

Published in final edited form as:

J Med Chem. 2007 December 27; 50(26): 6673–6684. doi:10.1021/jm070685e.

2'-(2-((dimethylamino)methyl)-4'-(2-fluoroalkoxy)-phenylthio)benzenamine Derivatives as Serotonin Transporter Imaging Agents

Ajit K. Parhi¹, Julie L. Wang², Shunichi Oya¹, Seok-Rye Choi¹, Mei-Ping Kung¹, and Hank F. Kung^{1,2}

¹ Department of Radiology, University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, PA 19104

² Department of Pharmacology, University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, PA 19104

Abstract

A novel series of ligands with substitutions at the 5-position on phenyl ring A and at the 4'-position on phenyl ring B of 2'-(2-((dimethylamino)methyl)-4'-(2-fluoroalkoxy)phenylthio)benzenamine (4'-2-fluoroethoxy derivatives, **28–31** and 4'-3-fluoro propoxy derivatives, **40–42**) were prepared and tested as serotonin transporter (SERT) imaging agents. The new ligands displayed high binding affinities to SERT (K_i ranging from 0.07 to 1.5 nM). The corresponding ¹⁸F labeled compounds, which can be prepared readily, showed excellent brain uptake and retention after *iv* injection in rats. The hypothalamus region showed high uptake values between 0.74 to 2.2 % dose/g at 120 min post *iv* injection. Significantly, the hypothalamus to cerebellum ratios (target to non-target ratios) at 120 min were 7.8 and 7.7 for [¹⁸F]**28** and [¹⁸F]**40**, respectively. The selective uptake and retention in the hypothalamus, which has a high concentration of SERT binding sites, demonstrated that [¹⁸F]**28** and [¹⁸F]**40** are promising PET (positron emission computed tomography) imaging agents for mapping SERT binding sites in the brain.

Introduction

The association between serotonin and depression has been well documented. Patients with major depression show distinct alterations in serotonergic neuronal function.^{1–3} Selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors (SSRIs), targeting serotonin transporter (SERT) binding sites in the brain, are currently being prescribed to treat millions of patients with depression.^{2,4} There is an urgent need to find a simple method to measure the drug occupancy (or the lack thereof) of the target sites in the brains of non-responders. This could be accomplished by developing PET (positron emission computed tomography) and SPECT (single photon emission computed tomography) imaging agents to target SERT in the brain. These imaging agents would be able to study the interaction of psychoactive drugs with specific binding sites and therefore monitor their effectiveness in occupying the targeted binding sites in the living human brain. SERT may also play an important role as a surrogate marker for changes in serotonin transmission

Corresponding Author: Hank F. Kung, Ph.D., Professor, Department of Radiology, University of Pennsylvania, 3700 Market Street, Room 305, Philadelphia, PA 19104., Tel: (215)662-3096; Fax: (215)349-5035; e-mail: kunghf@gmail.com.

^a**Abbreviations:** Single photon emission computed tomography, SPECT; Positron emission tomography, PET; 2-Fluoro-2-deoxy-D-glucose, FDG; Serotonin transporter, SERT; Dopamine transporter, DAT; Norepinephrine transporter, NET; (+) trans-1,2,3,5,6,10-beta-hexahydro-6-[4-(methylthio)phenyl]pyrrolo-[2,1-a]isoquinoline, (+)-McN5652; ;3-amino-4-(2-dimethylaminomethylphenylsulfanyl)benzotrile, DASB; 5-iodo-2'-(2-((dimethylamino)methyl)phenylthio)benzenamine, ADAM; N,N-dimethyl- 2-(2-amino-4-[¹⁸F] fluorophenylthio)benzylamine, 5-FADAM; 2-[(2-amino-4-fluoro methylphenyl)thio]-N,N-dimethylbenzenmethanamine, AFM; 2-[(2-amino-4-chloro- 5-fluorophenyl)thio]-N,N-dimethyl-benzenmethanamine, ACF

in Parkinson's disease, drug abuse and other mental illnesses. A simple method of imaging SERT would also further our understanding of these conditions.

The ability to image SERT binding sites could significantly improve the management of patients on SSRIs. First of all, PET imaging techniques could provide a direct measurement of drug occupancy at the target site. This would enable physicians to reduce side-effects by titrating SSRI dosage to reach > 60–70% occupancy.^{5–11} A minimum necessary dose could be individually estimated; personalized medicine can be achieved. Secondly, it has been observed that there is a lag time of 2 to 6 weeks before the anti-depressant onset of action of SSRIs and that at least 30% of patients are non-responders. It is believed that SSRIs directly inhibit serotonin reuptake in the synapse thus leading to an increase in serotonin neurotransmission.¹² Yet, the lag time and the percentage of non-responders indicate that there is no single mechanism that is responsible for depression. There are many alternative explanations, and new treatment targets have been suggested to overcome the lack of drug response.^{3,4,13–15} By estimating the drug occupancy of SERT binding sites by the antidepressant used for treatment, *in vivo* PET or SPECT imaging studies could assist in the development of more effective approaches to the treatment of depression.

There are several types of core structures which have been employed in developing SERT imaging agents, i.e. nitroquipazines,^{16–19} (+)-McN5652,^{12,20,21} tropanes^{22–26} and biphenylthiols.^{27–36} Due to a higher serotonin transporter binding selectivity and ease of synthesis, in the past few years a large number of biphenylthiol derivatives have been prepared and tested as potential SERT imaging agents.^{27–33} Several analogs of biphenylthiol derivatives, such as [¹²³I]ADAM (**2**) have shown excellent binding affinity and selectivity and have been successfully used for SPECT imaging in humans.³⁷ (see Chart 1) Comparable PET imaging agents for SERT, based on the same biphenylthiol core, have also been reported (see Table 1). Wilson's group developed [¹¹C]DASB(**1**)²⁷ which has now become a standard tracer for PET imaging of SERT in humans.^{8,10,38–40} Yet, the practical challenges of using a ¹¹C tracer ($T_{1/2} = 20$ min) on a routine basis have limited its application to major medical centers which possess an on-site cyclotron and a radiochemistry team. In contrast, ¹⁸F ($T_{1/2} = 109$ min) labeled tracers can be prepared by regional radiopharmacies and distributed within any major metropolitan area. Thus, ¹⁸F labeled SERT imaging agents could potentially serve many more patients. In the past few years, several ¹⁸F derivatives of biphenylthiols have been reported including AFM(**4**),⁴¹ ACF(**5**)³⁶ and 5-FADAM(**3**).^{42,43} (Chart 1). The most promising candidate is 5-FADAM (**3**). However, due to radiochemical limitations, which require a nucleophilic substitution of [¹⁸F]fluoride on a less activated aromatic ring, the radiochemical yield of [¹⁸F]**3** is relatively low (< 5%).⁴⁴ In order to improve the yield and study the structure-activity relationship of this series of biphenylthiol derivatives, we evaluated 4'-(2-fluoroethoxy)- and 4'-(3-fluoropropoxy)- derivatives with 4'-substitutions on phenyl ring B. These compounds provide an alternative approach for introduction of [¹⁸F]fluoride via a simple nucleophilic reaction readily achievable in high yields and in a short time period. The reaction could be performed in an automated radiolabeling synthesizer which is commonly used in centralized radiopharmacies for making [¹⁸F]FDG. In this paper, we report the preparation and characterization of the first examples of ¹⁸F labeled 4'-substituted (ring B substituted) biphenylthiol derivatives targeting SERT for PET imaging.

Chemistry

A wealth of information on the synthesis of biphenylthiols (**1–5**) has been reported in the past few years.^{27,28,30–33,45,46} The new series of biphenylthiols **28–42** were successfully prepared using schemes 1–3. The synthesis of fluorinated biphenylthiols **28–31** is illustrated in scheme 1. Commercially available 2-amino-5-methoxy benzoic acid **6** was readily converted to the corresponding thiol **7** via diazotization followed by replacement of the diazonium group

by a sulfur atom. The unstable thiol **7** (due to a rapid oxidation of the thiol group) was immediately coupled with various nitrobenzenes **8–11** to give benzoic acids **12–15** in moderate to good yields (ranging from 55 to 70 % yield). The carboxylic acids were converted to the amides **16–19** by first treating with thionyl chloride to produce the acid chlorides and the subsequent reaction of acid chlorides with N,N-dimethylamine. The methoxy group of amides **16–19** were readily demethylated with BBr₃ to produce the corresponding phenolic compounds **20–23**. The free OH-groups of phenolic compounds **20–23** were alkylated with 1-bromo-2-fluoroethane to provide 2-fluoroethoxy compounds **24–27**. The simultaneous reduction of nitro and amide groups yielded the desired non-radioactive compounds **28–31**.

Similarly, three nonradioactive 3-fluoropropoxy compounds **40–42** were synthesized from intermediate phenolic compounds **20–23** (scheme 2). Alkylation of the free 4'-OH group with 3-bromopropanol yielded compounds **32–34**. The amide **34** was directly converted to the fluoride **39** by treatment with DAST. Alternatively, the amides **32** and **33** were first transformed into their corresponding mesylates **35** and **36** by reacting with methanesulfonyl chloride. These mesylates were further converted to the fluorides **37, 38** by microwave irradiation with TBAF. The simultaneous reduction of amide and nitro groups furnished the desired 3-fluoropropoxy compounds **40–42**.

Compounds **43** and **44** were obtained by reduction of both amide and nitro groups of intermediate compounds **16** and **20** (scheme 3). Similarly compounds **47** and **48** were synthesized in two steps from **20** and **21** by alkylation with 2-bromoethanol followed by reduction. Similarly, reduction of **32** and **34** yielded the desired compounds **49** and **50**. The purity of all nonradioactive compounds used for the bio-assay was confirmed from HPLC analysis using two different systems. All bio-assay involved compounds reported in this paper showed greater than 95% purity in both systems (see supporting information).

We have also prepared the O-mesylate derivatives for ¹⁸F radiolabeling. Methanesulfonate precursors **57–60** were prepared as shown in scheme 4. The phenolic compounds **20–23** were alkylated with 2-bromoethanol to give compounds **45–46** and **51–52**. Selective reduction of amide group by BH₃-THF followed by an introduction of methanesulfonyl group provided the desired precursors **57–60**. The synthesis of three more methanesulfonate precursors **64–66** in two similar steps is shown in scheme 4.

A similar radiolabeling approach, as reported previously, was used for 2-fluoroethoxy and 3-fluoro-propoxy derivatives (Scheme 4). The radiolabeling was accomplished by starting with mesylate precursors (**57–60** or **64–66**) reacted with [¹⁸F]fluoride in the presence of Kryptofix 222 and potassium carbonate in DMSO at 100°C for 5–10 min. The resulting ¹⁸F labeled nitro intermediates were directly reduced with tin chloride in hydrochloric acid/EtOH to provide desired labeled compound [¹⁸F]**28–31** or [¹⁸F]**40–42** (Scheme 4). The crude product was purified by HPLC. The procedure took 60–120 min and the specific activity at the end of synthesis was 280–1050 mCi/μmol (n = 3) (Radiochemical purity > 99%, radiochemical yield = 10–35 % decay corrected). The purified product showed an HPLC profile consistent with the “cold” carrier (a typical example of HPLC profile is shown in the supporting information).

Using the O-mesylates as the starting material, the ¹⁸F labeling was successfully achieved for [¹⁸F]**28–31** and [¹⁸F]**40–42** in reasonable radiochemical yield and high radiochemical purity. The labeling reactions have not been optimized further; however, it is likely that the two-step one-pot reaction can be adapted for automation in a higher level (in multi-Curies of ¹⁸F) of preparation.

Biological studies

In vitro binding assays were carried out using membrane homogenates prepared from three different LLC-PK₁ cell lines, each over-expressing one of the monoamine transporters. As expected, the binding affinities of the 5-halogen and 4'-2-fluoroethoxy or 4'-3-fluoropropoxy substituted derivatives to SERT were excellent ($K_i = 0.03$ to 0.95 nM) with a rank of potency of $\text{Br} \geq \text{Cl} > \text{F}$ (Table 1). Interestingly, an opposite trend in NET affinity was seen. The binding affinities toward NET decreased with the size of the halogen atom ($\text{F} > \text{Cl} > \text{Br}$). The analogs which were substituted with heavier halogens (Cl or Br), i.e. **30**, **31** and **42**, showed the weakest NET affinities ($K_i = 97$, 114 , and $> 1,000$ nM, respectively). The 5-H substituted analogs, **28** and **40** as compared to the 5-halogen substituted analogs, displayed slightly lower SERT binding affinities and showed some NET binding ($K_i = 0.25$ and 1.4 nM for SERT and 7.5 and 12 nM for NET, respectively). This, however, is not believed to be a significant impediment towards their potential as SERT selective radiotracers since it is known that the concentration of the NET binding sites (B_{max} for NET) is at least four fold less than that of SERT in the brain^{48,49}. Thus, the new 5-H substituted analogs, **28** and **40**, will likely be sufficiently selective for imaging SERT binding sites and will be further evaluated. It is also apparent that the addition of a carbon atom to form the 4'-3-fluoropropoxy substituted derivatives results in lower SERT and NET binding affinities as compared to the corresponding 4'-2-fluoroethoxy derivatives. Binding affinities of these derivatives toward DAT as compared to SERT were much lower ($K_i > 300$ nM). The results were consistent with the binding profiles reported for other 5-methyl or 5-halogen substituted biphenylthiol derivatives reported previously (ring A substituted derivatives).^{27,31-33,35,36,47} To our knowledge, this is the first time such a structure-activity relationship has been observed for the biphenylthiol series of compounds. It also suggests that relative binding affinities between SERT and NET are highly dependent on the substitution groups on ring A and ring B. Further exploration of this structure-activity relationship could enhance the selectivity between SERT and NET.

To test whether these potential imaging agents could bind to SERT binding sites in the brain, we measured brain uptake and regional brain distribution of the [¹⁸F] labeled compounds in rats after an *iv* injection. The whole body biodistribution data, an example of which can be seen in the supplemental information, was relatively similar to previously reported labeled biphenylthiols and the *in vivo* de-fluorination for these agents was relatively low (based on the relatively low bone uptake). The brain uptake between 30 min and 120 min provides information on the relative brain washout. Biodistribution of all the [¹⁸F] labeled compounds, **28**, **29**, **30**, **31**, **40**, **41** and **42**, in normal rats showed that they readily penetrated the intact blood brain barrier with initial brain uptakes between 1.12 and 2.23 % dose/organ at 30 min post *iv* injection (Table 2). We were surprised at the high brain uptake and persistent retention of [¹⁸F]**29**, **30**, **31**, **41** and **42** at 120 min after injection (Table 2). It is unlikely that this relatively prolonged retention is caused by any single factor, but rather it is most likely caused by a combination of factors such as lipophilicity, affinity, molecular weight, metabolism, and/or presence of a selective efflux mechanism for the tracer. Ring A 5-halogen (F, Cl and Br) substituted derivatives all displayed high brain uptake but slow brain washout. On the contrary, ring A 5-H substituted compounds, [¹⁸F]**28** and **40**, displayed a significant washout from the brain (1.85 vs 0.63 % dose/organ for **28** and 1.23 vs 0.59 % dose/organ for **41** at 30 vs 120 min, respectively). Such high brain uptake and washout has not been observed in any other ¹⁸F labeled biphenylthiol derivatives reported in the literature.^{28,30,33,36,42} Clearly, the 4'-2-fluoroethoxy- or 4'-3-fluoropropoxy- substitution on phenyl ring B has led to different *in vivo* brain kinetics.

Regional brain distribution of [¹⁸F]**28**, **29**, **30**, **31**, **40**, **41** and **42** showed that the hypothalamus, an area containing a high density of SERT binding sites, had the highest uptake (Table 2). The hypothalamus to cerebellum ratios displayed a significant increase from 30 to 120 min, and

there was a more pronounced change for the ring A 5-H substituted compounds, [¹⁸F]**28** and **40**. [¹⁸F]**28** displayed a hypothalamus to cerebellum ratio of 3.79 vs 7.83 at 30 vs 120 min, respectively while [¹⁸F]**40** showed a ratio of 3.5 vs 7.67 (Table 2). Although [¹⁸F]**28** and **40** had lower hypothalamus uptake compared to [¹⁸F]**29**, **30**, **31**, **41** and **42**, the hypothalamus to cerebellum ratios of [¹⁸F]**28** and **40** were higher due to faster washout from the cerebellum compared to washout from the hypothalamus. Although [¹⁸F]**28** and **40** possess slightly lower *in vitro* binding affinities than the other compounds in their respective series, it is not clear why [¹⁸F]**28** or **40** is able to dissociate faster from the non-target region. It is known that *in vitro* experiments cannot always predict the behavioral kinetics and success of a tracer. Nevertheless, the favorable *in vitro* and *in vivo* kinetic properties of [¹⁸F]**28** and **40** make them more desirable compared to other derivatives in the series as potential SERT imaging agents. The absolute values of hypothalamus to cerebellum ratios for [¹⁸F]**28** and **40** were comparable to those of [¹¹C]**127** and [¹⁸F]**342** (hypothalamus uptake at 60 min was 0.62 and 0.73 % dose/g and hypothalamus to cerebellum ratio at 60 min was 8.89 and 5.98, respectively). The absolute hypothalamus uptake values in the brain were also comparable to those of the above two tracers.^{27,42}

In order to demonstrate that the uptake in the hypothalamus region of the brain for this series of ¹⁸F labeled derivatives was indeed due to SERT specific binding, we performed a blocking study using several of the [¹⁸F] labeled compounds including the most promising ligands, [¹⁸F]**28** and [¹⁸F]**40** (data not shown). Rats were pretreated with either 2 mg/kg (+)McN5652, GBR-12909, or nisoxetine, *iv* 5 min prior to the tracer administration. At two hours after the tracer injection, uptake in each brain region was compared between saline-pretreated (control) and drug-pretreated rats. Only (+)McN5652, a selective SERT ligand, was able to significantly block the uptake in the hypothalamus region, an area containing a high density of SERT binding sites. As expected, the other drugs, nisoxetine, a NET ligand, and GBR-12909, a DAT ligand, showed no significant inhibition of selective uptake in the hypothalamus region (data not shown). The results will be published in the future as part of full characterization of these promising SERT imaging agents.

Discussion

The biphenylthiol core has several unique advantages for developing new SERT imaging agents. First of all, the biphenylthiol core is relatively easy to prepare. It is also a relatively simple molecule containing no optical center. Finally, biphenylthiol derivatives show excellent binding affinities to SERT (in the nM or sub-nM range), while their affinities to the two other monoamine transporters, DAT and NET, are generally lower. Up to this point, most of the reported structure-activity studies of biphenylthiols have focused on the 4- or 5- position of the 2-aminophenyl ring (ring A) (Chart 1). The effects of adding substitution groups on phenyl ring B has not been fully explored. We developed the 4'-2-fluoroethoxy or 4'-3-fluoropropoxy substituted derivatives in order to provide a simple site for ¹⁸F labeling. We have demonstrated that the substitution groups described in this paper successfully preserved SERT binding affinity and the respective derivatives showed selective SERT binding with favorable *in vivo* kinetics in the hypothalamus of the rat brain. We were surprised to find that biodistribution was greatly improved, resulting in better SERT localization (higher target to non-target, ie, hypothalamus/cerebellum ratios) as well as the opportunity to fine-tune the retention time in the brain. The changes in the *in vivo* pharmacokinetics were novel and unexpected. This is the first time that these types of 4'-2-fluoroethoxy or 4'-3-fluoropropoxy substituted biphenylthiol derivatives have been prepared as PET imaging agents targeting SERT binding sites.

It is important to balance between the brain uptake and washout out rates for SERT binding sites in the brain and selectivity between SERT and NET binding sites. It appears that the 5-H ring A substituted compounds, [¹⁸F]**28** and **40**, showed the best kinetic properties. Even

though these two 5-H ring A substituted compounds, [¹⁸F]**28** and **40**, displayed reasonable binding affinities to SERT, they displayed a lower selectivity between SERT and NET ($K_i = 0.25$ and 1.4 nM for SERT and 7.5 and 12 nM for NET, respectively). We are currently carrying out additional studies of the *in vivo* binding selectivity by using PET imaging studies in rats. Initial data suggests that the binding in the brain is reversible and the hypothalamus uptake can be selectively displaced by a challenge dose of IDAM during imaging studies of SERT binding sites in the rat brain (data not shown).

Recently, we have reported two other novel ligands with a 4'-substitution (iodo or methoxy group) on the phenyl ring B of biphenylthiol: 5-chloro-2-(2'-((dimethylamino) methyl)-4'-iodophenylthio)benzenamine and 2-(2'-((dimethylamino) methyl)-4'-methoxyphenylthio)-5-iodobenzene as potential serotonin transporter (SERT) imaging agents.⁴⁵ These new iodinated ligands also displayed extremely high binding affinities to SERT ($K_i = 0.22 \pm 0.09$ and 0.11 ± 0.04 nM, respectively), with very low binding affinities to DAT and NET. They showed good brain uptakes and prolonged retention after *iv* injection in rats. Significantly, they also showed excellent uptake and prolonged retention in the hypothalamus where the SERT concentration is the highest. The hypothalamus/cerebellum ratios for the latter ¹²⁵I labeled agents were 3.97, 5.57 and 5.06 at 1, 2 and 4 hr, respectively. Adding the 4'-iodo- group to phenyl ring B appeared to reduce the rate of clearance from the brain, and the kinetics favored uptake and retention in the hypothalamus. These observations are very consistent with what we have observed for the 4'-2-fluoroethoxy and 4'-3-fluoropropoxy derivatives reported in this paper. More recently, an abstract was presented describing the synthesis and testing of [¹¹C] 5-hydroxymethyl and 4'-bromo derivatives of the same core structure as SERT imaging agent.⁵⁰ The results also confirm our observation that substitution at the 4'-position on the B ring in general changes the *in vivo* kinetics of SERT binding in the brain.

In conclusion, seven novel biphenylthiol derivatives, [¹⁸F] **28**, **29**, **30**, **31**, **40**, **41** and **42**, were prepared and tested as serotonin transporter imaging agents for PET. These new ligands have a 4'-2-fluoroethoxy or 4'-3-fluoropropoxy substitution group on phenyl ring B which maintains the binding affinity and selectivity. These substitutions also dramatically changed the kinetics of *in vivo* biodistribution and improved the hypothalamus uptake and retention, a desirable property for a PET imaging agent. These novel ligands, especially the 5-H ring A substituted compounds, [¹⁸F]**28** and **40**, showed excellent kinetic properties. Considering this, we are confident that these ligands and their analogs with similar substitutions would be good potential candidates for studying serotonin transporters with *in vivo* PET imaging.

Experimental Section

I. Chemistry

General Procedure (A) for the Preparation of Thiodiarylbenzoic acid—To a solution of 2-amino-5-methoxybenzoic acid (**6**) (2.5 g, 15 mmol) in 1.6 mL of 50% sodium hydroxide, water (22 mL) and NaNO₂ (1.03 g, 15 mmol) were slowly added. The resulting mixture was poured into a mixture of concentrated HCl (5 mL) and 7 g of ice with external cooling with salt/ice. The mixture was stirred at 0°C for 1 h and neutralized by an addition of potassium acetate (~1–2 g). The mixture was slowly added with vigorous stirring to a solution of *O*-ethylxanthic acid, potassium salt (7 g, 43.7 mmol) in 25 mL water at 80 °C. The temperature was maintained at 80 °C during the addition and stirring was continued until the evolution of N₂ gas had subsided. After cooled down to room temperature by external cooling by an ice bath, the mixture was acidified by an addition of concentrated HCl and extracted by dichloromethane (20 mL × 2) under argon atmosphere. The organic layer was dried with sodium sulfate under argon and the solvent was removed *in vacuo*. The crude product, 2-mercapto-5-methoxybenzoic acid (**7**), was quickly dissolved in 20 ml of hot ethanol and added to a mixture of nitrobenzene (**8–11**) (16.5 mmol) and sodium ethoxide (0.76 g sodium in 35 mL

ethanol). The resulting solution was heated to reflux for 2 hrs. After cooling, the solvent was removed *in vacuo* and water was added to the residue. The solution was acidified by an addition of concentrated HCl and extracted with ethyl acetate. The combined organic layers were washed with water and dried with sodium sulfate. The solvent was removed *in vacuo*. The residue was purified by silica gel column (ethylacetate/methanol 10%) to yellow a solid.

5-Methoxy-2-(2-nitrophenylthio)-benzoic acid (12) was prepared from **8** as a yellow solid in 77% yield according to general procedure A. $^1\text{H NMR}$ (CD_3OD) δ 8.16 (dd, $J = 8.0$ Hz, 1.5 Hz, 1H), 7.53 (d, $J = 8.5$ Hz, 1H), 7.45 (d, $J = 2.9$ Hz, 1H), 7.43-7.37 (m, 1H), 7.32-7.24 (m, 1H), 7.17 (dd, $J = 8.5$ Hz, 2.9 Hz, 1H), 6.90 (dd, $J = 8.0$ Hz, 1.4 Hz, 1H), 3.90 (s, 3H). HRMS calcd for $\text{C}_{14}\text{H}_{11}\text{NO}_5\text{SNa}$ [$\text{M}^+ + \text{Na}$] 328.0256, obsd 328.0253.

2-(4-Fluoro-2-nitrophenylthio)-5-methoxybenzoic acid (13). Crude material was used for the amidation reaction.

2-(4-Chloro-2-nitrophenylthio)-5-methoxybenzoic acid (14) was prepared from **10** as a yellow solid in 57% yield according to general procedure A. $^1\text{H NMR}$ (CD_3OD) δ 8.17 (d, $J = 1.9$ Hz, 1H), 7.57-7.50 (m, 2H), 7.31 (d, $J = 2.0$ Hz, 1H), 7.11 (dd, $J = 5.9$ Hz, 2.7 Hz, 1H), 6.79 (d, $J = 8.7$ Hz, 1H), 3.90 (s, 3H).

2-(4-Bromo-2-nitrophenylthio)-5-methoxybenzoic acid 15 was prepared from **11** as a yellow solid in 55% yield according to general procedure A. $^1\text{H NMR}$ (CDCl_3) δ 13.4 (s, 1H), 8.39 (s, 1H), 7.81 (d, $J = 8.8$ Hz, 1H), 7.59 (d, $J = 8.6$ Hz, 1H), 7.44 (d, $J = 2$ Hz, 1H), 7.26 (dd, $J = 8.6$ Hz, $J = 2$ Hz, 1H), 6.86 (d, $J = 8.8$ Hz, 1H), 3.91 (s, 3H).

General Procedure (B) for the Preparation of Amides—A solution of benzoic acid (**12–15**) (5.2 mmol) and thionyl chloride (1.0 mL, 14 mmol) in chloroform (50 mL) was heated to reflux for 2 h. The solvent and excess thionyl chloride were removed *in vacuo*. The residue was dissolved in chloroform (20 mL) and added to the 2M solution of dimethylamine in tetrahydrofuran (10 mL) at 0 °C. The mixture was stirred at room temperature for 3 hrs. The solvent was removed and the residue was dissolved in ethyl acetate and washed with water and brine. The organic layer was passed through a short pad of silica gel eluting with ethyl acetate to give the products as yellow oils.

5-Methoxy-2-(2-nitrophenylthio)-*N,N*-dimethylbenzamide (16) was prepared from **12** as a yellow oil in 71% yield according to general procedure B. $^1\text{H NMR}$ (CDCl_3) δ 8.18 (dd, $J = 8.2$ Hz, 1.5 Hz, 1H), 7.5 (d, $J = 8.5$ Hz, 1H), 7.41-7.32 (m, 1H), 7.23-7.14 (m, 1H), 7.02-6.93 (m, 3H), 3.88 (s, 3H), 3.02 (s, 3H), 2.86 (s, 3H). HRMS calcd for $\text{C}_{16}\text{H}_{16}\text{N}_2\text{NaO}_4\text{S}$ [$\text{M}^+ + \text{Na}$] 355.0728, obsd 355.0738.

2-(4-Fluoro-2-nitrophenylthio)-5-methoxy-*N,N*-dimethylbenzamide (17) was prepared from **13** as a yellow oil in 70% yield according to general procedure B. $^1\text{H NMR}$ (CDCl_3) δ 7.90 (dd, $J = 8.5$ Hz, 2.7 Hz, 1H), 7.49 (d, $J = 8.5$ Hz, 1H), 7.17-7.08 (m, 1H), 7.07-6.90 (m, 3H), 3.88 (s, 3H), 3.03 (s, 3H), 2.86 (s, 3H). HRMS calcd for $\text{C}_{16}\text{H}_{15}\text{FN}_2\text{NaO}_4\text{S}$ [$\text{M}^+ + \text{Na}$] 373.0634, obsd 373.0625.

2-(4-Chloro-2-nitrophenylthio)-5-methoxy-*N,N*-dimethylbenzamide (18) was prepared from **14** as a yellow oil in 72% yield according to general procedure B. $^1\text{H NMR}$ (CDCl_3) δ 8.17 (d, $J = 2.1$ Hz, 1H), 7.49 (d, $J = 8.5$ Hz, 1H), 7.32 (m, 1H), 7.03-6.86 (m, 3H), 3.88 (s, 3H), 3.03 (s, 3H), 2.86 (s, 3H). HRMS calcd for $\text{C}_{16}\text{H}_{15}\text{ClN}_2\text{NaO}_4\text{S}$ [$\text{M}^+ + \text{Na}$] 389.0339, obsd 389.0359.

2-(4-Bromo-2-nitrophenylthio)-5-methoxy-*N,N*-dimethylbenzamide (19) was prepared from **15** as a yellow oil in 68% yield according to general procedure B. $^1\text{H NMR}$ (CDCl_3) δ

8.31 (d, $J = 2.2$ Hz, 1H), 7.39–7.50 (m, 2H), 6.92–7.02 (m, 2H), 6.80 (d, $J = 8.8$ Hz, 1H), 3.87 (s, 3H), 3.02 (s, 3H), 2.85 (s, 3H).

General Procedure (C) for the Deprotection of Methoxy Group—A solution of (**16–19**) (0.78 mmol) in dichloromethane (3.0 mL) was added with the 1.0 M solution of BBr_3 in dichloromethane (1.1 mL). The mixture was irradiated with microwaves at 100°C for 20 min and washed with water (2 mL). The organic layer was dried (Na_2SO_4) and purified by silica gel column eluting with either ethyl acetate or methanol/dichloromethane (1/19) to yield yellow oil. Alternatively, a solution of amide was added with 1.0 M solution of BBr_3 in dichloromethane at -78°C and the temperature was raised slowly to room temperature and stirred for overnight. The workup described earlier gave the product as yellow oil.

5-Hydroxy-2-(2-nitrophenylthio)-*N,N*-dimethylbenzamide (20) was prepared from **16** as a yellow oil in 85% yield according to general procedure C (eluting with ethyl acetate). ^1H NMR (CDCl_3) δ 9.01 (s, 1H), 8.19 (dd, $J = 8.2$ Hz, 1.5 Hz, 1H), 7.48–7.36 (m, 2H), 7.22–7.18 (m, 1H), 6.96–6.91 (m, 2H), 6.85 (dd, $J = 8.4$ Hz, 2.7 Hz, 1H), 3.06 (s, 3H), 2.90 (s, 3H). HRMS calcd for $\text{C}_{15}\text{H}_{15}\text{N}_2\text{O}_4\text{S}$ [$\text{M}^+ + \text{H}$] 319.0753, obsd 319.0761.

2-(4-Fluoro-2-nitrophenylthio)-5-hydroxy-*N,N*-dimethylbenzamide (21) was prepared from **17** as a yellow oil in 83% yield according to general procedure C (eluting with methanol/dichloromethane mixture). ^1H NMR (CD_3OD) δ 7.97 (dd, $J = 8.5$ Hz, 2.7 Hz, 1H), 7.51 (d, $J = 8.5$ Hz, 1H), 7.35–7.26 (m, 1H), 7.06–6.97 (m, 2H), 6.84 (d, $J = 2.6$ Hz, 1H), 3.00 (s, 3H), 2.85 (s, 3H). HRMS calcd for $\text{C}_{15}\text{H}_{14}\text{FN}_2\text{O}_4\text{S}$ [$\text{M}^+ + \text{H}$] 337.0658, obsd 337.0663.

2-(4-Chloro-2-nitrophenylthio)-5-hydroxy-*N,N*-dimethylbenzamide (22) was prepared from **18** as a yellow oil in 80% yield according to general procedure C (eluting with methanol/dichloromethane mixture). ^1H NMR (CD_3OD) δ 8.21 (d, $J = 2.3$ Hz, 1H), 7.53–7.45 (m, 2H), 7.03–6.94 (m, 2H), 6.85 (d, $J = 2.6$ Hz, 1H), 3.00 (s, 3H), 2.86 (s, 3H).

2-(4-Bromo-2-nitrophenylthio)-5-hydroxy-*N,N*-dimethylbenzamide (23) was prepared from **19** as a yellow oil in 93% yield according to general procedure C (eluting with methanol/dichloromethane mixture). ^1H NMR ($\text{CDCl}_3/\text{CD}_3\text{OD}$ 10%) δ 8.23 (d, $J = 2.2$ Hz, 1H), 7.38 (dd, $J = 8.4$ Hz, 2.2 Hz, 1H), 7.28 (d, $J = 8.4$ Hz, 1H), 6.83 (dd, $J = 8.4$ Hz, 2.6 Hz, 1H), 6.70–6.75 (m, 2H), 2.91 (s, 3H), 2.77 (s, 3H).

General Procedure (D) for the Alkylation with 1-bromo-2-fluoroethane—A solution of (**20–23**) (0.126 mmol) and 1-bromo-2-fluoroethane (25 mg, 0.197 mmol) in DMF (2 mL) was added with potassium carbonate (400 mg, 2.9 mmol). The mixture was irradiated with microwaves at 150°C for 15 min and added to water (10 mL) and extracted with ethyl acetate (5 mL \times 2). The combined organic layers were dried (Na_2SO_4) and purified by silica gel column (ethyl acetate or methanol/dichloromethane 1/19) to yield yellow oil.

5-(2-Fluoroethoxy)-2-(2-nitrophenylthio)-*N,N*-dimethylbenzamide (24) was prepared from **20** as a yellow oil in 95% yield according to general procedure D (eluting with ethyl acetate). ^1H NMR (CDCl_3) δ 8.18 (dd, $J = 8.2$ Hz, 1.5 Hz, 1H), 7.51 (d, $J = 8.5$ Hz, 1H), 7.40–7.32 (m, 1H), 7.20 (m, 1H), 7.04–6.93 (m, 3H), 4.77 (dt, $J = 47.4$ Hz, 4.0 Hz, 2H), 4.28 (dt, $J = 27.5$ Hz, 4.0 Hz, 2H), 3.03 (s, 3H), 2.86 (s, 3H). HRMS calcd for $\text{C}_{17}\text{H}_{18}\text{FN}_2\text{O}_4\text{S}$ [$\text{M}^+ + \text{H}$] 365.0971, obsd 365.0982.

5-(2-Fluoroethoxy)-2-(4-fluoro-2-nitrophenylthio)-*N,N*-dimethylbenzamide (25) was prepared from **21** as a yellow oil in 91% yield according to general procedure D (eluting with ethyl acetate). ^1H NMR (CDCl_3) δ 7.90 (dd, $J = 8.5$ Hz, 2.7 Hz, 1H), 7.51 (d, $J = 8.5$ Hz, 1H),

7.18–6.84 (m, 4H), 4.79 (dt, $J = 47.3$ Hz, 4.0 Hz, 2H), 4.28 (dt, $J = 27.6$ Hz, 4.0 Hz, 2H), 3.03 (s, 3H), 2.86 (s, 3H). HRMS calcd for $C_{17}H_{17}F_2N_2O_4S$ [$M^+ + H$] 383.0877, obsd 383.0858.

2-(4-Chloro-2-nitrophenylthio)-5-(2-fluoroethoxy)-*N,N*-dimethylbenzamide (26) was prepared from **22** as a yellow oil in 96% yield according to general procedure D (eluting with methanol/dichloromethane mixture). 1H NMR ($CDCl_3$) δ 8.18 (d, $J = 2.3$ Hz, 1H), 7.50 (d, $J = 8.5$ Hz, 1H), 7.32 (dd, $J = 8.8$ Hz, 2.3 Hz, 1H), 7.04 (dd, $J = 8.5$ Hz, 2.8 Hz, 1H), 6.96 (d, $J = 2.7$ Hz, 1H), 6.88 (d, $J = 8.8$ Hz, 1H), 4.79 (dt, $J = 47.5$ Hz, 4.0 Hz, 2H), 4.29 (dt, $J = 27.6$ Hz, 4.0 Hz, 2H), 3.02 (s, 3H), 2.86 (s, 3H). HRMS calcd for $C_{17}H_{17}ClFN_2O_4S$ [$M^+ + H$] 399.0582, obsd 399.0564.

2-(4-Bromo-2-nitrophenylthio)-5-(2-fluoroethoxy)-*N,N*-dimethylbenzamide (27) was prepared from **23** as a yellow oil in 89% yield according to general procedure D (eluting with methanol/dichloromethane mixture). 1H NMR ($CDCl_3$) δ 8.32 (d, $J = 2.1$ Hz, 1H), 7.50 (d, $J = 8.6$ Hz, 1H), 7.44 (dd, $J = 8.6$ Hz, 2.1 Hz, 1H), 7.03 (dd, $J = 8.4$ Hz, 2.6 Hz, 1H), 6.95 (d, $J = 2.6$ Hz, 1H), 6.80 (d, $J = 8.6$ Hz, 1H), 4.79 (dt, $J = 47.4$ Hz, 4.05 Hz, 2H), 4.30 (dt, $J = 27.6$ Hz, 4.0 Hz, 2H), 3.02 (s, 3H), 2.85 (s, 3H).

General Procedure (E) for Simultaneously Reduction of Nitro and Amide Groups

—To a solution of (**24–27**), (**37–39**) and other starting materials having both nitro and amide groups (0.1 mmol) in THF at 0°C, 1.0 M BH_3 -THF (10–15 equivalent) was added. The mixture was heated to reflux for 4.5–5 h. After cooled down, 0.5 mL concentrated HCl was cautiously added and the solvent was removed *in vacuo*. Water (5 mL) was added to the residue and the mixture was heated to reflux for 30 min. To the mixture 1N NaOH was added to adjust the pH to basic (pH 10–11) and it was extracted with ethyl acetate (5 mL x 2). The organic layer was dried (Na_2SO_4) and purified by silica gel column (2% methanol in dichloromethane to 5% methanol in dichloromethane).

2-(2-((Dimethylamino)methyl)-4-(2-fluoroethoxy)phenylthio)benzenamine (28) was prepared from **24** as a colorless oil in 63% yield according to general procedure E. 1H NMR ($CDCl_3$) δ 7.38 (dd, $J = 7.7$ Hz, 1.5 Hz, 1H), 7.19–7.11 (m, 1H), 6.96–6.92 (m, 2H), 6.72–6.66 (m, 3H), 4.71 (dt, $J = 47.4$ Hz, 4.0 Hz, 2H), 4.51 (brs, 2H), 4.17 (dt, $J = 27.8$ Hz, 4.0 Hz, 2H), 3.57 (s, 2H), 2.30 (s, 6H). HRMS calcd for $C_{17}H_{22}FN_2OS$ [$M^+ + H$] 321.1437, obsd 321.1442; HPLC >98%.

2-(2-((Dimethylamino)methyl)-4-(2-fluoroethoxy)phenylthio)-5-fluoro-benzen amine (29) was prepared from **25** as a colorless oil in 62% yield according to general procedure E. 1H NMR ($CDCl_3$) δ 7.45–7.38 (m, 1H), 6.91 (d, $J = 8.6$ Hz, 1H), 6.86 (d, $J = 2.6$ Hz, 1H), 6.69 (dd, $J = 8.6$ Hz, 2.8 Hz, 1H), 6.45–6.36 (m, 2H), 4.85 (brs, 2H), 4.71 (dt, $J = 47.2$ Hz, 4.1 Hz, 2H), 4.16 (dt, $J = 27.7$ Hz, 4.0 Hz, 2H), 3.53 (s, 2H), 2.30 (s, 6H). HRMS calcd for $C_{17}H_{21}F_2N_2OS$ [$M^+ + H$] 339.1343, obsd 339.1342; HPLC >98%.

5-Chloro-2-(2-((Dimethylamino)methyl)-4-(2-fluoroethoxy)phenylthio)benzen amine (30) was prepared from **26** as a colorless oil in 52% yield according to general procedure E. 1H NMR ($CDCl_3$) δ 7.33 (d, $J = 8.8$ Hz, 1H), 6.95 (d, $J = 8.8$ Hz, 1H), 6.87 (d, $J = 2.8$ Hz), 6.73–6.62 (m, 3H), 4.72 (dt, $J = 47.3$ Hz, 4.1 Hz, 2H), 4.16 (dt, $J = 27.8$ Hz, 4.0 Hz, 2H), 3.52 (s, 2H), 2.29 (s, 6H). HRMS calcd for $C_{17}H_{21}ClFN_2OS$ [$M^+ + H$] 355.1047, obsd 355.1054; HPLC >96%.

5-Bromo-2-(2-((Dimethylamino)methyl)-4-(2-fluoroethoxy)phenylthio)benzen amine (31) was prepared from **27** as a colorless oil in 51% yield according to general procedure E. 1H NMR ($CDCl_3$) δ 7.23 (d, $J = 8.0$ Hz, 1H), 6.65–6.97 (m, 5H), 4.74 (br s, 2H), 4.73 (dt

$J = 47.2$ Hz, 4.0 Hz, 2H), 4.14 (dt $J = 27.8$ Hz, 4.0 Hz, 2H), 3.53 (s, 2H), 2.33 (s, 6H); HRMS calcd for $C_{17}H_{20}BrFN_2OS$ [M^+] 398.0464, obsd 398.0457; HPLC >98%.

2-(2-((Dimethylamino)methyl)-4-(3-fluoropropoxy)phenylthio)benzenamine (40) was prepared from **37** as a colorless oil in 54% yield according to general procedure E. 1H NMR ($CDCl_3$) δ 7.38 (dd, $J = 8.0$ Hz, 1.7 Hz, 1H), 7.19-7.10 (m, 1H), 6.94 (d, $J = 8.6$ Hz, 1H), 6.88 (d, $J = 2.7$ Hz, 1H), 6.72 (m, 1H), 6.69-6.63 (m, 2H), 4.62 (dt, $J = 47.0$ Hz, 5.8 Hz, 2H), 4.50 (brs, 2H), 4.05 (t, $J = 6.1$ Hz, 2H), 3.54 (s, 2H), 2.30 (s, 6H), 2.13 (dt, $J = 26.0$ Hz, 5.9 Hz, 2H). HRMS calcd for $C_{18}H_{24}FN_2OS$ [$M^+ + H$] 335.1593, obsd 335.1590; HPLC >99%.

2-(2-((Dimethylamino)methyl)-4-(3-fluoropropoxy)phenylthio)-5-fluorobenzene namine (41) was prepared from **38** as a colorless oil in 57% yield according to general procedure E. 1H NMR ($CDCl_3$) δ 7.45-7.37 (m, 1H), 6.91 (d, $J = 8.6$ Hz, 1H), 6.82 (d, $J = 2.7$ Hz, 1H), 6.67 (dd, $J = 8.6$ Hz, 2.7 Hz, 1H), 6.45-6.35 (m, 2H), 4.83 (brs, 2H), 4.62 (dt, $J = 47.0$ Hz, 5.8 Hz, 2H), 4.04 (t, $J = 6.1$ Hz, 2H), 3.53 (s, 2H), 2.30 (s, 6H), 2.13 (dt, $J = 26.0$ Hz, 6.0 Hz, 2H). HRMS calcd for $C_{18}H_{23}F_2N_2OS$ [$M^+ + H$] 353.1499, obsd 353.1494; HPLC >98%.

5-Bromo-2-(2-((dimethylamino)methyl)-4-(3-fluoropropoxy)phenylthio)benzene namine (42) was prepared from **39** as a colorless oil in 39% yield according to general procedure E. 1H NMR ($CDCl_3/CD_3OD$) δ 7.34 (d, $J = 2.2$ Hz, 1H), 7.08 (d, $J = 8.6$ Hz, 1H), 6.90-6.79 (m, 3H) 6.72 (dd, $J = 8.6$, 1.4 Hz, 1H), 4.55 (dt, $J = 44$ Hz, 5.8 Hz 2H), 4.35 (s, 2H), 4.09 (t, $J = 6.1$ Hz, 2H), 2.79 (s, 6H), 2.19-2.00 (m, 2H). HRMS calcd for $C_{18}H_{23}BrFN_2OS$ [$M^+ + H$] 413.0698, obsd 413.0705; HPLC >95%.

2-(2-((Dimethylamino)methyl)-4-(methoxy)phenylthio)benzenamine (43) was prepared from **16** as a colorless oil in 61% yield according to general procedure E. 1H NMR ($CDCl_3$) δ 7.38 (dd, $J = 8.0$ Hz, 1.7 Hz, 1H), 7.19-7.10 (m, 1H), 6.95 (d, $J = 8.5$ Hz, 1H), 6.87 (d, $J = 2.7$ Hz, 1H), 6.72-6.64 (m, 3H), 4.53 (brs, 2H), 3.76 (s, 3H), 3.55 (s, 2H), 2.30 (s, 6H). HRMS calcd for $C_{16}H_{21}N_2OS$ [$M^+ + H$] 289.1375, obsd 289.1376; HPLC >98%.

4-(2-Aminophenylthio)-3-dimethylaminomethylphenol (44) was prepared from **20** as a colorless oil in 57% yield according to general procedure E. 1H NMR ($CDCl_3$) δ 7.32 (dd, $J = 8.0$ Hz, 1.6 Hz, 1H), 7.18-7.10 (m, 1H), 6.89 (d, $J = 8.5$ Hz, 1H), 6.81 (d, $J = 2.7$ Hz, 1H), 6.71 (d, $J = 7.6$ Hz, 2H), 6.58 (dd, $J = 8.5$ Hz, 2.8 Hz, 1H), 4.29 (brs, 2H), 3.58 (s, 2H), 2.32 (s, 6H). HRMS calcd for $C_{15}H_{19}N_2OS$ [$M^+ + H$] 275.1218, obsd 275.1211; HPLC >97%.

2-(4-(2-Aminophenylthio)-3-dimethylaminomethylphenoxy)ethanol (47) was prepared from **45** as a colorless oil in 50% yield according to general procedure E. 1H NMR ($CDCl_3$) δ 7.39 (dd, $J = 8.0$ Hz, 1.6 Hz, 1H), 7.19-7.11 (m, 1H), 6.96-6.90 (m, 2H), 6.73-6.65 (m, 3H), 4.51 (brs, 2H), 4.06-4.01 (m, 2H), 3.94-3.90 (m, 2H), 3.54 (s, 2H), 2.30 (s, 6H). HRMS calcd for $C_{17}H_{23}N_2O_2S$ [$M^+ + H$] 319.1480, obsd 319.1479; HPLC >97%.

2-(4-(2-Amino-4-fluoro-phenylthio)-3-dimethylaminomethylphenoxy)ethanol (48) was prepared from **46** as a colorless oil in 47% yield according to general procedure E. 1H NMR ($CDCl_3$) δ 7.45-7.37 (m, 1H), 6.94-6.87 (m, 2H), 6.69 (dd, $J = 8.5$ Hz, 2.7 Hz, 1H), 6.44-6.36 (m, 2H), 4.81 (brs, 2H), 4.04 (m, 2H), 3.93 (m, 2H), 3.54 (s, 2H), 2.30 (s, 6H). HRMS calcd for $C_{17}H_{22}F_2N_2O_2S$ [$M^+ + H$] 337.1386, obsd 337.1401; HPLC >95%.

3-(4-(2-Aminophenylthio)-3-dimethylaminomethylphenoxy)-1-propanol (49) was prepared from **32** as a colorless oil in 49% yield according to general procedure E. 1H NMR ($CDCl_3$) δ 7.37 (dd, $J = 8.0$ Hz, 1.6 Hz, 1H), 7.19-7.11 (m, 1H), 6.96-6.89 (m, 2H), 6.72-6.60 (m, 3H), 4.52 (brs, 2H), 4.08 (t, $J = 5.9$ Hz, 2H), 3.84 (t, $J = 5.9$ Hz, 2H), 3.56 (s, 2H), 2.30 (s, 6H), 2.01 (quintet, $J = 5.9$ Hz, 2H). HPLC >96%.

3-(4-(2-Amino-4-bromophenylthio)-3-((dimethylamino)methyl)phenoxy)prop an-1-ol (50) was prepared from **34** as a colorless oil in 70% yield according to general procedure E. $^1\text{H NMR}$ ($\text{CDCl}_3/\text{CD}_3\text{OD}$) δ 7.23 (m, 1H), 6.95 (d, $J = 8.6$ Hz, 1H), 6.87-6.66 (m, 4H) 4.8 (br s, 2H), 4.08 (t, $J = 6.0$ Hz 2H), 3.84 (t, $J = 6.0$ Hz, 2H), 3.54 (s, 2H), 2.30 (s, 6H), 2.07-1.95 (m, 2H).

General Procedure (F) for Alkylation with Bromoethanol and Bromopropanol—

A solution of (**20–23**) (0.239 mmol) and 2-bromoethanol or 3-bromopropanol (0.32 mmol) in DMF (10 mL) was added with potassium carbonate (80 mg). The mixture was heated at 100°C over night and added to water (50 mL) and extracted with ethyl acetate (20 mL \times 2). The organic layer was dried (Na_2SO_4) and purified by silica gel column (ethyl acetate) to yield yellow oil. Alternatively, the above mixture was irradiated with microwaves at 150°C for 15 min followed by the work-up described earlier gave the desired product.

5-(3-Hydroxypropoxy)-2-(2-nitrophenylthio)-*N,N*-dimethylbenzamide (32) was prepared from **20** as a yellow oil in 87% yield according to general procedure F. $^1\text{H NMR}$ (CDCl_3) δ 8.18 (dd, $J = 8.2$ Hz, 1.5 Hz, 1H), 7.49 (d, $J = 8.5$ Hz, 1H), 7.40-7.32 (m, 1H), 7.23-7.15 (m, 1H), 7.03-6.93 (m, 3H), 4.19 (t, $J = 6.0$ Hz, 2H), 3.88 (m, 2H), 3.02 (s, 3H), 2.86 (s, 3H), 2.08 (m, 2H). HRMS calcd for $\text{C}_{18}\text{H}_{21}\text{N}_2\text{O}_5\text{S}$ [$\text{M}^+ + \text{H}$] 377.1171, obsd 377.1156.

2-(4-Fluoro-2-nitrophenylthio)-5-(3-hydroxypropoxy)-*N,N*-dimethylbenzamide (33) was prepared from **21** as a yellow oil in 85% yield according to general procedure F. $^1\text{H NMR}$ (CDCl_3) δ 7.90 (dd, $J = 8.4$ Hz, 2.7 Hz, 1H), 7.49 (d, $J = 8.5$ Hz, 1H), 7.13-7.08 (m, 1H), 7.03-6.90 (m, 3H), 4.18 (t, $J = 6.0$ Hz, 2H), 3.87 (m, 2H), 3.02 (s, 3H), 2.86 (s, 3H), 2.07 (m, 2H). HRMS calcd for $\text{C}_{18}\text{H}_{20}\text{FN}_2\text{O}_5\text{S}$ [$\text{M}^+ + \text{H}$] 395.1077, obsd 395.1051.

2-(4-Bromo-2-nitrophenylthio)-5-(3-hydroxypropoxy)-*NN*, -dimethylbenzamide (34) was prepared from **23** as a yellow oil in 70% yield according to general procedure F. $^1\text{H NMR}$ (CDCl_3) δ 8.31 (d, $J = 2.2$ Hz, 1H), 7.49-7.42 (m, 2H), 7.01-6.93 (m, 2H), 6.80 (d, $J = 8.4$ Hz, 1H), 4.21 (t, $J = 5.6$ Hz 2H), 3.87 (dd, $J = 5.8, 5.6$ Hz, 2H), 3.01 (s, 3H), 2.85 (s, 3H).

5-(2-Hydroxyethoxy)-2-(2-nitrophenylthio)-*N,N*-dimethylbenzamide (45) was prepared from **20** as a yellow oil in 94% yield according to general procedure F. $^1\text{H NMR}$ (CDCl_3) δ 8.18 (dd, $J = 8.2$ Hz, 1.4 Hz, 1H), 7.50 (d, $J = 8.5$ Hz, 1H), 7.40-7.32 (m, 1H), 7.19-7.15 (m, 1H), 7.01 (dd, $J = 8.5$ Hz, 2.8 Hz, 1H), 6.96-6.92 (m, 2H), 4.17-4.10 (m, 2H), 4.03-3.93 (m, 2H), 3.02 (s, 3H), 2.86 (s, 3H). HRMS calcd for $\text{C}_{15}\text{H}_{19}\text{N}_2\text{O}_5\text{S}$ [$\text{M}^+ + \text{H}$] 363.1015, obsd 363.1045.

2-(4-Fluoro-2-nitrophenylthio)-5-(2-hydroxyethoxy)-*N,N*-dimethylbenzamide (46) was prepared from **21** as a yellow oil in 93% yield according to general procedure F. $^1\text{H NMR}$ (CDCl_3) δ 7.90 (dd, $J = 8.2$ Hz, 2.6 Hz, 1H), 7.50 (d, $J = 8.5$ Hz, 1H), 7.18-7.08 (m, 1H), 7.05-6.90 (m, 3H), 4.15 (m, 2H), 4.01 (m, 2H), 3.03 (s, 3H), 2.88 (s, 3H). HRMS calcd for $\text{C}_{17}\text{H}_{18}\text{FN}_2\text{O}_5\text{S}$ [$\text{M}^+ + \text{H}$] 381.0920, obsd 381.0912.

2-(4-Chloro-2-nitrophenylthio)-5-(2-hydroxyethoxy)-*N,N*-dimethylbenzamide (51) was prepared from **22** as a yellow oil in 89% yield according to general procedure F. $^1\text{H NMR}$ (CDCl_3) δ 8.17 (d, $J = 2.1$ Hz, 1H), 7.50 (d, $J = 8.5$ Hz, 1H), 7.31 (dd, $J = 8.5$ Hz, 2.2 Hz, 1H), 7.03 (dd, $J = 8.5$ Hz, 2.7 Hz, 1H), 6.95 (d, $J = 2.4$ Hz, 1H), 6.88 (d, $J = 8.8$ Hz, 1H), 4.17-4.13 (m, 2H), 4.01-3.99 (m, 2H), 3.02 (s, 3H), 2.86 (s, 3H).

2-(4-Bromo-2-nitrophenylthio)-5-(2-hydroxyethoxy)-*N,N*-dimethylbenzamide (52) was prepared from **23** as a yellow oil in 76% yield according to general procedure F. $^1\text{H NMR}$ (CDCl_3) δ 8.31 (d, $J = 2.2$ Hz, 1H), 7.49 (d, $J = 8.7$ Hz, 1H), 7.65 (dd, $J = 8.7$ Hz, 2.2 Hz, 1H),

7.02 (dd, $J = 8.7$ Hz, 2.8 Hz, 1H), 6.95 (d, $J = 2.8$ Hz, 1H), 8.81 (d, $J = 8.7$ Hz, 1H), 4.15 (m, 2H), 3.99 (m, 2H), 3.02 (s, 3H), 2.85 (s, 3H).

General Procedure (G) for Selective Reduction of Amide Group—To a solution of amides (**45-52** and **32-34**) (0.18 mmol) in THF (10 mL) at 0°C, 1.0 M BH_3 -THF (5 equivalent) was added. The mixture was heated to reflux for 1.5-2 hrs. To the mixture 0.5 mL concentrated HCl was cautiously added and the solvent was removed *in vacuo*. Water (5 mL) was added to the residue and the mixture was heated to reflux 30 min. To the mixture 1N NaOH was added to adjust the pH to basic (pH 10) and extracted with ethyl acetate (5 ml \times 2). The organic layer was dried (Na_2SO_4) and purified by silica gel column (2% methanol in dichloromethane to 5% methanol in dichloromethane) to provide the product as yellow oil.

2-(3-(Dimethylamino)methyl)-(2-nitrophenylthio)phenoxy)ethanol (53) was prepared from **45** as a pale yellow oil in 70% yield according to general procedure G. ^1H NMR (CDCl_3) δ 8.25 (dd, $J = 8.0$ Hz, 1.6 Hz, 1H), 7.49 (d, $J = 8.5$ Hz, 1H), 7.34-7.30 (m, 2H), 7.22-7.14 (m, 1H), 6.90 (dd, $J = 8.5$ Hz, 2.8 Hz, 1H), 6.66 (dd, $J = 8.0$ Hz, 1.4 Hz, 1H), 4.18 (t, $J = 4.0$ Hz, 2H), 4.00 (t, $J = 4.0$ Hz, 2H), 3.50 (s, 2H), 2.19 (s, 6H). HRMS calcd for $\text{C}_{17}\text{H}_{21}\text{N}_2\text{O}_4\text{S}$ [$\text{M}^+ + \text{H}$] 349.1222, obsd 349.1215.

2-(3-(Dimethylamino)methyl)-(4-fluoro-2-nitrophenylthio)phenoxy)ethanol (54) was prepared from **46** as a pale yellow oil in 69% yield according to general procedure G. ^1H NMR (CDCl_3) δ 7.97 (dd, $J = 8.5$ Hz, 2.7 Hz, 1H), 7.48 (d, $J = 8.5$ Hz, 1H), 7.29 (d, $J = 2.7$ Hz, 1H), 7.11-7.02 (m, 1H), 6.91 (dd, $J = 8.5$ Hz, 2.7 Hz, 1H), 6.64 (m, 1H), 4.18 (t, $J = 4.0$ Hz, 2H), 4.00 (t, $J = 4.0$ Hz, 2H), 3.48 (s, 2H), 2.18 (s, 6H). HRMS calcd for $\text{C}_{17}\text{H}_{20}\text{FN}_2\text{O}_4\text{S}$ [$\text{M}^+ + \text{H}$] 367.1128, obsd 367.1121.

2-(4-Chloro-2-nitrophenylthio)-3-((dimethylamino)methyl)phenoxy)ethanol (55) was prepared from **51** as a pale yellow oil in 66% yield according to general procedure G. ^1H NMR (CDCl_3) δ 8.24 (d, $J = 2.3$ Hz, 1H), 7.47 (d, $J = 8.5$ Hz, 1H), 7.29 (d, $J = 2.3$ Hz, 1H), 7.23 (d, $J = 2.3$ Hz, 1H), 6.91 (dd, $J = 8.5$ Hz, 2.8 Hz, 1H), 6.59 (d, $J = 8.8$ Hz, 1H), 4.17 (m, 2H), 4.00 (m, 2H), 3.47 (s, 3H), 2.18 (s, 3H). HRMS calcd for $\text{C}_{17}\text{H}_{20}\text{ClN}_2\text{O}_4\text{S}$ [$\text{M}^+ + \text{H}$] 383.0832, obsd 383.0817.

2-(4-Bromo-2-nitrophenylthio)-3-((dimethylamino)methyl)phenoxy)ethanol (56) was prepared from **52** as a pale yellow oil in 71% yield according to general procedure G. ^1H NMR (CDCl_3) δ 8.38 (d, $J = 2.2$ Hz, 1H), 7.60 (d, $J = 8.6$ Hz, 1H), 7.38 (dd, $J = 8.6$ Hz, 2.2 Hz, 1H), 7.29 (d, $J = 2.8$ Hz, 1H), 6.91 (dd, $J = 8.8$ Hz, 2.8 Hz, 1H), 6.52 (d, $J = 8.8$ Hz, 1H), 4.17 (t, $J = 4.3$ Hz, 2H), 4.00 (t, $J = 4.3$ Hz, 2H), 3.47 (s, 2H), 2.23 (s, 6H).

3-(3-(Dimethylamino)methyl)-(2-nitrophenylthio)phenoxy)propanol (61) was prepared from **32** as a pale yellow oil in 61% yield according to general procedure G. ^1H NMR (CDCl_3) δ 8.25 (dd, $J = 8.0$ Hz, 1.5 Hz, 1H), 7.48 (d, $J = 8.5$ Hz, 1H), 7.34-7.29 (m, 2H), 7.22-7.11 (m, 1H), 6.89 (dd, $J = 8.5$ Hz, 2.8 Hz, 1H), 6.66 (dd, $J = 8.0$ Hz, 1.2 Hz, 1H), 4.21 (t, $J = 6.0$ Hz, 2H), 3.89 (t, $J = 5.9$ Hz, 2H), 3.49 (s, 2H), 2.19 (s, 6H), 2.08 (quintet, $J = 6.0$ Hz, 2H). HRMS calcd for $\text{C}_{18}\text{H}_{23}\text{N}_2\text{O}_4\text{S}$ [$\text{M}^+ + \text{H}$] 363.1379, obsd 363.1406.

3-(3-(Dimethylamino)methyl)-(4-fluoro-2-nitrophenylthio)phenoxy)propanol (62) was prepared from **33** as a pale yellow oil in 63% yield according to general procedure G. ^1H NMR (CDCl_3) δ 7.97 (dd, $J = 8.4$ Hz, 2.7 Hz, 1H), 7.47 (d, $J = 8.4$ Hz, 1H), 7.27 (m, 1H), 7.12-7.02 (m, 1H), 6.89 (dd, $J = 8.5$ Hz, 2.8 Hz, 1H), 6.65 (dd, $J = 9.0$ Hz, 5.2 Hz, 1H), 4.21 (t, $J = 6.0$ Hz, 2H), 3.90 (t, $J = 5.9$ Hz, 2H), 3.47 (s, 2H), 2.18 (s, 6H), 2.08 (quintet, $J = 5.9$ Hz, 2H). HRMS calcd for $\text{C}_{18}\text{H}_{22}\text{FN}_2\text{O}_4\text{S}$ [$\text{M}^+ + \text{H}$] 381.1284, obsd 381.1262.

3-(4-(4-Bromo-2-nitrophenylthio)-3-((dimethylamino)methyl)phenoxy)propa n-1-ol (63) was prepared from **34** as a pale yellow oil in 73% yield according to general procedure G. ¹H NMR (CDCl₃/CD₃OD) δ 8.37 (d, *J* = 2.2 Hz, 1H), 7.50 (d, *J* = 8.5 Hz, 1H), 7.38 (dd, *J* = 8.5 Hz, 2.2 Hz, 2H), 7.28 (d, *J* = 3.0 Hz, 1H), 6.89 (dd, *J* = 8.8, 3.0 Hz, 1H), 6.52 (d, *J* = 8.8 Hz, 1H), 4.20 (t, *J* = 6.0 Hz 2H), 3.86 (t, *J* = 6.0 Hz, 2H), 3.47 (s, 2H), 2.18 (s, 6H), 2.14-2.05 (m, 2H).

General Procedure (H) for Mesylation—To the solution of (**32–33**, **53–56** and **61–63**) (0.11 mmol) in dichloromethane (5 mL) and triethylamine (45 mg, 0.44 mmol), methanesulfonyl chloride (30 mg, 0.26 mmol) was added. The solution was stirred at room temperature for 2h and washed with water (2 mL) and brine (2 mL). The organic layer was dried (Na₂SO₄) and solvent was removed *in vacuo*. Purified by silica gel column (dichloromethane/methanol 19/1) to give product as yellow oils.

3-(3-(Dimethylcarbamoyl)-4-(2-nitrophenylthio)phenoxy)propyl methanesulfonate (35) was prepared from **32** as a yellow oil in 88% yield according to general procedure H. ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ 8.18 (dd, *J* = 8.2 Hz, 1.4 Hz, 1H), 7.50 (d, *J* = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 7.41-7.32 (m, 1H), 7.23-7.15 (m, 1H), 7.02-6.93 (m, 3H), 4.46 (t, *J* = 6.0 Hz, 2H), 4.16 (t, *J* = 5.8 Hz, 2H), 3.04 (s, 3H), 3.02 (s, 3H), 2.86 (s, 3H), 2.28 (quintet, *J* = 5.9 Hz, 2H).

3-(3-(Dimethylcarbamoyl)-4-(4-fluoro-2-nitrophenylthio)phenoxy)propyl methanesulfonate (36) was prepared from **33** as a yellow oil in 85% yield according to general procedure H. ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ 7.90 (dd, *J* = 8.4 Hz, 2.7 Hz, 1H), 7.50 (d, *J* = 8.5 Hz, 1H), 7.18-7.09 (m, 1H), 7.01-6.90 (s, 3H), 4.47 (t, *J* = 6.0 Hz, 2H), 4.16 (t, *J* = 5.8 Hz, 2H), 3.03 (s, 3H), 3.02 (s, 3H), 2.86 (s, 3H), 2.27 (quintet, *J* = 6.0 Hz, 2H).

2-(3-((Dimethylamino)methyl)-(2-nitrophenylthio)phenoxy)ethyl methanesulfonate (57) was prepared from **53** as a yellow oil in 94% yield according to general procedure H. ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ 8.25 (dd, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 1.5 Hz, 1H), 7.50 (d, *J* = 8.5 Hz, 1H), 7.35-7.27 (m, 2H), 7.22-7.17 (m, 1H), 6.89 (dd, *J* = 8.5 Hz, 2.9 Hz, 1H), 6.65 (dd, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 1.3 Hz, 1H), 4.63-4.59 (m, 2H), 4.36-4.31 (m, 2H), 3.50 (s, 2H), 3.12 (s, 3H), 2.19 (s, 6H).

3-((Dimethylamino)methyl-4-(4-fluoro-2-nitrophenylthio)phenoxy)ethyl methanesulfonate (58) was prepared from **54** as a yellow oil in 93% yield according to general procedure H. ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ 7.97 (dd, *J* = 8.5 Hz, 2.7 Hz, 1H), 7.48 (d, *J* = 8.5 Hz, 1H), 7.29 (d, *J* = 2.7 Hz, 1H), 7.13-7.03 (m, 1H), 6.89 (dd, *J* = 8.5 Hz, 2.7 Hz, 1H), 6.61 (dd, *J* = 9.0 Hz, 5.2 Hz, 1H), 4.60 (t, *J* = 4.0 Hz, 2H), 4.33 (t, *J* = 4.0 Hz, 2H), 3.47 (s, 2H), 3.12 (s, 3H), 2.17 (s, 6H). HRMS calcd for C₁₈H₂₂FN₂O₆S₂ [M⁺ + H] 445.0903, obsd 445.0920.

2-(4-(4-Chloro-2-nitrophenylthio)-3-((dimethylamino)methyl)phenoxy)ethyl methanesulfonate (59) was prepared from **55** as a yellow oil in 93% yield according to general procedure H. ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ 8.24 (d, *J* = 2.2 Hz, 1H), 7.48 (d, *J* = 8.5 Hz, 1H), 7.29 (d, *J* = 2.3 Hz, 1H), 7.23 (d, *J* = 2.3 Hz, 1H), 6.89 (dd, *J* = 8.5 Hz, 2.6 Hz, 1H), 6.58 (d, *J* = 8.7 Hz, 1H), 4.61 (m, 2H), 4.33 (m, 2H), 3.47 (s, 2H), 3.12 (s, 3H), 2.18 (s, 6H). HRMS calcd for C₁₈H₂₂ClN₂O₆S₂ [M⁺ + H] 461.0608, obsd 461.0641.

2-(4-(4-Bromo-2-nitrophenylthio)-3-((dimethylamino)methyl)phenoxy)ethyl methanesulfonate (60) was prepared from **56** as a yellow oil in 70% yield according to general procedure H. ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ 8.38 (d, *J* = 2.2 Hz, 1H), 7.48 (d, *J* = 8.5 Hz, 1H), 7.38 (dd, *J* = 8.5 Hz, 2.2 Hz, 1H), 7.29 (d, *J* = 2.8 Hz, 1H), 6.89 (dd, *J* = 8.6 Hz, 2.8 Hz, 1H), 6.51 (d, *J* = 8.6 Hz, 1H), 4.60 (t, *J* = 4.5 Hz, 2H), 4.35 (t, *J* = 4.5 Hz, 2H), 3.48 (s, 2H), 3.11 (s, 3H), 2.18 (s, 6H).

2-(3-Dimethylamino)methyl-(2-nitrophenylthio)phenoxypropylmethanesulfonate (64) was prepared from **61** as a yellow oil in 90% yield according to general procedure H. $^1\text{H NMR}$ (CDCl_3) δ 8.25 (dd, $J = 8.0$ Hz, 1.5 Hz, 1H), 7.48 (d, $J = 8.5$ Hz, 1H), 7.35-7.14 (m, 3H), 6.88 (dd, $J = 8.5$ Hz, 2.8 Hz, 1H), 6.66 (dd, $J = 8.0$ Hz, 1.1 Hz, 1H), 4.48 (t, $J = 6.1$ Hz, 2H), 4.18 (t, $J = 5.8$ Hz, 2H), 3.49 (s, 2H), 3.03 (s, 3H), 2.27 (quintet, $J = 6.0$ Hz, 2H), 2.19 (s, 6H).

2-(4-(3-(Dimethylamino)-4-Fluoro-2-nitrophenylthio)phenoxy)propylmethanesulfonate (65) was prepared from **62** as a yellow oil in 87% yield according to general procedure H. $^1\text{H NMR}$ (CDCl_3) δ 7.97 (dd, $J = 8.4$ Hz, 2.7 Hz, 1H), 7.47 (d, $J = 8.5$ Hz, 1H), 7.27 (m, 1H), 7.12-7.03 (m, 1H), 6.88 (dd, $J = 8.5$ Hz, 2.7 Hz, 1H), 6.69-6.62 (m, 1H), 4.48 (t, $J = 6.0$ Hz, 2H), 4.18 (t, $J = 5.8$ Hz, 2H), 3.47 (s, 2H), 3.03 (s, 3H), 2.27 (quintet, $J = 5.9$ Hz, 2H), 2.18 (s, 6H). HRMS calcd for $\text{C}_{19}\text{H}_{24}\text{FN}_2\text{O}_6\text{S}_2$ [M^+H] 459.1080, obsd 459.1066.

3-(4-(4-Bromo-2-nitrophenylthio)-3-((dimethylamino)methyl)phenoxy)propylmethanesulfonate (66) was prepared from **63** as a yellow oil in 85% yield according to general procedure H. $^1\text{H NMR}$ ($\text{CDCl}_3/\text{CD}_3\text{OD}$) δ 8.37 (d, $J = 2.2$ Hz, 1H), 7.47 (d, $J = 8.8$ Hz, 1H), 7.39 (dd, $J = 8.8$ Hz, 2.2 Hz, 2H), 7.28 (br s, 1H), 6.88 (dd, $J = 8.8$, 2.8 Hz, 1H), 6.52 (d, $J = 8.8$ Hz, 1H), 4.47 (t, $J = 6.0$ Hz, 2H), 4.17 (t, $J = 6.0$ Hz, 2H), 3.56 (s, 2H), 3.00 (s, 3H), 2.32-2.18 (m, 2H), 2.10 (s, 6H).

General Procedure (I) for Fluorination Reaction—A mixture of mesylates (0.14 mmol), 1.0 M TBAF in THF (5 equiv., 0.7 mL) and THF (1.3 mL) were irradiated under microwave at 150 °C for 15 min. The solvents were evaporated *in vacuo* and diluted with 20 mL of dichloromethane, washed with 2N HCl. The organic layer was dried (Na_2SO_4) and solvent was removed. The crude mixture was purified by silica gel chromatography (1/30 methanol/dichloromethane) to give products as yellow oil.

5-(3-Fluoropropoxy)-2-(2-nitrophenylthio)-*N,N*-dimethylbenzamide (37) was prepared from **35** as a pale yellow oil in 71% yield according to general procedure I. $^1\text{HNMR}$ (CDCl_3) δ 8.18 (dd, $J = 8.2$ Hz, 1.4 Hz, 1H), 7.50 (d, $J = 8.4$ Hz, 1H), 7.40-7.32 (m, 1H), 7.25-7.10 (m, 1H), 7.02-6.93 (m, 3H), 4.66 (dt, $J = 47.0$ Hz, 5.7 Hz, 2H), 4.17 (t, $J = 6.0$ Hz, 2H), 3.02 (s, 3H), 2.86 (s, 3H), 2.21 (dt, $J = 26.2$ Hz, 5.9 Hz, 2H). HRMS calcd for $\text{C}_{18}\text{H}_{20}\text{FN}_2\text{O}_4\text{S}_2$ [M^+H] 379.1128, obsd 379.1112.

2-(4-Fluoro-2-nitrophenylthio)-5-(3-fluoropropoxy)-*N,N*-dimethylbenzamide (38) was prepared from **36** as a pale yellow oil in 67% yield according to general procedure I. $^1\text{H NMR}$ (CDCl_3) δ 7.90 (dd, $J = 8.3$ Hz, 2.6 Hz, 1H), 7.49 (d, $J = 8.5$ Hz, 1H), 7.18-7.08 (m, 1H), 7.02-6.90 (m, 3H), 4.66 (dt, $J = 47.0$ Hz, 5.7 Hz, 2H), 4.17 (t, $J = 6.0$ Hz, 2H), 3.03 (s, 3H), 2.86 (s, 3H), 2.21 (dt, $J = 26.2$ Hz, 5.9 Hz, 2H). HRMS calcd for $\text{C}_{18}\text{H}_{19}\text{F}_2\text{N}_2\text{O}_4\text{S}_2$ [M^+H] 397.1034, obsd 397.1036.

2-(4-Bromo-2-nitrophenylthio)-5-(3-fluoropropoxy)-*NN*, -dimethylbenzamide (39). To a solution of **34** (15 mg, 0.033 mmol) in dichloromethane (1 mL), DAST (10 mg) was added at -78 °C. The mixture was slowly warmed up to rt (1 h). The mixture was directly placed on preparatory TLC plate (silica gel) for purification. (dichloromethane/ethyl acetate 1/1). Colorless oil (12 mg, 80%); $^1\text{H NMR}$ (CDCl_3): δ 8.31 (d, $J = 2.2$ Hz, 1H), 7.48 (d, $J = 8.6$ Hz, 1H), 7.05-6.89 (m, 2H), 6.80 (d, $J = 8.6$ Hz, 1H), 4.65 (dt, $J = 47.0$ Hz, 5.7 Hz, 2H), 4.16 (t, $J = 6.0$ Hz, 2H), 3.10 (s, 3H), 2.85 (s, 3H), 2.33-2.03 (m, 2H).

II. Radiochemistry

General Procedure for F-18 radiolabeling ([^{18}F]28–31, 40–42)—[^{18}F]Fluoride was produced by a cyclotron using $^{18}\text{O}(\text{p},\text{n})^{18}\text{F}$ reaction and passed through a Sep-Pak Light QMA cartridge as an aqueous solution in [^{18}O]-enriched water. The cartridge was dried by airflow,

and the ^{18}F activity was eluted with 2 mL of Kryptofix 222 (K222)/ K_2CO_3 solution (13.2 mg of K222 and 3.0 mg of K_2CO_3 in $\text{CH}_3\text{CN}/\text{H}_2\text{O}$ 1.12/0.18). The solvent was removed at 100°C under an argon stream. The residue was azeotropically dried with 1 mL of anhydrous CH_3CN twice at 100°C under an argon stream. A solution of mesylate precursor (**57–60**, **64–66**) (2 to 3 mg) in DMSO (0.3 mL) was added to the reaction vessel containing the dried ^{18}F activities. The mixture was heated at 100°C for 3 min. Water (5 mL) was added and the mixture was passed through preconditioned Waters OASIS[®] HLB (3 cc) cartridge. The cartridge was washed with water (10 mL) and labeled compound was eluted with ethanol (2 mL). To the ethanol solution, 0.5 ml 2N HCl and SnCl_2 (50 mg) was added and the mixture was heated at 80°C for 4 to 6 min. Water (5 mL) was added and the mixture was passed through preconditioned Waters OASIS^{reg}: HLB (3 cc) cartridge. The cartridge was washed with water (20 mL) and labeled compound was eluted with CH_3CN (2 mL). Eluted compound was purified by HPLC. [Phenomenex Gemini C-18 semi-prep column (10×250 mm, 5 micron), $\text{CH}_3\text{CN}/$ ammonium formate buffer (50 mM) 6/4, flow rate 4 mL/min. $t_R = 6$ to 15 min]. To determine radiochemical purity (RCP) and specific activity, analytical HPLC was used [Phenomenex Gemini C18 analytical column (4.6×250 mm, 5 micron), $\text{CH}_3\text{CN}/$ ammonium formate buffer (10 mM) 8/2; Flow rate 1 mL/min; $t_R = 4.0$ to 6.5 min. Specific activity was estimated by comparing UV peak intensity of purified F-18 labeled compound with reference non-radioactive compound of known concentration.

III. Pharmacology

***In vitro* binding assays**—Membrane homogenates, containing one of the three monoamine transporters (referred to as LLC-DAT, LLC-NET, and LLC-SERT, which were expressed in a common parental cell line LLC-PK₁) were prepared and used for the binding assays.⁵¹ Competitive binding assays were performed in a final volume of 0.5 mL. Aliquots of membrane suspensions (100 μL , corresponding to 30–80 μg protein) were mixed with 50 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.4, 120 mM NaCl, 5 mM KCl and 0.1% bovine serum albumin, radioligand (either 0.06 nM [^{125}I]N-(3'-iodopropen-2'-yl)-2 beta-carbomethoxy-3 beta-(4-chlorophenyl) tropane (DAT ligand)⁵², 0.09 nM [^{125}I] 5-iodo-2-[[2-2-[(dimethyl amino)methyl]phenyl]thio]benzyl alcohol (SERT ligand)⁵³ or 0.06 nM [^{125}I] iodo-nisoxetine (NET ligand)⁴⁹, and 8–10 concentrations (10^{-10} to 10^{-5}M) of competing drugs. Nonspecific binding was defined with 2.5 μM (+)McN5652 for SERT, 1.9 μM GBR-12909 for DAT, and 3.2 μM nisoxetine for NET assays. Incubation was carried out for 1 hr at room temperature, and the bound ligand was collected on glass fiber filters presoaked with 1% polyethylenimine (Sigma, St. Louis, MO) and counted in a gamma counter (Packard 5000, Downers Grove, IL). Results of competition experiments were subjected to nonlinear regression analysis using MS excel.

Biodistribution in rats—Three rats per group were used for each biodistribution study. While under isoflurane anesthesia, 0.2 ml of sterile water with 1 mg/mL BSA and 10–100 μCi of radioactive tracer was injected into the femoral vein. The rats were sacrificed at the time indicated cervical dislocation while under anesthesia. Organs of interest were removed and weighed and the radioactivity was counted. The percent dose per organ was calculated by comparing the tissue counts to counts of 1% of the initial dose (aliquots of the injected material diluted 100 times) measured at the same time. Samples from different brain regions [cortex (CX), striatum (ST), hippocampus (HP), cerebellum (CB) and hypothalamus (HY)] were dissected, weighed and counted. The percentage dose/g of each sample was calculated by comparing sample counts with the counts of the diluted initial dose as described above. The ratio of specific binding in each region was obtained by dividing percentage dose/g of each region by that of the cerebellum [region/CB]. The cerebellum (CB) was used as a background region because it contains relatively few serotonin transporters. The standard deviation for the target to non-target ratios was calculated by the following equation: $(\text{Mean}_1/\text{Mean}_2) \times [(\text{SD}_1/\text{Mean}_1)^2 + (\text{SD}_2/\text{Mean}_2)^2]^{1/2}$.

In vivo competitive binding in the regional uptake of [¹⁸F]42 was investigated by pretreating animals respectively with competing drugs (2 mg/kg, each, *iv* at 5 min prior to injection of a tracer dose of [¹⁸F]42). The competing drugs included GBR-12909, nisoxetine and (+) McN5652. Regional brain distributions were determined at 120 min after [¹⁸F]42 injection as described above. The reduction of regional specific binding in the drug-pretreated rats was compared to that of control animals that had been pretreated with saline (data not shown).

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by a grant awarded from the National Institutes of Health (R01-MH068782, H.F.K.). The authors thank Dr. Carita C. Huang for her editorial assistance.


References

1. Owens MJ. Selectivity of antidepressants: from the monoamine hypothesis of depression to the SSRI revolution and beyond. *J Clin Psychiatry* 2004;4:5–10. [PubMed: 15046536]
2. Baghai TC, Moller HJ, Rupprecht R. Recent progress in pharmacological and non-pharmacological treatment options of major depression. *Curr Pharm Des* 2006;12:503–15. [PubMed: 16472142]
3. Holtzheimer PE 3rd, Nemeroff CB. Advances in the treatment of depression. *NeuroRx* 2006;3:42–56. [PubMed: 16490412]
4. Nemeroff CB. The burden of severe depression: A review of diagnostic challenges and treatment alternatives. *J Psychiatr Res.* 2006
5. Meyer JH, Wilson AA, Ginovart N, Goulding V, Hussey D, Hood K, Houle S. Occupancy of serotonin transporters by paroxetine and citalopram during treatment of depression: a [¹¹C]DASB PET imaging study. *Am J Psychiatry* 2001;158:1843–1849. [PubMed: 11691690]
6. Ginovart N, Wilson AA, Meyer JH, Hussey D, Houle S. [¹¹C]-DASB a tool for *in vivo* measurement of SSRI-induced occupancy of the serotonin transporter: PET characterization and evaluation in cats. *Synapse* 2003;47:123–133. [PubMed: 12454950]
7. Suhara T, Takano A, Sudo Y, Ichimiya T, Inoue M, Yasuno F, Ikoma Y, Okubo Y. High levels of serotonin transporter occupancy with low-dose clomipramine in comparative occupancy study with fluvoxamine using positron emission tomography. *Arch Gen Psychiatry* 2003;60:386–391. [PubMed: 12695316]
8. Kim JS, Ichise M, Sangare J, Innis RB. PET Imaging of Serotonin Transporters with [¹¹C]DASB: Test-Retest Reproducibility Using a Multilinear Reference Tissue Parametric Imaging Method. *J Nucl Med* 2006;47:208–214. [PubMed: 16455625]
9. Frankle WG, Narendran R, Huang Y, Hwang DR, Lombardo I, Cangianno C, Gil R, Laruelle M, Abi-Dargham A. Serotonin transporter availability in patients with schizophrenia: a positron emission tomography imaging study with [¹¹C]DASB. *Biol Psychiatry* 2005;57:1510–6. [PubMed: 15953487]
10. Parsey RV, Kent JM, Oquendo MA, Richards MC, Prata M, Cooper TB, Arango V, Mann JJ. Acute occupancy of brain serotonin transporter by sertraline as measured by [¹¹C]DASB and positron emission tomography. *Biol Psychiatry* 2006;59:821–8. [PubMed: 16213473]
11. Guilloteau D, Chalon S. PET and SPECT exploration of central monoaminergic transporters for the development of new drugs and treatments in brain disorders. *Curr Pharm Des* 2005;11:3237–45. [PubMed: 16250852]
12. Parsey RV, Hastings RS, Oquendo MA, Huang YY, Simpson N, Arcement J, Huang Y, Ogden RT, Van Heertum RL, Arango V, Mann JJ. Lower serotonin transporter binding potential in the human brain during major depressive episodes. *Am J Psychiatry* 2006;163:52–8. [PubMed: 16390889]
13. Binder EB, Holsboer F. Pharmacogenomics and antidepressant drugs. *Ann Med* 2006;38:82–94. [PubMed: 16581694]


14. Yoshida K, Takahashi H, Higuchi H, Kamata M, Ito K, Sato K, Naito S, Shimizu T, Itoh K, Inoue K, Suzuki T, Nemeroff CB. Prediction of antidepressant response to milnacipran by norepinephrine transporter gene polymorphisms. *Am J Psychiatry* 2004;161:1575–80. [PubMed: 15337646]
15. Nemeroff CB, Mayberg HS, Krahl SE, McNamara J, Frazer A, Henry TR, George MS, Charney DS, Brannan SK. VNS therapy in treatment-resistant depression: clinical evidence and putative neurobiological mechanisms. *Neuropsychopharmacology* 2006;31:1345–55. [PubMed: 16641939]
16. Mathis CA, Biegon A, Taylor SE, Enas JD, Hanrahan SM. [I-125]5-Iodo-6-nitro-2-piperazinylquinoline: a potent and selective ligand for the serotonin uptake complex. *Eur J Pharmacol* 1992;210:103–104. [PubMed: 1534764]
17. Mathis CA, Taylor SE, Biegon A, Enas JD. [¹²⁵I]5-Iodo-6-nitroquipazine: a potent and selective ligand for the 5-hydroxytryptamine uptake complex I in vitro studies. *Brain Res* 1993;619:229–235. [PubMed: 8374781]
18. Jagust WJ, Eberling JL, Biegon A, Taylor SE, VanBrocklin HF, Jordan S, Hanrahan SM, Roberts JA, Brennan KM, Mathis CA. Iodine-123-5-iodo-6-nitroquipazine: SPECT radiotracer to image the serotonin transporter. *J Nucl Med* 1996;37:1207–1214. [PubMed: 8965200]
19. Karramkam M, Dolle F, Valette H, Besret L, Bramouille Y, Hinnen F, Vaufrey F, Franklin C, Bourg S, Coulon C. Synthesis of a fluorine-18-labelled derivative of 6-nitroquipazine, as a radioligand for the *In vivo* serotonin transporter imaging with PET. *Bioorg Med Chem* 2002;10:2611–2623. [PubMed: 12057650]
20. Scheffel U, Szabo Z, Mathews WB, Finley PA, Dannals RF, Ravert HT, Szabo K, Yuan J, Ricaurte GA. *In vivo* detection of short- and long-term MDMA neurotoxicity—a positron emission tomography study in the living baboon brain. *Synapse* 1998;29:183–92. [PubMed: 9593108]
21. Parsey RV, Kegeles LS, Hwang DR, Simpson N, Abi-Dargham A, Mawlawi O, Slifstein M, Van Heertum RL, Mann JJ, Laruelle M. *In vivo* quantification of brain serotonin transporters in humans using [¹¹C]McN 5652. *J Nucl Med* 2000;41:1465–77. [PubMed: 10994724]
22. Scheffel U, Dannals RF, Cline EJ, Ricaurte GA, Carroll FI, Abraham P, Lewin AH, Kuhar MJ. [^{123/125}I]RTI-55 and an *in vivo* label for the serotonin transporter. *Synapse* 1992;11:134–139. [PubMed: 1385663]
23. Laruelle MA, Giddings SS, Zea-Ponce Y, Charney DS, Neumeier JL, Baldwin RM, Innis RB. Methyl 3beta-(4-[¹²⁵I]iodophenyl)tropane-2beta-carboxylate *in vitro* binding to dopamine and serotonin transporters under “physiological” conditions. *J Neurochem* 1994;62:978–986. [PubMed: 8113817]
24. Bergstrom KA, Halldin C, Hall H, Lundkvist C, Ginovart N, Swahn CG, Farde L. *In vitro* and *in vivo* characterisation of nor-beta-CIT: a potential radioligand for visualisation of the serotonin transporter in the brain. *Eur J Nucl Med* 1997;24:596–601. [PubMed: 9169564]
25. Stehouwer JS, Plisson C, Jarkas N, Zeng F, Voll RJ, Williams L, Martarello L, Votaw JR, Tamagnan G, Goodman MM. Synthesis, radiosynthesis, and biological evaluation of carbon-11 and fluorine-18 (N-fluoroalkyl) labeled 2beta-carbomethoxy-3beta-(4'-(3-furyl)phenyl)tropanes and -nortropanes: candidate radioligands for *in vivo* imaging of the serotonin transporter with positron emission tomography. *J Med Chem* 2005;48:7080–3. [PubMed: 16250668]
26. Plisson C, Jarkas N, McConathy J, Voll RJ, Votaw J, Williams L, Howell LL, Kilts CD, Goodman MM. Evaluation of carbon-11-labeled 2beta-carbomethoxy-3beta-[4'-(Z)-2-iodoethenyl]phenyl] nortropane as a potential radioligand for imaging the serotonin transporter by PET. *J Med Chem* 2006;49:942–6. [PubMed: 16451060]
27. Wilson AA, Ginovart N, Schmidt M, Meyer JH, Threlkeld PG, Houle S. Novel radiotracers for imaging the serotonin transporter by positron emission tomography: synthesis, radiosynthesis, and *in vitro* and *ex vivo* evaluation of ¹¹C-labeled 2-(phenylthio)araalkylamines. *J Med Chem* 2000;43:3103–10. [PubMed: 10956218]
28. Vercouillie J, Mavel S, Galineau L, Ragusa T, Innis R, Kassiou M, Chalon S, Dolle F, Besnard JC, Guilloteau D, Emond P. Synthesis and *in vitro* evaluation of novel derivatives of diphenylsulfide as serotonin transporter ligands. *Bioorg Med Chem Lett* 2006;16:1297–300. [PubMed: 16337793]
29. Zhang S, Zhen J, Reith ME, Dutta AK. Design, synthesis, and preliminary SAR study of 3- and 6-side-chain-extended tetrahydro-pyran analogues of cis- and trans-(6-benzhydryl-tetrahydropyran-3-yl)-benzylamine. *Bioorg Med Chem*. 2006

30. Zessin J, Deuther-Conrad W, Kretschmar M, Wust F, Pawelke B, Brust P, Steinbach J, Bergmann R. [11C]SMe-ADAM, an imaging agent for the brain serotonin transporter: synthesis pharmacological characterization and microPET studies in rats. *Nucl Med Biol* 2006;33:53–63. [PubMed: 16459259]
31. Jarkas N, Votaw JR, Voll RJ, Williams L, Camp VM, Owens MJ, Purselle DC, Bremner JD, Kilts CD, Nemeroff CB, Goodman MM. Carbon-11 HOMADAM: a novel PET radiotracer for imaging serotonin transporters. *Nucl Med Biol* 2005;32:211–24. [PubMed: 15820756]
32. Jarkas N, McConathy J, Votaw JR, Voll RJ, Malveaux E, Camp VM, Williams L, Goodman RR, Kilts CD, Goodman MM. Synthesis and characterization of EADAM: a selective radioligand for mapping the brain serotonin transporters by positron emission tomography. *Nucl Med Biol* 2005;32:75–86. [PubMed: 15691664]
33. Huang Y, Bae SA, Zhu Z, Guo N, Roth BL, Laruelle M. Fluorinated diaryl sulfides as serotonin transporter ligands: synthesis, structure-activity relationship study, and in vivo evaluation of fluorine-18-labeled compounds as PET imaging agents. *J Med Chem* 2005;48:2559–70. [PubMed: 15801845]
34. Oya S, Kung MP, Acton PD, Mu M, Hou C, Kung H. A new single-photon emission computed tomography imaging agent for serotonin transporters: [¹²³I] IDAM, 5-Iodo-2-((2-((dimethylamino)methyl)phenyl)thio)benzyl alcohol. *J Med Chem* 1999;42:333–335. [PubMed: 9986702]
35. Oya S, Choi SR, Hou C, Mu M, Kung MP, Acton PD, Siciliano M, Kung HF. 2-((2-((Dimethylamino)methyl)phenyl)thio)-5-iodophenylamine (ADAM): An Improved Serotonin Transporter Ligand. *J Med Biol* 2000;27:249–254.
36. Oya S, Choi SR, Coenen H, Kung HF. New PET Imaging Agent for the Serotonin Transporter: [¹⁸F]ACF (2-[(2-Amino-4-chloro-5-fluorophenyl)thio]-N, N-dimethyl-benzenmethanamine). *J Med Chem* 2002;45:4716–4723. [PubMed: 12361398]
37. Newberg AB, Amsterdam JD, Wintering N, Ploessl K, Swanson RL, Shults J, Alavi A. 123I-ADAM binding to serotonin transporters in patients with major depression and healthy controls: a preliminary study. *J Nucl Med* 2005;46:973–7. [PubMed: 15937308]
38. Cannon DM, Ichise M, Fromm SJ, Nugent AC, Rollis D, Gandhi SK, Klaver JM, Charney DS, Manji HK, Drevets WC. Serotonin transporter binding in bipolar disorder assessed using [11C]DASB and positron emission tomography. *Biol Psychiatry* 2006;60:207–17. [PubMed: 16875929]
39. Frankle WG, Slifstein M, Gunn RN, Huang Y, Hwang DR, Darr EA, Narendran R, Abi-Dargham A, Laruelle M. Estimation of serotonin transporter parameters with 11C-DASB in healthy humans: reproducibility and comparison of methods. *J Nucl Med* 2006;47:815–26. [PubMed: 16644752]
40. Meyer JH, Houle S, Sagrati S, Carella A, Hussey DF, Ginovart N, Goulding V, Kennedy J, Wilson AA. Brain serotonin transporter binding potential measured with carbon 11-labeled DASB positron emission tomography: effects of major depressive episodes and severity of dysfunctional attitudes. *Arch Gen Psychiatry* 2004;61:1271–9. [PubMed: 15583118]
41. Huang Y, Narendran R, Bischoff F, Guo N, Zhu Z, Bae SA, Lesage AS, Laruelle M. A positron emission tomography radioligand for the in vivo labeling of metabotropic glutamate 1 receptor: (3-ethyl-2-[11C]methyl-6-quinolinyl)(cis- 4-methoxycyclohexyl)methanone. *J Med Chem* 2005;48:5096–9. [PubMed: 16078827]
42. Shiue GG, Choi SR, Fang P, Hou C, Acton PD, Cardi C, Saffer JR, Greenberg JH, Karp JS, Kung HF, Shiue CY. N, N-dimethyl-2-(2-amino-4-(18F)fluorophenylthio)-benzylamine (4-(18F)-ADAM): an improved PET radioligand for serotonin transporters. *J Nucl Med* 2003;44:1890–7. [PubMed: 14660713]
43. Huang Y, Narendran R, Bae SA, Erritzoe D, Guo N, Zhu Z, Hwang DR, Laruelle M. A PET imaging agent with fast kinetics: synthesis and in vivo evaluation of the serotonin transporter ligand [11C]2-[2-dimethylaminomethylphenylthio]-5-fluorophenylamine ([11C]AFA). *Nucl Med Biol* 2004;31:727–38. [PubMed: 15246363]
44. Shiue GG, Fang P, Shiue CY. Synthesis of N, N-dimethyl-2-(2-amino-4-[18F]fluorophenylthio) benzylamine as a serotonin transporter imaging agent. *Appl Radiat Isot* 2003;58:183–191. [PubMed: 12573317]
45. Oya S, Choi SR, Kung MP, Kung HF. 5-Chloro-2-(2'-((dimethylamino)methyl)-4'-iodophenylthio) benzenamine: a new serotonin transporter ligand. *Nucl Med Biol* 2007;34:129–39. [PubMed: 17307121]


46. Kung HF, Newman S, Choi SR, Oya S, Hou C, Zhuang ZP, Acton PD, Plossl K, Winkler J, Kung MP. 2-(2-(dimethylaminomethyl)phenoxy)-5-iodophenylamine: an improved serotonin transporter imaging agent. *J Med Chem* 2004;47:5258–64. [PubMed: 15456270]
47. Choi SR, Hou C, Oya S, Mu M, Kung MP, Siciliano M, Acton PD, Kung HF. Selective in vitro and in vivo binding of [(125)I]ADAM to serotonin transporters in rat brain. *Synapse* 2000;38:403–12. [PubMed: 11044887]
48. Kung MP, Kung HF. Mass effect of injected dose in small rodent imaging by SPECT and PET. *Nucl Med Biol* 2005;32:673–8. [PubMed: 16243641]
49. Kung MP, Choi SR, Hou C, Zhuang ZP, Foulon C, Kung HF. Selective binding of 2-[125I]iodo-nisoxetine to norepinephrine transporters in the brain. *Nucl Med Biol* 2004;31:533–41. [PubMed: 15219270]
50. Jarkas, N.; Voll, R.J.; Williams, L.; Votaw, JR.; Goodman, MM. 17th International Symposium on Radiopharmaceutical Sciences. Elsevier; Aachen, Germany: 2007. Synthesis and evaluation of a serotonin transporter (SERT) PET imaging agent: 11C-BHOMADAM. Abs # p208.
51. Gu H, Wall SC, Rudnick G. Stable expression of biogenic amine transporters reveals differences in inhibitor sensitivity, kinetics, and ion dependence. *J Biol Chem* 1994;269:7124–7130. [PubMed: 8125921]
52. Kung MP, Essman WD, Frederick D, Meegalla S, Goodman M, Mu M, Lucki I, Kung HF. IPT: a novel iodinated ligand for the CNS dopamine transporter. *Synapse* 1995;20:316–324. [PubMed: 7482291]
53. Kung MP, Hou C, Oya S, Mu M, Acton PD, Kung HF. Characterization of [¹²³I]IDAM as a novel single-photon emission tomography tracer for serotonin transporters. *Eur J Nucl Med* 1999;26:844–53. [PubMed: 10436197]
54. Fang P, Shiue GG, Shimazu T, Greenberg JH, Shiue CY. Synthesis and evaluation of N,N-dimethyl-2-(2-amino-5-[18F]fluorophenylthio)benzylamine (5-[18F]-ADAM) as a serotonin transporter imaging agent. *Appl Radiat Isot* 2004;61:1247–54. [PubMed: 15388117]

**Scheme 1.**Preparation of 2-fluoroethoxy derivatives^a

^aReagents and conditions: (a) 1) NaNO₂, 2) K₂S₂COEt, 3) HCl; (b) Na/EtOH, (c) 1) SOCl₂, 2) (CH₃)₂NH, CHCl₃; (d) 1.0 M BBr₃ in DCM, MW, 100 °C or -78 °C - rt, 8h; (e) 1-bromo-2-fluoroethane, DMF, K₂CO₃, MW; (f) BH₃-THF, THF, 4-5 h.





**Scheme 2.**Preparation of 3-fluoropropoxy derivatives^a

^aReagents and conditions: (a) 3-bromo-1-propanol, K_2CO_3 , DMF, MW, 15 min; (b) MsCl , TEA, DCM, 1 h; (c) TBAF, THF, MW, 20 min; (d) BH_3 -THF:THF, 4–5 h; (e) DAST, DCM, -78°C - rt, 1 h.


**Scheme 3.**

Preparation of 4'-methoxy, 4'-hydroxy, 4'-(2-hydroxyethoxy)- and 4'-(3-hydroxypropoxy)-derivatives^a

^aReagents and conditions: (a) BH_3 -THF, THF, 4–5 h; (b) 2-bromo-1-ethanol, K_2CO_3 , DMF, MW, 150°C, 15 min.


**Scheme 4.**Preparation of O-mesylated precursors and ^{18}F radiolabeling^a

^a Reagents and conditions: (a) 2-bromoethanol, K_2CO_3 , DMF, MW; (b) $\text{BH}_3\text{-THF}$, THF, 1.5 h; (c) methanesulfonyl chloride, TEA, DCM, 1 – 2 h; (d) $\text{K}[^{18}\text{F}]\text{F}$, K222, DMSO; (e) SnCl_2 .

**Chart 1.**

Chemical structures and binding affinities of serotonin transporter ligands (1–5): ^aWilson et al.,^{10,27} ^bChoi et al.,^{35,37,47} ^cShiue et al.,⁴² ^dHuang et al.,³³ ^eOya et al.,³⁶. It is important to note that there are at least two different nomenclatures for the same molecule **3**. Depending on the method for counting the positions on ring A, 5-FADAM (**3**) could also be named as 4-FADAM^{42,43,46,54}.

Table 1
Binding affinities of new biphenylthiols for monoamine transporters*



Compound	R ₁	R ₂	K _i (nM)		
			SERT	NET	DAT
28	H	O(CH ₂) ₂ F	0.25 ± 0.02	7.5 ± 0.7	340 ± 64
29	F	O(CH ₂) ₂ F	0.10 ± 0.01	37 ± 5	>1000
30	Cl	O(CH ₂) ₂ F	0.03 ± 0.001	97 ± 3	847 ± 73
31	Br	O(CH ₂) ₂ F	0.05 ± 0.01	114 ± 8	>1000
40	H	O(CH ₂) ₃ F	1.4 ± 0.2	12 ± 2	299 ± 9
41	F	O(CH ₂) ₃ F	0.95 ± 0.13	95 ± 9	>1000
42	Br	O(CH ₂) ₃ F	0.15 ± 0.02	>1000	>1000
47	H	O(CH ₂) ₂ OH	1.1 ± 0.04	11 ± 1	351 ± 49
48	F	O(CH ₂) ₂ OH	1.2 ± 0.1	56 ± 5	>1000
49	H	O(CH ₂) ₃ OH	1.3 ± 0.3	7 ± 0.2	443 ± 68
50	Br	O(CH ₂) ₃ OH	0.21 ± 0.02	279 ± 25	>1000
43	H	OCH ₃	5 ± 0.4	14 ± 1	576 ± 31
44	H	OH	17 ± 2	33 ± 3	659 ± 38

* *In vitro* binding assays (n = 3) were employed to determine inhibition constants (K_i ± SEM) with membrane preparations of three different groups of LLC-PK₁ cells, each expressing one specific monoamine transporter, either SERT, DAT or NET.⁴⁷

Table 2

Biodistribution of [¹⁸F] labeled SERT tracers were evaluated in rats after an *iv* injection. Brain uptake (% dose/organ), hypothalamus uptake (% dose/g), and hypothalamus to cerebellum ratio are shown.

Brain uptake (% dose/organ)			
Compound	30 min	120 min	
28	1.85 ± 0.15	0.63 ± 0.15	
29	2.23 ± 0.22	2.57 ± 0.18	
30	1.72 ± 0.35	1.46 ± 0.16	
31	1.88 ± 0.18	2.16 ± 0.14	
40	1.23 ± 0.18	0.59 ± 0.10	
41	1.43 ± 0.06	1.32 ± 0.01	
42	1.12 ± 0.06	1.15 ± 0.10	
Hypothalamus uptake (% dose/g)			
Compound	30 min	120 min	
28	1.62 ± 0.10	0.74 ± 0.18	
29	1.76 ± 0.16	2.22 ± 0.28	
30	1.31 ± 0.28	1.19 ± 0.11	
31	1.19 ± 0.10	1.78 ± 0.24	
40	1.22 ± 0.16	0.75 ± 0.18	
41	1.05 ± 0.12	1.09 ± 0.09	
42	0.85 ± 0.08	1.01 ± 0.21	
Hypothalamus to cerebellum ratio			
Compound	30 min	120 min	
28	3.79 ± 0.30	7.83 ± 2.96	
29	2.78 ± 0.32	4.34 ± 0.60	
30	2.36 ± 0.68	3.77 ± 0.40	
31	2.04 ± 0.07	3.70 ± 0.58	
40	3.50 ± 0.67	7.67 ± 2.60	
41	2.66 ± 0.56	4.36 ± 0.45	
42	1.93 ± 0.82	4.17 ± 0.48	