NIH-PA Author Manuscript

Regioselective Copper-catalyzed Amination of Bromobenzoic Acids Using Aliphatic and Aromatic Amines

Christian Wolf, **Shuanglong Liu**, **Xuefeng Mei**, **Adam T. August**, and **Michael D. Casimir**
Department of Chemistry, Georgetown University, Washington, DC 20057 cw27@georgetown.edu

Abstract

A chemo- and regioselective copper-catalyzed cross-coupling procedure for amination of 2-bromobenzoic acids is described. The method eliminates the need for acid protection and produces *N*-aryl and *N*-alkyl anthranilic acid derivatives in up to 99%. *N*-(1-Pyrene)anthranilic acid has been employed in metal ion-selective fluorosensing. Titration experiments showed that this pyrene-derived amino acid forms an equimolar complex with Hg(II) in water resulting in selective fluorescence quenching even in the presence of other metal ions such as Zn(II) and Cd(II).

The synthesis of *N*-aryl anthranilic acids such as flufenamic and mefenamic acid has received considerable attention during recent years because they are important non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs and candidates for the therapy of neurodegenerative and amyloid diseases.[1] *N*-Aryl anthranilic acids are also synthetic precursors of acridines, which have been utilized as antimalarial and anticancer drugs.[2] Due to excellent solubility in water, intriguing stereodynamic properties in peptide chains, and potential use in drug development *N*-aryl anthranilic acids and other non-natural achiral amino acids have found various biomedical applications.[3] Achiral amino acids have been incorporated into biologically active peptides to alter secondary protein structures and biochemical properties or to investigate the stereochemical control of peptide folding.[4] Recently, helicity of achiral peptide chains has been induced through asymmetric noncovalent domino effects using an external chiral stimulus.[5]

The first direct synthesis of *N*-aryl anthranilic acids from 2-chlorobenzoic acid was accomplished by Ullmann.[6] Since then, various copper-catalyzed amination procedures suitable to *ortho*-chlorobenzoic acids have been described by us and others.[7] Palladium-catalyzed amination of aryl halides exhibiting free carboxylic acid groups in *meta*- or *para*-position has also been explored.[8] *N*-Aryl anthranilic acids are usually prepared from 2-chlorobenzoic acids or via coupling of anthranilic acid and aryl halides[9] although a wide range of 2-bromobenzoic acid derivatives is readily available, for example through oxidation of 2-alkyl-1-bromo-benzenes[10] or lithiation of dibromobenzenes and subsequent treatment with carbon dioxide.[11] Common drawbacks of cross-coupling procedures using bromobenzoic acids are limited tolerance of functional groups due to very high reaction temperatures and low yields with sterically hindered aryl amines.[12] We therefore wish to report a highly regioselective synthetic procedure providing convenient access to a range of *N*-aryl anthranilic acids exhibiting various functional groups through Cu-catalyzed amination of 2-bromobenzoic acids. The use of water-soluble *N*-(1-pyrene)anthranilic acid for metal ion-selective fluorosensing has also been investigated.

Initially, we employed CuI, Cu$_2$O or Cu and combinations thereof as catalysts in the reaction of 2-bromobenzoic acid, **1**, and aniline, **2**, using *n*-butanol, 2-ethoxyethanol, and ethylene glycol as solvent. Further screening of bases (Na$_2$CO$_3$, Cs$_2$CO$_3$, K$_3$PO$_4$, NaOAc, *tert*-BuOK, and 2,2,6,6-tetramethylpiperidine) showed that best results for the synthesis of *N*-phenylanthranilic acid, **3**, are obtained in the presence of potassium carbonate and catalytic amounts of Cu powder and copper(I)oxide in 2-ethoxyethanol at 130 °C (Scheme 1).

The optimized amination procedure was then applied to a variety of aryl amines and bromobenzoic acids to evaluate the synthetic potential of this method, Table 1. Reaction of bromobenzoic acid **1** with 1-aminonaphthalene, **4**, 2-aminonaphthalene, **5**, or 1-aminopyrene, **6**, gave the corresponding *N*-aryl anthranilic acids **7–9** in 55–97% (entries 2–4). Importantly, the copper-catalyzed amination proceeds with remarkable chemo- and regioselectivity since only the bromide adjacent to the carboxylic acid moiety is replaced. Amination of 2-bromo-4-fluorobenzoic acid, **10**, 2,5-dibromobenzoic acid, **11**, and 2-bromo-4-chlorobenzoic acid, **12**, with aniline yielded *N*-phenyl-4-fluoro-, *N*-phenyl-5-bromoanthranilic acids, and *N*-phenyl-4-chloroanthranilic acids **13** to **15**, in 82–94% (entries 5 to 7). Aryl halide bonds located in the aniline ring are also not affected. *N*-(3-Chlorophenyl)- and *N*-(3-bromophenyl)anthranilic acids, **23** and **24**, were obtained through cross-coupling of **1** with anilines **16** and **17**, respectively, in 81 to 84% (entries 8 and 9). Comparison of the results obtained with 4-substituted anilines **18**–**22** reveals that incorporation of electron-donating groups facilitates the amination reaction (entries 10 to 14). In particular, formation of *N*-(4-nitrophenyl)anthranilic acid, **26**, proved to be slow and substantial amounts of starting materials were recovered after 24 h. By contrast, coupling of 4-bromoisophthalic acid, **26**, and aniline gave anthranilic acid **30** in quantitative amounts (entry 15). The amination protocol is also suitable to the synthesis of sterically crowded anthranilic acids such as **34**–**36**, which were obtained in 53 to 78% from 2,6-dimethylaniline, **31**, 2-*tert*-butylaniline, **32**, and 2-*iso*propylaniline, **33**, respectively (entries 16 to 18). Coupling of aniline and 2-bromo-3-methylbenzoic acid, **37**, gave *N*-phenyl-3-methylanthranilic acid, **38**, in 58% (entry 19). Noteworthy, formation of **35** in only 24% by copper(II)acetate-promoted amination of 2-bromobenzoic acid has been reported.[12] The amination protocol can also applied to aliphatic amines. Employing two equivalents of primary and secondary aliphatic amines **39**, **40**, and **41** in the reaction with 2-bromobenzoic acid we obtained the corresponding anthranilic acids **42**, **43**, and **44** in 65 to 91% (entries 20–22). The results show that our amination procedure provides convenient access to a wide range of *N*-arylanthranilic acids from readily available, unprotected 2-bromobenzoic acids and aniline derivatives. The reaction tolerates various functionalities and proceeds with remarkable regioselectivity, which is probably due to the accelerating effect of *ortho*-carboxylate groups in homogeneous copper-catalyzed exchange reactions.[13]

Since *N*-(1-pyrene)anthranilic acid, **9**, has a metal binding site in close proximity to the fluorescent pyrene ring, we decided to study its use as a metal ion sensor in aqueous solution. The increasing demand for new strategies that can be employed in real-time analysis of alkali, alkaline earth, and transition metals in aqueous solutions has led to the development of numerous chemo- and biosensors.[14] We have recently reported the use of highly constrained 1,8-diacridylnaphthalenes for selective fluorosensing of Cu(II), Fe(II) and Fe(III).[15] While the construction of molecular sensors exhibiting a fluorophore in close proximity to a metal-chelating site has resulted in a variety of useful fluorosensors, high selectivity towards one metal ion in water has rarely been accomplished. Chang and coworkers have developed an 8-hydroxyquinoline sensor bearing an ionophoric boron-dipyrrolemethene group that proved to be highly selective for Hg(II) in dioxane-water solutions.[16] A water-soluble fluorescent naphthalimide PET sensor exhibiting an iminodiacetate receptor with high selectivity for Zn(II) and an azobenzene-derived sensor for naked-eye detection of Cu(II) in water have recently been reported by Gunnlaugsson et al.[17] MerR-type metal regulating proteins have been used to construct metal-ion sensitive biosensors for selective detection of Hg(II)or Cu(I), Ag(I) and

Au(I).[18] Spectrophotometric detection of Hg(II) in aqueous solution has also been accomplished using an optically transparent, mesoporous nanocrystalline TiO_2 film sensitized with a ruthenium dye.[19]

Investigation of the fluorescence properties of pyrene-derived anthranilic acid **9** revealed one maximum at approximately 470 nm and a quantum yield of 0.12. Fluorescence studies using 25 μM of **9** were performed in aqueous 3×10^{-4} M K_3PO_4 solution at pH = 8.0. The screening of the fluorescence of **9** in the presence of 10^{-4} M of main group and transition metal chlorides showed selective fluorescence quenching but no shift of the emission maximum (Figure 1). No quenching was observed in the presence of main group metal ions such as Na^+, K^+, Mg^{2+}, and Al^{3+} whereas addition of some transition metals results in a considerable decrease of the fluorescence response of **9**. Increasing the metal ion concentrations above 10^{-4} M did not result in any further quenching. Most importantly, only Hg(II) exhibits a strong quenching effect which is not diminished in the presence of equimolar amounts of Zn(II) and Cd(II). The sensor can thus be employed for selective detection of Hg(II) in water.

Mercury and its ionic forms are highly toxic environmental pollutants that can be introduced into the food chain by bacterial methylation and subsequent bioaccumulation. Mercury salts and Hg-derived organometallic compounds have serious neurotoxic effects and cause disruption of the central nervous system, e.g. Minamata disease. Since mercury ions are often accompanied by Zn(II) and Cd(II) it is crucial to develop Hg(II)-selective sensors for that are not compromised by the presence of these transition metal ions.[20] The remarkable fluorescent response of water-soluble *N*-(1-pyrene)anthranilic acid, **9**, to mercury chloride in the presence of both Zn(II) and Cd(II) may open new entries for a fast quantitative and qualitative analysis of Hg(II) ions in aqueous samples (Figure 2).

We attempted to grow single crystals of **9** for X-ray analysis and conducted fluorescence titration experiments in order to reveal the 3-dimensional structure of the sensor and the stoichiometry and stability of the corresponding Hg(II) complex. We were able to grow colorless triclinic crystals of **9** belonging to the P$\bar{1}$ space group from a DMF solution (Figure 3 and Table 2). Crystallographic analysis shows that the sensor does not undergo intramolecular proton transfer to form a zwitterionic structure which can be attributed to the low basicity of the diarylamine moiety. However, the amino function participates in intramolecular hydrogen bonding with the coplanar carboxylic acid group. The O1···H1-N1 hydrogen bond length is 1.975 Å and the angle (C2-N1-C8-C9) between the pyrene and the anthranilic plane was determined as 72.3°.[21]

The X-ray structure and low basicity of the secondary diarylamino function suggest that only the carboxylate group of deprotonated **9** participates in the coordination to Hg(II) in aqueous solution. Job analysis of Hg(II)chloride and **9** at a total concentration of 8.0×10^{-5} M revealed the existence of one maximum at a molar ratio of 0.5 which suggests the formation of an equimolar complex (see supplemental information).[22] Addition of 2.5×10^{-5} to 1.25×10^{-4} M of Hg(II) to a 25 μM solution of **9** in 3×10^{-4} M K_3PO_4 (pH = 8.0) results in fluorescence quenching following the Benesi-Hildebrand equation derived for a 1:1 complex.[23] Benesi-Hildebrand plotting gave an association constant for Hg(II)-**9** of 1262 M^{-1} (see supplemental information).

In summary, we have developed a Cu/Cu_2O-catalyzed cross-coupling procedure that allows highly regioselective amination of 2-bromobenzoic acids. The reaction complements existing methods, tolerates various functional groups and gives *N*-aryl anthranilic acids in good to high yields. Pyrene-derived anthranilic acid **9** was used for fluorimetric metal ion detection in water and showed strong fluorescence quenching in the presence of Hg(II) whereas other metal ions

exhibit considerably smaller effects on the fluorescence intensity. The Hg(II)-sensing ability of this non-natural amino acid is not compromised in the presence of Zn(II) and Cd(II).

Experimental Section

Typical Amination Procedure

A mixture of 1-aminopyrene (2.0 g, 9.3 mmol), 2-bromobenzoic acid (1.75 g, 8.8 mmol), K_2CO_3 (8.8 mmol), Cu powder (0.2–0.3 micron, 0.8 mmol), Cu_2O (<5 micron, 0.4 mmol) and 3 ml of 2-ethoxyethanol was refluxed at 130 °C for 24 hours under nitrogen. The cooled reaction mixture was poured into 30 ml of water to which decolorized charcoal was added. The mixture was filtrated through Celite. The crude product was obtained by precipitation upon acidification of the filtrate with diluted HCl. The residue was dissolved in 100 ml of 5% aqueous Na_2CO_3. The solution was filtered through Celite and *N*-(1-pyrenyl)anthranilic acid **9** (1.65 g, 4.9 mmol) was obtained in 55% yield as an off-white solid by precipitation as described above. ^{1}H NMR (300 MHz, DMSO-d$_6$) δ = 6.94 (dd, *J* = 7.4 Hz, 7.4 Hz, 1H), 7.14 (d, *J* = 8.3 Hz, 1H), 7.43 (dd, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 7.4 Hz, 1H), 8.09–8.41 (m, 10H), 10.7 (bs, 1H). ^{13}C NMR (75 MHz, DMSO-d$_6$): δ = 114.2, 118.1, 122.0, 122.1, 124.8, 124.9, 125.4, 125.7, 125.8, 126.4, 126.7, 127.2, 128.0, 128.2, 131.4, 131.7, 133.6, 134.6, 135.2, 148.8, 172.7. Anal. calcd. for $C_{23}H_{15}NO_2$: C, 81.88; H, 4.48; N, 4.15. Found: C, 81.63; H, 4.74; N, 4.32.

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

Acknowledgements

References and Notes

1. (a) Oza VB, Petrassi HM, Purkey HE, Kelly JW. Bioorg Med Chem Lett 1999;9:1–6. [PubMed: 9990446] (b) Green NS, Palaninathan SK, Sacchettini JC, Kelly JW. J Am Chem Soc 2003;125:13404–13414. [PubMed: 14583036] (c) Oza VB, Smith C, Raman B, Koepf EK, Lashuel HA, Petrassi HM, Chiang KP, Powers ET, Sachettinni J, Kelly JW. J Med Chem 2002;45:321–332. [PubMed: 11784137] (d) Baures PW, Oza VB, Peterson SA, Kelly JW. Bioorg Med Chem 1999;7:1339–1347. [PubMed: 10465408] (e) Klabunde T, Petrassi HM, Oza VB, Raman P, Kelly JW, Saccettini JC. Nature Struct Biol 2000;7:312–321. [PubMed: 10742177]

2. (a) Girault S, Grellier P, Berecibar A, Maes L, Mouray E, Lemiere P, Debeu MA, Davioud-Charvet E, Sergheraert C. J Med Chem 2000;43:2646–4654. [PubMed: 10893302] (b) Demeunynck M, Charmantray F, Martelli A. Curr Pharm Des 2001;7:1703–1724. [PubMed: 11562307] (c) Brana MF, Cacho M, De Pascual-Teresa B, Ramos A. Curr Pharm Des 2001;7:1745–1780. [PubMed: 11562309] (d) Cain BF, Seelye RN, Atwee GJ. J Med Chem 1974;17:922–928. [PubMed: 4415157]

3. (a) Paglialunga PM, Torrini I, Pagani GZ, Lucente G, Gavuzzo E, Mazza F, Pochetti G. Tetrahedron 1995;51:2379–2386. (b) Nagasawa HT, Elberling JA, Shirota FN. J Med Chem 1973;16:823–826. [PubMed: 4725929] (c) Breveglieri A, Guerrini R, Salvadori S, Bianchi C, Bryant SD, Attila M, Lazarus LH. J Med Chem 1996;39:773–780. [PubMed: 8576920]

4. (a) Inai Y, Kurokawa Y, Ida A, Hirabayashi T. Bull Chem Soc Jpn 1999;72:55–61. (b) Ramesh K, Balaram P. Bioorgan Med Chem 1999;7:105–117. (c) Heinonen P, Virta P, Lonnberg H. Tetrahedron 1999;55:7613–7624. (d) Abele S, Seebach D. Eur J Org Chem 2000;1:1–15.

5. (a) Inai Y, Tagawa K, Takasu A, Hirabayashi T, Oshikawa T, Yamashita M. J Am Chem Soc 2000;122:11731–11732. (b) Inai Y, Ousaka N, Okabe T. J Am Chem Soc 2003;125:8151–8162. [PubMed: 12837085]

6. Ullmann F. Ber dt chem Ges 1903;36:2382–2384.

7. (a) Kwong FY, Klapars A, Buchwald SL. Org Lett 2002;4:581–584. [PubMed: 11843596] (b) Wolf C, Mei X. J Am Chem Soc 2003;125:10651–10658. [PubMed: 12940749] (c) Docampo Palacios ML, Pellon Comdom RF. Synth Commun 2003;33:1771–1775. (d) Mei X, Wolf C. J Org Chem 2005;70:2299–2305. [PubMed: 15760218] (e) Mei X, Wolf C. J Am Chem Soc 2004;126:14736–14737. [PubMed: 15535695] (f) Mei X, Wolf C. Chem Comm 2004:2078–2079. [PubMed: 15367983]

8. Huang X, Anderson KW, Zim D, Jiang L, Klapars A, Buchwald SL. J Am Chem Soc 2003;125:6653–6655. [PubMed: 12769573]

9. Kunz K, Scholz U, Ganzer D. Synlett 2003:2428–2439.

10. (a) Hay AS, Blanchard HS. Can J Chem 1965;43:1306–1317. (b) Sasson Y, Zappi GD, Neumann R. J Org Chem 1986;51:2880–2883.

11. Chen LS, Chen GJ, Tamborski C. J Organomet Chem 1980;193:283–292.

12. Dokorou V, Kovala-Demertzi D, Jasinski JP, Galani A, Demertzis MA. Helv Chim Acta 2004;87:1940–1950.

13. Couture C, Paine AJ. Can J Chem 1985;63:111–120.

14. (a) Unob F, Asfari Z, Vicens J. Tetrahedron Lett 1998;39:2951–2954. (b) Purrello R, Gurrieri S, Lauceri R. Coord Chem Rev 1999;192:683–706. (c) Leray I, Valeur B, O'Reilly F, Jiwan JLH, Soumillion JP, Valeur B. Chem Commun 1999:795–796. (d) Valeur B, Leray I. Coord Chem Rev 2000;205:3–40. (e) de Silva AP, Fox DB, Huxley AJM, Moody TS. Coord Chem Rev 2000;205:41–57. (f) Deo S, Godwin HA. J Am Chem Soc 2000;122:174–175. (g) Singh A, Yao Q, Tong L, Still CW, Sames D. Tetrahedron Lett 2000;41:9601–9605. (h) Prodi L, Montalti M, Zaccheroni N, Dallavalle F, Folesani G, Lanfranchi M, Corradini R, Pagliari S, Marchelli R. Helv Chim Acta 2001;84:690–706. (i) Burdette SC, Walkup GK, Spingler B, Tsien RY, Lippard S. J Am Chem Soc 2001;123:7831–7841. [PubMed: 11493056] (j) Baxter PNW. Chem Eur J 2002:5250–5264. (k) Collado D, Perez-Inestrosa E, Suau R, Desvergne J-P, Bouas-Laurent H. Org Lett 2002;4:855–858. [PubMed: 11869145] (l) Chao CT, Huang WP. J Am Chem Soc 2002;124:6246–6247. [PubMed: 12033846] (m) Yang NC, Jeong JK, Suh DH. Chem Lett 2003;32:40–41. (n) Grabchev I, Chovelon JM, Qian X. New J Chem 2003;27:337–340. (o) Zheng Y, Gattas-Asfura KM, Li C, Andreopoulos FM, Pham SM, Leblanc RM. J Phys Chem B 2003;107:483–488. (p) Kim JS, Noh KH, Lee SH, Kim SK, Yoon J. J Org Chem 2003;68:597–600. [PubMed: 12530890] (q) Clark MA, Duffy K, Tibrewala J, Lippard SJ. Org Lett 2003;5:2051–2054. [PubMed: 12790526]

15. (a) Wolf C, Mei X. J Am Chem Soc 2003;125:10651–10658. [PubMed: 12940749] (b) Wolf C, Mei X, Rokadia HK. Tetrahedron Lett 2004;45:7867–7871. (c) Tumambac GE, Rosencrance CM, Wolf C. Tetrahedron 2004;60:11293–11297.

16. Moon SY, Cha NR, Kim YH, Chang SK. J Org Chem 2004;69:181–183. [PubMed: 14703394]

17. (a) Gunnlaugsson T, Lee TC, Parkesh R. Org Biomol Chem 2003;1:3265–3267. [PubMed: 14584787] (b) Gunnlaugsson T, Leonard JP, Murray NS. Org Lett 2004;6:1557–1560. [PubMed: 15128235]

18. Chen P, He C. J Am Chem Soc 2004;126:728–729. [PubMed: 14733542]

19. Palomares E, Vilar R, Durrant JR. Chem Commun 2004:362–363.

20. (a) Yoon J, Ohler NE, Vance DH, Aumiller WD, Czarnik AW. Tetrahedron Lett 1997;38:3845–3848. (b) Hennrich G, Sonnenschein H, Resch-Genger U. J Am Chem Soc 1999;121:5073–5074. (c) Prodi L, Bargossi C, Montalti M, Zaccheroni N, Su N, Bradshaw JS, Izatt RM, Savage PB. J Am Chem Soc 2000;122:6769–6770.

21. O1 and H2 undergo intermolecular hydrogen bonding to co-crystallizing DMF (not shown). O1···H-C(DMF): 2.331 Å, H2···O-C(DMF): 1.818 Å.

22. Connors, KA. Binding Constants, The Measurement of Molecular Complex Stability. Wiley; New York: 1987.

23. (a) Benesi HA, Hildebrand JH. J Am Chem Soc 1949;71:2703–2707.Connors, KA. The measurements of molecular complex stability. Wiley & Sons; New York: 1987. Binding constants; p. 149-160.

**Figure 1.**
Fluorescence spectra of **9** in the absence and presence of various transition metal ions in aqueous 3×10^{-4} M K_3PO_4 solution (pH = 8.0). The concentration of **9** was 2.5×10^{-5} M and the metal ion concentration was 1.0×10^{-4} M. Excitation wavelength: 390 nm.

Figure 2.
Fluorescence of **9** in aqueous 3×10^{-4} M K_3PO_4 solution (pH = 8.0) in the presence of Mn (II), Co(II), Fe(II), Ni(II), Cu(II), Zn(II), Cd(II), and Hg(II)chlorides. The concentration of **9** was 2.5×10^{-5} M. The metal ion concentration was 1.0×10^{-4} M. Excitation wavelength: 390 nm. Emission wavelength: 470 nm.

Figure 3.
Single crystal structure of **9**.

Scheme 1.
Copper-catalyzed amination of 2-bromobenzoic acid.

Table 1

Amination of bromobenzoic acids with aryl amines[a]

entry	aryl bromide	amine	product	yield (%)[b]
1	1	2	3	86
2	1	4	7	97
3	1	5	8	90
4	1	6	9	55
5	10	2	13	82
6	11	2	14	84
7	12	2	15	94
8	1	16	23	84

The reaction scheme at the top:

$$\text{(aryl bromide with } CO_2H, Br, R) + H_2N\text{-aryl } (R') \xrightarrow[130^\circ C]{\text{Cu/Cu}_2\text{O} \atop \text{2-ethoxyethanol}} \text{(diarylamine product with } CO_2H, R, R')$$

entry	aryl bromide	amine	product	yield (%)[b]
9	1	17	24	81
10	1	18	25	88
11	1	19	26	53
12	1	20	27	80[c]
13	1	21	28	63[c]
14	1	22	29	71
15	1	2	30	99[c]
16	1	31	34	68
17	1	32	35	53

entry	aryl bromide	amine	product	yield (%)[b]
18	1	33	36	78
19	37	2	38	58
20	1	39	42	82[d]
21	1	40	43	91[d]
22	1	41	44	65[d]

[a] Reaction conditions: A mixture of 2-bromobenzoic acid (8.8 mmol), 1.05 equiv. of aniline derivative, 1.0 equiv. of K_2CO_3, 9 mol% of Cu, 4 mol% of Cu_2O, was heated in 3 mL of 2-ethoxyethanol to 130 °C for 24 hours.

[b] Isolated yields.

[c] 2 equivalents of K_2CO_3 were used.

[d] two equivalents of the amine were used.

Table 2

Selected crystallographic data of **9**-DMF

empirical formula	$C_{26}H_{22}N_2O_3$
formula weight	410.46
crystal system	triclinic
space group	P$\bar{1}$
unit cell dimensions	$a = 8.367(5)$ Å
	$b = 11.281(7)$ Å
	$c = 13.200(5)$ Å
	$\alpha = 65.18(4)°$
	$\beta = 79.23(4)°$
	$\gamma = 69.25(4)°$
volume	$1056.5(10)$ Å^3
Z	4
density (calculated)	1.290 g cm^{-3}
crystal size	$0.4 \times 0.4 \times 0.2$ mm