

## In Vitro Activities of a New Des-Fluoro(6) Quinolone, Garenoxacin, against Clinical Anaerobic Bacteria

A. Liebetrau,<sup>1</sup>\* A. C. Rodloff,<sup>1</sup> J. Behra-Miellet,<sup>2</sup> and L. Dubreuil<sup>2</sup>

*Institute of Medical Microbiology and Epidemiology of Infectious Diseases, University of Leipzig, Leipzig, Germany,<sup>1</sup> and Head Department of Microbiology, Faculty of Pharmacy, University of Lille, Lille, France<sup>2</sup>*

Received 11 February 2003/Returned for modification 5 June 2003/Accepted 20 August 2003

The antimicrobial activities of garenoxacin and eight other antibiotics against 641 anaerobic isolates were evaluated with the NCCLS agar dilution method. Overall, the MICs of garenoxacin for 50 and 90% of the strains tested (in micrograms per milliliter) were as follows: *Bacteroides fragilis* group, 0.5 and 2; *Prevotella* spp., 0.25 and 2; *Fusobacterium* spp., 0.25 and 0.5; *Porphyromonas* spp., 0.125 and 0.25; *Bilophila wadsworthia*, 0.5 and 1; *Veillonella* spp., 0.25 and 0.5; *Clostridium* spp., 0.25 and 1; *Clostridium difficile*, 2 and >64; *Bifidobacterium* spp., 1 and 2;  *Eggerthella lenta*, 0.25 and 1; *Propionibacterium* spp., 0.5 and 0.5; gram-positive cocci, 0.125 and 0.25.

Resistance to β-lactams, clindamycin, and metronidazole among anaerobes is increasing worldwide (5, 10, 16, 20, 21, 23). The older quinolones ciprofloxacin and levofloxacin provide only limited activity against many anaerobic species (7, 8, 11, 14, 28, 31). This deficiency has been addressed in the development of newer quinolones such as moxifloxacin or gatifloxacin with significantly improved antianaerobic activity (2, 4, 8, 11, 26, 31).

Garenoxacin is a novel orally and parenterally available des-fluoro(6) quinolone that lacks a fluorine molecule at the C-6 position. It displays a high degree of in vitro activity against a broad range of gram-positive and gram-negative bacterial pathogens, including anaerobes (6, 12, 13, 15, 17, 24, 25, 29, 30).

In this study, the antianaerobic activity of garenoxacin was compared to those of antianaerobic reference drugs and three other fluoroquinolones against 641 anaerobic bacteria isolated from human clinical sources (i.e., blood culture, peritonitis, chronic sinusitis and otitis, lung abscess) during the years 2000 and 2001 at the Department of Microbiology, Faculty of Pharmacy, University of Lille, Lille, France, or the Institute of Medical Microbiology and Epidemiology of Infectious Diseases, University of Leipzig, Leipzig, Germany. All bacteria were identified in accordance with classical methods (18), subcultured, and then frozen in Rosenow medium (Bio-Rad, Marne-la-Coquette, France) or in skim milk (Oxoid, Basingstoke, Hampshire, England) at -70°C until use. Four American Type Culture Collection (ATCC) control strains suggested by the NCCLS (*Bacteroides fragilis* ATCC 25285, *Bacteroides thetaiaomicron* ATCC 29741, *Clostridium perfringens* ATCC 13124, and *Eggerthella lenta* ATCC 43055) were included with each test run; in every case, results were within the control range.

The following antimicrobial agents were obtained as powders of known potency from their respective manufacturers: amoxicillin and clavulanic acid (SmithKline Beecham), imipenem (Merck Sharp & Dohme), clindamycin (Pharmacia),

metronidazole (Sigma), ciprofloxacin and moxifloxacin (Bayer), levofloxacin (Aventis), and garenoxacin (Bristol-Myers Squibb).

Agar dilution susceptibility testing was performed in accordance with NCCLS document M11-A5 (22). Drugs were dissolved as recommended by the manufacturer. Plates contained serial doubling dilutions of each antimicrobial agent. Either clavulanate was added to amoxicillin at a fixed ratio of 1:2 (310 strains tested in Leipzig) as recommended by the NCCLS (22) or MICs were determined in the presence of a fixed clavulanic acid concentration of 2 μg/ml ( $n = 331$ , tested in Lille), as suggested by the French Committee on Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing (1). In addition, French isolates were also tested at amoxicillin-clavulanic acid concentrations of 16 and 8, 8 and 4, 4 and 2, and 2 and 1 μg/ml, respectively. Reading of the MICs was performed after 48 h of incubation in an anaerobic chamber. If at that time bacterial growth was not sufficient as indicated by the control plates, incubation was continued for up to 5 days altogether. The MIC of an antibiotic for an organism was defined as the lowest concentration of an antimicrobial agent yielding no growth or a marked change in growth compared to that on the control plate.

All of the bacterial strains isolated in Lille were examined for β-lactamase production by the nitrocefin disk method.

The distribution of the MICs for the 641 bacterial strains is presented in Tables 1 to 10. At  $\leq 2$  μg/ml, garenoxacin inhibited 93% (597 of 641) of the isolates studied and was the most active of the quinolones tested against anaerobes. Among the *B. fragilis* group isolates, the rate of resistance to clindamycin was 30% in Lille and 13% in Leipzig. All strains of *B. fragilis* with decreased susceptibility to metronidazole were isolated at the Department of Microbiology in Lille. Almost all of the strains of the *B. fragilis* group (96.5%) were resistant to amoxicillin. All 130 *B. fragilis* strains examined in Lille produced β-lactamase. Addition of clavulanate enhanced the activity of amoxicillin against β-lactamase-producing strains. Resistance to imipenem due to carbapenemase production is still rare. It was found in one strain of *B. fragilis* from Lille and in one strain of *B. thetaiaomicron* from Leipzig. β-Lactamase production was detected among 24 (66%) of 35 *Prevotella* species and 3 (15%) of 20 *Fusobacterium* species by the nitrocefin test (tested in Lille). β-Lactamase production can be assumed for 9 (45%)

\* Corresponding author. Mailing address: Institute of Medical Microbiology and Epidemiology of Infectious Diseases, University of Leipzig, Liebigstraße 24, 04103 Leipzig, Germany. Phone: 49-341-9715294. Fax: 49-341-9715209. E-mail: liea@medizin.uni-leipzig.de.

TABLE 1. Activity of garenoxacin against 641 anaerobes

Bacterium (no. of isolates)	% of strains inhibited by garenoxacin at concn (μg/ml) of:										
	≤0.06	0.125	0.25	0.5	1	2	4	8	16	32	64
<i>Bacteroides fragilis</i> (155)	2	43	34	35	21	10	6	3			1
<i>Bacteroides thetaiotomicron</i> (28)		1	8	11	2	4	1			1	
Other <i>Bacteroides</i> spp. (67) <sup>a</sup>		5	6	24	14	6	4	5	1	1	1
<i>B. fragilis</i> group (250)	2	49	48	70	37	20	11	8	1	2	2
<i>Prevotella</i> spp. (55) <sup>b</sup>	15	8	11	11	1	5	4				
<i>Fusobacterium</i> spp. (40) <sup>c</sup>	8	4	7	8	12	1					
<i>Porphyromonas</i> spp. (20) <sup>d</sup>	12	5	2	1							
<i>Bilophila wadsworthia</i> (19)		3	6	8	1				1		
Other gram-negative bacilli (2) <sup>e</sup>				2							
<i>Veillonella</i> spp. (19)	2	1	10	5			1				
All gram-negative anaerobes (405)	39	70	86	103	51	26	15	9	2	2	2
<i>Clostridium</i> spp. (54) <sup>f</sup>	15	4	12	11	8	2	1	1			
<i>Clostridium difficile</i> (46)				1	7	27	1		2	1	2
<i>Bifidobacterium</i> spp. (12)			1	1	8	2					
<i>Eggerthella lenta</i> (12) <sup>g</sup>	3	1	5		2	1					
<i>Eubacterium aerofaciens</i> (4)				3		1					
<i>Actinomyces</i> spp. (1)		1									
<i>Propionibacterium</i> spp. (21) <sup>h</sup>		1	9	10	1						
Gram-positive cocci (86) <sup>i</sup>	34	35	10	3	2		1		1		
All gram-positive anaerobes (236)	53	44	37	27	28	32	3	1	2	2	5

<sup>a</sup> *B. caccae*, 5; *B. distasonis*, 11; *B. merdae*, 3; *B. ovatus*, 16; *B. stercoris*, 1; *B. eggerthii*, 3; *B. uniformis*, 15; *B. vulgatus*, 12; *B. capillosus*, 1.

<sup>b</sup> *P. bivia*, 10; *P. buccae*, 7; *P. dentitcola*, 1; *P. intermedia*, 12; *P. loescheii*, 5; *P. melaninogenica*, 7; *P. oralis*, 13.

<sup>c</sup> *F. mortiferum*, 5; *F. necrogenes*, 1; *F. necrophorum*, 10; *F. nucleatum*, 22; *F. russii*, 1; *F. varium*, 1.

<sup>d</sup> *P. assaccharolyticus*, 12; *P. gingivalis*, 4; *P. endodontalis*, 3; *P. levii*, 1.

<sup>e</sup> *Campylobacter gracilis*, 1; *Anaerorhabdus furcosus*, 1.

<sup>f</sup> *C. perfringens*, 28; *C. bifermentans*, 1; *C. butyricum*, 1; *C. chauvei*, 1; *C. cistridioforme*, 7; *C. innocuum*, 2; *C. ramosum*, 3; *C. septicum*, 3; *C. sphenoides*, 1; *C. sporogenes*, 1; *C. subterminale*, 1; *C. beijerinckii*, 3; *C. cadaveris*, 2.

<sup>g</sup> Previously *Eubacterium lentum*.

<sup>h</sup> *P. acnes*, 19; *P. propionicum*, 1; *P. granulosum*, 1.

<sup>i</sup> *Peptostreptococcus anaerobius*, 8; *Peptoniphilus assaccharolyticus* (previously *Peptostreptococcus assaccharolyticus*), 16; *Peptostreptococcus prevotii*, 2; *Finegoldia magna* (previously *Peptostreptococcus magnus*), 28; *Micromonas micros* (previously *Peptostreptococcus micros*), 15; *Peptoniphilus prevotii*, 14; *Ruminococcus productus*, 1; *Anaerococcus tetradius*, 2.

of 20 *Prevotella* strains and 9 (45%) of 20 *Fusobacterium* strains from Leipzig for which the amoxicillin MICs were  $\geq 0.5 \mu\text{g/ml}$ .

Garenoxacin was at least fourfold more potent than moxifloxacin, levofloxacin, or ciprofloxacin against *Clostridium* spp. Garenoxacin inhibited 36 (78%) of 46 *Clostridium difficile* strains at a concentration of 4  $\mu\text{g/ml}$  and was the most active of the quinolones tested. Interestingly, two strains of *C. difficile* had decreased susceptibility to metronidazole (MIC, 8 to 16  $\mu\text{g/ml}$ ). The quinolones were found to be active against all non-spore-forming gram-positive bacilli, except for eight ciprofloxacin-resistant bifidobacteria. All gram-positive anaerobic cocci except two strains were inhibited by garenoxacin at 1  $\mu\text{g/ml}$  or less.

The MICs of amoxicillin-clavulanate for 50 and 90% of the strains tested ( $\text{MIC}_{50}$  and  $\text{MIC}_{90}$ , respectively) were similar, irrespective of the test method.

The results of this study show that garenoxacin displays in vitro antianaerobic activity that suggests clinical usefulness. This is in accordance with previous but less extensive investigations. Hoellman et al. (17) have reported a BMS-284756 (garenoxacin)  $\text{MIC}_{50}$  of 0.5  $\mu\text{g/ml}$  and an  $\text{MIC}_{90}$  of 2  $\mu\text{g/ml}$  for 357 recently isolated anaerobes of human origin. In a study by

TABLE 2. Activity of ciprofloxacin against 641 anaerobes<sup>a</sup>

Bacterium (no. of isolates)	% of strains inhibited by ciprofloxacin at concn (μg/ml) of:											
	≤0.125	0.25	0.5	1	2	4	8	16	32	64	128	>128
<i>Bacteroides fragilis</i> (155)												4
<i>Bacteroides thetaiotomicron</i> (28)												24
Other <i>Bacteroides</i> spp. (67)												54
<i>B. fragilis</i> group (250)												29
<i>Prevotella</i> spp. (55)	6											12
<i>Fusobacterium</i> spp. (40)	4											13
<i>Porphyromonas</i> spp. (20)	5											1
<i>Bilophila wadsworthia</i> (19)	2											2
Other gram-negative bacilli (2)												1
<i>Veillonella</i> spp. (19)	16											1
All gram-negative anaerobes (405)	33											1
<i>Clostridium</i> spp. (54)	1											1
<i>Clostridium difficile</i> (46)												26
<i>Bifidobacterium</i> spp. (12)												65
<i>Eggerthella lenta</i> (12)	5											38
<i>Eubacterium aerofaciens</i> (4)												25
<i>Actinomyces</i> spp. (1)												22
<i>Propionibacterium</i> spp. (21)												11
Gram-positive cocci (86)	5											6
All gram-positive anaerobes (236)	12											43

<sup>a</sup> For details, see the footnotes to Table 1.

Goldstein et al. (15), BMS-284756 was active against 164 (91%) of 180 anaerobic isolates at  $\leq 2 \mu\text{g/ml}$ . The  $\text{MIC}_{50}$  and  $\text{MIC}_{90}$  for gram-negative anaerobes observed by Rhomberg and coworkers (25) were identical to ours. In contrast, Fung-Tomc et al. (13), Weller et al. (30), and Takahata et al. (29) reported  $\text{MIC}_{50}$ s and  $\text{MIC}_{90}$ s that were 2 to 4  $\log_2$  dilutions lower than ours. These differences may be due to variations in test methods and media and smaller sample sizes.

Unlike some other fluoroquinolones, garenoxacin demon-

TABLE 3. Activity of levofloxacin against 641 anaerobes<sup>a</sup>

Bacterium (no. of isolates)	% of strains inhibited by levofloxacin at concn (μg/ml) of:											
	≤0.06	0.125	0.25	0.5	1	2	4	8	16	32	64	>64
<i>Bacteroides fragilis</i> (155)					1	5	53	51	14	14	5	6
<i>Bacteroides thetaiotomicron</i> (28)					1	1	4	10	8	1	1	1
Other <i>Bacteroides</i> spp. (67)												5
<i>B. fragilis</i> group (250)					1	6	62	70	37	33	13	12
<i>Prevotella</i> spp. (55)	7	6			2	9	10	8	4	5	1	1
<i>Fusobacterium</i> spp. (40)	1	5			1	8	12	10	3			
<i>Porphyromonas</i> spp. (20)	5				3	9	2	1				
<i>Bilophila wadsworthia</i> (19)						11	7		1			
Other gram-negative bacilli (2)						1	1					
<i>Veillonella</i> spp. (19)	5				9	3			1	1		
All gram-negative anaerobes (405)	13	16			17	47	93	88	46	40	14	12
<i>Clostridium</i> spp. (54)	1					12	22	7	1	2	5	3
<i>Clostridium difficile</i> (46)									6	29	1	10
<i>Bifidobacterium</i> spp. (12)	3	2			4	2			1	8	3	
<i>Eggerthella lenta</i> (12)									1			
<i>Eubacterium aerofaciens</i> (4)									3	1		
<i>Actinomyces</i> spp. (1)					1							
<i>Propionibacterium</i> spp. (21)					1	6	13		1			
Gram-positive cocci (86)	3	2			18	24	7	17	7	5	1	2
All gram-positive anaerobes (236)	8	5			40	61	18	28	18	36	7	3

<sup>a</sup> For details, see the footnotes to Table 1.

TABLE 4. Activity of moxifloxacin against 641 anaerobes<sup>a</sup>

Bacterium (no. of isolates)	% of strains inhibited by moxifloxacin at concn (µg/ml) of:										
	≤0.06	0.125	0.25	0.5	1	2	4	8	16	32	64
<i>Bacteroides fragilis</i> (155)	19	40	40	23	14	8	4	6	1		
<i>Bacteroides thetaiotomicron</i> (28)		1	4	13	5	2	1	1	1		
Other <i>Bacteroides</i> spp. (67)		5	8	18	12	8	7	5	2	2	
<i>B. fragilis</i> group (250)	19	46	52	54	31	18	12	12	1	2	3
<i>Prevotella</i> spp. (55)	12	2	3	10	12	9	4	1	2		
<i>Fusobacterium</i> spp. (40)	7	6	11	12	1	3					
<i>Porphyromonas</i> spp. (20)	6	1	6	7							
<i>Bilophila wadsworthia</i> (19)		3	13	2			1				
Other gram-negative bacilli (2)		1	1								
<i>Veillonella</i> spp. (19)		4	11	3			1				
All gram-negative anaerobes (405)	25	32	81	98	69	43	22	15	12	3	2
<i>Clostridium</i> spp. (54)	1	5	13	17	7	2	5	4			
<i>Clostridium difficile</i> (46)			2	23	10	1	2	7	1		
<i>Bifidobacterium</i> spp. (12)			4	5	3						
<i>Eggerthella lenta</i> (12)	3		6	2	1						
<i>Eubacterium aerofaciens</i> (4)				3	1						
<i>Actinomyces</i> spp. (1)	1										
<i>Propionibacterium</i> spp. (21)	1	11	8	1							
Gram-positive cocci (86)	7	20	24	26	3	2	1	2	1		
All gram-positive anaerobes (236)	12	26	54	60	19	31	16	7	3	7	1

<sup>a</sup> For details, see the footnotes to Table 1.

stiated good activity against the *B. fragilis* group and clostridia other than *C. difficile*, important pathogens involved in intra-abdominal infections and sepsis. Since garenoxacin is also active against most members of the family *Enterobacteriaceae* (12) and has "moderate to good activity" against enterococci other than vancomycin-resistant enterococci and *E. faecium* (6, 13, 24), it may be useful in the treatment of intra-abdominal infections.

Garenoxacin seems not to be an option for the treatment of

TABLE 5. Activity of amoxicillin against 641 anaerobes<sup>a</sup>

Bacterium (no. of isolates)	% of strains inhibited by amoxicillin at concn (µg/ml) of:										
	≤0.06	0.125	0.25	0.5	1	2	4	8	16	32	64
<i>Bacteroides fragilis</i> (155)			1	2	8	30	26	34	22	5	27
<i>Bacteroides thetaiotomicron</i> (28)	1		1		3	3	2	11	7		
Other <i>Bacteroides</i> spp. (67)		2	1	1	4	13	9	5	14	4	14
<i>B. fragilis</i> group (250)	1	2	3	3	12	46	38	41	47	9	48
<i>Prevotella</i> spp. (55)	19	4	2	1	2	5	2	7	3	3	
<i>Fusobacterium</i> spp. (40)	17	7	4	1	1		4	2	3	1	
<i>Porphyromonas</i> spp. (20)	19					1					
<i>Bilophila wadsworthia</i> (19)	3	5		5	2		2		2		
Other gram-negative bacilli (2)		2									
<i>Veillonella</i> spp. (19)	5	7	1	3	2	1					
All gram-negative anaerobes (405)	60	24	14	8	4	21	54	44	53	57	51
<i>Clostridium</i> spp. (54)	24	9	4	8	5		2	1	1		
<i>Clostridium difficile</i> (46)			11	27	8						
<i>Bifidobacterium</i> spp. (12)	1	3	3	2	3						
<i>Eggerthella lenta</i> (12)	6	1	2	1	2						
<i>Eubacterium aerofaciens</i> (4)	4										
<i>Actinomyces</i> spp. (1)	1										
<i>Propionibacterium</i> spp. (21)	10	8	2		1						
Gram-positive cocci (86)	51	17	14	3			1				
All gram-positive anaerobes (236)	97	38	25	25	38	8	1	2	1	1	

<sup>a</sup> For details, see the footnotes to Table 1.TABLE 6. Activity of amoxicillin-clavulanate<sup>a</sup>  
against 331 anaerobes<sup>b</sup>

Bacterium (no. of isolates)	% of strains inhibited by amoxicillin-clavulanate at concn (µg/ml) of:										
	≤0.06	0.125	0.25	0.5	1	2	4	8	16	32	64
<i>Bacteroides fragilis</i> (78)	3	40	21	4	2	3	1	2	2		
<i>Bacteroides thetaiotomicron</i> (20)	2	9	5	1	1	1	2				
Other <i>Bacteroides</i> spp. (32)	1	5	6	9	3	5	1	1	1		
<i>B. fragilis</i> group (130)	4	47	36	18	6	8	3	1	5		2
<i>Prevotella</i> spp. (35)	26	3	3	3							
<i>Fusobacterium</i> spp. (20)	16	1		1	1	1					
<i>Porphyromonas</i> spp. (11)	10	1									
Other gram-negative bacilli (2)	1		1								
<i>Veillonella</i> spp. (5)	4	1									
All gram-negative anaerobes (203)	61	53	40	22	7	9	3	1	5		2
<i>Clostridium</i> spp. (29)	13	3	6	2	3	1	1				
<i>Clostridium difficile</i> (5)			5								
<i>Bifidobacterium</i> spp. (7)		4	3								
<i>Eggerthella lenta</i> (11)	6	2	1		2						
<i>Actinomyces</i> spp. (1)	1										
<i>Propionibacterium</i> spp. (9)	9										
Gram-positive cocci (66)	53	7	3	2			1				
All gram-positive anaerobes (128)	82	10	15	13	3	3	1	1			

<sup>a</sup> A fixed clavulanate concentration of 2 µg/ml was used.<sup>b</sup> For details, see the footnotes to Table 1.

*C. difficile*-associated diarrhea since 11 of 46 *C. difficile* isolates were resistant. This is in accordance with the results of Ackermann et al. (3), who indicated an association between moxifloxacin resistance and amino acid substitution in the *gyrA* gene.

Analysis of the MICs of anaerobic isolates from two different countries (France and Germany) did not reveal any appreciable differences (data not shown), with the exception of clindamycin. Clindamycin was significantly less active against *B.*

TABLE 7. Activity of amoxicillin-clavulanate<sup>a</sup>  
against 310 anaerobes<sup>b</sup>

Bacterium (no. of isolates)	% of strains inhibited by amoxicillin-clavulanate at concn (µg/ml) of:										
	≤0.06	0.125	0.25	0.5	1	2	4	8	16	32	64
<i>Bacteroides fragilis</i> (77)			13	53	4	2	3	1	1		
<i>Bacteroides thetaiotomicron</i> (8)	2	2	3	1	1	1	1	1	2		
Other <i>Bacteroides</i> spp. (35)	1	1	14	11	5	2	1				
<i>B. fragilis</i> group (120)	1	16	69	18	7	5	2	2			
<i>Prevotella</i> spp. (20)	9	2	3	4	2						
<i>Fusobacterium</i> spp. (20)	10	2	1	6	1						
<i>Porphyromonas</i> spp. (9)	9										
<i>Bilophila wadsworthia</i> (19)	5	4	3	2	2	1					
<i>Veillonella</i> spp. (14)	3	4	1	3	2	1					
All gram-negative anaerobes (202)	36	13	24	84	25	9	7	2	2		
<i>Clostridium</i> spp. (25)	17	3	1	2	1						
<i>Clostridium difficile</i> (41)			18	19	4						
<i>Bifidobacterium</i> spp. (5)	1		4								
<i>Eggerthella lenta</i> (1)	1										
<i>Eubacterium aerofaciens</i> (4)	4										
<i>Propionibacterium</i> spp. (12)	1	8		2	1						
Gram-positive cocci (20)	16	3		1							
All gram-positive anaerobes (108)	40	18	4	21	20	4	1				

<sup>a</sup> A 2:1 ratio of amoxicillin to clavulanate was used.<sup>b</sup> For details, see the footnotes to Table 1.

TABLE 8. Activity of imipenem against 641 anaerobes<sup>a</sup>

Bacterium (no. of isolates)	% of strains inhibited by imipenem at concn (μg/ml) of:										
	≤0.03	0.06	0.125	0.25	0.5	1	2	4	8	16	>32
<i>Bacteroides fragilis</i> (155)	1	24	56	47	18	5	3			1	
<i>Bacteroides thetaiotaomicron</i> (28)		2	4	12	8	1			1		
Other <i>Bacteroides</i> spp. (67)		2	10	23	23	7	2				
<i>B. fragilis</i> group (250)	1	28	70	82	49	13	5		1	1	
<i>Prevotella</i> spp. (55)	26	14	4	7	3	1					
<i>Fusobacterium</i> spp. (40)	19	13	3	2	2	1					
<i>Porphyromonas</i> spp. (20)	19	1									
<i>Bilophila wadsworthia</i> (19)	4	4	3	5	2	1					
Other gram-negative bacilli (2)	1	1									
<i>Veillonella</i> spp. (19)	8	7	1	1		2					
All gram-negative anaerobes (405)	78	68	81	97	56	18	5		1	1	
<i>Clostridium</i> spp. (54)	8	16	13	5	2	5	3	2			
<i>Clostridium difficile</i> (46)						3	34	9			
<i>Bifidobacterium</i> spp. (12)	2	4	2	2	1	1					
<i>Eggerthella lenta</i> (12)	5	2				5					
<i>Eubacterium aerofaciens</i> (4)	4										
<i>Actinomyces</i> spp. (1)	1										
<i>Propionibacterium</i> spp. (21)	18	3									
Gram-positive cocci (86)	71	11	2		1	1					
All gram-positive anaerobes (236)	109	36	17	7	9	7	6	36	9		

<sup>a</sup> For details, see the footnotes to Table 1.

*fragilis* group strains examined in Lille, France. More resistant isolates were seen among the non-*B. fragilis* species than among the *B. fragilis* strains. Snyderman et al. (27) and Aldridge et al. (5) reported similar results and found that the resistance to clindamycin had increased among members of the *B. fragilis* group in recent years to 29% (5) and 16% (27). Betriu et al. (9) and Lubbe et al. (19) identified clindamycin resistance rates of 34 and 29%, respectively.

In summary, garenoxacin had the broadest antianaerobic activity among the quinolones tested, inhibiting almost all of

TABLE 9. Activity of clindamycin against 641 anaerobes<sup>a</sup>

Bacterium (no. of isolates)	% of strains inhibited by clindamycin at concn (μg/ml) of:										
	≤0.06	0.125	0.25	0.5	1	2	4	8	16	32	>64
<i>Bacteroides fragilis</i> (155)	6	6	21	50	31	18	6	1		16	
<i>Bacteroides thetaiotaomicron</i> (28)	2			1	1	6	6	2	2		8
Other <i>Bacteroides</i> spp. (67)	6		1	5	9	12	8	1	1		24
<i>B. fragilis</i> group (250)	14	6	22	56	41	36	20	4	3		48
<i>Prevotella</i> spp. (55)	40	2	4	5	1				1	2	
<i>Fusobacterium</i> spp. (40)	21	14	2	1	1	1					
<i>Porphyromonas</i> spp. (20)	20										
<i>Bilophila wadsworthia</i> (19)	2	6	11								
Other gram-negative bacilli (2)	2										
<i>Veillonella</i> spp. (19)	4	5	10								
All gram-negative anaerobes (405)	103	33	49	62	42	38	20	4	3	1	50
<i>Clostridium</i> spp. (54)	17	2	2	4	2	4	10	2	3	1	7
<i>Clostridium difficile</i> (46)						2	6	18	2		18
<i>Bifidobacterium</i> spp. (12)	9			2						1	
<i>Eggerthella lenta</i> (12)	7	1	2	2							
<i>Eubacterium aerofaciens</i> (4)	3	1									
<i>Actinomyces</i> spp. (1)	1										
<i>Propionibacterium</i> spp. (21)	1	10	2	3	3			1	1		
Gram-positive cocci (86)	31	14	10	10	8	3	3	2	1	2	1
All gram-positive anaerobes (236)	69	28	16	18	13	10	15	10	22	6	2

<sup>a</sup> For details, see the footnotes to Table 1.TABLE 10. Activity of metronidazole against 641 anaerobes<sup>a</sup>

Bacterium (no. of isolates)	% of strains inhibited of metronidazole at concn (μg/ml) of:										
	≤0.06	0.125	0.25	0.5	1	2	4	8	16	32	>64
<i>Bacteroides fragilis</i> (155)		2	12	60	67	6	4	2	1		1
<i>Bacteroides thetaiotaomicron</i> (28)	2			13	12	1					
Other <i>Bacteroides</i> spp. (67)					1	26	36	3	1		
<i>B. fragilis</i> group (250)		4	13	99	115	10	4	3	1		1
<i>Prevotella</i> spp. (55)	3	6	13	16	12	3	2				
<i>Fusobacterium</i> spp. (40)	17	10	7	5							
<i>Porphyromonas</i> spp. (20)	11	5	3	1							
<i>Bilophila wadsworthia</i> (19)	16	3									
Other gram-negative bacilli (2)	1										
<i>Veillonella</i> spp. (19)	2			1	6	8	2				
All gram-negative anaerobes (405)	50	28	36	122	133	23	8	3	1		1
<i>Clostridium</i> spp. (54)	6	7	9	22	8	1	1				
<i>Clostridium difficile</i> (46)		2	11	29	2	1	1				
<i>Bifidobacterium</i> spp. (12)				1						3	2
<i>Eggerthella lenta</i> (12)	1	3	6	1						1	
<i>Eubacterium aerofaciens</i> (4)				3	1						
<i>Actinomyces</i> spp. (1)	1										
<i>Propionibacterium</i> spp. (21)											21
Gram-positive cocci (86)	24	10	30	14	3	1					4
All gram-positive anaerobes (236)	32	17	44	56	41	6	1	1	3	2	32

<sup>a</sup> For details, see the footnotes to Table 1.

the anaerobic bacterial strains tested. Clinical trials to establish the potency of garenoxacin as a therapeutic option are necessary.

We thank F. Verbeeck of Bristol-Myers Squibb for advice and financial support.

We thank Laurent Calvet, Bärbel Pless, and Daniela Adler for technical assistance.

## REFERENCES

- Acar, J., G. Carret, J. D. Cavallo, H. Chardon, P. Choutet, P. Courvalin, H. Dabernat, H. Drugeon, L. Dubreuil, F. Goldstein, V. Jarlier, R. Leclercq, M. H. Nicolas-Chanoine, A. Philippot, B. Rouveix, J. Sirot, C. J. Soussy, and A. Thabaut. 1998. Communiqué de l'antibiogramme de la Société Française de Microbiologie. Valeurs critiques pour l'antibiogramme. Pathol. Biol. 46: 1–16.
- Ackermann, G., R. Schaumann, B. Pless, M. C. Claros, E. J. C. Goldstein, and A. C. Rodloff. 2000. Comparative activity of moxifloxacin in vitro against obligately anaerobic bacteria. Eur. J. Microbiol. Infect. Dis. 19:228–232.
- Ackermann, G., Y. J. Tang, R. Kueper, P. Heisig, A. C. Rodloff, J. Silva, Jr., and S. H. Cohen. 2001. Resistance to moxifloxacin in toxigenic *Clostridium difficile* isolates is associated with mutations in *gyrA*. Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 45:2348–2353.
- Ackermann, G., Y. Tang, A. C. Rodloff, J. Silva, Jr., S. H. Cohen, and P. Heisig. 2001. In vitro activity of sitafloxacin against *Clostridium difficile*. J. Antimicrob. Chemother. 47:722–723.
- Aldridge, K. E., D. Ashcraft, K. Cambre, C. L. Pierson, S. G. Jenkins, and J. E. Rosenblatt. 2001. Multicenter survey of the changing in vitro antimicrobial susceptibilities of clinical isolates of *Bacteroides fragilis* group, *Prevotella*, *Fusobacterium*, *Porphyromonas*, and *Peptostreptococcus* species. Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 45:1238–1243.
- Bassetti, M., L. M. Dembry, P. A. Farrel, D. A. Callan, and V. T. Andriole. 2002. Antimicrobial activities of BMS-284756 compared with those of fluoroquinolones and β-lactams against gram-positive clinical isolates. Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 46:234–238.
- Bauerfeind, A. 1993. Comparative in vitro activities of the new quinolone, Bay y 3118, and ciprofloxacin, sparfloxacin, tosufloxacin, CI-960 and CI-990. J. Antimicrob. Chemother. 31:505–522.
- Bauerfeind, A. 1997. Comparison of the antibacterial activities of the quinolones Bay 12-8039, gatifloxacin (AM 1155), trovafloxacin, clinafloxacin, levofloxacin and ciprofloxacin. J. Antimicrob. Chemother. 40:639–651.
- Betriu, C., M. Gómez, M. L. Palau, A. Sánchez, and J. J. Picazo. 1999. Activities of new antimicrobial agents (trovafloxacin, moxifloxacin, sanfetrem, and quinupristin-dalfopristin) against *Bacteroides fragilis* group: com-

- parison with the activities of 14 other agents. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.* **43**:2320–2322.
10. **Dubreuil, L., J. Breuil, A. Dublanchet, and A. Sedallian.** 1992. Survey of the susceptibility patterns of *Bacteroides fragilis* group strains in France from 1977 to 1992. *Eur. J. Clin. Microbiol. Infect. Dis.* **11**:1094–1099.
  11. **Ednie, L. M., R. Jacobs, and P. C. Appelbaum.** 1998. Activities of gatifloxacin compared to those of seven other agents against anaerobic organisms. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.* **42**:2459–2462.
  12. **Fix, A. M., M. A. Pfaller, D. J. Biedenbach, M. L. Beach, and R. N. Jones.** 2001. Comparative antimicrobial spectrum and activity of BMS284756 (T-3811, a desfluoroquinolone) tested against 656 enterobacteriaceae, including preliminary in vitro susceptibility test comparisons and development. *Int. J. Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.* **18**:141–145.
  13. **Fung-Tomc, J. C., B. Minassian, B. Kolek, E. Huczko, L. Aleksunes, T. Stickle, T. Washo, E. Gradel斯基, L. Valera, and D. P. Bonner.** 2000. Antibacterial spectrum of a novel des-fluoro(6) quinolone, BMS-284756. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.* **44**:3351–3356.
  14. **Goldstein, E. J. C., and D. M. Citron.** 1992. Comparative activity of ciprofloxacin, ofloxacin, sparfloxacin, temafloxacin, CI-960, CI-990, and Win 57273 against anaerobic bacteria. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.* **36**:1158–1162.
  15. **Goldstein, E. J. C., D. M. Citron, C. V. Merriam, Y. A. Warren, K. L. Tyrrell, and H. Fernandez.** 2002. In vitro activities of the des-fluoro(6) quinolone BMS-284756 against aerobic and anaerobic pathogens isolated from skin and soft tissue animal and human bite wound infections. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.* **46**:866–870.
  16. **Grollier, G., F. Mory, C. Quentin, F. Girard-Pipau, S. Tigaud, A. Sedallian, and L. Dubreuil.** 1994. Survey of anaerobic susceptibility patterns: a French multicentric study. *Pathol. Biol.* **42**:498–504.
  17. **Hoellman, D. B., L. M. Kelly, M. R. Jacobs, and P. C. Appelbaum.** 2001. Comparative antianaerobic activity of BMS 284756. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.* **45**:589–592.
  18. **Jousimies-Somer, H. R., P. Summanen, D. M. Citron, E. J. Baron, H. M. Wexler, and S. M. Finegold.** 2002. Wadsworth anaerobic bacteriology manual, 6th ed. Star Publishing Co., Belmont, Calif.
  19. **Lubbe, M. M., P. L. Botha, and L. J. Chalkley.** 1999. Comparative activity of eighteen antimicrobial agents against anaerobic bacteria isolated in South Africa. *Eur. Clin. Microbiol. Infect. Dis.* **18**:46–54.
  20. **Mory, F., A. Loczniewski, S. Bland, A. Sedallian, G. Grollier, F. Girard-Pipau, M. F. Paris, and L. Dubreuil.** 1998. Survey of anaerobic susceptibility patterns: a French multicentric study. *Int. J. Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.* **10**:229–236.
  21. **Nagy, E., J. Sóki, E. Urbán, I. Szöke, E. Fodor, and R. Edwards.** 2001. Occurrence of metronidazole and imipenem resistance among *Bacteroides fragilis* group clinical isolates in Hungary. *Acta Biol. Hung.* **52**:271–280.
  22. **NCCLS.** 2001. Methods for antimicrobial testing of anaerobic bacteria, fifth edition. Approved standard M11-A5. NCCLS, Wayne, Pa.
  23. **Patey, O., E. Varon, I. Podglajen, A. Dublanchet, L. Dubreuil, and J. Breuil.** 1994. Multicentre survey in France of the antimicrobial susceptibilities of 416 blood culture isolates of the *Bacteroides fragilis* group. *J. Antimicrob. Chemother.* **33**:1029–1034.
  24. **Pfaller, M. A., M. L. Beach, K. A. Gordon, and R. N. Jones.** 2002. Comparative antimicrobial spectrum and activity of BMS284756 (T-3811; a desfluoroquinolone) tested against an international collection of staphylococci and enterococci, including in vitro test development and intermethod comparison. *J. Chemother.* **14**:13–18.
  25. **Rhemberg, P. R., D. J. Biedenbach, and R. N. Jones.** 2001. Activity of BMS284756 (T-3811) tested against anaerobic bacteria, *Campylobacter jejuni*, *Helicobacter pylori* and *Legionella* spp. *Diagn. Microbiol. Infect. Dis.* **40**:45–49.
  26. **Schaumann, R., G. Ackermann, B. Pless, M. C. Claros, and A. C. Rodloff.** 1999. In vitro activities of gatifloxacin, two other quinolones, and five non-quinolone antibacterials against obligately anaerobic bacteria. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.* **43**:2783–2786.
  27. **Snydman, D. R., N. V. Jacobus, L. A. McDermott, S. Supran, G. J. Cuchural, Jr., S. Finegold, L. Harrell, D. W. Hecht, P. Iannini, S. Jenkins, C. Pierson, J. Rihs, and S. L. Gorbach.** 1999. Multicenter study of in vitro susceptibility of the *Bacteroides fragilis* group, 1995 to 1996, with comparison of resistance trends from 1990 to 1996. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.* **43**:2417–2422.
  28. **Spangler, S. K., M. R. Jacobs, and P. C. Appelbaum.** 1994. Activity of CP 99,219 compared with those of ciprofloxacin, grepafloxacin, metronidazole, cefoxitin, piperacillin, and piperacillin-tazobactam against 489 anaerobes. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.* **38**:2471–2476.
  29. **Takahata, M., J. Mitsuyama, Y. Yamashiro, M. Yonezawa, H. Araki, Y. Todo, S. Minami, Y. Watanabe, and H. Narita.** 1999. In vitro and in vivo antimicrobial activities of T-3811ME, a novel des-F(6)-quinolone. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.* **43**:1077–1084.
  30. **Weller, T. M. A., J. M. Andrews, G. Jevons, and R. Wise.** 2002. The in vitro activity of BMS-284756, a new des-fluorinated quinolone. *J. Antimicrob. Chemother.* **49**:177–184.
  31. **Wexler, H. M., E. Molitoris, D. Molitoris, and S. M. Finegold.** 1996. In vitro activities of trovafloxacin against 557 strains of anaerobic bacteria. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.* **40**:2232–2235.