



Published in final edited form as:

Am J Clin Nutr. 2008 June ; 87(6): 1825–1836.

Associations between microalbuminuria and animal foods, plant foods, and dietary patterns in the Multiethnic Study of Atherosclerosis²

Jennifer A Nettleton, Lyn M Steffen, Walter Palmas, Gregory L Burke, and David R Jacobs Jr
Division of Epidemiology and Community Health, School of Public Health, University of Minnesota, Minneapolis, MN (JAN, LMS, and DRJ); the Department of Nutrition, University of Oslo, Oslo, Norway (DRJ); the Department of Medicine, Columbia University, New York, NY (WP); and the Department of Public Health Sciences, Wake Forest University School of Medicine, Winston-Salem, NC (GLB).

Abstract

Background—The balance between the intake of animal and the intake of plant foods may influence renal vascular integrity as reflected by urinary albumin excretion.

Objective—We assessed cross-sectional associations between urinary albumin excretion and dietary patterns and intake of plant and animal foods.

Design—At baseline, diet (food-frequency questionnaire) and the urinary albumin-to-creatinine ratio (ACR; spot urine collection) were measured in 5042 participants in the Multi-Ethnic Study of Atherosclerosis who were aged 45–84 y and were without clinical cardiovascular disease, diabetes, or macroalbuminuria (sex-adjusted ACR \geq 250). We derived dietary patterns by principal components analysis. We also summed food groups to characterize plant food intake (fruit, fruit juice, vegetables, nuts, legumes, whole grains, and refined grains), animal food intake (red meat, processed meat, poultry, fish, high-fat dairy, and low-fat dairy), and nondairy animal food intake.

Results—After adjustment for multiple demographic and lifestyle confounders, a dietary pattern characterized by high consumption of whole grains, fruit, vegetables, and low-fat dairy foods was associated with 20% lower ACR across quintiles (P for trend = 0.004). Neither total animal nor total plant food intake was associated with ACR. However, greater low-fat dairy consumption was associated with 13% lower ACR across quartiles (P for trend = 0.03). Total nondairy animal food consumption was associated with 11% higher ACR across quintiles (P for trend = 0.03).

Conclusions—A high intake of low-fat dairy foods and a dietary pattern rich in whole grains, fruit, and low-fat dairy foods were both associated with lower ACR. In contrast, collectively, nondairy animal food intake was positively associated with ACR.

INTRODUCTION

Urinary excretion of albumin is the result of changes in renal glomerular membrane permeability along with alterations in glomerular hydrostatic pressures and may also result from renal vascular injury (1). Microalbuminuria [defined clinically as an albumin-to-

²Supported by training grant T32 HL07779 and contracts N01-HC-95159 through N01-HC-95166 from the National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute and General Clinical Research Center Grant M01-RR00645 from the National Center for Research Resources.

Reprints not available. Address correspondence to J Nettleton, Division of Epidemiology & Disease Control, School of Public Health, University of Texas Health Sciences Center, Houston, 1200 Herman Pressler, RAS E-641, Houston, TX 77030. E-mail: jennifer.a.nettleton@uth.tmc.edu..

creatinine ratio (ACR) of 25–355 mg/g in men or 17–250 mg/g in women] may also reflect widespread endothelial dysfunction (2–6) and atherosclerosis in extrarenal vascular beds (7–13). Although microalbuminuria is particularly common in persons with diabetes and hypertension (14,15), population-based studies also show that, even in nondiabetic persons, albumin excretion starting in submicroalbuminuric ranges (16–18) is positively associated with cardiovascular disease (CVD) risk, independent of sex, age, hypertension, and other CVD risk factors (19). Thus, renal vascular dysfunction (represented by microalbuminuria) may itself lead to overt macrovascular CVD, or the 2 forms of vascular disease may result from a shared upstream pathway of dysfunction. Lifestyle factors, like diet, may influence CVD by slowing or accelerating processes along this pathway.

Although high total dietary protein intake is hypothesized to contribute to kidney dysfunction and damage in diabetic and healthy adults (20–25), some studies suggest that dietary protein from animal sources may be more detrimental than protein from plant sources in terms of renal health (26). However, dairy food intake has been inversely associated with hypertension, diabetes, and other metabolic disturbances associated with kidney damage (27–31), which suggests that the biological effects of dairy foods may be unique from those of other animal protein sources. Consistent with this hypothesis, a previous study showed that greater nondairy animal protein intake was associated with declining kidney function in women with mild renal insufficiency (32).

Both clinical and observational studies in this area have largely focused on dietary macronutrient intake (particularly total dietary protein) (23–25,33–36). However, other constituents of animal and plant foods may be equally important, perhaps acting synergistically within the food matrix. The current literature also notably lacks data relating patterns of food consumption to microalbuminuria, even though dietary pattern interventions favorably influence factors associated with microalbuminuria (28,37).

We investigated associations between dietary intake and ACR in a population-based sample of white, black, Hispanic, and Chinese persons without clinical CVD or diabetes. We hypothesized that nondairy animal foods, and dietary patterns characterized by high nondairy animal food intake, would be positively associated with ACR, whereas plant foods, and dietary patterns characterized by high plant food intake, would be inversely associated with ACR. We further hypothesized that, as the result of differences in saturated fat content (35), intake of low-fat, but not high-fat, dairy foods would be inversely associated with ACR. Finally we hypothesized that dietary associations with ACR would be stronger in those with evidence of kidney dysfunction [estimated glomerular filtration rate (eGFR) $<60 \text{ mL} \cdot \text{min}^{-1} \cdot 1.73 \text{ m}^{-2}$] than in those with normal kidney function (eGFR $\geq 60 \text{ mL} \cdot \text{min}^{-1} \cdot 1.73 \text{ m}^{-2}$).

SUBJECTS AND METHODS

Subjects

The Multi-Ethnic Study of Atherosclerosis (MESA) is a longitudinal, population-based study of 6814 white, African American, Hispanic, and Asian men and women free of clinical cardiovascular disease at baseline and aged 45–84 y. The field centers (and affiliate university) were in Baltimore City and County, MD (Johns Hopkins); Chicago, IL (Northwestern); Forsyth County, NC (Wake Forest); New York, NY (Columbia); Los Angeles County, CA (UCLA); and St Paul, MN (University of Minnesota) (38). Institutional review boards at each participating university approved the protocol, and all participants gave written informed consent. The current baseline cross-sectional investigation includes 5042 men and women, excluding those with diabetes mellitus (39) ($n = 922$), macroalbuminuria ($n = 121$), and insufficient or implausible dietary information (40) ($n = 618$) (numbers not mutually exclusive).

Urinary albumin and creatinine

Urinary albumin and creatinine were assayed from one untimed urine sample at the Fletcher Allen Health Care Clinical Chemistry Laboratory (Burlington, VT). Albumin was assayed by use of the Array 360 CE Protein Analyzer (Beckman Instruments Inc, Drea, CA) and the Vitros 950IRC instrument (Johnson & Johnson Clinical Diagnostics Inc, Rochester, NY). Urinary creatinine was measured by rate reflectance spectrophotometry by using thin film adaptation of the creatine amidinohydrolase method on the Vitros analyzer (Johnson & Johnson Clinical Diagnostics Inc). The urinary albumin ($\mu\text{g/mL}$)-to-creatinine (mg/mL) ratio (ACR) was calculated, with correction for the characteristically higher creatinine excretion level of men than of women ($\text{creatinine} \times 0.68$ in men and $\text{creatinine} \times 1.00$ in women) (41,42). Microalbuminuria was defined as an ACR of 25–249 mg/g .

Diet assessment

At the baseline examination, a food-frequency questionnaire was used to quantify usual dietary intake over the past year (40,43,44). Participants recorded the serving size and frequency consumed for 120 foods and beverages. Responses were quantified in serving/d: frequency (average times per day) \times serving size (40).

Dietary patterns

Dietary factors (food groups with high factor loadings) were derived from 47 food groups by principal components analysis (SAS proc factor, with varimax rotation; SAS Institute Inc, Cary, NC) (40): fats and processed meats (added fats, processed meat, fried potatoes, and desserts); vegetables and fish (vegetables, fish, soups, and Chinese dishes); beans, tomatoes, and refined grains (beans, tomatoes, refined grains, high-fat dairy foods, red meat, and poultry); and whole grains and fruit (whole grains, fruit, nuts and seeds, green leafy vegetables, and low-fat dairy foods). A dietary pattern score was calculated for each participant for each dietary pattern as servings/d of food group_{*i*} \times factor loading for food group_{*i*}, summed across all food groups. A higher score indicated greater conformity with the pattern being calculated. Each pattern score was categorized into quintiles.

Food groups

To characterize animal and plant food intakes, we focused on 6 animal food groups (red meat, processed meat, poultry, fish, high-fat dairy foods, and low-fat dairy foods) and 7 plant food groups (fruit, fruit juice, vegetables, legumes, nuts and seeds, whole grains, and refined grains). In some cases, food groups used in the PCA were collapsed to create broader food groups (Appendix A). Servings per day of animal food groups and servings per day of plant food groups were summed to characterize total animal food intake and total plant food intake, respectively. A nondairy animal food group comprised the sum of servings/d of red meat, processed meat, poultry, and fish. Individual food groups were categorized in quartiles; summary food groups (plant, animal, and nondairy animal foods) were categorized into quintiles.

Assessment of other relevant variables

Baseline demographics, education, medication use, smoking history, and physical activity were ascertained by a combination of interviewer-administered and self-administered questionnaires. Body mass index (in kg/m^2) was calculated from measured weight and height, and waist circumference was measured at the umbilicus (in cm). Resting seated blood pressure was measured 3 times by using a Dinamap model Pro 100 automated oscillometer (Critikon; General Electric Health Care, United Kingdom). The average of the last 2 measures was used in the analyses. Medication use was assessed by self-report and subsequent verification by inspection of medication bottles. Glomerular filtration rate was estimated by using the

abbreviated Modification of Diet in Renal Disease Study equation: $eGFR \text{ (mL} \cdot \text{min}^{-1} \cdot 1.73 \text{ m}^{-2}) = 186.3 \times (\text{serum creatinine (mg/dL)}^{-1.154}) \times (\text{age}^{-0.203}) \times (0.742 \text{ if female}) \times (1.21 \text{ if African American})$ (45).

Statistical analyses

We used linear regression (PROC GLM, SAS version 9.1, SAS Institute Inc) to calculate unadjusted means and frequencies of demographics, lifestyle characteristics, and CVD risk factors stratified by microalbuminuria status (ACR < 25 mg/g versus ACR of 25–249 mg/g). We also calculated food group intake for each stratum, with adjustment for total energy intake (kcal/d).

We used logistic regression to estimate the odds of microalbuminuria from dietary exposures (dietary patterns or food groups) by using the lowest exposure category as the referent. We calculated the *P* for trend across dietary patterns (scores) or food groups (servings/d) by modeling them as continuous variables. We derived odds ratios of microalbuminuria per 1-SD difference in dietary pattern score or per 1-serving/d difference in food group intake from regression with continuous diet variables.

We also evaluated the association between continuously modeled ACR and dietary patterns and food groups. To correct for skewness, ACR was transformed to the natural log scale. Geometric mean ACR (and 95% CI) was calculated for each dietary pattern or food group category. Regression coefficients per 1-SD difference in dietary pattern score or 1-serving/d difference in food group intake as well as the *P* for trend were calculated from regression with continuous variables. Regression coefficients for $\ln(\text{ACR})$ can be interpreted as the approximate expected percentage difference in ACR per dietary unit.

We used 2 main multivariate models. In model 1, we adjusted for energy intake (kcal/d), demographic characteristics [study center, age (y), sex, and race/ethnicity (white, black, Hispanic, or Chinese)], and lifestyle variables [education (< high school, = high school, > high school), physical activity (active leisure activity and inactive leisure activity in metabolic equivalent-h/wk), smoking (current or not current and pack years), and supplement use (weekly use of vitamin, mineral, or other nutritional supplements versus nonuse)]. In model 2, we further included potential pathway intermediates (ie, mediators on the causal pathway of the association between dietary intake and microalbuminuria or ACR): including waist circumference (cm), systolic blood pressure (mm Hg), and current use of hypertensive medications. We tested interactions between dietary exposures and $eGFR$ (<60 versus $\geq 60 \text{ mL} \cdot \text{min}^{-1} \cdot 1.73 \text{ m}^{-2}$) by including a cross-product term in our main multivariate model (model 1).

RESULTS

Characteristics according to microalbuminuria status

Participants with microalbuminuria at baseline were older, were more likely to be male, were less educated, were less active, and had greater waist circumferences (Table 1). Clinical characteristics also differed; participants with microalbuminuria had higher systolic blood pressure, insulin, and glucose and lower $eGFR$. With adjustment for energy intake, participants with microalbuminuria ate fewer servings of low-fat dairy foods and more servings of fruit juice and scored lower on the whole grains and fruit dietary pattern. The prevalence of microalbuminuria was 8.6% (7.2%, 11.3%, 9.0%, and 9.4% in whites, Chinese, blacks, and Hispanics, respectively), which is comparable with recent data from the National Health and Nutrition Examination Surveys (46,47).

Dietary patterns and the odds of microalbuminuria

Only the whole grains and fruit dietary pattern was significantly associated with the odds of microalbuminuria after multivariate adjustment (Table 2, model 1). Compared with participants in the lowest quintile, participants in the 5th quintile had a 39% lower odds of microalbuminuria [odds ratio (OR) and 95% CI for Q5 versus Q1: 0.61 (0.42, 0.89), *P* for trend = 0.02]. This association remained with additional adjustment for the potential mediating variables waist circumference, systolic blood pressure, and hypertension medication use (Table 2, model 2).

Dietary patterns and the urinary albumin-to-creatinine ratio

The sequences of geometric mean ACR across quintiles of the 4 dietary patterns generally supported those for microalbuminuria, with an inverse association between ACR and whole grains and fruit dietary pattern scores and a positive association between ACR and beans, tomatoes, and refined grains dietary pattern scores (Table 3, model 1). Specifically, ACR was 20.3% lower in participants scoring in the highest versus lowest quintile of the whole grains and fruit dietary pattern (*P* for trend = 0.004). In contrast, ACR was 11.5% greater in participants in the highest versus lowest quintile of the beans, tomatoes, and refined grains dietary pattern (*P* for trend = 0.04). Further adjustment for potential pathway intermediates did not materially alter the association with the whole grains and fruit dietary pattern but did attenuate the association with the beans, tomatoes, and refined grains pattern to nonsignificance (Table 3, model 2).

Food groups and the odds of microalbuminuria

Of the 13 individual food groups evaluated (Table 4), the strongest association was noted between intake of low-fat dairy foods and odds of microalbuminuria. After adjustment for demographic and lifestyle confounders (model 1), persons in the highest versus lowest quartile of low-fat dairy intake had a 37% lower odds of microalbuminuria [OR (95% CI): 0.63 (0.46, 0.87); *P* for trend = 0.02]. The association remained statistically significant after adjustment for whole grains and fruit dietary pattern scores [OR (95% CI) for Q5 versus Q1: 0.68 (0.49, 0.95)] or adjustment for the other 12 food groups [OR (95% CI) for Q5 versus Q1: 0.70 (0.50, 0.97)]. Adjustment for pathway intermediates also had little effect on these estimates (Table 4, model 2).

Other food groups were not significantly associated with the odds of microalbuminuria after multivariate adjustment. Distinguishing fried from nonfried fish and white potatoes from other vegetables foods did not change these conclusions (data not shown). Although not statistically significant, there were suggestive inverse associations between microalbuminuria and intake of fruit [OR (95% CI) per serving/d in model 1: 0.94 (0.87, 1.01)] and whole grains [OR (95% CI) per serving/d in model 1: 0.84 (0.69, 1.03)] (Table 3).

Food groups and the urinary albumin-to-creatinine ratio

Associations between food group intake and continuously modeled ACR generally mirrored those for microalbuminuria (Table 5). For each serving/d greater low-fat dairy consumption, the estimated ACR was 2.3% lower ($\beta \pm SE$ per serving/d change: -0.023 ± 0.01 , *P* for trend across quartiles = 0.03, model 1). Similarly, for each serving/d greater fruit consumption, estimated ACR was 1.7% lower ($\beta \pm SE$ per serving/d change: -0.017 ± 0.01 , *P* for trend across quartiles = 0.04, model 1). Although not formally significant, intake of whole grains also showed a moderate inverse association with ACR (*P* for trend = 0.06). Results were similar when further adjusted for waist circumference, systolic blood pressure, and hypertension medication use (model 2).

Plant, animal, and nondairy animal food groups

Neither the sum intake of all animal foods nor the sum intake of all plant foods was significantly associated with the odds of microalbuminuria (P for trend = 0.49 and 0.20 for total animal food and total plant food, respectively) or ACR modeled continuously (P for trend = 0.90 and 0.20 for total animal food and total plant food, respectively; data not shown).

Although individual nondairy animal foods (red meat, processed meat, poultry, and fish) were not significantly associated with ACR, each serving/d greater intake of the sum of these foods was associated with an estimated 3.9% greater ACR ($\beta \pm$ SE per serving/d difference: 0.039 ± 0.02 , P for trend = 0.03, model 1; data not shown). Some of this association appeared to be mediated collectively by waist circumference, systolic blood pressure, and need for hypertension medication (current use) ($\beta \pm$ SE per serving/d difference: 0.028 ± 0.02 , P for trend = 0.12, model 2; data not shown).

Interactions between estimated glomerular filtration rate and dietary patterns or food groups

We noted significant interactions between eGFR (< 60 versus ≥ 60 mL \cdot min⁻¹ \cdot 1.73 m⁻²) and whole grains and fruit dietary pattern scores (P for interaction < 0.001), nuts and seeds intake (P for interaction = 0.04), and low-fat dairy intake (P for interaction = 0.01). In each case, associations tended to be inverse in both strata, but substantially stronger inverse associations were observed in the smaller group of participants with eGFR < 60 mL \cdot min⁻¹ \cdot 1.73 m⁻² (Table 6; median eGFR = 56.1 mL \cdot min⁻¹ \cdot 1.73 m⁻²; 12% with eGFR < 45 mL \cdot min⁻¹ \cdot 1.73 m⁻²). There were no statistically significant interactions between eGFR (< 60 or ≥ 60 mL \cdot min⁻¹ \cdot 1.73 m⁻²) and other studied dietary patterns or food groups.

DISCUSSION

In this cross-sectional analysis in a large multiethnic cohort free of clinical CVD and diabetes, a dietary pattern characterized by high consumption of whole grains, fruit, vegetables, and low-fat dairy foods was inversely associated with ACR and the odds of microalbuminuria. Consistent with this, greater intakes of low-fat dairy foods and fruit were each associated with lower ACR, and greater whole-grain intake was marginally associated with lower ACR. By contrast, a dietary pattern characterized by high consumption of beans, tomatoes, refined grains, high-fat dairy foods, and meat food groups and nondairy animal food consumption were each positively associated with ACR.

Greater ACR is associated with greater risk of CVD, even in low-risk individuals (19) and may portend development of chronic kidney disease. Both disease conditions place a heavy burden on public health resources (48,49). Few studies have evaluated relations between albumin excretion and foods and dietary patterns. Our findings are consistent with the hypothesis that particular aspects of diet may be important intervention targets to prevent elevations in albumin excretion and subsequent development of CVD and kidney disease.

Previous epidemiologic studies of diet and albuminuria in persons with (23–25,33,35,36) and without (28,34) diabetes largely focused on nutrient intake alone and not on dietary patterns or food intake. To our knowledge, only 2 observational studies have reported associations between albumin excretion and food intake: one in this population (50) and one in a Swedish cohort of persons with type 1 diabetes (51). The latter study reported that young men and women (mean age: 18 y) with type 1 diabetes who had an albumin excretion rate ≥ 15 μ g/min consumed less fish than did those with an albumin excretion rate < 15 μ g/min (51). In contrast with the results of that study, we found no relation between fish consumption and ACR. Differences in frequency and type of fish consumption, disease states (type 1 diabetes versus

nondiabetic), and age (young versus middle-aged) of our samples may partly explain the discrepancy between findings.

A previous analysis of data from MESA showed a weak inverse association between whole grains and ACR (50), which we duplicated here with a slightly different study sample and analytic approach. As previously described, the association was strongest in Hispanic and Chinese participants (50). Although associations noted in the current study were weak, <1% of our sample met current recommendations for whole grain intake (3 servings/d) (52). Greater intake is likely needed to fully evaluate the potential of whole grain intake in terms of albumin excretion. We also noted a moderate inverse association between fruit intake and ACR. Ours is the first study to report such an association. Given the relation between inflammation and albuminuria (53–56), fiber or antioxidant components of fruit may partly contribute to the association we observed (57–59).

Although we noted only weak associations between ACR and whole-grain and fruit intake, the dietary pattern characterized by high consumption of these foods (and also low-fat dairy foods) was inversely associated with ACR and microalbuminuria. This observation underscores the importance of the many dimensions of diet. Although the effects of individual dietary constituents may be small, the overall impact of a diet high in several weakly protective foods may result in greater health benefit than each food alone (18).

The results of studies evaluating associations between different food sources of protein and albumin excretion have been inconsistent, with some suggesting adverse effects of animal protein (especially from red meat) and others showing no difference between animal and plant proteins (26). Partially consistent with the hypothesis that animal protein has an unfavorable impact, we found that nondairy animal food intake was positively associated with ACR, whereas low-fat dairy food intake was inversely associated with ACR. Our findings are similar to those from the Nurse's Health Study, where women with mild renal insufficiency at baseline who consumed more nondairy animal protein experienced a greater decline in kidney function over 11 y of follow-up (32).

Differences in the amino acid and fatty acid composition of nondairy and dairy food groups may partially explain the differing directions of associations. Studies have shown that amino acids metabolized in the splanchnic region have greater renal impact than do those metabolized peripherally, and branched-chain amino acids, common to dairy foods, have lesser renal impact (60,61). Saturated fat, predominantly supplied by animal foods (but amounts negligible in low-fat dairy foods), has previously been associated with greater ACR in persons with diabetes (35) and also with poor endothelial function (62,63), inflammation (62,63), and atherosclerosis (64,65). Furthermore, there is mounting evidence that dairy foods lower the risk of diabetes and other disorders of glucose metabolism that are related to microalbuminuria (29–31). Milk proteins, vitamin D, magnesium, and calcium may partly contribute to these associations (28–31).

Hypertension contributes to renal vascular damage (17,66), and excess adiposity is a key antecedent of hypertension. However, adjustment for these potential mediators did not alter associations between ACR and the whole grains and fruit dietary pattern or low-fat dairy. This may be due to incomplete adjustment or, alternatively, may be an indication that these dietary factors intervene along multiple pathways and not exclusively through their known influence on body weight and blood pressure.

We noted interactions between eGFR and the whole grains and fruit dietary pattern and the low-fat dairy and nuts and seeds food groups, where the stronger association with ACR existed in those with (eGFR < 60 mL · min⁻¹ · 1.73 m⁻²). However, it is possible that these interactions were the result of chance. Duplication of our findings in other studies is essential before we

can conclude that particular dietary aspects are more important in those with early pathology versus normal kidney function.

Several limitations deserve discussion. A single spot urine sample was used to determine microalbuminuria, which may have overestimated the prevalence of microalbuminuria (41, 67), compromising specificity and attenuating odds ratios. We attempted to overcome this limitation by modeling ACR continuously to avoid a presumed cutoff to characterize increased risk (16–18). Our cross-sectional analysis cannot define a temporal sequence between dietary exposures and subsequent development of kidney damage, nor can we rule out residual confounding by inadequately measured or unmeasured variables. For example, regular consumers of low-fat dairy foods likely differ from irregular or nonconsumers in terms of other healthy lifestyle practices that lower microalbuminuria risk. Also important to our low-fat dairy analyses is the fact that low-fat milk intake was queried by the following: “low-fat milk or beverages made with low-fat milk, such as café latte and café au lait.” Thus, some degree of measurement error exists. Lastly, nonsignificant associations may have been declared significant by chance alone. For example, applying the conservative Bonferroni correction to our food group analyses ($P < 0.004$) would render most associations nonsignificant.

In conclusion, high intake of low-fat dairy foods and a dietary pattern rich in whole grains, fruit, and low-fat dairy food (among other foods) were both associated with lower odds of microalbuminuria and mean ACR in adults free of clinical CVD and diabetes. In contrast, nondairy animal food intake was positively associated with ACR. To the extent that microvascular disease (reflected here by elevated ACR) occurs upstream of overt CVD, these findings point to modifiable dietary factors that may reduce CVD risk. Research in larger studies with prospective dietary measures are need to elucidate the best dietary approach, although it appears a DASH-like (28) dietary pattern excluding meat would be successful.

Acknowledgements

The authors thank the other investigators, the staff, and the participants of the MESA study for their valuable contributions. A full list of participating MESA investigators and institutions can be found at <http://www.mesa-nhlbi.org>.

JAN was responsible for analytic design, data analysis, and manuscript preparation. LMS critically reviewed the manuscript. WP and GLB were involved in data acquisition and manuscript review. DRJ was involved in data acquisition, critically reviewed the manuscript, and contributed to data analysis. None of the authors had conflicts of interest to report.

APPENDIX A

Food group component foods used to study the association between dietary consumption and albuminuria in the Multi-Ethnic Study of Atherosclerosis (MESA)¹

| Food group ² | Food group components |
|-------------------------|--|
| Red meat | Hamburger, cheeseburger, meat loaf, hash Beef, pork or lamb steaks, roasts, barbeque or ribs [Chile with meat and beans] [Oriental noodles with meat] [Chile con carne] [Pasta dishes with meat] [Stir fry with meat] [Burritos, quesadillas, fajitas with meat] [Meat stew] |
| Processed meat | Ham, hot dogs, bologna, salami, other lunch meats Ham hocks, pigs' feet, chicharones Sausage, chorizo, scrapple, bacon Liver including chicken livers, other organ meats |
| Poultry | Roasted, broiled, baked, or ground chicken or turkey Fried chicken [Arroz con pollo] |

| Food group ² | Food group components |
|-------------------------|---|
| Fish | [Pasta dishes with poultry] [Burritos, quesadillas, fajitas with poultry] [Turkey stew] Shrimp, lobster, crab, oysters, mussels (not fried) Tuna, salmon, sardines (including sashimi or sushi) Other broiled, steamed, baked, or raw fish (trout, sole, halibut, poke, grouper) Fried fish or fish sandwich, fried shrimp, calamari [Fish stew or seafood gumbo] [Stir-fry dishes with fish] [Pasta dishes with fish] |
| High-fat dairy | Cheddar, American, Chihuahua, Swiss, cream cheese, cheese spreads, any other cheese Whole milk and beverages made with whole milk including café latte, café au lait Milk in coffee or tea Cream, half-and-half or nondairy creamer in coffee or tea Regular ice cream Cream soups including chowders, potato, and cheese soups [Pasta dishes with cream sauce or cheese] [Cheese in burritos and enchiladas or tamales] |
| Low-fat dairy | Skim milk and beverages made with skim milk including café latte, café au lait Low-fat milk and beverages made with low-fat milk including café latte, café au lait Cottage or ricotta cheese Plain yogurt (unflavored) Flavored yogurt Sweetened condensed milk Frozen yogurt, low-fat ice cream, ice milk, sherbet Pudding, custard, or flan |
| Fruit | Peaches, apricots, nectarines, plums Cantaloupe, mango, papaya Strawberries, blueberries, other berries Apples, applesauce, pears Bananas, plantains Oranges, grapefruit, tangerines, kiwi Dried fruits (eg, raisins, prunes, figs, apricots) Any other fruits (eg, pineapples, persimmons, grapes, other melons, canned peaches, fruit cocktail, etc) |
| Fruit juice | Orange juice, grapefruit juice Any other juice (eg, apple, grape, punch, Kool-aid, ³ guava juice, etc) |
| Vegetables | Cruciferous vegetables: broccoli, cabbage, cauliflower, brussels sprouts, sauerkraut, kimchee [Vegetables in stir-fry dishes] Dark yellow vegetables: Carrots Winter squash, acorn squash Sweet potatoes, yams [Vegetables in stir-fry dishes, stews, or pot pie] Other vegetables: Corn hominy Green beans, peas, snow peas Any other vegetables (eg, summer squash, zucchini, asparagus, mixed vegetables) Tossed salad with iceberg or light-green lettuce [Vegetables in stir-fry dishes; stews, pot pies, empanada; burritos; enchiladas or tamales] Tomatoes: Tomatoes (cooked or raw), tomato juice Salsa [Tomatoes in chile, picadillo, pasta with tomato sauce, burritos, enchiladas or tamales] White potatoes: Boiled, baked, mashed, or other potatoes, turnips [Potatoes in stews or pot pies, empanada] Green leafy vegetables: Tossed salad with spinach, romaine, or dark greens; cooked spinach; turnip greens; collards |
| Beans | Peas, lentils, black beans, potajes soups Pinto, black, baked, butter, or red beans; pork and beans; black-eyed peas Refried beans as a side dish [Beans in chile with meat and beans, enchiladas or tamales, or burritos without meat] |
| Nuts and seeds | Almonds, walnuts, pecans, other nuts Sunflower, pinyon, other seeds Peanuts, peanut butter |
| Whole grains | Dark, whole-grain bread or rolls (eg, hamburger buns, bagels, pitas, English muffins, etc) Bran muffins Brown or wild rice Oatmeal |
| Refined grains | High-fiber cold cereal White bread or rolls (eg, hamburger buns, bagels, pitas, English muffins, etc) White, Mexican, or sticky rice Flour or corn tortillas on the side |

| Food group ² | Food group components |
|-------------------------|--|
| | Other hot cereal (eg, grits, cream of wheat, mush, congee) |
| | Low-fiber cereal |
| | Biscuits, other muffins, croissants, corn bread, hush puppies |
| | Pancakes, waffles, French toast |
| | Potato, corn, or tortilla chips |
| | Crackers, pretzels, popcorn |
| | Chinese dumplings, spring roll, dim sum (not fried), Chinese bun |
| | Chow mein |
| | Fried rice |
| | [Oriental noodles with meat] |
| | [Fish stew or seafood gumbo, paella] |
| | [Other pasta dishes] |
| | [Arroz con pollo] |
| | [Burritos or enchiladas or tamales] |
| | [Stews or pot pies or empanada] |

¹ Each item represents a single question on the MESA food-frequency questionnaire. Items in brackets were included in multiple food groups after disaggregation to component parts (mixed dishes).

² Note that the narrowly defined 47 food groups used in the principal components analysis to derive dietary patterns (presented in detail in reference 40) were collapsed to form these 13 more general food groups.

³ Kraft Foods, Inc, Northfield, IL.

REFERENCES

- Rowe DJ, Dawney A, Watts GF. Microalbuminuria in diabetes mellitus: review and recommendations for the measurement of albumin in urine. *Ann Clin Biochem* 1990;27:297–312. [PubMed: 2206092]
- Pedrinelli R, Giampietro O, Carmassi F, et al. Microalbuminuria and endothelial dysfunction in essential hypertension. *Lancet* 1994;344:14–8. [PubMed: 7912295]
- Stehouwer CD, Nauta JJ, Zeldenrust GC, Hackeng WH, Donker AJ, den Ottolander GJ. Urinary albumin excretion, cardiovascular disease, and endothelial dysfunction in non-insulin-dependent diabetes mellitus. *Lancet* 1992;340:319–23. [PubMed: 1353802]
- Clausen P, Jensen JS, Jensen G, Borch-Johnsen K, Feldt-Rasmussen B. Elevated urinary albumin excretion is associated with impaired arterial dilatatory capacity in clinically healthy subjects. *Circulation* 2001;103:1869–74. [PubMed: 11294805]
- Hermans MM, Henry R, Dekker JM, et al. Estimated glomerular filtration rate and urinary albumin excretion are independently associated with greater arterial stiffness: The Hoorn Study. *J Am Soc Nephrol* 2007;18:1942–52. [PubMed: 17460143]
- Paisley KE, Beaman M, Tooke JE, Mohamed-Ali V, Lowe GD, Shore AC. Endothelial dysfunction and inflammation in asymptomatic proteinuria. *Kidney Int* 2003;63:624–33. [PubMed: 12631127]
- Bigazzi R, Bianchi S, Nenci R, Baldari D, Baldari G, Campese VM. Increased thickness of the carotid artery in patients with essential hypertension and microalbuminuria. *J Hum Hypertens* 1995;9:827–33. [PubMed: 8576899]
- Leoncini G, Viazzi F, Parodi D, et al. Mild renal dysfunction and sub-clinical cardiovascular damage in primary hypertension. *Hypertension* 2003;42:14–8. [PubMed: 12756221]
- Mykkanen L, Zaccaro DJ, O'Leary DH, Howard G, Robbins DC, Haffner SM. Microalbuminuria and carotid artery intima-media thickness in nondiabetic and NIDDM subjects. The Insulin Resistance Atherosclerosis Study (IRAS). *Stroke* 1997;28:1710–6. [PubMed: 9303013]
- Agewall S, Bjorn F. Microalbuminuria and intima-media thickness of the carotid artery in clinically healthy men. *Atherosclerosis* 2002;164:161–6. [PubMed: 12119205]
- Pontremoli R, Sofia A, Ravera M, et al. Prevalence and clinical correlates of microalbuminuria in essential hypertension: the MAGIC Study. *Microalbuminuria: A Genoa Investigation on Complications*. *Hypertension* 1997;30:1135–43. [PubMed: 9369267]
- Deckert T, Feldt-Rasmussen B, Borch-Johnsen K, Jensen T, Kofoed-Enevoldsen A. Albuminuria reflects widespread vascular damage. The Steno hypothesis. *Diabetologia* 1989;32:219–26. [PubMed: 2668076]

13. Jensen JS, Borch-Johnsen K, Jensen G, Feldt-Rasmussen B. Microalbuminuria reflects a generalized transvascular albumin leakiness in clinically healthy subjects. *Clin Sci (Lond)* 1995;88:629–33. [PubMed: 7634745]
14. Viberti GC, Hill RD, Jarrett RJ, Argyropoulos A, Mahmud U, Keen H. Microalbuminuria as a predictor of clinical nephropathy in insulin-dependent diabetes mellitus. *Lancet* 1982;1:1430–2. [PubMed: 6123720]
15. Parving HH, Mogensen CE, Jensen HA, Evrin PE. Increased urinary albumin-excretion rate in benign essential hypertension. *Lancet* 1974;1:1190–2. [PubMed: 4134681]
16. Arnlov J, Evans JC, Meigs JB, et al. Low-grade albuminuria and incidence of cardiovascular disease events in nonhypertensive and nondiabetic individuals: the Framingham Heart Study. *Circulation* 2005;112:969–75. [PubMed: 16087792]
17. Gerstein HC, Mann JF, Yi Q, et al. Albuminuria and risk of cardiovascular events, death, and heart failure in diabetic and nondiabetic individuals. *JAMA* 2001;286:421–6. [PubMed: 11466120]
18. Hillege HL, Fidler V, Diercks GF, et al. Urinary albumin excretion predicts cardiovascular and noncardiovascular mortality in general population. *Circulation* 2002;106:1777–82. [PubMed: 12356629]
19. Sarnak MJ, Levey AS, Schoolwerth AC, et al. Kidney disease as a risk factor for development of cardiovascular disease: a statement from the American Heart Association Councils on Kidney in Cardiovascular Disease, High Blood Pressure Research, Clinical Cardiology, and Epidemiology and Prevention. *Hypertension* 2003;42:1050–65. [PubMed: 14604997]
20. Brenner BM. Hemodynamically mediated glomerular injury and the progressive nature of kidney disease. *Kidney Int* 1983;23:647–55. [PubMed: 6336299]
21. Hansen HP, Christensen PK, Tauber-Lassen E, Klausen A, Jensen BR, Parving HH. Low-protein diet and kidney function in insulin-dependent diabetic patients with diabetic nephropathy. *Kidney Int* 1999;55:621–8. [PubMed: 9987086]
22. Schaap GH, Bilo HJ, Alferink TH, Oe PL, Donker AJ. The effect of a high protein intake on renal function of patients with chronic renal insufficiency. *Nephron* 1987;47:1–6. [PubMed: 3627332]
23. Hoogeveen EK, Kostense PJ, Jager A, et al. Serum homocysteine level and protein intake are related to risk of microalbuminuria: the Hoorn Study. *Kidney Int* 1998;54:203–9. [PubMed: 9648080]
24. Toeller M, Buyken A, Heitkamp G, et al. Protein intake and urinary albumin excretion rates in the EURODIAB IDDM Complications Study. *Diabetologia* 1997;40:1219–26. [PubMed: 9349605]
25. Wrono EM, Carnethon MR, Palaniappan L, Fortmann SP. Association of dietary protein intake and microalbuminuria in healthy adults: Third National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey. *Am J Kidney Dis* 2003;41:580–7. [PubMed: 12612981]
26. Bernstein AM, Treyzon L, Li Z. Are high-protein, vegetable-based diets safe for kidney function? A review of the literature. *J Am Diet Assoc* 2007;107:644–50. [PubMed: 17383270]
27. Trevisan M, Krogh V, Farinero E, Panico S, Mancini M. Calcium-rich foods and blood pressure: findings from the Italian National Research Council Study (the Nine Communities Study). *Am J Epidemiol* 1988;127:1155–63. [PubMed: 3369415]
28. Daviglus ML, Greenland P, Stamler J, et al. Relation of nutrient intake to microalbuminuria in nondiabetic middle-aged men and women: International Population Study on Macronutrients and Blood Pressure (INTERMAP). *Am J Kidney Dis* 2005;45:256–66. [PubMed: 15685502]
29. Choi HK, Willett WC, Stampfer MJ, Rimm E, Hu FB. Dairy consumption and risk of type 2 diabetes mellitus in men: a prospective study. *Arch Intern Med* 2005;165:997–1003. [PubMed: 15883237]
30. Liu S, Choi HK, Ford E, et al. A prospective study of dairy intake and the risk of type 2 diabetes in women. *Diabetes Care* 2006;29:1579–84. [PubMed: 16801582]
31. Pereira MA, Jacobs DR Jr, Van Horn L, Slattery ML, Kartashov AI, Ludwig DS. Dairy consumption, obesity, and the insulin resistance syndrome in young adults: the CARDIA Study. *JAMA* 2002;287:2081–9. [PubMed: 11966382]
32. Knight EL, Stampfer MJ, Hankinson SE, Spiegelman D, Curhan GC. The impact of protein intake on renal function decline in women with normal renal function or mild renal insufficiency. *Ann Intern Med* 2003;138:460–7. [PubMed: 12639078]

33. Kalk WJ, Osler C, Constable J, Kruger M, Panz V. Influence of dietary protein on glomerular filtration and urinary albumin excretion in insulin-dependent diabetes. *Am J Clin Nutr* 1992;56:169–73. [PubMed: 1609755]
34. Metcalf PA, Baker JR, Scragg RK, Dryson E, Scott AJ, Wild CJ. Dietary nutrient intakes and slight albuminuria in people at least 40 years old. *Clin Chem* 1993;39:2191–8. [PubMed: 8403406]
35. Riley MD, Dwyer T. Microalbuminuria is positively associated with usual dietary saturated fat intake and negatively associated with usual dietary protein intake in people with insulin-dependent diabetes mellitus. *Am J Clin Nutr* 1998;67:50–7. [PubMed: 9440375]
36. Watts GF, Gregory L, Naoumova R, Kubal C, Shaw KM. Nutrient intake in insulin-dependent diabetic patients with incipient nephropathy. *Eur J Clin Nutr* 1988;42:697–702. [PubMed: 3181104]
37. Brown AA, Hu FB. Dietary modulation of endothelial function: implications for cardiovascular disease. *Am J Clin Nutr* 2001;73:673–86. [PubMed: 11273841]
38. Bild DE, Bluemke DA, Burke GL, et al. Multi-ethnic study of atherosclerosis: objectives and design. *Am J Epidemiol* 2002;156:871–81. [PubMed: 12397006]
39. Report of the Expert Committee on the Diagnosis and Classification of Diabetes Mellitus. *Diabetes Care* 1997;20:1183–97. [PubMed: 9203460]
40. Nettleton JA, Steffen LM, Mayer-Davis EJ, et al. Dietary patterns are associated with biochemical markers of inflammation and endothelial activation in the Multi-Ethnic Study of Atherosclerosis (MESA). *Am J Clin Nutr* 2006;83:1369–79. [PubMed: 16762949]
41. Warram JH, Gearin G, Laffel L, Krolewski AS. Effect of duration of type I diabetes on the prevalence of stages of diabetic nephropathy defined by urinary albumin/creatinine ratio. *J Am Soc Nephrol* 1996;7:930–7. [PubMed: 8793803]
42. Jacobs DR Jr, Murtaugh MA, Steffes M, Yu X, Roseman J, Goetz FC. Gender- and race-specific determination of albumin excretion rate using albumin-to-creatinine ratio in single, untimed urine specimens: the Coronary Artery Risk Development in Young Adults Study. *Am J Epidemiol* 2002;155:1114–9. [PubMed: 12048225]
43. Block G, Woods M, Potosky A, Clifford C. Validation of a self-administered diet history questionnaire using multiple diet records. *J Clin Epidemiol* 1990;43:1327–35. [PubMed: 2254769]
44. Mayer-Davis EJ, Vitolins MZ, Carmichael SL, et al. Validity and reproducibility of a food frequency interview in a Multi-Cultural Epidemiology Study. *Ann Epidemiol* 1999;9:314–24. [PubMed: 10976858]
45. Levey AS, Bosch JP, Lewis JB, Greene T, Rogers N, Roth D. A more accurate method to estimate glomerular filtration rate from serum creatinine: a new prediction equation. Modification of Diet in Renal Disease Study Group. *Ann Intern Med* 1999;130:461–70. [PubMed: 10075613]
46. Coresh J, Byrd-Holt D, Astor BC, et al. Chronic kidney disease awareness, prevalence, and trends among U.S. adults, 1999 to 2000. *J Am Soc Nephrol* 2005;16:180–8. [PubMed: 15563563]
47. Jones CA, Francis ME, Eberhardt MS, et al. Microalbuminuria in the US population: third National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey. *Am J Kidney Dis* 2002;39:445–59. [PubMed: 11877563]
48. Schieppati A, Remuzzi G. Chronic renal diseases as a public health problem: epidemiology, social, and economic implications. *Kidney Int Suppl Sep*;2005 :S7–10. [PubMed: 16108976]
49. Schoolwerth AC, Engelgau MM, Hostetter TH, et al. Chronic kidney disease: a public health problem that needs a public health action plan. *Prev Chronic Dis* 2006;3:A57. [PubMed: 16539798]
50. Lutsey PL, Jacobs DR, Kori S, et al. Whole grain intake and its cross-sectional association with obesity, insulin resistance, inflammation, diabetes and subclinical CVD: The MESA Study. *Br J Nutr* 2007;98:397–405. [PubMed: 17391554]
51. Mollsten AV, Dahlquist GG, Stattin EL, Rudberg S. Higher intakes of fish protein are related to a lower risk of microalbuminuria in young Swedish type 1 diabetic patients. *Diabetes Care* 2001;24:805–10. [PubMed: 11347734]
52. Slavin JL, Jacobs D, Marquart L, Wiemer K. The role of whole grains in disease prevention. *J Am Diet Assoc* 2001;101:780–5. [PubMed: 11478475]
53. Pannacciulli N, Cantatore FP, Minenna A, Bellacicco M, Giorgino R, De Pergola G. Urinary albumin excretion is independently associated with C-reactive protein levels in overweight and obese nondiabetic premenopausal women. *J Intern Med* 2001;250:502–7. [PubMed: 11902818]

54. Jager A, van Hinsbergh VW, Kostense PJ, et al. C-reactive protein and soluble vascular cell adhesion molecule-1 are associated with elevated urinary albumin excretion but do not explain its link with cardiovascular risk. *Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol* 2002;22:593–8. [PubMed: 11950696]
55. Barzilay JI, Peterson D, Cushman M, et al. The relationship of cardiovascular risk factors to microalbuminuria in older adults with or without diabetes mellitus or hypertension: the cardiovascular health study. *Am J Kidney Dis* 2004;44:25–34. [PubMed: 15211434]
56. Pedrinelli R, Dell'Omo G, Di Bello V, et al. Low-grade inflammation and microalbuminuria in hypertension. *Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol* 2004;24:2414–9. [PubMed: 15486313]
57. Gao X, Bermudez OI, Tucker KL. Plasma C-reactive protein and homocysteine concentrations are related to frequent fruit and vegetable intake in Hispanic and non-Hispanic white elders. *J Nutr* 2004;134:913–8. [PubMed: 15051846]
58. Rowley K, Walker KZ, Cohen J, et al. Inflammation and vascular endothelial activation in an Aboriginal population: relationships to coronary disease risk factors and nutritional markers. *Med J Aust* 2003;178:495–500. [PubMed: 12741936]
59. King DE. Dietary fiber, inflammation, and cardiovascular disease. *Mol Nutr Food Res* 2005;49:594–600. [PubMed: 15884088]
60. Lee KE, Summerill RA. Glomerular filtration rate following administration of individual amino acids in conscious dogs. *Q J Exp Physiol* 1982;67:459–65. [PubMed: 7111666]
61. Claris-Appiani A, Assael BM, Tirelli AS, Marra G, Cavanna G. Lack of glomerular hemodynamic stimulation after infusion of branched-chain amino acids. *Kidney Int* 1988;33:91–4. [PubMed: 3352163]
62. Nicholls SJ, Lundman P, Harmer JA, et al. Consumption of saturated fat impairs the anti-inflammatory properties of high-density lipoproteins and endothelial function. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2006;48:715–20. [PubMed: 16904539]
63. De Caterina R, Liao JK, Libby P. Fatty acid modulation of endothelial activation. *Am J Clin Nutr* 2000;71:213S–23S. [PubMed: 10617974]
64. Bemelmans WJ, Lefrandt JD, Feskens EJ, et al. Change in saturated fat intake is associated with progression of carotid and femoral intima-media thickness, and with levels of soluble intercellular adhesion molecule-1. *Atherosclerosis* 2002;163:113–20. [PubMed: 12048128]
65. Markus RA, Mack WJ, Azen SP, Hodis HN. Influence of lifestyle modification on atherosclerotic progression determined by ultrasonographic change in the common carotid intima-media thickness. *Am J Clin Nutr* 1997;65:1000–4. [PubMed: 9094885]
66. Lee KU, Park JY, Kim SW, et al. Prevalence and associated features of albuminuria in Koreans with NIDDM. *Diabetes Care* 1995;18:793–9. [PubMed: 7555505]
67. Coresh J, Astor BC, Greene T, Eknoyan G, Levey AS. Prevalence of chronic kidney disease and decreased kidney function in the adult US population: Third National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey. *Am J Kidney Dis* 2003;41:1–12. [PubMed: 12500213]

TABLE 1

Demographic, lifestyle, and clinical characteristics of 5042 participants with and without microalbuminuria from the Multi-Ethnic Study of Atherosclerosis (MESA)

| | Microalbuminuria (ACR 25–249 mg/g) ¹ | | P |
|--|---|---------------|--------------------|
| | No (n = 4609) | Yes (n = 433) | |
| Demographic, lifestyle, and clinical characteristics | | | |
| Age (y) | 61.2 ± 0.2 ² | 67.0 ± 0.5 | <0.001 |
| Sex (% male) | 46.1 | 58.2 | <0.001 |
| Race/ethnicity | | | 0.007 ³ |
| White (%) | 43.9 | 36.4 | 0.003 |
| Black (%) | 23.9 | 25.1 | 0.59 |
| Hispanic (%) | 20.1 | 22.3 | 0.29 |
| Chinese (%) | 12.1 | 16.2 | 0.01 |
| Education ≥ high school (%) | 84.8 | 77.3 | <0.001 |
| Active leisure (MET-h/wk) | 42.2 ± 0.7 | 36.9 ± 2.4 | 0.04 |
| Inactive leisure (MET-h/wk) | 27.6 ± 0.3 | 30.5 ± 0.9 | 0.003 |
| Supplement use ≥ weekly (%) | 59.1 | 57.1 | 0.42 |
| Current smoking (%) | 14.7 | 13.5 | 0.49 |
| BMI (kg/m ²) | 27.7 ± 0.1 | 28.1 ± 0.2 | 0.13 |
| Pack years smoking (y) | 10.8 ± 0.3 | 13.7 ± 1.1 | 0.009 |
| Waist circumference (cm) | 96.4 ± 0.2 | 99.0 ± 0.7 | <0.001 |
| Hypertension (%) | 37.6 | 68.2 | <0.001 |
| Systolic blood pressure (mm Hg) | 123.7 ± 0.3 | 138.3 ± 1.0 | <0.001 |
| Fasting insulin (mg/dL) | 42.9 ± 0.4 | 48.1 ± 1.4 | <0.001 |
| Fasting glucose (mg/dL) | 95.4 ± 0.1 | 98.8 ± 0.5 | <0.001 |
| eGFR (mL · min ⁻¹ · 1.73 m ⁻²) | 80.7 ± 0.3 | 77.6 ± 0.8 | <0.001 |
| eGFR <60 mL · min ⁻¹ · 1.73 m ⁻² (%) | 7.7 | 17.6 | <0.001 |
| Food group intake (servings/wk) ⁴ | | | |
| Red meat | 2.6 ± 0.03 | 2.6 ± 0.1 | 0.89 |
| Processed meat | 1.2 ± 0.02 | 1.3 ± 0.08 | 0.05 |
| Poultry | 2.7 ± 0.03 | 2.5 ± 0.1 | 0.11 |
| Fish | 2.1 ± 0.3 | 2.2 ± 0.1 | 0.77 |
| High-fat dairy | 5.6 ± 0.1 | 5.8 ± 0.3 | 0.38 |
| Low-fat dairy | 6.2 ± 0.1 | 5.4 ± 0.4 | 0.03 |
| Fruit | 13.1 ± 0.2 | 12.4 ± 0.5 | 0.20 |
| Fruit juice | 2.5 ± 0.05 | 2.8 ± 0.2 | 0.04 |
| Vegetables | 13.0 ± 0.1 | 12.7 ± 0.4 | 0.39 |
| Beans | 1.8 ± 0.04 | 2.0 ± 0.1 | 0.28 |
| Nuts and seeds | 2.0 ± 0.04 | 2.1 ± 0.1 | 0.46 |
| Whole grains | 4.0 ± 0.06 | 3.7 ± 0.2 | 0.12 |
| Refined grains | 12.1 ± 0.1 | 12.6 ± 0.3 | 0.08 |
| Dietary patterns (score), ⁴⁵ | | | |
| Fats and processed meats | -0.001 ± 0.01 | 0.011 ± 0.03 | 0.73 |
| Vegetables and fish | -0.003 ± 0.01 | 0.035 ± 0.05 | 0.43 |
| Beans, tomatoes, and refined grains | -0.002 ± 0.01 | 0.019 ± 0.04 | 0.64 |
| Whole grains and fruit | 0.011 ± 0.01 | -0.117 ± 0.05 | 0.01 |

¹ ACR, urinary albumin-to-creatinine ratio, with creatinine correction (k) for sex (0.68 in men and 1 in women); MET, metabolic equivalent; eGFR, estimated glomerular filtration rate. Data and P values are from linear regression.

² $\bar{x} \pm \text{SEM}$ (all such values).

³ Chi-square test for difference among race/ethnic groups.

⁴ Data are adjusted for total energy intake (kcal/d).

⁵ By design, principal components analysis-derived dietary patterns have mean = 0.00, SD = 1.00, and $r = 0.00$.

TABLE 2

Odds ratios (ORs) for microalbuminuria (ACR 25–249 mg/g) according to 4 dietary patterns derived by principal components analysis in 5042 men and women from the Multi-Ethnic Study of Atherosclerosis (MESA)¹

| | OR (95% CI) | | | | | P for trend ² | OR (95% CI) per SD difference in dietary pattern score ³ |
|---|-------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|--------------------------|---|
| | Q1 | Q2 | Q3 | Q4 | Q5 | | |
| Fats and processed meats ACR 25–249/ACR <25 (n) | 93/915 | 79/930 | 94/914 | 88/921 | 79/929 | | |
| Model 1 ⁴ | 1.00 | 0.92 (0.66, 1.28) | 1.31 (0.94, 1.83) | 1.31 (0.90, 1.88) | 1.36 (0.86, 2.13) | 0.15 | 1.13 (0.96, 1.33) |
| Model 2 ⁵ | 1.00 | 0.88 (0.63, 1.23) | 1.25 (0.88, 1.76) | 1.27 (0.87, 1.85) | 1.29 (0.81, 2.04) | 0.19 | 1.12 (0.94, 1.33) |
| Vegetables and fish ACR 25–249/ACR <25 (n) | 73/935 | 102/907 | 81/927 | 92/917 | 85/923 | | |
| Model 1 ⁴ | 1.00 | 1.51 (1.09, 2.09) | 1.21 (0.86, 1.71) | 1.32 (0.93, 1.86) | 1.13 (0.74, 1.70) | 0.98 | 1.00 (0.87, 1.14) |
| Model 2 ⁵ | 1.00 | 1.45 (1.04, 2.02) | 1.16 (0.82, 1.65) | 1.30 (0.91, 1.86) | 1.12 (0.74, 1.72) | 0.94 | 1.00 (0.87, 1.14) |
| Beans, tomatoes, and refined grains ACR 25–249/ACR <25 (n) | 71/937 | 115/894 | 78/930 | 92/917 | 77/931 | | |
| Model 1 ⁴ | 1.00 | 1.77 (1.29, 2.43) | 1.29 (0.91, 1.82) | 1.60 (1.13, 2.27) | 1.40 (0.92, 2.13) | 0.25 | 1.09 (0.94, 1.25) |
| Model 2 ⁵ | 1.00 | 1.70 (1.23, 2.36) | 1.18 (0.83, 1.67) | 1.53 (1.07, 2.19) | 1.24 (0.81, 1.91) | 0.46 | 1.06 (0.92, 1.22) |
| Whole grains and fruit ACR 25–249/ACR <25 (n) | 117/891 | 99/910 | 69/939 | 71/938 | 77/931 | | |
| Model 1 ⁴ | 1.00 | 0.80 (0.59, 1.08) | 0.56 (0.40, 0.78) | 0.56 (0.39, 0.80) | 0.61 (0.42, 0.89) | 0.02 | 0.86 (0.76, 0.98) |
| Model 2 ⁵ | 1.00 | 0.81 (0.59, 1.11) | 0.56 (0.40, 0.80) | 0.54 (0.37, 0.77) | 0.65 (0.45, 0.95) | 0.04 | 0.87 (0.76, 0.99) |

¹ ACR, urinary albumin-to-creatinine ratio; MET, metabolic equivalent.

² P for trend across quintiles calculated with the original dietary pattern score modeled as a continuous variable.

³ OR (95% CI) for microalbuminuria per 1-SD difference (1.00) in dietary pattern score, adjusted as described above.

⁴ Adjusted for energy intake (kcal/d), study center (Baltimore County, MD; Forsyth County, NC; Los Angeles County, CA; New York, NY; St Paul, MN), age (y), sex (male, female), race/ethnicity (white, black, Chinese, Hispanic), education (<, =, > high school degree), active leisure-time physical activity (MET-h/wk), inactive leisure-time physical activity (MET-h/wk), current smoking status (yes, no), smoking duration (pack years), and current supplement use (≥weekly; yes, no).

⁵ Adjusted for the above plus waist circumference (cm), systolic blood pressure (mm Hg), and hypertension medication use.

TABLE 3
Geometric mean ACR according to 4 dietary patterns derived by principal components analysis in 5042 men and women from the Multi-Ethnic Study of Atherosclerosis (MESA)¹

| | Geometric mean ACR (95% CI) | | | | | P for trend ² | $\beta \pm SE$ per 1-SD difference in dietary pattern score ³ |
|-------------------------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|--------------------------|--|
| | Q1 | Q2 | Q3 | Q4 | Q5 | | |
| mg/g | | | | | | | |
| Fats and processed meats | | | | | | | |
| Model 1 ⁴ | 6.66 (6.27, 7.07) | 6.71 (6.35, 7.10) | 6.93 (6.57, 7.31) | 7.05 (6.68, 7.44) | 7.05 (6.59, 7.55) | 0.19 | 0.025 \pm 0.02 |
| Model 2 ⁵ | 6.69 (6.31, 7.10) | 6.79 (6.43, 7.17) | 6.91 (6.57, 7.28) | 7.03 (6.68, 7.41) | 6.97 (6.53, 7.45) | 0.31 | 0.019 \pm 0.02 |
| Vegetables and fish | | | | | | | |
| Model 1 ⁴ | 6.75 (6.38, 7.14) | 7.00 (6.63, 7.39) | 6.86 (6.50, 7.23) | 6.87 (6.52, 7.25) | 6.92 (6.50, 7.36) | 0.68 | 0.006 \pm 0.02 |
| Model 2 ⁵ | 6.79 (6.43, 7.17) | 6.95 (6.60, 7.33) | 6.80 (6.46, 7.16) | 6.86 (6.52, 7.22) | 6.99 (6.58, 7.42) | 0.58 | 0.008 \pm 0.02 |
| Beans, tomatoes, and refined grains | | | | | | | |
| Model 1 ⁴ | 6.27 (5.93, 6.63) | 6.94 (6.57, 7.33) | 6.83 (6.48, 7.20) | 7.30 (6.93, 7.70) | 7.09 (6.65, 7.55) | 0.04 | 0.034 \pm 0.02 |
| Model 2 ⁵ | 6.41 (6.07, 6.76) | 7.00 (6.64, 7.38) | 6.78 (6.45, 7.14) | 7.27 (6.91, 7.65) | 6.96 (6.55, 7.41) | 0.12 | 0.025 \pm 0.02 |
| Whole grains and fruit | | | | | | | |
| Model 1 ⁴ | 7.75 (7.31, 8.21) | 7.02 (6.66, 7.41) | 6.63 (6.29, 6.99) | 6.63 (6.29, 6.99) | 6.44 (6.08, 6.82) | 0.004 | -0.042 \pm 0.01 |
| Model 2 ⁵ | 7.72 (7.30, 8.17) | 6.98 (6.63, 7.35) | 6.63 (6.30, 6.98) | 6.57 (6.24, 6.92) | 6.56 (6.21, 6.94) | 0.01 | -0.036 \pm 0.01 |

¹ ACR, albumin-to-creatinine ratio; MET, metabolic equivalent.

² P for trend across quintiles calculated with the original dietary pattern score modeled as a continuous variable.

³ β regression coefficient \pm SE per 1-SD difference in dietary pattern score. The regression coefficients translate approximately into expected percentage difference, eg, a coefficient of -0.05 translates approximately into a difference of 5% in urinary ACR on its natural scale per 1-SD difference of the dietary pattern score. By design, dietary patterns derived by principal components analysis have a mean = 0 and SD = 1.00.

⁴ Adjusted for energy intake (kcal/d), study center (Baltimore County, MD; Forsyth County, NC; Los Angeles County, CA; New York, NY; St Paul, MN), age (y), sex (male, female), race/ethnicity (white, black, Chinese, Hispanic), education (<, =, > high school degree), active leisure-time physical activity (MET-h/wk), inactive leisure-time physical activity (MET-h/wk), current smoking status (yes, no), smoking duration (pack years), and current supplement use (\geq weekly: yes, no).

⁵ Adjusted for the above, plus waist circumference (cm), systolic blood pressure (mm Hg), and current hypertension medication use.

TABLE 4
Odds ratios (ORs) for microalbuminuria (ACR 25–249 mg/g) according to food group intake of 5042 men and women from the Multi-Ethnic Study of Atherosclerosis (MESA)¹

| | OR (95% CI) | | | | P for trend ² | OR (95% CI) per serving/d difference in intake ³ |
|---------------------------|-------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|--------------------------|---|
| | Q1 | Q2 | Q3 | Q4 | | |
| Red meat | | | | | | |
| ACR 25–249/ACR <25 (n) | 118/1142 | 115/1157 | 100/1152 | 100/1158 | | |
| Intake range (servings/d) | 0–0.14 | 0.14–0.28 | 0.28–0.50 | 0.50–3.8 | | |
| Model 1 ⁴ | 1.00 | 1.03 (0.78, 1.37) | 0.97 (0.71, 1.31) | 1.03 (0.73, 1.44) | 0.48 | 1.14 (0.80, 1.62) |
| Model 2 ⁵ | 1.00 | 1.05 (0.79, 1.40) | 0.97 (0.71, 1.33) | 0.96 (0.68, 1.36) | 0.66 | 1.09 (0.75, 1.57) |
| Processed meat | | | | | | |
| ACR 25–249/ACR <25 (n) | 104/1115 | 107/1223 | 110/1125 | 112/1146 | | |
| Intake range (servings/d) | 0.0–0.0 | 0.0–0.07 | 0.08–0.20 | 0.21–2.8 | | |
| Model 1 ⁴ | 1.00 | 0.99 (0.74, 1.32) | 1.20 (0.89, 1.63) | 1.21 (0.87, 1.68) | 0.16 | 1.34 (0.89, 2.00) |
| Model 2 ⁵ | 1.00 | 0.99 (0.74, 1.33) | 1.18 (0.87, 1.62) | 1.19 (0.85, 1.66) | 0.23 | 1.28 (0.85, 1.94) |
| Poultry | | | | | | |
| ACR 25–249/ACR <25 (n) | 131/1124 | 107/1159 | 106/1155 | 89/1171 | | |
| Intake range (servings/d) | 0.0–0.16 | 0.16–0.30 | 0.30–0.52 | 0.52–4.2 | | |
| Model 1 ⁴ | 1.00 | 0.84 (0.64, 1.11) | 0.94 (0.71, 1.25) | 0.87 (0.63, 1.19) | 0.88 | 0.97 (0.67, 1.41) |
| Model 2 ⁵ | 1.00 | 0.81 (0.61, 1.07) | 0.89 (0.66, 1.18) | 0.80 (0.58, 1.11) | 0.56 | 0.89 (0.61, 1.31) |
| Fish | | | | | | |
| ACR 25–249/ACR <25 (n) | 115/1143 | 103/1159 | 100/1162 | 115/1145 | | |
| Intake range (servings/d) | 0.0–0.10 | 0.10–0.21 | 0.21–0.41 | 0.41–5.1 | | |
| Model 1 ⁴ | 1.00 | 0.94 (0.70, 1.25) | 0.89 (0.66, 1.20) | 1.17 (0.86, 1.60) | 0.45 | 1.14 (0.81, 1.60) |
| Model 2 ⁵ | 1.00 | 0.92 (0.68, 1.23) | 0.87 (0.65, 1.18) | 1.14 (0.83, 1.57) | 0.45 | 1.14 (0.81, 1.60) |
| High-fat dairy | | | | | | |
| ACR 25–249/ACR <25 (n) | 116/1139 | 99/1116 | 107/1159 | 111/1150 | | |
| Intake range (servings/d) | 0.0–0.20 | 0.20–0.51 | 0.51–1.1 | 1.1–11 | | |
| Model 1 ⁴ | 1.00 | 0.97 (0.72, 1.31) | 1.21 (0.89, 1.64) | 1.29 (0.92, 1.81) | 0.20 | 1.08 (0.96, 1.23) |
| Model 2 ⁵ | 1.00 | 1.04 (0.76, 1.40) | 1.32 (0.97, 1.81) | 1.41 (1.00, 2.00) | 0.05 | 1.13 (1.00, 1.28) |
| Low-fat dairy | | | | | | |
| ACR 25–249/ACR <25 (n) | 129/1117 | 105/1137 | 117/1180 | 82/1175 | | |
| Intake range (servings/d) | 0.0–0.14 | 0.14–0.50 | 0.50–1.1 | 1.1–12 | | |
| Model 1 ⁴ | 1.00 | 0.87 (0.66, 1.16) | 0.82 (0.62, 1.08) | 0.63 (0.46, 0.87) | 0.02 | 0.88 (0.79, 0.98) |
| Model 2 ⁵ | 1.00 | 0.88 (0.66, 1.17) | 0.82 (0.62, 1.09) | 0.64 (0.46, 0.88) | 0.02 | 0.88 (0.80, 0.98) |
| Whole fruit | | | | | | |
| ACR 25–249/ACR <25 (n) | 121/1139 | 108/1151 | 98/1163 | 106/1156 | | |
| Intake range (servings/d) | 0.0–0.79 | 0.80–1.5 | 1.5–2.5 | 2.5–14 | | |
| Model 1 ⁴ | 1.00 | 0.83 (0.63, 1.10) | 0.71 (0.53, 0.95) | 0.81 (0.60, 1.09) | 0.10 | 0.94 (0.87, 1.01) |
| Model 2 ⁵ | 1.00 | 0.83 (0.62, 1.10) | 0.68 (0.50, 0.92) | 0.79 (0.58, 1.08) | 0.11 | 0.94 (0.87, 1.02) |
| Fruit juice | | | | | | |
| ACR 25–249/ACR <25 (n) | 116/1254 | 87/1064 | 121/1187 | 109/1104 | | |
| Intake range (servings/d) | 0.0–0.0 | 0.0–0.15 | 0.15–0.50 | 0.51–6.0 | | |
| Model 1 ⁴ | 1.00 | 0.94 (0.70, 1.27) | 1.15 (0.87, 1.51) | 1.01 (0.76, 1.36) | 0.46 | 1.08 (0.88, 1.31) |
| Model 2 ⁵ | 1.00 | 0.87 (0.64, 1.18) | 1.09 (0.82, 1.44) | 0.95 (0.71, 1.28) | 0.65 | 1.05 (0.86, 1.28) |
| Vegetables | | | | | | |
| ACR 25–249/ACR <25 (n) | 127/1133 | 106/1155 | 93/1168 | 107/1153 | | |
| Intake range (servings/d) | 0.0–1.4 | 1.4–2.1 | 2.1–3.1 | 3.1–18 | | |
| Model 1 ⁴ | 1.00 | 0.87 (0.65, 1.15) | 0.74 (0.55, 1.00) | 0.89 (0.65, 1.23) | 0.20 | 0.96 (0.88, 1.05) |
| Model 2 ⁵ | 1.00 | 0.87 (0.65, 1.15) | 0.75 (0.56, 1.03) | 0.88 (0.63, 1.22) | 0.34 | 0.96 (0.88, 1.05) |
| Beans | | | | | | |
| ACR 25–249/ACR <25 (n) | 110/1163 | 109/1129 | 115/1155 | 99/1162 | | |

| | OR (95% CI) | | | | P for trend ² | OR (95% CI) per serving/d difference in intake ³ |
|---------------------------|-------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|--------------------------|---|
| | Q1 | Q2 | Q3 | Q4 | | |
| Intake range (servings/d) | 0.0–0.03 | 0.04–0.12 | 0.13–0.31 | 0.31–5.3 | | |
| Model 1 ⁴ | 1.00 | 1.16 (0.87, 1.54) | 1.21 (0.90, 1.62) | 1.02 (0.72, 1.43) | 0.33 | 1.16 (0.86, 1.57) |
| Model 2 ⁵ | 1.00 | 1.11 (0.82, 1.49) | 1.18 (0.87, 1.59) | 0.96 (0.68, 1.36) | 0.47 | 1.12 (0.82, 1.52) |
| Nuts and seeds | | | | | | |
| ACR 25–249/ACR <25 (n) | 123/1141 | 97/1120 | 99/1197 | 114/1151 | | |
| Intake range (servings/d) | (0.0–0.03) | (0.04–0.14) | (0.14–0.39) | (0.39–6.8) | | |
| Model 1 ⁴ | 1.00 | 0.93 (0.70, 1.26) | 0.84 (0.63, 1.13) | 1.00 (0.74, 1.35) | 0.48 | 1.09 (0.86, 1.38) |
| Model 2 ⁵ | 1.00 | 0.93 (1.69, 1.26) | 0.86 (0.63, 1.15) | 1.04 (0.77, 1.42) | 0.27 | 1.14 (0.90, 1.45) |
| Whole grains | | | | | | |
| ACR 25–249/ACR <25 (n) | 135/1129 | 112/1146 | 82/1176 | 104/1158 | | |
| Intake range (servings/d) | 0.0–0.12 | 0.13–0.44 | 0.44–0.89 | 0.89–6.1 | | |
| Model 1 ⁴ | 1.00 | 0.89 (0.68, 1.17) | 0.63 (0.47, 0.86) | 0.75 (0.56, 1.01) | 0.09 | 0.84 (0.69, 1.03) |
| Model 2 ⁵ | 1.00 | 0.88 (0.67, 1.17) | 0.63 (0.46, 0.86) | 0.76 (0.56, 1.02) | 0.14 | 0.86 (0.70, 1.05) |
| Refined grains | | | | | | |
| ACR 25–249/ACR <25 (n) | 104/1153 | 109/1154 | 120/1143 | 100/1159 | | |
| Intake range (servings/d) | 0.0–0.91 | 0.91–1.5 | 1.5–2.3 | 2.3–9.2 | | |
| Model 1 ⁴ | 1.00 | 1.06 (0.79, 1.42) | 1.15 (0.84, 1.56) | 0.98 (0.67, 1.43) | 0.41 | 1.06 (0.93, 1.20) |
| Model 2 ⁵ | 1.00 | 1.04 (0.77, 1.40) | 1.15 (0.84, 1.57) | 0.97 (0.66, 1.43) | 0.41 | 1.06 (0.93, 1.20) |

¹ ACR, urinary albumin-to-creatinine ratio; MET, metabolic equivalent.

² P for trend across quartiles calculated with the original food group variable modeled as a continuous variable as servings/d.

³ OR (95% CI) for microalbuminuria per 1-serving/d difference in food group intake.

⁴ Adjusted for energy intake (kcal/d), study center (Baltimore County, MD; Forsyth County, NC; Los Angeles County, CA; New York, NY; St. Paul, MN), age (y), sex (male, female), race/ethnicity (white, black, Chinese, Hispanic), education (<, =, > high school degree), active leisure-time physical activity (MET-h/wk), inactive leisure-time physical activity (MET-h/wk), current smoking status (yes, no), smoking duration (pack years), and current at least weekly supplement use (yes, no).

⁵ Adjusted for the above plus waist circumference (cm), systolic blood pressure (mm Hg), and current hypertension medication use.

TABLE 5
 Geometric mean ACR according to food group intake in 5042 men and women from the Multi-Ethnic Study of Atherosclerosis (MESA)

| | Geometric mean ACR (95% CI) | | | | P for trend ² | $\beta \pm SE$ per 1-serving/d difference in intake ³ |
|----------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|--------------------------|--|
| | Q1 | Q2 | Q3 | Q4 | | |
| Red meat | | | | | | |
| Model 1 ⁴ | 6.77 (6.43, 7.12) | 6.88 (6.56, 7.21) | 6.81 (6.49, 7.14) | 7.07 (6.70, 7.45) | 0.28 | 0.045 \pm 0.04 |
| Model 2 ⁵ | 6.82 (6.49, 7.16) | 6.95 (6.64, 7.27) | 6.85 (6.55, 7.17) | 6.90 (6.56, 7.26) | 0.59 | 0.020 \pm 0.04 |
| Processed meat | | | | | | |
| Model 1 ⁴ | 6.68 (6.36, 7.03) | 6.75 (6.44, 7.07) | 7.00 (6.67, 7.34) | 7.10 (6.74, 7.47) | 0.28 | 0.056 \pm 0.05 |
| Model 2 ⁵ | 6.72 (6.40, 7.05) | 6.76 (6.47, 7.07) | 6.97 (6.66, 7.30) | 7.08 (6.73, 7.44) | 0.37 | 0.045 \pm 0.05 |
| Poultry | | | | | | |
| Model 1 ⁴ | 6.93 (6.60, 7.27) | 6.83 (6.51, 7.16) | 6.74 (6.43, 7.07) | 7.02 (6.68, 7.38) | 0.29 | 0.044 \pm 0.04 |
| Model 2 ⁵ | 7.02 (6.69, 7.35) | 6.83 (6.52, 7.15) | 6.73 (6.43, 7.04) | 6.95 (6.62, 7.30) | 0.61 | 0.020 \pm 0.04 |
| Fish | | | | | | |
| Model 1 ⁴ | 6.95 (6.62, 7.30) | 6.80 (6.49, 7.13) | 6.63 (6.33, 6.95) | 7.14 (6.79, 7.50) | 0.10 | 0.065 \pm 0.04 |
| Model 2 ⁵ | 6.96 (6.63, 7.30) | 6.84 (6.53, 7.16) | 6.62 (6.33, 6.93) | 7.11 (6.78, 7.46) | 0.10 | 0.062 \pm 0.04 |
| High-fat dairy | | | | | | |
| Model 1 ⁴ | 6.62 (6.28, 6.97) | 6.80 (6.48, 7.13) | 6.95 (6.63, 7.29) | 7.15 (6.79, 7.54) | 0.13 | 0.023 \pm 0.02 |
| Model 2 ⁵ | 6.54 (6.22, 6.87) | 6.78 (6.47, 7.09) | 7.00 (6.69, 7.33) | 7.22 (6.86, 7.59) | 0.23 | 0.019 \pm 0.02 |
| Low-fat dairy | | | | | | |
| Model 1 ⁴ | 7.25 (6.91, 7.61) | 6.98 (6.65, 7.32) | 6.89 (6.58, 7.21) | 6.42 (6.12, 6.75) | 0.03 | -0.023 \pm 0.01 |
| Model 2 ⁵ | 7.23 (6.90, 7.58) | 6.94 (6.62, 7.27) | 6.89 (6.59, 7.21) | 6.48 (6.18, 6.79) | 0.04 | -0.022 \pm 0.01 |
| Whole fruit | | | | | | |
| Model 1 ⁴ | 7.20 (6.86, 7.56) | 6.85 (6.53, 7.18) | 6.74 (6.43, 7.06) | 6.75 (6.43, 7.08) | 0.04 | -0.017 \pm 0.01 |
| Model 2 ⁵ | 7.17 (6.85, 7.52) | 6.87 (6.57, 7.19) | 6.74 (6.44, 7.05) | 6.75 (6.44, 7.07) | 0.04 | -0.017 \pm 0.01 |
| Fruit juice | | | | | | |
| Model 1 ⁴ | 6.88 (6.58, 7.20) | 6.74 (6.41, 7.08) | 6.92 (6.61, 7.25) | 6.97 (6.63, 7.32) | 0.29 | 0.027 \pm 0.03 |
| Model 2 ⁵ | 6.99 (6.69, 7.30) | 6.71 (6.39, 7.04) | 6.90 (6.60, 7.21) | 6.91 (6.59, 7.24) | 0.58 | 0.013 \pm 0.02 |
| Vegetables | | | | | | |
| Model 1 ⁴ | 6.97 (6.63, 7.33) | 7.08 (6.75, 7.42) | 6.54 (6.24, 6.85) | 6.94 (6.60, 7.30) | 0.40 | -0.009 \pm 0.01 |
| Model 2 ⁵ | 7.00 (6.67, 7.35) | 6.88 (6.57, 7.20) | 6.67 (6.38, 6.98) | 6.97 (6.64, 7.33) | 0.95 | -0.001 \pm 0.01 |
| Beans | | | | | | |
| Model 1 ⁴ | 6.56 (6.24, 6.89) | 7.02 (6.69, 7.37) | 6.99 (6.66, 7.32) | 6.97 (6.61, 7.34) | 0.17 | 0.051 \pm 0.04 |
| Model 2 ⁵ | 6.63 (6.32, 6.95) | 6.99 (6.67, 7.32) | 6.97 (6.67, 7.30) | 6.94 (6.59, 7.30) | 0.25 | 0.042 \pm 0.04 |
| Nuts and seeds | | | | | | |
| Model 1 ⁴ | 7.11 (6.77, 7.47) | 6.95 (6.63, 7.29) | 6.86 (6.55, 7.18) | 6.61 (6.30, 6.94) | 0.28 | -0.031 \pm 0.03 |
| Model 2 ⁵ | 7.05 (6.73, 7.40) | 6.92 (6.60, 7.24) | 6.88 (6.58, 7.19) | 6.68 (6.37, 7.00) | 0.62 | -0.014 \pm 0.03 |
| Whole grains | | | | | | |
| Model 1 ⁴ | 7.34 (6.99, 7.70) | 7.02 (6.69, 7.35) | 6.52 (6.22, 6.84) | 6.67 (6.35, 7.00) | 0.06 | -0.043 \pm 0.02 |
| Model 2 ⁵ | 7.34 (6.99, 7.70) | 7.02 (6.69, 7.35) | 6.52 (6.22, 6.84) | 6.67 (6.35, 7.00) | 0.06 | -0.043 \pm 0.02 |
| Refined grains | | | | | | |
| Model 1 ⁴ | 6.92 (6.57, 7.30) | 6.78 (6.46, 7.11) | 7.07 (6.75, 7.41) | 6.74 (6.37, 7.14) | 0.08 | 0.027 \pm 0.02 |
| Model 2 ⁵ | 6.97 (6.62, 7.34) | 6.74 (6.43, 7.06) | 7.05 (6.74, 7.38) | 6.76 (6.40, 7.14) | 0.08 | 0.026 \pm 0.01 |

¹ ACR, urinary albumin-to-creatinine ratio; MET, metabolic equivalent.

² P for trend across quintiles calculated with food group intake (servings/d) modeled continuously.

- ³ β regression coefficient \pm SE per 1-serving/d difference in food group intake. The regression coefficients translate approximately into expected percentage difference, eg, a coefficient of -0.05 is approximately a difference of 5% in urinary ACR on its natural scale per 1-serving/d difference in food group intake.
- ⁴ Adjusted for energy intake (kcal/d), study center (Baltimore County, MD; Forsyth County, NC; Los Angeles County, CA; New York, NY; St. Paul, MN), age (y), sex (male, female), race/ethnicity (white, black, Chinese, Hispanic), education (<, =, > high school degree), active leisure-time physical activity (MET-h/wk), inactive leisure-time physical activity (MET-h/wk), current smoking status (yes, no), smoking duration (pack years), and current at least weekly supplement use (yes, no).
- ⁵ Adjusted for the above plus waist circumference (cm), systolic blood pressure (mm Hg), and current hypertension medication use.

Interactions between eGFR, and the whole grains and fruit dietary pattern scores, nuts and seeds intake, and low-fat dairy intake with respect to geometric mean ACR in the Multi-Ethnic Study of Atherosclerosis (MESA)¹

TABLE 6

| | Geometric mean ACR (95% CI) | | | | | P for trend ² | $\beta \pm SE$ per SD difference in score or intake ³ |
|---|-----------------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|--------------------------|--|
| | Q1 | Q2 | Q3 | Q4 | Q5 | | |
| Whole grains and fruit dietary pattern ⁴ | | | | | | | |
| eGFR <60 mL·min ⁻¹ ·1.73m ⁻² | | | | | | | |
| n | 65 | 84 | 76 | 101 | 105 | | |
| Score range | -2.6 to -0.83 | -0.82 to -0.34 | -0.34 to 0.12 | 0.12 to 0.72 | 0.74 to 5.2 | | |
| Model 1 | 15.6 (11.3, 21.4) | 8.82 (6.97, 11.2) | 7.88 (6.20, 10.0) | 8.20 (6.60, 10.2) | 6.99 (5.60, 8.74) | 0.01 | -0.177 ± 0.07 |
| eGFR ≥60 mL·min ⁻¹ ·1.73m ⁻² | | | | | | | |
| n | 938 | 920 | 927 | 903 | 900 | | |
| Score range | -3.1 to -0.83 | -0.82 to -0.34 | -0.34 to 0.12 | 0.12 to 0.74 | 0.74 to 7.8 | | |
| Model 1 | 7.36 (6.94, 7.79) | 6.85 (6.49, 7.23) | 6.52 (6.18, 6.88) | 6.50 (6.15, 6.86) | 6.42 (6.05, 6.80) | 0.04 | -0.029 ± 0.01 |
| Nuts and seeds ⁵ | | | | | | | |
| eGFR <60 mL·min ⁻¹ ·1.73m ⁻² | | | | | | | |
| n | 100 | 96 | 113 | 122 | | | |
| Intake range (servings/d) | 0.00 to 0.03 | 0.04 to 0.14 | 0.14 to 0.39 | 0.39 to 6.1 | | | |
| Model 1 | 10.3 (8.20, 12.8) | 8.34 (6.72, 10.3) | 8.54 (7.00, 10.4) | 8.19 (6.73, 9.96) | | 0.058 | -0.212 ± 0.11 |
| eGFR ≥60 mL·min ⁻¹ ·1.73m ⁻² | | | | | | | |
| n | 1157 | 1116 | 1176 | 1139 | | | |
| Intake range (servings/d) | 0.00 to 0.03 | 0.04 to 0.14 | 0.14 to 0.39 | 0.39 to 6.8 | | | |
| Model 1 | 6.86 (6.53, 7.21) | 6.82 (6.50, 7.16) | 6.74 (6.43, 7.06) | 6.47 (6.16, 6.80) | | 0.62 | -0.014 ± 0.03 |
| Low-fat dairy ⁶ | | | | | | | |
| eGFR <60 mL·min ⁻¹ ·1.73m ⁻² | | | | | | | |
| n | 101 | 87 | 131 | 112 | | | |
| Intake range (servings/d) | 0.00 to 0.14 | 0.14 to 0.50 | 0.50 to 1.1 | 1.1 to 9.2 | | | |
| Model 1 | 9.20 (7.41, 11.4) | 9.34 (7.43, 11.7) | 9.18 (7.63, 11.1) | 7.49 (6.05, 9.27) | | 0.08 | -0.087 ± 0.05 |
| eGFR ≥60 mL·min ⁻¹ ·1.73m ⁻² | | | | | | | |
| n | 1139 | 1146 | 1165 | 1138 | | | |
| Intake range (servings/d) | 0.00 to 0.14 | 0.14 to 0.50 | 0.50 to 1.1 | 1.1 to 12 | | | |
| Model 1 | 7.06 (6.72, 7.42) | 6.78 (6.46, 7.12) | 6.72 (6.41, 7.04) | 6.35 (6.04, 6.67) | | 0.15 | -0.016 ± 0.01 |

¹ eGFR, estimated glomerular filtration rate; ACR, urinary albumin-to-creatinine ratio. Model 1 was adjusted for energy intake (kcal/d), study center (Baltimore County, MD; Forsyth County, NC; Los Angeles County, CA; New York, NY; St. Paul, MN), age (y), sex (male, female), race/ethnicity (white, black, Chinese, Hispanic), education (<, =, > high school degree), active leisure-time physical activity (MET-h/wk), inactive leisure-time physical activity (MET-h/wk), current smoking status (yes, no), smoking duration (pack years), and current at least weekly supplement use (yes, no).

² P for trend across categories calculated with the dietary variable (dietary pattern score, or food group servings/d) modeled continuously.

³ $\beta \pm SE$ for ACR per 1-serving/d difference in food group intake or per 1-SD difference in dietary pattern score, multivariable adjusted (as above).

⁴ P for interaction < 0.001.

⁵ P for interaction = 0.04.

⁶ P for interaction with eGFR <60 versus ≥60 mL·min⁻¹·1.73m⁻² = 0.01.