

NIH Public Access

Author Manuscript

J Am Chem Soc. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2008 July 25

Published in final edited form as: *J Am Chem Soc.* 2007 December 26; 129(51): 15730–15731.

Sphingomyelin/Phosphatidylcholine and Cholesterol Interactions Studied by Imaging Mass Spectrometry

Leiliang Zheng, Carolyn M. McQuaw[†], Andrew G. Ewing, and Nicholas Winograd^{*}

Department of Chemistry, The Pennsylvania State University, University Park, Pennsylvania 16802

Cell membranes are composed of a complex array of lipids, which play diverse roles in membrane dynamics, protein regulation, and signal transduction. It is important to understand how these lipids interact with each other and with other membrane components, in order to better comprehend membrane functions. Many techniques are available to study lipid-lipid interactions such as solid-state NMR^{1,2}, X-ray diffraction^{3,4}, and various fluorescence related techniques^{5,6}. Recently, time-of-flight secondary ion mass spectrometry (TOF-SIMS) has been proven useful in identification of lipids in both model membrane systems and cellular membranes. 7-10 Here, we present label-free molecule-specific images which elucidate the nature of lipid-lipid interactions in 3-component cellular membrane mimics. Our results show that sphingomyelin (SM) inclusion into cholesterol (CH) domains is driven by the phospholipid acyl chain saturation rather than by hydrogen bonding between SM and CH. Ternary mixtures composed of CH, SM, and phosphatidylcholine (PC) are investigated as mimics of the cellular membrane. TOF-SIMS images are acquired from supported Langmuir-Blodgett (LB) films with varying SM and PC acyl chain saturation; either, both, or neither contains one double bond at the same position. The images clearly show that acyl chain saturation is the dominating factor in determining phase separation.

The phospholipids used include: N-stearoyl SM (SSM), 1-palmitoyl-2-oleoyl-sn-glycero-3phosphocholine (POPC), N-oleoyl SM (OSM), and 1-palmitoyl-2-stearoyl-sn-glycero-3phosphocholine (PSPC). The LB films used for investigation are 23% CH/47% SM/30% PC, and include the variants CH/SSM/POPC, CH/OSM/PSPC, CH/SSM/PSPC, and CH/OSM/ POPC. The solutions of each combination were applied to the air-water interface, compressed to 7 mN/m, and then transferred onto hydrophilic substrates. This relatively low pressure is used to ensure the appearance of immiscible liquid phases and to ensure that the size of the domains is large enough for SIMS observation. Each combination was repeated in triplicate for reproducibility. The mass spectra of CH/SSM/POPC and CH/OSM/PSPC LB films are shown in Figure 1. Characteristic fragments include; $[M-OH]^+$ at a mass-to-charge ratio (m/z)369 for cholesterol, a sphingosine backbone fragment $[C_{17}H_{30}ON]^+$ at m/z 264 for both SMs, and a peak at m/z 224 for both PCs which is a fragment $[C_8H_{19}NPO_4]^+$ of the PC headgroup plus part of the glycerol backbone (Figure S1). The molecular ions are: [M-H]⁺ at m/z 385 for CH; [M+H]⁺ at m/z 761, 763 for POPC and PSPC respectively; and M⁺ at m/z 729, 731 for OSM and SSM respectively. [Note that none of substrate peaks overlap with these lipid peaks, Figure S3] Since CH, SM, and PC are identified in each film by TOF-SIMS, molecule-specific images identify the location of each lipid and representative images are presented in Figure 2 with their total ion images. CH/OSM/POPC and CH/SSM/PSPC are homogeneous with all lipid components evenly distributed (Supporting Information, Figure S2). However, domain structures are observed for the films of CH/SSM/POPC and CH/OSM/PSPC (Figure 2). [Note

nxw@psu.edu.

[†]Current Address: Vollum Institute and Department of Microbiology and Immunology, Oregon Health and Science University, Portland, Oregon, 97220.

that substrate images are in the Supporting Information, Figure S3, and the uniformity of the images confirms that there is no substrate induced domain formation.] Lipid localization is also confirmed by line scans (Figure 2). The molecule-specific images for the CH/SSM/POPC films show that CH is co-localized with SSM in the domain and that POPC is excluded from these areas. For CH/OSM/PSPC, however, PSPC co-localizes with the CH domains and OSM is excluded. The secondary ion intensities of CH and the co-localized lipid are close to zero outside the CH-rich domain area. However, lipid exclusion is not complete since a residual intensity is still observed in the CH-poor areas.

In the cellular membrane, CH is a major component and SMs are predominantly saturated while PCs are mainly unsaturated. It has been hypothesized that CH and SM form lateral heterogeneities in the plane of membranes (rafts) and that they are of functional significance (i.e. signaling or sorting platforms). While the concept is still under debate, many studies have aimed at understanding the interaction between CH and SM, especially relative to the interactions between CH and PC (a non-raft lipid species). One difference between SM and PC lipid classes lies in the head-tail linkage region. The long chain fatty acids of PCs are linked to the glycerol backbone via ester groups while SMs have a long-chain sphingoid base with an amide-linked chain. Thus, SMs are more polar in this region and can effectively hydrogen bond to CH via its amine and hydroxyl groups more than PCs can. This intermolecular hydrogen bonding has been speculated to contribute to preferential interactions between CH and SM.², ^{11,12} However, other groups report no specificity between CH and SM, but rather that a higher level of acyl chain saturation in SM facilitates the hydrophobic match between SM and CH, thus driving them to co-localize in the biomembrane.^{13,14}

Model membranes containing CH, SM, and PC have been widely used in CH-SM interaction studies, and phase separation has been observed by fluorescence microscopy 6,15 and atomic force microscopy¹⁶. In our experiments, the SMs and PCs were specifically chosen to have the same number of carbons on both acyl chains so that they only differ by the linkage of the 16-carbon chain to the headgroup and by the saturation of the other 18-carbon chain. Without the need for labels, molecule-specific images reported here show that when SM and PC are both saturated or both unsaturated all the lipids are evenly distributed in the film and CH domains do not form. Thus, CH does not differentiate between SM and PC by their head-tail linkage. However, when SM and PC differ by a double bond placed in the middle of the 18carbon chain, CH domains are observed in the film due to phase separation, which means CH interacts with one lipid significantly more strongly than the other. Clearly, CH favors the lipid that has saturated acyl chains, and this preference is strong enough to localize the saturated lipids into CH domains and to exclude the unsaturated lipid. Cholesterol is known to have a condensing effect on other lipids, which can be explained by the hydrophobic match between the steroid ring of CH and the acyl chains of other lipids.¹⁷ Lipids with saturated acyl chains can interact more closely with CH than those with unsaturated acyl chains since the double bond produces a kink in the middle of the carbon chain that sterically prevents half of the chain from interacting with CH. Our results show that one double bond in the acyl chain is enough to change the lipid interaction with CH, regardless of the difference in the head-tail linkage region of SM and PC. The results also suggest that the SM-CH interaction is dominated by the hydrophobic match of acyl chains, and that hydrogen bonding between the amide or the sphingoid of SM and the -OH group of CH does not contribute significantly to the total interaction. McConnell has proposed a condensing complex model which suggests, in a ternary mixture, the formation of "condensed complexes" between cholesterol and saturated lipids and the complex is immiscible with the unsaturated lipids.¹⁸ Our results show that CH preferentially interacts with lipids through the saturated acyl chain which may indeed indicate the formation of complexes. However, as noted above, the exclusion of the unsaturated lipids from CH domains is not complete. Hence, if the condensing complexes form in our ternary systems, they are not 100% immiscible with the unsaturated lipids.

JAm Chem Soc. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2008 July 25.

In conclusion, using TOF-SIMS we have observed the label-free lipid localization determined by acyl chain saturation and its effect on CH domain formation. The result indicates that the high saturation level of SM acyl chains in the cellular membrane is the important driving force for SM co-localization with CH in lipid rafts. Other possible specific interactions between sphingoid or amide-linkage groups and CH are not observed. This study also extends the use of label-free mass spectrometry imaging to understanding complex biological interactions at the molecular level.

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

Acknowledgements

This work is supported by the National Institute of Health under grant #EB002016-13 and the National Science Foundation under grant #CHE-555314. The authors thank Dr. David L. Allara and his research group for the use of ellipsometry and Dr. Erin Sheets for insightful discussion.

References

- 1. Hao YH, Chen JW. J Membrane Bio 2001;183:85-92. [PubMed: 11562790]
- 2. van Duyl BY, Ganchev D, Chupin V, de Kruijff B, Killian JA. Febs Lett 2003;547:101–106. [PubMed: 12860394]
- Holopainen JM, Lemmich J, Richter F, Mouritsen OG, Rapp G, Kinnunen PKJ. Biophys J 2000;78:2459–2469. [PubMed: 10777742]
- Shaikh SR, Cherezov V, Caffrey M, Soni SP, LoCascio D, Stillwell W, Wassall SR. J Am Chem Soc 2006;128:5375–5383. [PubMed: 16620109]
- 5. Veatch SL, Polozov IV, Gawrisch K, Keller SL. Biophys J 2004;86:2910–2922. [PubMed: 15111407]
- 6. Stottrup BL, Stevens DS, Keller SL. Biophys J 2005;88:269-276. [PubMed: 15475588]
- Bourdos N, Kollmer F, Benninghoven A, Ross M, Sieber M, Galla HJ. Biophys J 2000;79:357–369. [PubMed: 10866961]
- Sostarecz AG, Mcquaw CM, Ewing AG, Winograd N. J Am Chem Soc 2004;126:13882–13883. [PubMed: 15506723]
- Mcquaw CM, Sostarecz AG, Zheng LL, Ewing AG, Winograd N. Langmuir 2005;21:807–813. [PubMed: 15667151]
- 10. Ostrowski SG, Van Bell CT, Winograd N, Ewing AG. Science 2004;305:71–73. [PubMed: 15232100]
- 11. Smaby JM, Brockman HL, Brown RE. Biochemistry 1997;36:2338.
- Bittman R, Kasireddy CR, Mattjus P, Slotte JP. Biochemistry 1994;33:11776–11781. [PubMed: 7918394]
- 13. Epand RM, Epand RF. Chemistry and Physics of Lipids 2004;132:37-46. [PubMed: 15530446]
- Holopainen JM, Metso AJ, Mattila JP, Jutila A, Kinnunen PKJ. Biophys J 2004;86:1510–1520. [PubMed: 14990478]
- 15. Veatch SL, Keller SL. Biophys J 2003;85(5):3074–3083. [PubMed: 14581208]
- 16. Rinia HA, de Kruijff B. Febs Lett 2001;504:194-199. [PubMed: 11532453]
- Ohvo-Rekila H, Ramstedt B, Leppimaki P, Slotte JP. Progress in Lipid Research 2002;41:66–97. [PubMed: 11694269]
- 18. McConnell HM. Biophys J 2005;88(4):L23–L25. [PubMed: 15695625]

Zheng et al.





Secondary ion mass spectra of supported LB films: (a) 23% CH/47% SSM/30% POPC, (b) 23% CH/47% OSM/30% PSPC.

Zheng et al.



Figure 2.

TOF-SIMS images of the 2 supported lipid 3-component LB films. The scale bar represents 100 am. The total ion images are 256×256 pixels and the molecular specific images are 128×128 pixels, with 40 shots/pixel. CH (blue), PC (green), and SM (pink) are represented by m/ z 369, m/z 224, and m/z 264 respectively. Similar patterns are observed in replicate experiments as seen, for example, in Figure S4. The line scans shown below represent the intensity variation along the yellow arrows superimposed on the SIMS images, both with respect to the length and the direction. More details are given in the supporting information.