NIH Public Access

Author Manuscript

Biotechniques. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2008 August 8.

Published in final edited form as:
Biotechniques. 2005 January ; 38(1): 44–48.

Condensed protocol for competent cell preparation and transformation of the methylotrophic yeast *Pichia pastoris*

Joan Lin-Cereghino, **William W. Wong**, **See Xiong**, **William Giang**, **Linda T. Luong**, **Jane Vu**, **Sabrina D. Johnson**, and **Geoff P. Lin-Cereghino**
University of the Pacific, Stockton, CA, USA

The methylotrophic yeast *Pichia pastoris* has gained widespread acceptance as a system of choice for heterologous protein expression in part because of the simplicity of techniques required for its molecular genetic manipulation (1). Several different procedures are available for introducing DNA into *P. pastoris*—spheroplast generation (2), electroporation (3), alkali cation (3,4), or polyethylene glycol (PEG) treatment (5). Here we describe a condensed protocol for cell preparation and transformation that works reliably with either auxotrophic markers or antibiotic selection.

The introduction of exogenous DNA into an organism requires two steps: (*i*) the preparation of competent cells for DNA uptake and (*ii*) the transformation of the cells with the DNA. Transformation of *P. pastoris* by electroporation is a quick procedure. However, preparation of conventional electroporation-competent cells requires hours of work involving several washes, incubations, and centrifugations. In contrast, competent cell preparation for the heat-shock method is short, but transformation requires approximately 2 h (4). The heat-shock procedure gives approximately 100-fold lower transformation efficiency than electroporation with plasmids containing auxotrophic marker genes such as *HIS4*. Additionally, the selection of zeocin-resistant transformants using the heat-shock transformation protocol does not work reliably.

We have modified the preparation of competent cells from the heat-shock procedure (5) and combined it with transformation by electroporation (3) to yield a condensed protocol that works consistently with auxotrophic markers or antibiotic selection. The main modification of the heat-shock procedure is the addition of a step in which *P. pastoris* cells are incubated in an optimized concentration of dithiothreitol (DTT). The cells prepared by this "hybrid" method are then electroporated using the same parameters as conventional electroporation.

Transformation efficiencies using the condensed protocol are comparable to the conventional electroporation procedure using auxotrophic markers but are approximately 20-fold lower using the zeocin resistance marker. However, the condensed protocol provides sufficient transformants, including multicopy integrants, for protein expression studies and has several advantages over the conventional electroporation and heat-shock methods. Table 1 compares the steps in cell preparation and transformation for conventional electroporation, heat shock, and our condensed protocol. Compared to the heat-shock method, the condensed protocol requires less time for the transformation step and provides much higher transformation efficiencies. Compared to the electroporation procedure, the new procedure saves both reagents

Address correspondence to Joan Lin-Cereghino, Department of Biological Sciences, University of the Pacific, 1050 W. Brookside Road, Stockton, CA 95211, USA. e-mail: jlincere@pacific.edu.

COMPETING INTERESTS STATEMENT
The authors declare no competing interests.

NIH-PA Author Manuscript
NIH-PA Author Manuscript
NIH-PA Author Manuscript

NIH-PA Author Manuscript NIH-PA Author Manuscript NIH-PA Author Manuscript

and time during cell preparation. In addition, the fewer number of steps during the cell preparation of the condensed protocol reduce the chance of contamination of competent yeast cells. Furthermore, unlike the electroporation cell preparation procedure, our condensed protocol does not require a large, refrigerated centrifuge. We use a small, nonrefrigerated centrifuge capable of spinning six 50-mL conical tubes at a time, enabling us to quickly prepare competent cells of six different strains simultaneously. This is significant because some *P. pastoris* strains (i.e., protease deficient, methanol utilization deficient) can express a given protein more efficiently than others, and it is often necessary to transform the same expression plasmid into various strains to determine empirically which strain gives the highest expression. Thus, the condensed protocol (Table 2) enables a researcher to prepare and transform multiple samples of highly competent *P. pastoris* cells in a short time with minimal equipment or effort.

Using *HIS4*-based and zeocin-based plasmids based on typical *P. pastoris* cloning vectors, pHILA1 and pPICZB (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA), and strains such as JC100 (wild-type) or GS115 (*his4)*, we obtained the results summarized in Table 3. These results are average transformation efficiencies calculated from at least five separate transformations.

The condensed protocol utilizes the most efficient portions of the electroporation and heat-shock transformation protocols to yield a procedure for *P. pastoris* cells that produces high transformation efficiencies while saving time, effort, and reagents.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors would like to thank all members of the Lin-Cereghino laboratory for their support. This work was funded by undergraduate research funds from the University of the Pacific and National Institutes of Health (NIH)-AREA grant no. GM65882 to J.L.-C. and G.P.L.-C.

REFERENCES

1. Lin Cereghino J, Cregg JM. Heterologous protein expression in the methylotrophic yeast *Pichia pastoris*. FEMS Microbiol. Rev 2000;24:45–66. [PubMed: 10640598]
2. Cregg JM, Barringer KJ, Hessler AY, Madden KR. *Pichia pastoris* as a host system for transformations. Mol. Cell. Biol 1985;5:3376–3385. [PubMed: 3915774]
3. Cregg, JM.; Russell, KA. Transformation methods. In: Higgins, DR.; Cregg, JM., editors. Methods in Molecular Methods Molecular Biology. 103. Humana Press; Totowa, NJ: 1998. p. 27-39.*Pichia* Protocols
4. Ito H, Fukuda Y, Murata K, Kimura A. Transformation of intact yeast cells treated with alkali cations. J. Bacteriol 1983;153:163–168. [PubMed: 6336730]
5. Dohmen RJ, Strasser AWM, Höner CB, Hollenberg CP. An efficient transformation procedure enabling long-term storage of competent cells of various yeast genera. Yeast 1991;7:691–692. [PubMed: 1776359]

NIH-PA Author Manuscript
NIH-PA Author Manuscript
NIH-PA Author Manuscript

Table 1

Comparison of Transformation Protocols

Electroporation (3)

Cell Preparation (2 h 45 min)

- **a.** Grow 500 mL cells to desired A_{600}
- **b.** Centrifuge cells[a] for 10 min
- **c.** Add 100 mL YPD/0.02 M HEPES
- **d.** Add 2.5 mL 1.0 M dithiothreitol (DTT) dropwise
- **e.** Incubate for 15 min with shaking at 30° C
- **f.** Add water to 500 mL
- **g.** Centrifuge cells for 10 min
- **h.** Resuspend in 500 mL water
- **i.** Centrifuge cells for 10 min
- **j.** Resuspend in 250 mL water
- **k.** Centrifuge cells for 10 min
- **l.** Resuspend in 20 mL 1.0 M sorbitol
- **m.** Pellet by centrifugation for 10 min
- **n.** Resuspend in 1 mL 1.0 M sorbitol
- **o.** Aliquot into individual 1.5 mL tubes
- **p.** Place in −80°C freezer until needed

Transformation (15 min)

- **a.** Mix DNA with cells in cuvette
- **b.** Incubate for 2 min on ice
- **c.** Pulse
- **d.** Add recovery medium (optional incubation for 1–3 h)
- **e.** Plate

Heat Shock (5)

Cell Preparation (25 min)

- **a.** Grow 10 mL cells to desired A_{600}
- **b.** Centrifuge cells[b] for 5 min
- **c.** Resuspend in 10 mL BEDS solution
- **d.** Centrifuge cells for 5 min
- **e.** Resuspend cells in 1 mL BEDS
- **f.** Aliquot into individual 1.5 mL tubes
- **g.** Place in −80°C freezer until needed

Transformation (1 h 45 min)

- **a.** Mix DNA with cells
- **b.** Add 1.4 mL 40% polyethylene glycol (PEG), 200 mM bicine, pH 8.3
- **c.** Incubate for 60 min at 30°C
- **d.** Heat shock at 42°C for 10 min (optional recovery for 1–3 h)
- **e.** Pellet cells for 5 min
- **f.** Resuspend cells in 150 mM NaCl, 10 mM bicine, pH 8.3
- **g.** Repeat steps e and f
- **h.** Plate

Condensed

Cell Preparation (30 min)

- **a.** Grow 50 mL cells to desired A_{600}
- **b.** Centrifuge cells[b] for 5 min
- **c.** Resuspend in 9 mL BEDS + 1 mL 1.0 M dithiothreitol (DTT)
- **d.** Incubate for 5 min with shaking
- **e.** Centrifuge cells for 5 min
- **f.** Resuspend cells in 1 mL BEDS
- **g.** Aliquot into individual 1.5 mL tubes
- **h.** Place in −80°C freezer until needed

Transformation (15 min)

- **a.** Mix DNA with cells in cuvette
- **b.** Incubate for 2 min on ice
- **c.** Pulse
- **d.** Add recovery medium (optional incubation for 1–3 h)
- **e.** Plate

BEDS solution is composed of 10 mM bicine-NaOH, pH 8.3, 3% (v/v) ethylene glycol, 5% (v/v) dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO), and 1 M sorbitol. YPD media is composed of 1% (w/v) yeast extract, 2% (w/v) peptone, and 2% (w/v) dextrose.

[a] All centrifugation steps were at 4000× g at 4°C.

[b] All centrifugation steps were at 500× g at room temperature.

NIH-PA Author Manuscript

NIH-PA Author Manuscript

NIH-PA Author Manuscript

Table 2

Condensed Protocol: Preparation of Competent Cells and Transformation

1	Grow a 5-mL overnight culture of *Pichia pastoris* cells in YPD in a 30°C shaking incubator.
2	The next day, dilute the overnight culture to an A_{600} of 0.15–0.20 in a volume of 50 mL YPD in a flask large enough to provide good aeration. (Starting volumes can be scaled up or down.)
3	Grow yeast to an A_{600} of 0.8–1.0 in a 30°C shaking incubator. Based on a generation time of 100–120 min, yeast should reach 0.8–1.0 in 4 to 5 h.
4	Centrifuge the culture at 500× *g* for 5 min at room temperature and pour off the supernatant.
5	Resuspend the pellet in 9 mL of ice-cold BEDS solution [10 mM bicine-NaOH, pH 8.3, 3% (v/v) ethylene glycol, 5% (v/v) (dimethyl sulfoxide) DMSO, and 1 M sorbitol] supplemented with 1 mL 1.0 M dithiothreitol (DTT). Note that various concentrations (0–200 mM) of DTT were tested, but the amount used in this procedure (100 mM) yielded the most transformants.
6	Incubate the cell suspension for 5 min at 100 rpm in the 30°C shaking incubator.
7	Centrifuge the culture again at 500× *g* for 5 min at room temperature and resuspend the cells in 1 mL (0.02 volumes) of BEDS solution without DTT. We have also found transformation efficiency may be increased by resuspending cells in smaller volumes (0.005–0.01 volumes) of BEDS solution.
8	The competent cells are now ready for transformation. Alternatively, freeze cells slowly in small aliquots at −80°C by placing the aliquots inside a styrofoam box. Competent cells can be stored for at least 6 months at this temperature.
9	Mix approximately 4 μL (50–100 ng) of linearized plasmid DNA with 40 μL of competent cells in an electroporation cuvette. Incubate for 2 min on ice.
10	Electroporate samples using the following parameters: *(i)* ECM® 630 electroporator (BTX, San Diego, CA, USA): cuvette gap, 2.0 mm; charging voltage, 1500 V; resistance, 200 Ω; capacitance, 50 μF. *(ii)* Gene Pulser® II electroporator (Bio-Rad Laboratories, Hercules, CA, USA): cuvette gap, 2.0 mm; charging voltage, 1500 V; resistance, 200 Ω; capacitance, 25 μF.
11	Immediately after electroporation, resuspend samples in 1 mL cold 1.0 M sorbitol and then plate on selective media (YNB, 2% dextrose + 1.0 M sorbitol) for auxotrophic strains. Alternatively, if using zeocin-based plasmids, resuspend samples in 0.5 mL 1.0 M sorbitol and 0.5 mL YPD, incubate in a 30°C shaker for 1 h, and then plate on media containing increasing concentrations of zeocin (100, 250, 500, or 1000 μg/mL) for the selection of multicopy integrants. Note that increased numbers of transformants can be achieved for both types of selectable markers by incubating the resuspended cells in a 30°C shaker for longer periods of time (1–3 h). However, this is partly due to replication of transformants.

YPD media is composed of 1% (w/v) yeast extract, 2% (w/v) peptone, and 2% (w/v) dextrose. YNB media is composed of 0.17% (w/v) yeast nitrogen base without amino acids and 0.5% (w/v) ammonium sulfate.

NIH-PA Author Manuscript
NIH-PA Author Manuscript
NIH-PA Author Manuscript

Table 3

Transformation Efficiencies Method

	Typical Transformation Efficiency (transformants per microgram DNA)	
Method	**Auxotrophic Marker Selection**	**Zeocin Selection (100 μg/mL)**
Electroporation	1×10^5	4×10^4
Heat Shock	1×10^3	0–20
Condensed Protocol	$\mathbf{3.5 \times 10^4}$	$\mathbf{2 \times 10^3}$