# **The Doa4 Deubiquitinating Enzyme Is Required for Ubiquitin Homeostasis in Yeast**

# **Sowmya Swaminathan,\* Alexander Y. Amerik,† and Mark Hochstrasser†‡**

Departments of † Biochemistry and Molecular Biology and \*Molecular Genetics and Cell Biology, University of Chicago, Chicago, Illinois 60637

Submitted March 19, 1999; Accepted June 9, 1999 Monitoring Editor: Chris Kaiser

> Attachment of ubiquitin to cellular proteins frequently targets them to the 26S proteasome for degradation. In addition, ubiquitination of cell surface proteins stimulates their endocytosis and eventual degradation in the vacuole or lysosome. In the yeast *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*, ubiquitin is a long-lived protein, so it must be efficiently recycled from the proteolytic intermediates to which it becomes linked. We identified previously a yeast deubiquitinating enzyme, Doa4, that plays a central role in ubiquitin-dependent proteolysis by the proteasome. Biochemical and genetic data suggest that Doa4 action is closely linked to that of the proteasome. Here we provide evidence that Doa4 is required for recycling ubiquitin from ubiquitinated substrates targeted to the proteasome and, surprisingly, to the vacuole as well. In the  $doa4\Delta$  mutant, ubiquitin is strongly depleted under certain conditions, most notably as cells approach stationary phase. Ubiquitin depletion precedes a striking loss of cell viability in stationary phase *doa4*D cells. This loss of viability and several other defects of *doa4*D cells are rescued by provision of additional ubiquitin. Ubiquitin becomes depleted in the mutant because it is degraded much more rapidly than in wild-type cells. Aberrant ubiquitin degradation can be partially suppressed by mutation of the proteasome or by inactivation of vacuolar proteolysis or endocytosis. We propose that Doa4 helps recycle ubiquitin from both proteasome-bound ubiquitinated intermediates and membrane proteins destined for destruction in the vacuole.

# **INTRODUCTION**

Protein degradation by the ubiquitin–proteasome pathway is required for altering levels of key regulatory proteins as well as for clearing misfolded and damaged proteins from the cell. Substrates for this pathway function in processes as diverse as cell cycle progression, antigen presentation, cell fate specification, the stress response, and DNA repair. Ubiquitin is ligated to proteins through an isopeptide bond between the C-terminal Gly of ubiquitin and a Lys side chain on the substrate (Hochstrasser, 1995, 1996; Pickart, 1997; Hershko and Ciechanover, 1998). Assembly of a polyubiquitin chain(s) on the substrate is generally necessary for targeting to the 26S proteasome. In addition to its wellestablished role in proteasome-dependent degradation, protein ubiquitination has been shown to stimulate the internalization of cell surface proteins (Kolling and Hollenberg, 1994; Hein *et al.*, 1995; Hicke and Riezman, 1996). Rather than being degraded by the proteasome, these ubiquitinated proteins are destroyed by vacuolar proteases. Exactly how ubiquitin serves as an internalization signal is not known.

Ubiquitin can be recovered from ubiquitin–protein conjugates by the action of members of a family of thiol proteases referred to as deubiquitinating enzymes (Dubs) (Wilkinson and Hochstrasser, 1998). Dubs are also responsible for generating ubiquitin from its C-terminally extended precursor forms. Dubs can be grouped into two distinct classes that share no obvious homology. The ubiquitin C-terminal hydrolases are a set of generally small enzymes, most of which are specialized for cleaving peptides and other small adducts from the C terminus of ubiquitin (Larsen *et al.*, 1998). Members of the second class of Dubs are referred to as ubiquitin-specific processing proteases. These enzymes vary in size from  $~10$  to 300 kDa, and the only well-conserved regions common to all of them are two short elements containing absolutely conserved Cys and His residues, respectively, which probably constitute part of the active site (Baker *et al.*, 1992; Papa and Hochstrasser, 1993; Wilkinson and Hochstrasser, 1998). Sequence analyses in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* have revealed that this class of enzymes is remarkably large, consisting of 16 members (Hochstrasser, 1996). Although deubiquitinating activity for many of the Dubs has been demonstrated in vitro, their precise functions in

ubiquitin-dependent processes are not well understood. ‡ Corresponding author. E-mail address: hoc1@midway.uchicago.edu.

Some Dubs may negatively regulate protein degradation by removing ubiquitin from substrates before the substrates can be targeted to or destroyed by the proteasome (Huang *et al.*, 1995; Lam *et al.*, 1997; Chung *et al.*, 1998). Other Dubs positively regulate proteolysis. Yeast Ubp14 and its mammalian homologue isopeptidase T stimulate substrate degradation by the 26S proteasome both in vitro (Hadari *et al.*, 1992) and in vivo (Amerik *et al.*, 1997). Ubp14 and its orthologs appear to facilitate proteolysis by specifically disassembling unanchored ubiquitin chains that accumulate in vivo and can bind to and inhibit the 26S proteasome (Wilkinson *et al.*, 1995; Amerik *et al.*, 1997; Piotrowski *et al.*, 1997).

Protein ubiquitination marks a substrate for eventual degradation by the 26S proteasome, but it is unclear how ubiquitin itself escapes proteolysis. That ubiquitin can be recycled is suggested by in vitro degradation assays using 125I-labeled ubiquitin–lysozyme conjugates (Hough and Rechsteiner, 1986). A Dub that associates with the 26S proteasome would be uniquely suited to prevent ubiquitin degradation by releasing the polyubiquitin chain either just before or at some point during substrate proteolysis. Previous work suggested that Doa4 removes ubiquitin from proteasome-targeted proteolytic intermediates (Papa and Hochstrasser, 1993). More recently, we have shown that a fraction of Doa4 copurifies with the 26S proteasome (Papa *et al.*, 1999). Doa4 was identified in a genetic screen for mutants that stabilize *Deg1*-β-galactosidase (*Deg1*bgal), a fusion protein containing the *Deg1* degradation signal from the short-lived MATa2 transcriptional repressor (Hochstrasser and Varshavsky, 1990). Deletion of the *DOA4* gene leads to multiple defects including the inhibition of degradation of all tested ubiquitin–proteasome pathway substrates (Papa and Hochstrasser, 1993). In addition, anti-ubiquitin immunoblot analysis of extracts from  $doa4\Delta$  cells in logarithmic growth revealed a striking accumulation of low molecular mass ubiquitin-containing species that cluster most prominently above free ubiquitin and diubiquitin. These ubiquitinated species in  $doa4\Delta$  cells are believed to be ubiquitinated peptide remnants of proteasome substrates that can bind to and inhibit degradation by 26S proteasomes (Papa and Hochstrasser, 1993).

Here, we show that cells lacking the Doa4 enzyme are significantly depleted for ubiquitin, particularly as they enter stationary phase, and a number of defects of the *doa*4 $\Delta$  mutant can be rescued by restoring wild-type ubiquitin levels. Whereas ubiquitin is a long-lived protein in wild-type yeast, it is degraded relatively rapidly in *doa4*D cells, leading to ubiquitin depletion. Ubiquitin degradation in the mutant is dependent on the 26S proteasome and, surprisingly, vacuolar proteases. Additionally, we find that mutations that suppress endocytosis raise ubiquitin levels in the  $doa4\Delta$  mutant. These findings suggest that Doa4 recycles ubiquitin from ubiquitinated substrates destined for either the 26S proteasome or the vacuole and thereby spares ubiquitin from degradation by the two major cellular proteolytic systems.

#### **MATERIALS AND METHODS**

#### *Media*

Yeast rich and minimal media were prepared as described, and standard genetic procedures were followed (Ausubel *et al.*, 1989).

#### *Yeast Strain Construction*

All strains used in this study are congenic with MHY501 unless otherwise noted. The *doa4-*D*1::LEU2* null allele (MHY623) was described previously (Papa and Hochstrasser, 1993). MHY1063 was made by crossing MHY622 to MHY792. MHY1232 and MHY1269, strains bearing the *vps24*D*::HIS3* and *vps27*D*::LEU2* alleles, respectively, were generated as described (Amerik, Nowak, Swaminathan, and Hochstrasser, unpublished data). MHY1251 and MHY1275 were derived from crosses between MHY623 and MHY1233 and MHY1270, respectively (Amerik *et al.*, unpublished data). To generate MHY1475 (*end3-1*) and MHY1479 (*end3-1 doa4-*D*1::LEU2*), we crossed MHY623 to LHY500 (*end3-1)* (Raths *et al.*, 1993). Spores corresponding to an *end3-1* single mutant or an *end3-1 doa4-*D*1::LEU2* double mutant were isolated after tetrad dissection of the resultant diploid. The *end3-1* single-mutant spore was identified by an inability to grow at 37°C. end3-1 doa4- $\Delta \hat{1}$ ::LEU2 double mutants were identified by following segregation of the *LEU2* marker and by heat sensitivity at 37°C (the *doa4*D single mutant still grows at near wild-type rates at this temperature). Several doublemutant segregants were analyzed to minimize differences caused by differences in strain backgrounds. To construct MHY1046, we first mated MHY623 to the congenic BBY61 strain (Bartel *et al.*, 1990), and a *doa4-*D*1::LEU2 pep4::HIS3* spore was isolated. A PCR-based strategy was used to disrupt the gene encoding *PRB1* with the kanMX module (Wach *et al.*, 1994) in the *doa4-*D*1::LEU2 pep4::HIS3* to create the final strain MHY1046. MHY1061 was isolated from a cross between MHY1046 and MHY500. MHY1528 (*doa4*D *doa3-1 pep4 prb1*D) was made by crossing MHY784 to MHY1045, identifying the quadruple chromosomal null mutant by marker analysis, and then replacing the wild-type DOA3 plasmid with YCplac22doa3-1.

#### *Pulse-Chase and Immunoblot Analysis*

Pulse-chase assays to determine degradation rates were performed as described previously (Chen *et al.*, 1993). Immunoprecipitations were performed with antibodies against  $\alpha$ 2 (Hochstrasser and Varshavsky, 1990) or *Escherichia coli*  $\beta$ -galactosidase (Cappel, West Chester, PA). To induce high levels of ubiquitin expression from the *CUP1* promoter, we treated cells with 100  $\mu$ M CuSO<sub>4</sub> (J.T. Baker, Phillipsburg, NJ) for  $\sim$ 3 h (Ellison and Hochstrasser, 1991).

For measuring rates of ubiquitin synthesis, cells grown in minimal medium to an OD<sub>600</sub> of  $\sim$ 1.5 were labeled for 30 min at 30°C using 300  $\mu$ Ci of <sup>35</sup>S-Translabel (ICN Pharmaceuticals, Costa Mesa, CA). Radiolabeled yeast cells were lysed by mixing with an equal aliquot of 2% SDS, 90 mM HEPES, pH 7.5, and 30 mM DTT and boiling for 5 min. Ubiquitin was immunoprecipitated using a rabbit antiserum that we raised against ubiquitin by methods described previously (Haas and Bright, 1985).

Extracts for anti-ubiquitin immunoblot analysis were made from cells grown at 30°C in minimal media except where noted. Cells were resuspended in Laemmli SDS gel-loading buffer, boiled for 10 min, and centrifuged at  $14,000 \times g$  for 5 min to remove cell debris. Extracts from  $0.25$  OD<sub>600</sub> units of cells were loaded onto 16% Tricine gels (Schägger and von Jagow, 1987) and transferred to Immobilon-P membranes (Millipore, Bedford, MA). Blots were boiled in water for 30 min before incubating with a 1:500 dilution of affinitypurified anti-ubiquitin antibodies in TBST buffer (Tris-HCl, pH 8.0, 150 mM NaCl, 0.1% Tween-20) containing 1% nonfat dry milk. Anti-ubiquitin antibodies were affinity-purified against denatured ubiquitin as described previously (Haas and Bright, 1985). Antibody binding was detected using ECL reagents from Amersham (Arlington Heights, IL). For quantitative immunoblot analysis, [125I]protein A (New England Nuclear, Boston, MA) was used for detecting the anti-ubiquitin primary antibody. The linearity of [125I]protein A binding was determined using serial dilutions of yeast cell extracts. To follow 3-phosphoglycerate kinase (Pgk1), anti-ubiquitin immunoblots were stripped by heating for 30 min at 50°C in stripping solution (100 mM  $\beta$ -mercaptoethanol, 2% SDS, 62.5 mM Tris-HCl, pH 6.7). A mouse monoclonal antibody against Pgk1 (Molecular

Probes, Eugene, OR) was used at a dilution of 1:500. A rabbit anti-mouse IgG antibody (Cappel) at a dilution of 1:1000 was used as a "sandwich antibody" before incubating with [125I]protein A. All antibody incubations were performed in 1% nonfat dry milk in TBST for 1 h. Blots were washed twice for 10 min in TBST between antibody incubations. The data were quantitated using a PhosphorImager (Molecular Dynamics, Sunnyvale, CA).

#### *Measurements of Ubiquitin Half-Life*

To measure the rate of disappearance of cellular ubiquitin, we added cycloheximide to a final concentration of 50  $\mu$ g/ml to cells grown in minimal medium to an  $OD_{600}$  of  $~1.5$ . At the desired time points, extracts from equal aliquots of cells were removed and heated for 10 min at 100°C in lysis buffer (0.0625 M Tris-HCl, pH 6.8, 2% SDS, 10% glycerol). Proteins were electrophoresed through 16% Tricine gels and processed for anti-ubiquitin immunoblot analysis as described above. The amount of protein loaded in each lane was normalized after measurements of protein concentration with the bicinchoninic acid reagent (Pierce Chemical, Rockford, IL). Affinitypurified anti-ubiquitin antibody provided by C. Pickart (Johns Hopkins University, Baltimore, MD) was used at a dilution of 1:6000 in TBST with 1% nonfat dry milk, and membranes were incubated for 1 h at room temperature. Antibody binding was detected with [ $125$ I]protein A (1  $\mu$ Ci in 10 ml of TBST) after a 1 h incubation at room temperature. Ubiquitin degradation rates were derived from quantitation of these blots using a PhosphorImager and linear leastsquares curve fitting of the data. The membranes were subsequently stripped and probed with an anti-Pgk1 antibody as described above. Cell viability was determined by plating appropriate culture dilutions from the 0 and 120 min time points onto minimal medium. Viability was found to decrease by 25–30% after 2 h in cycloheximide for all the strains examined (see Figure 7).

# *Analysis of doa4*D *Defects in the Presence of Augmented Ubiquitin Expression*

Cells were transformed with the control vector (pES12) or plasmids carrying genes under the control of the *CUP1* promoter that encoded ubiquitin (YEp96 [Ellison and Hochstrasser, 1991]), ubiquitin-K48R (YEp110 [Hochstrasser *et al.*, 1991]), or ubiquitin-K63R (pTER103 [Arnason and Ellison, 1994]). The strains were grown to midlogarithmic phase in Trp-dropout medium that selected for plasmid retention. Tenfold serial dilutions of cells were spotted on selective plates supplemented with CdCl<sub>2</sub> (30  $\mu$ M) or canavanine sulfate (0.8  $\mu$ g/ml). Plates were incubated at 30°C for 3 d. For assessing temperature sensitivity, plates were placed at 38°C for 3–5 d.

To follow viability of *doa4* $\Delta$  cells entering stationary phase, we diluted saturated yeast cultures into selective medium and grew the cultures overnight at 30°C. Viability measurements were begun when cells reached an OD $_{600}$  of  $\sim$ 0.5. Cell death was followed by uptake of the fluorescent DNA dye propidium iodide (50  $\mu$ g/ $\mu$ l; Molecular Probes). Propidium iodide–stained cells were counted using a hemocytometer (Fisher Scientific, Pittsburgh, PA). In separate experiments, cell viability was also determined by plating cells onto solid medium and counting colonies. The results from the propidium iodide uptake and cell-plating assays were in close agreement.

#### *Northern RNA Hybridization Analysis*

Total RNA was purified from yeast cells, and Northern RNA hybridization analysis was performed as described (Ausubel *et al.*, 1989). Ten micrograms of RNA from each sample were used for each lane. To detect *UBI4* mRNA, a 1.3-kb *Bst*XI-*Bcl*I fragment from UBI4 (Finley *et al.*, 1987) was radiolabeled with  $\alpha$ -<sup>32</sup>P]dATP using a random-primed DNA-labeling kit (Boehringer Mannheim, Indianapolis, IN). The actin probe was a radiolabeled 560-bp *Cla*I fragment from the yeast *ACT1* gene.

#### *Lucifer Yellow Uptake Assays*

Yeast overnight cultures grown in minimal medium were rediluted into fresh medium and harvested at an  $OD_{600}$  of  $\sim 0.5$ . One  $OD_{600}$ unit of cells was collected by centrifugation, resuspended in 90  $\mu$ l of fresh medium, and incubated with 4 mg/ml Lucifer yellow (Sigma, St. Louis, MO) at 30°C for 2 h (Raths *et al.*, 1993). At the end of the incubation period, cells were washed three to four times with icecold 50 mM sodium succinate, pH 5, and 10 mM sodium azide buffer to remove excess Lucifer yellow. Cells were viewed using epifluorescence optics on a Zeiss Axioskop microscope (Thornwood, NY).

#### **RESULTS**

### *Reduced Ubiquitin Levels and Increased Cell Death in Stationary Phase doa4*D *Cells*

Logarithmic phase *doa4*D cells accumulate small ubiquitinated species (Papa and Hochstrasser, 1993) (Figure 1A, lane 7). During logarithmic growth, the mutant cells also show an approximately threefold decrease in free ubiquitin levels relative to that in wild-type cells based on quantitative antiubiquitin immunoblotting (see Figure 1A). Mutant *doa4*D cells from a culture in early stationary phase/diauxic shift (OD<sub>600</sub>  $\sim$  2.5) had more severely reduced free ubiquitin, having 10-fold less ubiquitin than wild-type cells at the same stage in the growth cycle (Figure 1A, lane 2 vs. lane 8). Compared with cells in logarithmic growth, early stationary phase wild-type cells had at most a slight decrease in free ubiquitin (Figure 1A, lane 1 vs. lane 2). For normalization, levels of the glycolytic enzyme Pgk1 were followed by quantitative immunoblotting and were found to vary by  $<50\%$  in either wild-type or mutant cells (Figure 1A).

Because *doa4*D cells are considerably depleted for ubiquitin in stationary phase, we asked whether the mutant cells could maintain viability. Survival of *doa4* $\Delta$  cells in logarithmic growth was indistinguishable from that of wild-type cells (Figure 1B). However, mutant cells in stationary phase experienced a striking loss of viability, with cell survival falling to  $\sim$ 5% by 26 h (Figure 1B). The decrease in ubiquitin levels in *doa4*D cells occurred well before the increase in cell death. By 6 h, mutant cells exhibited a pronounced depletion of free ubiquitin, whereas cell viability remained close to that of wild-type (Figure 1).

We then determined whether providing mutant cells with additional ubiquitin would enhance their survival in stationary phase. Ubiquitin was expressed from a high-copy allele under the control of the copper-inducible *CUP1* promoter (YEp96 [Ellison and Hochstrasser, 1991]). Without addition of copper, the YEp96 plasmid allowed sufficient expression to restore ubiquitin to wild-type levels (see Figure 5), so copper was not added to the medium in these experiments. Under these conditions,  $doa4\Delta$  cell viability was restored to  $\sim$  50% of that noted with wild-type cells (Figure 1B), suggesting that ubiquitin depletion could account for most but not all of the decreased survival of stationary phase mutant cells. Provision of ubiquitin to stationary phase *doa4*D cells restored free ubiquitin levels; however, the small ubiquitinated species that are characteristic of exponentially growing *doa4*D cells accumulated only to low levels (Figure 1A,



Figure 1. Characteristics of stationary phase  $doa4\Delta$  cells. (A) Analysis of ubiquitin levels in wild-type and *doa4*D cells at distinct stages in the growth cycle. Lanes 1–3, wild type (vector); lanes 4–6, wild type (YEp96); lanes 7–9, *doa*4∆ (vector); lanes 10–12, *doa*4∆ (YEp96). At the indicated time points, extracts for immunoblot analysis were made from the same yeast cultures that were used in B. Antiubiquitin antibody binding was detected by enhanced chemiluminescence, whereas anti-Pgk1 antibody binding was followed by [<sup>125</sup>I]protein A. The \* and bracket indicate the positions of ubiquitinated species that accumulate in  $doa4\Delta$  cells. The slight increase in ubiquitin (Ub) levels in wild-type cells carrying YEp96 at 6 h is most likely caused by upregulation of the *CUP1* promoter in response to nutrient starvation (Tamai *et al.*, 1994). The position of size standards (in kilodaltons) is indicated on the left. (B) Viability of wildtype and  $doa4\Delta$  cells in the presence and absence of exogenously supplied ubiquitin. Rates of survival of wild-type (MHY501) and congenic *doa4*D (MHY623) cells carrying pES12 (vector) or YEp96 (*CUP1UB)* were measured by propidium iodide staining. Viability measurements were begun when yeast cultures were in logarithmic growth at an OD<sub>600</sub> of  $\sim$ 0.5,  $\sim$ 10 h before growth levels off. The symbols denote the following strains: wild type (vector;  $\blacksquare$ ), wild type (*CUP1UB*; **△**), *doa*4∆ (vector; ●), and *doa*4∆ (*CUP1UB*; ◆).



**Figure 2.** The deubiquitinating activity of Doa4 is required to maintain ubiquitin levels in stationary phase. Anti-ubiquitin immunoblots (ECL detection) of *doa4*D (MHY623) cells carrying YCplac33 based plasmids expressing either the HA-tagged active site mutant, doa4<sup>C571S</sup>, or wild-type HA-Doa4. Lanes 1-3 and 4-6 show extracts from logarithmic phase cells ( $OD_{600} \sim 1.0$ ) and early stationary phase cells ( $OD_{600} \sim 2.5$ ), respectively. Positions of putative ubiquitin-peptide species in  $doa4\Delta$  cells are indicated by the  $*$  and bracket; free ubiquitin and unanchored ubiquitin chain positions are also marked. The blot (middle) showing free ubiquitin alone is a shorter exposure of the top immunoblot. As a loading control, Pgk1 levels (bottom blot) were followed, with [125I]protein A as the secondary antibody. Very weak suppression of ubiquitin depletion<br>is detected in cells expressing doa4<sup>C571S</sup>; the mechanistic basis of this suppression is unknown.

lanes 11 and 12), suggesting that reduction of these species in stationary phase mutant cells is not simply a consequence of reduced ubiquitin levels. One possible explanation is that these ubiquitinated species are rapidly turned over in stationary phase *doa4* $\Delta$  cells, thus preventing accumulation to high levels.

To establish that decreased ubiquitin levels in *doa4*D cells were caused by loss of the deubiquitinating activity of Doa4, we examined ubiquitin levels in mutant cells expressing hemagglutinin (HA) epitope-tagged doa4C571S, a protein that has no deubiquitinating activity in vitro (Papa and Hochstrasser, 1993). HA-doa4<sup>C571S</sup> levels were similar to those of wild-type HA-Doa4 by anti-HA immunoblot analysis. Expression of the active site mutant failed to restore ubiquitin in stationary phase *doa4*D cells to wild-type levels (Figure 2, lane 5 vs. lane 6). From these data, we conclude

**Figure 3.** Suppression of the heat, canavanine, and cadmium sensitivities of  $doa4\Delta$  cells by ubiquitin expression. Tenfold serial dilutions of wild-type (MHY501) or *doa4*D (MHY623) cells transformed with pES12 (vector), YEp96 (*CUP1*-UB), YEp90 (*CUP1*-UBK48R), and pTER103 (*CUP1*-UBK63R) were spotted onto selective plates containing 0.8  $\mu$ g/ml canavanine sulfate or 30  $\mu$ M CdCl<sub>2</sub>. No copper was added. Plates were incubated at either 30 or 38°C for 3–5 d.



that  $doa4\Delta$  cells are unable to maintain normal ubiquitin levels and that the deubiquitinating activity of Doa4 is necessary for intracellular ubiquitin homeostasis.

### *Effect of Ubiquitin Supplementation on the doa4* $\Delta$ *Stress and Proteolytic Defects*

Mutations in genes encoding proteins that function in ubiquitin-dependent proteolysis often cause cells to become sensitive to various stress conditions (Jungmann *et al.*, 1993; Chen and Hochstrasser, 1995; Amerik *et al.*, 1997). Deletion of the *DOA4* gene leads to multiple phenotypic abnormalities such as sensitivity to heat, the amino acid analogue canavanine, or the heavy metal cadmium (Papa and Hochstrasser, 1993). Provision of additional ubiquitin to  $doa4\Delta$ cells (YEp96, no copper addition) completely rescued the stress sensitivities of these cells (Figure 3), suggesting that limited ubiquitin pools account for these particular defects of the mutant.

Of the seven Lys residues in ubiquitin, Lys-48, Lys-63, and Lys-29 can function in yeast as ubiquitin addition sites during the formation of polyubiquitin chains (Arnason and Ellison, 1994; Spence *et al.*, 1995). Mutation of Lys-48 to Arg is lethal; the Lys-29 and Lys-63 mutations are not. There are no known phenotypic defects associated with the ubiquitin-K29R mutation, but K63-linked ubiquitin polymers have been implicated in tolerance to stress and DNA damage (Arnason and Ellison, 1994; Spence *et al.*, 1995). In agreement with previous evidence, the ubiquitin-K63R mutant failed to suppress the stress sensitivity of  $doa4\Delta$  cells (Figure 3). In contrast, the ubiquitin-K48R derivative supported nearly wild-type growth of mutant cells exposed to high temperature, cadmium, or canavanine.

The observation that many of the cellular defects of the *doa4*D mutant can be at least partially suppressed by restoration of normal ubiquitin levels led us to examine in more detail whether a reduced ubiquitin pool may contribute to the proteolytic defects in *doa4*D cells. In wild-type cells, polyubiquitinated forms of the Ub-Pro- $\beta$ gal test substrate accumulated transiently and subsequently disappeared (Bachmair *et al.*, 1986) (Figure 4A, open bracket). As shown previously, these species were greatly diminished in *doa4*D cells, and Ub-Pro- $\bar{\beta}$ gal was a long-lived protein (Papa and Hochstrasser, 1993). Providing additional ubiquitin well in excess of wild-type levels ( $YEp96 + copper$ ) enhanced the polyubiquitinated forms of  $Ub$ -Pro- $\beta$ gal, suggesting that ubiquitin levels were limiting for modification of Ub-Pro- $\beta$ gal in *doa*4 $\Delta$  cells (Figure 4A). Nevertheless, Ub-Pro- $\beta$ gal continued to be long-lived both in the presence and absence

of added copper. Similarly, only very weak suppression of the degradation defect of Leu- $\beta$ gal, a substrate for the N-end rule pathway (Bachmair *et al.*, 1986), was observed in *doa4*D cells under the same conditions (after a 45-min chase, the amount of Leu- $\beta$ gal remaining was reduced by <30%).

The yeast  $\alpha$ 2 repressor is destroyed in wild-type cells with a half-life of  $\sim$ 5 min, and deleting *DOA4* extends the half-life of <sup>a</sup>2 at least fourfold (Papa and Hochstrasser, 1993). Restoration of wild-type ubiquitin levels (YEp96, no copper) partially rescued the  $\alpha$ 2 degradation defect in  $doa4\Delta$  cells (Figure 4, B and D). Induction of high levels of ubiquitin expression with 100  $\mu$ M copper resulted in complete rescue of the  $\alpha$ 2 degradation defect in the mutant (Figure 4, C and E). Under the same conditions, the kinetics of  $\alpha$ 2 turnover in wild-type cells was unchanged relative to that of the vector control (Hochstrasser *et al.*, 1991). Similarly, degradation of *Deg1*-Ura3 (Figure 4, B and C) and *Deg1*-bgal, substrates containing the *Deg1* degradation signal of  $\alpha$ 2, was restored upon ubiquitin overproduction  $(YEp96 + copper)$  in the mutant.

These experiments establish that the degradation of substrates such as Ub-Pro- $\beta$ gal, Leu- $\beta$ gal, and to some extent  $\alpha$ 2 is inhibited in *doa4*D cells even with normal amounts of free ubiquitin (Figure 5). Thus, the degradation defect of proteasome-dependent substrates in  $d$ oa4 $\Delta$  cells cannot be explained by ubiquitin depletion alone, suggesting an additional block to proteolysis in *doa*4 $\Delta$  cells.

# *Ubiquitin Synthesis Is Not Reduced in doa4*D *Cells*

Because the yeast polyubiquitin gene *UBI4* is specifically induced in stationary phase cells (Finley *et al.*, 1987), it was possible that ubiquitin depletion in stationary phase *doa4*D cells resulted from an inability to accumulate *UBI4* transcripts. However, as demonstrated in Figure 6A, *UBI4* was expressed even earlier in *doa4*D than in wild-type cells, being easily detectable in cells that were still in exponential growth (t = 0 h; OD<sub>600</sub>  $\sim$  0.5). By 8 h (OD<sub>600</sub>  $\sim$  2.5), when ubiquitin protein levels had already declined in  $doa4\Delta$  cells, *UBI4* transcripts accumulated to a level fourfold higher than that observed in wild-type cells and remained elevated as the culture approached stationary phase.

To ascertain whether reduced ubiquitin levels in the  $doa4\Delta$ mutant were caused by a decline in synthesis from the remaining ubiquitin genes *UBI1–3*, we estimated overall rates of ubiquitin protein synthesis in wild-type and mutant cells. Immunoprecipitation of pulse-labeled ubiquitin suggested that synthesis of ubiquitin was similar in wild-type and *doa4*D cells (Figure 6B). Hence, the reduced ubiquitin levels in  $doa4\Delta$  cells cannot be explained by an inhibition of ubiquitin protein synthesis.



**Figure 4.** Pulse-chase analysis of Ub-Pro- $\beta$ gal (A), *Deg1*-Ura3 (B and C), and  $\alpha$ 2 (B-E) in *doa*4 $\Delta$  cells supplemented with ubiquitin. Turnover rates of Ub-Pro- $\beta$ gal and *Deg1*-Ura3 were followed in wild-type (MHY501) and *doa4*D (MHY623) cells carrying plasmids expressing  $Ub$ -Pro- $\beta$ gal from the *GAL1* promoter and *Deg1*-Ura3 from the  $\alpha$ 2 promoter. Endogenous  $\alpha$ 2 levels were followed in wild-type (MHY501) and *doa4*D (MHY623) cells transformed with a plasmid carrying *Deg1*-Ura3. Where indicated,  $100 \mu M$ CuSO<sub>4</sub> was added to cultures  $\sim$ 3 h before harvesting. For D and E, the symbols denote the following strains: wild type (vector;  $\blacksquare$ ), wild type  $(CUP1UB; \triangle)$ , *doa*4 $\triangle$  (vector;  $\bullet$ ), and  $d$ oa4 $\Delta$  (*CUP1UB*;  $\blacklozenge$ ).

*Aberrant Ubiquitin Degradation in doa4*D *Cells* We then investigated the possibility that ubiquitin was being degraded at abnormally high rates in the *doa4*A mutant

during progression into stationary phase. To follow the entire cellular pool of conjugated and unconjugated ubiquitin, we added cycloheximide to yeast cultures to block protein





**Figure 5.** Levels of ubiquitin in  $doa4\Delta$  cells carrying the ubiquitin-encoding YEp96 multicopy plasmid. Anti-ubiquitin immunoblot analysis of logarithmic phase extracts from wild-type (MHY501) and *doa4*D (MHY623) cells cotransformed with plasmid-borne *Deg1*-Ura3 and either pES12 (vector) or YEp96 (*CUP1UB*). The same transformants were used for the pulsechase analysis in Figure 4, B-D.  $[125]$  protein A was used to detect ubiquitin and Pgk1 levels.

synthesis and assayed extracts made at various times thereafter by quantitative anti-ubiquitin immunoblotting. Ubiquitin was very long-lived in wild-type cells, showing little or no degradation during a 2 h chase (Figure 7, A and E). By contrast, ubiquitin disappeared in  $doa4\Delta$  cells with a half-life of  $\sim$ 45–60 min (Figure 7, B and E).

Because of the evidence linking Doa4 and the 26S proteasome (Papa *et al.*, 1999), the proteasome was an obvious candidate for a protease that degrades ubiquitin in *doa4*D cells. Failure to release ubiquitin efficiently from a ubiquitinated substrate targeted to the proteasome might cause the entire conjugate to get degraded. Indeed, introduction into *doa4*D cells of the *doa3-1* mutation, which affects a catalytic subunit of the proteasome (Chen and Hochstrasser, 1995), extended the half-life of ubiquitin by  $\sim$ 80% (Figure 7, C and E). The *doa3-1* allele results in only a partial loss of function, so complete inactivation of the protease might be expected to have a further stabilizing effect.

To test the specificity of 26S proteasome involvement in ubiquitin degradation, we inactivated the vacuolar proteolytic system in *doa4*D cells by disruption of *PEP4* and *PRB1*. These genes encode vacuolar proteases that are required for maturation and activation of most or all vacuolar hydrolases (Zubenko *et al.*, 1982). Unexpectedly, ubiquitin degradation was significantly suppressed in *doa4* $\Delta$  *pep4 prb1* $\Delta$  triplemutant cells. The half-life of ubiquitin was  $\sim$ 2.5 h in these cells (Figure 7, D and E). The control Pgk1 protein was



**Figure 6.** Analysis of ubiquitin synthesis rates in  $doa4\Delta$  cells. (A) Northern analysis of *UBI4*. Total RNA was prepared from wild-type (MHY501) and *doa4*<sup>1</sup> (MHY623) cells grown in minimal media in early logarithmic phase  $(t = 0 h)$  and stationary phase  $(t = 8$  and 14 h). *UBI4* transcripts were detected using a 1.3-kb *Bst*XI-*Bcl*I fragment from *UBI4*. The blot was stripped and reprobed with a 560-bp *Cla*I fragment from the *ACT1* gene. (B) Pulse labeling of ubiquitin. Radiolabeled ubiquitin was immunoprecipitated from wild-type (MHY501) and *doa4*D (MHY623) cells in late logarithmic phase ( $OD_{600} \sim 1.5$ ) with anti-ubiquitin antiserum, which does not efficiently immunoprecipitate ubiquitin– protein conjugates. Cells were pulse-labeled with 35S-TransLabel for 30 min.

similarly long-lived in wild-type, *doa4*D, *doa4*D *doa3-1*, and *doa4*D *pep4 prb1*D strains (Figure 7F). Inactivation of proteolysis by the 26S proteasome or the vacuole also reduced levels of the ubiquitinated species characteristic of *doa4*D cells, suggesting that both proteolytic pathways, either directly or indirectly, contribute to the formation of these species. This observation suggests a correlation between generation of the low molecular mass ubiquitinated species and ubiquitin degradation in the mutant.

The *doa3-1* and *pep4 prb1*D mutations led to an approximate two- and sixfold increase in ubiquitin levels, respectively, in stationary phase *doa4*D cells. The partial restoration of ubiquitin levels may result from an incomplete stabilization of ubiquitin by mutation of only one of these proteolytic pathways. Indeed, a quadruple mutant, *doa4*D *doa3-1 pep4 prb1*D, which is defective for both proteasomal and vacuolar degradation had wild-type levels of ubiquitin in stationary phase, consistent with distinct contributions of both proteolytic pathways to ubiquitin turnover.

### *Genetic Interactions between doa4*D *and Components of the Endocytic Pathway*

Ubiquitination of cell surface proteins is known to promote their endocytosis and eventual degradation in the vacuole or



**Figure 7.** Analysis of ubiquitin degradation in  $doa4\Delta$  cells. (A) Rates of ubiquitin turnover determined in wild-type (MHY501) cells in late logarithmic phase ( $OD<sub>600</sub> \sim 1.5$ ). Cycloheximide was added to a final concentration of 50  $\mu$ g/ml, and at the indicated time points, extracts from equal aliquots of cells were processed for anti-ubiquitin immunoblotting. Ubiquitin and ubiquitin-containing species were detected using affinitypurified anti-ubiquitin antibodies (from C. Pickart) and [<sup>125</sup>I]protein A as the secondary antibody. (B) *doa4*<sup>1</sup> (MHY623) cells analyzed as described in A. (C)  $doa4\Delta$   $doa3-1$ (MHY1063) cells analyzed as described in A. (D) *doa4*D *pep4 prb1*D (MHY1046) cells analyzed as described in A. (E) Quantitation of the rates of ubiquitin disappearance in A–D. Rates of ubiquitin turnover were derived from PhosphorImager (Molecular Dynamics) quantitation of the blots. (F) Quantitation of rates of Pgk1 disappearance in A–D. The symbols denote the following strains: wild type (■), *doa4* $\Delta$  (▲), *doa4* $\Delta$  *doa3-1* (●),  $doa4\Delta$  *pep4 prb1* $\Delta$  ( $\blacklozenge$ ).

lysosome (Kolling and Hollenberg, 1994; Hein *et al.*, 1995; Hicke and Riezman, 1996; Govers *et al.*, 1999). Due to the low levels of ubiquitin in *doa4*D cells, internalization of a number of endocytic pathway substrates is inhibited (Galan and Haguenauer-Tsapis, 1997; Terrell *et al.*, 1998), although some membrane proteins are still internalized normally (Loayza

and Michaelis, 1998). Because ubiquitin is a metabolically stable protein in wild-type yeast (Figure 7), ubiquitin must also be released before vacuolar degradation of the endocytosed proteins. Vacuolar proteolysis of ubiquitinated membrane proteins that failed to be deubiquitinated in the *doa4*D mutant could explain the participation of vacuolar proteases

in ubiquitin degradation. To test this idea, we assessed the effects of blocking endocytosis in the  $doa4\Delta$  mutant by introducing *end3-1*, a temperature-sensitive allele of *END3* that specifically inhibits the internalization step of endocytosis (Raths *et al.*, 1993). Analysis of the endocytic block using a fluorescent fluid phase marker, Lucifer yellow, indicated that at 30°C, the *end3-1* allele in our strain background was primarily but not completely defective for endocytosis, with  $\sim$ 10% of the cells still showing Lucifer yellow staining in the vacuole compared with nearly 100% of wild-type cells. In logarithmic phase *end3-1 doa4* $\Delta$  cells, the ubiquitinated species characteristic of *doa4*D cells were substantially suppressed (Figure 8A), and in saturated cultures, ubiquitin levels were partially restored (Figure 8B), reflecting a reduction in the rate of ubiquitin degradation relative to that in *doa4*D cells based on cycloheximide-chase experiments. Similar results were found with a second *end3-1 doa4*Δ mutant derived from the same cross.

We also analyzed the effects of interfering with a later step in the endocytic pathway. A recent suppressor screen for mutations that restored *Deg1*-βgal degradation in *doa4* mutant cells identified mutations in several vacuolar protein– sorting (*VPS*) genes, including *VPS24* and *VPS27* (Amerik, Nowak, Swaminathan, and Hochstrasser, unpublished data). Vps24 and Vps27 regulate membrane traffic from the late endosome to the vacuole (Piper *et al.*, 1995; Babst *et al.*, 1998). In accordance with our findings with the *end3-1 doa4*D double mutant, deletion of either *VPS24* or *VPS27* suppressed the accumulation of the low molecular mass ubiquitinated species in logarithmic phase  $doa4\Delta$  cells (Figure 8C) as well as the depletion of ubiquitin levels (Figure 8B) and abnormal ubiquitin degradation in stationary phase cells. Several related mechanisms for the targeting of cytoplasmic proteins to the vacuole are also known. The autophagy pathway takes up a random portion of cytosol for delivery to the vacuole, whereas the cytoplasm-to-vacuole (Cvt) pathway is more substrate selective (Bryant and Stevens, 1998). The endocytic, Cvt, and autophagy pathways all appear to converge at the late endosome (Scott *et al.*, 1997), so the latter two pathways may also contribute to aberrant ubiquitin metabolism in the *doa4*D mutant. However, we found that mutations in the autophagy and Cvt pathways did not suppress formation of the low molecular mass ubiquitinated species in *doa4*D cells (our unpublished data).

In summary, mutations that impeded delivery of ubiquitinated proteins from the cell surface to the vacuole suppressed aberrant ubiquitin proteolysis in  $doa4\Delta$  cells. These findings suggest that in wild-type cells, but not in the *doa4*D mutant, ubiquitinated endocytosed substrates are normally deubiquitinated before their destruction by vacuolar proteases. In agreement with these findings, levels of high molecular mass ubiquitinated proteins were elevated in purified vacuolar fractions from *doa4*D *pep4*  $prb1\Delta$  cells relative to the *doa4* $\Delta$  or *pep4 prb1* $\Delta$  mutants; however, we were unable to detect free ubiquitin or the low molecular mass ubiquitin conjugates in the same preparations (our unpublished data).

#### **DISCUSSION**

In this study, we show that the yeast deubiquitinating enzyme Doa4 is required to regulate ubiquitin levels in vivo.



**Figure 8.** Suppression of *doa4*D defects by mutations in the endocytic pathway. (A) *end3-1* suppresses accumulation of low molecular mass ubiquitinated species (bracket) in *doa4*D cells. Anti-ubiquitin immunoblotting (ECL detection) of extracts from cells in logarithmic growth is shown. Wild-type (MHY501), *doa4*D (MHY623), *end3-1* (MHY1475), and *end3-1 doa4*D (MHY1479) cells were grown at 23°C. Cultures were shifted to 30°C for  $\sim$ 2–3 h before extracts for immunoblot analysis were made. (B) Suppression of ubiquitin depletion in stationary phase  $doa4\Delta$  cells by mutations in *VPS24*, *VPS27*, or *END3* as assayed by anti-ubiquitin immunoblot analysis (ECL detection) is shown. Extracts were made from cells at an OD $_{600}$  of  $\sim$  2.5. (C) Inhibition of the vacuolar protein–sorting pathway or of vacuolar proteases suppresses the accumulation of the low molecular mass ubiquitinated species (bracket) in  $doa4\Delta$  cells. Anti-ubiquitin immunoblot analysis (ECL detection) of logarithmic phase cells from wild-type (MHY501), *doa4*D (MHY623), *pep4 prb1*D (MHY1061), *doa4*D *pep4 prb1*D (MHY1046), *vps24*D (MHY1232), *vps24*D *doa4*D (MHY1251), *vps27*D (MHY1269), and *vps27*D *doa4*D (MHY1275) cells is shown. Bottom, blots in A–C were stripped and reprobed with an anti-Pgk1 antibody, using [ 125I]protein A for antibody detection.

Cells deleted for *DOA4* are unable to maintain normal amounts of ubiquitin, and this defect is responsible for the impairment of a subset of ubiquitin-dependent processes in the mutant. Ubiquitin depletion results from aberrant ubiquitin degradation, which depends on a functional proteasome, an observation consistent with the previously characterized role for Doa4 in proteasome-dependent proteolysis. However, the present work has also uncovered an unexpected contribution by vacuolar proteases to aberrant ubiquitin degradation in *doa4*D cells. As discussed below, these findings have implications for cellular ubiquitin homeostasis and point to a central role for Doa4 in recycling ubiquitin from proteins destined for degradation by the 26S proteasome or by the vacuole.

# *Ubiquitin Levels and the Proteolytic Defect in doa4*D *Cells*

Mutant *doa*4 $\Delta$  cells are compromised for degradation of all tested substrates of the 26S proteasome. Substrates such as Ub-Pro-bgal show reduced ubiquitination in the *doa4*D mutant, and provision of additional ubiquitin enhances Ub-Pro- $\beta$ gal ubiquitination. Mutant *doa*4 $\Delta$  cells have reduced levels of ubiquitin, but even with normal or strongly elevated ubiquitin levels, degradation of substrates such as Ub-Pro- $\beta$ gal or the N-end rule Leu- $\beta$ gal substrate is not restored, indicating that the  $doa4\Delta$  mutant is also defective for a postubiquitination step in the ubiquitin–proteasome pathway, presumably proteolysis by the 26S proteasome (Papa *et al.*, 1999).

The reduced ubiquitin levels in  $doa4\Delta$  cells do limit degradation of other tested proteasomal substrates. Raising ubiquitin to wild-type levels in the  $doa4\Delta$  mutant partially restores the degradation of *Deg1*-Ura3, *Deg1*- $\beta$ gal, and  $\alpha$ 2, suggesting that the mutant is defective for ubiquitination of these substrates. Strong ubiquitin overproduction in the mutant almost completely suppresses the defective degradation of these proteins. Levels of ubiquitinated  $\alpha$ 2 are known to increase significantly in wild-type cells under these conditions (Hochstrasser *et al.*, 1991); such enhanced ubiquitination might make  $\alpha$ 2 a more effective substrate for a compromised 26S proteasome in *doa4*D cells, thereby restoring wildtype degradation kinetics. Together, the data with the different substrates indicate that the *doa4*D degradation defect is due to reduced ubiquitination of substrates because of ubiquitin depletion and/or to inhibition of a postubiquitination event. The extent to which the impairment of one or the other of these two processes affects proteolysis in the mutant cells is substrate dependent.

Provision of additional ubiquitin also rescues the cellular growth defects of *doa4*D cells to varying degrees. Whereas providing these cells with ubiquitin only partially suppresses the reduced viability in stationary phase, the other stress abnormalities are almost completely suppressed. Although the mechanistic basis for the stress sensitivities exhibited by mutants in the ubiquitin–proteasome pathway is not well understood, a generally accepted notion is that exposure of cells to agents such as heat, cadmium, or canavanine leads to the accumulation of damaged and aberrantly folded proteins that must be degraded. Tolerance of canavanine or cadmium may also depend on downregulation of certain cell surface proteins by ubiquitin-dependent internalization. For example, canavanine and cadmium treatment may lead to ubiquitination and endocytosis of the Arg permease and transporters for divalent cations, respectively. Ubiquitin-dependent internalization is strongly inhibited in the *doa4* $\Delta$  mutant, and for a number of proteins, the endocytic defect is a consequence of reduced ubiquitin levels (Galan and Haguenauer-Tsapis, 1997; Medintz *et al.*, 1998; Terrell *et al.*, 1998). Restoring ubiquitin levels may reduce canavanine and cadmium sensitivity by suppressing the endocytic defect in  $doa4\Delta$  cells.

# *Ubiquitin Homeostasis in doa4*D *Cells*

Under conditions where ubiquitin levels have already declined, *doa4*D mutant cells accumulate *UBI4* transcripts and display no reduction in the synthesis of ubiquitin protein relative to that in wild-type cells. Extraction of  $doa4\Delta$  cells under conditions that efficiently extract large ubiquitin–protein conjugates revealed a strong reduction in these species as well. These experiments indicate that in stationary phase there is neither a strong reduction in ubiquitin synthesis rates nor a redistribution of ubiquitin into high molecular mass conjugates in *doa4*D cells relative to wild-type cells. On the basis of these results, we conclude that proteolysis of ubiquitin is the major reason for ubiquitin depletion in stationary phase *doa4*<sup>A</sup> strains. For the logarithmically growing mutant, the rate of ubiquitin degradation has been more difficult to assess. Degradation may be somewhat slower than in stationary phase, although the rate measurements are potentially complicated by deconjugation of the low molecular mass ubiquitinated species, which are present at higher concentrations in these cells than in late logarithmic and early stationary phase cells. A greater depletion of ubiquitin in stationary phase *doa4*D cells relative to logarithmic phase cells may result from a normal reduction in ubiquitin expression because of the reduced expression of the *UBI1–3* ubiquitin genes (Özkaynak *et al.*, 1987).

Analysis of ubiquitin and ubiquitin-conjugate profiles in a variety of yeast proteasome pathway mutants suggests the existence of a homeostatic mechanism that maintains free ubiquitin levels within a certain range. An increase in ubiquitin-conjugate species without a corresponding decrease in free ubiquitin is seen in many of these mutants. For example, *ubp14*D cells accumulate unanchored polyubiquitin chains, and *doa3-1* mutants amass ubiquitin–protein conjugates (Chen and Hochstrasser, 1995; Amerik *et al.*, 1997). These mutants are presumably able to maintain wild-type levels of free ubiquitin by increasing rates of ubiquitin synthesis. Higher ubiquitin levels may enhance substrate ubiquitination, thereby facilitating degradation by a crippled 26S proteasome. The exact mechanism(s) leading to ubiquitin induction is unknown. Inhibition of proteasome function has been reported to increase expression of cellular chaperones and induce thermotolerance in yeast (Bush *et al.*, 1997; Mathew *et al.*, 1998). Activation of a general stress response pathway in cells inhibited for proteasome-mediated proteolysis may increase ubiquitin expression. In *doa4* $\Delta$  cells, the feedback mechanism that augments ubiquitin synthesis may be defective, and/or the rate of ubiquitin degradation may exceed the capacity of this compensatory mechanism.

# *Mechanism of Ubiquitin Degradation*

As noted above, previous work indicated that Doa4 action is closely linked to the 26S proteasome. Failure to remove

ubiquitin from proteasome-bound intermediates may result in the ubiquitin portion of these proteolytic intermediates being unfolded and translocated into the catalytic chamber of the proteasome along with the substrate moiety. Consistent with this hypothesis, we find that ubiquitin is relatively short-lived in *doa4* $\Delta$  cells and that mutation of a catalytic subunit of the proteasome in the  $doa4\Delta$  background not only reduces levels of the putative ubiquitin–peptide species, as seen previously (Papa *et al.*, 1999), but also partially stabilizes ubiquitin.

Our studies have also revealed an unexpected role for vacuolar proteolysis in the formation of the  $doa4\Delta$  cellspecific ubiquitin-linked species and in ubiquitin turnover. An *end3-1* mutation, which inhibits cell membrane endocytosis, partially inhibits accumulation of these ubiquitin conjugates as well and causes an elevation of ubiquitin levels in *doa4*D cells. These results suggest that a fraction of the small ubiquitinated species in *doa4*D cells are derived from ubiquitinated cell surface proteins, which are subsequently proteolyzed by vacuolar proteases. Perturbation of either endocytosis or endosome-to-vacuole trafficking blocks the delivery of these substrates to the site of degradation (i.e., the vacuole) and may thereby stabilize ubiquitin and suppress its depletion in the  $doa4\Delta$  mutant.

Direct participation of Doa4 in releasing ubiquitin from proteasome substrates is suggested by the association of this enzyme with the 26S proteasome (Papa *et al.*, 1999). The genetic interactions between *doa4*D and *vps24*D, *vps27*D and *end3-1* described in this study cannot distinguish between a direct or indirect role for Doa4 in cleaving ubiquitinated, endocytosed proteins. It is also not clear whether destruction of ubiquitin by the proteasome and by the vacuole in  $doa4\Delta$ cells always occurs via independent mechanisms or whether the two proteolytic pathways sometimes converge. The proteasome may also contribute to the degradation of ubiquitin conjugated to membrane proteins that are targeted to the vacuole. Degradation of Ste6, the a-factor transporter, has been reported to depend on both the proteasome and vacuolar proteases (Loayza and Michaelis, 1998). Given that Ste6 localizes to the vacuolar membrane in a  $doa4\Delta$  mutant (Loayza and Michaelis, 1998), the ubiquitinated cytosolic domain of Ste6 would remain accessible to degradation by proteasomes. Destruction of ubiquitin attached to such substrates may require an interdependence between lumenal vacuolar proteases and the cytosolic proteasome, whereas ubiquitin on membrane proteins that localize to the vacuole interior in *doa*4 $\Delta$  cells should be degraded in a proteasomeindependent manner. The experiments comparing ubiquitin depletion in stationary phase *doa4*D cells impaired for vacuolar proteolysis, proteasomal proteolysis, or both indicate that the two proteolytic pathways define two distinguishable mechanisms for ubiquitin degradation.

Analysis of the Dub family of enzymes suggests that these enzymes can be highly substrate specific. For example mammalian isopeptidase T and yeast Ubp14 selectively release ubiquitin from unanchored polyubiquitin chains (Wilkinson *et al.*, 1995; Amerik *et al.*, 1997). From the present work, Doa4 appears to act in both the proteasome and vacuolar proteolytic pathways, suggesting that this enzyme should be able to deubiquitinate a wide spectrum of substrates. Because the yeast genome encodes 16 additional Dubs, it is surprising that Doa4 should have a central function in such disparate

pathways. Identification and characterization of the low molecular mass ubiquitinated species that accumulate in the *doa4*D mutant should clarify whether Doa4 works directly in both pathways and might shed light on other cellular processes that depend on Doa4.

# **ACKNOWLEDGMENTS**

We thank C. Pickart for the anti-ubiquitin antibody, L. Hicke for the *end3-1* strain, and J. Laney for comments on the manuscript. This work was supported by National Institutes of Health grant GM-53756 to M.H.

# **REFERENCES**

Amerik, A.Y., Swaminathan, S., Krantz, B.A., Wilkinson, K.D., and Hochstrasser, M. (1997). *In vivo* disassembly of free polyubiquitin chains by yeast Ubp14 modulates rates of protein degradation by the proteasome. EMBO J. *16*, 4826–4838.

Arnason, T., and Ellison, M.J. (1994). Stress resistance in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* is strongly correlated with assembly of a novel type of multiubiquitin chain. Mol. Cell. Biol. *14*, 7876–7883.

Ausubel, F.M., Brent, R., Kingston, R.E., Moore, D.D., Seidman, J.G., Smith, J.A., and Struhl, K. (1989). Current Protocols in Molecular Biology, New York: John Wiley and Sons.

Babst, M., Wendland, B., Estepa, E.J., and Emr, S.D. (1998). The Vps4p AAA ATPase regulates membrane association of a Vps protein complex required for normal endosome function. EMBO J. *17*, 2982–2993.

Bachmair, A., Finley, D., and Varshavsky, A. (1986). *In vivo* half-life of a protein is a function of its amino-terminal residue. Science *234*, 179–186.

Baker, R.T., Tobias, J.W., and Varshavsky, A. (1992). Ubiquitinspecific proteases of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. Cloning of *UBP2* and *UBP3*, and functional analysis of the *UBP* gene family. J. Biol. Chem. *267*, 23364–23375.

Bartel, B., Wunning, I., and Varshavsky, A. (1990). The recognition component of the N-end rule pathway. EMBO J. *10*, 3179–3189.

Bryant, N.J., and Stevens, T.H. (1998). Vacuole biogenesis in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*: protein transport pathways to the yeast vacuole. Microbiol. Mol. Biol. Rev. *62*, 230–247.

Bush, K.T., Goldberg, A.L., and Nigam, S.K. (1997). Proteasome inhibition leads to a heat-shock response, induction of endoplasmic reticulum chaperones, and thermotolerance. J. Biol. Chem. *272*, 9086–9092.

Chen, P., and Hochstrasser, M. (1995). Biogenesis, structure, and function of the yeast 20S proteasome. EMBO J. *14*, 2620–2630.

Chen, P., Johnson, P., Sommer, T., Jentsch, S., and Hochstrasser, M. (1993). Multiple ubiquitin-conjugating enzymes participate in the in vivo degradation of the yeast MATa2 repressor. Cell *74*, 357–369.

Chung, Y.C., Reddy, T.B.K., Zhou, K., and Firtel, R.A. (1998). A novel, putative MEK kinase controls developmental timing and spatial patterning in *Dictyostelium* and is regulated by ubiquitinmediated protein degradation. Genes Dev. *12*, 3564–3578.

Ellison, M.J., and Hochstrasser, M. (1991). Epitope-tagged ubiquitin. A new probe for analyzing ubiquitin function. J. Biol. Chem. *266*, 21150–21157.

Finley, D., Özkaynak, E., and Varshavsky, A. (1987). The yeast polyubiquitin gene is essential for resistance to high temperatures, starvation, and other stresses. Cell *48*, 1035–1046.

Galan, J., and Haguenauer-Tsapis, R. (1997). Ubiquitin lys63 is involved in ubiquitination of a yeast plasma membrane protein. EMBO J. *16*, 5847–5854.

Govers, R., Broeke, T., Kerkhof, P., Schwartz, A.L., and Strous, G.J. (1999). Identification of a novel ubiquitin conjugation motif, required for ligand-induced internalization of the growth hormone receptor. EMBO J. *18*, 28–36.

Haas, A.L., and Bright, P.M. (1985). The immunochemical detection and quantitation of intracellular ubiquitin-protein conjugates. J. Biol. Chem. *260*, 12464–12473.

Hadari, T., Warms, J.V.B., Rose, I.A., and Hershko, A. (1992). A ubiquitin C-terminal isopeptidase that acts on polyubiquitin chains. Role in protein degradation. J. Biol. Chem. *267*, 719–727.

Hein, C., Springael, J.-Y., Volland, C., Haguenauer-Tsapis, R., and Andre, B. (1995). *NPI1*, an essential yeast gene involved in induced degradation of Gap1 and Fur1 permeases, encodes the Rsp5 ubiquitin-protein ligase. Mol. Microbiol. *18*, 77–87.

Hershko, A., and Ciechanover, A. (1998). The ubiquitin system. Annu. Rev. Biochem. *67*, 425–479.

Hicke, L., and Riezman, H. (1996). Ubiquitination of a yeast plasma membrane receptor signals its ligand-stimulated endocytosis. Cell *84*, 277–287.

Hochstrasser, M. (1995). Ubiquitin, proteasomes, and the regulation of intracellular protein degradation. Curr. Opin. Cell Biol. *7*, 215– 223.

Hochstrasser, M. (1996). Ubiquitin-dependent protein degradation. Annu. Rev. Genet. *30*, 405–439.

Hochstrasser, M., Ellison, M.J., Chau, V., and Varshavsky, A. (1991). The short-lived MATa2 transcriptional regulator is ubiquitinated *in vivo*. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA *88*, 4606–4610.

Hochstrasser, M., and Varshavsky, A. (1990). *In vivo* degradation of a transcriptional regulator: the yeast  $\alpha$ 2 repressor. Cell  $\delta$ 1, 697–708.

Hough, R., and Rechsteiner, M. (1986). Ubiquitin-lysozyme conjugates: purification and susceptibility to proteolysis. J. Biol. Chem. *261*, 2391–2399.

Huang, Y., Baker, R.T., and Fischer-Vize, J.A. (1995). Control of cell fate by a deubiquitinating enzyme encoded by the *fat facets* gene. Science *270*, 1828–1831.

Jungmann, J., Reins, H.-A., Schobert, C., and Jentsch, S. (1993). Resistance to cadmium mediated by ubiquitin-dependent proteolysis. Nature *361*, 369–371.

Kolling, R., and Hollenberg, C.P. (1994). The ABC-transporter Ste6 accumulates in the plasma membrane in a ubiquitinated form in endocytosis mutants. EMBO J. *13*, 3261–3271.

Lam, Y.A., Xu, W., DeMartino, G.N., and Cohen, R.E. (1997). Editing of ubiquitin conjugates by an isopeptidase in the 26S proteasome. Nature *385*, 737–740.

Larsen, C.N., Krantz, B.A., and Wilkinson, K.D. (1998). Substrate specificity of deubiquitinating enzymes: ubiquitin C-terminal hydrolases. Biochemistry *37*, 3358–3368.

Loayza, D., and Michaelis, S. (1998). Role for the ubiquitin-proteasome system in the vacuolar degradation of Ste6p, the a-factor transporter in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. Mol. Cell. Biol. *18*, 779–789.

Mathew, A., Mathur, S.K., and Morimoto, R.I. (1998). Heat shock response and protein degradation: regulation of HSF2 by the ubiquitin-proteasome pathway. Mol. Cell. Biol. *18*, 5091–5098.

Medintz, I., Jiang, H., and Michels, C.A. (1998). The role of ubiquitin conjugation in glucose-induced proteolysis of *Saccharomyces* maltose permease. J. Biol. Chem. *273*, 4454–4462.

Odorizzi, G., Babst, M., and Emr, S.D. (1998). Fab1p PtdIns(3)P 5-kinase function essential for protein sorting in the multivesicular body. Cell *95*, 847–858.

Özkaynak, E., Finley, D., Solomon, M.J., and Varshavsky, A. (1987). The yeast ubiquitin genes: a family of natural gene fusions. EMBO J. *6*, 1429–1439.

Papa, F., and Hochstrasser, M. (1993). The yeast *DOA4* gene encodes a deubiquitinating enzyme related to a product of the human tre-2 oncogene. Nature *366*, 313–319.

Papa, F.R., Amerik, A.Y., and Hochstrasser, M. (1999). Interaction of the Doa4 deubiquitinating enzyme with the yeast 26S proteasome. Mol. Biol. Cell *10*, 741–756.

Pickart, C.M. (1997). Targeting of substrates to the 26S proteasome. FASEB J. *11*, 1055–1066.

Piotrowski, J., Beal, R., Hoffman, L., Wilkinson, K.D., Cohen, R.E., and Pickart, C.M. (1997). Inhibition of the 26 S proteasome by polyubiquitin chains synthesized to have defined lengths. J. Biol. Chem. *272*, 23712–23721.

Piper, R.C., Cooper, A.A., Yang, H., and Stevens, T.H. (1995). *VPS27* controls vacuolar and endocytic traffic through a prevacuolar compartment in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. J. Cell Biol. *131*, 603–617.

Raths, S., Rohrer, J., Crausaz, F., and Riezman, H. (1993). *end3* and *end4*: two mutants defective in receptor-mediated and fluid-phase endocytosis in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. J. Cell Biol. *120*, 55–65.

Schägger, H., and von Jagow, G. (1987). Tricine-SDS-PAGE for the separation of proteins in the range from 1 to 100 kDa. Anal. Biochem. *166*, 368–379.

Scott, S.V., Baba, M., Ohsumi, Y., and Klionsky, D.J. (1997). Aminopeptidase I is targeted to the vacuole by a nonclassical vesicular mechanism. J. Cell Biol. *138*, 37–44.

Spence, J., Sadis, S., Haas, A.L., and Finley, D. (1995). A ubiquitin mutant with specific defects in DNA repair and multiubiquitination. Mol. Cell. Biol. *15*, 1265–1273.

Tamai, K.T., Liu, X., Silar, P., Sosinowsk, I.T., and Thiele, D.J. (1994). Heat shock transcription factor activates yeast metallothionein gene expression in response to heat and glucose starvation via distinct signaling pathways. Mol. Cell. Biol. *14*, 8155–8165.

Terrell, J., Shih, S., Dunn, R., and Hicke, L. (1998). A function for monoubiquitination in the internalization of a G protein-coupled receptor. Mol. Cell *1*, 193–202.

Wach, A., Brachat, A., Pohlmann, R., and Philippsen, P. (1994). New heterologous modules for classical or PCR-based gene disruptions in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. Yeast *10*, 1793–1808.

Wilkinson, K.D., and Hochstrasser, M. (1998). Deubiquitinating enzymes. In: Ubiquitin and Biology of the Cell, ed. J.M. Peters, D. Finley, and J.R. Harris, New York: Plenum Press, 99–120.

Wilkinson, K.D., Tashayev, V.L., O'Connor, L.B., Larsen, C.N., Kasperek, E., and Pickart, C.M. (1995). Metabolism of the polyubiquitin degradation signal: structure, mechanism, and role of isopeptidase T. Biochemistry *34*, 14535–14546.

Zubenko, G.S., Park, F.J., and Jones, E. (1982). Genetic properties of mutations at the *PEP4* locus in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. Genetics *102*, 679–690.