ<sup>30</sup> (σ<sup>H</sup>). J. Bacteriol. 170:1054–1062.
- Duffy, J. J., R. L. Petrusek, and E. P. Geiduschek. 1975. Conversion of Bacillus subtilis RNA polymerase activity in vitro by a protein induced by phage SP01. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 72:2366–2370.
- Dufour, A., and W. G. Haldenwang. 1994. Interactions between a *Bacillus subtilis* anti-σ factor (RsbW) and its antagonist (RsbV). J. Bacteriol. 176: 1813–1820.
- Duie, P., M. Kaminski, and J. Szulmajster. 1974. Immunological studies of the sigma subunit of the RNA polymerase from vegetative and sporulating cells of *Bacillus subtilis*. FEBS Lett. 48:214–217.
- 74. Duncan, L., and R. Losick. 1993. SpoIIAB is an anti-σ factor that binds to and inhibits transcription by regulatory protein σ<sup>F</sup> from *Bacillus subtilis*. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 90:2325–2329.
- Duncan, M. L., S. S. Kalman, S. M. Thomas, and C. W. Price. 1987. Gene encoding the 37,000-dalton minor sigma factor of *Bacillus subtilis* RNA polymerase: isolation, nucleotide sequence, chromosomal locus, and cryptic function. J. Bacteriol. 169:771–778.
- Errington, J. 1993. Bacillus subtilis sporulation: regulation of gene expression and control of morphogenesis. Microbiol. Rev. 57:1–33.
- 77. Errington, J., L. Appleby, R. A. Daniel, H. Goodfellow, S. R. Partridge, and M. D. Yudkin. 1992. Structure and function of *spoIIIJ* gene of *Bacillus subtilis*: a vegetatively expressed gene that is essential for σ<sup>G</sup> activity at an intermediate stage of sporulation. J. Gen. Microbiol. 138:2609–2618.
- Errington, J., P. Fort, and J. Mandelstam. 1985. Duplicated sporulation genes in bacteria: implications for simple developmental systems. FEBS Lett. 188:184–188.
- Errington, J., and N. Illing. 1992. Establishment of cell specific transcription during sporulation in *Bacillus subtilis*. Mol. Microbiol. 6:689–695.
- Errington, J., and J. Mandelstam. 1984. Genetic and phenotypic characterization of a cluster of mutations in the *spoVA* locus of *Bacillus subtilis*. J. Gen. Microbiol. 130:2115–2121.
- Errington, J., and J. Mandelstam. 1986. Use of a *lacZ* gene fusion to determine the dependence pattern and the spore compartment expression of sporulation operon *spoVA* in *spo* mutants of *Bacillus subtilis*. J. Gen. Microbiol. 132:2977–2985.
- Errington, J., and J. Mandelstam. 1986. Use of a *lacZ* gene fusion to determine the dependence pattern of sporulation operon *spoIIA* in *spo* mutants of *Bacillus subtilis*. J. Gen. Microbiol. 132:2967–2976.
- Errington, J., S. Rong, M. S. Rosenkrantz, and A. L. Sonenshein. 1988. Transcriptional regulation and structure of the *Bacillus subtilis* sporulation

locus spoIIIC. J. Bacteriol. 170:1162-1167.

- Errington, J., L. Wootten, J. C. Dunkerley, and D. Foulger. 1989. Differential gene expression during sporulation in *Bacillus subtilis*: regulation of the *spoVJ* gene. Mol. Microbiol. 3:1053–1060.
- Feavers, I. M., J. Foulkes, B. Setlow, D. Sun, W. Nicholson, P. Setlow, and A. Moir. 1990. The regulation of transcription of the gerA spore germination operon of *Bacillus subtilis*. Mol. Microbiol. 4:275–282.
- Feavers, I. M., J. S. Mites, and A. Moir. 1985. The nucleotide sequence of a spore germination gene (gerA) of Bacillus subtilis 168. Gene 38:95–102.
- Feavers, I. M., V. Price, and A. Moir. 1988. The regulation of the fumerase (*citG*) gene of *Bacillus subtilis* 168. Mol. Gen. Genet. 211:465–471.
- Feng, P., and A. I. Aronson. 1986. Characterization of a *Bacillus subtilis* germination mutant with pleiotropic alterations in spore coat structure. Curr. Microbiol. 13:221–226.
- 89. Fliss, E. R., M. J. Connors, C. A. Loshon, E. Curiel-Quesada, B. Setlow, and P. Setlow. 1985. Small, acid-soluble spore protein of *Bacillus*: products of a sporulation-specific multigene family, p. 60–66. *In* J. A. Hoch and P. Setlow (ed.), Molecular biology of microbial development. American Society for Microbiology, Washington, D.C.
- Fort, P., and J. Errington. 1985. Nucleotide sequence and complementation analysis of a polycistronic sporulation operon, *spoVA*, in *Bacillus subtilis*. J. Gen. Microbiol. 131:1091–1105.
- Fort, P., and P. J. Piggot. 1984. Nucleotide sequence of sporulation locus spoIIA in Bacillus subtilis. J. Gen. Microbiol. 130:2147–2153.
- Foulger, D., and J. Errington. 1989. The role of the sporulation gene spoIIIE in the regulation of prespore-specific gene expression in *Bacillus* subtilis. Mol. Microbiol. 3:1247–1255.
- Foulger, D., and J. Errington. 1991. Sequential activation of dual promoters by different sigma factors maintains *spoVJ* expression during successive developmental stages of *Bacillus subtilis*. Mol. Microbiol. 5:1363–1373.
- Foulger, D., and J. Errington. 1993. Effects of new mutations in the *spoIIAB* gene of *Bacillus subtilis* on the regulation of sigma F and sigma G activities. J. Gen. Microbiol. 139:3197–3203.
- Fox, T., and J. Pero. 1974. New phage SPO1-induced polypeptides associated with *Bacillus subtilis* RNA polymerase. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 71:2761–2765.
- Fredrick, K. L., and J. D. Helmann. 1994. Dual chemotaxis signaling pathways in *Bacillus subtilis*: a σ<sup>D</sup>-dependent gene encodes a novel protein with both CheW and CheY homologous domains. J. Bacteriol. 176:2727–2735.
- Fujita, V., A. Ramaley, and E. Freese. 1977. Location and properties of glucose dehydrogenase in sporulating cells and spores of *Bacillus subtilis*. J. Bacteriol. 132:282–293.
- Fukuda, R., and R. H. Doi. 1977. Two polypeptides associated with ribonucleic acid polymerase core of *Bacillus subtilis* during sporulation. J. Bacteriol. 129:422–432.
- Fukuda, R., and A. Ishikama. 1974. Subunits of RNA polymerase in function and structure. V. Maturation *in vitro* of core enzyme from *E. coli*. J. Mol. Biol. 87:523.
- 100. Geiduschek, E. P., and J. Ito. 1982. Regulatory mechanisms in the development of lytic bacteriophages in *Bacillus subtilis*, p. 203–245. *In* D. Dubnau (ed.), The molecular biology of the bacilli. Academic Press, Inc., New York.
- Gholamhoseinian, A., and P. J. Piggot. 1989. Timing of *spoII* gene expression relative to septum formation during sporulation in *Bacillus subtilis*. J. Bacteriol. 171:5747–5749.
- 102. Gilman, M. Z., and M. J. Chamberlin. 1983. Development and genetic regulation of the *Bacillus subtilis* genes transcribed by σ-28 RNA polymerase. Cell 35:285–293.
- Gilman, M. Z., J. S. Glenn, V. L. Singer, and M. J. Chamberlin. 1984. Isolation of sigma-28-specific promoters from *Bacillus subtilis* DNA. Gene 32:11–20.
- Gilman, M. Z., J. L. Wiggs, and M. J. Chamberlin. 1981. Nucleotide sequences of two *Bacillus subtilis* promoters used by *Bacillus subtilis* sigma-28 RNA polymerase. Nucleic Acids Res. 9:5991–6000.
- 105. Gitt, M. A., L.-F. Wang, and R. H. Doi. 1985. A strong sequence homology exists between the major RNA polymerase sigma factors of *Bacillus subtilis* and *Escherichia coli*. J. Biol. Chem. 260:7178–7185.
- 106. Goldfarb, D. S., S. L. Wong, T. Kudo, and R. H. Doi. 1983. A temporally regulated promoter from *Bacillus subtilis* is transcribed only by an RNA polymerase with a 37,000 dalton sigma factor. Mol. Gen. Genet. 191:319– 325.
- 107. Greenleaf, A. L., T. G. Linn, and R. Losick. 1973. Isolation of a new RNA polymerase binding protein from sporulating *Bacillus subtilis*. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 70:490–494.
- Greenleaf, A. L., and R. Losick. 1973. Appearance of a ribonucleic acid polymerase-binding protein in asporogenous mutants of *Bacillus subtilis*. J. Bacteriol. 116:290–294.
- Guzman, P., J. Westpheling, and P. Youngman. 1988. Characterization of the promoter region of the *Bacillus subtilis spoIIE* operon. J. Bacteriol. 170:1598–1609.
- Hackett, R. H., and P. Setlow. 1987. Cloning, nucleotide sequencing, and genetic mapping of the gene for small acid-soluble spore protein of *Bacillus* subtilis. J. Bacteriol. 169:1985–1992.

#### 26 HALDENWANG

- Halberg, R., and L. Kroos. 1992. Fate of the SpoIIID switch protein during Bacillus subtilis sporulation depends on the mother-cell sigma factor, σ<sup>K</sup>. J. Mol. Biol. 228:840–849.
- Haldenwang, W. G., N. Lang, and R. Losick. 1981. A sporulation-induced sigma-like regulatory protein from *Bacillus subtilis*. Cell 23:615–624.
- Haldenwang, W. G., and R. Losick. 1979. A modified RNA polymerase transcribes a cloned gene under sporulation control in *Bacillus subtilis*. Nature (London) 282:256–260.
- Haldenwang, W. G., and R. Losick. 1980. A novel RNA polymerase sigma factor from *Bacillus subtilis*. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 77:7000– 7004.
- 115. Halling, S. M., K. C. Burtis, and R. H. Doi. 1977. Reconstitution studies show that rifampicin resistance is determined by the largest polypeptide of *Bacillus subtilis* RNA polymerase. J. Biol. Chem. 252:9024–9031.
- Halling, S. M., K. C. Burtis, and R. H. Doi. 1978. β' subunit of bacterial RNA polymerase is responsible for streptolydigin resistance in *Bacillus* subtilis. Nature (London) 272:837–839.
- 117. Hay, R. E., K. M. Tatti, B. S. Vold, C. J. Green, and C. P. Moran, Jr. 1986. Promoter used by sigma-29 RNA polymerase from *Bacillus subtilis*. Gene 48:301–306.
- Healy, J., J. Weir, I. Smith, and R. Losick. 1991. Post-transcriptional control of a sporulation regulatory gene encoding transcription factor σ<sup>H</sup> in *Bacillus subtilis*. Mol. Microbiol. 5:477–487.
- Helmann, J. D. 1991. Alternate sigma factors and the regulation of flagellar gene expression. Mol. Microbiol. 5:2875–2882.
- Helmann, J. D., and M. J. Chamberlin. 1988. Structure and function of bacterial sigma factors. Annu. Rev. Biochem. 57:839–872.
- Helmann, J. D., L. M. Marquez, and M. J. Chamberlin. 1988. Cloning, sequencing, and disruption of the *Bacillus subtilis* σ<sup>28</sup> gene. J. Bacteriol. 170:1568–1574.
- Helmann, J. D., F. R. Masiarz, and M. J. Chamberlin. 1988. Isolation and characterization of the *Bacillus subtilis* sigma 28 factor. J. Bacteriol. 170: 1560–1567.
- Henkin, T. M., and A. L. Sonenshein. 1987. Mutations of the Escherichia coli lacUV5 promoter resulting in increased expression in Bacillus subtilis. Mol. Gen. Genet. 209:467–474.
- Henner, D. J., and J. A. Hoch. 1980. The Bacillus subtilis chromosome. Microbiol. Rev. 44:57–82.
- Higgins, M. L., and P. J. Piggot. 1992. Septal membrane fusion—a pivotal event in bacterial spore formation. Mol. Microbiol. 6:2565–2571.
- Hill, S. 1983. spoVH and spoVJ—new sporulation loci in Bacillus subtilis 168. J. Gen. Microbiol. 129:293–302.
- 127. Hoch, J. A. 1993. Regulation of the phosphorelay and the initiation of sporulation in *Bacillus subtilis*. Annu. Rev. Microbiol. 47:441–465.
- Hoch, J. A., K. Trach, F. Kawamura, and H. Saito. 1985. Identification of the transcriptional suppressor sof-1 as an alteration in the spo0A protein. J. Bacteriol. 161:552–555.
- 129. Holland, M. J., and H. R. Whiteley. 1973. A new polypeptide associated with RNA polymerase from *Bacillus subtilis* during late stages of vegetative growth. Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun. 55:462–469.
- 130. Hulett, F. M., P.-Z. Wang, M. Sussman, and J.-W. K. Lee. 1985. Two alkaline phosphatase genes positioned in tandem in *Bacillus licheniformis* MC14 require different RNA polymerase holoenzymes for transcription. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 82:1035–1039.
- 131. Hyde, E. I., M. D. Hilton, and H. R. Whiteley. 1986. Interactions of *Bacillus subtilis* RNA polymerase with subunits determining the specificity of initiation: sigma and delta peptides can bind simultaneously to core. J. Biol. Chem. 261:16565–16570.
- 132. Igo, M., M. Lampe, and R. Losick. 1988. Structure and regulation of a *Bacillus subtilis* gene that is transcribed by the E-σ<sup>B</sup> form of RNA polymerase holoenzyme, p. 151–156. *In* A. T. Ganesan and J. A. Hoch (ed.), Genetics and biotechnology of bacilli, vol. 2. Academic Press, San Diego, Calif.
- 133. Igo, M., M. Lampe, C. Ray, W. Schafer, C. P. Moran, Jr., and R. Losick. 1987. Genetic studies of a secondary RNA polymerase sigma factor in *Bacillus subtilis*. J. Bacteriol. 169:3464–3469.
- 134. Igo, M. M., and R. Losick. 1986. Regulation of a promoter that is utilized by minor forms of RNA polymerase holoenzyme in *Bacillus subtilis*. J. Mol. Biol. 191:615–624.
- 135. Ikeda, M., T. Sato, M. Wachi, H. K. Jung, F. Ishino, Y. Kobayashi, and M. Matsuhashi. 1989. Structural similarity among *Escherichia coli* FtsW and RodA proteins and *Bacillus subtilis* SpoVE protein, which function in cell division, cell elongation, and spore formation, respectively. J. Bacteriol. 171:6375–6378.
- Illing, N., and J. Errington. 1990. The *spoIIIA* locus is not a major determinant of prespore-specific gene expression during sporulation in *Bacillus subtilis*. J. Bacteriol. 172:6930–6936.
- Illing, N., and J. Errington. 1991. Genetic regulation of morphogenesis in Bacillus subtilis: roles of σ<sup>E</sup> and σ<sup>F</sup> in prespore engulfment. J. Bacteriol. 173:3159–3169.
- Illing, N., and J. Errington. 1991. The spoIIIA operon of Bacillus subtilis defines a new temporal class of mother-cell-specific sporulation genes un-

der the control of the  $\sigma^{E}$  form of RNA polymerase. Mol. Microbiol. **5**:1927–1940.

- Illing, N., M. Young, and J. Errington. 1990. Use of integrational plasmid excision to identify cellular localization of gene expression during sporulation in *Bacillus subtilis*. J. Bacteriol. 172:6937–6941.
- 140. Ireton, K., and A. D. Grossman. 1992. Interactions among mutations that cause altered timing of gene expression during sporulation in *Bacillus* subtilis. J. Bacteriol. 174:3185–3195.
- 141. Iwakura, Y., A. Ishitama, and T. Yura. 1973. RNA polymerase mutants of *Escherichia coli*. II. Streptolydigin resistance and its relationship to rifampicin resistance. Mol. Gen. Genet. 121:181–196.
- 142. Jaacks, K. J., J. Healy, R. Losick, and A. D. Grossman. 1989. Identification and characterization of genes controlled by the sporulation regulatory gene spo0H in Bacillus subtilis. J. Bacteriol. 171:4121–4129.
- 143. Jaehning, J. A., J. L. Wiggs, and M. J. Chamberlin. 1979. Altered promoter selection by a novel form of *Bacillus subtilis* RNA polymerase. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 76:5470–5474.
- 144. James, W., and J. Mandelstam. 1985. Protease production during sporulation of germination mutants of *Bacillus subtilis* and the cloning of a functional gerE gene. J. Gen. Microbiol. 131:2421–2430.
- 145. Johnson, W. C., C. P. Moran, Jr., and R. Losick. 1983. Two RNA polymerase sigma factors from *Bacillus subtilis* discriminate between overlapping promoters for a developmentally regulated gene. Nature (London) 302:800–804.
- 146. Jonas, R. M., and W. G. Haldenwang. 1989. Influence of *spo* mutations on  $\sigma^{E}$  synthesis in *Bacillus subtilis*. J. Bacteriol. **171:**5226–5228.
- 147. Jonas, R. M., S. C. Holt, and W. G. Haldenwang. 1990. Effects of antibiotics on synthesis and persistence of σ<sup>E</sup> in sporulating *Bacillus subtilis*. J. Bacteriol. 172:4616–4623.
- 148. Jonas, R. M., H. K. Peters III, and W. G. Haldenwang. 1990. Phenotypes of Bacillus subtilis mutants altered in the precursor-specific region of σ<sup>E</sup>. J. Bacteriol. 172:4178–4186.
- 149. Jonas, R. M., E. A. Weaver, T. J. Kenney, C. P. Moran, Jr., and W. G. Haldenwang. 1988. The *Bacillus subtilis spoIIG* operon encodes both σ<sup>E</sup> and a gene necessary for σ<sup>E</sup> activation. J. Bacteriol. **170**:507–511.
- 150. Joris, B., G. Dive, A. Henriques, P. J. Piggot, and J. M. Ghuysen. 1990. The life-cycle proteins RodA of *Escherichia coli* and SpoVE of *Bacillus subtilis* have very similar primary structures. Mol. Microbiol. 4:513–517.
- 151. Kalman, S., M. L. Duncan, S. M. Thomas, and C. W. Price. 1990. Similar organization of the sigB and spoIIA operons encoding alternate sigma factors of Bacillus subtilis RNA polymerase. J. Bacteriol. 172:5575–5585.
- 152. Karmazyn-Campelli, C., C. Bonamy, B. Savelli, and P. Straigier. 1989. Tandem genes encoding σ-factors for consecutive steps of development in *Bacillus subtilis*. Genes Dev. 3:150–157.
- 153. Kassavetis, G. A., T. Elliott, D. P. Rabussay, and E. P. Geiduschek. 1983. Initiation of transcription at phage T4 late promoters with purified RNA polymerase. Cell 33:887–897.
- 154. Kassavetis, G. A., and E. P. Geiduschek. 1984. Defining a bacteriophage T4 promoter: bacteriophage T4 gene 55 protein suffices for directing late promoter recognition. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 81:5101–5105.
- 155. Kawamura, F., L. F. Wang, and R. H. Doi. 1985. Catabolite resistant sporulation (*crsA*) mutations in the *Bacillus subtilis* RNA polymerase sigma-43 gene (*rpoD*) can suppress and be suppressed by mutations in the *spo* genes. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 82:8122–8128.
- 156. Kawamura, F., S. Yamashita, Y. Kobayashi, H. Takahashi, H. Saito, and R. H. Doi. 1989. Mapping of sporulation-deficient suppressor mutations for a catabolite-resistant sporulation (*crsA*) mutant of the major sigma-43 factor of *Bacillus subtilis*. J. Gen. Appl. Microbiol. 35:43–51.
- 157. Kemp, E. H., R. L. Sammons, A. Moir, D. Sun, and P. Setlow. 1991. Analysis of transcriptional control of the gerD spore germination gene of *Bacillus subtilis* 168. J. Bacteriol. 173:4646–4652.
- 158. Kenney, T. J., P. A. Kirchman, and C. P. Moran, Jr. 1988. Gene encoding  $\sigma^{E}$  is transcribed from a  $\sigma^{A}$ -like promoter in *Bacillus subtilis*. J. Bacteriol. **170**:3058–3064.
- Kenney, T. J., and C. P. Moran, Jr. 1987. Organization and regulation of an operon that encodes a sporulation-essential sigma factor in *Bacillus subtilis*. J. Bacteriol. 169:3329–3339.
- 160. Kenney, T. J., and C. P. Moran, Jr. 1991. Genetic evidence for interaction of σ<sup>A</sup> with two promoters in *Bacillus subtilis*. J. Bacteriol. 173:3282–3290.
- 161. Kenney, T. J., K. York, P. Youngman, and C. P. Moran, Jr. 1989. Genetic evidence that RNA polymerase associated with σ<sup>A</sup> factor uses a sporulation-specific promoter in *Bacillus subtilis*. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 86: 9109–9113.
- 162. Kirchman, P. A., H. DeGrazia, E. M. Kellner, and C. P. Moran, Jr. 1993. Forespore-specific disappearance of the sigma-factor antagonist SpoIIAB: implications for its role in determination of cell fate in *Bacillus subtilis*. Mol. Microbiol. 8:663–672.
- 163. Kobayashi, Y., and H. Anaguchi. 1985. Cloning, amplification, and characterization of sporulation genes, especially *spoIIG*, of *Bacillus subtilis*, p. 85–94. *In* J. A. Hoch and P. Setlow (ed.), Molecular biology of microbial differentiation. American Society for Microbiology, Washington, D.C.
- 164. Kroos, L. 1991. Gene regulation in the mother-cell compartment of sporu-

lating Bacillus subtilis. Semin. Dev. Biol. 2:63-71.

- 165. Kroos, L., B. Kunkel, and R. Losick. 1989. Switch protein alters specificity of *B. subtilis* RNA polymerase containing a compartment-specific sigma factor. Science 243:526–529.
- 166. Krüger, E., U. Volker, and M. Hecker. 1994. Stress induction of *clpC* in *Bacillus subtilis* and its involvement in stress tolerance. J. Bacteriol. 176: 3360–3367.
- 167. Kunkel, B., L. Kroos, H. Poth, P. Youngman, and R. Losick. 1989. Temporal and spatial control of the mother-cell regulatory gene *spoIIID* of *Bacillus subtilis*. Genes Dev. 3:1735–1744.
- 168. Kunkel, B., R. Losick, and P. Stragier. 1990. The *Bacillus subtilis* gene for the developmental transcription factor sigma K is generated by excision of a dispensable DNA element containing a sporulation recombinase gene. Genes Dev. 4:525–535.
- 169. Kunkel, B., K. Sandman, S. Panzer, P. Youngman, and R. Losick. 1988. The promoter for a sporulation gene in the *spoIVC* locus of *Bacillus subtilis* and its use in studies of temporal and spatial control of gene expression. J. Bacteriol. **170**:3513–3522.
- 170. Kuroda, A., and J. Sekiguchi. 1993. High-level transcription of the major Bacillus subtilis autolysin operon depends on expression of the sigma D gene and is affected by a sin (flaD) mutation. J. Bacteriol. 175:795–801.
- 171. Kustu, S., E. Santero, J. Keener, D. Popham, and D. Weiss. 1989. Expression of σ<sup>54</sup> (*ntrA*)-dependent genes is probably united by a common mechanism. Microbiol. Rev. 53:367–376.
- 172. LaBell, T. L., J. E. Trempy, and W. G. Haldenwang. 1987. Sporulationspecific σ factor, σ<sup>29</sup> of *Bacillus subtilis*, is synthesized from a precursor protein, P<sup>31</sup>. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 84:1784–1788.
- 173. Lampe, M., C. Binnie, R. Schmidt, and R. Losick. 1988. Cloned gene encoding the delta subunit of *Bacillus subtilis* RNA polymerase. Gene 67:13–19.
- 174. Lampel, K. A., B. Uratani, G. R. Chaudhry, R. F. Ramaley, and S. Rudikoff. 1986. Characterization of the developmentally regulated *Bacillus subtilis* glucose dehydrogenase gene. J. Bacteriol. 166:238–243.
- 175. Lazarevic, V., P. Margot, and D. Karamata. 1991. Bacillus subtilis N-acetylmuramoyl-L-alanine amidase and its modifier are encoded by a three ORF operon called *lytABC*, abstr. W4. Sixth Int. Conf. Bacilli, 28–31 July, Stanford University, Stanford, Calif.
- Lee, G., C. Talkington, and J. Pero. 1980. Nucleotide sequence of a promoter recognized by *Bacillus subtilis* RNA polymerase. Mol. Gen. Genet. 180:57–65.
- 177. Leung, A., S. Rubenstein, C. Yang, K. L. Jing-Wei, and T. Leighton. 1985. Suppression of defective sporulation phenotypes by mutations in the major sigma factor gene (*rpoD*) of *Bacillus subtilis*. Mol. Gen. Genet. 201:96–98.
- Levin, P. A., N. Fan, E. Ricca, A. Driks, R. Losick, and S. Cutting. 1993. An unusually small gene required for sporulation by *Bacillus subtilis*. Mol. Microbiol. 9:761–771.
- Levin, P. A., and R. Losick. 1994. Characterization of a cell division gene from *Bacillus subtilis* that is required for vegetative and sporulation septum formation. J. Bacteriol. 176:1451–1459.
- Li, M., and S.-L. Wang. 1992. Cloning and characterization of the *groESL* operon from *Bacillus subtilis*. J. Bacteriol. 174:3981–3992.
- 181. Linn, T. A., A. L. Greenleaf, and R. Losick. 1975. RNA polymerase from sporulating *Bacillus subtilis*: purification and properties of a modified form of the enzyme containing two sporulating polypeptides. J. Biol. Chem. 250:9256–9261.
- 182. Linn, T. G., A. L. Greenleaf, R. G. Shorenstein, and R. Losick. 1973. Loss of the sigma activity of RNA polymerase of *Bacillus subtilis* during sporulation. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 70:1865–1869.
- 183. Liu, H.-M., K. F. Chak, and P. J. Piggot. 1982. Isolation and characterization of a recombinant plasmid carrying a functional part of the *Bacillus* subtilis spoIIA locus. J. Gen. Microbiol. 128:2805–2812.
- 184. Losick, R., and J. Pero. 1981. Cascades of sigma factors. Cell 25:582-584.
- Losick, R., and A. L. Sonenshein. 1969. Change in the template specificity of RNA polymerase during sporulation of *Bacillus subtilis*. Nature (London) 224:35-37.
- Losick, R., and P. Stragier. 1992. Crisscross regulation of cell-type-specific gene expression during development in *B. subtilis*. Nature (London) 355: 601–604.
- 187. Losick, R., P. Youngman, and P. J. Piggot. 1986. Genetics of endospore formation in *Bacillus subtilis*. Annu. Rev. Genet. 20:625–669.
- 188. Lu, S., R. Halberg, and L. Kroos. 1990. Processing of the mother-cell σ factor, σ<sup>K</sup>, may depend on events occurring in the forespore during *Bacillus subtilis* development. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 87:9722–9726.
- 189. Lu, S., and L. Kroos. 1994. Overproducing the *Bacillus subtilis* mother cell sigma factor precursor, pro-σ<sup>K</sup>, uncouples σ<sup>K</sup>-dependent gene expression from dependence on intercompartmental communication. J. Bacteriol. 176: 3936–3943.
- Maia, J. C. C., P. Kerjan, and J. Szulmajster. 1971. DNA-dependent RNA polymerase from vegetative cells and from spores of *Bacillus subtilis*. FEBS Lett. 13:269–274.
- Margolis, P., A. Driks, and R. Losick. 1991. Establishment of cell type by compartmentalized activation of a transcription factor. Science 254:562–565.

- Margolis, P. S., A. Driks, and R. Losick. 1993. Sporulation gene spoIIB from Bacillus subtilis. J. Bacteriol. 175:528–540.
- 193. Margot, P., C. Mauël, and D. Karamata. 1991. The Bacillus subtilis N-acetylglucosaminidase is encoded by a monocistronic operon controlled by a σ<sup>D</sup>-dependent promoter, abstr. W6. Sixth Int. Conf. Bacilli, 28–31 July, Stanford University, Stanford, Calif.
- 194. Marquez, L. M., J. D. Helmann, E. Ferrari, H. M. Parker, G. W. Ordal, and M. J. Chamberlin. 1990. Studies of σ<sup>D</sup>-dependent functions in *Bacillus subtilis*. J. Bacteriol. 172:3435–3443.
- 195. Márquez-Magaña, L. M., and M. J. Chamberlin. 1994. Characterization of the sigD transcription unit of Bacillus subtilis. J. Bacteriol. 176:2427–2434.
- 196. Márquez-Magaña, L. M., D. B. Mirel, and M. J. Chamberlin. 1994. Regulation of σ<sup>D</sup> expression and activity by *spo0*, *abrB*, and *sin* gene products in *Bacillus subtilis*. J. Bacteriol. **176**:2435–2438.
- 197. Martin, I., M. Debarbouille, A. Klier, and G. Rapoport. 1989. Induction of metabolite regulation of levanase synthesis in *Bacillus subtilis*. J. Bacteriol. 171:1885–1892.
- Martin-Verstraete, I., M. Debarbouille, A. Klier, and G. Rapoport. 1990. Lavanase operon of *Bacillus subtilis* includes a fructose-specific phosphotransferase system regulating the expression of the operon. J. Mol. Biol. 214:657–671.
- 199. Mason, J. M., R. H. Hackett, and P. Setlow. 1988. Regulation of expression of genes coding for small, acid-soluble proteins of *Bacillus subtilis* spores: studies using *lacZ* gene fusions. J. Bacteriol. **170**:239–244.
- 200. Masuda, E. S., H. Anaguchi, T. Sato, M. Takeuchi, and Y. Kobayashi. 1990. Nucleotide sequence of the sporulation gene *spoIIGA* from *Bacillus subtilis*. Nucleic Acids Res. 18:657.
- 201. Masuda, E. S., H. Anaguchi, K. Yamada, and Y. Kobayashi. 1988. Two developmental genes encoding σ factor homologs are arranged in tandem in *Bacillus subtilis*. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 85:7637–7641.
- 202. Min, K.-T., C. M. Hilditch, B. Diederich, J. Errington, and M. D. Yudkin. 1993. σ<sup>F</sup>, the first compartment-specific transcription factor of *B. subtilis*, is regulated by an anti-σ factor that is also a protein kinase. Cell **74**:735–742.
- Mirel, D. B., and M. J. Chamberlin. 1989. The *Bacillus subtilis* flagellin gene (*hag*) is transcribed by the sigma 28 form of RNA polymerase. J. Bacteriol. 171:3095–3101.
- 204. Miyao, A., G. Theeragool, M. Takeuchi, and Y. Kobayashi. 1993. Bacillus subtilis spoVE gene is transcribed by  $\sigma^{E}$ -associated RNA polymerase. J. Bacteriol. 175:4081–4086.
- Moir, A. 1981. Germination properties of a spore coat-defective mutant of Bacillus subtilis. J. Bacteriol. 146:1106–1116.
- Moir, A., and D. A. Smith. 1990. The genetics of bacterial spore germination. Annu. Rev. Microbiol. 44:531–553.
- 207. Moldover, B., P. J. Piggot, and M. D. Yudkin. 1991. Identification of the promoter and the transcriptional start site of the *spoVA* operon of *Bacillus subtilis* and *Bacillus licheniformis*. J. Gen. Microbiol. 137:527–531.
- 207a.Moran, C. P., Jr. Personal communication.
- Moran, C. P., Jr., W. C. Johnson, and R. Losick. 1982. Close contacts between sigma-37 RNA polymerase and a *Bacillus subtilis* chromosomal promoter. J. Mol. Biol. 162:709–713.
- 209. Moran, C. P., Jr., N. Lang, C. D. B. Banner, W. G. Haldenwang, and R. Losick. 1981. Promoter for a developmentally regulated gene in *Bacillus subtilis*. Cell 25:783–791.
- 210. Moran, C. P., Jr., N. Lang, S. F. J. LeGrice, G. Lee, M. Stevens, A. L. Sonenshein, J. Pero, and R. Losick. 1982. Nucleotide sequences that signal the initiation of transcription and translation in *Bacillus subtilis*. Mol. Gen. Genet. 186:339–346.
- Moran, C. P., Jr., N. Lang, and R. Losick. 1981. Nucleotide sequence of a Bacillus subtilis promoter recognized by Bacillus subtilis RNA polymerase containing sigma-37. Nucleic Acids Res. 9:5979–5990.
- Moran, C. P., Jr., R. Losick, and A. L. Sonenshein. 1980. Identification of a sporulation locus in cloned *Bacillus subtilis* deoxyribonucleic acid. J. Bacteriol. 142:331–334.
- Mueller, J. P., G. Bukusoglu, and A. L. Sonenshein. 1992. Transcriptional regulation of *Bacillus subtilis* glucose starvation-inducible genes: control of *gsiA* by the ComP-ComA signal transduction system. J. Bacteriol. **174**:4361– 4373.
- 214. Murray, C. L., and J. C. Rabinowitz. 1982. Nucleotide sequences of transcription and translation initiation regions in Bacillus phage  $\sigma$ 29 early genes. J. Biol. Chem. 257:1053–1062.
- 215. Nakatani, Y., W. L. Nicholson, K. D. Neitzke, P. Setlow, and E. Freese. 1989. Sigma-G RNA polymerase controls forespore-specific expression of the glucose dehydrogenase operon in *Bacillus subtilis*. Nucleic Acids Res. 17:999–1017.
- Nakayama, T., V. Williamson, K. Burtis, and R. H. Doi. 1978. Purification and properties of two RNA polymerases from sporulating cells of *Bacillus* subtilis. Eur. J. Biochem. 88:155–164.
- 217. Nicholson, W. L., D. X. Sun, B. Setlow, and P. Setlow. 1989. Promoter specificity of sigma G-containing RNA polymerase from sporulating cells of *Bacillus subtilis*: identification of a group of forespore-specific promoters. J. Bacteriol. **171**:2708–2718.
- 218. Nilsson, D., B. Hove-Jensen, and K. Arnvig. 1989. Primary structure of the

tms and prs genes of Bacillus subtilis Mol Gen Genet 218:565-571

- 219. Nishimoto, H., and I. Takahashi. 1974. Template specificity and subunits of RNA polymerase from asporogenous mutants of Bacillus subtilis. Can. J. Biochem. 52:966-973.
- 220. Ohnishi, K., K. Kutsukake, H. Suzuki, and T. Lino. 1992. A novel transcriptional regulation mechanism in the flagellar regulon of Salmonella *typhimurium*: an anti-sigma factor inhibits the activity of the flagellum-specific sigma factor,  $\sigma^{F}$ . Mol. Microbiol. **6:**3149–3157. 221. **Oke, V., and R. Losick.** 1993. Multilevel regulation of the sporulation
- transcription factor sigma K in Bacillus subtilis. J. Bacteriol. 175:7341-7347.
- 222. Ollington, J. F., W. G. Haldenwang, T. V. Huynh, and R. Losick. 1981. Developmentally regulated transcription in a cloned segment of the Bacillus subtilis chromosome. J. Bacteriol. 147:432-442.
- 223. Ordal, G. W., L. Márquez-Magaña, and M. J. Chamberlin. 1993. Motility and chemotaxis, p. 765-784. In A. L. Sonenshein, J. A. Hoch, and R. Losick (ed.), Bacillus subtilis and other gram-positive bacteria: biochemistry, physiology, and molecular genetics. American Society for Microbiology, Washington, D.C.
- 224. Panzer, S., D. Sun, P. Setlow, and R. Losick. 1989. Evidence for an additional temporal class of gene expression in the forespore compartment of sporulating Bacillus subtilis. J. Bacteriol. 171:561-564.
- 225. Park, S. S., S. L. Wong, L. F. Wang, and R. H. Doi. 1989. Bacillus subtilis subtilisin gene (aprE) is expressed from a sigma A (sigma 43) promoter in vitro and in vivo. J. Bacteriol. 171:2657-2665.
- 226. Partridge, S. R., and J. Errington. 1993. The importance of morphological events and intercellular interactions in the regulation of prespore-specific gene expression during sporulation in Bacillus subtilis. Mol. Microbiol. 8:945-955
- 227. Partridge, S. R., D. Foulger, and J. Errington. 1991. The role of  $\sigma^F$  in prespore-specific transcription in Bacillus subtilis. Mol. Microbiol. 5:757-767.
- 228. Pero, J., J. Nelson, and T. D. Fox. 1975. Highly asymmetric transcription by RNA polymerase containing phage SPOI-induced polypeptides and a new host protein. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 72:1589-1593.
- 229. Peters, H. K., III, H. C. Carlson, and W. G. Haldenwang. 1992. Mutational analysis of the precursor-specific region of *Bacillus subtilis*  $\sigma^{E}$ . J. Bacteriol. 174:4629-4637.
- 230. Peters, H. K., and W. G. Haldenwang. 1991. Synthesis and fractionation properties of SpoIIGA, a protein essential for pro- $\sigma^{E}$  processing in Bacillus subtilis. J. Bacteriol. 173:7821-7827.
- 231. Peters, H. K., III, and W. G. Haldenwang. Unpublished results.
- 232. Piggot, P. J., and J. G. Coote. 1976. Genetic aspects of bacterial endospore formation. Bacteriol. Rev. 40:908-962
- 233. Piggot, P. J., C. A. M. Curtis, and H. De Lencastre. 1984. Use of integrational plasmid vectors to demonstrate the polycistronic nature of a transcriptional unit (spoIIA) required for sporulation of Bacillus subtilis. J. Gen. Microbiol. 130:2123-2136.
- 234. Plevan, P., A. M. Albrtini, A. Galizzi, A. Adamoli, G. Mastromei, S. Riva, and G. Cassani. 1977. RNA polymerase from Bacillus subtilis: isolation of core and holoenzyme by DNA-cellulose chromatography. Nucleic Acids Res. 4:603-623.
- 235. Popham, D. L., and P. Stragier. 1991. Cloning, characterization, and expression of the spoVB gene of Bacillus subtilis. J. Bacteriol. 173:7942-7949.
- 236. Popham, D. L., and P. Stragier. 1992. Binding of the Bacillus subtilis spoIVCA product to recombination sites of the element interrupting the K-encoding gene. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 89:5991-5995.
- 237. Predich, M., G. Nair, and I. Smith. 1992. Bacillus subtilis early sporulation genes kinA, spo0F, and spo0A are transcribed by the RNA polymerase containing  $\sigma^{H}$ . J. Bacteriol. **174:**2771–2778.
- 238. Price, C. W., and R. H. Doi. 1985. Genetic mapping of rpoD implicates the major sigma factor of Bacillus subtilis RNA polymerase in sporulation initiation. Mol. Gen. Genet. 201:88-95.
- 239. Price, C. W., M. A. Gitt, and R. H. Doi. 1983. Isolation and physical mapping of the gene encoding the major sigma factor of Bacillus subtilis RNA polymerase. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 80:4074-4078.
- 240. Price, V. A., I. M. Feavers, and A. Moir. 1989. Role of sigma H in expression of the fumarase gene (citG) in vegetative cells of Bacillus subtilis 168. J. Bacteriol. 171:5933-5939.
- 241. Qi, F.-X., and R. H. Doi. 1990. Localization of a second SigH promoter in the Bacillus subtilis sigA operon and regulation of dnaE expression by the promoter. J. Bacteriol. 172:5631-5636.
- 242. Qi, F.-X., X.-S. He, and R. H. Doi. 1991. Localization of a new promoter, P5, in the sigA operon of Bacillus subtilis and its regulation in some spo mutant strains. J. Bacteriol. 173:7050-7054.
- 243. Rabussay, D., and W. Zellig. 1969. A rifampicin resistant RNA polymerase from *E. coli* altered in the  $\beta$ -subunit. FEBS Lett. 5:104–106.
- 244. Rather, P. N., R. Coppolecchia, H. De Grazia, and C. P. Moran, Jr. 1990. Negative regulator of  $\sigma^{G}$  controlled gene expression in stationary-phase *Bacillus subtilis*. J. Bacteriol. **172**:709–715.
- 245. Rather, P. N., R. E. Hay, G. L. Ray, W. G. Haldenwang, and C. P. Moran, Jr. 1986. Nucleotide sequences that define promoters that are used by Bacillus subtilis sigma-29 RNA polymerase. J. Mol. Biol. 192:557-565.

- 246. Rather, P. N., and C. P. Moran, Jr. 1988. Compartment-specific transcription in Bacillus subtilis: identification of the promoter for gdh. J. Bacteriol. 170:5086-5092
- 247. Ray, C., R. E. Hay, H. L. Carter, and C. P. Moran, Jr. 1985. Mutations that affect utilization of a promoter in stationary-phase Bacillus subtilis. J. Bacteriol **163**:610–614
- 248. Ray, C., K. M. Tatti, C. H. Jones, and C. P. Moran, Jr. 1987. Genetic analysis of RNA polymerase-promoter interaction during sporulation in Bacillus subtilis, J. Bacteriol. 169:1807-1811.
- 249. Ray, G. L., and W. G. Haldenwang. 1986. Isolation of Bacillus subtilis genes transcribed in vitro and in vivo by a major sporulation-induced, DNAdependent RNA polymerase. J. Bacteriol. 166:472-478.
- 250. Ricca, E., S. Cutting, and R. Losick. 1992. Characterization of bofA, a gene involved in intercompartmental regulation of pro-or processing during sporulation in Bacillus subtilis. J. Bacteriol. 174:3177-3184.
- 251. Robertson, J. B., M. Gocht, M. A. Marhiel, and P. Zuber. 1989. AbrB, a regulator of gene expression in Bacillus, interacts with the transcription initiation regions of a sporulation gene and an antibiotic biosynthesis gene. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 86:8457-8461.
- 252. Roels, S., A. Driks, and R. Losick. 1992. Characterization of spoIVA, a sporulation gene involved in coat morphogenesis in Bacillus subtilis. J. Bacteriol. 174:575-585.
- 253. Rong, S., M. S. Rosenkrantz, and A. L. Sonenshein. 1986. Transcriptional control of the Bacillus subtilis spoIID gene. J. Bacteriol. 165:771-779.
- 254. Rong, S., and A. L. Sonenshein. 1992. Mutations in the precursor region of a Bacillus subtilis sporulation sigma factor. J. Bacteriol. 174:3812-3817.
- 255. Sandman, K., L. Kroos, S. Cutting, P. Youngman, and R. Losick. 1988. Identification of the promoter for a spore coat protein gene in Bacillus subtilis and studies on the regulation of its induction at a late stage of sporulation. J. Mol. Biol. 200:461-473.
- 256. Sato, T., K. Harada, Y. Ohta, and Y. Kobayashi. 1994. Expression of the Bacillus subtilis spoIVCA gene, which encodes a site-specific recombinase, depends on the *spoIIGB* product. J. Bacteriol. 176:935-937.
- 257. Sato, T., Y. Samori, and Y. Kobayashi. 1990. The cisA cistron of Bacillus subtilis sporulation gene spoIVC encodes a protein homologous to a sitespecific recombinase. J. Bacteriol. 172:1092-1098.
- 258. Satola, S. W., J. M. Baldus, and C. P. Moran, Jr. 1992. Binding of Spo0A stimulates spoIIG promoter activity in Bacillus subtilis. J. Bacteriol. 174: 1448-1453
- 259. Satola, S., P. A. Kirchman, and C. P. Moran, Jr. 1991. Spo0A binds to a promoter used by  $\sigma^A$  RNA polymerase during sporulation in Bacillus subtilis. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 88:4533-4537.
- 260. Savva, D., and J. Mandelstam. 1984. Cloning of the Bacillus subtilis spoIIA and spoVA loci in phage σ105 DI:1t. J. Gen. Microbiol. 130:2137-2145.
- 261. Savva, D., and J. Mandelstam. 1986. Synthesis of spoIIA and spoVA mRNA in Bacillus subtilis. J. Gen. Microbiol. 132:3005-3011.
- 262. Schmidt, A., M. Schiesswohl, U. Voelker, M. Hecker, and W. Schumann. 1992. Cloning, sequencing, mapping, and transcription analysis of the *groESL* operon from *Bacillus subtilis*. J. Bacteriol. **174**:3993–3999.
- 263. Schmidt, R., P. Margolis, L. Duncan, R. Coppolecchia, C. P. Moran, Jr., and R. Losick. 1990. Control of developmental transcription factor  $\sigma^{F}$ sporulation regulatory proteins SpoIIAA and SpoIIAB in Bacillus subtilis. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 87:9221-9225
- 264. Schuch, R., and P. J. Piggot. 1994. The *dacF-spoIIA* operon of *Bacillus subtilis*, encoding σ<sup>F</sup>, is autoregulated. J. Bacteriol. 176:4104–4110.
- 265. Segall, J., and R. Losick. 1977. Cloned B. subtilis DNA containing a gene that is activated early during sporulation. Cell 11:751-761.
- 266. Segall, J., R. Tjian, J. Pero, and R. Losick. 1974. Chloramphenicol restores sigma factor activity to sporulating Bacillus subtilis. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 71:4860-4863
- 267. Sethi, V. S., and W. Zellig. 1970. Dissociation of DNA-dependent RNA polymerase from E. coli in lithium chloride. FEBS Lett. 8:236.
- 268. Setlow, P. 1991. Changes in forespore chromosome structure during sporulation in Bacillus species. Semin. Dev. Biol. 2:55-62.
- 269. Setlow, P. 1992.  $\hat{I}$  will survive: protecting and repairing spore DNA. J. Bacteriol. 174:2737-2741
- 270. Shorenstein, R. G., and R. Losick. 1973. Purification and properties of the sigma subunit of ribonucleic acid polymerase from vegetative Bacillus subtilis. J. Biol. Chem. 248:6163-6169.
- 271. Shorenstein, R. G., and R. Losick. 1973. Comparative size and properties of the sigma subunits of ribonucleic acid polymerase from Bacillus subtilis and Escherichia coli. J. Biol. Chem. 248:6170-6173.
- 272. Siranosian, K. J., and A. D. Grossman. 1994. Activation of *spo0A* transcription by  $\sigma^{H}$  is necessary for sporulation but not competence in *Bacillus* subtilis. J. Bacteriol. 176:3812-3815.
- 273. Smith, I. 1991. Choice and commitment in sporulation initiation: the role of positive and negative control elements. Semin. Dev. Biol. 2:13-20.
- 274. Smith, K., M. E. Bayer, and P. Youngman. 1993. Physical and functional characterization of the Bacillus subtilis spoIIM gene. J. Bacteriol. 175:3607-3617
- Smith, K., and P. Youngman. 1993. Evidence that the spoIIM gene of 275. Bacillus subtilis is transcribed by RNA polymerase associated with  $\sigma^{E}$ . J.

Bacteriol. 175:3618-3627.

- 277. Spiegelman, G. B., W. R. Hiatt, and H. R. Whiteley. 1978. Role of the 21,000 molecular weight polypeptide of *Bacillus subtilis* RNA polymerase in RNA synthesis. J. Biol. Chem. 253:1756–1765.
- Spiegelman, G. B., and H. R. Whiteley. 1974. Purification of ribonucleic acid polymerase from SP82-infected *Bacillus subtilis*. J. Biol. Chem. 249: 1476–1482.
- Spiegelman, G. B., and H. R. Whiteley. 1979. Subunit composition of Bacillus subtilis RNA polymerase during transcription. Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun. 87:811–817.
- Stevens, C. M., R. Daniel, N. Illing, and J. Errington. 1992. Characterization of a sporulation gene, *spoIVA*, involved in spore coat morphogenesis in *Bacillus subtilis*. J. Bacteriol. 174:586–594.
- Stevens, C. M., and J. Errington. 1990. Differential gene expression during sporulation in *Bacillus subtilis*: structure and regulation of the *spoIIID* gene. Mol. Microbiol. 4:543–551.
- Stock, J. B., A. J. Ninfa, and A. M. Stock. 1989. Protein phosphorylation and regulation of adaptive responses in bacteria. Microbiol. Rev. 53:450–490.
- Stragier, P. 1986. Comment on "Duplicated sporulation genes in bacteria" by J. Errington, P. Fort, and J. Mandelstam. FEBS Lett. 195:9–11.
- 283a.Stragier, P. Personal communication.
- Stragier, P., C. Bonamy, and C. Kalmazyn-Campelli. 1988. Processing of a sporulation sigma factor in *Bacillus subtilis*: how morphological structure could control gene expression. Cell 52:697–704.
- Stragier, P., J. Bouvier, C. Bonamy, and J. Szulmajster. 1984. A developmental gene product of *Bacillus subtilis* homologous to the sigma factor of *Escherichia coli*. Nature (London) 312:376–378.
- Stragier, P., B. Kunkel, L. Kroos, and R. Losick. 1989. Chromosomal rearrangement generating a composite gene for a developmental transcription factor. Science 243:507–512.
- Stragier, P., and R. Losick. 1990. Cascades of sigma factors revisited. Mol. Microbiol. 4:1801–1806.
- 288. Strauch, M. A., G. B. Spiegelman, M. Perego, W. C. Johnson, D. Burbulys, and J. A. Hoch. 1989. The transition state transcriptional regulator *abrB* of *B. subtilis* is a DNA binding protein. EMBO J. 8:1615–1621.
- Strauch, M., V. Webb, G. Spiegelmann, and J. A. Hoch. 1990. The Spo0A protein of *Bacillus subtilis* is a repressor of the *abrB* gene. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 87:1801–1805.
- 290. Sun, D., R. M. Cabrera-Martinez, and P. Setlow. 1991. Control of transcription of the *Bacillus subtilis spoIIIG* gene, which codes for the forespore-specific transcription factor σ<sup>G</sup>. J. Bacteriol. 173:2977–2984.
- 291. Sun, D., P. Fajardo-Cavazos, M. D. Sussman, F. Tovar-Rojo, R.-M. Cabrera-Martinez, and P. Setlow. 1991. Effect of chromosome location of *Bacillus subtilis* forespore genes on their *spo* gene dependence and transcription by E-σ<sup>F</sup>: identification of features of good E-σ<sup>F</sup>-dependent promoters. J. Bacteriol. **173**:7867–7874.
- 292. Sun, D., P. Stragier, and P. Setlow. 1989. Identification of a new sigmafactor involved in compartmentalized gene expression during sporulation of *Bacillus subtilis*. Genes Dev. 3:141–149.
- 293. Sussman, M. D., and P. Setlow. 1991. Cloning, nucleotide sequence, and regulation of the *Bacillus subtilis gpr* gene, which codes for the protease that initiates degradation of small, acid-soluble proteins during spore germination. J. Bacteriol. **173**:291–300.
- 294. Tatti, K. M., H. L. Carter III, A. Moir, and C. P. Moran, Jr. 1989. Sigma H-directed transcription of *citG* in *Bacillus subtilis*. J. Bacteriol. 171:5928– 5932.
- 295. Tatti, K. M., C. H. Jones, and C. P. Moran, Jr. 1991. Genetic evidence for interaction of σ<sup>E</sup> with the *spoIIID* promoter in *Bacillus subtilis*. J. Bacteriol. 173:7828–7833.
- Tatti, K. M., T. J. Kenney, R. E. Hay, and C. P. Moran, Jr. 1985. Promoter specificity of a sporulation induced form of RNA polymerase from *Bacillus* subtilis. Gene 36:151–157.
- 297. Tatti, K. M., and C. P. Moran, Jr. 1984. Promoter recognition by sigma-37 RNA polymerase from *Bacillus subtilis*. J. Mol. Biol. 175:285–297.
- Tatti, K. M., and C. P. Moran, Jr. 1985. Utilization of one promoter by two forms of RNA polymerase from *Bacillus subtilis*. Nature (London) 314:190– 192.
- 299. Taylor, W. E., D. E. Straus, A. D. Grossman, Z. F. Burton, C. A. Gross, and R. Burgess. 1984. Transcription from heat-inducible promoter causes heat shock regulation of the sigma subunit of *E. coli* RNA polymerase. Cell 38:371–381.
- Theeragool, G., A. Miyao, K. Yamada, T. Sato, and Y. Kobayashi. 1993. In vivo expression of the *Bacillus subtilis spoVE* gene. J. Bacteriol. 175:4071– 4080.
- 301. Tjian, R., and R. Losick. 1974. An immunological assay for the sigma subunit of RNA polymerase in extracts of vegetative and sporulating *Bacillus subtilis*. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 71:2872–2876.
- 302. Tjian, R., R. Losick, J. Pero, and A. Hinnebush. 1977. Purification and comparative properties of the delta and sigma subunits of RNA polymerase

from Bacillus subtilis. Eur. J. Biochem. 74:149-154.

- 303. Tjian, R., and J. Pero. 1976. Bacteriophage SPO1 regulatory proteins directing late gene transcription *in vitro*. Nature (London) 262:753–757.
- 304. Trempy, J. E., C. Bonamy, J. Szulmajster, and W. G. Haldenwang. 1985. Bacillus subtilis sigma factor sigma 29 is the product of the sporulationessential gene spoIIG. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 82:4189–4192.
- Trempy, J. E., and W. G. Haldenwang. 1985. Sigma-29-like protein is a common sporulation-specific element in bacteria of the genus *Bacillus*. J. Bacteriol. 164:1356–1358.
- 306. Trempy, J. E., T. L. LaBell, G. L. Ray, and W. G. Haldenwang. 1985. P<sup>31</sup>, a sigma-29-like RNA polymerase-binding protein of *Bacillus subtilis*, p. 162–169. *In* J. A. Hoch and P. Setlow (ed.), Molecular biology of microbial differentiation. American Society for Microbiology, Washington, D.C.
- Trempy, J. E., J. Morrison-Plummer, and W. G. Haldenwang. 1985. Synthesis of sigma-29, an RNA polymerase specificity determinant, is a developmentally regulated event in *Bacillus subtilis*. J. Bacteriol. 161:340–346.
- 308. Truitt, C. L., E. A. Weaver, and W. G. Haldenwang. 1988. Effects on growth and sporulation of inactivation of a *Bacillus subtilis* gene (*ctc*) transcribed *in vitro* by minor vegetative cell RNA polymerases (E-σ<sup>37</sup>, E-σ<sup>32</sup>). Mol. Gen. Genet. 212:166–171.
- 309. Van Hoy, B. E., and J. A. Hoch. 1990. Characterization of the spoIVB and recN loci of Bacillus subtilis. J. Bacteriol. 172:1306–1311.
- Varón, D., S. A. Boylan, K. Okamoto, and C. W. Price. 1993. *Bacillus subtilis gtaB* encodes UDP-glucose pyrophosphorylase and is controlled by stationary-phase transcription factor σ<sup>B</sup>. J. Bacteriol. **175**:3964–3971.
- 311. Vasantha, N., B. Uratani, R. Ramaley, and E. Freeze. 1983. Isolation of a developmental gene of *Bacillus subtilis* and its expression in *Escherichia coli*. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 80:785–789.
- 312. Voelker, U., A. Dufour, and W. G. Haldenwang. 1995. The *Bacillus subtilis* rsbU gene product is necessary for RsbX-dependent regulation of  $\sigma^{B}$ . J. Bacteriol. 177:114–122.
- Völker, U., S. Engelmann, B. Maul, S. Riethdorf, A. Völker, R. Schmid, H. Mach, and M. Hecker. 1994. Characterization and analysis of the induction of general stress proteins of *Bacillus subtilis*. Microbiology 140:741–752.
- Wang, L.-F., and R. H. Doi. 1986. Nucleotide sequence and organization of Bacillus subtilis RNA polymerase major sigma (σ<sup>43</sup>) operon. Nucleic Acids Res. 14:4293–4307.
- 315. Wang, L.-F., and R. H. Doi. 1986. Organization of the major sigma operon of *Bacillus subtilis* and *Escherichia coli*, p. 367–376. *In* A. T. Ganesan and J. A. Hoch (ed.), Bacillus molecular genetics and biotechnology applications. Academic Press, Inc., Orlando, Fla.
- Wang, L.-F., and R. H. Doi. 1987. Developmental expression of three proteins from the first gene of the RNA polymerase sigma 43 operon of *Bacillus subtilis*. J. Bacteriol. 169:4190–4195.
- 317. Wang, L.-F., and R. H. Doi. 1987. Promoter switching during development and the termination site of the  $\sigma^{43}$  operon of *Bacillus subtilis*. Mol. Gen. Genet. 207:114–119.
- Wang, L.-F., C. W. Price, and R. H. Doi. 1985. *Bacillus subtilis dnaE* encodes a protein homologous to DNA primase of *Escherichia coli*. J. Biol. Chem. 260:3368–3372.
- Weir, J., E. Dubnau, N. Ramakrishna, and I. Smith. 1984. Bacillus subtilis spo0H gene. J. Bacteriol. 157:405–412.
- 320. Weir, J., M. Predich, E. Dubnau, G. Nair, and I. Smith. 1991. Regulation of *spo0H*, a gene coding for the *Bacillus subtilis* σ<sup>H</sup> factor. J. Bacteriol. 173:521–529.
- 321. Wetzstein, M., U. Voelker, J. Dedio, S. Lobau, U. Zuber, M. Schiesswohl, C. Herget, M. Hecker, and W. Schumann. 1992. Cloning, sequencing, and molecular analysis of the *dnaK* locus from *Bacillus subtilis*. J. Bacteriol. 174:3300–3310.
- 322. Whiteley, H. R., and H. C. Hemphill. 1970. The interchangeability of stimulatory factors isolated from three microbial RNA polymerases. Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun. 41:647–654.
- 323. Wiggs, J. L., J. W. Bush, and M. J. Chamberlin. 1979. Utilization of promoter and termination sites on bacteriophage T7 by RNA polymerases from a variety of bacterial orders. Cell 16:97–109.
- 324. Wiggs, J. L., M. Z. Gilman, and M. J. Chamberlin. 1981. Heterogeneity of RNA polymerase in *Bacillus subtilis*: evidence for an additional sigma factor in vegetative cells. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 78:2762–2766.
- 325. Williamson, V. M., and R. H. Doi. 1978. Delta factor can displace sigma factor from *Bacillus subtilis* RNA polymerase holoenzyme and regulate its initiation activity. Mol. Gen. Genet. 161:135–141.
- 326. Wong, S.-L., C. W. Price, D. S. Goldfarb, and R. H. Doi. 1984. The subtilisin E gene of *Bacillus subtilis* is transcribed from a sigma-37 promoter *in vivo*. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 81:1184–1188.
- Wu, J.-J., M. G. Howard, and P. J. Piggot. 1989. Regulation of transcription of the *Bacillus subtilis spoIIA* locus. J. Bacteriol. 171:692–698.
- Wu, J.-J., P. J. Piggot, K. M. Tatti, and C. P. Moran, Jr. 1991. Transcription of the *Bacillus subtilis spoILA* locus. Gene 101:113–116.
- 329. Wu, J.-J., R. Schuch, and P. J. Piggot. 1992. Characterization of a *Bacillus subtilis* sporulation operon that includes genes for an RNA polymerase σ factor and for a putative DD-carboxypeptidase. J. Bacteriol. 174:4885–4892.
- 330. Wu, L.-J., and J. Errington. 1994. Bacillus subtilis SpoIIIE protein required

#### 30 HALDENWANG

for DNA segregation during asymmetric cell division. Science 264:572-575.

- 331. Yasbin, R., J. Jackson, P. Love, and R. Marrero. 1988. Dual regulation of the *recE* gene, p. 109–113. *In* A. T. Ganesan and J. A. Hoch (ed.), Genetics and biotechnology of bacilli, vol. 2. Academic Press, San Diego, Calif.
- Yehle, C. O., and R. H. Doi. 1967. Differential expression of bacteriophage genomes in vegetative and sporulating cells of *Bacillus subtilis*. J. Virol. 1:935–947.
- 333. Yon, J. R., R. L. Sammons, and D. A. Smith. 1989. Cloning and sequencing of the gerD gene of Bacillus subtilis. J. Gen. Microbiol. 135:3431–3445.
- York, K., T. J. Kenney, S. Satola, C. P. Moran, H. Poth, and P. Youngman. 1992. Spo0A controls the σ<sup>A</sup>-dependent activation of *Bacillus subtilis* sporulation-specific transcription unit *spoIIE*. J. Bacteriol. **174**:2648–2658.
- 334a.Youngman, P. Personal communication.
- 335. Yudkin, M. D. 1987. Structure and function in a *Bacillus subtilis* sporulationspecific sigma factor: molecular nature of mutations in *spoILAC*. J. Gen. Microbiol. 133:475–481.
- 336. Yudkin, M. D., J. H. Millionig, and L. Appleby. 1989. Mutations that alter the helix-turn-helix region of the *spoIIAC* protein, a *Bacillus subtilis* sporulation-specific sigma factor. Mol. Microbiol. 3:257–259.
- 337. Zheng, L., W. P. Donovan, P. C. Fitz-James, and R. Losick. 1988. Gene

encoding a morphogenic protein required in the assembly of the outer coat of the *Bacillus subtilis* endospore. Genes Dev. **2**:1047–1054.

- 338. Zheng, L., R. Halberg, S. Roels, H. Ichikawa, L. Kroos, and R. Losick. 1992. Sporulation regulatory protein GerE from *Bacillus subtilis* binds to and can activate or repress transcription from promoters for mother-cell-specific genes. J. Mol. Biol. 226:1037–1050.
- Zheng, L., and R. Losick. 1990. Cascade regulation of spore coat gene expression in *Bacillus subtilis*. J. Mol. Biol. 212:645–660.
- 340. Zuber, P., J. Healy, H. L. Carter, S. Cutting, C. P. Moran, Jr., and R. Losick. 1989. Mutation changing the specificity of an RNA polymerase sigma factor. J. Mol. Biol. 206:605–614.
- Zuber, P., and R. Losick. 1983. Use of a *lacZ* fusion to study the role of the spo genes of *Bacillus subtilis* in developmental regulation. Cell 35:275–283.
- Zuber, P., M. Marahiel, and J. Robertson. 1988. Influence of *abrB* on the transcription of the sporulation-associated genes *spoVG* and *spoOH* in *Baccillus subtilis*, p. 123–127. *In A*. T. Ganesan and J. A. Hoch (ed.), Genetics and biotechnology of bacilli. Academic Press, Inc., San Diego, Calif.
   Zuberi, A. R., and R. H. Doi. 1990. A mutation in P<sup>23</sup>, the first gene in the
- 343. Zuberi, A. R., and R. H. Doi. 1990. A mutation in P<sup>23</sup>, the first gene in the RNA polymerase sigma A (sigma 43) operon, affects sporulation in *Bacillus* subtilis. J. Bacteriol. **172**:2175–2177.