# Overexpression of thioredoxin *h* leads to enhanced activity of starch debranching enzyme (pullulanase) in barley grain

Myeong-Je Cho, Joshua H. Wong, Corina Marx, Wen Jiang\*, Peggy G. Lemaux, and Bob B. Buchanan<sup>†</sup>

Department of Plant and Microbial Biology, University of California, Berkeley, CA 94720

Contributed by Bob B. Buchanan, October 22, 1999

Biochemically active wheat thioredoxin h has been overexpressed in the endosperm of transgenic barley grain. Two DNA constructs containing the wheat thioredoxin h gene (wtrxh) were used for transformation; each contained wtrxh fused to an endospermspecific B<sub>1</sub>-hordein promoter either with or without a signal peptide sequence for targeting to the protein body. Twenty-two stable, independently transformed regenerable lines were obtained by selecting with the herbicide bialaphos to test for the presence of the bar herbicide resistance gene on a cotransformed plasmid; all were positive for this gene. The presence of wtrxh was confirmed in 20 lines by PCR analysis, and the identity and level of expression of wheat thioredoxin h was assessed by immunoblots. Although levels varied among the different transgenic events, wheat thioredoxin h was consistently highly expressed (up to 30-fold) in the transgenic grain. Transgenic lines transformed with the B1-hordein promoter with a signal peptide sequence produced a higher level of wheat thioredoxin h on average than those without a signal sequence. The overexpression of thioredoxin h in the endosperm of germinated grain effected up to a 4-fold increase in the activity of the starch debranching enzyme, pullulanase (limit dextrinase), the enzyme that specifically cleaves  $\alpha$ -1,6 linkages in starch. These results raise the question of how thiored xin henhances the activity of pullulanase because it was found that the inhibitor had become inactive before the enzyme showed appreciable activity.

There is a growing body of evidence that, as in photosynthesis, thioredoxin plays a primary role in regulating heterotrophic processes in plants. In this capacity, the disulfide group of a thioredoxin of the *h*-type is reduced by NADPH via the flavin enzyme, NADP-thioredoxin reductase (NTR) (1, 2) (Eq. 1).

NADPH + thioredoxin  $h_{\text{oxidized}}$  - NTR  $\rightarrow$ 

(S – S) thioredoxin 
$$h_{reduced}$$
 + NADP. [1]  
(-SH HS-)

Biochemical studies initiated 10 years ago with wheat have provided evidence that thioredoxin h functions in germination and seedling development (3). Analysis of these results suggests that thioredoxin h, reduced via NTR with metabolically generated NADPH, acts early in seedling growth to initiate the mobilization of nitrogen and carbon in the endosperm, the major repository of storage protein and carbohydrate in cereals (4, 5). The NADPH needed for this reduction can be generated enzymatically from carbohydrate stored in the endosperm via glucose 6-phosphate and 6-phosphogluconate dehydrogenases (5).

Through the reduction of intramolecular disulfide bonds of target proteins (Eq. 2), thioredoxin h was shown to promote the degradation of major storage proteins, the inactivation of small proteins that inhibit amylolytic enzymes, and the activation of a novel calcium-dependent substrate-specific protease (4, 6, 7).

thioredoxin  $h_{\text{reduced}}$  + target protein<sub>oxidized</sub>  $\rightarrow$ 

$$(-SH HS-)$$
  $(S-S)$ 

target protein<sub>reduced</sub> + thioredoxin  $h_{\text{oxidized}}$ . [2]

$$(-SH HS-)$$
  $(S-S)$ 

To gain further information on its role, we initiated a study to determine the effects of overexpressed thioredoxin h on transformed cereal grains. We now report the transformation of barley by using a wheat thioredoxin h gene (*wtrxh*) driven by a seed-specific promoter to target expression of the gene product to the endosperm. Stable homozygous lines overexpressing biochemically active wheat thioredoxin h have been shown to have increased activity of a starch debranching enzyme of the endosperm, pullulanase (limit dextrinase), which specifically hydrolyzes  $\alpha$ -1,6-linkages in starch (amylopectin) during germination and seedling development (Eq. 3).

branched starch +  $H_2O$  – pullulanse  $\rightarrow$ 

debranched starch + linear oligosaccharides. [3]

Thioredoxin was earlier found to increase the activity of pullulanase *in vitro* by inactivating a small disulfide inhibitor protein through reduction of its disulfide bonds (8). The present results suggest that thioredoxin can increase pullulanase activity *in vivo* in another manner, yet to be defined.

### Materials and Methods

**Materials.** Barley (*Hordeum vulgare* L.) cv. Golden Promise was used throughout this study. Chemicals and biochemicals were obtained from commercial sources and were of the highest quality available.

**Construction of Wheat Thioredoxin** *h* **Expression Vectors.** For transformation, two expression vectors were constructed that contain *wtrxh* from bread wheat (*Triticum aestivum*, cv. Capitole) driven by the barley endosperm-specific  $B_1$ -hordein promoter. The plasmids were constructed as follows (see Fig. 1).

*Plasmid 1 (pdBhWTRXhN-1).* A 384-bp *wtrxh* coding region was amplified from pTaM13.38 (9), which contains a cDNA of *wtrxh* 

Abbreviations: *wtrxh*, wheat thioredoxin *h* gene; *nos*, *Agrobacterium tumefaciens* nopaline synthase 3' polyadenylation signal; WTRX*h*, wheat thioredoxin *h* protein; MDH, malate dehydrogenase; NTR, NADP-thioredoxin reductase.

<sup>\*</sup>Present address: Genentech Inc., South San Francisco, CA 94080.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>†</sup>To whom reprint requests should be addressed.

The publication costs of this article were defrayed in part by page charge payment. This article must therefore be hereby marked "advertisement" in accordance with 18 U.S.C. §1734 solely to indicate this fact.



**Fig. 1.** Thioredoxin *h* constructs used for barley transformation. (*Upper*) pdBhWTRXhN-1 contains *wtrxh* under control of the barley endosperm-specific B<sub>1</sub>-hordein promoter without its signal peptide sequence and terminated by *nos*. (*Lower*) pdBhssWTRXhN3–8 contains *wtrxh* under control of the B<sub>1</sub>-hordein promoter with its signal peptide sequence and terminated by *nos*. Nucleotide sequences of the PCR-amplified wheat thioredoxin *h* coding region and B<sub>1</sub>-hordein 5' flanking region were determined by DNA sequencing.

that served as a template in a PCR to create XbaI and SacI sites by using the following primers: Wtrxh1 (5'-atatctagaATGGCG-GCGTCGGCGGCGA-3') and Wtrxh2R (5'-atagagctcTTACT-GGGCCGCGTGTAG-3'), (see below) (small, italicized letters in the primer denote a restriction enzyme site for subcloning of the DNA fragment containing *wtrxh*; underlined letters denote *wtrxh* sequences). The ATG initiation codon for wtrxh expression was included in the Wtrxh1 primer. PCRs were performed on a thermocycler (MJ Research, Watertown, MA) by using 5 units of recombinant Taq DNA polymerase (Promega) in a 100-µl reaction volume. The reaction buffer contained 10 mM Tris·HCl (pH 9.0), 50 mM KCl, 1.5 mM MgCl<sub>2</sub>, 0.1% Triton X-100, and 50 µM of each deoxyribonucleoside triphosphate. PCR conditions used 25 cycles at 94°C for 1 min, at 55°C for 1 min, and at 72°C for 2 min, with a final extension step at 72°C for 7 min. The wtrxh fragment was purified from a 0.7% agarose gel by using a QIAquick gel extraction kit (Qiagen, Chatsworth, CA), digested with XbaI and SacI and ligated into XbaI/SacI-digested pUC19 to generate the pWTRXh-1 plasmid. Nucleotide sequences of the PCR-amplified wtrxh coding region fragment were determined by the dideoxynucleotide chain termination method (United States Biochemical) with double-stranded plasmid templates and regularly spaced primers. pdBhWTRXhN-1 was made by replacing the gene encoding  $\beta$ -glucuronidase (*uidA*) in pdBhGN-1 (B1-hordein promoter-uidA-nos 3' terminator, where nos is the Agrobacterium tumefaciens nopaline synthase 3' polyadenylation signal; M.-J.C., unpublished work) with the XbaI/SacI fragment containing the wtrxh coding sequence from pWTRXh-1.

*Plasmid 2 (pdBhssWTRXhN3–8).* Primers Bhor7 (5'-GTA-AAGCTTTAACAACCCACACATTG-3') and BhorWtrxh1R (5'-<u>CCGACGCCGCTGCAATCGTACTTGTTGCCGCAAT</u>-3') containing *Hin*dIII and *Acy*I sites, respectively (italic letters denote restriction enzyme sites) were used for amplification of a 0.49-kb B<sub>1</sub>-hordein 5' region, which included the B1-hordein signal peptide sequence from plasmid  $\lambda 2-4/Hin$ dIII, which contains a genomic clone of B<sub>1</sub>-hordein (10). The primer BhorWtrxh1R is an overlapping primer, which contains a part of the *wtrxh* coding sequence (underlined) and a partial signal peptide sequence (doubly underlined) from the B<sub>1</sub>-hordein promoter, but lacks the ATG initiation codon for *wtrxh*. pdBhssWTRXhN3–8 was made by replacing the B<sub>1</sub>-hordein promoter in pdBhWTRXhN-1 with the 0.49-kb PCR-amplified *HindIII/AcyI* fragment. This construct pdBhssWTRXhN3–8 contains the barley endosperm-specific B1-hordein promoter with its signal peptide sequence, *wtrxh* and *nos* (see Fig. 1). The signal peptide sequence containing the ATG initiation codon was ligated directly to the sequence of *wtrxh*, with no extra amino acid sequences being introduced between the two. The authenticity of the PCR-amplified fragment from the chimeric product was confirmed by DNA sequencing.

Stable Transformation. Stable transgenic lines of barley expressing wheat thioredoxin h driven by the B<sub>1</sub>-hordein promoter with or without the signal peptide sequence were obtained after modifications of published protocols (11, 12). Whole immature barley embryos (1.0-2.5 mm) were aseptically removed, placed scutellumside down on DC callus-induction medium containing 2.5 mg/liter 2,4-D and 5.0  $\mu$ M CuSO<sub>4</sub> (13). One day after incubation at 24  $\pm$ 1°C in the dark, the immature embryos were transferred scutellumside up to 2,4-dichlorophenoxyacetic acid-copper (DC) medium containing equimolar amounts of mannitol and sorbitol to give a final concentration of 0.4 M. Four hours after initiation of treatment with the osmoticum, the immature embryos were used for bombardment. Gold particles (1.0  $\mu$ m) were coated with 25  $\mu$ g of a 1:1 molar ratio of pAHC20 containing the bar gene under the control of the maize ubiquitin promoter (14) and one of two plasmids, pdBhWTRXhN-1 or pdBhssWTRXhN3-8. The microprojectiles were bombarded by using a PDS-1000 He biolistic device (Bio-Rad) at 1.100 psi: osmoticum treatment continued until 16–18 hr postbombardment. Bombarded immature embryos were selected on DC medium with 5 mg/liter bialaphos (Meiji Seika, Yokohama, Japan) for 2–3 months, subculturing every 2–3 weeks. Bialaphos-resistant callus was transferred onto an intermediate culturing medium (DBC2) (13), containing 2.5 mg/liter 2,4-D, 0.1 mg/liter 6-benzylaminopurine, 5.0 µM CuSO<sub>4</sub>, and 5 mg/liter bialaphos before the regeneration step (FHG medium) (15). The intermediate culturing step was carried out under dim light conditions (approximately 10–30  $\mu$ E, 16 hr light) for 1–2 months. Regenerated shoots were transferred to Magenta boxes containing rooting medium (callus-induction medium without phytohormones) containing 3 mg/liter bialaphos. When shoots reached the top of the box, plantlets were transferred to soil in the greenhouse.

**Cytological Analysis.** Cytological analysis of transgenic barley plants was performed as described (16) by using healthy root tips collected from young plants grown in the greenhouse.

**Herbicide Application.** To determine herbicide sensitivity of  $T_0$  plants and their progeny, a section of a leaf blade at the 4- to 5-leaf stage was painted by using a cotton swab soaked in 0.25% (vol/vol) Basta solution (starting concentration 200 g/liter phosphinothricin, Hoechst Pharmaceuticals) plus 0.1% Tween 20 (17). Plants were scored 1 week after herbicide application.

**PCR Screening of Transgenic Lines.** Total genomic DNA from leaf tissue was purified as described (18). To test for the presence of *wtrxh* in genomic DNA of putatively transformed lines, 250 ng of genomic DNA was amplified by PCR using one of two primer sets unique to *wtrxh*, Wtrxh1 plus Wtrxh2R or Wtrxh4 (5'-CCAAGAAGTTCCCAGCTGC-3') plus Wtrxh5R (5'-AT-AGCTGCGACAACCCTGTCCTT-3'). The presence of *bar* was determined by using the primer set, BAR5F (5'-CATCGAGACAAGCACGGTCAACTTC-3') and BAR1R (5'-ATATCCGAGCACAGCACGGTCAACTTC-3') (12). Amplifications were performed with *Taq* DNA polymerase in a 25-µl reaction (13). Twenty-five microliters of the PCR product with

#### Table 1. Barley lines transformed with wtrxh

Plasmids for bombardment	Transgenic barley line	DNA PCR ( $T_0$ leaf)		TRXh expression	
		bar	wtrx	in T <sub>1</sub> seeds	Ploidy
pdBhWTRXhN-1 + pAHC20	GPdBhBarWtrx-1	+	+	n.d.	Tetraploid
	GPdBhBarWtrx-2	+	+	+	Tetraploid
	GPdBhBarWtrx-3	+	+	+	Diploid
	GPdBhBarWtrx-5*	+	+	n.d.	Tetraploid
	GPdBhBarWtrx-16	+	_	n.d.	Tetraploid
	GPdBhBarWtrx-17	+	+	n.d.	Tetraploid
	GPdBhBarWtrx-19	+	+	+	Diploid
	GPdBhBarWtrx-20	+	+	+	Diploid
	GPdBhBarWtrx-22	+	+	+	Diploid
	GPdBhBarWtrx-23	+	+	+	Diploid
pdBhssWTRXhN3-8 + pAHC20	GPdBhssBarWtrx-1	+	_	—	Diploid
	GPdBhssBarWtrx-2 <sup>+</sup>	+	+	+	Diploid
	GPdBhssBarWtrx-3	+	+	-	Diploid
	GPdBhssBarWtrx-7	+	+	+	Diploid
	GPdBhssBarWtrx-9	+	+	n.d.	Tetraploid
	GPdBhssBarWtrx-11	+	+	-	Diploid
	GPdBhssBarWtrx-13	+	+	+	Tetraploid
	GPdBhssBarWtrx-14	+	+	+	Diploid
	GPdBhssBarWtrx-20	+	+	+	Tetraploid
	GPdBhssBarWtrx-21*	+	+	n.d.	Tetraploid
	GPdBhssBarWtrx-22	+	+	+	Tetraploid
	GPdBhssBarWtrx-29 <sup>+</sup>	+	+	+	Diploid

n.d., not determined.

\*Sterile.

<sup>†</sup>Homozygous plants were obtained from these lines.

loading dye was subjected to electrophoresis in a 1.0% agarose gel with ethidium bromide and photographed by using exposure to UV light. Presence of 0.4-kb (Wtrxh1, Wtrxh2R) and 0.14-kb (Wtrxh4, Wtrxh5R) fragments was consistent with intact and partial *wtrxh* fragments, respectively; an internal 0.34-kb fragment was produced with *bar* primers. Putative homozygous *wtrxh* and null segregant lines for *wtrxh* were identified by segregation analysis using PCR in the  $T_1$ ,  $T_2$ , or  $T_3$  generations.

Western Blot Analysis. Western blot analysis was performed on clarified protein extracts prepared from selected transgenic and null segregant lines and subjected to SDS/PAGE on 12-17% polyacrylamide gradient gels at pH 8.5 at 15 mA (19). An equal amount of protein (40  $\mu$ g) was diluted 1:2 (vol/vol) in Laemmli sample buffer, boiled for 3 min, and loaded onto the above gels. Proteins were transferred to nitrocellulose at a constant voltage of 40 V for 4 hr at 4°C by using a Hoefer Transphor Transfer Unit. Nitrocellulose was blocked with 5% powdered milk in buffer (20 mM Tris·HCl, pH 7.5, supplemented with 0.15 M NaCl) for 2 hr at 25°C, incubated in primary antibody for 4 hr at 25°C and in secondary antibody for 1 hr at 25°C. Primary antibody was wheat anti-thioredoxin h II (20) diluted 1:500; secondary antibody was goat anti-rabbit alkaline phosphatase (Bio-Rad) diluted 1:3,000. Blots were developed in nitroblue tetrazolium/5-bromo-4-chloro-3-indolyl phosphate alkaline phosphatase color reagent (according to Bio-Rad instructions); gels were stained with Coomassie blue to confirm transfer.

**Protein Determination and Image Scanning.** Protein was estimated according to Bradford (21) by using Coomassie blue reagents from Bio-Rad and  $\gamma$ -globulin as standard. The blots and pullulanase activity gels were scanned by using a Bio-Rad Gel Doc 1000 and analyzed by using Bio-Rad MULTI ANALYST, version 1.0.2.

**Preparation of Extracts from Dry Grain.** *Grain extract.* Fifteen grams of mature grain from selected heterozygous and homozygous

transgenic lines (see Table 1) was ground to powder in an electric coffee grinder (about 30 sec) and extracted with 80 ml (1:5 wt/vol) of buffer (50 mM Tris·HCl buffer, pH 7.9, containing 1 mM EDTA, 0.5 mM PMSF, 2 mM *e*-amino-*n* caproic acid, 2 mM benzamidine-HCl) by stirring for 3 hr at 4°C. The slurry suspension was centrifuged at 25,400  $\times$  g for 20 min, and the supernatant solution was saved; pellets were re-extracted once. The combined supernatant fractions were subjected to acidification, neutralization, and (NH<sub>4</sub>)<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> fractionation (22). The resulting supernatant solution from the 30–90% (NH<sub>4</sub>)<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> fraction was concentrated by dialysis against sucrose at 4°C and subjected either to column chromatography or heat treatment.

Column chromatography. The clarified and concentrated sample was applied to a Sephadex G-50 superfine column  $(2.5 \times 90 \text{ cm}; \approx 400 \text{ ml}$  bed volume) pre-equilibrated and eluted with 30 mM Tris·HCl, pH 7.9, 200 mM NaCl) by using a peristaltic pump at a flow rate of 0.5 ml/min. One hundred fifty drop-fractions were collected. Selected fractions were used to measure A<sub>280nm</sub> with a Pharmacia Biotech Ultrospec 4000 and to assay for thioredoxin *h* activity (see below). Active fractions were pooled and stored at 4°C.

*Heat treatment.* Extract was clarified by centrifugation as above. A 20-ml aliquot of the clarified extract was placed in a 65°C water-bath until the sample temperature reached ( $\approx 10$  min) and was held at 60 ± 1°C for 10 additional min; samples were cooled and then centrifuged (as above). Pellets were discarded, and the supernatant solutions were concentrated by sucrose as above and stored at -20°C until use.

**Germination of Barley Grain.** Grain was germinated in a dark chamber and retained for up to 5 days at  $25^{\circ}$ C under the conditions described earlier (4, 5). A set of plates from each line was removed for extract preparation each day.

**Preparation of Endosperm Extracts from Imbibed Grain.** Cell-free extracts were prepared from lots of 10–20 germinated grains of



**Fig. 2.** Western blot analysis of extracts from segregating  $T_1$  barley grain of stable transformants containing *wtrxh*. Forty micrograms of soluble protein extracted from 10–20 dry grains of each line was subjected to SDS/PAGE. Western blotting was performed with wheat thioredoxin *h* antibody. Exp. A: lanes 1 and 6, control barley extract (cv. Golden Promise); lane 2, bread wheat extract (*Triticum aestivum*, cv. Capitole); lane 3, extract from GPdBhssBarWtrx-22; lane 4, extract from GPdBhssBarWtrx-22. Exp. B: lane 1, GPdBhBarWtrx-2; lane 2, control barley extract. W, wheat; B, barley.

equivalent root and coleoptile length within a given cohort. Endosperm was separated from the embryo and other tissues and added to Tris-HCl buffer (50 mM, pH 7.9) supplemented with 1 mM EDTA and 0.5 mM PMSF (1:3 to 1:6, wt/vol ratio of tissue to buffer depending on developmental stage). After grinding in a mortar on ice, the sample was clarified by centrifugation (10 min at  $24,000 \times g$ ); the supernatant fraction was recovered and stored in 0.5-ml aliquots at  $-80^{\circ}$ C for pullulanase assay or gel analysis.

Assay for Thioredoxin *h*. Thioredoxin *h* was assayed as described (23). Fifty to 120 microliters of extract was preincubated with DTT, and 0.16–0.32  $\mu$ l of the preincubation mixture was used for the NADP-malate dehydrogenase (MDH) assay. Control assays were conducted on identical fractions in the absence of NADP-MDH.

**Assay of Pullulanase and Pullulanase Inhibitor**. Pullulanase activity was determined spectrophotometrically at 37°C by measuring dye released after 30 min at 534 nm by using red pullulan (Megazyme, Bray, Ireland) as substrate in 50 mM citrate-phosphate buffer (pH 5.2) (24). Pullulanase also was assayed on native activity gels of 7.5% acrylamide, 1.5 mm thickness, containing 1% red pullulan (25). Pullulanase inhibitor activity was determined on fractions heated to inactivate pullulanase (70°C for 15 min) by measuring the inhibition of the fractions on added purified barley malt pullulanase. The endogenous pullulanase activity was shown to be completely eliminated by this heat treatment whereas inhibitor activity was not affected (26, 27).

## Table 2. Western blot analysis of overexpression of wheat thioredoxin h in transgenic barley grain

	Fold	
Transgenic barley line	overexpression	
Nontransformed control		
GP 4-96	1.0*	
Transformant with <i>wtrxh</i> <sup>†</sup>		
GPdBhBarWtrx-2	6.4	
Transformants with <i>wtrxh</i> and signal sequence <sup>‡</sup>		
GPdBhssBarWtrx-7	5.5	
GPdBhssBarWtrx-22	22.0	
GPdBhssBarWtrx-29	10.0	
Null segregant <sup>§</sup>		
GPdBhssBarWtrx-29-11-10	0.64	

\*Corresponds to 1.46% of total volume scanned.

<sup>†</sup>pdBhWTRXhN-1.

<sup>‡</sup>pdBhssWTRXhN3-8.

§Originated from GPdBhssBarWtrx-29.

#### **Results and Discussion**

Transformation and Analysis of T<sub>0</sub> Plants and Their Progeny. The two gene constructs, when stably introduced into barley, led to expression of wheat thioredoxin h in the grain. In both cases wtrxh was driven by the B1-hordein promoter: pdBhssWTRXhN3-8 with a signal peptide sequence and pdBhWTRXhN-1 without (Fig. 1). Twenty-two independent putatively transformed, regenerable lines were identified after bialaphos selection (Table 1). Of these, all yielded *bar* fragments from  $T_0$  leaf tissue by PCR and all but two produced fragments specific for wtrxh, giving a 91% cotransformation frequency. Nine lines were transformed with pdBh-WTRXhN-1 containing no signal sequence and 11 with the signal sequence-containing plasmid, pdBhssWTRXhN3-8. Of the 22 independent transgenic lines examined, 12 showed a normal diploid chromosome complement (2n = 2x = 14), whereas the remaining 10 were tetraploid (2n = 4x = 28) (Table 1). Grain of T<sub>1</sub> plants and their progeny from selected wtrxh-positive lines were planted to screen for homozygous lines. Homozygous lines and null segregants were obtained from lines GPdBhssBarWtrx-2 and GPdBhssBar-Wtrx-29.

Characterization of Wheat Thioredoxin h Produced in Transgenic Grain. Of the stably transformed lines that expressed wheat thioredoxin h, on average, its level was found to be higher in transfor-

## Table 3. Relative total thioredoxin h activity in different transgenic barley lines

	% total
Transgenic barley line	activity
Nontransformed control	
GP 4-96 <sup>§</sup>	100*
Transformant with bar only	
GPBar-1	120
Transformants with wtrxh <sup>†</sup>	
GPdBhBarWtrx-1	192
GPdBhBarWtrx-22	151
GPdBhBarWtrx-23	180
Transformants with <i>wtrxh</i> and signal sequence <sup>‡</sup>	
GPdBhssBarWtrx-2	1,650
GPdBhssBarWtrx-14	1,723
GPdBhssBarWtrx-20	440
GPdBhssBarWtrx-22§	3,470
GPdBhssBarWtrx-29 <sup>§</sup>	1,316

\*Corresponds to 157 nmol NADPH oxidized per min.

<sup>†</sup>pdBhWTRXhN-1.

<sup>‡</sup>pdBhssWTRXhN3-8.

§Also analyzed by Western blot (compare with Table 2).



**Fig. 3.** Sephadex G-50 profile of thioredoxin *h* activity in transgenic barley grains. Thioredoxin *h* activity was determined by using the NADP-MDH assay. GPdBhssBarWtrx-2 and GPdBhssBarWtrx-29 are lines stably transformed with *wtrxh* driven by the B<sub>1</sub>-hordein promoter with its signal peptide sequence.

mants that had the signal peptide-containing construct (Fig. 2, Table 2) than to those that did not; further analyses therefore were carried out with the lines that had the signal peptide (see also Table 3). Western blot analysis of soluble protein fractions from heterozygous mixtures of seeds from three of these lines, GPdBhssBarWtrx-7, GPdBhssBarWtrx-22, and GPdBhssBarWtrx-29 showed 5.5 times, 22 times, and 10 times more thioredoxin h, respectively, than nontransformed control grain (Table 2). The thioredoxin content of the null segregant (GPdBhssBarWtrx-29–11–10) was similar to that of the corresponding, nontransformed control.

When probed on Western blots, extracts from barley typically showed one immunologically reactive band (identified by B in Fig. 2, Exp. A, lanes 1 and 6), but in some transfers showed a second faint, faster moving band (Fig. 2, Exp. B, lane 2). Tissues from transgenic lines overexpressing *wtrxh* were characterized by a band that did not correspond to either of the two counterparts in barley, but rather to thioredoxin *h* from wheat. The difference between the overexpressed 13.5-kDa wheat and the endogenous



**Fig. 4.** Western blot analysis of extracts of  $T_2$  and  $T_3$  barley grain transformed with *wtrxh*. Forty micrograms of soluble proteins extracted from 10–20 grains of each line was fractionated by SDS/PAGE. Lane 1, wheat germ thioredoxin *h*; lane 2, nontransgenic control of GP 4–96; lane 3, null segregant  $T_3$  grain of GPdBhssBarWtrx-29–11–10; lane 4, heterozygous T<sub>1</sub> grain of GPdBhssBarWtrx-29, lane 5, homozygous T<sub>2</sub> grain of GPdBhssBarWtrx-29–3; lane 6, homozygous T<sub>3</sub> grain of GPdBhssBarWtrx-29–3; lane 6, homozygous T<sub>3</sub> grain of GPdBhssBarWtrx-29–3; lane 7, prestained standards: aprotinin, 6.9 kDa; lysozyme, 17.8 kDA; soybean trypsin inhibitor, 30.6 kDA; carbonic anhydrase, 41.8 kDa; BSA, 71 kDa.



**Fig. 5.** Effect of overexpressed thioredoxin *h* on pullulanase activity in transgenic barley grain during germination and seedling development. A homozygous line, GPdBhssBarWtrx-29–3, and a null segregant, GPdBhssBarWtrx-29–11–10, were used for the pullulanase assays. (*Top*) Pullulanase was assayed spectro-photometrically by measuring the dye released from red pullulan substrate at 534 nm. (*Middle*) Pullulanase was separated on native 7.5% polyacrylamide gels containing the red pullulanase, is seen as clear areas that developed on incubating the gel in 0.2 M succinate buffer, pH 6.0, for 1 hr at 37°C. (*Bottom*) The gel in Exp. B was scanned and analyzed by integration of the activity bands.

13.1-kDa barley thioredoxin h is particularly obvious in the barley line transformed with the nontargeted thioredoxin h gene (Fig. 2, Exp. A, lane 5 and Exp. B, lane 1). Repeated analyses of the various transgenic lines by SDS/PAGE led to the conclusion that the band identified in Fig. 2 by W corresponds to the bread wheat thioredoxin introduced in barley. Independent biochemical assays with 5,5'-dithiobis(2-nitrobenzoic acid) (DTNB) (22) confirmed the ability of barley NTR to reduce wheat thioredoxin h (B. C. Yee and B.B.B., unpublished results).

Activity of Wheat Thioredoxin h Overexpressed in Barley Grain. Activity of thioredoxin h in grain extracts was measured by using an assay in which thioredoxin, reduced by DTT, activates chloroplast NADP-MDH. Because of interference from other endogenous enzymes that oxidize NADPH, thioredoxin h cannot be accurately assayed in crude grain extracts, thereby necessitating a partial purification. In our initial analyses, extracts prepared from transgenic and control grain were partially purified by  $(NH_4)_2SO_4$  fractionation and gel filtration chromatography. Activity profiles based on these assays showed that the activity of thioredoxin *h* in the grain transformed with the signal sequence was much higher than that of the nontransformed control (Fig. 3).

Because of the tediousness of the purification protocol and the requirement for large grain quantities, the isolation procedure was modified to replace the  $(NH_4)_2SO_4$  and Sephadex G-50 column steps with a heat treatment. A heat step, the suitability of which was based on the early observation that Escherichia coli thioredoxin is stable at 60°C for 10 min (28), was found to decrease endogenous, nonspecific NADPH oxidation, thereby increasing the reliability of the activity measurements. Grain from nine different barley lines (transformed and nontransformed) was extracted, heat-treated, and assayed by using the NADP-MDH assay (Table 3). Total thioredoxin h activity in grain from lines segregating for the B<sub>1</sub>-hordein promoter-signal sequence construct ranged from 4- to 30-fold higher than from nontransformed lines or those transformed only with bar. Although wheat thioredoxin h appears to be relatively heatresistant, it is not known whether all activity in the different transformed barley grain survives this treatment.

Identification of Homozygous Lines. Because of their value in assessing biochemical attributes of the grain, homozygous *wtrxh* lines were identified and analyzed by Western blot. The two lines identified as homozygous both showed enhanced expression of thioredoxin hrelative to that of their heterozygous parents and nontransformed controls; analysis of GPdBhssBarWtrx-29–3 is shown in Fig. 4. It is noted that demonstration of the thioredoxin h present in the nontransgenic control and null segregant grains (not obvious in the exposure shown in Fig. 4) required conditions that led to overexposure of the enriched transgenic preparations. Thioredoxin in the parent heterozygous grain was shown to be biochemically active (Fig. 3).

Activity of Pullulanase. Pullulanase is an amylolytic enzyme present in cereal grain, which has a disulfide inhibitor protein (26, 27), the activity of which is linked to thioredoxin (8). Thioredoxin, reduced by NADPH via NTR, reduces the disulfide bonds of the inhibitor, allowing the targeted pullulanase enzyme to be active. Because of this link, it was of interest to determine the activity of pullulanase in the thioredoxin *h*-overexpressing transformants.

As demonstrated in spectrophotometric assays (Fig. 5 Top),

- 1. Buchanan, B. B. (1991) Arch. Biochem. Biophys. 288, 1-9.
- 2. Jacquot, J.-P., Lancelin, J.-M. & Meyer, Y. (1997) New Phytol. 136, 543-570.
- 3. Besse, I. & Buchanan, B. B. (1997) Bot. Bull. Acad. Sin. (Taipei) 38, 1-11.
- Kobrehel, K., Wong, J. H., Balogh, A., Kiss, F., Yee, B. C. & Buchanan, B. B. (1992) *Plant Physiol.* 99, 919–924.
- Lozano, R. M., Wong, J. H., Yee, B. C., Peters, A., Kobrehel, K. & Buchanan, B. B. (1996) *Planta* 200, 100–106.
- Jiao, J., Yee, B. C., Wong, J. H., Kobrehel, K. & Buchanan, B. B. (1993) *Plant Physiol. Biochem.* 31, 799–804.
- Besse, I., Wong, J. H., Kobrehel, K. & Buchanan, B. B. (1996) Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 93, 3169–3175.
- Wong, J. H., Jiao, J.-A., Kobrehel K. & Buchanan, B. B. (1995) *Plant Physiol.* 108, 67 (abstr.).
- 9. Gautier, M.-F., Lullien-Pellerin, V., De Lamotte-Guery, F., Guirao, A. & Joudrier, P. (1998) *Eur. J. Biochem.* **252**, 314–324.
- Brandt, A., Montembault, A., Cameron-Mills, V. & Rasmussen, S. K. (1985) Carlsberg Res. Commun. 50, 333–345.
- 11. Wan, Y. & Lemaux, P. G. (1994) Plant Physiol. 104, 37-48.
- Lemaux, P. G., Cho, M.-J., Louwerse, J., Williams, R. & Wan, Y. (1996) *Bio-Rad Bull.* 2007, 1–6.
- 13. Cho, M.-J., Jiang, W. & Lemaux, P. G. (1998) Plant Sci. 138, 229-244.
- 14. Christensen, A. H. & Quail, P. H. (1996) Transgenic Res. 5, 1-6.
- Hunter, C. P. (1988) Ph.D. thesis (Wye College, University of London, Ashford, Kent).

extracts from transformed grain of a homozygous line (GPdBhss-BarWtrx-29–3) overexpressing thioredoxin h showed a 3- to 4-fold increase in pullulanase activity on the fifth day after initiation of germination relative to a null segregant. Confirmatory results were obtained in a separate experiment with native activity gels. The increase in activity was apparent either when gels were viewed directly (Fig. 5 Middle) or when the activity on the gels was assessed by scanning and integrating the clarified bands (Fig. 5 Bottom). A homozygous line isolated from a different, independent transformation event (GPdBssBarWtrx-2-1-15) showed a similar response (data not shown). Analysis of comparable grain extracts revealed that the pullulanase inhibitor was inactive on the fourth and fifth days after water addition in both the transformant and null segregants. These results thus demonstrate that the increase in pullulanase activity observed after the third day is not caused by enhanced inactivation of the inhibitor in the transgenic grain but to a mechanism yet to be defined. It is possible that thioredoxin acts either by increasing the *de novo* synthesis of pullulanase (29) or by lowering the binding of the mature enzyme to the starchy endosperm. There is evidence that some of the pullulanase of the mature endosperm is present in bound form and can be solubilized by reducing conditions (30, 31).

**Concluding Comments.** The present findings add a dimension to the role of thioredoxin h in germination and seedling development. When overexpressed and targeted to the barley grain endosperm, thioredoxin h acts to enhance pullulanase activity mainly via a mechanism independent of its earlier identified ability to inactivate a specific disulfide inhibitor protein. Next it should be elucidated how thioredoxin h mediates this effect and whether other enzymes functional during and after germination show a similar response. It will be of interest to know how this activity relates to other roles thioredoxin h is known to play in plants—for example, involvement in cell division, DNA replication, oxidative stress, and self-incompatibility (3, 32).

We thank Dr. M. B. Sørensen (Carlsberg Research Laboratory, Copenhagen, Denmark) for the gift of  $\lambda 2-4/HindIII$ , Dr. P. Joudrier (Institut National de la Recherche Agronomique, Montpellier, France) for pTaM13.38, and Dr. P. Quail (U.S. Department of Agriculture, Plant Gene Expression Center, Albany, CA) for pAHC20. The assistance of B. C. Yee, P.-H. Ren, N. Cai, D. Okamoto, H. W. Choi, and B. Alonso also is acknowledged. This project was supported by U.S. Department of Agriculture National Research Initiative #93-37500-9586, Coors Brewing Co., University of California Agricultural Experiment Station, Cooperative Extension, and BioSTAR Program, Applied Phytologics, Inc., and Novartis, Inc.

- 16. Choi, H. W., Lemaux, P. G. & Cho, M.-J. (2000) Crop Sci., in press.
- Cho, M.-J., Choi, H. W., Buchanan, B. B. & Lemaux, P. G. (1999) *Theor. Appl. Genet.* 98, 1253–1262.
- Dellaporta, S. (1993) in Maize Handbook, eds. Freeling, M. & Walbot, V. (Springer, New York), pp. 522–525.
- 19. Laemmli, U. K. (1970) Nature (London) 227, 680-685.
- Johnson, T. C., Wada, K., Buchanan, B. B. & Holmgren, A. (1987) *Plant Physiol.* 85, 446–451.
- 21. Bradford, M. M. (1976) Anal. Biochem. 72, 248-254.
- Florencio, F. J., Yee, B. C., Johnson, T. C. & Buchanan, B. B. (1988) Arch. Biochem. Biophys. 266, 496–507.
- Johnson, T. C., Cao, R. Q., Kung, J. E., Buchanan, B. B. & Holmgren, A. (1987) *Planta* 171, 321–331.
- 24. Serre, L. & Lauriere, C. (1990) Anal. Biochem. 186, 312-315.
- 25. Furegon, L., Curioni, A. & Peruffo, A. D. B. (1994) Anal. Biochem. 221, 200-201.
- Macri, L. J., MacGregor, A. W. & Schroeder, S. W. (1993) J. Cereal Sci. 18, 103–106.
- 27. MacGregor, A. W., Macri, L. J. & Schroeder, S. W. (1994) J. Cereal Sci. 20, 33-41.
- 28. Mark, D. F. & Richardson, C. C. (1976) Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 73, 780-784.
- 29. Hardie, D. G. (1975) Phytochemistry 14, 1719-1722.
- 30. Sissons, M. J., Lance, R. C. M. (1993) J. Cereal Sci. 17, 19-24.
- 31. Sissons, M. J., Lance, R. C. M. & Wallace, W. (1994) Cereal Chem. 71, 520-521.
- Mouaheb, N., Thomas, D., Verdoucq, L., Monfort, P. & Meyer, Y. (1998). Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 95, 3312–3317.