

Published in final edited form as:


Org Lett. 2006 July 6; 8(14): 3113–3116. doi:10.1021/ol061216u.

Allenylazide Cycloaddition Chemistry. Synthesis of Annelated Indoles from 2-(Allenyl)phenylazide Substrates

 Ken S. Feldman^{*}, Malliga R. Iyer, and D. Keith Hester II

104 Chemistry Building, The Pennsylvania State University, University Park, Pennsylvania 16802 USA

Abstract



Thermolysis of 2-(allenyl)phenylazides leads to a cascade cyclization sequence furnishing both C(2)-C(3) and N-C(2) cyclopentannelated indoles.

Organic synthesis via diyl cyclization has had an episodic history, with surges in activity quickly following discovery of novel diradical generating reactions.¹ One of the more notable advances to emerge from this area of chemistry stemmed from the observation that N₂ extrusion from 4-methylene-1,2-diazenes led efficiently to triplet trimethylenemethane (TMM) diyls, species that have served a central role in numerous cyclization- and cycloaddition-based approaches to cyclopentanoid natural products.² One little studied modification of the TMM diyl, azatrimethylenemethane (ATMM), can be constructed, at least in principle, by simply exchanging one methylene fragment for a nitrogen (cf. **4**; Scheme 1). By analogy with TMM chemistry, the ATMM variant might provide ready access to polycyclic cyclopentanoid alkaloid frameworks. The development of ATMM chemistry has lagged far behind its all-carbon cousin, but early studies that hinted at its existence³ and more recent suggestions of its intermediacy in azide-allene cyclization cascades⁴ (Scheme 1) may yet elevate this species to a position of prominence in alkaloid synthesis.

C(2)-C(3) annelated indoles represent one potential target class for this chemistry, and given the success of the **1**→**5** conversion, wherein only cyclization through the imine species **4b** was observed, it seemed plausible to expect that incorporation of an aryl residue in the allene-azide tether (cf. **6**) would lead by analogy to the C(2)-C(3) cyclopentannelated indole products **7**. As described in this report, this goal was achieved in practice. However, the unanticipated intervention of subtle electronic (?) effects, presumably as a consequence of the electronic connectivity supplied by the intervening aryl ring, served to divert some of the reactive intermediate(s) down alternative channels, leading to N-C(2) annelated products as well. A description of the scope of this process for cyclopentannelated indole synthesis with both alkenyl- and aryl-substituted 2-(allenyl)phenylazides is detailed below.

The syntheses of suitable 2-(allenyl)phenylazides of the type **6** were accomplished by straightforward chemistry using Konno's procedure for palladium-mediated aryl(alkenyl)zinc

addition to propargylic acetates **9**,⁵ or the cuprate-based alternative procedure of Palenzuela,⁶ Table 1. The azide function survived exposure to these organometallic reagents with no detectable decomposition, but attempts to add (Bu₃Sn)CuSPh to **9a** met with concomitant azide reduction to furnish an amide product following *O*-to-*N* acetyl transfer. The (alkenyl)allenyl azides **6a–6i** were stable, isolable, and characterizable compounds, but the aryl-substituted analogues **6j–6m** were sensitive to chromatography and could not be isolated in pure form. Therefore, these species were routinely carried on to the thermolysis procedure as crude materials.

The thermolysis/cyclization studies commenced with the prototype substrate **6a** featuring unelaborated methyl and vinyl units at the allene terminus, Table 2. Heating a 0.1 M solution of **6a** in toluene led cleanly to the formation of two new species whose gross spectral data were suggestive of the presence of tricyclic ring systems in both cases. Chromatographic purification of the crude thermosylate furnished samples of both compounds, and their spectroscopic data were entirely consistent with those expected for the desired C(2)-C(3) annelated indole **7a** as well as the unanticipated pyrrole **11a**. The more slowly eluting compound **7a** exhibited the characteristic N–H resonance of an indole (δ 8.03 (s)) as well as signals for an alkene-bound CH₃ (δ 2.22, d, J = 1.6 Hz) and for a single alkenyl proton (δ 6.22, m). The faster eluting species displayed spectral data that were strikingly similar to those reported for the characterized reference compound des methyl **11a**,⁷ allowing ready assignment of **11a** as a pyrrole. X-ray crystallographic analysis of **11a** later confirmed the structural assignment (see Supporting Information). Examination of the crude reaction product by ¹H NMR prior to purification indicated the presence of only **7** and **10**; pyrrole **11** was not formed until exposure of the crude thermolysate to SiO₂. The ratio of isolated **7** to isolated **11** occasionally was at variance with the **7**:**10** ratio as it appeared in the ¹H NMR spectrum of the crude thermolysate, presumably as a consequence of differential chromatographic stability, and so the latter value is reported as well. In addition, ¹H NMR-based examination of the crude thermolysate immediately after reaction allowed identification of signals consistent with the indole species **10**, but these signals decayed over the course of a few hours as the spectroscopic signature for the pyrrole **11** grew in. In only a few cases (**6g**, **6i**) was this N-C(2) cyclopentannelated indole isolable, but even in those instances, isomerization into the pyrrole followed after a few more hours at room temperature. The next three examples (**6b–6d**) demonstrated that both silyl ethers (**6b–6c**) and steric bulk (**6d**) at the R₂ position are tolerated in the transformation with little impact on yield. Interestingly, comparing entries a-d reveals that the ratio of C(3)-to-N cyclization is responsive to the size of the R₂ substituent, with the bulkiest entry (**6d**, R₂ = *t*-Bu) leading to the greatest selectivity for the desired C(2)-C(3) cyclization regioisomer **7**.

The next two entries (**6e**, **6f**) test the effect of a substituent at the internal (R) position of the alkene. For both substrates, the reaction proceeds similarly to the simpler R = H cases to afford nearly equal mixtures of the indole **7e/7f** and pyrrole **11e/11f** products. The R substituent resides at a position that apparently exerts little steric or electronic influence on the course of the reaction, as both the R=CH₃ and the R=Ph cases proceed to product(s) in very similar yields/selectivities. The Ph-bearing substrate **6g** introduces at the alkene terminus a group that might confer both electronically favorable (i.e., radical stabilizing, cf. **4**) and sterically unfavorable characteristics, and the tradeoff between these possibly opposing effects appears to favor the latter. For the first time, the C(2)-C(3) cyclized indole product did not survive attempted chromatographic purification, and the regioisomeric N-C(2) indole **10g** was the only identifiable species isolated. However, the indole **7g** was detected in the crude thermolysate admixed with **10g** (1:1.1 ratio, Table 2). Hydrogenation of **10g** (Scheme 2) furnished the *cis* disposed indole product **12g** whose structure was secured by single crystal X-ray analysis (see Supporting Information). The final two entries, **6h** and **6i**, probed the capability of this cascade cyclization sequence to deliver tetracyclic material. The cyclohexenyl case **6h** proceeded uneventfully to deliver a slightly biased mixture of the pyrrole **11h** and the indole product

7h in excellent overall yield. The cyclopentyl lower homologue **6i**, in contrast, yielded only a moderate amount of the N-C(2) cyclized indole product **10i** as the only tetracyclic material to survive chromatography. The corresponding C(3)-cyclized material **7i** was observed in the crude thermolysate's ^1H NMR spectrum, at the ratio reported in Table 2, but it decomposed upon attempted purification.

Thermolyses of the aryl-substituted 2-(allenyl)phenylazides **6j–6m** did not provide tetracyclic material, Scheme 3. In each instance, the methyldiene-containing products **14j–14m** were isolated in moderate yields. Presumably, a diyl intermediate represented by **13** underwent H-atom transfer, possibly via an intramolecular pathway as shown, to yield the product alkene. These results stand as another point of departure from the saturated tether series **1**, where pendant aryl rings ($\text{RR}_1 = \text{aryl ring}$) did participate in diyl cyclizations to afford benzannulated products **5** ($\text{RR}_1 = \text{aryl ring via alkene isomerization}$). The failure of the analogous species **13** to cyclize remains a mystery, but a rationale might be tied to the different nature of the diyl intermediate in the unsaturated series vis á vis the saturated series **1**, as discussed below.

Given the similarities and the differences between the reactions of the diyls derived from **1** and from **6**, it is appropriate to consider the conceivable roles that the different candidate diradicals might play in product formation. Figure 1 details the plausible diyl (and related) options. Singlet σ/π orthogonal diyl **15a** is the likely first-formed species immediately following N_2 extrusion. This presumably short-lived diyl could cyclize via initial C–C bond rotation and then C–N closure to give products of the type **10**, or it could undergo singlet-to-triplet interconversion to give a new diyl that could not cyclize directly and therefore might have a long enough lifetime to realize other chemistry. As an alternative to direct cyclization, singlet or triplet **15a** could undergo an electronic reorganization that is tantamount to placing one electron from the nitrogen's π orbital into its half-occupied sp_2 orbital, giving the orthogonal π/π diyl **15b** (singlet or triplet).

This species provides the means to access the C–C bonded product **7** via (singlet) diyl closure following bond rotation. In fact, this rotation (indicated by the blue arrow in **15b**) may hold the key to understanding the regiochemical divergence of diyl closure.⁸ Rotation of singlet **15b** in the clockwise direction (observing down the $\text{C}(2)\rightarrow\text{C}(\cdot)$ bond) would lead to the C–C bond formation product **7**, whereas the opposite direction of rotation would bring $\text{N}(\cdot)$ and $\text{C}(\cdot)\text{R}_1$ together to form **10**. To the extent that the $\text{C}(3)\text{--H/R}_2$ steric interaction shown in **15b** becomes energetically penalizing upon counterclockwise rotation, larger R_2 groups arguably should differentially favor the alternative clockwise motion, leading to a preference for C–C bond formation ($\rightarrow 7$). This expectation is borne out by the limited results with **6a–6d**.

Triplet **15b**, on the other hand, could participate in similar divergent rotations to furnish the planar (*E* or *Z*) ATMM intermediate **15c**. The *E/Z* preference could be tied to the same steric features (avoiding $\text{C}(3)\text{--H/R}_2$ steric interactions) discussed for singlet **15b**. Upon intersystem crossing, the now singlet **15c** could cyclize (electrocyclize?) with either C–C bond formation from the *E* species or C–N bond formation from the *Z* isomer. Finally, the singlet version of **15c** might best be represented as the closed-shell (*E/Z*) alkylidene indolinene **15d**, a species whose (electro)cyclization manifold is identical to singlet ATMM **15c**. At this point, it is not possible to sort between these options and provide a comprehensive description of this 2-(allenyl)phenylazide cyclization cascade. Work in that direction will continue.

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

Acknowledgements

The National Institutes of Health, General Medical Sciences division, is gratefully acknowledged (GM72572), as is support from NSF CHE 0131112 for the X-ray crystallographic facility.

References

1. (a) Little RD. *Chem Rev* 1986;86:875–884. (b) Moore H. *Advances in Strain in Organic Chemistry* 1995;4:81–162. (c) Little RD. *Chem Rev* 1996;96:93–114. [PubMed: 11848745] (d) Grissom JW, Gunawardena GU, Klingberg D, Huang D. *Tetrahedron* 1996;52:6453–6518. (e) Feldman KS, Mareska DA. *J Org Chem* 1999;64:5650–5660. [PubMed: 11674635]
2. (a) Dowd PA. *Acc Chem Res* 1972;5:242–248. Berson, JA. *Diradicals*. Borden, WT., editor. Wiley-VCH; New York: 1982. p. 151-194. (c) Allan AK, Carroll GL, Little RD. *Eur J Chem* 1998:1–12.
3. (a) Bleiholder RF, Shechter H. *J Am Chem Soc* 1968;90:2131–2137. (b) Bingham EM, Gilbert JC. *J Org Chem* 1975;40:224–228. (c) Quast H, Weise Vélez CA. *Angew Chem Int Ed Engl* 1978;17:213–214. (d) Quast H, Fub A, Heublein A. *Angew Chem Int Ed Engl* 1980;19:49–50. (e) Barraclough D, Moorhouse NP, Onwuyali EI, Scheinmann F, Hursthouse MB, Galas AMR. *J Chem Res (S)* 1984:102–103. (f) Quast H, Meichsner G. *Chem Ber* 1987;120:1049–1058. (g) Quast H, Fub A, Heublein A, Jakobi H, Seiferling B. *Chem Ber* 1991;124:2545–2554. (h) Barker SJ, Storr RC. *J Chem Soc, Perkin Trans 1* 1990:485–488.
4. Feldman KS, Iyer MR. *J Am Chem Soc* 2005;127:4590–4591. [PubMed: 15796521]
5. Konno T, Tanikawa M, Ishihara T, Yamanaka H. *Collect Czech Chem Commun* 2002;67:1421–1435.
6. Regás D, Afonso MM, Rodríguez ML, Palenzuela JA. *J Org Chem* 2003;68:7845–7852. [PubMed: 14510565]
7. Kashulin IA, Nifant'ev IE. *J Org Chem* 2004;69:5476–5479. [PubMed: 15287801]
8. (a) Shields TC, Billups WE, Lepley AR. *J Am Chem Soc* 1968;90:4749–4751. (b) Roth WR, Schmidt T. *Tetrahedron Lett* 1971:3639–3642. (c) Kende AS, Riecki EE. *J Am Chem Soc* 1972;94:1397–1399. (d) Billups WE, Leavell KH, Lewis ES, Vanderpool S. *J Am Chem Soc* 1973;95:8096–8102. (f) Gilbert JC, Higley DP. *Tetrahedron Lett* 1973:2075–2078. (g) Pikulin S, Berson JA. *J Am Chem Soc* 1985;107:8274–8276. (h) Shook CA, Romberger ML, Jung SH, Xiao M, Sherbine JP, Zhang B, Lin FT, Cohen T. *J Am Chem Soc* 1993;115:10754–10773. (i) Davidson ER, Gajewski JJ, Shook CA, Cohen T. *J Am Chem Soc* 1995;33:8495–8501. Direct (IR) detection of triplet-4-methylene-2-pentene-1,5-diy: (j) Maier G, Senger S. *J Am Chem Soc* 1997;119:5852–5861.







Figure 1.
 Possible intermediates along the cyclization pathway.



Scheme 1.
Intramolecular azide-allene cycloaddition cascades for nitrogen heterocycle synthesis.




Scheme 2.
Formation of a derivative characterizable by X-ray crystallography.



Scheme 3.
Thermolysis of phenyl-substituted 2-(allenyl)phenylazides.

Table 1

2-Allenylphenylazide cyclization substrate synthesis



entry	R_3	R_4	yield 9 (%) ^a	yield 6 (%) ^a
a	CH_3	$\text{H}_2\text{C}=\text{CH}-$	48	58
b	CH_2OTBS	$\text{H}_2\text{C}=\text{CH}-$	91	55
c	$\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{OTBS}$	$\text{H}_2\text{C}=\text{CH}-$	73	57
d	t-Bu	$\text{H}_2\text{C}=\text{CH}-$	78	63
e	CH_3	$\text{H}_2\text{C}=\text{C}(\text{Ph})-$	48	67
f	CH_3	$\text{H}_2\text{C}=\text{C}(\text{CH}_3)-$	48	59
g	$\text{PhCH}=\text{CH}-$	CH_3	47	34
h	1-cyclohexenyl	CH_3	31	37
i	1-cyclopentenyl	CH_3	49	30
j	CH_3	Ph	48	<i>b</i>
k	CH_3	<i>p</i> -(CH_3O) C_6H_4	48	<i>b</i>
l	CH_3	<i>m</i> -(CH_3O) C_6H_4	48	<i>b</i>
m	CH_3	<i>m</i> - FC_6H_4	48	<i>b</i>

^aYield for chromatographically pure, characterized material.^bThese allenes were too reactive to isolate and characterize, and so they were carried into the thermolyses as crude materials.

Table 2

2-(Allenyl)phenylazide cyclization results

entry	R	R ₁	R ₂	yield 7 (%) ^a	yield 11 (%) ^a	Ratio 7:10 ^b
a	H	H	CH ₃	40	56	1:1.2
b	H	H	CH ₃ OTBS	22	29	1.2:1
c	H	H	CH ₂ CH ₂ OTBS	52	43	1.5:1
d	H	H	<i>t</i> -Bu	57	20	2.7:1
e	Ph	H	CH ₃	40	30	1:1.2
f	CH ₃	H	CH ₃	36	36	1:1.3
g	H	Ph	CH ₃	--	35 (10g)	1:1.1
h	-(CH ₂) ₄ -		CH ₃	36	51	1:1.4
i	-(CH ₂) ₃ -		CH ₃	--	40 (10f)	1:1.5

^aYield for chromatographically pure, characterized material.^bRatio by ¹H NMR analysis of the crude thermoslyate.