Impact of Diversity of Colonizing Strains on Strategies for Sampling *Escherichia coli* from Fecal Specimens

Ebbing Lautenbach,^{1,2,3,4*} Warren B. Bilker,^{2,3,4} Pam Tolomeo,³ and Joel N. Maslow^{1,4,5}

*Division of Infectious Diseases of the Department of Medicine,*¹ *Department of Biostatistics and Epidemiology,*² *Center for Clinical Epidemiology and Biostatistics,*³ *and Center for Education and Research on Therapeutics,*⁴ *University of Pennsylvania School of Medicine, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, and Section of Infectious Diseases, Department of Veterans Affairs, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania*⁵

Received 17 May 2008/Returned for modification 3 July 2008/Accepted 11 July 2008

Of 49 subjects, 21 were colonized with more than one strain of *Escherichia coli* **and 12 subjects had at least one strain present in fewer than 20% of colonies. The ability to accurately characterize** *E. coli* **strain diversity is directly related to the number of colonies sampled and the underlying prevalence of the strain.**

Efforts to elucidate the epidemiology of antimicrobial resistance have increasingly focused on the critical role of gastrointestinal (GI) tract colonization with resistant bacterial pathogens (3). The use of surveillance cultures (usually employing a perirectal swab approach) is common as a component of both epidemiologic studies and infection control initiatives (5). Although it is recognized that a given individual may be colonized with more than one distinct strain of *Escherichia coli* at any given time (7), past studies have arbitrarily chosen to select one or more colonies from a plate to identify *E. coli* strains in fecal specimens and determine strain diversity (2).

It is unknown how many colonies of *E. coli* bacteria must be characterized in a fecal sample to optimize the likelihood that at least one representative of each colonizing strain is identified. The impact of different sampling strategies on the characterization of *E. coli* colonization is unclear. Most studies that have investigated this issue have focused on only specific types of *E. coli* (e.g., diarrheagenic *E. coli* or *E. coli* O157) (4, 8, 11), studied nonhuman fecal samples (1, 2, 8, 11), and/or included a small sample size of subjects (<20) $(1, 2, 9, 11)$. Indeed, past studies of *E. coli* have often selected a relatively small number (i.e., ≤ 10) of colonies on which to base their investigation of strain diversity (6, 8).

The current study analyzes the genetic diversity of *E. coli* colonization in fecal samples from long-term care facility (LTCF) residents. The goal of this study was to identify the likelihood of identifying both common and uncommon strains of *E. coli* using different strategies for colony sampling from fecal specimens.

This study was performed at the Philadelphia Veteran's Administration Medical Center (PVAMC) LTCF. This study was approved by the Institutional Review Boards of the University of Pennsylvania and the PVAMC. This study is based on data originally collected as part of a study investigating the epidemiology of GI tract colonization with fluoroquinolone-resistant and fluoroquinolone-susceptible *E. coli* strains in LTCF residents (7). As described previously, LTCF residents were recruited for this study between March and July 2002. All residents were considered eligible for inclusion and were enrolled if informed consent could be obtained. For each enrolled subject, one rectal swab was obtained at the time of enrollment.

Swabs were streaked onto MacConkey agar without antimicrobial additives and then triple streaked using a sterile loop to isolate single colonies. Individual colonies were then replica plated to MacConkey agar by using sterile toothpicks. From each MacConkey plate, up to 25 lactose-fermenting colonies (as available) were randomly selected. If fewer than 25 colonies were present, all were sampled. If more than 25 colonies were present, 25 colonies were selected at random. Antimicrobial susceptibilities and species identification were confirmed by automated testing (Vitek; BioMerieux, Hazelwood, MO) (7).

Chromosomal DNA was digested with XbaI and resolved by pulsed-field gel electrophoresis using a CHEF DR II system (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA) to determine genetic relatedness (7). Strain identity was interpreted according to established criteria $(10).$

For each sample, pulsed-field gel electrophoresis was performed for all chosen colonies to determine the number of distinct strains present and to calculate the proportion of colonies accounted for by each strain within each subject's swab sample. For each subject colonized with more than one strain, we characterized as "dominant" that strain which was present in the highest proportion of colonies from the swab sample. These data were then used to inform the likelihood of identifying a given strain using different sampling strategies.

The selection probabilities for the different strain types were assumed to follow a multivariate hypergeometric distribution assuming sampling without replacement. However, in the case of an infinite (or very large) population from which to sample (as is the case when sampling bacteria from the GI tract), the probabilities of selection are negligibly altered by the prior selections. Thus, a sampling distribution that considers sampling with replacement and does not alter the sampling probabilities after each selection is an excellent approximation to the hypergeometric distribution, as in this case. Indeed, when the universe size is infinite, the multivariate hypergeometric

^{*} Corresponding author. Mailing address: University of Pennsylvania School of Medicine, Center for Clinical Epidemiology and Biostatistics, 825 Blockley Hall, 423 Guardian Drive, Philadelphia, PA 19104- 6021. Phone: (215) 898-6977. Fax: (215) 573-5315. E-mail: ebbing

Published ahead of print on 23 July 2008.

FIG. 1. Likelihood of strain identification.

distribution is well approximated by the multinomial distribution. The probability of selecting at least one colony of a specific type from *n* sampled colonies, with a proportion *p* of organisms of this type, is easily computed using the multinomial distribution $1 - (1 - p)^n$. All statistical calculations were performed using STATA version 9.0 (StataCorp, College Station, TX).

Included in the study were *E. coli* isolates from 49 LTCF residents (7). The median age of the study subjects was 69 years (range, 38 to 98 years) and two (4.1%) subjects were female. Thirty (61.2%) subjects were white, 18 (36.7%) were African-American, and one (2.0%) was Hispanic.

There were 28 subjects colonized with a single strain of *E. coli*. Of the 21 subjects colonized with multiple *E. coli* strains, 11 were colonized with two distinct strains, 8 were colonized with three strains, and 1 subject each was colonized with four strains and five strains. The median number of colonies sampled per subject was 23 (interquartile range [IQR], 17 to 25). The median number of colonies sampled for the 28 subjects with only one strain identified was 22 (IQR, 17 to 25), while the median number of colonies sampled for the 21 subjects with more than one strain identified was 23 (IQR, 16 to 25).

Of the 49 total subjects, 4 (8.2%) subjects had at least one strain present in fewer than 5% of colonies from their swab sample, 7 (14.2%) subjects had at least one strain present in fewer than 10% of colonies, and 12 (24.5%) subjects had at least one strain present in fewer than 20% of colonies.

Among the 21 subjects with more than one *E. coli* strain identified, 17 (81%) subjects exhibited a dominant strain that comprised $\geq 50\%$ of all colonies assessed in the swab sample. For the remaining four subjects, the dominant strains comprised between 40 and 46% of all colonies sampled.

Figure 1 shows the likelihood of identifying an *E. coli* strain

given the number of colonies sampled in a fecal specimen and the underlying strain prevalence. For example, for a strain representing 50% of *E. coli* bacteria in a given sample, the likelihood of identifying this strain when five sample colonies are picked is measured by the equation $1 - (1 - 0.5)^5 =$ 0.96875, or 97%. For a strain comprising 20% of strains in a given sample, sampling five colonies would identify this strain 67% of the time, as measured by the equation $1 - (1 - 0.2)^5 =$ 0.67232.

In this study of 49 LTCF residents, we found that approximately 40% of subjects were colonized with multiple strains of *E. coli*. Of 83 total strains identified from all subjects, 17 (20.5%) accounted for fewer than 20% of colonies in the fecal samples tested. Of 49 total subjects, 12 (24.5%) had at least one strain present in fewer than 20% of colonies.

The approach to colony sampling from fecal specimens depends on the goals of the study and the genetic diversity in the sample. For epidemiologic studies or infection control initiatives in which identifying the breadth of colonizing strains is important (e.g., to characterize potential person-to-person spread of strains), optimizing the ability to characterize breadth must be balanced against the need for efficiency.

Our results demonstrate that the ability to accurately characterize *E. coli* strain diversity in human subjects is directly related to the number of colonies sampled and the underlying prevalence of the strain. For example, if one desires at least a 90% likelihood of identifying a strain present in $\geq 20\%$ of colonies, 11 colonies must be sampled (Fig. 1). If one desires at least a 90% likelihood of identifying a strain present in \geq 10% of colonies, 22 colonies must be sampled. Our results provide a characterization of the distribution of the prevalence of different *E. coli* strains in human subjects and demonstrate that a substantial proportion of strains (i.e., 20.5%) accounted for

fewer than one in five colonies in a given fecal specimen. Thus, for efforts designed to provide an estimate of *E. coli* strain diversity, the ability to detect strains with a prevalence at least this great would seem desirable.

Another goal of such studies might be to ensure identification of the dominant strain of *E. coli* (1, 6). We found that in over 90% of subjects, the dominant strain comprised $\geq 50\%$ of all colonies sampled. For the remaining four subjects, the dominant strains comprised between 40 and 46% of all colonies sampled. Thus, in this study, sampling five colonies would provide a 90% likelihood of identifying the dominant strain.

Our study had several potential limitations. Although we sampled up to 25 colonies per subject, it is likely that sampling an even larger number would provide some incremental increase in the ability to determine the breadth of diversity. Our small sample size of subjects may have limited the ability to more precisely calculate the number of colonies required to be sampled. Whether the results of our study, conducted in an LTCF, can be generalized to human subjects in other settings is not known.

In summary, this study presents two important primary findings. First, we provide an approach for determining the likelihood of identifying a particular *E. coli* strain given two parameters: (i) the number of colonies sampled and (ii) the underlying prevalence of the strain. Second, we used our data set to inform the second of the two assumptions noted above, namely, the prevalence of specific *E. coli* strains. Taken together, these findings provide important data for informing future research and infection control efforts.

This work was supported by Public Health Service grant R01- AG023792 of the National Institute on Aging (NIH) (to E.L.) and a pilot grant from the Mental Illness Research, Education, and Clinical Center (MIRECC) (to J.N.M.). This study was also supported in part by an Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality (AHRQ) Centers for Education and Research on Therapeutics cooperative agreement (U18-HS10399).

E.L. has received research support from Merck Pharmaceuticals and Ortho-McNeil Pharmaceuticals. J.N.M. has received research support from Merck Pharmaceuticals. All other authors report no conflicts of interest.

REFERENCES

- 1. Achá, S. J., I. Kühn, G. Mbazima, P. Colque-Navarro, and R. Möllby. 2005. Changes of viability and composition of the *Escherichia coli* flora in faecal samples during long time storage. J. Microbiol. Methods **63:**229–238.
- 2. **Anderson, M. A., J. E. Whitlock, and V. J. Harwood.** 2006. Diversity and distribution of *Escherichia coli* genotypes and antibiotic resistance phenotypes in feces of humans, cattle, and horses. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. **72:**6914–6922.
- 3. **Donskey, C. J.** 2004. The role of the intestinal tract as a reservoir and source for transmission of nosocomial pathogens. Clin. Infect. Dis. **39:**219–226.
- 4. **Iijima, Y., S. Tanaka, K. Miki, S. Kanamori, M. Toyokawa, and S. Asari.** 2007. Evaluation of colony-based examinations of diarrheagenic *Escherichia coli* in stool specimens: low probability of detection because of low concentrations, particularly during the early stage of gastroenteritis. Diagn. Microbiol. Infect. Dis. **58:**303–308.
- 5. **Lautenbach, E., A. D. Harris, E. N. Perencevich, I. Nachamkin, P. Tolomeo, and J. P. Metlay.** 2005. Test characteristics of perirectal and rectal swab compared to stool sample for detection of fluoroquinolone-resistant *Escherichia coli* in the gastrointestinal tract. Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. **49:** 798–800.
- 6. **Lidin-Janson, G., B. Kaijser, K. Lincoln, S. Olling, and H. Wedel.** 1978. The homogeneity of the faecal coliform flora of normal school-girls, characterized by serological and biochemical properties. Med. Microbiol. Immunol. **164:**247–253.
- 7. **Maslow, J. N., E. Lautenbach, W. B. Bilker, and J. R. Johnson.** 2004. Colonization with extraintestinal pathogenic *Escherichia coli* among nursing home residents and its relationship to fluoroquinolone resistance. Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. **48:**3618–3620.
- 8. **Renter, D. G., J. M. Sargeant, R. D. Oberst, and M. Samadpour.** 2003. Diversity, frequency, and persistence of *Escherichia coli* O157 strains from range cattle environments. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. **69:**542–547.
- 9. **Schlager, T. A., J. O. Hendley, A. L. Bell, and T. S. Whittam.** 2002. Clonal diversity of *Escherichia coli* colonizing stools and urinary tracts of young girls. Infect. Immun. **70:**1225–1229.
- 10. **Tenover, F. C., R. D. Arbeit, R. V. Goering, P. A. Mickelsen, B. E. Murray, D. H. Persing, and B. Swaminathan.** 1995. Interpreting chromosomal DNA restriction patterns produced by pulsed-field gel electrophoresis: criteria for bacterial strain typing. J. Clin. Microbiol. **33:**2233–2239.
- 11. **Vali, L., A. Hamouda, M. C. Pearce, H. I. Knight, J. Evans, and S. G. Amyes.** 2007. Detection of genetic diversity by pulsed-field gel electrophoresis among *Escherichia coli* O157 isolated from bovine faecal samples by immunomagnetic separation technique. Lett. Appl. Microbiol. **44:**19–23.