# **REVIEW**

# The rush to adrenaline: drugs in sport acting on the β-adrenergic system

E Davis<sup>1</sup>, R Loiacono<sup>1</sup> and RJ Summers

Department of Pharmacology, Monash University, Clayton, Victoria, Australia

Athletes attempt to improve performance with drugs that act on the  $\beta$ -adrenergic system directly or indirectly. Of three  $\beta$ -adrenoceptor (AR) subtypes, the  $\beta_2$ -AR is the main target in sport; they have bronchodilator and anabolic actions and enhance anti-inflammatory actions of corticosteroids. Although demonstrable in animal experiments and humans, there is little evidence that these properties can significantly improve performance in trained athletes. Their actions may also be compromised by receptor desensitization and by common, naturally occurring receptor mutations (polymorphisms) that can influence receptor signalling and desensitization properties in individuals. Indirectly acting agents affect release and reuptake of noradrenaline and adrenaline, thereby influencing all AR subtypes including the three  $\beta$ -ARs. These agents can have potent psychostimulant effects that provide an illusion of better performance that does not usually translate into improvement in practice. Amphetamines and cocaine also have considerable potential for cardiac damage.  $\beta$ -AR antagonists ( $\beta$ -blockers) are used in sports that require steadiness and accuracy, such as archery and shooting, where their ability to reduce heart rate and muscle tremor may improve performance. They have a deleterious effect in endurance sports because they reduce physical performance and maximum exercise load. Recent studies have identified that many  $\beta$ -AR antagonists not only block the actions of agonists but also activate other (mitogen-activated PK) signalling pathways influencing cell growth and fate. The concept that many compounds previously regarded as 'blockers' may express their own spectrum of pharmacological properties has potentially far-reaching consequences for the use of drugs both therapeutically and illicitly. British Journal of Pharmacology (2008) 154, 584-597; doi:10.1038/bjp.2008.164

Keywords: β-adrenoceptor; β-blocker; polymorphism; ergogenic; sympathomimetic; clenbuterol; salbutamol; ephedrine

Abbreviations: AR, adrenoceptor; Gs, stimulatory G-protein; Erk1/2, extracellular-signal regulated kinase; TNFα, tumour necrosis factor α

There has been considerable interest expressed in the use of drugs by athletes to improve performance. As the physiological secretion of adrenaline into the bloodstream in response to stress, fright or physical exercise undeniably affects performance, it is not surprising that a number of categories of drugs that are used by some athletes to alter performance have their effects on the adrenergic system. The main categories of drugs acting on the adrenergic system that have been used acutely include stimulants such as cocaine, amphetamines and ephedrine (see Docherty, 2008), and blockers such as the  $\beta$ -AR antagonists (The World Anti-Doping Code—The 2008 Prohibited List International Standard). Most of the desired effects are produced by these drugs acting directly or indirectly on  $\beta$ -ARs both in the brain and in peripheral tissues. More recently,  $\beta$ -AR agonists have

been used as anabolic agents to increase body weight and build muscle strength. This review will examine not only the characteristics of  $\beta$ -ARs, their distribution in the body, their functions and how they are influenced by drugs but also how the variations in receptors between individuals affect drug responses.

The endogenous ligands acting in the adrenergic system are noradrenaline, which is released as a neurotransmitter from the majority of sympathetic nerves, and adrenaline, which is released not only from the adrenal medulla as a circulating hormone but also can act as a neurotransmitter at a few neurons in the central nervous system. Both catecholamines are agonists with broadly similar pharmacology except that noradrenaline displays some selectivity for  $\beta_1$ -ARs compared with  $\beta_2$ -ARs (Alexander *et al.*, 2007). This may be one reason why most of the attention in terms of enhancing performance in sport has fallen on adrenaline. Injection of adrenaline intravenously produces a relatively short-lasting increase in blood pressure and fallen rate and force. The action of adrenaline on blood vessels is complex and broadly reflects the distribution and relative expression of AR subtypes. In vessels where  $\alpha$ -ARs predominate (such as

Correspondence: Professor RJ Summers, Department of Pharmacology, Monash University, PO Box 13E, Wellington Road, Melbourne, Victoria 3800, Australia.

E-mail: roger.summers@med.monash.edu.au

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>These authors contributed equally to this work

Received 4 March 2008; revised 1 April 2008; accepted 1 April 2008

skin), the dominant effect is vasoconstriction, whereas in those where  $\beta$ -ARs are more numerous (such as heart and skeletal muscle), the dominant effect is vasodilatation. Thus, adrenaline produces an increased cardiac output while at the same time dilating coronary arteries, and it also has a powerful vasodilator effect on blood vessels in skeletal muscle, thus preparing the body for physical activity (Rang *et al.*, 2003).

#### Adrenoceptors

Noradrenaline and adrenaline produce their effects in the body by acting on a group of nine G-protein-coupled receptors termed adrenoceptors (Bylund *et al.*, 1994). Of these, the  $\beta$ -ARs are one of the three main types that are further subdivided into three subtypes, which are encoded by distinct genes. The genes are 40–50% similar and encode single polypeptide chains of between 400 and 500 amino acids. The genes encoding  $\beta_1$ -ARs and  $\beta_2$ -ARs are intronless, whereas those encoding the  $\beta_3$ -AR have introns with part of the C terminus encoded by the second exon (Bylund *et al.*,

**Table 1** Distribution and function of  $\beta$ -AR subtypes

1994). All three receptors possess the characteristic seven hydrophobic transmembrane segments of the prototypical G-protein-coupled receptor, and all of these segments contribute to the binding pocket occupied by the ligand. In addition, they all have N-linked N-terminal glycosylation sites but differ in the C terminus in that the  $\beta_3$ -AR is shorter than the  $\beta_1$ -AR and  $\beta_2$ -AR and does not contain phosphorylation sites for either PKA or G-protein receptor kinases (GRKs). All three subtypes when activated can cause increases in intracellular levels of cAMP, although many recent studies demonstrate that this is not the sole signalling pathway (Lefkowitz *et al.*, 2002; Galandrin and Bouvier, 2006). There are selective agonists and antagonists for all three receptor subtypes (Alexander *et al.*, 2007).

#### **β**<sub>1</sub>-Adrenoceptors

 $\beta_1$ -Adrenoceptors are found in many areas of the body including the heart, kidney, white adipose tissue and the brain, where, in particular, high concentrations are found in the pineal gland (Frielle *et al.*, 1987) (Table 1).  $\beta_1$ -ARs in the

Cardiac—rate, force (Kaumann, 1989)IncreaseIncreaseCardiac—lusitropic (Kaumann et al., 1999)IncreaseIncreaseLung—bronchi tone (Bertram et al., 1983)RelaxRelaxLung—bronchi tone (Bertram et al., 1983)RelaxRelaxLung—menchyma tone (Bertram et al., 1983)RelaxDilateLung—surfactant production/mucus secretion (Warburton et al., 1987)IncreaseIncreaseSmall coronary arteries—tone (Vatner et al., 1986)DilateDilateLarge coronary arteries—tone (Rowman and Anden, 1981)DilateDilateSaphenous vein—tone (Idezono et al., 1987)DilateDilateCerebral arteries—tone (Edvinsson and Owman, 1974)DilateDilateSympathetic neurotransmission—activity (Vermeire and Vanhoutte, 1979)InhibitCNS—blood pressure, heart rate (Day and Roach, 1974)IncreaseCNS—clonidine withdrawal (Jonkman et al., 1984, 1982)FacilitateCNS—clonidine withdrawal (Jonkman et al., 1984, 1998)AttenuateCNS—clonidine withdrawal (Jonkman et al., 1985, 2002)FacilitateCI tract—gastric, fundus—tone (Roberts et al., 1985, 2002)FacilitateCI tract—aleum, colon—tone (Roberts et al., 1986)SecreteGI tract—gastric, fundus—tone (Charistor et al., 1988)SecreteGI tract—aleum, colon—tone (Roberts et al., 1985, 2002)FacilitateCI tract—aleum, colon—tone (Roberts et al., 1995; De Ponti et al., 1996)SuppressGI tract—gastric, fundus—tone (Charifed and Abdul-Ghaffar, 1992a)SecreteGI tract—aleum isonanet (Canfield and Abdul-Ghaffar, 1992a) </th <th>Tissue or organ with parameter measured</th> <th><math>\beta_1</math></th> <th><math>\beta_2</math></th> <th><math>\beta_3</math></th>	Tissue or organ with parameter measured	$\beta_1$	$\beta_2$	$\beta_3$
Cardiac—lusitropic (Kaumann et al., 1983)   Increase   Dilate     Lung—bronchi tone (Barnes et al., 1983)   Relax   Relax     Lung—mediator release (Undem and Buckner, 1984)   Decrease   Dilate     Lung—surfactant production/mucus secretion (Warburton et al., 1987)   Increase   Dilate     Small coronary arteries—tone (Vatner et al., 1986)   Dilate   Dilate     Large coronary arteries—tone (Rudy et al., 1988)   Dilate   Dilate     Skeletal muscle blood vessels—tone (Bowman and Anden, 1981)   Dilate   Dilate     Saphenous vein—tone (Ikezono et al., 1987)   Dilate   Dilate     Sympathetic neurotransmission—activity (Majewski, 1983)   Dilate   Dilate     Sympathetic neurotransmission—activity (Vermeire and Vanhoutte, 1979)   Inhibit   Increase     CNS—blood pressure, heart rate (Day and Roach, 1974)   Increase   Increase     CNS—clonidine withdrawal (Jonkman et al., 1984, 1982)   Facilitate   Facilitate     CNS—blood pressure, heart rate (Day and Roach, 1974)   Increase   Inhibit     CNS—clonidine withdrawal (Jonkman et al., 1984, 1998)   Relax   Relax     CNS—appetite (Tsujii and Bray, 1992)   Relak   Relax   Relax     CI tract—gastric, fundus—ton	Cardiac—rate, force (Kaumann, 1989)	Increase	Increase	
Lung—bronchi tone (Barnes et al., 1983)DilateLung—parenchyma tone (Bertram et al., 1983)RelaxRelaxLung—mediator release (Undem and Buckner, 1984)DecreaseLung—surfactant production/mucus secretion (Warburton et al., 1987)IncreaseSmall coronary arteries—tone (Vatner et al., 1986)DilateLarge coronary arteries—tone (Reverna and Anden, 1981)DilateSaphenous vein—tone (Ikezono et al., 1987)DilateSympathetic neurotransmission—activity (Majewski, 1983)DilateParasympathetic neurotransmission—activity (Vermeire and Vanhoutte, 1979)InhibitCNS—blood pressure, heart rate (Day and Roach, 1974)IncreaseCNS—choindine withdrawal (Jonkman et al., 1984), 1982)FacilitateCNS—choindine withdrawal (Jonkman et al., 1984, 1998)AttenuateCNS—memory (Gibbs and Summers, 2002)InhibitCNS—memory (Gibbs and Summers, 2002)RelaxCI tract—gastric, fundus—tone (McLaughlin and MacDonald, 1991)RelaxCI tract—qastric acid (Stevens et al., 1986); Canfield and Paraskeva, 1992b)SuppressCI tract—acecum bicarbonate (Canfield and Abdul-Ghaffar, 1992a)SuppressCI tract—acecum bicarbonate (Canfield and Abdul-Ghaffar, 1992a)SuppressCI tract—acecum bicarbonate (Chariot et al., 1982)RelaxCI tract—acecum bicarbonate (Charifiel and Abdul-Ghaffar, 1992a)SuppressCI tract—acecum bicarbonate (Charifiel and Paraskeva, 1992b)RelaxCI tract—acecum bicarbonate (Charifiel and Paraskeva, 1992b)RelaxCI tract—acecum bicarbonate (Charifiel and Paraskeva, 1992b)Relax	Cardiac—lusitropic (Kaumann <i>et al.</i> , 1999)	Increase	Increase	
Lung—parenchyma tone (Bertram et al., 1983)RelaxRelaxRelaxLung—mediator release (Undem and Buckner, 1984)DecreaseLung—surfactant production/mucus secretion (Warburton et al., 1987)IncreaseSmall coronary arteries—tone (Vatne et al., 1986)DilateLarge coronary arteries—tone (Rurdy et al., 1988)DilateSkeletal muscle blood vessels—tone (Bowman and Anden, 1981)DilateSaphenous vein—tone (Ikezono et al., 1987)DilateCerebral arteries—tone (Edvinsson and Owman, 1974)DilateSympathetic neurotransmission—activity (Majewski, 1983)DilateParasympathetic neurotransmission—activity (Vermeire and Vanhoutte, 1979)InhibitCNS—blood pressure, heart rate (Day and Roach, 1974)IncreaseCNS—blood pressure, heart rate (Day and Roach, 1974)IncreaseCNS—inhibitory synaptic transmission (Waterhouse et al., 1982)FacilitateCNS—memory (Gibbs and Summers, 2002)FacilitateCNS—memory (Gibbs and Summers, 2002)FacilitateCI tract—gastric, fundus—tone (Roberts et al., 1995; De Ponti et al., 1996)RelaxCI tract—gastric, fundus—tone (Roberts et al., 1982)SuppressCI tract—gastric, fundus—tone (Carifield and Paraskeva, 1992b)SuppressCI tract—caecum bicarbonate (Canfield and Abdul-Ghaffar, 1992a)SuppressCI tract—caecum bicarbonate (Canfield and Abdul-Ghaffar, 1992a)RelaxCI tract—caecum bicarbonate (Canfield and Abdul-Ghaffar, 1992a)RelaxCi tract—caecum bicarbonate (Canfield and Abdul-Ghaffar, 1992a)RelaxCi tract—caecum bicarbonate (Canfield and	Lung—bronchi tone (Barnes <i>et al.</i> , 1983)		Dilate	
Lung—mediator release (Undem and Buckner, 1984)DecreaseLung—surfactant production/mucus secretion (Warburton et al., 1987)IncreaseSmall coronary arteries—tone (Vatner et al., 1986)DilateLarge coronary arteries—tone (Purdy et al., 1988)DilateSkeletal muscle blood vessels—tone (Bowman and Anden, 1981)DilateSaphenous vein—tone (Ikezono et al., 1987)DilateCerebral arteries—tone (Edvinsson and Owman, 1974)DilateSympathetic neurotransmission—activity (Majewski, 1983)FacilitateParasympathetic neurotransmission—activity (Vermeire and Vanhoutte, 1979)InhibitCNS—blood pressure, heart rate (Day and Roach, 1974)IncreaseCNS—clonidine withdrawal (Jonkman et al., 1984, 1982)FacilitateCNS—clonidine withdrawal (Jonkman et al., 1984, 1998)IncreaseCNS—appetite (Tsujii and Bray, 1992)InhibitCNS—appetite (Tsujii and Bray, 1992)InhibitCI tract—gastric, fundus—tone (Roberts et al., 1995; De Ponti et al., 1996)RelaxGI tract—gastric acid (Stevens et al., 1986; Canfield and Paraskeva, 1992b)SuppressGI tract—caecum bicarbonate (Canfield and Abdul-Chaffar, 1992a)SecreteGenitourinary tract—vas deferens—tone (Kenakin, 1982)RelaxGenitourinary tract—vas deferens—tone (Kenakin, 1982)RelaxGenitourinary tract—cocot-uterine muscle—tone (Hartley and Pennefather, 1985)RelaxGenitourinary tract—cocot-uterine muscle—tone (Hartley and Pennefather, 1985)RelaxContourinary tract—blacker defeusem-tone (Kenakin, 1982)RelaxContourinary tract—blacker defeusem-t	Lung—parenchyma tone (Bertram <i>et al.</i> , 1983)	Relax	Relax	
Lung—surfactant production/mucus secretion (Warburton et al., 1987)   Increase     Small coronary arteries—tone (Vatner et al., 1986)   Dilate     Large coronary arteries—tone (Purdy et al., 1988)   Dilate     Skeletal muscle blood vessels—tone (Bowman and Anden, 1981)   Dilate     Saphenous vein—tone (Ikezono et al., 1987)   Dilate     Cerebral arteries—tone (Edvinsson and Owman, 1974)   Dilate     Sympathetic neurotransmission—activity (Majewski, 1983)   Facilitate     Parasympathetic neurotransmission—activity (Vermeire and Vanhoutte, 1979)   Inhibit     CNS—blood pressure, heart rate (Day and Roach, 1974)   Increase     CNS—clonidine withdrawal (Jonkman et al., 1984, 1998)   Attenuate     CNS—clonidine withdrawal (Jonkman et al., 1984, 1998)   Attenuate     CNS—clonidine withdrawal (Jonkman et al., 1984, 1998)   Facilitate     CNS—clonidine withdrawal (Jonkman et al., 1984, 1998)   Relax     CI tract—gastric, fundus—tone (Roberts et al., 1995; De Ponti et al., 1996)   Relax     CI tract—gastric acid (Stevens et al., 1985; De Ponti et al., 1996)   Suppress     CI tract—gastric acid (Stevens et al., 1988)   Secrete     Gi tract—acecum bicarbonate (Canfield and Abdul-Ghaffar, 1992a)   Suppress     CI tract—acecum bicarbonate (Canfield and Abdul-Ghaffar, 1992a) <td>Lung—mediator release (Undem and Buckner, 1984)</td> <td></td> <td>Decrease</td> <td></td>	Lung—mediator release (Undem and Buckner, 1984)		Decrease	
Small coronary arteries—tone (Vatner et al., 1986)DilateLarge coronary arteries—tone (Purdy et al., 1988)DilateSkeletal muscle blood vessels—tone (Bowman and Anden, 1981)DilateSaphenous vein—tone (Ikezone et al., 1987)DilateCerebral arteries—tone (Edvinsson and Owman, 1974)DilateSympathetic neurotransmission—activity (Majewski, 1983)FacilitateParasympathetic neurotransmission—activity (Vermeire and Vanhoutte, 1979)InhibitCNS—blood pressure, heart rate (Day and Roach, 1974)IncreaseCNS—clonidine withdrawal (Jonkman et al., 1984, 1988)FacilitateCNS—clonidine withdrawal (Jonkman et al., 1984, 1998)AttenuateCNS—appetite (Tsujii and Bray, 1992)InhibitCNS—appetite (Tsujii and Bray, 1992)RelaxGI tract—gastric, fundus—tone (McLaughlin and MacDonald, 1991)RelaxGI tract—gastric acid (Stevens et al., 1986; Canfield and Paraskeva, 1992b)SuppressGI tract—gastric acid (Stevens et al., 1988)SecreteGenitourinary tract—uterus—tone (Kristew et al., 1988)SecreteGenitourinary tract—tone (Kristew et al., 1982)RelaxRelaxRelaxGenitourinary tract—tone (Kristew et al., 1988)SecreteGenitourinary tract—tone (Kristew et al., 1982)RelaxRelaxRelaxRelaxRelaxRelaxRelaxChartor—tone (Kristew et al., 1982)RelaxRelaxRelaxRelaxRelaxChartor—tone (Kristew et al., 1988)SecreteGenitourinary tract—tone (Kristew et al., 198	Lung—surfactant production/mucus secretion (Warburton <i>et al.</i> , 1987)		Increase	
Large coronary arteries—tone (Purdy et al., 1988)DilateSkeletal muscle blood vessels—tone (Bowman and Anden, 1981)DilateSaphenous vein—tone (Ikezono et al., 1987)DilateCerebral arteries—tone (Edvinsson and Owman, 1974)DilateSympathetic neurotransmission—activity (Majewski, 1983)FacilitateParasympathetic neurotransmission—activity (Vermeire and Vanhoutte, 1979)InhibitCNS—blood pressure, heart rate (Day and Roach, 1974)IncreaseCNS—inhibitory synaptic transmission (Waterhouse et al., 1982)FacilitateCNS—clonidine withdrawal (Jonkman et al., 1984, 1998)AttenuateCNS—appetite (Tsujii and Bray, 1992)InhibitCNS—memory (Gibbs and Summers, 2002)FacilitateGI tract—gastric, fundus—tone (Roberts et al., 1995; De Ponti et al., 1996)RelaxGI tract—gastric acid (Stevens et al., 1985; Canfield and Paraskeva, 1992b)SuppressGI tract—gastric acid (Chariot et al., 1988)SecreteGenitourinary tract—uterus—tone (Krstew et al., 1982)RelaxGI tract—gastric acid (Stevens et al., 1986; Canfield and Paraskeva, 1992b)SecreteGI tract—gastric acid (Stevens et al., 1988)SecreteGenitourinary tract—uterus—tone (Krstew et al., 1982)RelaxGenitourinary tract—tureus—tone (Kenakin, 1982)RelaxGenitourinary tract—bladder detrusco—tone (Hartley and Pennefather, 1985)RelaxGenitourinary tract—bladder detrusco—tone (Hartley and Pennefather, 1985)RelaxGenitourinary tract—bladder detrusco—tone (Hartley and Pennefather, 1985)Relax	Small coronary arteries—tone (Vatner <i>et al.</i> , 1986)		Dilate	
Skeletal muscle blood vessels—tone (Bowman and Anden, 1981)   Dilate     Saphenous vein—tone (Ikezono et al., 1987)   Dilate     Cerebral arteries—tone (Edvinsson and Owman, 1974)   Dilate     Sympathetic neurotransmission—activity (Majewski, 1983)   Facilitate     Parasympathetic neurotransmission—activity (Vermeire and Vanhoutte, 1979)   Inhibit     CNS—blood pressure, heart rate (Day and Roach, 1974)   Increase     CNS—clonidine withdrawal (Jonkman et al., 1984, 1998)   Facilitate     CNS—clonidine withdrawal (Jonkman et al., 1984, 1998)   Facilitate     CNS—clonidine withdrawal (Jonkman et al., 1984, 1998)   Inhibit     CNS—appetite (Tsujii and Bray, 1992)   Inhibit     CNS—memory (Gibbs and Summers, 2002)   Facilitate     GI tract—gastric, fundus—tone (Roberts et al., 1995; De Ponti et al., 1996)   Relax     GI tract—caecum bicarbonate (Canfield and Paraskeva, 1992b)   Suppress     GI tract—caecum bicarbonate (Canfield and Abdul-Ghaffar, 1992a)   Secrete     Genitourinary tract—use deferens—tone (Kenakin, 1982)   Relax     Genitourinary tract—ocasto-uterine muscle—tone (Hartley and Pennefather, 1985)   Relax     Genitourinary tract—bladder detrusor—tone (Chita et al., 1997)   Relax	Large coronary arteries—tone (Purdy <i>et al.</i> , 1988)	Dilate		
Saphenous vein—tone (Ikezono et al., 1987)DilateCerebral arteries—tone (Edvinsson and Owman, 1974)DilateDilateSympathetic neurotransmission—activity (Majewski, 1983)FacilitateParasympathetic neurotransmission—activity (Vermeire and Vanhoutte, 1979)InhibitCNS—blood pressure, heart rate (Day and Roach, 1974)IncreaseCNS—clonidine withdrawal (Jonkman et al., 1984, 1998)FacilitateCNS—clonidine withdrawal (Jonkman et al., 1984, 1998)AttenuateCNS—appetite (Tsujii and Bray, 1992)InhibitCNS—memory (Gibbs and Summers, 2002)FacilitateCI tract—gastric, fundus—tone (Roberts et al., 1995; De Ponti et al., 1996)RelaxCI tract—caecum bicarbonate (Canfield and Abdul-Ghaffar, 1992a)SuppressCI tract—caecum bicarbonate (Canfield and Abdul-Ghaffar, 1992a)SuppressCI tract—turus—tone (Krstew et al., 1988)SecreteGenitourinary tract—uterus—tone (Kenakin, 1982)RelaxGenitourinary tract—toras deferens—tone (Kenakin, 1982)RelaxCenitourinary tract—to-badder detrusce—tone (Kenakin, 1982)	Skeletal muscle blood vessels—tone (Bowman and Anden, 1981)		Dilate	
Cerebral arteries—tone (Edvinsson and Owman, 1974)DilateDilateSympathetic neurotransmission—activity (Majewski, 1983)FacilitateParasympathetic neurotransmission—activity (Vermeire and Vanhoutte, 1979)InhibitCNS—blood pressure, heart rate (Day and Roach, 1974)IncreaseCNS—inhibitory synaptic transmission (Waterhouse <i>et al.</i> , 1982)FacilitateCNS—clonidine withdrawal (Jonkman <i>et al.</i> , 1984, 1998)AttenuateCNS—appetite (Tsujii and Bray, 1992)InhibitCNS—memory (Gibbs and Summers, 2002)InhibitCI tract—gastric, fundus—tone (McLaughlin and MacDonald, 1991)RelaxCI tract—gastric acid (Stevens <i>et al.</i> , 1985; De Ponti <i>et al.</i> , 1996)SuppressGI tract—gastric acid (Stevens <i>et al.</i> , 1988)SecreteGI tract—caecum bicarbonate (Canfield and Abdul-Ghaffar, 1992a)SuppressGI tract—uterus—tone (Krstew <i>et al.</i> , 1982)RelaxRelaxRelaxGenitourinary tract—uterus—tone (Kenakin, 1982)RelaxGenitourinary tract—costo-uterine muscle—tone (Matley and Pennefather, 1985)RelaxCenitourinary tract—costo-uterine muscle—tone (Oshita <i>et al.</i> , 1997)RelaxRelaxRelaxCenitourinary tract—to-bladder detrucer_torusRelaxCenitourinary tract—to-bladder detrucer_torusRelax<	Saphenous vein—tone (Ikezono <i>et al.</i> , 1987)		Dilate	
Sympathetic neurotransmission—activity (Majewski, 1983)   Facilitate     Parasympathetic neurotransmission—activity (Vermeire and Vanhoutte, 1979)   Inhibit     CNS—blood pressure, heart rate (Day and Roach, 1974)   Increase     CNS—blood pressure, heart rate (Day and Roach, 1974)   Increase     CNS—clonidine withdrawal (Jonkman <i>et al.</i> , 1984, 1998)   Facilitate     CNS—clonidine withdrawal (Jonkman <i>et al.</i> , 1984, 1998)   Attenuate     CNS—appetite (Tsujii and Bray, 1992)   Inhibit     CNS—memory (Gibbs and Summers, 2002)   Facilitate     CI tract—gastric, fundus—tone (McLaughlin and MacDonald, 1991)   Relax     CI tract—gastric acid (Stevens <i>et al.</i> , 1985; Canfield and Paraskeva, 1992b)   Suppress     GI tract—gastric acid (Stevens <i>et al.</i> , 1988)   Secrete     Genitourinary tract—uterus—tone (Krstew <i>et al.</i> , 1982)   Relax     Genitourinary tract—vas deferens—tone (Kenakin, 1982)   Relax     Genitourinary tract—costo-uterine muscle—tone (Hartley and Pennefather, 1985)   Relax     Genitourinary tract—costo-uterine muscle—tone (Oxbita <i>et al.</i> , 1997)   Relax	Cerebral arteries—tone (Edvinsson and Owman, 1974)	Dilate	Dilate	
Parasympathetic neurotransmission—activity (Vermeire and Vanhoutte, 1979)   Inhibit     CNS—blood pressure, heart rate (Day and Roach, 1974)   Increase     CNS—blood pressure, heart rate (Day and Roach, 1974)   Increase     CNS—inhibitory synaptic transmission (Waterhouse <i>et al.</i> , 1982)   Facilitate     CNS—clonidine withdrawal (Jonkman <i>et al.</i> , 1984, 1998)   Attenuate     CNS—appetite (Tsujii and Bray, 1992)   Inhibit     CNS—memory (Gibbs and Summers, 2002)   Facilitate     GI tract—gastric, fundus—tone (McLaughlin and MacDonald, 1991)   Relax     GI tract—gastric acid (Stevens <i>et al.</i> , 1995; De Ponti <i>et al.</i> , 1996)   Relax     GI tract—gastric acid (Stevens <i>et al.</i> , 1986; Canfield and Paraskeva, 1992b)   Suppress     GI tract—pancreas exocrine (Chariot <i>et al.</i> , 1988)   Secrete     Genitourinary tract—uterus—tone (Krstew <i>et al.</i> , 1982)   Relax     Genitourinary tract—vas deferens—tone (Kenakin, 1982)   Relax     Genitourinary tract—costo-uterine muscle—tone (Hartley and Pennefather, 1985)   Relax     Genitourinary tract—tops (Osbita <i>et al.</i> , 1997)   Relax     Calibac detures—tops (Osbita <i>et al.</i> , 1997)   Relax	Sympathetic neurotransmission—activity (Majewski, 1983)		Facilitate	
CNS—blood pressure, heart rate (Day and Roach, 1974)   Increase     CNS—inhibitory synaptic transmission (Waterhouse <i>et al.</i> , 1982)   Facilitate     CNS—clonidine withdrawal (Jonkman <i>et al.</i> , 1984, 1998)   Attenuate     CNS—appetite (Tsujii and Bray, 1992)   Inhibit     CNS—memory (Gibbs and Summers, 2002)   Facilitate     GI tract—gastric, fundus—tone (McLaughlin and MacDonald, 1991)   Relax     GI tract—gastric acid (Stevens <i>et al.</i> , 1995; De Ponti <i>et al.</i> , 1996)   Relax     GI tract—gastric acid (Stevens <i>et al.</i> , 1986; Canfield and Paraskeva, 1992b)   Suppress     GI tract—caecum bicarbonate (Canfield and Abdul-Ghaffar, 1992a)   Secrete     Genitourinary tract—uterus—tone (Krstew <i>et al.</i> , 1982)   Relax     Genitourinary tract—vas deferens—tone (Kenakin, 1982)   Relax     Genitourinary tract—costo-uterine muscle—tone (Matley and Pennefather, 1985)   Relax     Genitourinary tract—topalder detrusor—tone (Oshita <i>et al.</i> 1997)   Relax	Parasympathetic neurotransmission—activity (Vermeire and Vanhoutte, 1979)	Inhibit		
CNS—inhibitory synaptic transmission (Waterhouse et al., 1982)   Facilitate     CNS—clonidine withdrawal (Jonkman et al., 1984, 1998)   Attenuate     CNS—clonidine withdrawal (Jonkman et al., 1984, 1998)   Inhibit     CNS—appetite (Tsujii and Bray, 1992)   Inhibit     CNS—memory (Gibbs and Summers, 2002)   Facilitate     GI tract—gastric, fundus—tone (McLaughlin and MacDonald, 1991)   Relax     GI tract—gastric acid (Stevens et al., 1995; De Ponti et al., 1996)   Relax     GI tract—caecum bicarbonate (Canfield and Abdul-Chaffar, 1992a)   Suppress     GI tract—caecum bicarbonate (Chariot et al., 1988)   Secrete     Genitourinary tract—uterus—tone (Krstew et al., 1982)   Relax     Genitourinary tract—vas deferens—tone (Kenakin, 1982)   Relax     Genitourinary tract—costo-uterine muscle—tone (Itartley and Pennefather, 1985)   Relax     Genitourinary tract—topalder detrusor—tone (Oshita et al. 1997)   Relax	CNS—blood pressure, heart rate (Day and Roach, 1974)		Increase	
CNS—clonidine withdrawal (Jonkman et al., 1984, 1998)   Attenuate     CNS—appetite (Tsujii and Bray, 1992)   Inhibit     CNS—memory (Gibbs and Summers, 2002)   Facilitate     GI tract—gastric, fundus—tone (McLaughlin and MacDonald, 1991)   Relax     GI tract—ileum, colon—tone (Roberts et al., 1995; De Ponti et al., 1996)   Relax     GI tract—caecum bicarbonate (Canfield and Abdul-Chaffar, 1992a)   Suppress     GI tract—caecum bicarbonate (Chariot et al., 1988)   Secrete     Genitourinary tract—uterus—tone (Krstew et al., 1982)   Relax     Genitourinary tract—costo-uterine muscle—tone (Kenakin, 1982)   Relax     Genitourinary tract—costo-uterine muscle—tone (Oshita et al., 1997)   Relax     Relax   Relax     Genitourinary tract—tosto-eture muscle—tone (Charite et al., 1997)   Relax	CNS—inhibitory synaptic transmission (Waterhouse <i>et al.</i> , 1982)	Facilitate		
CNS—appetite (Tsujii and Bray, 1992)InhibitCNS—memory (Gibbs and Summers, 2002)FacilitateGI tract—gastric, fundus—tone (McLaughlin and MacDonald, 1991)RelaxGI tract—ileum, colon—tone (Roberts et al., 1995; De Ponti et al., 1996)RelaxGI tract—gastric acid (Stevens et al., 1986; Canfield and Paraskeva, 1992b)SuppressGI tract—caecum bicarbonate (Canfield and Abdul-Ghaffar, 1992a)SuppressGI tract—pancreas exocrine (Chariot et al., 1988)SecreteGenitourinary tract—uterus—tone (Krstew et al., 1982)RelaxGenitourinary tract—costo-uterine muscle—tone (Hartley and Pennefather, 1985)RelaxGenitourinary tract—costo-uterine muscle—tone (Oshita et al., 1997)RelaxPelaxPelaxPelaxRelax	CNS—clonidine withdrawal (Jonkman et al., 1984, 1998)		Attenuate	
CNS—memory (Gibbs and Summers, 2002)FacilitateFacilitateGI tract—gastric, fundus—tone (McLaughlin and MacDonald, 1991)RelaxGI tract—ileum, colon—tone (Roberts et al., 1995; De Ponti et al., 1996)RelaxGI tract—gastric acid (Stevens et al., 1986; Canfield and Paraskeva, 1992b)SuppressGI tract—caecum bicarbonate (Canfield and Abdul-Ghaffar, 1992a)SuppressGI tract—pancreas exocrine (Chariot et al., 1988)SecreteGenitourinary tract—uterus—tone (Krstew et al., 1982)RelaxGenitourinary tract—costo-uterine muscle—tone (Hartley and Pennefather, 1985)RelaxGenitourinary tract—costo-uterine muscle—tone (Osbita et al., 1997)RelaxPolaxPolax	CNS—appetite (Tsujii and Bray, 1992)			Inhibit
GI tract—gastric, fundus—tone (McLaughlin and MacDonald, 1991)   Relax     GI tract—ileum, colon—tone (Roberts et al., 1995; De Ponti et al., 1996)   Relax     GI tract—gastric acid (Stevens et al., 1986; Canfield and Paraskeva, 1992b)   Suppress     GI tract—caecum bicarbonate (Canfield and Abdul-Ghaffar, 1992a)   Secrete     GI tract—pancreas exocrine (Chariot et al., 1988)   Secrete     Genitourinary tract—uterus—tone (Krstew et al., 1982)   Relax     Genitourinary tract—costo-uterine muscle—tone (Hartley and Pennefather, 1985)   Relax     Genitourinary tract—topal detrusor—tone (Osbita et al., 1997)   Pelax	CNS—memory (Gibbs and Summers, 2002)		Facilitate	Facilitate
GI tract—ileum, colon—tone (Roberts et al., 1995; De Ponti et al., 1996)   Relax     GI tract—gastric acid (Stevens et al., 1986; Canfield and Paraskeva, 1992b)   Suppress     GI tract—caecum bicarbonate (Canfield and Abdul-Ghaffar, 1992a)   Secrete     GI tract—pancreas exocrine (Chariot et al., 1988)   Secrete     Genitourinary tract—uterus—tone (Krstew et al., 1982)   Relax     Genitourinary tract—costo-uterine muscle—tone (Hartley and Pennefather, 1985)   Relax     Genitourinary tract—bladder detrucer_tore (Oshita et al., 1997)   Polax	GI tract—gastric, fundus—tone (McLaughlin and MacDonald, 1991)			Relax
GI tract—gastric acid (Stevens et al., 1986; Canfield and Paraskeva, 1992b)   Suppress   Secret     GI tract—caecum bicarbonate (Canfield and Abdul-Ghaffar, 1992a)   Secret   Secret     GI tract—caecum bicarbonate (Canfield and Abdul-Ghaffar, 1992a)   Secret   Secret     GI tract—pancreas exocrine (Chariot et al., 1988)   Secrete   Secrete     Genitourinary tract—uterus—tone (Krstew et al., 1982)   Relax   Relax     Genitourinary tract—costo-uterine muscle—tone (Hartley and Pennefather, 1985)   Relax   Secrete     Genitourinary tract—costo-uterine muscle—tone (Oshita et al., 1997)   Pelax   Pelax	Gl tract—ileum, colon—tone (Roberts et al., 1995; De Ponti et al., 1996)			Relax
GI tract—caecum bicarbonate (Canfield and Abdul-Ghaffar, 1992a)   Secret     GI tract—pancreas exocrine (Chariot et al., 1988)   Secrete     Genitourinary tract—uterus—tone (Krstew et al., 1982)   Relax     Genitourinary tract—vas deferens—tone (Kenakin, 1982)   Relax     Genitourinary tract—costo-uterine muscle—tone (Martley and Pennefather, 1985)   Relax     Genitourinary tract—bladder detruscr_tone (Oshita et al., 1997)   Polax	Gl tract—qastric acid (Stevens et al., 1986; Canfield and Paraskeva, 1992b)		Suppress	Secrete
GI tract—pancreas exocrine (Chariot et al., 1988) Secrete   Genitourinary tract—uterus—tone (Krstew et al., 1982) Relax   Genitourinary tract—vas deferens—tone (Kenakin, 1982) Relax   Genitourinary tract—costo-uterine muscle—tone (Hartley and Pennefather, 1985) Relax   Genitourinary tract—bladder detrusor—tone (Oshita et al., 1997) Pelax	GI tract—caecum bicarbonate (Canfield and Abdul-Ghaffar, 1992a)			Secrete
Genitourinary tract—uterus—tone (Krstew et al., 1982) Relax Relax   Genitourinary tract—vas deferens—tone (Kenakin, 1982) Relax   Genitourinary tract—costo-uterine muscle—tone (Hartley and Pennefather, 1985) Relax   Genitourinary tract—bladder detrusor—tone (Oshita et al., 1997) Pelax	GI tract—pancreas exocrine (Chariot <i>et al.</i> , 1988)		Secrete	
Genitourinary tract—vas deferens—tone (Kenakin, 1982) Relax   Genitourinary tract—costo-uterine muscle—tone (Hartley and Pennefather, 1985) Relax   Genitourinary tract—bladder detrusor—tone (Osbita et al. 1997) Polax	Genitourinary tract—uterus—tone (Krstew et al., 1982)		Relax	Relax
Genitourinary tract—costo-uterine muscle—tone (Hartley and Pennefather, 1985) Genitourinary tract—bladder detrusor—tone (Osbita <i>et al.</i> 1997) Pelay Pelay	Genitourinary tract—vas deferens—tone (Kenakin, 1982)		Relax	
Cenitourinany tract—bladder detrusor_tone (Oshita et al. 1997).	Genitourinary tract—costo-uterine muscle—tone (Hartley and Pennefather, 1985)		Relax	
	Genitourinary tract—bladder detrusor—tone (Oshita et al., 1997)		Relax	Relax
Genitourinary tract—corpus cavernosum—tone (Hedlund and Andersson, 1985) Relax Relax	Genitourinary tract—corpus cavernosum—tone (Hedlund and Andersson, 1985)	Relax	Relax	
Kidney—renin release (Buhler <i>et al.</i> , 1975)	Kidney—renin release (Buhler <i>et al.</i> , 1975)	Increase		
Eye—aqueous humor formation (Nathanson, 1980) Increase	Eye—aqueous humor formation (Nathanson, 1980)		Increase	
Eve—agueous humor outflow (Potter, 1981) Decrease	Eve—aqueous humor outflow (Potter, 1981)	Decrease		
Eye—ciliary muscle (Rang et al., 2003) Relax	Eve—ciliary muscle (Rang <i>et al.</i> , 2003)		Relax	
Skeletal muscle tremor (Bowman and Anden, 1981) Increase	Skeletal muscle tremor (Bowman and Anden, 1981)		Increase	
Skeletal muscle—growth, glycogenolysis, speed of contraction (Bowman and Anden, 1981; Increase	Skeletal muscle—growth, glycogenolysis, speed of contraction (Bowman and Anden, 1981;		Increase	
Rothwell and Stock. 1987)	Rothwell and Stock. 1987)			
White adipose tissue—lipolysis (Lafontan <i>et al.</i> , 1983) Increase Increase Increase	White adipose tissue—lipolysis (Lafontan et al., 1983)	Increase	Increase	Increase
Brown adipose tissue—thermogenesis (Nedergaard <i>et al.</i> , 2007) Increa:	Brown adipose tissue—thermogenesis (Nedergaard et al., 2007)			Increase
Pancreas—glucagon, insulin secretion (Mariani <i>et al.</i> , 1971; Schuit and Pipeleers, 1985) Increase	Pancreas—glucagon, insulin secretion (Mariani et al., 1971; Schuit and Pipeleers, 1985)		Increase	
Liver—glycogenolysis (Kennedy and Ellis, 1969) Increase	Liver—qlycogenolysis (Kennedy and Ellis, 1969)		Increase	

Abbreviations: β-AR, β-adrenoceptor; CNS, central nervous system; GI, gastrointestinal.

heart mediate positive chronotropic and inotropic responses; those in the kidney control renin release from the juxtaglomerular apparatus, whereas those in adipose tissue control lipolysis (Brodde, 2008). In the brain,  $\beta_1$ -ARs control the secretion of melatonin from the pineal gland and also appear to have a role in mood alterations (Leonard, 1997). In blood vessels,  $\beta_2$ -ARs have classically been considered to be dominant but in a number of arteries, including the coronary, mesenteric and saphenous,  $\beta_1$ -ARs also mediate vasodilatation (Molenaar *et al.*, 1988a, b; Briones *et al.*, 2005; Neidhold *et al.*, 2007). Studies in  $\beta_1$ -AR knockout mice also suggest a more important role for  $\beta_1$ -ARs in vascular relaxation than was previously supposed (Chruscinski *et al.*, 2001).

Selective agonists and antagonists exist or have been developed for the  $\beta_1$ -AR. These include the physiological neurotransmitter noradrenaline, which has modest selectivity for the  $\beta_1$ -AR, and the synthetic agonists xamoterol, RO363 and denopamine (Alexander et al., 2007). It is clear that prolonged activation of  $\beta_1$ -ARs has deleterious effects on the heart, as the use of xamoterol as an inotropic agent is associated with increased mortality (Persson et al., 1996), and transgenic mice with relatively mild cardiac overexpression of  $\beta_1$ -ARs rapidly develop cardiac failure (Engelhardt *et al.*, 1999). Selective  $\beta_1$ -AR agonists are still used as inotropic agents for the acute treatment of cardiac failure but only for short periods under carefully controlled conditions. Chronic activation of cardiac  $\beta_1$ -ARs is associated with apoptosis of cardiomyocytes. This may be an important factor in the greatly increased risk of heart failure in people taking cocaine or amphetamines for sporting or other reasons and in the great success associated with the use of  $\beta$ -AR antagonists for the treatment of cardiac failure. Selective  $\beta_1$ -AR antagonists include CGP20712A, betaxolol and atenolol, and some of these drugs are important therapeutic agents (Alexander et al., 2007).

# β<sub>2</sub>-Adrenoceptors

 $\beta_2$ -Adrenoceptors have an even wider distribution than  $\beta_1$ -ARs and control a wide variety of functions in the body (Brodde, 2008). They also mediate positive inotropic and chronotropic effects in the heart. The human heart, unlike many other animal species, has a significant (up to 40% of total  $\beta$ -ARs) population of  $\beta_2$ -ARs (Buxton *et al.*, 1987) and these are well coupled to cAMP production. In the lung, the activation of B2-ARs causes not only the well-recognized bronchodilator effect but also reduces the release of bronchoconstrictor mediators and increases the release of surfactants and mucus (Rang *et al.*, 2003).  $\beta_2$ -ARs mediate a powerful vasodilator effect in small coronary blood vessels (Vatner et al., 1986) and skeletal muscle blood vessels (Guimaraes et al., 2001). Other effects seen in skeletal muscle include increased growth and speed of contraction, glycogenolysis and tremor (Bowman and Anden, 1981). Skeletal muscle tremor is a well-recognized side effect of adrenaline and  $\beta_2$ -AR agonists, and it probably results from increased muscle spindle discharge and effects on muscle contraction leading to less well-coordinated contraction of muscle fibres (Bowman and Anden, 1981). In the pancreas, there is an increase in both insulin and glucagon secretion, and glycogenolysis in the liver is increased (Rang *et al.*, 2003).

Owing to their important role as anti-asthmatic drugs, there has been a huge range of selective  $\beta_2$ -AR agonists developed. Although adrenaline has strong actions at  $\beta_2$ -ARs, its action at all other AR subtypes and short half-life limit its therapeutic usefulness that is confined largely to the treatment of anaphylactic shock. Salbutamol and terbutaline are selective  $\beta_2$ -AR agonists given by aerosol to produce bronchodilatation in asthma. They are highly effective and have a medium duration of action  $(t_{1/2} \sim 4 \text{ h})$ . Salmeterol and formoterol are more recently developed long-acting  $\beta_2$ -AR selective agonists. Clenbuterol appears to have similar pharmacology to the other long-acting  $\beta_2$ -AR agonists and is used as an anti-asthmatic drug in many countries, but it has been widely used illicitly in sport as an anabolic agent (The World Anti-Doping Code-The 2008 Prohibited List International Standard). Unlike  $\beta_1$ -ARs, chronic activation of  $\beta_2$ -ARs does not appear to have major deleterious effects apart from possible downregulation and desensitization. The experience in humans is supported by studies in transgenic mice where animals expressing extraordinarily high levels of  $\beta_2$ -ARs do not develop heart failure, even though they have high heart rates (Milano et al., 1994). Thus, even though  $\beta_1$ -ARs and  $\beta_2$ -ARs share cAMP accumulation as a common signalling mechanism, there must be major differences to explain the contrasting effects on cardiac myocytes. All antagonists acting at  $\beta_2$ -ARs that are approved for human therapeutic use, such as propranolol, are non-selective and block both  $\beta_1$ -ARs and  $\beta_2$ -ARs. Selective compounds such as ICI118551 are available for experimental use but have never been developed for human use. β-AR antagonists are widely used therapeutically to treat heart failure, high blood pressure, cardiac arrhythmias, angina and glaucoma, but they have also been used illicitly in sport to reduce tremor, particularly in pistol shooting and motor racing (The World Anti-Doping Code—The 2008 Prohibited List International Standard).

## **β**<sub>3</sub>-Adrenoceptors

The third  $\beta$ -AR subtype has been relatively less studied and exploited therapeutically than  $\beta_1$ -ARs or  $\beta_2$ -ARs. Although pharmacological studies in the gut (Furchgott, 1972) and adipose tissues (Zaagsma and Nahorski, 1990) suggested the presence of an additional  $\beta$ -AR subtype, based on the inability of conventional  $\beta$ -AR antagonists to block responses to  $\beta$ -AR agonists, this was not widely accepted until the development of novel atypical β-AR agonists, such as BRL37344 (Arch et al., 1984; Wilson et al., 1984), and the cloning of the human  $\beta_3$ -AR (Emorine *et al.*, 1989). The  $\beta_3$ -AR is widely distributed in the gut, brain, genitourinary tract, uterus and white and brown adipose tissue (McLaughlin and MacDonald, 1991; Summers et al., 1995; Evans et al., 1996). The presence of the receptor in fat stimulated activity in the pharmaceutical industry to develop anti-obesity and anti-diabetic  $\beta_3$ -AR agonists that has so far been a success in rodents but not in humans (Arch et al., 1984; Arch, 2000). Selective  $\beta_3$ -AR agonists may also have utility for the treatment of irritable bowel syndrome and as tocolytic agents in threatened abortion where their resistance to desensitization would be an advantage (Rouget *et al.*, 2005; Bardou *et al.*, 2007).

There are a number of selective  $\beta_3$ -AR agonists available, although these demonstrate wide species variations in their efficacy. Many of the earlier compounds, such as BRL37344 and CL316243, are highly effective agonists in rodents but have low, if any, efficacy at the human  $\beta_3$ -AR (Arch, 2000), whereas compounds such as L755507 potently activate both human and rodent receptors (Fisher et al., 1998; Sato et al., 2007). Selective antagonists are harder to find, and although SR59230A has been described as such (Manara et al., 1996), many studies show that whereas it has reasonable potency at the  $\beta_3$ -AR, it blocks  $\beta_1$ -ARs and  $\beta_2$ -ARs at similar concentrations (Arch, 2000) and also blocks  $\alpha_1$ -ARs (Briones et al., 2005). Nevertheless, it has proved a useful tool experimentally in studies where  $\beta_3$ -ARs are the dominant receptor expressed (Sato *et al.*, 2007). Another selective  $\beta_3$ -AR antagonist, L748337, competitively blocks responses to agonists in Chinese hamster ovary cells expressing human  $\beta_3$ -ARs and also inhibits the lipolytic response to the  $\beta_3$ -AR agonist L742791 in isolated non-human primate adipocytes (Candelore et al., 1999). Currently, there are no accepted therapeutic or sporting uses for  $\beta_3$ -AR agonists or antagonists.

#### Functional domains of β-ARs

All three β-AR subtypes are classical biogenic amine-liganded G-protein-coupled receptors (Bylund et al., 1994). The binding pocket lies in the core of the receptor formed by the transmembrane domains with  $Asp^{113}$  (for the  $\beta_2$ -AR) forming a salt bridge with the amino group found in all  $\beta$ -AR ligands, and Ser<sup>204</sup> and Ser<sup>207</sup> in TM5 forming hydrogen bonds with the *meta-* and *para-*hydroxyls on the catechol ring of the catecholamines. The Phe<sup>290</sup> in TM6 is believed to stabilize the structure, and conformational changes in this region are believed to be important for receptor activation (Strosberg and Gerhardt, 2000). Although these features are common to all subtypes, there are clear differences that explain the subtype specificity of agonists and antagonists. The binding pocket of the  $\beta_3$ -AR has been suggested to be less encumbered than either the  $\beta_1$ -AR or  $\beta_2$ -AR allowing  $\beta_3$ -AR agonists (many of which are antagonists at  $\beta_1$ -ARs and  $\beta_2$ -ARs) to interact with additional side chains in TM7, TM1 and TM2. A major difference between the three receptor subtypes lies in the C-terminal tail in that the  $\beta_1$ -AR and particularly the  $\beta_2$ -AR contain phosphorylation sites for PKA and GRK that are important for receptor desensitization. In contrast, the  $\beta_3$ -AR C terminus contains no PKA and few GRK sites, and it is resistant to desensitization (Strosberg and Gerhardt, 2000).

#### Signal transduction pathways

Classically,  $\beta$ -ARs couple through Gs to activate adenylate cyclase and increase intracellular levels of cAMP

(Rang et al., 2003; Alexander et al., 2007). However, in recent years, the field of ARs has developed many new layers of complexity, and the receptors and their associated G-proteins have been shown to influence an almost bewildering array of signalling mechanisms (Lefkowitz et al., 2002). The third intracellular loop of ARs is recognized as important for G-protein coupling, but two additional factors that are emerging as important determinants of the signalling pathways utilized are protein-binding motifs in the C-terminal region of ARs and the agonist used to activate the receptor. Comparison of  $\beta_1$ -ARs and  $\beta_2$ -ARs shows that the  $\beta_1$ -AR couples to Gs and does not internalize well, whereas the  $\beta_2$ -AR shows robust internalization and can couple to both Gs and Gi. The  $\beta_1\text{-}AR$  has a PDZ domain (ESKV) at the C terminus that determines binding to scaffolding proteins in the cell membrane (Xiang et al., 2002). A mutant  $\beta_1$ -AR with the PDZ motif mutated (EAAA) internalizes and couples to Gi like the  $\beta_2$ -AR. The  $\beta_2$ -AR contains another PDZ motif (DSPL) at the C terminus that controls receptor recycling and coupling to Gi (Xiang and Kobilka, 2003). Another factor that is an important influence on coupling to signalling pathways is the phosphorylation state of the receptor. For example, phosphorylation of  $\beta_2$ -AR switches coupling from Gs to Gi (Lefkowitz et al., 2002).

Although activation of the human  $\beta_3$ -AR increases cAMP accumulation, the receptor also couples to Gi, modulates adenylyl cyclase activation and causes stimulation of the Erk1/2 mitogen-activated PK pathway (Gerhardt *et al.*, 1999; Soeder *et al.*, 1999). Unlike the  $\beta_1$ -ARs and  $\beta_2$ -ARs, the coupling to Gi does not involve receptor phosphorylation or internalization, as the  $\beta_3$ -AR does not display putative phosphorylation sites in the C terminus and the receptor does not internalize.

The concept of ligand-directed signalling is a topic of immense interest and it has been explained in terms of the ability of ligands to form distinct conformational complexes with the receptor (Kenakin, 2003; Urban et al., 2007), resulting in qualitatively different responses (Swaminath et al., 2005). Several recent studies describe the activation of Erk1/2-phosphorylation by drugs classified as  $\beta$ -AR antagonists in cells expressing  $\beta_1$ -AR or  $\beta_2$ -AR (Azzi et al., 2003; Baker et al., 2003). It has been demonstrated that a wide range of β-AR ligands have complex efficacy profiles for cAMP generation and Erk1/2 activation at both  $\beta_1$ -ARs and  $\beta_2$ -ARs (Galandrin and Bouvier, 2006). In addition, recent studies in  $\beta_3$ -ARs showed that SR59230A acts as a classical competitive antagonist for cAMP accumulation, but it is a powerful agonist for Erk1/2 and p38 mitogen-activated PK activation (Sato et al., 2007). These studies suggest that many compounds previously thought to interact with receptors to block the actions of agonists (as antagonists or inverse agonists) may, in fact, have the ability to selectively activate discrete pathways by inducing or interacting with particular conformations of the receptor. The concept that many compounds previously regarded as 'blockers' may express their own spectrum of pharmacological properties has potentially far-reaching consequences for the use of drugs both therapeutically and illicitly.

## Drugs used in sport acting directly on β-ARs

#### $\beta_2$ -AR agonists: ergogenic effects

 $\beta_2$ -Adrenoceptor agonists are used therapeutically for the treatment of asthma and exercise-induced asthma owing to their potent bronchodilator activity. However, the use of  $\beta_2$ -AR agonists by athletes is prohibited under the World Anti-Doping Agency (WADA) World Anti-Doping Code, with the exception of formoterol, salbutamol, salmeterol and terbuta-line, when administered by inhalation if the athlete has been granted a therapeutic use exemption (The World Anti-Doping Code—The 2008 Prohibited List International Standard). Actions and potential actions of  $\beta$ -agonists that could lead to the enhancement of performance in sport include bronchodilation, anabolic and anti-inflammatory actions.

#### $\beta_2$ -AR agonists: bronchodilator actions

Numerous studies have investigated the effect of inhaled  $\beta_2$ -AR agonists in non-asthmatic athletes. However, although all demonstrated a bronchodilator action (Morton and Fitch, 1992; Norris et al., 1996; Larsson et al., 1997; Goubault et al., 2001), there were varying effects on physical performance. After inhalation of therapeutic doses of salbutamol, a limited number of studies have reported an increase in exercise performance (Bedi et al., 1988; Signorile et al., 1992; van Baak et al., 2004), whereas the majority of studies failed to demonstrate an ergogenic effect (Morton and Fitch, 1992; Norris et al., 1996; Goubault et al., 2001). Furthermore, although a small increase in endurance cycling performance was reported after inhalation of a supratherapeutic dose (800 µg) of salbutamol (van Baak et al., 2004), a similar dose had no effect on endurance performance in non-asthmatic triathletes (Goubault et al., 2001). The few studies that investigated the effects of other inhaled  $\beta_2$ -AR agonists have also failed to demonstrate an effect on performance despite an improvement in lung function (Larsson et al., 1997; Carlsen et al., 2001; Riiser et al., 2006). Overall, the acute use of the current inhaled  $\beta_2$ -AR agonist anti-asthma medications by non-asthmatic or asthmatic athletes seems unlikely to provide any improvement in performance in addition to that provided by the control of their condition. Of more concern is the adequacy of the tests required to obtain a therapeutic use exemption, as they may be inappropriate for diagnosing exercise-induced asthma under the conditions experienced in competition (Naranjo Orellana et al., 2006).

One situation in which improved lung function afforded by inhaled  $\beta_2$ -AR agonists has an ecdotally been of benefit is the administration of these drugs to swimmers immediately prior to a race, when the increase in oxygen intake could increase the time before surfacing after a dive, thus saving fractions of seconds off race times (Verroken, 2005). However, this has been countered by the governing body that requires athletes to move away from the competition area during treatment and allow time for recovery before competing.

#### β<sub>2</sub>-AR agonists: anti-inflammatory actions

There has been a great deal of interest in possible antiinflammatory actions of  $\beta_2$ -AR agonists driven mainly by their widespread use for the treatment of asthma, now overwhelmingly regarded as an inflammatory disease of the airways. Asthma is associated with the release of a wide variety of inflammatory mediators, including TNFa, eotaxin and a wide variety of interleukins and other cytokines (for details, see Barnes and Drazen, 2002; Broadley, 2006). Earlier in vitro studies suggested that  $\beta_2$ -AR agonists, including salbutamol, salmeterol and formoterol, were capable of inhibiting inflammatory mediator release from mast cells (Broadley, 2006). Studies in vivo, however, are less convincing and many of the effects could be explained by the bronchodilator effects of  $\beta_2$ -AR agonists. Although salmeterol, in particular, affects cell adherence and chemotaxis, the release of inflammatory mediators does not appear to be influenced. The current consensus is that  $\beta_2$ -AR agonists do not have direct anti-inflammatory properties. However, there is convincing evidence from both basic and clinical studies that the use of  $\beta_2$ -AR agonists in combination with inhaled steroids provides a control of asthma symptoms that is far better than with the use of either agent alone, suggesting synergism (Nelson, 2005). In a recent study, in a human bronchial epithelial cell line, B2-AR agonists caused an enhancement of the level of glucocorticoid response element-dependent transcription by corticosteroids to levels 2-3 times greater than that achieved by corticosteroids alone (Kaur *et al.*, 2008). This was a class action of  $\beta_2$ -AR agonists with similar effects being produced by salbutamol, salmeterol or formoterol in combination with dexamethasone, budesonide or fluticasone. In the clinical treatment of asthma, the most commonly used glucocorticoids are budesonide, fluticasone, mometasone, triamcinolone and flunisolide, and they have been selected for their high levels of first pass metabolism to minimize systemic effects. However, systemic effects such as growth suppression, reduction of bone density, changes in metabolism and suppression of the pituitary-adrenal axis are not uncommon, particularly in children (Topliss et al., 2003; Nieto et al., 2007). These are normally minimized by adjustment of the dose of corticosteroids. However, as combinations of long-acting  $\beta_2$ -AR agonists and inhaled corticosteroids are now being widely used for the treatment of asthma, a variety of patients including athletes may experience systemic effects, particularly if doses higher than the normal therapeutic dose are administered.

#### $\beta_2$ -AR agonists: anabolic effects

Although there is little evidence that the inhalation of therapeutic doses of  $\beta_2$ -AR agonists enhances performance, the anabolic effects on muscle could potentially be observed if very high doses were inhaled, or if another route of administration was used. Anabolic effects have been studied particularly for clenbuterol, a long-acting  $\beta_2$ -AR agonist that is licensed for the treatment of asthma in a limited number of countries (but not including the United Kingdom, the United States or Australia). It is of interest that clenbuterol was originally developed as a non-steroidal anabolic agent to improve the conversion rate (or efficiency of converting food into body mass) in animals.

The anabolic effects of oral  $\beta_2$ -AR agonists have been well documented in animals (Zeman et al., 1988; Moore et al., 1994; Ryall et al., 2006) and are associated with an increase in skeletal muscle protein, largely due to the inhibition of protein degradation (Reeds et al., 1986; Yang and McElligott, 1989; Maltin et al., 1993). In addition to the increase in muscle mass,  $\beta_2$ -AR agonists also decrease body fat (Yang and McElligott, 1989), hence their classification as 'repartitioning agents'. The increase in muscle mass is associated with an increase in muscle force production (Zeman *et al.*, 1988; Dodd *et al.*, 1996). The  $\beta_2$ -AR agonistinduced skeletal muscle hypertrophy is evident in fast- (Type II) and slow- (Type I) twitch muscles (Zeman et al., 1988; Dodd et al., 1996), although in some muscles a change in the proportion of fast- to slow-twitch fibres may occur (Zeman et al., 1988; Baker et al., 2006; Burniston et al., 2007). However, whether this is accompanied by an increase in exercise performance is less clear. It has been reported that there was no effect of clenbuterol on exercise performance in rats (Torgan et al., 1995; Murphy et al., 1996). In contrast, it has been reported that there is a decrease in exercise performance (Ingalls et al., 1996) and a decrease in sprint and swimming performance after the administration of clenbuterol to mice (Duncan et al., 2000). In the latter study, three mice died of sudden cardiac failure and clenbuterol had a deleterious effect on cardiac muscle (Duncan et al., 2000). Other studies have shown an increase in fatigue associated with these anabolic effects (Burniston et al., 2007).

Another  $\beta$ -AR agonist on the WADA list of banned substances is zilpaterol. Like clenbuterol, this was introduced as a growth promoter in cattle and is used for this purpose in South Africa and Mexico. From the limited information available, it has a similar pharmacological profile to clenbuterol but is less potent and has little chemical resemblance to isoprenaline, salbutamol, formoterol and clenbuterol, which are all phenylethanolamines (Verhoeckx *et al.*, 2005). Although little scientific work has been performed on the anabolic properties of zilpaterol in humans, it is widely touted as an anabolic agent by bodybuilding forums.

The effects of  $\beta_2$ -AR agonists on muscle strength and mass, and physical performance have been shown to be dependent on the route of administration. In rats, intraperitoneal injections of salmeterol and formoterol cause muscle hypertrophy (Moore et al., 1994; Ryall et al., 2006), but much higher doses of oral salmeterol are required to produce a similar effect (Moore et al., 1994). It was suggested that the lower potency of orally administered salmeterol reflects its low oral bioavailability (Moore *et al.*, 1994), which is also a common feature with other agonists including salbutamol and may decrease the abuse potential of these agents (Moore et al., 1994). In humans, there is little evidence that an increase in physical performance occurs after inhalation of salbutamol (Meeuwisse et al., 1992; Morton and Fitch, 1992), salmeterol (Morton et al., 1996), formoterol (Carlsen et al., 2001) or terbutaline (Larsson et al., 1997). In contrast, however, oral administration of salbutamol has been shown to have a positive effect on performance (Moore et al., 1994; Caruso et al., 1995; van Baak et al., 2000). A single oral dose of salbutamol increased isokinetic muscle strength and endurance performance in healthy male volunteers (van Baak *et al.*, 2000), although these ergogenic effects were not evident in 4 (of 16) participants who experienced adverse side effects, including nausea, dizziness and nervousness. The anabolic activity of  $\beta_2$ -AR agonists is dependent on the receptor density of particular muscles (Beermann, 2002), and as chronic administration of  $\beta_2$ -AR agonists is associated with a receptor downregulation (Kim *et al.*, 1992; Johnson, 2006), this may also limit the effectiveness of these agents.

## Adverse actions of $\beta_2$ -AR agonists

The  $\beta_2$ -AR agonists were originally developed to specifically target the bronchial  $\beta_2$ -ARs and to have minimal effects on the heart. However, it has subsequently been established that the human heart has a significant population of  $\beta_2$ -ARs (Buxton *et al.*, 1987). Thus, tachycardia that is a common feature of the inhalation of  $\beta_2$ -AR agonists probably results from a combination of a direct effect on the heart and vasodilation of blood vessels, particularly those in skeletal muscle. This, together with the hypokalaemia resulting from the activation of Na<sup>+</sup>/K<sup>+</sup> ATPase in skeletal muscle, may provoke cardiac arrhythmias.

The metabolic effects of activation of  $\beta_2$ -ARs include increases in plasma glucose levels resulting from glycogenolysis predominantly in the liver (Broadley, 2006). This may be of advantage to athletes but not to diabetic patients. Recent studies have also established that  $\beta_2$ -ARs mediate glucose uptake into skeletal muscle (Nevzorova *et al.*, 2006) by an insulin-independent mechanism, although it is not clear whether this mechanism operates in humans.

Although bronchodilatation is the key action of  $\beta_2$ -AR agonists for the treatment of asthma and also potentially in sport, it has also been suggested that the dilated airway allows greater penetration of allergens into the airways and may therefore increase the inflammatory response (Broadley, 2006). In a sporting context, this may be particularly important in venues where there are high levels of atmospheric particulate matter.

## β-AR antagonists

As noted earlier,  $\beta$ -AR antagonists ( $\beta$ -blockers) are used therapeutically for the treatment of cardiovascular diseases, such as cardiac failure, angina and hypertension, where the beneficial effects result mainly from actions on the heart. In sport, the main ergogenic effect of  $\beta$ -AR antagonists relates to their ability to decrease heart rate and hand tremor (Bowman and Anden, 1981) that is likely to be of benefit in sports that require steadiness and accuracy (for example, archery, shooting). In addition, their actions to relieve the symptoms of anxiety, manifested as tachycardia and skeletal muscle tremor (Reilly, 2005), may enhance performance in some sports. These potential ergogenic effects of  $\beta$ -AR antagonists have led to their prohibition in competition in a number of sports, including archery, billiards, boules, gymnastics, shooting and modern pentathlon disciplines involving shooting (The World Anti-Doping Code—The 2008 Prohibited List International Standard). They are also prohibited out of competition for archery and shooting.

However,  $\beta$ -AR antagonists are unlikely to be of benefit in endurance sports, as they reduce physical performance and maximum exercise load (Kaiser et al., 1985). Antagonism of β-ARs is associated with decreased anaerobic capacity (Rusko et al., 1980) owing to the effects on metabolism such as decreased glycogenolysis in skeletal muscle and decreased lipolysis (Head, 1999). Furthermore, the effect of endurance training on cardiovascular parameters (decreased heart rate and increased stroke volume for work of a given intensity together with the restriction of muscle blood flow due to blockade of  $\beta_2$ -AR-mediated vasodilatation) most likely interferes with an athlete's ability to compensate for a β-blocker-induced decrease in heart rate (Head, 1999). The effects of non-selective β-AR antagonists on exercise performance are more pronounced than those of cardioselective antagonists (Lundborg et al., 1981; Laustiola et al., 1983).

## Drugs used in sport acting indirectly on β-ARs

An additional group of agents that have the potential to add to performance in sport practice and competition and are prohibited by the WADA World Anti-Doping Code (The World Anti-Doping Code-The 2008 Prohibited List International Standard) include indirectly acting sympathomimetic agents and noradrenaline reuptake blockers (see Smith and Perry, 1992 and Docherty, 2008). WADA classifies these agents as stimulants, and specific agents that are prohibited include amphetamine, cocaine, dimethylamphetamine, ephedrine, mephenteramine, methamphetamine, methylenedioxymethamphetamine, methylephedrine and methylphenidate (see also Docherty, 2008). Interestingly, pseudoephedrine was removed from the banned list in 2004 with the proviso that WADA would monitor the presence of pseudoephedrine in athletes. Problematically, however, the use of high concentrations of pseudoephedrine can lead to high concentrations of cathine (pseudonorephedrine), a metabolite of pseudoephedrine; cathine is active as an indirectly acting sympathomimetic (see Table 2) and is prohibited when its concentration in urine is greater than  $5 \text{ mg mL}^{-1}$ . Other agents that are monitored but not prohibited include phenylephrine, phenylpropanolamine and synephrine (The World Anti-Doping Code-The 2008 Prohibited List International Standard). Ephedrine appears to be tolerated by WADA at about therapeutic levels but prohibited when its concentration in urine is greater than  $5 \text{ mg mL}^{-1}$  (Tseng *et al.*, 2006).

The potential central psychostimulant benefits of these agents include the reduction of fatigue, increased concentration and alertness, whereas the potential peripheral physiological advantage of these agents includes the indirect activation of  $\beta$ -ARs and the stimulation of cardiovascular function and metabolic activity. The relative potencies of several of these compounds on synaptosomal noradrenaline uptake and release are listed in Table 2 (Rothman *et al.*, 2001, 2003; see also Docherty, 2008).

Compound	EC <sub>50</sub> (пм)
S( + )methamphetamine	12.3
S(+) amphetamine	7.1
S(–)methcathionine	13.1
S(–)cathinone	12.4
(–) ephedrine <sup>a</sup>	43.1
(+) ephedrine	218
(–) pseudoephedrine <sup>b</sup>	4092
(+) pseudoephedrine <sup>b</sup>	224
(–) pseudonorephedrine <sup>c</sup>	30.1
(+) pseudonorephedrine <sup>d</sup>	15
(–) norephedrine	42.1
(+) norephedrine	137

The phenylpropanolamines (ephedrine, pseudoephedrine and pseudonorephedrine) are less active on dopamine uptake and release, and largely inactive on 5-HT uptake and release, whereas the phenylisopropylamines (amphetamines) are much less selective (Rothman *et al.*, 2001, 2003).

 $^{\mathrm{a},\mathrm{b}}(-)$  ephedrine and (–) pseudoephedrine are the pharmacopoeial preparations.

<sup>c</sup>Also known as (–) cathine. <sup>d</sup>Also known as (+) cathine.

Interestingly, there is some propensity for some of these agents, notably ephedrine, to act directly at  $\beta$ -ARs (Vansal and Feller, 1999; Cheng *et al.*, 2001).

# Ergogenic effects of ephedrine, pseudoephedrine, amphetamine and related compounds

There is a perception that these compounds have direct ergogenic effects on sport performance and that they will improve aerobic performance and endurance, reduce muscle fatigue and increase strength. There have been a number of studies that have examined these agents in sport with variable outcomes, and the majority of studies fail to provide substantive evidence for such direct effects. A recent randomized controlled clinical trial showed that pseudoephedrine failed to affect ergometer cycling time trial performance, isometric contraction and time to fatigue in athletes (Gillies et al., 1996), with similar negative findings using a variety of measures for strength and fatigability being reported in athletes for pseudoephedrine and phenylpropanolamine (Chester et al., 2003); pseudoephedrine (Hodges et al., 2003) and ephedrine (Sidney and Lefcoe, 1977); and in healthy individuals for pseudoephedrine (Chu et al., 2002). In contrast, in a similarly controlled trial (Gill *et al.*, 2000), pseudoephedrine significantly improved specific performance variables in exercise, including maximum torque in knee extension and peak power during cycling performance. In another positive study, improvements in muscle strength were noted for amphetamine (Chandler and Blair, 1980). The mechanism(s) underlying these effects are, however, unclear; in *in vitro* studies, high concentrations ( $\sim 10^{-4}$  M) of ephedrine and pseudoephedrine have direct inhibitory effects at the motor endplate rather than any facilitatory effect (Sieb and Engel, 1993; Milone and Engel, 1996). In a further study (Hodges *et al.*, 2006), pseudoephedrine  $(2.5 \text{ mg kg}^{-1})$  significantly improved 1500 m time trial performance in athletes by a modest 2.1%. Interestingly, these authors suggested that this effect was likely to be related to the psychostimulant effects of pseudoephedrine rather than any peripheral ergogenic effect (see below).

Ephedrine has additionally been purported to have 'fat burning' activity; however, a meta-analysis examining the efficacy of ephedrine (in doses ranging from  $20-150 \text{ mg day}^{-1}$ ) on weight loss suggests that this effect is small, with a short-term loss of approximately 0.9 kg month<sup>-1</sup> more than placebo (Boozer *et al.*, 2002; Shekelle *et al.*, 2003).

Although the direct ergogenic benefit of these agents may be doubtful, they do have the potential for mediating indirect ergogenic effects by the release of endogenous amines (see Docherty, 2008) that affect both cardiovascular and respiratory functions (Drew et al., 1978); specifically, the question is: do these agents enhance performance in sport practice and competition by stimulating cardiovascular performance to provide sufficient O2 to working muscles? Some studies do indeed show significant increases produced by pseudoephedrine (a single dose of 180 mg) on FEV<sub>1</sub> (forced expiratory volume per s) and FVC (forced expiratory vital capacity) as well as significant increases in heart rate (Gill et al., 2000). However, other studies failed to show any effect of pseudoephedrine on respiratory or cardiovascular function (Bright et al., 1981; Bell et al., 1998). In a recent randomized controlled trial, non-professional cyclists were given placebo, 1 or  $2 \text{ mg kg}^{-1}$  pseudoephedrine, 0.33 or 0.66 mg kg<sup>-1</sup> phenylpropanolamine and exercise performance was tested on a cycle ergometer. In both cases, both pseudoephedrine and phenylpropanolamine not only failed to affect exercise performance but, more importantly, had little or no effect on peak systolic, diastolic or peak pulse pressures  $(1 \text{ mg kg}^{-1} \text{ but not } 2 \text{ mg kg}^{-1})$ increases systolic pressure by 10 mm Hg) or on maximum oxygen uptake (VO<sub>2max</sub>; Swain et al., 1997). In a study on nonathletes, a single dose of 40 mg of ephedrine did not significantly improve oxygen consumption, respiratory efficiency or ventilation, or significantly alter heart rate or systolic blood pressure on cycle ergometer testing (DeMeersman et al., 1987). This was supported by a subsequent study (Clemons and Crosby, 1993) where 60 mg pseudoephedrine also failed to alter these key parameters during exercise tests. These data point to the likelihood that these agents, at these doses, have an inconsistent effect at best, on improving cardiorespiratory function potentially mediated via β-ARs activated by endogenously released amines. An important corollary was that the doses used, although said to approximate to the standard therapeutic dose, were in fact quite variable.

The indirectly acting sympathomimetic amines have ergogenic potential mediated via their psychostimulant effects. The most potent psychomotor agents include amphetamine, related congeners and cocaine (see also Docherty, 2008). The effects of these agents on mood, alertness, concentration and perception of fatigue are mediated by the elevation of brain levels of dopamine and noradrenaline. There are few controlled studies with amphetamines and cocaine in athletes; anecdotally, the suggestion is that the perception of improvement may not be matched by actual performance (Eichner, 1993; Avois *et al.*, 2006). Discussions have largely centred on the possibility that any benefit may be short-term 'power' performance rather than 'endurance' performance (Bohn et al., 2003). Interestingly, in a study examining the effects of cocaine (12.5 and  $20 \text{ mg kg}^{-1}$ ) in rats, the contrary was suggested, with cocaine additionally accelerating glycogen degradation and lactate accumulation during exercise (Braiden et al., 1994). There have been several studies examining the potential psychostimulant effects of pseudoephedrine and ephedrine. DeMeersman et al. (1987) asked subjects to rate their level of exertion in an attempt to quantify the potential psychostimulant effect of ephedrine on perceived exercise performance. Subjects given ephedrine did not report any difference from those given placebo in terms of their perceived exertion level. In a similar study, both pseudoephedrine (60 mg) and phenylpropanolamine (25 mg) failed to significantly change the perceived exertion rating (Chester et al., 2003). This suggests that the sense of euphoria may provide the illusion of better performance when, in actuality, performance was not improved or was impaired.

## Factors affecting actions of drugs at β-ARs

#### Desensitization

Many G-protein-coupled receptors display desensitization in response to continuous exposure to agonists (Krupnick and Benovic, 1998; Ferguson, 2001). The three  $\beta$ -AR subtypes are no exception to this rule but differ with regard to the mechanisms involved. The desensitization of responses involves three distinct stages: receptor phosphorylation, internalisation and downregulation. Receptor phosphorylation can occur to PKA (cAMP-dependent protein kinase), PKC or GRKs and begins within seconds of agonist exposure (Luttrell, 2005). Because phosphorylated receptors uncouple from G-proteins, this causes impairment of signalling and desensitization (Krupnick and Benovic, 1998). PKA and PKC target consensus sites in the C terminus or intracellular loops of  $\beta_1$ -ARs or  $\beta_2$ -ARs and cause phosphorylation of specific serine or threonine residues. As PKA and PKC can be activated after stimulation of a variety of G-protein-coupled receptors, this type of desensitization is termed heterologous and can be triggered by low receptor occupancy (Luttrell, 2005). GRKs target serine and threonine residues in the C terminus of agonist-occupied receptors, and this type of desensitization is therefore homologous and is associated with high receptor occupancy (Luttrell, 2005). The susceptibility of the three subtypes to this form of desensitization is directly related to the number of domains available for phosphorylation in each receptor. Thus, the  $\beta_2$ -AR,  $\beta_1$ -AR and  $\beta_3$ -AR have, respectively, 2, 1 and 0 PKA consensus phosphorylation sites and 13, 10 and 3 potential GRK phosphorylation sites. Not surprisingly, given the paucity of phosphorylation sites, the  $\beta_3$ -AR does not display desensitization associated with phosphorylation (Strosberg and Gerhardt, 2000).

Internalization of receptors occurs within minutes of agonist exposure and involves the interaction between GRK-phosphorylated receptors and the cytosolic protein  $\beta$ -arrestin. The receptor/ $\beta$ -arrestin complexes accumulate in clathrin-coated pits, which are pinched off by another protein dynamin to form endosomal vesicles. The inter-

nalized receptors do not signal and may be recycled to the cell membrane or undergo degradation (Luttrell, 2005).  $\beta_2$ -ARs are the most susceptible to this process, whereas  $\beta_1$ -ARs are more resistant and  $\beta_3$ -ARs do not internalize (Strosberg and Gerhardt, 2000).

Continuous long-term exposure of  $\beta$ -ARs to agonists results in the downregulation of mRNA and receptor protein. The  $\beta_2$ -AR is more susceptible than the  $\beta_1$ -AR, whereas the  $\beta_3$ -AR initially shows marked downregulation, but even in the continued presence of agonist recovers to basal levels within 24 h (Bengtsson *et al.*, 1996).

Thus, all three  $\beta$ -AR subtypes can show desensitization, mediated by a variety of mechanisms, in response to agonist exposure. Clearly, this can have important implications for the chronic use of  $\beta_2$ -AR agonists to improve performance, given that the  $\beta_2$ -AR, the subtype that theoretically has the greatest ergogenic potential, is also the subtype most susceptible to desensitization. Whether desensitization is a problem in practice is inconclusive. Historically,  $\beta_2$ -AR agonists have largely been used episodically to treat acute episodes of asthma; they are relatively short acting  $(t_{1/2} = 3 -$ 6 h), and therefore desensitization is not usually a problem. Long-term use of fenoterol in New Zealand was associated with increased asthma mortality (Pearce et al., 1989), and more recent studies suggest that treatment with the long-acting  $\beta_2$ -AR agonist formoterol causes a desensitization of bronchodilator responses to salbutamol (Haney and Hancox, 2005). However, desensitization as a potential problem is recognized on many of the websites describing the use of  $\beta_2$ -AR agonists (particularly clenbuterol) as 'repartitioning agents'.

# Genetic factors affecting responses to drugs acting at $\beta\text{-ARs}$

Genetic polymorphisms are subtle changes in the genetic sequence that can result in minor differences in the structure of the resulting proteins. Polymorphisms in critical areas of receptors for drugs and hormones can either alter the ability of these compounds to bind to receptors or change the ability of receptors to elicit their responses to stimulation. Single nucleotide polymorphisms can result in amino-acid substitutions, ablation or creation of restriction enzyme recognition sites resulting in restriction fragment length polymorphisms, or they may be 'silent' owing to redundancy in the genetic code where multiple codons encode the same amino acid. Polymorphisms in genes coding for receptors can have significant effects on the function of the resulting receptor protein, influencing receptor signalling, receptor desensitization and sequestration, and binding of agonists and antagonists. Polymorphisms in the upstream noncoding region or in adjacent genes may result in altered levels of receptor expression.

## β<sub>1</sub>-AR polymorphisms

A number of polymorphisms of the  $\beta_1$ -AR have been identified with seven of these single nucleotide polymorphisms resulting in amino-acid substitutions that result in 11 different genotypes (Kirstein and Insel, 2004; Brodde, 2008). Two of the more common and interesting polymorphisms are the Gly389Arg and Ser49Gly variants (Table 3). Up to 46% of the population have the Gly389Arg mutation and up to 28% the Ser49Gly variant, but note the marked interethnic differences (Table 3). Functional studies have been performed on cells expressing the Gly389 and Arg389 receptor variants and have found that Arg389 form couples more efficiently to Gs and adenylate cyclase (Kirstein and Insel, 2004; Brodde, 2008). In the Gly49 variant, there is a higher basal and agonist-stimulated activity and greater downregulation than the Ser49 variant. Given these differences, a number of studies have carried out population-based analyses to search for a possible association between

Table 3 Polymorphisms of  $\beta$ -AR subtypes that influence responsiveness or receptor regulation in response to activation by directly or indirectly acting agonists

Mutation	Substitution	Functional changes	Allele frequency by race/ethnicity			
			Caucasian	Afro-American	Asian	Hispanic
$\beta_1$ -AR polymor	ohisms					
145 A>G	Ser49Gly	Gly49 variant shows constitutive activity and enhanced downregulation	0.12–0.16	0.13–0.15 0.23–0.28	0.15	0.20-0.21
1165 C>G	Gly389Arg	Gly389 variant is 'hypofunctional'	0.24–0.34	0.39–0.46	0.2–0.3	0.31–0.33
$\beta_2$ -AR polymor	ohisms					
46 A>G	Arg16Gly	Gly16 variant more susceptible to downregulation	0.38-0.46	0.49-0.51	0.54-0.59	NA
79 C>G	Gln27Glu	Glu27 variant resistant to downregulation	0.35-0.46	0.20-0.27	0.07-0.20	NA
491 C>T	Thr164lle	lle164 variant shows reduced binding, signalling and internalization	0.02–0.04	0.02–0.04	0–0.01	0.03
$\beta_3$ -AR polymor	ohisms					
190 T>C	Trp64Arg <sup>a</sup>	Arg64 variant shows reduced cAMP in response to stimulation	0.08	0.10	0.18 <sup>b</sup>	0.16

Abbreviations:  $\beta$ -AR,  $\beta$ -adrenoceptor; NA, not applicable.

Only the more commonly found polymorphisms or those displaying a clear phenotype are shown. For detailed information, see Kirstein and Insel (2004) and Brodde (2008).

<sup>a</sup>American Pima Indians have an incidence of 0.31 and also have a high incidence of obesity and type II diabetes. <sup>b</sup>Japanese Americans.

593

polymorphic  $\beta_1$ -ARs and cardiac function. These studies have given inconsistent results. For the Ser49Gly  $\beta_1$ -AR, there is a significant association for blood pressure and heart rate but not for hypertension or the stimulant effects of noradrenaline. With regard to cardiac failure, both positive and negative associations have been reported (Kirstein and Insel, 2004; Brodde, 2008). The Gly389Arg mutation does not appear to be associated with the changes in the haemodynamic response to noradrenaline, blood pressure or heart rate. However, patients with the Arg389 variant show larger changes in blood pressure when treated with  $\beta$ -AR antagonists. Overall, although there are clear differences between polymorphisms of the  $\beta_1$ -AR in their properties studied in vitro, further studies are required to establish what role these polymorphisms may have in altered responses to drugs.

## β<sub>2</sub>-AR polymorphisms

Nine single-nucleotide polymorphisms of the  $\beta_2$ -AR have been identified in both heterozygous and homozygous forms, and four of these mutations cause amino-acid substitutions (Brodde, 2008). Interestingly, some of these mutations are quite common with up to 46% of the caucasian population with the Gly 16 variant. Arg16Gly and Gln27Glu are the most common substitutions with allelic frequencies of 38-59% for Gly16 and 7-46% for Glu27 (note, however, that there are marked inter-ethnic differences in allelic frequencies) (Table 3). Approximately 25% of subjects are homozygous for both polymorphisms (Brodde, 2008). The pharmacological characterization of these variants shows significant alterations in  $\beta_2$ -AR function. The Gly16 variant is more susceptible to agonist-promoted downregulation than the wild type (the first form of the receptor described), whereas the Glu27 variant is resistant to such downregulation (Brodde, 2008). Ligand binding, G-protein coupling and desensitization are unchanged. The Ile164 mutation occurs adjacent to a serine at codon 165 that plays a key role in agonist binding. This relatively rare polymorphism (<4% of the population) is associated with reduced binding to agonists containing  $\beta$ -hydroxyl groups (adrenaline, noradrenaline and isoprenaline, but not dobutamine or dopamine), agonist-promoted receptor sequestration, coupling to Gs and adenylate cyclase, and elimination of high-affinity binding. Heart rate, adenylyl cyclase and physiological responses to agonist are also reduced in transgenic mice expressing the polymorphism.

The relatively common occurrence of some of these polymorphisms is of particular relevance to people taking  $\beta_2$ -AR agonists, given their clearly different properties. Individuals with the Gly16 variants would be expected to show rapid downregulation of  $\beta_2$ -ARs and a loss of responsiveness to  $\beta_2$ -AR agonists, whereas those with the Glu27 variant would be resistant to these effects. There is some evidence to support this idea from the literature but again there are some inconsistencies. Further studies of some of the more common variants may provide clear-cut results that may have particular relevance to individuals taking long-acting  $\beta_2$ -AR agonists as anabolic agents.

## β<sub>3</sub>-AR polymorphisms

Only one variant of the  $\beta_3$ -AR has been identified, the Trp64Arg variant, which occurs with varying frequencies in populations with different ethnic backgrounds (Kirstein and Insel, 2004) (Table 3). Caucasians have an allelic frequency of 8-10%, African Americans 12%, Mexican Americans 13%, Japanese 20% and Pima Indians, a population with a high incidence of obesity and type 2 diabetes 31%. The pharmacological analysis of this polymorphism shows that there is no effect on ligand binding, desensitization or adenylyl cyclase activation but that the maximal amount of cAMP produced in response to stimulation is markedly reduced. The mutation may be a genetic determinant for obesity and type 2 diabetes as the  $\beta_3$ -AR is involved in lipolysis and thermogenesis, but this is still a matter of controversy (for review see Kirstein and Insel, 2004).

## Conclusions

None of the drugs acting directly on  $\beta_1$ -ARs are used to enhance performance in sport. Agonists acting at this subtype have the potential to cause cardiac damage, whereas  $\beta_1$ -AR selective antagonists, although useful therapeutically as antihypertensives and in the treatment of cardiac failure, do not possess the ergogenic effects required. The major target for many illicitly used drugs in sport is the  $\beta_2$ -AR that is found in the heart, lungs and skeletal muscle where it controls rate and force, relaxes tone and stimulates growth, respectively. B2-AR agonists are demonstrably powerful bronchodilators, anabolic agents and, in combination with corticosteroids, powerfully enhance their anti-inflammatory actions. However, there is little evidence from animal or human studies that these effects translate into an improvement in performance. Indeed, many of the drugs developed to treat asthma are partial agonists that may limit the effectiveness of adrenaline in producing cardiovascular and metabolic responses. There is the potential to cause cardiac arrhythmias and to exacerbate allergic reactions by improving the access of allergens to the lung. The anti-anxiety effects of  $\beta$ -AR antagonists may be potentially advantageous in some sports, but their negative effects on physical performance will be a handicap in endurance sports. Indirectly acting sympathomimetics will not only have similar actions to adrenaline and noradrenaline on the cardiovascular and metabolic systems but may also have psychostimulant effects that reduce fatigue and give an illusion of better performance. Again, the results of controlled trials reveal a disappointing lack of convincing improvement of trained athletes. There is also a real risk of cardiac damage associated with the prolonged use of these agents. Although  $\beta_2$ -ARs are the most attractive drug target, they are also the most susceptible to downregulation. The effects of drugs would be expected to be transient in nature, and this is borne out in practice with many reports of loss of efficacy after a few weeks. There are also genetic variations in the receptors that influence their effectiveness and regulation on exposure to  $\beta_2$ -AR agonists.

## Acknowledgements

This work was supported by National Health and Medical Research Council (NHMRC) of Australia Project Grants #236884 and #491190 (RJS).

## **Conflict of interest**

The authors state no conflict of interest.

## References

- Alexander SPH, Mathie A, Peters JA (2007). Guide to receptors and channels (GRAC), 2nd edition (2007 revision) *Br J Pharmacol* **150** (Suppl 1): S12–S13.
- Arch JR, Ainsworth AT, Cawthorne MA, Piercy V, Sennitt MV, Thody VE *et al.* (1984). Atypical  $\beta$ -adrenoceptor on brown adipocytes as target for anti-obesity drugs. *Nature* **309**: 163–165.
- Arch JRS (2000).  $\beta_3$ -Adrenoceptor ligands and the pharmacology of the  $\beta_3$ -adrenoceptor. In: Strosberg AD (ed). *The*  $\beta_3$ -Adrenoceptor. Taylor & Francis: London, pp 48–76.
- Avois L, Robinson N, Saudan C, Baume N, Mangin P, Saugy M (2006). Central nervous system stimulants and sport practice. *Br J Sports Med* **40** (Suppl 1): i16–i20.
- Azzi M, Charest PG, Angers S, Rousseau G, Kohout T, Bouvier M et al. (2003). β-Arrestin-mediated activation of MAPK by inverse agonists reveals distinct active conformations for G proteincoupled receptors. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 100: 11406–11411.
- Baker DJ, Constantin-Teodosiu D, Jones SW, Timmons JA, Greenhaff PL (2006). Chronic treatment with the  $\beta_2$ -adrenoceptor agonist prodrug BRL-47672 impairs rat skeletal muscle function by inducing a comprehensive shift to a faster muscle phenotype. *J Pharmacol Exp Ther* **319**: 439–446.
- Baker JG, Hall IP, Hill SJ (2003). Agonist and inverse agonist actions of β-blockers at the human  $β_2$ -adrenoceptor provide evidence for agonist-directed signaling. *Mol Pharmacol* **64**: 1357–1369.
- Bardou M, Rouget C, Breuiller-Fouche M, Loustalot C, Naline E, Sagot P *et al.* (2007). Is the  $\beta_3$ -adrenoceptor (ADRB3) a potential target for uterorelaxant drugs? *BMC Pregnancy Childbirth* 7 (Suppl 1): S14.
- Barnes P, Drazen J (2002). Pathophysiology of asthma. In: Barnes P, Drazen J, Rennard S, Thomson N (eds). Asthma and COPD. Basic Mechanisms and Clinical Management. Academic Press: London, pp 343–359.
- Barnes PJ, Nadel JA, Skoogh BE, Roberts JM (1983). Characterization of  $\beta$ -adrenoceptor subtypes in canine airway smooth muscle by radioligand binding and physiological responses. *J Pharmacol Exp Ther* **225**: 456–461.
- Bedi JF, Gong Jr H, Horvath SM (1988). Enhancement of exercise performance with inhaled albuterol. *Can J Sport Sci* **13**: 144–148.
- Beermann DH (2002). β-Adrenergic receptor agonist modulation of skeletal muscle growth. *J Anim Sci* **80E** (Suppl 1): E18–E23.
- Bell D, Jacobs I, Zamecnik J (1998). Effects of caffeine, ephedrine and their combination on time to exhaustion during high-intensity exercise. *Eur J Appl Physiol Occup Physiol* **77**: 427–433.
- Bengtsson T, Redegren K, Strosberg AD, Nedergaard J, Cannon B (1996). Down-regulation of  $\beta_3$ -adrenoreceptor gene expression in brown fat cells is transient and recovery is dependent upon a short-lived protein factor. *J Biol Chem* **271**: 33366–33375.
- Bertram JF, Goldie RG, Papadimitriou JM, Paterson JW (1983). Correlations between pharmacological responses and structure of human lung parenchyma strips. *Br J Pharmacol* 80: 107–114.
- Bohn AM, Khodaee M, Schwenk TL (2003). Ephedrine and other stimulants as ergogenic aids. *Curr Sports Med Rep* 2: 220–225.
- Boozer CN, Daly PA, Homel P, Solomon JL, Blanchard D, Nasser JA *et al.* (2002). Herbal ephedra/caffeine for weight loss: a 6-month randomized safety and efficacy trial. *Int J Obes Relat Metab Disord* **26**: 593–604.

- Bowman W, Anden N (1981). Effects of adrenergic activators and inhibitors on the skeletal muscles. In: Szekeres L (ed). *Adrenergic Activators and Inhibitors*. Springer-Verlag: Berlin, pp 47–128.
- Braiden R, Fellingham G, Conlee R (1994). Effects of cocaine on glycogen metabolism and endurance during high intensity exercise. *Med Sci Sports Exerc* **26**: 695–700.
- Bright TP, Sandage Jr BW, Fletcher HP (1981). Selected cardiac and metabolic responses to pseudoephedrine with exercise. *J Clin Pharmacol* 21: 488–492.
- Briones AM, Daly CJ, Jimenez-Altayo F, Martinez-Revelles S, Gonzalez JM, McGrath JC *et al.* (2005). Direct demonstration of  $\beta_1$  and evidence against  $\beta_2$  and  $\beta_3$ -adrenoceptors, in smooth muscle cells of rat small mesenteric arteries. *Br J Pharmacol* **146**: 679–691.
- Broadley KJ (2006). β-Adrenoceptor responses of the airways: for better or worse? *Eur J Pharmacol* **533**: 15–27.
- Brodde O-E (2008).  $\beta$ -1 and  $\beta$ -2 Adrenoceptor polymorphisms: functional importance, impact on cardiovascular diseases and drug responses. *Pharmacol Ther* **117**: 1–29.
- Buhler FR, Burkart F, Lutold BE, Kung M, Marbet G, Pfisterer M (1975). Antihypertensive β-blocking action as related to renin and age: a pharmacologic tool to identify pathogenetic mechanisms in essential hypertension. *Am J Cardiol* **36**: 653–669.
- Burniston JG, McLean L, Beynon RJ, Goldspink DF (2007). Anabolic effects of a non-myotoxic dose of the  $\beta_2$ -adrenergic receptor agonist clenbuterol on rat plantaris muscle. *Muscle Nerve* **35**: 217–223.
- Buxton BF, Jones CR, Molenaar P, Summers RJ (1987). Characterization and autoradiographic localization of β-adrenoceptor subtypes in human cardiac tissues. *Br J Pharmacol* **92**: 299–310.
- Bylund DB, Eikenberg DC, Hieble JP, Langer SZ, Lefkowitz RJ, Minneman KP *et al.* (1994). International Union of Pharmacology nomenclature of adrenoceptors. *Pharmacol Rev* **46**: 121–136.
- Candelore MR, Deng L, Tota L, Guan XM, Amend A, Liu Y *et al.* (1999). Potent and selective human  $\beta_3$ -adrenergic receptor antagonists. *J Pharmacol Exp Ther* **290**: 649–655.
- Canfield P, Abdul-Ghaffar T (1992a). Stimulation of bicarbonate secretion by atypical β-receptor agonists in rat cecum *in vitro*. *Eur J Pharmacol* **216**: 293–297.
- Canfield P, Paraskeva P (1992b). β-Adrenoceptor agonist stimulation of acid secretion by rat stomach *in vitro* is mediated by 'atypical' β-adrenoceptors. *Br J Pharmacol* **106**: 583–586.
- Carlsen KH, Hem E, Stensrud T, Held T, Herland K, Mowinckel P (2001). Can asthma treatment in sports be doping? The effect of the rapid onset, long-acting inhaled  $\beta_2$ -agonist formoterol upon endurance performance in healthy well-trained athletes. *Respir Med* **95**: 571–576.
- Caruso JF, Signorile JF, Perry AC, Leblanc B, Williams R, Clark M *et al.* (1995). The effects of albuterol and isokinetic exercise on the quadriceps muscle group. *Med Sci Sports Exerc* **27**: 1471–1476.
- Chandler JV, Blair SN (1980). The effect of amphetamines on selected physiological components related to athletic success. *Med Sci Sports Exerc* **12**: 65–69.
- Chariot J, De La Tour J, Vaille C, Roze C (1988). Effects of CRL 40827 and salbutamol on exocrine pancreatic secretion in rats. *Eur J Pharmacol* 146: 17–25.
- Cheng J, Liu I, Yen S, Juang S, Liu T, Chan P (2001). Stimulatory effect of D-ephedrine on  $\beta_3$ -adrenoceptors in adipose tissue of rats. *Auton Neurosci* 88: 1–5.
- Chester N, Reilly T, Mottram D (2003). Physiological, subjective and performance effects of pseudoephedrine and phenylpropanolamine during endurance running exercise. *Int J Sports Med* **24**: 3–8.
- Chu K, Doherty T, Parise G, Milheiro J, Tarnopolsky M (2002). A moderate dose of pseudoephedrine does not alter muscle contraction strength or anaerobic power. *Clin J Sport Med* **12**: 387–390.
- Clemons JM, Crosby SL (1993). Cardiopulmonary and subjective effects of a 60 mg dose of pseudoephedrine on graded treadmill exercise. *J Sports Med Phys Fitness* **33**: 405–412.
- Chruscinski A, Brede ME, Meinel L, Lohse MJ, Kobilka BK, Hein L (2001). Differential distribution of beta-adrenergic receptor subtypes in blood vessels of knockout mice lacking beta(1)- or beta(2)adrenergic receptors. *Mol Pharmacol* **60**: 955–962.
- Day MD, Roach AG (1974). Central  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ -adrenoceptors modifying arterial blood pressure and heart rate in conscious cats. *Br J Pharmacol* **51**: 325–333.

- De Ponti F, Gibelli G, Croci T, Arcidiaco M, Crema F, Manara L (1996). Functional evidence of atypical  $\beta_3$ -adrenoceptors in the human colon using the  $\beta_3$ -selective adrenoceptor antagonist, SR 59230A. *Br J Pharmacol* **117**: 1374–1376.
- DeMeersman R, Getty D, Schaefer D (1987). Sympathomimetics and exercise enhancement: all in the mind? *Pharmacol Biochem Behav* **28**: 361–365.
- Docherty TR (2008). Pharmacology of stimulants prohibited by the World Anti-Doping Agency (WADA). *Br J Pharmacol* **154**: 606–622.
- Dodd SL, Powers SK, Vrabas IS, Criswell D, Stetson S, Hussain R (1996). Effects of clenbuterol on contractile and biochemical properties of skeletal muscle. *Med Sci Sports Exerc* **28**: 669–676.
- Drew C, Knight G, Hughes D, Bush M (1978). Comparison of the effects of D-(-)-ephedrine and L-(+)-pseudoephedrine on the cardiovascular and respiratory systems in man. *Br J Clin Pharmacol* 6: 221–225.
- Duncan N, Williams D, Lynch G (2000). Deleterious effects of chronic clenbuterol treatment on endurance and sprint exercise performance in rats. *Clin Sci (Lond)* **98**: 339–347.
- Edvinsson L, Owman C (1974). Pharmacological characterization of adrenergic  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ -receptors mediating the vasomotor responses of cerebral arteries *in vitro*. *Circ Res* **35**: 835–849.
- Eichner E (1993). Ergolytic drugs in medicine and sports. *Am J Med* **94**: 205–211.
- Emorine LJ, Marullo S, Briend-Sutren MM, Patey G, Tate K, Delavier-Klutchko C *et al.* (1989). Molecular characterization of the human  $\beta_3$ -adrenergic receptor. *Science* **245**: 1118–1121.
- Engelhardt S, Hein L, Wiesmann F, Lohse MJ (1999). Progressive hypertrophy and heart failure in β<sub>1</sub>-adrenergic receptor transgenic mice. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* **96**: 7059–7064.
- Evans B, Papaioannou M, Bonazzi V, Summers R (1996). Expression of  $\beta_3$ -adrenoceptor mRNA in rat tissues. *Br J Pharmacol* 117: 210–442.
- Ferguson SS (2001). Evolving concepts in G protein-coupled receptor endocytosis: the role in receptor desensitization and signaling. *Pharmacol Rev* **53**: 1–24.
- Fisher MH, Amend AM, Bach TJ, Barker JM, Brady EJ, Candelore MR *et al.* (1998). A selective human  $\beta_3$ -adrenergic receptor agonist increases metabolic rate in rhesus monkeys. *J Clin Invest* **101**: 2387–2393.
- Frielle T, Collins S, Daniel KW, Caron MG, Lefkowitz RJ, Kobilka BK (1987). Cloning of the cDNA for the human  $\beta_1$ -adrenergic receptor. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* **84**: 7920–7924.
- Furchgott RF (1972). The classification of adrenoceptors (adrenergic receptors). An evaluation from the standpoint of receptor theory. *Handbook of Experimental Physiology*. Springer Verlag: Berlin, pp 283–335.
- Galandrin S, Bouvier M (2006). Distinct signaling profiles of  $\beta_1$  and  $\beta_2$ -adrenergic receptor ligands toward adenylyl cyclase and mitogen-activated protein kinase reveals the pluridimensionality of efficacy. *Mol Pharmacol* **70**: 1575–1584.
- Gerhardt CC, Gros J, Strosberg AD, Issad T (1999). Stimulation of the extracellular signal-regulated kinase 1/2 pathway by human  $\beta$ -3 adrenergic receptor: new pharmacological profile and mechanism of activation. *Mol Pharmacol* **55**: 255–262.
- Gibbs ME, Summers RJ (2002). Role of adrenoceptor subtypes in memory consolidation. *Prog Neurobiol* **67**: 345–391.
- Gill ND, Shield A, Blazevich AJ, Zhou S, Weatherby RP (2000). Muscular and cardiorespiratory effects of pseudoephedrine in human athletes. *Br J Clin Pharmacol* **50**: 205–213.
- Gillies H, Derman W, Noakes T, Smith P, Evans A, Gabriels G (1996). Pseudoephedrine is without ergogenic effects during prolonged exercise. *J Appl Physiol* **81**: 2611–2617.
- Goubault C, Perault MC, Leleu E, Bouquet S, Legros P, Vandel B *et al.* (2001). Effects of inhaled salbutamol in exercising non-asthmatic athletes. *Thorax* **56**: 675–679.
- Guimaraes, S, Moura, D (2001) Vascular adrenoceptors: an update. *Pharmacol Rev* 53(2): 319–356.
- Haney S, Hancox RJ (2005). Tolerance to bronchodilation during treatment with long-acting  $\beta$ -agonists, a randomised controlled trial. *Respir Res* 6: 107.
- Hartley ML, Pennefather JN (1985). The costo-uterine muscle of the rat contains a homogeneous population of β-adrenoceptors. *Br J Pharmacol* 84: 463–468.

- Head A (1999). Exercise metabolism and beta-blocker therapy: an update. *Sports Med* **27**: 81–96.
- Hedlund H, Andersson KE (1985). Comparison of the responses to drugs acting on adrenorecepors and muscarinic receptors in the human isolated corpus cavernosum and cavernous artery. J Auton Pharmacol 5: 81–88.
- Hodges AN, Lynn BM, Bula JE, Donaldson MG, Dagenais MO, McKenzie DC (2003). Effects of pseudoephedrine on maximal cycling power and submaximal cycling efficiency. *Med Sci Sports Exerc* 35: 1316–1319.
- Hodges K, Hancock S, Currell K, Hamilton B, Jeukendrup AE (2006). Pseudoephedrine enhances performance in 1500-m runners. *Med Sci Sports Exerc* **38**: 329–333.
- Ikezono K, Zerkowski HR, Beckeringh JJ, Michel MC, Brodde OE (1987).  $\beta$ -2 Adrenoceptor-mediated relaxation of the isolated human saphenous vein. *J Pharmacol Exp Ther* **241**: 294–299.
- Ingalls CP, Barnes WS, Smith SB (1996). Interaction between clenbuterol and run training: effects on exercise performance and MLC isoform content. *J Appl Physiol* **80**: 795–801.
- Johnson M (2006). Molecular mechanisms of  $\beta_2$ -adrenergic receptor function, response, and regulation. *J Allergy Clin Immunol* **117**: 18–24.
- Jonkman FA, Thoolen MJ, Wilffert B, de Jonge A, Timmermans PB, Van Zwieten PA (1984). Effect of salbutamol and the PDE-inhibitor RA 642 on the clonidine withdrawal syndrome in rats. *J Auton Pharmacol* 4: 199–206.
- Kaiser P, Hylander B, Eliasson K, Kaijser L (1985). Effect of  $\beta_1$ -selective and nonselective  $\beta$ -blockade on blood pressure relative to physical performance in men with systemic hypertension. *Am J Cardiol* **55**: 79D–84D.
- Kaumann A, Bartel S, Molenaar P, Sanders L, Burrell K, Vetter D *et al.* (1999). Activation of  $\beta_2$ -adrenergic receptors hastens relaxation and mediates phosphorylation of phospholamban, troponin I, and C-protein in ventricular myocardium from patients with terminal heart failure. *Circulation* **99**: 65–72.
- Kaumann AJ (1989). Is there a third heart β-adrenoceptor? *Trends Pharmacol Sci* **10**: 316–320.
- Kaur M, Chivers JE, Giembycz MA, Newton R (2008). Long-acting β<sub>2</sub>-adrenoceptor agonists synergistically enhance glucocorticoiddependent transcription in human airway epithelial and smooth muscle cells. *Mol Pharmacol* **73**: 203–214.
- Kenakin TP (2003). The secret lives of GPCRs. *Drug Discov Today* 8: 674.
- Kenakin TP (1982). Theoretical and practical problems with the assessment of intrinsic efficacy of agonists: efficacy of reputed  $\beta$ -1 selective adrenoceptor agonists for  $\beta$ -2 adrenoceptors. *J Pharmacol Exp Ther* **223**: 416–423.
- Kennedy BL, Ellis S (1969). Interactions of catecholamines and adrenergic blocking agents at receptor sites mediating glycogenolysis in the rat. Arch Int Pharmacodyn Ther 177: 390–406.
- Kim YS, Sainz RD, Summers RJ, Molenaar P (1992). Cimaterol reduces β-adrenergic receptor density in rat skeletal muscles. *J Anim Sci* 70: 115–122.
- Kirstein SL, Insel PA (2004). Autonomic nervous system pharmacogenomics: a progress report. *Pharmacol Rev* 56: 31–52.
- Krstew E, Malta E, Raper C (1982). Comparison of guinea pig uterine and rat vas deferens preparations for assessment of  $\beta_2$ -adrenoceptor-mediated activity. *J Pharmacol Methods* 8: 279–289.
- Krupnick JG, Benovic JL (1998). The role of receptor kinases and arrestins in G protein-coupled receptor regulation. *Annu Rev Pharmacol Toxicol* **38**: 289–319.
- Lafontan M, Berlan M, Villeneuve A (1983). Preponderance of  $\alpha_2$ over  $\beta_1$ -adrenergic receptor sites in human fat cells is not predictive of the lipolytic effect of physiological catecholamines. *J Lipid Res* **24**: 429–440.
- Larsson K, Gavhed D, Larsson L, Holmer I, Jorfelt L, Ohlsen P (1997). Influence of a  $\beta_2$ -agonist on physical performance at low temperature in elite athletes. *Med Sci Sports Exerc* **29**: 1631–1636.
- Laustiola K, Uusitalo A, Koivula T, Sovijarvi A, Seppala E, Nikkari T *et al.* (1983). Divergent effects of atenolol, practolol and propranolol on the peripheral metabolic changes induced by dynamic exercise in healthy men. *Eur J Clin Pharmacol* **25**: 293–297.

- Lefkowitz RJ, Pierce KL, Luttrell LM (2002). Dancing with different partners: protein kinase A phosphorylation of seven membranespanning receptors regulates their G protein-coupling specificity. *Mol Pharmacol* **62**: 971–974.
- Leonard BE (1997). The role of noradrenaline in depression: a review. *J Psychopharmacol* **11**: S39–S47.
- Lundborg P, Astrom H, Bengtsson C, Fellenius E, von Schenck H, Svensson L *et al.* (1981). Effect of beta-adrenoceptor blockade on exercise performance and metabolism. *Clin Sci* **61**: 299–305.
- Luttrell LM (2005). Regulators of GPCR activity—the arrestins. In: Devi LA (ed). *The G Protein-Coupled Receptors Handbook*. Humana Press: Totowa, NJ, pp 159–198.
- Majewski H (1983). Modulation of noradrenaline release through activation of presynaptic  $\beta$ -adrenoreceptors. *J Auton Pharmacol* 3: 47–60.
- Maltin CA, Jones P, Mantle D (1993). Effect of protease inhibitors and clenbuterol on the *in vitro* degradation of dystrophin by endogenuous proteases in human skeletal muscle. *Biosci Rep* 13: 159–167.
- Manara L, Badone D, Baroni M, Boccardi G, Cecchi R, Croci T *et al.* (1996). Functional identification of rat atypical  $\beta$ -adrenoceptors by the first  $\beta_3$ -selective antagonists, aryloxypropanolaminotetralins. *Br J Pharmacol* **117**: 435–442.
- Mariani M, Loubatieres A, Sorel G, Savi L (1971). *In-vivo* study of  $\beta$ -adrenergic stimulating or blocking agents on insulin secretion. Pharmacological differentiation of the type of  $\beta$ -receptor involved. *Diabetes* **19**: 129–133.
- McLaughlin DP, MacDonald A (1991). Characterization of catecholamine-mediated relaxations in rat isolated gastric fundus: evidence for an atypical  $\beta$ -adrenoceptor. *Br J Pharmacol* 103: 1351–1356.
- Meeuwisse WH, McKenzie DC, Hopkins SR, Road JD (1992). The effect of salbutamol on performance in elite nonasthmatic athletes. *Med Sci Sports Exerc* 24: 1161–1166.
- Milano CA, Allen LF, Rockman HA, Dolber PC, McMinn TR, Chien KR *et al.* (1994). Enhanced myocardial function in transgenic mice overexpressing the  $\beta_2$ -adrenergic receptor. *Science* **264**: 582–586.
- Milone M, Engel AG (1996). Block of the endplate acetylcholine receptor channel by the sympathomimetic agents ephedrine, pseudoephedrine, and albuterol. *Brain Res* **740**: 346–352.
- Molenaar P, Jones CR, McMartin LR, Summers RJ (1988a). Autoradiographic localization and densitometric analysis of β-1 and β-2 adrenoceptors in the canine left anterior descending coronary artery. *J Pharmacol Exp Ther* **246**: 384–393.
- Molenaar P, Malta E, Jones CR, Buxton BF, Summers RJ (1988b). Autoradiographic localization and function of  $\beta$ -adrenoceptors on the human internal mammary artery and saphenous vein. *Br J Pharmacol* **95**: 225–233.
- Moore NG, Pegg GG, Sillence MN (1994). Anabolic effects of the  $\beta_2$ -adrenoceptor agonist salmeterol are dependent on route of administration. *Am J Physiol* **267**: E475–E484.
- Morton AR, Fitch KD (1992). Asthmatic drugs and competitive sport. An update. *Sports Med* **14**: 228–242.
- Morton AR, Joyce K, Papalia SM, Carroll NG, Fitch KD (1996). Is salmeterol ergogenic? *Clin J Sport Med* 6: 220–225.
- Murphy R, Béliveau L, Seburn K, Gardiner P (1996). Clenbuterol has a greater influence on untrained than on previously trained skeletal muscle in rats. *Eur J Appl Physiol Occup Physiol* **73**: 304–310.
- Naranjo Orellana J, Centeno Prada R, Carranza Márquez M (2006). Use of  $\beta_2$ -agonists in sport: are the present criteria right? *Br J Sports Med* **40**: 363–366.
- Nathanson JA (1980). Adrenergic regulation of intraocular pressure: identification of  $\beta_2$ -adrenergic-stimulated adenylate cyclase in ciliary process epithelium. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* **77**: 7420–7424.
- Nedergaard J, Bengtsson T, Cannon B (2007). Unexpected evidence for active brown adipose tissue in adult humans. Am J Physiol Endocrinol Metab 293: E444–E452.
- Neidhold S, Eichhorn B, Kasper M, Ravens U, Kaumann AJ (2007). The function of  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ -adrenoceptors of the saphenous artery in caveolin-1 knockout and wild-type mice. *Br J Pharmacol* **150**: 261–270.
- Nelson HS (2005). Combination therapy of long-acting beta agonists and inhaled corticosteroids in the management of chronic asthma. *Curr Allergy Asthma Rep* 5: 123–129.

- Nevzorova J, Evans BA, Bengtsson T, Summers RJ (2006). Multiple signalling pathways involved in  $\beta_2$ -adrenoceptor-mediated glucose uptake in rat skeletal muscle cells. *Br J Pharmacol* 147: 446–454.
- Nieto A, Mazon A, Pamies R, Linana JJ, Lanuza A, Jimenez FO *et al.* (2007). Adverse effects of inhaled corticosteroids in funded and nonfunded studies. *Arch Intern Med* **167**: 2047–2053.
- Norris SR, Petersen SR, Jones RL (1996). The effect of salbutamol on performance in endurance cyclists. *Eur J Appl Physiol Occup Physiol* **73**: 364–368.
- Oshita M, Hiraoka Y, Watanabe Y (1997). Characterization of  $\beta$ -adrenoceptors in urinary bladder: comparison between rat and rabbit. *Br J Pharmacol* **122**: 1720–1724.
- Pearce N, Crane J, Burgess C, Beasley R, Jackson R (1989). Fenoterol and asthma mortality. *Lancet* 1: 1196–1197.
- Persson H, Eriksson SV, Erhardt L (1996). Effects of beta receptor antagonists on left ventricular function in patients with clinical evidence of heart failure after myocardial infarction. A doubleblind comparison of metoprolol and xamoterol. Echocardiographic results from the Metoprolol and Xamoterol Infarction Study (MEXIS). *Eur Heart J* 17: 741–749.
- Potter DE (1981). Adrenergic pharmacology of aqueous humor dynamics. *Pharmacol Rev* **33**: 133–153.
- Purdy RE, Stupecky GL, Coulombe PR (1988). Further evidence for a homogeneous population of β-1-adrenoceptors in bovine coronary artery. *J Pharmacol Exp Ther* **245**: 67–71.
- Rang HP, Dale MM, Ritter JM, PK Moore (2003). *Pharmacology*, 5th edn. Churchill Livingstone: Edinburgh.
- Reeds PJ, Hay SM, Dorwood PM, Palmer RM (1986). Stimulation of muscle growth by clenbuterol: lack of effect on muscle protein biosynthesis. *Br J Nutr* **56**: 249–258.
- Reilly T (2005). Alcohol, anti-anxiety drugs and sport. In: DR Mottram (ed). *Drugs in Sport*. Routledge: New York pp 256–285.
- Riiser A, Tjorhom A, Carlsen K (2006). The effect of formoterol inhalation on endurance performance in hypobaric conditions. *Med Sci Sports Exerc* **38**: 2132–2137.
- Roberts SJ, Russell FD, Molenaar P, Summers RJ (1995). Characterization and localization of atypical β-adrenoceptors in rat ileum. *Br J Pharmacol* **116**: 2549–2556.
- Rothman R, Baumann M, Dersch C, Romero D, Rice K, Carroll F, *et al.* (2001). Amphetamine-type central nervous system stimulants release norepinephrine more potently than they release dopamine and serotonin. *Synapse* **39**: 32–41.
- Rothman RB, Vu N, Partilla JS, Roth BL, Hufeisen SJ, Compton-Toth BA *et al.* (2003). *In vitro* characterization of ephedrine-related stereoisomers at biogenic amine transporters and the receptorome reveals selective actions as norepinephrine transporter substrates. *J Pharmacol Exp Ther* **307**: 138–145.
- Rothwell NJ, Stock MJ (1987). Effect of a selective  $\beta_2$ -adrenergic agonist (clenbuterol) on energy balance and body composition in normal and protein deficient rats. *Biosci Rep* **7**: 933–940.
- Rouget C, Bardou M, Breuiller-Fouche M, Loustalot C, Qi H, Naline E *et al.* (2005).  $\beta_3$ -Adrenoceptor is the predominant  $\beta$ -adrenoceptor subtype in human myometrium and its expression is up-regulated in pregnancy. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab* **90**: 1644–1650.
- Rusko H, Kantola H, Luhtanen P, Pulli M, Videman T, Viitasalo J (1980). Effect of β-blockade on performances requiring force, velocity, coordination and/or anaerobic metabolism. *J Sports Med Phys Fitness* **20**: 139–144.
- Ryall JG, Sillence MN, Lynch GS (2006). Systemic administration of  $\beta_2$ -adrenoceptor agonists, formoterol and salmeterol, elicit skeletal muscle hypertrophy in rats at micromolar doses. *Br J Pharmacol* **147**: 587–595.
- Sato M, Horinouchi T, Hutchinson DS, Evans BA, Summers RJ (2007). Ligand-directed signaling at the  $\beta_3$ -adrenoceptor produced by 3-(2-ethylphenoxy)-1-[(1,S)-1,2,3,4-tetrahydronapth-1-ylamino]-2S-2-propanol oxalate (SR59230A) relative to receptor agonists. *Mol Pharmacol* **72**: 1359–1368.
- Schuit FC, Pipeleers DG (1985). Regulation of adenosine 3',5'-monophosphate levels in the pancreatic  $\beta$  cell. *Endocrinology* **117**: 834–840.
- Shekelle PG, Hardy ML, Morton SC, Maglione M, Mojica WA, Suttorp MJ *et al.* (2003). Efficacy and safety of ephedra and ephedrine for

weight loss and athletic performance: a meta-analysis. *JAMA* **289**: 1537–1545.

- Sidney K, Lefcoe N (1977). The effects of ephedrine on the physiological and psychological responses to submaximal and maximal exercise in man. *Med Sci Sports* **9**: 95–99.
- Sieb JP, Engel AG (1993). Ephedrine: effects on neuromuscular transmission. *Brain Res* 623: 167–171.
- Signorile JF, Kaplan TA, Applegate B, Perry AC (1992). Effects of acute inhalation of the bronchodilator, albuterol, on power output. *Med Sci Sports Exerc* **24**: 638–642.
- Smith D, Perry P (1992). The efficacy of ergogenic agents in athletic competition. Part II: other performance-enhancing agents. *Ann Pharmacother* 26: 653–659.
- Soeder KJ, Snedden SK, Cao W, Della Rocca GJ, Daniel KW, Luttrell LM *et al.* (1999). The  $\beta_3$ -adrenergic receptor activates mitogenactivated protein kinase in adipocytes through a Gi-dependent mechanism. *J Biol Chem* **274**: 12017–12022.
- Stevens MH, Thirlby RC, Richardson CT, Fredrickson MA, Unger RH, Feldman M (1986). Inhibitory effects of β-adrenergic agonists on gastric acid secretion in dogs. *Am J Physiol* **251**: G453–G459.
- Strosberg AD, Gerhardt CC (2000). Structure and function of the  $\beta_3$ -adrenoceptor. In: Strosberg AD (ed). *The*  $\beta_3$ -*Adrenoceptor*. Taylor & Francis: London, pp 1–19.
- Summers RJ, Papaioannou M, Harris S, Evans BA (1995). Expression of  $\beta_3$ -adrenoceptor mRNA in rat brain. Br J Pharmacol 116: 2547–2548.
- Swain RA, Harsha DM, Baenziger J, Saywell Jr RM (1997). Do pseudoephedrine or phenylpropanolamine improve maximum oxygen uptake and time to exhaustion? *Clin J Sport Med* **7**: 168–173.
- Swaminath G, Deupi X, Lee TW, Zhu W, Thian FS, Kobilka TS *et al.* (2005). Probing the  $\beta_2$ -adrenoceptor binding site with catechol reveals differences in binding and activation by agonists and partial agonists. *J Biol Chem* **280**: 22165–22171.
- Topliss D, Isaacs D, Lander C, McNeil J, Shenfield G, Strasser S et al. (2003). Fluticasone and adrenal crisis. Australian Government Department of Health and Aging. *Therapeutic Goods Administration*, http://www.tga.gov.au/adr/aadrb/aadr0304.htm.
- Torgan CE, Etgen Jr GJ, Kang HY, Ivy JL (1995). Fiber type-specific effects of clenbuterol and exercise training on insulin-resistant muscle. *J Appl Physiol* **79**: 163–167.
- Tseng Y, Shieh M, Kuo F (2006). Metabolites of ephedrines in human urine after administration of a single therapeutic dose. *Forensic Sci Int* **157**: 149–155.
- Tsujii S, Bray GA (1998). A  $\beta$ -3 adrenergic agonist (BRL-37,344) decreases food intake. *Physiol Behav* 63: 723–728.
- Tsujii S, Bray GA (1992). Food intake of lean and obese Zucker rats following ventricular infusions of adrenergic agonists. *Brain Res* **587**: 226–232.
- Undem BJ, Buckner CK (1984). Evidence that only one type of beta adrenergic receptor mediates inhibition of antigen-induced hista-

mine release from guinea-pig minced lung. *J Pharmacol Exp Ther* **229**: 391–398.

- Urban JD, Clarke WP, von Zastrow M, Nichols DE, Kobilka B, Weinstein H; *et al.* (2007). Functional selectivity and classical concepts of quantitative pharmacology. *J Pharmacol Exp Ther* **320**: 1–13.
- van Baak MA, de Hon OM, Hartgens F, Kuipers H (2004). Inhaled salbutamol and endurance cycling performance in non-asthmatic athletes. *Int J Sports Med* 25: 533–538.
- van Baak MA, Mayer LH, Kempinski RE, Hartgens F (2000). Effect of salbutamol on muscle strength and endurance performance in nonasthmatic men. *Med Sci Sports Exerc* **32**: 1300–1306.
- Vansal SS, Feller DR (1999). Direct effects of ephedrine isomers on human β-adrenergic receptor subtypes. *Biochem Pharmacol* 58: 807–810.
- Vatner DE, Knight DR, Homcy CJ, Vatner SF, Young MA (1986). Subtypes of  $\beta$ -adrenergic receptors in bovine coronary arteries. *Circ Res* **59**: 463–473.
- Verhoeckx KC, Doornbos RP, van der Greef J, Witkamp RF, Rodenburg RJ (2005). Inhibitory effects of the β-adrenergic receptor agonist zilpaterol on the LPS-induced production of TNF-alpha *in vitro* and *in vivo*. *J Vet Pharmacol Ther* **28**: 531–537.
- Vermeire PA, Vanhoutte PM (1979). Inhibitory effects of catecholamine in isolated canine bronchial smooth muscle. J Appl Physiol 46: 787–791.
- Verroken M (2005). Drug use and abuse in sport. In: DR Mottram (ed). *Drugs in Sport*. Routledge: New York pp 29–62.
- Warburton D, Parton L, Buckley S, Cosico L, Saluna T (1987). Effects of  $\beta$ -2 agonist on tracheal fluid flow, surfactant and pulmonary mechanics in the fetal lamb. *J Pharmacol Exp Ther* **242**: 394–398.
- Waterhouse BD, Moises HC, Yeh HH, Woodward DJ (1982). Norepinephrine enhancement of inhibitory synaptic mechanisms in cerebellum and cerebral cortex: mediation by β-adrenergic receptors. *J Pharmacol Exp Ther* **221**: 495–506.
- Wilson C, Wilson S, Piercy V, Sennitt MV, Arch JR (1984). The rat lipolytic beta-adrenoceptor: studies using novel β-adrenoceptor agonists. *Eur J Pharmacol* **100**: 309–319.
- Xiang Y, Devic E, Kobilka B (2002). The PDZ binding motif of the  $\beta_1$  adrenergic receptor modulates receptor trafficking and signaling in cardiac myocytes. *J Biol Chem* **277**: 33783–33790.
- Xiang Y, Kobilka B (2003). The PDZ-binding motif of the  $\beta_2$ adrenoceptor is essential for physiologic signaling and trafficking in cardiac myocytes. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* **100**: 10776–10781.
- Yang YT, McElligott MA (1989). Multiple actions of  $\beta$ -adrenergic agonists on skeletal muscle and adipose tissue. *Biochem J* **261**: 1–10.
- Zaagsma J, Nahorski SR (1990). Is the adipocyte β-adrenoceptor a prototype for the recently cloned atypical 'β<sub>3</sub>-adrenoceptor'? *Trends Pharmacol Sci* **11**: 3–7.
- Zeman RJ, Ludemann R, Easton TG, Etlinger JD (1988). Slow to fast alterations in skeletal muscle fibers caused by clenbuterol, a  $\beta_2$ -receptor agonist. *Am J Physiol* **254**: E726–E732.