

Molecular Credentialing of Rodent Bladder Carcinogenesis Models¹

Paul D. Williams^{*}, Jae K. Lee^{*} and Dan Theodorescu[†]

*Department of Public Health Sciences, Division of Biostatistics, University of Virginia School of Medicine, Charlottesville, VA 22908-0717, USA; [†]Department of Molecular Physiology and Biological Physics, University of Virginia School of Medicine, Charlottesville, VA 22908, USA

Abstract

Cancer of the urinary bladder is often a result of exposure to chemical carcinogens. Models of this disease have been developed by exposing rodents to *N*-butyl-*N*-(4-hydroxybutyl)-nitrosamine (OH-BBN). The resultant tumors are histologically similar to human disease, but little is known about genetic similarities to the latter. Such knowledge would help identify or corroborate genes found important in human bladder cancer and suggest biologically appropriate mechanistic studies. We address this need by comparing gene expression profiles associated with urothelial carcinoma for three different species: mouse, rat, and human. We find that many human genes homologous to those differentially expressed in carcinogen-induced rodent tumors are also differentially expressed in human disease and are preferentially associated with progression from non–muscle-invasive to muscle-invasive disease. We also find that overall gene expression profiles of rodent tumors correspond more closely with those of invasive human tumors rather than non–muscle-invasive tumors. Finally, we provide a list of genes that are likely candidates for driving this disease process by virtue of their concordant regulation in tumors of all three species.

Neoplasia (2008) 10, 838–846

Introduction

Cancer of the urinary bladder is the fourth most common newly diagnosed cancer in men in the United States, with 51,230 new diagnoses predicted in 2008, and will be responsible for an estimated 14,100 deaths [1]. Most bladder tumors in the western world are of urothelial ("transitional") cell histology and are categorized according to cellular grade and the extent to which the tumor invades the surrounding tissues. The prognosis is good for those with non–muscleinvasive tumors, whereas those with muscle-invasive disease are at increased risk of metastasis and death [2]. Having robust and clinically relevant animal models for the mechanistic study of the causes underlying carcinogenesis, tumor progression, and metastasis of urothelial carcinoma would improve treatment and prognosis for patients with bladder cancer.

Many bladder cancers result from exposure to chemical carcinogens. It is estimated that one third to one half of bladder tumors are associated with cigarette smoking [3,4]. Whereas the specific causative agent in cigarette smoke remains unidentified, α - and β naphthylamine are suspected. Occupational exposure to aromatic amines such as β -naphthylamine, 4-aminobiphenyl, benzidine, and 2-amino-1-naphthol, among other chemicals, accounts for an additional 20% to 30% of bladder cancer cases in the United States [5,6].

Given the importance of chemical carcinogenesis to the development of bladder cancer, we sought to evaluate the degree of molecular similarity of two commonly used primary rodent models of this disease to human bladder cancer. Both models involve the administration of N-butyl-N-(4-hydroxybutyl)-nitrosamine (OH-BBN) to mice [7,8] or rats [9–11]. Second, if molecular similarity did exist, we sought to determine, by virtue of cross-species comparison, which genes were the most important in bladder tumor development and progression, thus providing promising leads for future research. Experiments profiling the gene expression of normal urothelium and OH-BBN–induced

Address all correspondence to: Dan Theodorescu, Department of Molecular Physiology and Biological Physics, Box 800422, University of Virginia School of Medicine, Charlottesville, VA 22908. E-mail: theodorescu@virginia.edu

¹This work was supported by National Institutes of Health grants T32DK069264 to P.D.W. and R01CA075115 to D.T.

Received 1 April 2008; Revised 5 May 2008; Accepted 8 May 2008

Copyright © 2008 Neoplasia Press, Inc. All rights reserved 1522-8002/08/\$25.00 DOI 10.1593/neo.08432

bladder tumors in both rat and mice have been published [12,13], providing an opportunity to address these important questions.

Methods

Human Bladder Cancer Microarrays

We constructed a human bladder cancer gene expression data set of 53 previously published Affymetrix HG-U133A GeneChips, including normal urothelial tissue and both non-muscle-invasive and muscle-invasive tumors. Data from 30 microarray chips were downloaded from the Gene Expression Omnibus Web site (GEO Accession Number GSE3167) [14-16], whereas those of 23 chips were obtained locally [17]. The clinical characteristics of the characterized tissues are summarized in Table 1. Microarray profiles were classified according to the type of tissue hybridized to the chip. Fifteen chips were hybridized with RNA from normal urothelium, 14 chips with non-muscle-invasive bladder tumors (defined as stage Ta or T1 tumors with grade less than 3), and 24 chips with muscleinvasive bladder tumors (defined as stage T2 or greater, any grade). We used the Robust Multichip Average method to quantile-normalize, background-adjust, and summarize the gene expression values from these chips [18-20].

Rodent Bladder Cancer Microarrays

Yao et al. [12] found 1554 mouse genes differentially expressed between bladder cancer and normal urothelial tissue with a *t* test *P* value < .05 and fold change ≥ 2 , 867 of which were overexpressed and 687 underexpressed in the tumors. They published 53 overexpressed and 37 underexpressed genes along with fold change values. In a similar study, they found 1138 rat genes to be differentially expressed with a *t* test *P* value < .05 and fold change ≥ 2 , 770 of which were overexpressed and 368 underexpressed in the tumors. They published 98 overexpressed and 47 underexpressed genes or transcripts with fold change values [13].

Homology and Statistical Analysis of Bladder Cancer Microarrays

We used the NetAffx information site (http://www.affymetrix.com) to determine which human Affymetrix HG-U133A probe sets were homologous with the published rodent genes [21] (Figure 1*A*). Because Yao et al. [12] used Affymetrix MG-U74Av2 and Rat 230 2.0 GeneChips to measure gene expression in the normal and cancerous tissues, we first determined which MG-U74Av2 probe sets correspond to the accession numbers of the listed mouse genes and which

Table 1. Stage and Grade of Human Bladder Cancer Specimens.

Stage	Grade (1–4)	Ν
Normal	n/a	15
Ta	1	1
Ta	2	10
T1	2	3
T2	2	1
T2	3	4
Т3	3	6
Т3	4	3
T4	2	1
Τ4	3	9

Rat 230 2.0 probe sets correspond to the listed rat genes or transcript accession numbers. We then found the HG-U133A probe sets that were homologous to these matched mouse and rat genes. Some rodent genes had multiple human homologs, whereas others had none. No human probe sets were homologous to more than one mouse or rat gene, with the exception of 201429_s_at and 202240_at, which were homologous to *Plk* and *Plk-ps1*. Because *Plk-ps1* is a pseudogene, we used the fold change value for *Plk* in subsequent analyses. For simplicity, we refer to the human probe sets homologous to the genes differentially expressed between tumor and normal bladder in the rodent as "mouse homologs" and "rat homologs" respectively and collectively as "rodent homologs".

To examine the importance of individual rodent homologs to transformation (normal vs cancer) and progression in humans, we first used Student's t test to determine the significance of the difference in expression between normal urothelium and non-muscle-invasive cancer, between normal urothelium and muscle-invasive cancer, between non-muscle-invasive and muscle-invasive cancer, and between normal and cancerous urothelium, for the 53 chips in the human bladder microarray data set. Thus, for every homologous probe set, there were four different P values representing the significance level of the aforementioned tests. (We considered genes to be significantly differentially expressed if at least half of their corresponding probe sets are significantly differentially expressed in the same direction, unless there are other probe sets significantly differentially expressed in the opposite direction.) To gain more insight into the meaning of these nominal significance values, we additionally calculated the significance of the difference in expression for the remaining 21,873 probe sets on the HG-U133A chips for the four different comparisons described previously.

To determine whether the rodent homologs were enriched with genes significant to human disease progression, we used two similar statistical techniques. First, we performed a one-sided Kolmogorov-Smirnov (KS) test to compare the P value distribution of the homologous probe sets to the P value distribution of all 22,215 probe sets found on the 53 HG-U133A chips profiling human bladder cancer tissue. To estimate false discovery rate (FDR) Q values for the KS tests, we performed the KS test on 1000 groups of randomly selected probe sets (with the same number of probe sets as in the groups of rodent homologs) to generate a distribution of random KS test P values. We then used the R package qvalue to estimate the Q value for the KS test involving the rodent homologs, using the 1000 random KS P values and the rodent homolog KS P value as input. We also used the Gene Set Enrichment Analysis (GSEA) program (version 2.0) [22-24] to test the rodent homologs for enrichment with significantly and differentially expressed probe sets in the 53 human samples categorized as previously mentioned, using the *t* test as the gene-ranking method, without collapsing the data set to gene symbols.

To determine whether the patterns of expression in rodent bladder tumors are more similar to those of invasive or noninvasive human cancers, we calculated human expression fold change values for each non-muscle-invasive or muscle-invasive tumor chip by dividing the expression value of an individual probe set by the average expression of all the normal urothelial chips for that probe set. We then generated a "mouse" or "rat" expression profile by assigning the fold change values (from the rodent normal *vs* tumor comparison) for a particular mouse or rat gene to all human probe sets homologous to that gene. We then performed hierarchical clustering analysis of the "rodent" profile and human tumor profiles. To improve the distinction between the clusters



Figure 1. (A) Diagrammatic representation of the analysis workflow and results. (B) Venn diagrams showing the numbers of genes differentially regulated in human, mouse, and rat and concordantly regulated. Shown are genes overexpressed or underexpressed in tumors compared to normal urothelium. Asterisk (*) indicates genes whose pattern of expression is shown in Figure 2.

of non–muscle-invasive and muscle-invasive tumors, we only clustered probe sets with significant (P < .1) differences between non–muscle-invasive and muscle-invasive expression.

Results

Evaluation of Rodent Homologs as a Function of Malignancy

We first determined the human homologs of the rodent genes using NetAffx, as described in the Methods section; these are listed in Table W1. We found that the 90 originally published mouse genes correspond to 94 MG-U74Av2 probe sets and that the 145 originally published rat genes correspond to 173 Rat 230 2.0 probe sets. We subsequently found 144 unique human HG-U133A probe sets homologous to the 94 mouse probe sets and 223 unique human HG-U133A probe sets homologous to the 173 rat probe sets. Twentyfive human probe sets were homologous to genes that were differentially expressed in both rat and mouse. We were unable to find human probe sets homologous to nine mouse genes, and as mentioned in the Methods section, we ignored *Plk-ps1* due to its pseudogenous nature; thus, analyses were performed on homologs of 80 mouse genes



Figure 2. Patterns of expression for genes differentially regulated in human, mouse, and rat bladder tumors as a function of human bladder state. The average normalized log2(gene expression) value for normal urothelium, non-muscle-invasive and muscle-invasive cancers are plotted for each tissue type. Data for all significantly and differentially expressed probe sets for the genes identified with an asterisk (*) in Figure 1*B* are plotted here. Line color codes relate to the gene expression pattern as a function of tumorigenicity and progression as shown in Table 2 (genes in bold). For example, genes with red lines are significantly differentially expressed between normal urothelium and noninvasive tumor and between noninvasive tumor and invasive human tumor.

corresponding to 83 MG-U74Av2 probe sets. Similarly, we were unable to find human probe sets homologous to 35 rat genes; thus, analyses were performed on 110 rat genes corresponding to 138 Rat 230 2.0 probe sets.

We then examined how expression levels of rodent homologs changed according to human bladder state (normal, non–muscleinvasive, and muscle-invasive). After classifying the tissue samples into normal and malignant urothelium, we examined the differential expression between each pair of these three groups of tissues, using Student's *t* test. The numbers of probe sets and corresponding genes that were significantly (P < .05) differentially expressed between normal urothelium and urothelial carcinomas were computed. We found that 52 (65%) of 80 mouse homologs [86 (60%) of 144 probe sets] and 71 (63%) of 112 rat homologs [138 (62%) of 223 probe sets] were significantly differentially regulated between human normal urothelium and urothelial carcinoma (of any grade or stage). We further found that of 11 genes homologous among all three species, namely, human, rat, and mouse, 9 genes (82%), or 18 (72%) of 25 probe sets, were similarly differentially expressed.

Identification of Interspecies Concordant Homologs in Transformation and Progression

In Figure 1*B*, we show the numbers of homologous genes that are significantly differentially expressed between normal and cancerous

Table 2. Genes Differentially Regulated with Increasing Invasiveness in Human Bladder Cancer and Concordant in Expression with the Corresponding Rodent Homolog.

Expression Pattern	Mouse Homologs	Rat Homologs
Positively correlated with transformation* and invasiveness	CCNB2, CDC2, CDC20, CKS1B, MAD2L1, NMI, RACGAP1, RAD51, TFDP1	BUB1B, CCNB1, CCNB2, CDC2, CDC20, ECT2, MAD2L1, RACGAP1
Negatively correlated with transformation invasiveness	N/A	N/A
Significantly increased in cancer, no significant change with invasiveness	CCNE1, PIK3CA, RAN, RHOG, RIN2	DUSP6, PYCARD
Significantly decreased in cancer, no significant change with invasiveness	ARHGDIG, GATA4, MAP2K6, SH3BGR, SH3GL2, SH3GL3	HRASLS, LGI1, PAK3, PPARA, WT1
Significantly increased in non-muscle-invasive disease only	CCND1, MKNK1, RAD9A, SKAP2	AKT1, ARHGAP8, BCL6, CCND1, IGFBP3, IGFBP4, NDRG4
Significantly decreased in non-muscle-invasive disease only	GAS1	CARD9, CDKN1C, FGFR2, FRS2, NTRK1, PDGFRL, RASA3, RORA, SNFT
Significantly increased in muscle-invasive disease only	CCNA2, CRELD2, FOXM1, MAP4K4, NFKBIE	CCNA2, CDCA3, CDKN2A, CDKN2C, CDKN3, KIF20A, MKI67, RAP2B
Significantly decreased in muscle-invasive disease only	GATA2, LMO1, NDRG2, SH2B1, TCF2, TCF21, TCF7L1	CYP11A1, CYP3A43, FHIT, NDRG2, RAB27B, RORC

Symbols in bold indicate homology with both rat and mouse (eight genes corresponding to the central regions in Figure 1*B* and whose expressions are graphed in Figure 2). *Defined as the difference between normal and cancer.

Table 3. Gene Ontology of Mouse Homologs Differentially Expressed between Normal Human Urothelium and Cancer, Listed in Table 2.

Classification	Gene
Overexpressed in human muscle-invasive disease	
Cell cycle–related	CCNA2 (Ccna2), CCNB2 (Ccnb2), CCND1 (Ccnd1), CCNE1 (Ccne1), CDC2 (CDC2a), CDC20 (CDC20), CKS1B (Cks1), MAD2L1 (Mad2l1), TFDP1 (Dp1)
EGF/EGFR pathway	MAP4K4 (Map4k4), PIK3CA (Pik3ca), SKAP2 (Scap2)
Ras pathway	RACGAP1 (Racgap1), RAD51 (Rad51), RAD9A (Rad9), RAN (Rasl2–9), RHOG (Ahrg), RIN2 (Rin2)
Transcription regulators	CRELD2 (Etro6), FOXM1 (Foxm1), MKNK1 (Mknk1), NFKBIE (Nfkbie), NMI (Nmt)
Underexpressed in human muscle-invasive disease	
Cell cycle-related	GAS1 (Gas1)
MAPK/SRC pathway	MAP2K6 (Map2k6), SH2B1 (Sh2bpsm1), SH3BGR (Sh3bgr), SH3GL2 (Sh3gl2), SH3GL3 (Sh3gl3)
Ras pathway	ARHGDIG (Arhgdig)
Transcription regulators	GATA2 (Gata2), GATA4 (Gata4), LMO1 (Lmo1), NDRG2 (Ndr2), TCF2 (Tcf2), TCF21 (Tcf21), TCF7L1 (Tcf3)

Gene symbols in parentheses represent the homologous mouse gene. Symbols in bold indicate homology with both rat and mouse (eight genes corresponding to the central regions in Figure 1B and whose expressions are graphed in Figure 2).

urothelium in all three species. Of 80 human homologs of the genes differentially expressed in mouse tumors, 55 genes were significantly differentially expressed in human (P < .05). However, of those 55 genes, only 31 were concordantly regulated; in other words, the direction of the change in expression in bladder tumors was the same in both species. Similarly, of 112 human homologs of the published rat genes, 74 were significantly differentially expressed in humans; 41 of these genes were concordantly regulated in both species. Few genes were differentially expressed and were concordantly regulated in all three species-seven genes were overexpressed and one was repressed. The patterns of gene expression for these eight genes (corresponding to the central regions in Figure 1B) are shown in Figure 2. Interestingly, for most of these genes, the expression level is correlated with invasiveness; NDRG2 is the sole gene to decrease expression with increasing invasiveness. Two genes (CCNA2 and CCND1) are significantly up-regulated only in invasive tumors (compared to noninvasive) and in noninvasive tumors (compared to invasive), respectively.

To better understand the contribution of individual rodent homologs to human disease and progression, a similar analysis was performed for all rodent homologs. A complete list of human genes that are both homologous to and concordantly regulated with either mouse or rat is shown in Table 2. These genes are further classified according to different trends of significant differential expression with invasiveness. In Tables 3 and 4, these genes are listed as a function of the ontologies of the rodent homologs and indicate that genes associated with disease progression are associated with the cell cycle, transcription regulation, or the *Ras* and *EGFR* pathways.

Gene Enrichment Analysis Suggests Rodent Tumors Reflect the Molecular Profile of Human Cancer

To determine whether rodent homologs were significantly enriched with genes significant to human bladder cancer, we performed two separate but similar analyses. First, we applied the KS test to compare the distributions of t test P values between the rodent homologs and all 22,215 probe sets on the HG-U133A chips. We also used the GSEA program; because the GSEA program does not yet support testing gene sets with both up- and down-regulated genes, we split our gene sets into up- and down-regulated sets and ran the analysis for these split sets. The P values and FDR Q values for the KS tests and the GSEA tests are listed in Table 5.

The KS test results suggest that although the rodent homologs are not significantly associated with the initial development of human cancer, they are associated with the progression between non-muscleinvasive and muscle-invasive tumors. The results from GSEA tests are more complex: they support the KS test observation that rodent homologs are significantly associated with invasiveness, but the results also suggest that these genes are associated with the development of human tumors. (The small number of genes significantly down-regulated in all species may be the reason the down-regulated sets are not significantly

Table 4. Gene Ontology of Rat Homologs Differentially Expressed between Normal Human Urothelium and Cancer, as Listed in Table 2.

Classification	Gene
Overexpressed in human muscle-invasive disease	
Apoptosis	PYCARD (Pycard)
Cell cycle–related	CCNA2 (Cena2), CCNB1 (Cenb1), CCNB2 (Cenb2), CCND1 (Cend1), CDC2 (Cdc2a), CDC20 (Cdc20), CDCA3 (Cdca3), CDKN2A (Cdkn2a), CDKN2C (Cdkn2c), CDKN3 (Cdkn3), DUSP6 (Dusp6), KIF20A (Cdc23), MAD2L1 (Mad2l1), MK167 (Mk167)
Growth factors	IGFBP3 (Igfbp3), IGFBP4 (Igfbp4)
Oncogenes	ETC2 (Etc2), NDRG4 (Ndr4)
Small G-proteins	RACGAP1 (Racgap1), RAP2B (Rap2b)
Underexpressed in human muscle-invasive diseas	se
Apoptosis	NTRK1 (Ntrk1)
Cell cycle–related	CDKN1C (Cdkn1c), PPARA (Ppara), SNFT (Jundp1)
Growth factors	FGFR2 (FGFR2), FRS2 (BE112403), PDGFRL (BM384311)
Oncogenes	FHIT (Fhit), HRASLS (AI548958), NDRG2 (Ndrg2), PAK3 (Pak3), WT1 (Wt1)
Others	CYP11A1 (Cyp11a1), CYP3A43 (Cyp3a18), LGII (Lgi1), RORA (AI235414), RORC (BE110171)
Small G-proteins	RAB27B (Rab27b), RASA3 (Rasa3)

Gene symbols or accession numbers in parentheses represent the homologous rat gene. Symbols in bold indicate homology with both rat and mouse (eight genes corresponding to the central regions in Figure 1*B* and whose expressions are graphed in Figure 2).

Table 5. Kolmogorov–Smirnov and GSEA *P* values and FDR *Q* values (in Parentheses) Showing the Significance of Enrichment of Sets of Rodent Homologous Genes with Probe Sets Significantly Differentially Expressed in Human Cancer.

		Normal vs Cancer		Normal vs Non–Muscle-Invasive		Normal vs Muscle-Invasive		Noninvasive vs Muscle-Invasive	
		KS	GSEA	KS	GSEA	KS	GSEA	KS	GSEA
Mouse	Down Up	0.323 (0.989)	0.0383 (0.0713) 0 (0.0824)	0.254 (1)	0.0564 (0.109) 0.008 (0.312)	0.151 (0.974)	0.0998 (0.448) 0.0183 (0.0947)	6 × 10 ⁻⁷ (0.0006)	0 (0.00556) 0 (0.00972)
Rat	Down Up	0.896 (0.976)	0.0476 (0.0564) 0.00963 (0.0649)	0.320 (0.999)	0.0269 (0.0576) 0.0463 (0.154)	0.769 (0.947)	0.0646 (0.653) 0.00403 (0.0603)	5 × 10 ⁻⁴ (0.114)	0 (0.00478) 0 (0.00321)
Intersection	Down Up	0.047 (0.919)	0.278 (0.273) 0.0286 (0.155)	0.484 (1)	0.285 (0.325) 0.200 (0.227)	0.028 (1)	0.372 (0.701) 0.0332 (0.0778)	2 × 10 ⁻⁴ (0.163)	0.259 (0.323) 0.042 (0.141)

Numbers in bold represent statistically significant (FDR < 0.2) results.

enriched.) There are other significant findings as well; in particular, the rat homologs seem to be associated with the initial development of noninvasive human tumors. In general, it is clear that the rodent homologs are indeed relevant to human disease.

Rodent Tumors Have More Molecular Similarity to Invasive Rather Than Noninvasive Human Tumors

To determine whether these rodent models are more relevant for the study of noninvasive or invasive human disease, we performed unsupervised hierarchical clustering of expression fold changes between cancerous and normal tissues for the rodent homologs, where all probe sets homologous to a particular rodent gene are assigned the published fold change values [12,13] or the fold change as a function of tumor stage in the human samples (Table 1). The clusters for the mouse and rat homologs are shown in Figure 3, A and B, respectively. Figure 3A shows that the mouse homologs cluster into two main groups when human tumor stage data are used: one includes a mixture of non-muscle-invasive and muscle-invasive tumors, whereas the other is made up of exclusively muscle-invasive tumors. The "mouse" fold change profile derived from the rodent genes differentially expressed as a function of transformation clusters closely with this latter group, showing that the induced mouse tumors have a similar gene expression to a subset of human invasive tumors. Figure 3B shows the "rat" fold change profile clusters with most of the invasive human tumors. Together, these data suggest that rodent bladder tumors have gene expression profiles more similar to those of human invasive than noninvasive tumors and thus would be a better experimental model for the former.

Discussion

We have previously studied genes differentially regulated in metastatic human bladder cancer xenografts in mice to gain insight into regions of the chromosome involved in bladder cancer metastasis [25]. In this article, we study human homologs of rodent genes differentially expressed in chemical-induced animal models of bladder cancer. The comparison of evolutionarily conserved genes in different species is a frequently used technique–sequence alignments and comparative genomics analyses are often used to infer the function or evolutionary origin of a gene or protein, for example. Cross-species comparative expression profiling is relatively new, however, although it seems intuitive that genes with evolutionarily conserved sequences ought to have somewhat similar functions and should presumably be regulated in similar ways. Comparison of the tumor-related expression patterns of homologous genes in animal models of human cancers has been used to study the relevance of liver cancer in zebrafish to human liver [26], to evaluate seven different mouse models of hepatocellular carcinoma to find the most appropriate for liver cancer in humans [27], to compare a transgenic mouse model of lung cancer with a variety of human lung cancers [28], and to analyze several mouse models of breast cancer [29].

In the present study, we examined the patterns of gene expression involved in bladder cancer for three different species. Whereas others have studied a variety of animal models of human cancer [26–29] (including bladder [28]), here we focus on bladder cancer and compare the molecular profiles of carcinogen-induced rodent tumors with that of human disease. The carcinogenesis models are particularly relevant comparators and models because human bladder cancer is often a result of chemical carcinogenesis. Interestingly, we found that a significant proportion of rodent homologs exhibit significant differences in gene expression between non–muscle-invasive and muscle-invasive disease in humans. Furthermore, we determined that the induced rodent tumors exhibit more similarity of gene expression to human muscle-invasive disease than noninvasive disease, which is consistent with their muscle-invasive pathology.

Comparative genomic profiling across species can be divided into two steps: first, one determines whether genes important for a particular condition in one species are important in the other; second, one can determine the degree to which the patterns of gene expression are similar. We found that most human genes homologous to genes differentially expressed (vs normal) in bladder tumors in both mouse and rat were also significantly and differentially expressed (vs normal) in human tumors, suggesting that these homologous genes are indeed significant to human cancer. To quantify this with more statistical rigor, we performed KS and GSEA tests to examine the enrichment of significantly and differentially expressed genes among rodent homologs. We clearly found that these sets of human homologs were enriched with genes significantly differentially expressed between superficial and muscle-invasive bladder tumors. By focusing on genes that are consistently and concordantly expressed in bladder cancer in three species, we can be confident that such genes are robust candidates for proteins that are biologically important in human bladder carcinogenesis and progression.

Many of the genes differentially expressed between normal urothelium and urothelial cancer in all three species are associated with the cell cycle. For instance, cell division cycle 20 (*CDC20*), cell division cycle 2 (*CDC2*), cyclins D1 and B2 (*CCND1* and *CCNB2*), mitotic arrest– deficient 2, *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*, homolog-like 1 (*MAD2L1*), and cyclin A2 (*CCNA2*) are all associated with progression through the cell cycle. *CDC20* activates the anaphase-promoting complex [30], *CCNB2* activates *CDC2* [31], which promotes entry into metaphase [32,33], *MAD2L1* is involved with the mitotic spindle checkpoint



Figure 3. Hierarchical clustering of rodent homologs with human cancer. Fold changes between gene expression values in human nonmuscle-invasive and muscle-invasive tumors are hierarchically clustered with "mouse" (A) and "rat" (B) gene expression profiles. The probe sets in the "rodent" gene profiles are assigned published [12,13] fold change values (cancer *vs* normal) corresponding to the homologous rodent genes. Probe sets overexpressed in cancer are colored red, whereas underexpressed probe sets are colored green. HG-U133A probe sets are listed on the right, the stage and grade of the tumors characterized on the chips are shown on the bottom, and the color bar near the top of the figure represents the classification of the tumor: red indicates non–muscle-invasive tumors, blue

indicates muscle-invasive tumors, and green indicates the mouse (A) or the rat profile (B).

[34], and CCNA2 promotes entry into synthesis and metaphase [35]. Other genes differentially expressed in bladder tumors in all three species include RAC GTPase-activating protein 1 (RACGAP1), which deactivates RAC proteins and is overexpressed in tumors, and N-myc downstream-regulated gene 2 (NDRG2), which is underexpressed in tumors. NDRG2 is a member of the NDRG family, of which NDRG1 is associated with apoptosis [36]. Furthermore, all, with the exception of NDRG2, are differentially expressed between non-muscle-invasive and muscle-invasive human tumors. These genes are overexpressed in muscle-invasive disease, with the exception of CCND1, which is underexpressed. Lindgren et al. [37] mentioned that CDC2, CCNB2, BUB1, and MAD2L1 are in a cell cycle- and mitosisrelated cluster of genes, which correlated expression with tumor progression. Blaveri et al. [38] said that CCNA2 and CDC2 were more highly expressed in a cluster of high-grade pTa and pT1 tumors than in a cluster of mainly low-grade pTa tumors, suggesting that the tumors in the first cluster were more aggressive. These corroborate our findings that the mouse and rat homologs are indeed important to bladder cancer.

Finally, through unsupervised hierarchical clustering of fold change profiles, we determined that rodent bladder tumors are closely associated with muscle-invasive human tumors. This suggests that such rodent tumors are good models for the mechanistic study of genes putatively involved in invasive and metastatic bladder cancer, especially those that are concordantly expressed in bladder cancer in three species.

In conclusion, this work suggests that carcinogen-induced rodent models of urothelial cancer share genetic similarities with pathways relevant to the development of muscle invasive human disease and provide genes that are candidate drivers or biomarkers of this process.

References

- [1] American Cancer Society (2008). *Cancer Facts and Figures 2008.* Atlanta, GA: American Cancer Society.
- [2] Stein JP, Lieskovsky G, Cote R, Groshen S, Feng AC, Boyd S, Skinner E, Bochner B, Thangathurai D, Mikhail M, et al. (2001). Radical cystectomy in the treatment of invasive bladder cancer: long-term results in 1,054 patients. *J Clin Oncol* 19, 666–675.
- [3] Howe GR, Burch JD, Miller AB, Cook GM, Esteve J, Morrison B, Gordon P, Chambers LW, Fodor G, and Winsor GM (1980). Tobacco use, occupation, coffee, various nutrients, and bladder cancer. J Natl Cancer Inst 64, 701–713.
- [4] Wynder EL and Goldsmith R (1977). The epidemiology of bladder cancer: a second look. *Cancer* 40, 1246–1268.
- [5] Cole P, Hoover R, and Friedell GH (1972). Occupation and cancer of the lower urinary tract. *Cancer* 29, 1250–1260.
- [6] Matanoski GM and Elliott EA (1981). Bladder cancer epidemiology. *Epidemiol Rev* 3, 203–229.
- [7] Grubbs CJ, Lubet RA, Koki AT, Leahy KM, Masferrer JL, Steele VE, Kelloff GJ, Hill DL, and Seibert K (2000). Celecoxib inhibits *N*-butyl-*N*-(4-hydroxybutyl)nitrosamine-induced urinary bladder cancers in male B6D2F1 mice and female Fischer-344 rats. *Cancer Res* **60**, 5599–5602.
- [8] Grubbs CJ, Moon RC, Squire RA, Farrow GM, Stinson SF, Goodman DG, Brown CC, and Sporn MB (1977). 13-cis-Retinoic acid: inhibition of bladder carcinogenesis induced in rats by N-butyl-N-(4-hydroxybutyl)nitrosamine. *Science* 198, 743–744.
- [9] Druckrey H, Preussmann R, Ivankovic S, Schmidt CH, Mennel HD, and Stahl KW (1964). Selective induction of bladder cancer in rats by dibutyl- and *N*-butyl-*N*-butanol(4)-nitrosamine. *Z Krebsforsch* 66, 280–290.
- [10] Fukushima S, Hirose M, Tsuda H, Shirai T, and Hirao K (1976). Histological classification of urinary bladder cancers in rats induced by *N*-butyl-*n*-(4hydroxybutyl)nitrosamine. *Gann* 67, 81–90.
- [11] Kunze E, Schauer A, and Schatt S (1976). Stages of transformation in the development of N-butyl-N-(4-hydroxybutyl)-nitrosamine–induced transitional cell

carcinomas in the urinary bladder of rats. Z Krebsforsch Klin Onkol Cancer Res Clin Oncol **87**, 139–160.

- [12] Yao R, Lemon WJ, Wang Y, Grubbs CJ, Lubet RA, and You M (2004). Altered gene expression profile in mouse bladder cancers induced by hydroxybutyl(butyl) nitrosamine. *Neoplasia* 6, 569–577.
- [13] Yao R, Yi Y, Grubbs CJ, Lubet RA, and You M (2007). Gene expression profiling of chemically induced rat bladder tumors. *Neoplasia* 9, 207–221.
- [14] Barrett T, Troup DB, Wilhite SE, Ledoux P, Rudnev D, Evangelista C, Kim IF, Soboleva A, Tomashevsky M, and Edgar R (2007). NCBI GEO: mining tens of millions of expression profiles—database and tools update. *Nucleic Acids Res* 35, D760–D765.
- [15] Dyrskjøt L, Kruhøffer M, Thykjaer T, Marcussen N, Jensen JL, Møller K, and Ørntoft TF (2004). Gene expression in the urinary bladder: a common carcinoma *in situ* gene expression signature exists disregarding histopathological classification. *Cancer Res* 64, 4040–4048.
- [16] Edgar R, Domrachev M, and Lash AE (2002). Gene Expression Omnibus: NCBI gene expression and hybridization array data repository. *Nucleic Acids Res* 30, 207–210.
- [17] Smith SC, Oxford G, Baras AS, Owens C, Havaleshko D, Brautigan DL, Safo MK, and Theodorescu D (2007). Expression of ral GTPases, their effectors, and activators in human bladder cancer. *Clin Cancer Res* 13, 3803–3813.
- [18] Bolstad BM, Irizarry RA, Astrand M, and Speed TP (2003). A comparison of normalization methods for high density oligonucleotide array data based on variance and bias. *Bioinformatics* 19, 185–193.
- [19] Irizarry RA, Bolstad BM, Collin F, Cope LM, Hobbs B, and Speed TP (2003). Summaries of Affymetrix GeneChip probe level data. *Nucleic Acids Res* 31, e15.
- [20] Irizarry RA, Hobbs B, Collin F, Beazer-Barclay YD, Antonellis KJ, Scherf U, and Speed TP (2003). Exploration, normalization, and summaries of high density oligonucleotide array probe level data. *Biostatistics* 4, 249–264.
- [21] Liu G, Loraine AE, Shigeta R, Cline M, Cheng J, Valmeekam V, Sun S, Kulp D, and Siani-Rose MA (2003). NetAffx: Affymetrix probesets and annotations. *Nucleic Acids Res* 31, 82–86.
- [22] Mootha VK, Lindgren CM, Eriksson KF, Subramanian A, Sihag S, Lehar J, Puigserver P, Carlsson E, Ridderstrale M, Laurila E, et al. (2003). PGC-1α– responsive genes involved in oxidative phosphorylation are coordinately downregulated in human diabetes. *Nat Genet* 34, 267–273.
- [23] Subramanian A, Kuehn H, Gould J, Tamayo P, and Mesirov JP (2007). GSEA-P: a desktop application for Gene Set Enrichment Analysis. *Bioinformatics* 23, 3251–3253.
- [24] Subramanian A, Tamayo P, Mootha VK, Mukherjee S, Ebert BL, Gillette MA, Paulovich A, Pomeroy SL, Golub TR, Lander ES, et al. (2005). Gene set enrichment analysis: a knowledge-based approach for interpreting genome-wide expression profiles. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* **102**, 15545–15550.
- [25] Wu Z, Siadaty MS, Riddick G, Frierson HF Jr, Lee JK, Golden W, Knuutila S, Hampton GM, El-Rifai W, and Theodorescu D (2006). A novel method for gene expression mapping of metastatic competence in human bladder cancer. *Neoplasia* 8, 181–189.
- [26] Lam SH, Wu YL, Vega VB, Miller LD, Spitsbergen J, Tong Y, Zhan H, Govindarajan KR, Lee S, Mathavan S, et al. (2006). Conservation of gene expression signatures between zebrafish and human liver tumors and tumor progression. *Nat Biotechnol* 24, 73–75.
- [27] Lee JS, Chu IS, Mikaelyan A, Calvisi DF, Heo J, Reddy JK, and Thorgeirsson SS (2004). Application of comparative functional genomics to identify best-fit mouse models to study human cancer. *Nat Genet* 36, 1306–1311.
- [28] Sweet-Cordero A, Mukherjee S, Subramanian A, You H, Roix JJ, Ladd-Acosta C, Mesirov J, Golub TR, and Jacks T (2005). An oncogenic KRAS2 expression signature identified by cross-species gene-expression analysis. *Nat Genet* 37, 48–55.
- [29] Herschkowitz JI, Simin K, Weigman VJ, Mikaelian I, Usary J, Hu Z, Rasmussen KE, Jones LP, Assefnia S, Chandrasekharan S, et al. (2007). Identification of conserved gene expression features between murine mammary carcinoma models and human breast tumors. *Genome Biol* 8, R76.
- [30] Fang G, Yu H, and Kirschner MW (1998). Direct binding of CDC20 protein family members activates the anaphase-promoting complex in mitosis and G₁. *Mol Cell* 2, 163–171.
- [31] Labbe JC, Capony JP, Caput D, Cavadore JC, Derancourt J, Kaghad M, Lelias JM, Picard A, and Doree M (1989). MPF from starfish oocytes at first meiotic metaphase is a heterodimer containing one molecule of cdc2 and one molecule of cyclin B. *EMBO J* 8, 3053–3058.
- [32] Nurse P and Bissett Y (1981). Gene required in G₁ for commitment to cell cycle and in G₂ for control of mitosis in fission yeast. *Nature* 292, 558–560.

- [33] Nurse P and Thuriaux P (1980). Regulatory genes controlling mitosis in the fission yeast *Schizosaccharomyces pombe*. *Genetics* 96, 627–637.
- [34] Li Y and Benezra R (1996). Identification of a human mitotic checkpoint gene: *hsMAD2. Science* 274, 246–248.
- [35] Pagano M, Pepperkok R, Verde F, Ansorge W, and Draetta G (1992). Cyclin A is required at two points in the human cell cycle. *EMBO J* 11, 961–971.
- [36] Kalaydjieva L, Gresham D, Gooding R, Heather L, Baas F, de Jonge R, Blechschmidt K, Angelicheva D, Chandler D, Worsley P, et al. (2000).

N-myc downstream-regulated gene 1 is mutated in hereditary motor and sensory neuropathy-Lom. Am J Hum Genet **67**, 47–58.

- [37] Lindgren D, Liedberg F, Andersson A, Chebil G, Gudjonsson S, Borg A, Mansson W, Fioretos T, and Hoglund M (2006). Molecular characterization of early-stage bladder carcinomas by expression profiles, FGFR3 mutation status, and loss of 9q. *Oncogene* 25, 2685–2696.
- [38] Blaveri E, Simko JP, Korkola JE, Brewer JL, Baehner F, Mehta K, Devries S, Koppie T, Pejavar S, Carroll P, et al. (2005). Bladder cancer outcome and subtype classification by gene expression. *Clin Cancer Res* 11, 4044–4055.

Table W1. Rodent Accession Numbers and/or Gene Symbols with Their Corresponding Affymetrix Mouse MG-74Av2 or Rat 230 2.0 Probe Sets, Matched with Their Orthologous Human HG-U133A Probe Sets and Corresponding Human Gene Symbols.

Mouse Accession Number	Mouse Gene Symbol	Mouse MG-74Av2 Probe Set	Human HG-U133A Probe Set	Human Gene Symbol
U73198	Arhgdig	102677_at	206888_s_at	ARHGDIG
U58203	Arhgef1	98001_at	203055_s_at	ARHGEF1
U19118	Atf3	104155_f_at	202672_s_at	ATF3
U43678	Atm	101180_at	210858_x_at	ATM
U43678	Atm	101180_at	208442_s_at	ATM
U43678	Atm	101180_at	212672_at	ATM
AF002823	Bub1	104097_at	209642_at	BUB1
AF002823	Bub1	104097_at	216277_at	BUB1
AF002823	Bub1	104097_at	216275_at	BUB1
AF002823	Bub1	104097_at	215509_s_at	BUB1
AF002823	Bub1	104097_at	215508_at	BUB1
X75483	Ccna2	99186_at	213226_at	CCNA2
X75483	Ccna2	99186_at	203418_at	CCNA2
X66032	Ccnb2	94294_at	202/05_at	CCNB2
A1849928	Cendl	94232_at	208/12_at	CCNDI
A1849928	Cendl	94232_at	208/11_s_at	CONDI
X/5888	Cenel	103034_at	213523_at	CONCL
L4950/	Cingi	16012/_at	208/96_s_at	CLINGI
M38/24	CDC2a	100128_at	203213_at	CDC2
M38/24	CDC2a	100128_at	210559_s_at	CDC2
N138/24	CDC2a	100128_at	203214_x_at	CDC2
AW061324	CDC20	96319_at	2028/0_s_at	CDC20
L16926	CDC25c	102954_s_at	20516/_s_at	
L16926	CDC25c	102934_s_at	21/010_s_at	CDC25C
L16926	CDC25c	102954_s_at	216914_at	CDC25C
AD023409	CRS1 Clls4	9/408_at	20189/_s_at	CLV/
AF003423	CIR4	10195/_s_at	210346_s_at	CLK4 CREUD2
A1843338 AW/040716	EtVO	10119_at	216536_at	CRELD2
AW049/10	Lgjr Eafe	101842_g_{at}	201969_s_at	
AW049/10	Lgjr Eafe	101842_g_{at}	201964_s_at	
AW/0/0716	Lgjr Eafr	101842_g_at	$21100/_x_at$	ECED
AW/049716	Egji Fafr	101842_g_at	210564_x_at	EGER
AW049716	Egji	101842_g_at	211550 at	FGFR
AI844939	Cril	99191 at	211590_at	FID1
AI844939	Cril	99191_at	208669 s at	EID1
AI844939	Cril	99191 at	208670 s at	EID1
7.36885	Elk4	98732 at	206919 at	ELK4
Z36885	Elk4	98732 at	205994 at	ELK4
L10426	Etv1	92927 at	221911 at	ETVI
L10426	Etv1	92927 at	206501 x at	ETV1
L10426	Etv1	92927 at	217061 s at	ETV1
L10426	Etv1	92927 at	217053 x at	ETV1
X63190	Etv4	92979_at	211603_s_at	ETV4
AF017128	Fosl1	99835_at	204420_at	FOSL1
AV251191	Foxc2	162016_f_at	214520_at	FOXC2
Y11245	Foxm1	98306_g_at	202580_x_at	FOXM1
AV138783	Gadd45b	161666_f_at	207574_s_at	GADD45B
AV138783	Gadd45b	161666_f_at	209305_s_at	GADD45B
AV138783	Gadd45b	161666_f_at	209304_x_at	GADD45B
AV138783	Gadd45b	161666_f_at	213560_at	GADD45B
X65128	Gas1	94813_at	204456_s_at	GAS1
X65128	Gas1	94813_at	204457_s_at	GAS1
AB000096	Gata2	102789_at	209710_at	GATA2
AB000096	Gata2	102789_at	210358_x_at	GATA2
AB000096	Gata2	102789_at	207954_at	GATA2
X55123	Gata3	100924_at	209602_s_at	GATA3
X55123	Gata3	100924_at	209603_at	GATA3
X55123	Gata3	100924_at	209604_s_at	GATA3
M98339	Gata4	102/13_at	20551/_at	GAIA4
X12/61	Jun	100130_at	201464_x_at	JUN
X12/61	Jun	100130_at	201466_s_at	JUN
A12/01 V12761	jun	100130_at	201405_s_at	
A12/01	jun Last 1	100130_at	213281_at	JUIN LACDI
AW122/80	Lasp 1	75/95_at	200618_at	LASE1
AW124311	Lm01	102415_at	200/18_at	
AF0/4000	LIII04	90122_at	209203_s_at	
LI83902	Linu4 Mad2l1	99632 at	209204_at 203362_s_at	MAD2I 1
U39066	Mat 266	102828 at	205502_s_at	MAP2KG
U39066	Map2k6	102828 at	205699 at	MAP2K6
AV270901	Map3k4	161568 f at	216199 s at	MAP3K4
	<i>r</i>			

Mouse Accession Number	Mouse Gene Symbol	Mouse MG-74Av2 Probe Set	Human HG-U133A Probe Set	Human Gene Symbol
AV270901	Map3k4	161568 f at	204089 x at	MAP3K4
AV341985	Map3k8	161768_r_at	205027_s_at	MAP3K8
U88984	Map4k4	102195_at	206571_s_at	MAP4K4
U88984	Map4k4	102195_at	218181_s_at	MAP4K4
D87271	Erk2	93253_at	212271_at	MAPK1
D87271	Erk2	93253_at	208351_s_at	MAPK1
L35236	Mapk10	100470_at	204813_at	MAPK10
Y11091	Mknk1	92464_at	209467_s_at	MKNK1
AV277546	Rhoip3	162066_f_at	212197_x_at	M-RIP
AV2//546	Rhoip3	162066_f_at	214//1_x_at	M-KIP
AV 2// 546	Khoips	162066_f_at	214094_at	M-KIP NDDC2
AB033921	Ndr2	96088_at	2142/9_s_at	NDRG2 NDRG2
AB033921 AB033921	Ndr2	96088 at	206453 s at	NDRG2
M57999	Nfkb1	98427 s at	209239 at	NFKB1
AF030896	Nfkbie	101727 at	203927 at	NFKBIE
AF019249	Nmi	101424_at	203964_at	NMI
D50264	Arhq	101466_at	205077_s_at	PIGF
D50264	Arhq	101466_at	205078_at	PIGF
U52193	Pik3c2a	92311_s_at	213070_at	PIK3C2A
U03279	Pik3ca	92452_at	204369_at	PIK3CA
U01063	Plk	93099_f_at	202240_at	PLK1
U01063	Plk	93099_f_at	201429_s_at	PLK1 (RPL37A)
AI853996	Rab11a	96238_at	200863_s_at	RABIIA
A1853996	Rablla	96238_at	200864_s_at	RABIIA
AW 208630	Rab33b D-10	9/058_f_at	221014_s_at	KAB33B DADOA
AB02/290	Raby	95516_at	221808_at	RABYA DAC2
AW/1222/7	Racant 1	104180_at	2200105_at	PACCAD1
D13803	Rad51	104527 at	205024 s at	RAD51
D13803	Rad51	104527 at	205023 at	RAD51
AF045663	Rad9	93522 at	204828 at	RAD9A
L32752	Rasl2-9	102821_s_at	200750_s_at	RAN
L32752	Rasl2-9	102821_s_at	200749_at	RAN
X56045	Ranbp1	98573_r_at	202483_s_at	RANBP1
X56045	Ranbp1	98573_r_at	202482_x_at	RANBP1
X56045	Ranbp1	98573_r_at	221915_s_at	RANBP1
AF106070	Rasgrp 1	98307_at	205590_at	RASGRP1
U35142	Rbbp7	93081_at	201092_at	RBBP7
U36799	Rbl2	95617_at	212332_at	RBL2
U36/99	Rbl2	9561/_at	212331_at	KBL2
A99905 AB0250/2	Rhob Alana	101050_at	212099_at	RHUB DHOC
AB023943	Anrg	9/92/_at	2051/5_at	PHOH
AI835968	Rin?	160827 at	209684 at	RIN2
U73941	Ran2in	103960_at	206196 s at	RPIP8
U73941	Rap2ip	103960 at	213439 x at	RPIP8
AF020526	Sh2bpsm1	101843_at	40149_at	SH2B1
AF020526	Sh2bpsm1	101843_at	209322_s_at	SH2B1
AW048272	Sh3bgr	96205_at	204979_s_at	SH3BGR
X87671	Sh3bp1	92666_at	213633_at	SH3BP1
U58886	Sh3gl2	92673_at	205751_at	SH3GL2
U58887	Sh3gl3	101412_at	205637_s_at	SH3GL3
U58887	Sh3gl3	101412_at	205636_at	SH3GL3
U58887	Sh3gl3	101412_at	211565_at	SH3GL3
AB014485	Scap2	102012_at	204362_at	SKAP2 SKAP2
AB014485	Scap2	102012_at	216899_s_at	SKAP2 SVAD2
LI29056	Stap2 Sla	102012_at	204501_s_at	SKAF2 SLA
U29056	Sla	99876 at	203760 s at	SLA
U60530	Madh2	104536 at	203077 s at	SMAD2
U60530	Madh2	104536 at	203075 at	SMAD2
U60530	Madh2	104536_at	203076_s_at	SMAD2
U15566	Tbx2	92705_at	40560_at	TBX2
U15566	Tbx2	92705_at	213417_at	TBX2
U15566	Tbx2	92705_at	205993_s_at	TBX2
AB008174	Tcf2	101396_at	208135_at	TCF2
AB008174	Tcf2	101396_at	205313_at	TCF2
AF035717	Tcf21	103050_at	204931_at	TCF21
AJ223069	1 cf3	160/80_at	221016_s_at	ICF/LI
AF043939	Dp1	9/565_r_at	212330_at	
AF043939	Dp1	9/565_r_at	20414/_s_at	וישיו

Rat Accession Number	Rat Gene Symbol	Rat 230 2.0 Probe Set	Human HG-U133A Probe Set	Human Gene Symbol
NM 033230	Akt1	1383126 at	207163 s at	AKT1
NM_031575	Akt3	1387592_at	219393_s_at	AKT3
NM_031575	Akt3	1387592_at	212609_s_at	AKT3
NM_031575	Akt3	1387592_at	212607_at	AKT3
BE111827	Arhgap4	1374735_at	204425_at	ARHGAP4
AA945062	Arhgap8	1376501_at	37117_at	ARHGAP8
AA945062	Arhgap8	1376501_at	205980_s_at	PRR5
BF285771	Arhgdib	1373881_at	201288_at	ARHGDIB
BG3//320	D 1111	13/3541_at	203/56_at	AKHGEF1/
BEI10855 NM 122/16	Bell 10 Pol2 #1	1384944_at	219528_s_at	BCL11B PCL2A1
AI/1177/	Bella	1308482_at	20/001_at	BCL2A1 RCL3
AI411774	Bcl3	1398482 at	204907 s at	BCL3
AI237606	Bel6	1379368 at	203140 at	BCL6
AI237606	Bel6	1379368 at	215990 s at	BCL6
NM_023987	Birc2	1370113_at	210538_s_at	BIRC3
AI227742	Bok	1373733_at	221454_at	BOK
BF396613	Brca2	1381141_at	208368_s_at	BRCA2
BF396613	Brca2	1381141_at	214727_at	BRCA2
BF388785	Bub1	1385086_at	209642_at	BUB1
BF388785	Bub1	1385086_at	216277_at	BUB1
BF388785	Bub1	1385086_at	216275_at	BUB1
BF388785	Bub1	1385086_at	215509_s_at	BUB1
BF388/85	Bubl	1385086_at	215508_at	BUBI
BF33/143	Bub10	1383926_at	205/55_at	BUBIB
BI303370	LOC641/1 Rad51	1305037_at 1395480_at	220162_s_at	CARCS
D85899	Cash1	1369186 at	211368 s at	CASP1
D85899	Casp1 Casp1	1369186 at	211367 s at	CASP1
D85899	Casp1	1369186 at	211366 x at	CASPI
D85899	Casp1	1369186_at	209970_x_at	CASP1
D85899	Casp1	1369186_at	206011_at	CASP1
NM_053736	Casp11	1387818_at	209310_s_at	CASP4
NM_053736	Casp11	1387818_at	213596_at	CASP4
NM_053736	Casp11	1387818_at	208340_at	CASP4
AA998516	Ccna2	1379582_a_at	213226_at	CCNA2
AA998516	Ccna2	1379582_a_at	203418_at	CCNA2
X64589	Cenbl	13/0346_at	214/10_s_at	CCNBI
AW255821 X75207	Centre Ce	1389366_at	202/05_at	CCNB2 CCND1
X/320/ X75207	Cend1	13/1130_at	208/12_at	CCND1
NM 019296	Cdc2a	1367776 at	203213 at	CDC2
NM 019296	Cdc2a	1367776 at	210559 s at	CDC2
NM 019296	Cdc2a	1367776 at	203214 x at	CDC2
U05341	Cdc20	1387895_s_at	202870_s_at	CDC20
NM_133572	Cdc25B	1370034_at	201853_s_at	CDC25B
BF417638	Cdca3	1374449_at	221436_s_at	CDCA3
AI013919	Cdkn1c	1372299_at	219533_at	CDKN1C
AI013919	Cdkn1c	1372299_at	213183_s_at	CDKN1C
AI013919	Cdkn1c	1372299_at	216894_x_at	CDKN1C
AI013919	Cdkn1c	13/2299_at	213182_x_at	CDKNIC
AI013919	Cdkn1c	13/2299_at	219534_x_at	CDKNIC CDKNIC
AE474076	Cakn11 Cdbn2a	13/2299_at	213346_at	CDKN24
AF474976	Cakn2a Cdbn2a	1369194_a_at	207044_x_at	CDKN2A
AF474976	Cdkn2a Cdkn2a	1369194 a at	211156 at	CDKN2A
NM 131902	Cdkn2c	1370054 at	204159 at	CDKN2C
NM_131902	Cdkn2c	1370054_at	211792_s_at	CDKN2C
BE113362	Cdkn3	1372685_at	209714_s_at	CDKN3
AI576758	Scgf	1392672_at	211709_s_at	CLEC11A
AI576758	Scgf	1392672_at	210783_x_at	CLEC11A
AI576758	Scgf	1392672_at	205131_x_at	CLEC11A
BG371721	Clpx	1398698_at	204809_at	CLPX
BE107780	6.6	1398204_at	203575_at	CSNK2A2
NM_022266	Ctgf	136/631_at	209101_at	C/G/
INIVI_U1/286 X00/69	Cyp11a1	1308468_at	204509_at	CIPITAL CVD141
AUU409 D38381	Cyp1a1 Cyp3a18	13/0209_at	203/49_at	CVD24/2
D38381	Cyp3a18	1398307_at	211442_X_at 211440 x at	CYP3443
D38381	Cyp3a18	1398307 at	207773 x at	CYP3A43
D38381	Cyp3a18	1398307_at	211441_x_at	CYP3A43
NM_053679	Dffa	1389195_at	203277_at	DFFA

Rat Accession Number	Rat Gene Symbol	Rat 230 2.0 Probe Set	Human HG-U133A Probe Set	Human Gene Symbol
NM 053883	Dusp6	1387024 at	208892 s at	DUSP6
NM_053883	Dusp6	1387024_at	208891_at	DUSP6
NM_053883	Dusp6	1387024_at	208893_s_at	DUSP6
AI578135	Ect2	1383747_at	219787_s_at	ECT2
BF284634		1390112_at	201842_s_at	EFEMP1
BF284634		1390112_at	201843_s_at	EFEMP1
BF418373		1393335_at	219454_at	EGFL6
AI547942		1378721_at	201911_s_at	FARP1
AI547942		1378721_at	201910_at	FARPI
BI289400	Fes	1380621_at	205418_at	FES
NM_055428	Fgf15 Faffan 1	1368114_at	205110_s_at	
INM_022005	ECEDO	1300349_at	203014_at	FCFD2
L19107	FGFR2	1388168 a at	208229_at	FGFR2
L19107	FGFR2	1388168 a at	211399 at	FGFR2
L19107	FGFR2	1388168 a at	211401 s at	FGFR2
L19107	FGFR2	1388168_a_at	211398_at	FGFR2
L19107	FGFR2	1388168_a_at	203639_s_at	FGFR2
L19107	FGFR2	1388168_a_at	203638_s_at	FGFR2
L19107	FGFR2	1388168_a_at	208234_x_at	FGFR2
L19107	FGFR2	1388168_a_at	208225_at	FGFR2
L19107	FGFR2	1388168_a_at	211400_at	FGFR2
NM_021774	Fhit	1369318_at	206492_at	FHIT
BE112403		1397648_at	221308_at	FRS2
AI230396	Fyn	13/3683_at	216033_s_at	FYN
A1230396	Fyn	13/3683_at	210105_s_at	FYIN
A1230390 B1287078	ryn Cadd45h	1372016 at	212400_s_at	LUN CADD/5R
BI287978	Gadd45b	1372016_at	207.974_s_at	GADD45B
BI287978	Gadd45b	1372016_at	209304 x at	GADD45B
BI287978	Gadd45b	1372016 at	213560 at	GADD45B
AI599423	Gadd45g	1388792_at	204121_at	GADD45G
NM_017195	Gap43	1371287_at	216963_s_at	GAP43
NM_017195	Gap43	1371287_at	204471_at	GAP43
NM_017195	Gap43	1371287_at	216967_at	GAP43
AJ131902	Gas7	1370963_at	210872_x_at	GAS7
AJ131902	Gas7	1370963_at	211067_s_at	GAS7
AJ131902	Gas7	1370963_at	202191_s_at	GAS7
AJ131902	Gas/	13/0963_at	20//04_s_at	GAS/
AJ131902	Gas/	13/0963_at	202192_s_at	GAS/
NM 017017	Haf	1387701 at	200397_x_at	HGE
NM_017017	Haf	1387701_at	210998_s_at	HGF
NM 017017	Hof	1387701_at	210755 at	HGF
NM 017017	Hqf	1387701 at	209960 at	HGF
NM_017017	Hgf	1387701_at		HGF
BE119649	Hgfac	1381006_at	207027_at	HGFAC
AI548958		1393790_at	219983_at	HRASLS
AI548958		1393790_at	219984_s_at	HRASLS
M15481	Igf1	1370333_a_at	209540_at	IGF1
M15481	Igf1	1370333_a_at	209541_at	IGF1
M15481	Igf1	1370333_a_at	211577_s_at	IGF1
M15481	lgf1	13/0333_a_at	209542_x_at	IGFI
NM_012588	Igf0p3 Iaflat 2	136/652_at	210095_s_at	
BE108060	Igjop5 Iathtrá	130/032_at	212145_s_at	IGFDI'S IGFRDA
AI233246	Igjop4 Iafht7	1371357 at	201308_at	IGERP7
AI233246	Igfop7 Iofbn7	1371357 at	213910 at	IGFBP7
AI233246	Igfbp7	1371357 at	201162 at	IGFBP7
BE111697	Cdc23	1373722_at	218755_at	KIF20A
BG381002	Lcmt1	1388747_at	221515_s_at	LCMT1
AI229354	Lgi1	1386023_at	206349_at	LGI1
BF412229		1398569_at	221640_s_at	LRDD
AI716087		1375894_at	218437_s_at	LZTFL1
AW143296	Mad2l1	1398602_at	203362_s_at	MAD2L1
NM_019318	Maf	1385243_at	209348_s_at	MAF
NM_019318	Maf M. C	1385243_at	209347_s_at	MAF
INM_019318	Maf Mh:C7	1385243_at	206363_at	MAF MUICZ
AI/14002 AI714002	IVIR10/ Mbi67	13/4//5_at 1374775_at	212022_s_at	ічіліо/ МКТ67
AI714002	Mki67	1374775 at	212023_8_at 212021 s at	MKI67
AI714002	Mki67	1374775 at	212021_s_at 212020 s at	MKI67
		· · · · · · = · · ·		

Rat Accession Number	Rat Gene Symbol	Rat 230 2.0 Probe Set	Human HG-U133A Probe Set	Human Gene Symbol
BE115673		1392086 at	203037 s at	MTSS1
BE115673		1392086_at	203036_s_at	MTSS1
BE115673		1392086_at	210360_s_at	MTSS1
BE115673		1392086_at	210359_at	MTSS1
NM_012603	Мус	1368308_at	202431_s_at	МҮС
BI300996	Mycl1	1395781_at	214058_at	MYCL1
BI300996	Mycl1	1395781_at	215491_at	MYCL1
NM_133583	Ndrg2	1387121_a_at	214279_s_at	NDRG2
NM_133583	Ndrg2	1387121_a_at	214278_s_at	NDRG2
NM_133583	Ndrg2	138/121_a_at	206453_s_at	NDRG2
BG666/09	Ndr4	13/0229_at	209159_s_at	NDKG4
NM_010210	Deb2	1369000_at	208005_s_at	DAV2
1105989	Paur	1368702_at	214007_at	DAWR
U05989	Pawr	1368702_at	204009_3_at	PAWR
U05989	Pawr	1368702 at	214237 x at	PAWR
U05989	Pawr	1368702_at	214090_at	PAWR
BE100812	Pdgfa	1379375_at	205463_s_at	PDGFA
BE100812	Pdgfa	1379375_at	216867_s_at	PDGFA
NM_031317	Pdgfc	1392274_at	218718_at	PDGFC
BM389426	Pdgfrb	1370642_s_at	202273_at	PDGFRB
BM384311		1374616_at	205226_at	PDGFRL
U10188	Plk1	1370297_at	202240_at	PLK1
U10188	Plk1	1370297_at	201429_s_at	PLK1 (RPL37A)
BE109322	Plk4	1377832_at	204886_at	PLK4
BE109322 RE109322	Plk4	13//832_at	20488/_s_at	PLK4
BE109522 NM 013106	PlR4	15//852_at	211088_s_at	PLK4 DDADA
NM_013196	P para P para	1394800_at	210//1_at	DDADA
NM 013124	1 puru Ppara	1369179 a at	2003/0_at	DDARG
AA891940	Arhc	1371659 at	200885_at	PPM11
BI282953	Pycard	1389873 at	221666 s at	PYCARD
NM_053459	Rab27b	1370122_at	207017_at	RAB27B
NM_053459	Rab27b	1370122_at	207018_s_at	RAB27B
AA924620	Rab40b	1383826_at	204547_at	RAB40B
AA924620	Rab40b	1383826_at	217597_x_at	RAB40B
AI409259	Racgap 1	1373658_at	222077_s_at	RACGAP1
BF284067		1373631_at	203911_at	RAP1GAP
BF284067		1373631_at	210618_at	RAPIGAP
NM_133410	Rap2b	1392922_at	213923_at	RAP2B
NM_133410	Rap2b Back 2k	1392922_at	21448/_s_at	RAP2B
NM_133410	Rap20 Data 2	1392922_at	214488_at	RAP2B
AI237779	Rasa3	1392224_at	206221_at	RASAS
AW532114	Rasart 2	1374872 at	214367 at	RASGRP2
AW532114	Raserp2	1374872 at	214368 at	RASGRP2
AW532114	Rasgrp2	1374872 at	214369 s at	RASGRP2
AW532114	Rasgrp2	1374872_at	208206_s_at	RASGRP2
AA955648	Arhd	1382197_at	209885_at	RHOD
AA955648	Arhd	1382197_at	31846_at	RHOD
BE097238	RICS	1377061_at	210791_s_at	RICS
BE097238	RICS	1377061_at	203431_s_at	RICS
AI706777	Rin3	1375020_at	60471_at	RIN3
AI706777	Rin3	1375020_at	219457_s_at	RIN3
AI/06///	Rin3	13/5020_at	219456_s_at	RIN3
AI/06///	KINJ Des d 1	13/3020_at	220439_at	RUN3 DND1
AI144/ J4 AI235/1/	Rnu1	1301335_at	210030_at	POP4
AI235414		1377029_at	210476 x at	RORA
BE110171		1379833 at	206419 at	RORC
NM_021865	Jundp1	1369891_at	220358_at	SNFT
NM_021578	Tgfb1	1370082_at	203085_s_at	TGFB1
NM_021578	Tgfb1	1370082_at	203084_at	TGFB1
NM_031131	Tgfb2	1387172_a_at	220407_s_at	TGFB2
NM_031131	Tgfb2	1387172_a_at	209908_s_at	TGFB2
NM_031131	Tgfb2	1387172_a_at	220406_at	TGFB2
BI283829	Hdgfrp3	1379482_at	219892_at	TM6SF1
NM_012870	Infrsf11b	1369407_at	204933_s_at	TNFRSF11B
NM_012870	1 nfrsf11b Trafacf12	136940/_at	204932_at	INFRSFI1B TNEDSE124
A A 800814	1 njrsj12a Tnfrf13	1377353 a at	210300_s_at	TNESE12
AA800814	Tnfsf13	1377353 a at	209500 x at	TNFSF13
	J.J			

Rat Accession Number	Rat Gene Symbol	Rat 230 2.0 Probe Set	Human HG-U133A Probe Set	Human Gene Symbol			
AA800814 AA800814 AA900477 AA900477 NM_053653 NM_031534 NM_031534	Tnfsf13 Tnfsf13 Vegfc Wt1 Wt1	1377353_a_at 1377353_a_at 1384170_at 1384170_at 1368463_at 1369695_at 1369695_at	209499_x_at 211495_x_at 205537_s_at 205536_at 209946_at 206067_s_at 216953_s_at	TNFSF13 TNFSF13 VAV2 VAV2 VEGFC WT1 WT1			
Mouse Accession Number	Mouse Gene	Mouse MG-74Av2 Probe Set	Rat Accession Number	Rat Gene Symbol	Rat 230 2.0 Probe Set	Human HG-U133A Probe Set	Human Gene Symbol
AF002823	Bub1	104097_at	BF388785	Bub1	1385086_at	209642_at	BUB1
AF002823	Bub1	104097_at	BF388785	Bub1	1385086_at	216277_at	BUB1
AF002823	Bub1	104097_at	BF388785	Bub1	1385086_at	216275_at	BUB1
AF002823	Bub1	104097_at	BF388785	Bub1	1385086_at	215509_s_at	BUB1
AF002823	Bub1	104097_at	BF388785	Bub1	1385086_at	215508_at	BUB1
X75483	Ccna2	99186_at	AA998516	Ccna2	1379582_a_at	213226_at	CCNA2
X75483	Ccna2	99186_at	AA998516	Ccna2	1379582_a_at	203418_at	CCNA2
X66032	Ccnb2	94294_at	AW253821	Ccnb2	1389566_at	202705_at	CCNB2
AI849928	Cend1	94232_at	X75207	Cend1	1371150_at	208712_at	CCND1
AI849928	Cend1	94232_at	X75207	Cend1	1371150_at	208711_s_at	CCND1
M38724	CDC2a	100128_at	NM_019296	Cdc2a	1367776_at	203213_at	CDC2
M38724	CDC2a	100128_at	NM_019296	Cdc2a	1367776_at	210559_s_at	CDC2
M38724	CDC2a	100128_at	NM_019296	Cdc2a	1367776_at	203214_x_at	CDC2
AW061324	CDC20	96319_at	U05341	Cdc20	1387895_s_at	202870_s_at	CDC20
AV138783	Gadd45b	161666_f_at	BI287978	Gadd45b	1372016_at	207574_s_at	GADD45B
AV138783	Gadd45b	161666_f_at	BI287978	Gadd45b	1372016_at	209305_s_at	GADD45B
AV138783	Gadd45b	161666_f_at	BI287978	Gadd45b	1372016_at	209304_x_at	GADD45B
AV138783	Gadd45b	161666_f_at	BI287978	Gadd45b	1372016_at	213560_at	GADD45B
U83902	Mad2l1	99632_at	AW143296	Mad2l1	1398602_at	203362_s_at	MAD2L1
AB033921	Ndr2	96088_at	NM_133583	Ndrg2	1387121_a_at	214279_s_at	NDRG2
AB033921	Ndr2	96088_at	NM_133583	Ndrg2	1387121_a_at	214278_s_at	NDRG2
AB033921	Ndr2	96088_at	NM_133583	Ndrg2	1387121_a_at	206453_s_at	NDRG2
U01063	Plk	93099_f_at	U10188	Plk1	1370297_at	202240_at	PLK1
U01063	Plk	93099_f_at	U10188	Plk1	1370297_at	201429_s_at	PLK1 (RPL37A)
AW122347	Racgap 1	94953_at	AI409259	Racgap1	1373658_at	222077_s_at	RACGAP1