

NIH Public Access

Author Manuscript

J Microbiol Methods. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2008 October 7.

Published in final edited form as:

J Microbiol Methods. 2007 May; 69(2): 330–339. doi:10.1016/j.mimet.2007.02.005.

A detailed analysis of 16S ribosomal RNA gene segments for the diagnosis of pathogenic bacteria.

Soumitesh Chakravorty 1, Danica Helb 1, Michele Burday 2, Nancy Connell 1, and David Alland 1,*

1Division of Infectious Disease, Department of Medicine and the Ruy V. Lourenço Center for the Study of Emerging and Reemerging Pathogens, New Jersey Medical School, University of Medicine and Dentistry of New Jersey, New Jersey.

2Department of Pathology, New Jersey Medical School, University of Medicine and Dentistry of New Jersey, New Jersey.

Abstract

Bacterial 16S ribosomal RNA (rRNA) genes contain nine "hypervariable regions" (V1 – V9) that demonstrate considerable sequence diversity among different bacteria. Species-specific sequences within a given hypervariable region constitute useful targets for diagnostic assays and other scientific investigations. No single region can differentiate among all bacteria; therefore, systematic studies that compare the relative advantage of each region for specific diagnostic goals are needed. We characterized V1 - V8 in 110 different bacterial species including common blood borne pathogens, CDC-defined select agents and environmental microflora. Sequence similarity dendrograms were created for hypervariable regions V1 - V8, and for selected combinations of regions or short segments within individual hypervariable regions that might be appropriate for DNA probing and real-time PCR. We determined that V1 best differentiated among Staphylococcus aureus and coagulase negative Staphylococcus sp. V2 and V3 were most suitable for distinguishing all bacterial species to the genus level except for closely related enterobacteriaceae. V2 best distinguished among Mycobacterial species and V3 among Haemophilus species. The 58 nucleotides-long V6 could distinguish among most bacterial species except enterobacteriaceae. V6 was also noteworthy for being able to differentiate among all CDC-defined select agents including Bacillus anthracis, which differed from *B. cereus* by a single polymorphism. V4, V5, V7 and V8 were less useful targets for genus or species-specific probes. The hypervariable sequence-specific dendrograms and the "MEGALIGN" files provided online will be highly useful tools for designing specific probes and primers for molecular assays to detect pathogenic bacteria, including select agents.

Keywords

16S ribosomal RNA; gene segments; diagnosis; bacteria

^{*}Corresponding author: Division of Infectious Disease, University of Medicine and Dentistry of New Jersey, 185 South Orange Avenue, MSB A920C, Newark NJ 07103. E-mail: allandda@umdnj.edu. Phone: (973) 972-2179. Fax: (973) 972-0713.

Publisher's Disclaimer: This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final citable form. Please note that during the production process errorsmaybe discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

1. Introduction

Sequence analysis of the 16S ribosomal RNA (rRNA) gene has been widely used to identify bacterial species and perform taxonomic studies (Choi et al., 1996; Clarridge, 2004; Munson et al., 2004; Petti et al., 2005; Schmalenberger et al., 2001). Bacterial 16S rRNA genes generally contain nine "hypervariable regions" that demonstrate considerable sequence diversity among different bacterial species and can be used for species identification (Van de Peer et al., 1996). Hypervariable regions are flanked by conserved stretches in most bacteria, enabling PCR amplification of target sequences using universal primers (Baker et al., 2003; Lu et al., 2000; McCabe et al., 1999; Munson et al., 2004). Numerous studies have identified 16S rRNA hypervariable region sequences that identify a single bacterial species or differentiate among a limited number of different species or genera (Becker et al., 2000; Marchesi et al., 2002; Choi et al., 1996; Clarridge, 2004; Kataoka et al., 1997; Lu et al., 2000; Marchesi et al., 2005; Rothman et al., 2002; Yang et al., 2002). Rapid approaches that detect certain species-specific sequences within a single hypervariable region are also in common use (Bertilsson et al., 2002; Stohr et al., 2005; Varma-Basil et al., 2004; Yang et al., 2002).

Unfortunately, 16S rRNA hypervariable regions exhibit different degrees of sequence diversity, and no single hypervariable region is able to distinguish among all bacteria. Molecular diagnostic methods, such as real-time PCR (Selim et al., 2005; Varma-Basil et al., 2004; Yang et al., 2002) or melting temperature analysis (Skow et al., 2005) generally use fluorescent probes that hybridize to relatively short amplicons. This places additional limits on the size of the DNA sequence that can be used for bacterial species identification in these assay formats. Given the increasing importance of real-time PCR to medical diagnostics, it is surprising that few studies have focused on matching short segments of hypervariable 16S rRNA gene regions with the common pathogenic or environmental bacteria that can be differentiated by each segment. Furthermore, no investigations to date have applied this analysis to determine the 16S rRNA gene sequences best suited for identifying both common human pathogens and "select agents" of bioterrorism.

The purpose of the current study was to simplify the development of specific probe or primerbased PCR assays for detecting common bacterial pathogens and select agents. Our aim was to identify the most appropriate 16S rRNA hypervariable region targets for genus and speciesspecific probes or primers and to experimentally confirm a portion of these results. We used the neighbor joining method to create dendrograms of each 16S rRNA hypervariable segment in 113 available sequences of 110 different bacterial species, including common blood borne pathogens and select agents. Our results demonstrate that it is possible to distinguish among almost all of these pathogens using a small number of hypervariable gene segments. We also provide criteria for selection of specific target sequences based on the desired purposes of the assays and provide recommendations for probes and primer pairs that would be useful in these assays.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Sequence retrieval and phylogenetic analysish

The 113 16S rRNA gene sequences analyzed in this study included the sequences from 110 different bacterial species commonly detected in human infections including pneumonia, abscesses, blood stream infections and sepsis (Kumar et al., 2006) as well as most other known pathogenic bacteria including select agents, and common contaminants of clinical samples (Sanford, 2003) (Table 1). Additional 16S rRNA sequences were included from three different strains of *Escherichia coli* and two different strains of *Mycobacterium tuberculosis*. The 16S rRNA gene sequences corresponding to each of these 110 bacterial species were retrieved from

Genbank database or TIGR CMR, RNA gene resource

(http://www.tigr.org/tigr-scripts/CMR2/rna_form.spl?db=CMR). The Genbank accession numbers and the TIGR CMR sequence names are provided in Table 1. For bacteria with multicopy 16S rRNA genes, sequences retrieved from the TIGR CMR were first aligned among themselves to check for sequence heterogeneity. The sequence with the maximum copy number in each species was then chosen to represent that bacterium. For sequences retrieved from the Genbank database, all available16S rRNA sequences larger than 1,000 base pairs were analyzed for each bacterium. Sequences with ambiguous residues were eliminated; the remaining sequences were used to generate a consensus sequence, which was used in subsequent analyses. The complete 16S rRNA sequences were aligned for all 113 16S rRNA gene sequences using MEGALIGN 6.1 sequence analysis software (Lasergene V6, DNASTAR) using the ClustalW analysis, which performs alignments using the Neighbour-Joining method. Hypervariable regions flanked by conserved stretches were identified based on this initial alignment and then each individual hypervariable region from all the 113 sequences was separately re-aligned using the same software. Sequence similarity dendrograms were produced for each hypervariable gene alignment using MEGALIGN using the neighbor-joining method to generate the dendrograms. Hypervariable stretches, in which numerous 16S rRNA gene sequences contained "gaps" were aligned using Clustal V.

2.2. Bacterial Isolates, DNA isolation and quantification

The thirty strains including five select agents representing twenty four bacterial genera, that were used to experimentally confirm the performance of PCR primers and probes are shown in Table 2. The bacterial cultures used in these experiments included both standard laboratory strains and de-identified patient isolates. Bacterial DNA was extracted using Phenol–Chloroform as described previously (Maloy, 1989). DNA was isolated from *Bacillus anthracis, Yersinia pestis, Burkholderia mallei* and *Francisella tularensis* in a biosafety level III laboratory certified to work with select agents (registration number 20011016-798; entity number C20031133-0125).

2.3. Primer and probe design

Universal PCR primers complementary to four conserved regions flanking two hypervariable sequences (V3 and V6) were designed using Primer Select software (Lasergene V6, DNASTAR). The primers were designed so that at least the 10-most 3' nucleotides were complimentary to highly conserved 16 rRNA sequences. A molecular beacon probe complementary to the hypervariable region V6 was designed as described previously (Tyagi and Kramer, 1996). The sequences of all primers and the molecular beacon are shown in Table 3.

2.4. Real-time and end-point detection PCR

For the *Staphylococcus epidermidis* specific molecular beacon assay, real time PCR was carried out in a Smart Cycler II (Cepheid, Sunnyvale, California, USA) real time PCR thermal cycler. Each tube was loaded with 25μ l final volume of a solution containing 1X Amplitaq Gold polymerase buffer, 0.03 U/µl of Amplitaq Gold polymerase enzyme (Applied Biosystems, California, USA), 4 mM MgCl₂, 250 µM dNTP mix, 0.5 pmol of each primer and 5ng/µl of the molecular beacon (Biosearch Technologies, California, USA) and the appropriate amount of chromosomal DNA (10^6 to 1 genome equivalents) or an equal volume of water (for no DNA control reactions). PCR amplification were carried out as follows: Initial denaturation at 95°C for 10 min, followed by 45 cycles each of 95°C for 20 s, 52°C for 30s and 72°C for 30 s. Data were collected during the annealing step for analysis. End-point detection PCR was carried out in the thermal cycler Gene Amp PCR system 9700 (Applied Biosystems, California, USA). Reaction compositions were identical as described in case of the real time PCR assay,

except that the reaction did not contain any molecular beacons, the annealing temperature was 55° C and 10 ng of DNA was used as templates in the assay. The PCR products for the end point assays were visualized under UV light by ethidium bromide staining after agarose gel electrophoresis. Prior to both real time and end-point detection PCR assays, the PCR cocktail (without any template DNA added) was digested with 0.1U/µl of *Alu*I restriction enzyme (Invitrogen Life Technologies, Carlsbad, California, USA) to degrade any endogenous DNA present in the recombinant Taq Polymerase and then heat inactivated as described (20).

2.5. DNA sequencing and analysis

The V3 and V6 hypervariable regions amplified from a representative set of 19 bacteria including *Arcanobacterium pyogenes, Bacillus anthracis, Burkholderia mallei, Clostridium difficile, Campylobacter jejuni, Escherichia coli*O157H7,*Klebsiella pneumoniae, Haemophilus influenzae, Listeria grayi, Moraxella catarrhalis, Oligella urethralis, Proteus vulgaris, Pseudomonas aeruginosa, Neisseria gonorrhoeae, Serratia marcescens, Streptococcus pyogenes, S. pneumoniae, Staphylococcus aureus and Yersinia pestis were subjected to DNA sequencing to confirm and correlate the sequence information with the insilico data analysis.*

3. Results

3.1. Hypervariable segment specific dendrograms

Previous alignments of bacterial 16S rRNA gene sequences have revealed nine separate hypervariable regions, which we term V1 - V9 in concordance with previous nomenclature (Van de Peer et al., 1996). We aligned the 113 16S rRNA gene sequences from all the 110 bacterial species used in this study to confirm this observation and to define the borders of each hypervariable region for the bacterial species of interest (Supplementary file 1; available online). Our alignment confirmed the presence of all the nine hypervariable regions in the bacterial species for which the complete 16S rRNA gene sequence was available. The nine hypervariable regions spanned nucleotides 69-99, 137-242, 433-497, 576-682, 822-879, 986-1043, 1117-1173, 1243-1294 and 1435-1465 for V1 through V9 respectively [numbering based on the E. coli system of nomenclature (Brosius et al., 1978), if not mentioned otherwise]. Complete sequence data were available for the regions V1 – V8 for all 113 sequences included in this study. Sequence data for V9 was either incomplete or not available for 15 of the 113 sequences analyzed; and we excluded the V9 region from further analysis. The bacteria Pseudomonas aeruginosa (Accn no. AY268175), Rhodococcus equi (Accn no. X80594) and Salmonella paratyphi (Accn no. X80682) contained ambiguous nucleotide residues in V1; and Arcanobacterium pyogenes (Accn no. X79225) and Clostridium septicum (Accn no. U59278) contained ambiguous residues in V8. The ambiguous regions of these bacterial species were also eliminated from the analysis. Alignments for the entire 16S rRNA gene for all the 113 sequences and for the hypervariable regions V3 and V6 are provided as supplementary files 1, 2 and 3 respectively at

http://njms.umdnj.edu/departments/medicine/infectious_diseases/our_research.cfm.

We analyzed each hypervariable region for its potential to distinguish among the 110 bacterial species in this study. A separate sequence similarity dendrogram was generated for each region. Representative dendrograms for regions V3 and V6 and a dendrogram derived from concatenating V2, V3 and V6 are shown in Figs. 1a, 1b and 1c respectively. The complete series of dendrograms used in this analysis are available on line at http://njms.umdnj.edu/departments/medicine/infectious_diseases/our_research.cfm as supplimentary figs. 1 to 6. A bacterial species with a unique DNA sequence (compared to the entire 110 bacterial species data set) was identified by its sole occupancy on a dendrogram branch. Bacterial species with identical DNA sequences in a given region were identified by

the presence of multiple species on a single dendrogram branch. The degree of sequence similarity (or number of sequence differences) between any pair of bacteria could also be determined by calculating the sum of horizontal distances between each pair of bacterial species as measured on the dendrogram.

3.2. Region V1 (nucleotides 69-99)

The dendrogram of V1 (supplementary figs. 1) revealed that this region could be used to distinguish common pathogenic *Streptococcus* sp. and to differentiate between *Staphylococcus aureus* and coagulase negative *Staphylococcus* (CONS) species. An alignment of a shorter 28 nucleotide-long region within V1 spanning nucleotides 70 to 97 (numbering based on *S. aureus* 16S rRNA gene) for 122 sequences from 31 *Staphylococcus* species showed that the *S. aureus* 16S rRNA gene sequence contained at least 4 unique single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) in this short region compared to other CONS species at positions (numbering based on *S. aureus* 16S rRNA gene) 73, 80, 89 and 90 (except for *S. equorum* and *S. schleiferi* which were identical at position 73 and *S. lentus, S. pulvereri* and *S. sciuri*, which were identical at positions 80, 89 and 90). *S. aureus* differed from the latter three CONS species at the positions 73 and 76 in V1; therefore, this short sequence is ideal for designing *S. aureus* specific probes.

3.3. Region V2 (nucleotides 137-242)

The dendrogram of V2 (supplementary fig. 2) revealed that this region could distinguish all of the 110 bacterial species in this study to the genus level except for (i) most *Escherichia* sp. and *Shigella* sp. and (ii) *K. pneumoniae* and *E. aerogenes*. This region was also able to distinguish among the common *Staphylococcal* and *Streptococcal* pathogens and among *Clostridium*, *Haemophilus* and *Neisseria* species. V2 also appeared to be the best target for distinguishing among *Mycobacterial* species. V2 has an average length of 100 bp, which is a relatively large target region for DNA probes. A separate analysis of three 15 – 35 bp regions (nucleotides 137-168, 182-194 and 205-242) within V2 revealed that the 13 nucleotides spanning 182-194 contained the maximum SNP variations between mycobacterial and haemophilus species and the region spanning 205-242 contained most of the SNP variation between the *Staphylococcal*, *Streptococcal*, *Haemophilus*, *Fusobacterium*, *Clostridium* and *Neisseria* species analysed.

3.4. Region V3 (nucleotides 433-497)

The dendrogram of V3 (Fig. 1a), demonstrated that V3 was similar to V2 in its ability to distinguish among the 110 bacteria to the genus level. Examination of shorter regions within V3 revealed that nucleotides 456 through 479 contained the maximum number of SNPs between most bacterial species, producing almost the same dendrogram (not shown) as the full V3 sequence except for identities among *Mycobacterium fortuitum*, *Nocardia* sp., *Propionibacterium acnes* and *Rhodococcus equi*. V3 appeared to be better than V2 in distinguishing between the closely related enterobacteriaceae *K. pneumoniae* and *E. aerogenes*, and the SNP variation among different *Haemophilus* species in this region was also more than that in V2. V3 is shorter than V2 (65 nucleotides versus 106 nucleotides) and PCR amplification of V3 with universal primers specific to flanking sequences would result in a smaller amplicon compared to V2. The smaller amplicon size may be preferred in some real time PCR assays (Chakravorty et al., 2006).

3.5. Region V6 (nucleotides 986-1043)

Although the V6 region was only 58 bp in length, it showed considerable sequence variability and was able to distinguish among most bacterial species except for the closely related enterobacteriaceae *Escherichia* sp., *Shigella* sp. and *Salmonella* sp. (Fig. 1b). V6 appeared to

be the best target region for assays designed to distinguish between *B. anthracis* and *B. cereus* due to a SNP at the position 988 in the *B. anthracis* 16S rRNA gene. This SNP was the only 16S rRNA polymorphism detected between these two closely related bacteria that was present in all the copies of their 16S rRNA genes (*B. anthracis* 11 copies and *B. cereus* 12-13 copies) (Fogel et al., 1999;Klappenbach et al., 2001). An examination of 11 CDC classified "select" agents *B. anthracis, B. mallei, B. pseudomallei, Coxiella burnetti, Clostridium botulinum, C. perfringens, E. coli* O157H7, *F. tularensis, Rickettsia prowazekii, R. rickettsii* and *Y. pestis* demonstrated that V6 contained unique DNA sequences that were specific for each select agent species except for *E. coli* O157H7. *E. coli* O157H7 could not be distinguished from *Salmonella* sp. in this region. An inspection of shorter overlapping 20-30 bp sequences in the V6 region (998-1032 and 1018-1043) revealed that nucleotides 998-1032 provided a better target for species and genus specific probes than 1018-1043 because *B. anthracis* and *B. cereus* were identical and the different *Neisseria* species were indistinguishable in the second region.

3.6. Regions V4, V5, V7 and V8 (nucleotides 576-682, 822-879, 1117-1173 and 1243-1294)

These four hypervariable regions (supplementary figs. 3, 4, 5 and 6) particularly V5, were less suitable for species identification due to a higher degree of sequence conservation compared to the other hypervariable regions. However, V7 may be useful for designing probes to detect most select agents. We found that *B. anthracis* and *B. cereus* differed by two SNPs in this region. However, the SNP differences were present only in three and five respectively out of the 11 copies of the *B. anthracis* 16S rRNA genes (Ueda et al., 1999). This might result in a less sensitive assay, as the specific probes designed to this region might not bind to all of the amplified targets.

3.7. Combining hypervariable regions

Our dendrogram analysis implied that combining V2, V3 and V6 together would provide sufficient sequence diversity to identify all the 110 bacterial species in this study to the level of the bacterial genus. Species level identification would also be possible for most but not all of the bacterial species. We therefore individually concatenated the V2, V3 and V6 sequences of each of the 110 species in this study and then generated a new dendrogram of the concatenated sequence (Fig 1c). All of the 110 bacterial species clustered within their genus on independent branches except for *E. coli* BL21 and *S. flexneri* using this approach, indicating that species-level discrimination would be possible for 97 of the 110 bacterial species. The bacteria that could be detected only to genus level using these three regions were: (i) *Salmonella* (spp. *typhimurium/enterica typhi*) (ii) *B. mallei* and B. *pseudomallei* (iii) *B. parapertussis* and *B. pertussis* (iv) *Enterococcus faecalis* and *E. faecium* (v) *Brucella* (spp. *melitensis / suis*) (vi) *M. bovis* and *M. tuberculosis*.

3.8. Universal primers and PCR sensitivity and specificity

Each hypervariable region of the 16S rRNA gene is flanked by a conserved sequence (Baker et al., 2003); this has made it possible to design "universal" PCR primers that can amplify 16S rRNA hypervariable regions from a large number of different bacterial species (Baker et al., 2003). We designed universal primers to conserved regions flanking hypervariable regions V3 and V6 based on our alignment data (Table 3). The universal primers flanking the region V3 are similar but not identical to those described previously (Baker et al., 2003). V6 is the shortest hypervariable region with the most nucleotide diversity in the sequences among bacteria analyzed. Paired with V3, most of the bacterial species analyzed here can be differentiated, as evident from their individual dendrograms (Figs. 1a and 1b). We amplified the hypervariable regions V3 and V6 from 30 bacteria, which included 20 of the most common pathogens described here, and 5 select agents (Table 2) using V3 and V6 primers. Amplicons were

produced from all the bacteria tested, with the expected amplicon sizes (204 bp and 125 bp respectively) (Fig 2a and b). The small differences in the band sizes observed in some bacteria after amplification of V3 (Fig 2a) is consistent with the sequence data showing partial V3 deletions in many bacteria. In order to demonstrate that the V6 primers could be used for assays that were both sensitive and specific, we repeated the V6 PCR assay, this time using a *S. epidermidis* species-specific molecular beacon as a reporter molecule (SEP V6 probe; Table 3). The results show that *S. epidermidis* DNA could be detected with a sensitivity of 10 molecules added to the initial reaction, while control DNA from the closely related *S. aureus* species (which differs by three SNPs in the molecular beacon target region) was not detected even when 1,000,000 molecules of *S. aureus* DNA were added to the initial reaction (Fig. 3). It should be noted that universal primer-based assays would be most relevant in studies of sterile body fluids. Tests of the environment or of contained body sites would require that more specific primer sets be developed.

3.9. DNA sequence analysis

We sequenced the V3 and V6 regions of a diverse set of 19 bacteria (out of the 30 bacteria shown in Table 2), including most bacterial select agents and common human pathogens. The V3 sequences exactly matched the downloaded sequences used in our analysis. The V6 sequences matched the downloaded sequences by 98% - 99%. Dendrograms generated for the V3 and V6 regions using the sequence data were identical to those obtained using the downloaded sequences (data not shown). These results establish the real-life utility of our *in silico* analysis.

4. Discussion

Sequence analysis of conserved "housekeeping" genes such as the bacterial 16S rRNA gene are increasingly being used to identify bacterial species in clinical practice and scientific investigations (Clarridge, 2004, Petti et al., 2005). In the case of 16S rRNA analysis, species identification is easiest when most or the entire gene can be sequenced. However, DNA sequencing is impractical in medical diagnostics where speed is often of the essence. Species-specific sequences can be identified very rapidly in assays that combine nucleic acid amplification and a sequence-specific probe of the amplified product. These approaches are usually only able to query short DNA sequences; therefore, it is important to identify the regions within the target gene that supply the most taxonomic information in the smallest stretch of nucleotides. Additional benefits of small amplicon size may include increased assay sensitivity and applicability to archival specimens (Chakravorty et al., 2006: Marchetti et al., 1998).

The aim of the current study was to characterize each of the hypervariable segments of the 16S rRNA gene in 110 bacterial species and to identify short hypervariable DNA sequences that would be most beneficial for identifying specific pathogens among the entire 110 bacterial species study set. The hypervariable regions most appropriate for designing probes to identify *Streptomyces* sp. have been described previously (Kataoka et al., 1997; Stackebrandt et al., 1991). Another study showed that the initial 500-1500 bp of the 16S rRNA gene sequence was sufficient to discriminate among 100 bacteria (Clarridge, 2004). Schmalenberger et al. studied the utility of the 16S rRNA hypervariable regions to detect 13 bacterial species using SSCP PCR techniques and inferred that region V4-V5 would be useful (Schmalenberger et al., 2001). However, unlike our investigation, none of these previous studies focused on the relative benefits of each hypervariable region and none of these studies examined the range of pathogenic, commensal and environmental bacteria that would likely be encountered in a medical diagnostic assay.

The dendrogram analysis that we used in this study can be individually tailored to analyze portions of a hypervariable region, and thereby assess the potential discriminatory power of

any assay target. Two or more regions or portions of regions can be also concatenated and then subjected to dendrogram analysis to investigate the discriminatory power of multiplexed assays. This process is further simplified by the availability of the MEGALIGN files online for the full length 16S rRNA gene and the two most relevant hypervariable regions V3 and V6 for PCR-based diagnostics of these 110 bacteria, included as supplementary materials to this study. The alignment files for the other hypervariable segments can be provided by the authors to interested investigators upon request.

Our investigation demonstrates that the hypervariable regions V2 (nucleotides 137-242), V3 (nucleotides 433-497) and V6 (nucleotides 986-1043) contain the maximum nucleotide heterogeneity and the maximum discriminatory power for the 110 bacterial species analyzed. The hypervariable region V6 (986-1043) is the shortest hypervariable region with the maximum degree of sequence heterogeneity. We also determined that V1 is the best target for discriminating between S. aureus with potentially pathogenic CONS. V2 and V3 appear to be excellent targets for speciation among the common Staphylococcal and Streptococcal pathogens as well as *Clostridium* and *Neisseria* species, with V2 especially useful for speciation of Mycobacterium sp. and for designing specific probes to detect E. coli O157H7. V3 appears to be especially useful for speciation of Haemophilus sp. V6 is the best target for the development of specific probe-based PCR assays to identify and distinguish the CDC select agents that are potential bio-terrorism agents. We created universal PCR primers for V3 and V6 to separetely amplify each hypervariable region in all 110 bacterial species in this study. Both primer sets appear to work effectively in PCR assays using a wide range of bacterial DNA. We also demonstrate the utility of the V6 primers and a molecular beacon probe designed using the dendrogram data and MEGALIGN file in a sensitive and specific real-time PCR assay to detect S. epidermidis and differentiate it from S. aureus. We confirmed our in silico analysis experimentally by sequencing the V3 and V6 regions from 19 bacteria representing a variety of clinically important genera, thereby demonstrating the utility of this type of study for designing a 16S rRNA gene based bacterial diagnostic assay. The dendrogram analysis and the sequence alignment files included in this study should be useful tools to design probes and assays that target 16S rRNA genes. Additional sequences from other bacteria may also be added to the existing MEGALIGN files to perform comparative alignments among an even broader range of bacterial species. Primers such as the ones described in this study could be combined with a number of probes such as molecular beacons or TaqMan probes (Yang et al., 2002) depending on the desired goal of the assay. This procedure will enable the creation of relatively rapid, simple and sensitive multiplexed species-identification assays.

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by Public Health Service grant AI-056689 from the National Institutes of Health and Department of Defense grant DAMD 17-01-1-0787 from the United States Army Medical Research Material Command.

References

Baker GC, Smith JJ, Cowan DA. Review and re-analysis of domain-specific 16S primers. J Microbiol Methods 2003;55:541–55. [PubMed: 14607398]

Becker K, Harmsen D, Mellmann A, Meier C, Schumann P, Peters G, von Eiff C. Development and evaluation of a quality-controlled ribosomal sequence database for 16S ribosomal DNA-based identification of Staphylococcus species. J Clin Microbiol 2004;42:4988–95. [PubMed: 15528685]

- Bertilsson S, Cavanaugh CM, Polz MF. Sequencing-independent method to generate oligonucleotide probes targeting a variable region in bacterial 16S rRNA by PCR with detachable primers. Appl Environ Microbiol 2002;68:6077–86. [PubMed: 12450831]
- Brosius J, Palmer ML, Kennedy PJ, Noller HF. Complete nucleotide sequence of a 16S ribosomal RNA gene from Escherichia coli. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 1978;75:4801–5. [PubMed: 368799]
- Chakravorty S, Pathak D, Dudeja M, Haldar S, Hanif M, Tyagi JS. PCR amplification of shorter fragments from the devR (Rv3133c) gene significantly increases the sensitivity of tuberculosis diagnosis. FEMS Microbiol Lett 2006;257:306–11. [PubMed: 16553868]
- Choi BK, Wyss C, Gobel UB. Phylogenetic analysis of pathogen-related oral spirochetes. J Clin Microbiol 1996;34:1922–5. [PubMed: 8818882]
- Clarridge JE 3rd. Impact of 16S rRNA gene sequence analysis for identification of bacteria on clinical microbiology and infectious diseases. Clin Microbiol Rev 2004;17:840–62. [PubMed: 15489351]
- Fogel GB, Collins CR, Li J, Brunk CF. Prokaryotic Genome Size and SSU rDNA Copy Number: Estimation of Microbial Relative Abundance from a Mixed Population. 1999
- Kataoka M, Ueda K, Kudo T, Seki T, Yoshida T. Application of the variable region in 16S rDNA to create an index for rapid species identification in the genus Streptomyces. FEMS Microbiol Lett 1997;151:249–55. [PubMed: 9244758]
- Klappenbach JA, Saxman PR, Cole JR, Schmidt TM. rrndb: the Ribosomal RNA Operon Copy Number Database. Nucleic Acids Res 2001;29:181–4. [PubMed: 11125085]
- Kumar A, Roberts D, Wood KE, Light B, Parrillo JE, Sharma S, Suppes R, Feinstein D, Zanotti S, Taiberg L, Gurka D, Cheang M. Duration of hypotension before initiation of effective antimicrobial therapy is the critical determinant of survival in human septic shock. Crit Care Med 2006;34:1589–1596. [PubMed: 16625125]
- Lu JJ, Perng CL, Lee SY, Wan CC. Use of PCR with universal primers and restriction endonuclease digestions for detection and identification of common bacterial pathogens in cerebrospinal fluid. J Clin Microbiol 2000;38:2076–2080. [PubMed: 10834956]
- Maloy, S. Experimental techniques in bacterial genetics. Jones and Bartlett; Boston: 1989.
- Marchesi JR, Sato T, Weightman AJ, Martin TA, Fry JC, Hiom SJ, Dymock D, Wade WG. Design and evaluation of useful bacterium-specific PCR primers that amplify genes coding for bacterial 16S rRNA. Appl Environ Microbiol 1998;64:795–799. [PubMed: 9464425]
- Marchetti G, Gori A, Catozzi L, Vago L, Nebuloni M, Rossi MC, Esposti AD, Bandera A, Franzetti F. Evaluation of PCR in detection of Mycobacterium tuberculosis from formalin-fixed, paraffinembedded tissues: comparison of four amplification assays. J Clin Microbiol 1998;36:1512–1517. [PubMed: 9620369]
- Maynard C, Berthiaume F, Lemarchand K, Harel J, Payment P, Bayardelle P, Masson L, Brousseau R. Waterborne pathogen detection by use of oligonucleotide-based microarrays. Appl Environ Microbiol 2005;71:8548–8557. [PubMed: 16332846]
- McCabe KM, Zhang YH, Huang BL, Wagar EA, McCabe ER. Bacterial species identification after DNA amplification with a universal primer pair. Mol Genet Metab 1999;66:205–211. [PubMed: 10066390]
- Munson MA, Banerjee A, Watson TF, Wade WG. Molecular analysis of the microflora associated with dental caries. J Clin Microbiol 2004;42:3023–3029. [PubMed: 15243054]
- Petti CA, Polage CR, Schreckenberger P. The role of 16S rRNA gene sequencing in identification of microorganisms misidentified by conventional methods. J Clin Microbiol 2005;43:6123–6125. [PubMed: 16333109]
- Rothman RE, Majmudar MD, Kelen GD, Madico G, Gaydos CA, Walker T, Quinn TC. Detection of bacteremia in emergency department patients at risk for infective endocarditis using universal 16S rRNA primers in a decontaminated polymerase chain reaction assay. J Infect Dis 2002;186:1677– 1681. [PubMed: 12447747]
- Sanford, JP. Hyde Park; Vermont, USA: 2003. The Sanford Guide to Antimicrobial Therapy, Antimicrobial Therapy Incorporated.
- Schmalenberger A, Schwieger F, Tebbe CC. Effect of primers hybridizing to different evolutionarily conserved regions of the small-subunit rRNA gene in PCR-based microbial community analyses and genetic profiling. Appl Environ Microbiol 2001;67:3557–3563. [PubMed: 11472932]

- Selim AS, Boonkumklao P, Sone T, Assavanig A, Wada M, Yokota A. Development and assessment of a real-time PCR assay for rapid and sensitive detection of a novel thermotolerant bacterium, Lactobacillus thermotolerans, in chicken feces. Appl Environ Microbiol 2005;71:4214–4219. [PubMed: 16085805]
- Skow A, Mangold KA, Tajuddin M, Huntington A, Fritz B, Thomson RB Jr, Kaul KL. Species-level identification of staphylococcal isolates by real-time PCR and melt curve analysis. J Clin Microbiol 2005;43:2876–2880. [PubMed: 15956411]
- Stackebrandt E, Witt D, Kemmerling C, Kroppenstedt R, Liesack W. Designation of Streptomycete 16S and 23S rRNA-based target regions for oligonucleotide probes. Appl Environ Microbiol 1991;57:1468–1477. [PubMed: 1854202]
- Stohr K, Hafner B, Nolte O, Wolfrum J, Sauer M, Herten DP. Species-specific identification of mycobacterial 16S rRNA PCR amplicons using smart probes. Anal Chem 2005;77:7195–7203. [PubMed: 16285666]
- Tyagi S, Kramer FR. Molecular beacons: probes that fluoresce upon hybridization. Nat Biotechnol 1996;14:303–308. [PubMed: 9630890]
- Ueda K, Seki T, Kudo T, Yoshida T, Kataoka M. Two distinct mechanisms cause heterogeneity of 16S rRNA. J Bacteriol 1999;181:78–82. [PubMed: 9864315]
- Van de Peer Y, Chapelle S, De Wachter R. A quantitative map of nucleotide substitution rates in bacterial rRNA. Nucleic Acids Res 1996;24:3381–3391. [PubMed: 8811093]
- Varma-Basil M, El-Hajj H, Marras SA, Hazbon MH, Mann JM, Connell ND, Kramer FR, Alland D. Molecular beacons for multiplex detection of four bacterial bioterrorism agents. Clin Chem 2004;50:1060–1062. [PubMed: 15161722]
- Yang S, Lin S, Kelen GD, Quinn TC, Dick JD, Gaydos CA, Rothman RE. Quantitative multiprobe PCR assay for simultaneous detection and identification to species level of bacterial pathogens. Journal of Clinical Microbiology 2002;40:3449–3454. [PubMed: 12202592]



Fig.1.

Dendrograms of sequence alignments of 16S rRNA gene hypervariable segments of the bacterial species included in this study.**a**:V3; **b**:V6; **c**:a concatenated sequence of V2, V3 and V6.

Page 12

Chakravorty et al.



Fig. 2.

PCR amplification of 16S rRNA hypervariable regions of from 30 bacterial species using universal primers. Lane M: 100 kb molecular weight DNA marker; Lanes 1-30: Aerococcus viridans, Arcanobacterium pyogenes; Clostricium difficile; Bacillus anthracis, Bacteroides uniformis, Campylobacter jejuni, Burkholderia mallei, Corynebacterium pseudodiptheriticum, Enterococcus galinarium, Escherichia coli O157:H7, Francisella tularensis, Klebsiella pneumoniae, Haemophilus influenzae, Haemophilus parahemolyticus, Listeria grayi, Moraxella cattarhalis, Mycobacterium tuberculosis, Oligella urethralis, Proteus vulgaris, Pseudomonas aeruginosa, Neisseria gonorrhoeae, Neisseria lactamica, Neisseria mucosa, Serratia marcescens, Streptococcus agalactiae, Streptococcus pyogenes, Streptococcus pneumoniae, Staphylococcus aureus, Staphylococcus epidermidis and Yersinia pestis. A: universal primers amplifying V3; B: universal primers amplifying V6. Chakravorty et al.



Fig.3.

Real time PCR plot showing amplification of *S. aureus* and *S. epidermidis* genomic DNA using universal primers to V6 and a *Staphylococcus epidermidis* specific molecular beacon. The number of bacterial genomes added to each PCR reaction are indicated. -- 10⁶ genomes -- 10⁵ genomes -- 10⁴ genomes -- 10³ genomes -- 100 genomes

-0-10 genomes $-\Delta-1$ genome -DNA negative control -S. *aureus* 10^6 genomes

Table 1 Bacterial 16S rRNA gene sequences used in this study

Bacteria	Accn no./ TIGR CMR seq. designation
Acinetobacter calcoaceticus	AJ888984
Actinomyces israelii	X82450
Arcanobacterium pyogenes	X 19225
Actinomyces meyeri	A 1 /0/ //8 X82451
Burkholderia cepacia	AB211325
B. mallei	BMAA Bm16SC
B. pseudomallei	NTBP01_Bp16SA
Brucella melitensis	NTBM01_Bm16SA
B. suis	GBR_Bs16SA
Bordetella parapertussis	NTBP02_Bp16SA
Borrelia burgdorferi B31	GBB_BDI6SA
Baculus aninfacis B. caraus	GBA_BaloSA NTBC01 Bc16SA
B. subtillis	NTBS01_Bs16SA
Bacteroides fragilis	M11656
Bordetella pertussis	NTBP03_Bp16SA
Clostridium botulinum	AF105402
C. difficile	AF072474
C. septicum	U59278
C. acetobutylicum	NTCA01_Ca16SA
C. perfringens	NTCD01_C416SA
C. tetani	NTCT02-Ct16SA
Corvnebacterium ieikeium	X84250
Campylobacter jejuni	NTCJ01_Cj16SA
Chlamydia trachomatis	NTCT01_16SrRNA-1
C. pneumoniae	NTCP05_Cp16SA
Coxiella burnetti	GCB_Cb16SA
Enterococcus gallinarum	AJ301833
E. Jaecalls E. faecium	AJ291732 AJ201732
Enterobacter aerogenes	AB004750
Escherichia coli BL21	AJ605115
E. coli K12	NTEC01_16SrRNA-1
E. coli O157H7	NTEC02_Ec16SA
Fusobacterium sulci	AJ006963
F. alocis	AJ006962
F. equorum	AJ295750
F. naviforme E. nucleatum	AJ006965 AJ810275/NTEN01_En168A
F. nucleulum F. periodonticum	AJ810273
F. prausnitzii	AJ413954
F. necrophorum	X74407
Francisella tularensis	AJ698865
Haemophilus paraphrophilus	AY365451
H. parahaemolyticus	AJ290758
H. ducreyi	AF525028/NTHD01_Hd16SA
H. parainfluenzae H. anhrophilus	AJ290755 AV265453
H influenzae	AY613778/GHI HIrrnA16S
Helicobacter pylori	NTHP01 Hp16SA
Klebsiella pneumoniae	Y17657
Listeria grayi	X98526
L. monocytogenes	AJ535697
Leptospira interrogans	AM050580
Legionella pneumophila	NTLP02_NT02LP0369
Moraxella catarrhalis Muochastorium mium	U 10876 A 1536027
Mycobacienum avium M intracellulare	AJ536036
M. fortuitum	AJ416915
M. gordonae	AJ581472
M. kansasii	AJ536035
M. scrofulaceum	AF480604
M. bovis	NTMB01_Mb16SA
M. leprae	NTML01_M116SA
M. tuberculosis CDC1551	GMT_Mt16SA
M. tuderculosis H3/Kv Naissaria gonorrhoga	N1M102_N102M1145/ A1220204
Neusseria gonorrhoeae	AJ239304 A 1230282
N lactamica	AJ237202 AJ239313
N. meningitidis	GNM_NMrrnaA16S
0	

Nocardia brasiliensisAF430038N. pseudobrasiliensisX84855Oligella urethralisAY513496Proteus vulgarisX07652P. mirabilisAJ301682Peptostreptococcus microsAF542231Propionibacterium acnesNTPA02_NT02PA0587Pasteurella multocidaNTPM01_Pm16SAPseudomonas aeruginosaAY268175Rickettsia prowazekiiU11021R. rickettsiiU11021Rhodococcus equiX80594Shigella dysenteriaeX96966S. flexneriNTSF02_Sf16SDSalmonella paratyphiX8052	
N. pseudobrasiliensisX84855Oligella urethralisAY513496Proteus vulgarisX07652P. mirabilisAJ301682Peptostreptococcus microsAF542231Propionibacterium acnesNTPA02_NT02PA0587Pasteurella multocidaNTPM01_Pm16SAPseudomonas aeruginosaAY268175Rickettsia prowazekiiU11021Rhodococcus equiX80594Shigella dysenteriaeX96966S. flexneriNTSF02_Sf16SDSalmonella paratyphiX80584	
Oligella urethralisAY513496Proteus vulgarisX07652P. mirabilisAJ301682Peptostreptococcus microsAF542231Propionibacterium acnesNTPA02_NT02PA0587Pasteurella multocidaNTPM01_Pm16SAPseudomonas aeruginosaAY268175Rickettsia prowazekiiU11021R. rickettsiiU11021Shigella dysenteriaeX96966S. flexneriNTSF02_Sf16SDSalmonella paratyphiX80682S. enterica typhiNTSF04_S116SA	
Proteus vulgarisX07652P. mirabilisAJ301682Peptostreptococcus microsAF542231Propionibacterium acnesNTPA02_NT02PA0587Pasteurella multocidaNTPM01_Pm16SAPseudomonas aeruginosaAY268175Rickettsia prowazekiiU11021R. rickettsiiU11021Rhodococcus equiX80594Shigella dysenteriaeX96966S. flexneriNTSF02_Sf16SDSalmonella paratyphiX80582Senterica typhiNTST04_St16SA	
P. mirabilisAJ301682Peptostreptococcus microsAF542231Propionibacterium acnesNTPA02_NT02PA0587Pasteurella multocidaNTPM01_Pm16SAPseudomonas aeruginosaAY268175Rickettsia prowazekiiNTRP01_Rp16SAR. rickettsiiU11021Rhodococcus equiX80594Shigella dysenteriaeX96966S. flexneriNTSF02_Sf16SDSalmonella paratyphiX80682S. enterica typhiNTST04_St16SA	
Peptostreptococcus microsAF542231Propionibacterium acnesNTPA02_NT02PA0587Pasteurella multocidaNTPM01_Pm16SAPseudomonas aeruginosaAY268175Rickettsia prowazekiiNTRP01_Rp16SAR. rickettsiiU11021Rhodococcus equiX80594Shigella dysenteriaeX96966S. flexneriNTSF02_Sf16SDSalmonella paratyphiX80682S. enterica tynhiNTST04_S116SA	
Propionibacterium acnesNTPA02_NT02PA0587Pasteurella multocidaNTPM01_Pm16SAPseudomonas aeruginosaAY268175Rickettsia prowazekiiU1101_Rp16SAR. rickettsiiU11021Rhodococcus equiX80594Shigella dysenteriaeX96966S. flexneriNTSF02_Sf16SDSalmonella paratyphiX80582S. enterica typhiNTSF04_St16SA	
Pasteurella multocidaNTPM01_Pm16SAPseudomonas aeruginosaAY268175Rickettsia prowazekiiNTRP01_Rp16SAR. rickettsiiU11021Rhodococcus equiX80594Shigella dysenteriaeX96966S. flexneriNTSF02_Sf16SDSalmonella paratyphiX80682S. enterica typhiNTST04_St16SA	
Pseudomonas aeruginosaAY268175Rickettsia prowazekiiNTRP01_Rp16SAR. rickettsiiU11021Rhodococcus equiX80594Shigella dysenteriaeX96966S. flexneriNTSF02_Sf16SDSalmonella paratyphiX80682S. enterica typhiNTST04_St16SA	
Rickettsia prowazekiiNTRP01_Rp16SAR. rickettsiiU11021Rhodococcus equiX80594Shigella dysenteriaeX96966S. flexneriNTSF02_Sf16SDSalmonella paratyphiX80682S. enterica typhiNTSF04_St16SA	
R. rickettsiiU11021Rhodococcus equiX80594Shigella dysenteriaeX96966S. flexneriNTSF02_Sf16SDSalmonella paratyphiX80682S. enterica typhiNTST04_St16SA	
Rhodococcus equiX80594Shigella dysenteriaeX96966S. flexneriNTSF02_Sf16SDSalmonella paratyphiX80682S. enterica typhiNTST04_St16SA	
Shigella dysenteriae X96966 S. flexneri NTSF02_Sf16SD Salmonella paratyphi X80682 S. enterica typhi NTSF04_St16SA	
S. flexneri NTSF02_Sf16SD Salmonella paratyphi X80682 S. enterica typhi NTST04_St16SA	
Salmonella paratyphi X80682 S. enterica typhi NTST04_St16SA	
S enterica typhi	
5. Chicheu typhi 110104_01001	
S. typhimurium NTST01_St16SA	
Serratia marcescens AJ233431	
Streptococcus uberis U41048	
S. pyogenes NTSP03_Sp16SA	
S. pneumoniae BSP_Sp16SA	
S. agalactiae GBS_Sa16SA	
S. mutans NTSM02_Sm16SA	
S. gordonii D38483	
S. mitis GMI_Sm16SA	
S. salivarius AY188352	
Staphylococcus aureus SACOL_Sa16SA	
S. epidermidis NTSE02_Se16SA	
S. saprophyticus NTSS03_NT03SS0724	
S. caprae AY346310	
S. haemolyticus D83367	
S. hominis AJ717375	
S. intermedius D83369	
S. lugdunensis AB009941	
S. schleiferi D83372	
S. simulans D83373	
S. warneri L37603	
Treponema pallidum GTP_Tp16SA	
Vibrio cholerae X76337	
Yersinia enterocolitica Z75316	
Yersinia pestis NTYP02_Yp16SA	

Table 2

Bacterial strains used in this study

Bacteria	Strain	Bacteria	Strain
Aerococcus viridans	ATCC 700406	Moraxella cattarhalis	ATCC 8176
Arcanobacterium pyogenes	ATCC 49698	Mycobacterium tuberculosis	H37Rv
Bacillus anthracis	Vollum and Sterne	Oligella urethralis	ATCC 17960
Bacteroides uniformis	ATCC 8492	Proteus vulgaris	ATCC 49132
Burkholderia mallei	ATCC 23344	Pseudomonas aeruginosa	ATCC 27853
Clostridium difficile	ATCC 9689	Neisseria gonorrhoeae	ATCC 49226
Campylobacter jejuni	ATCC 33291	Neisseria lactamica	ATCC 23971
Corynebacterium pseudodiphtheriticum	ATCC 10700	Neisseria mucosa	ATCC 69695
Enterococcus galinarium	ATCC 700425	Serratia marcescens	Clinical isolate
Escherichia coli O157:H7	ATCC 35150	Streptococcus agalactiae	ATCC 12386
Francisella tularensis	Live vaccine strain	Streptococcus pyogenes	ATCC 19615
Klebsiella pneumoniae	Clinical isolate	Streptococcus pneumoniae	Clinical Isolate
Haemophilus influenzae	ATCC 49247	Staphylococcus aureus	ATCC 25923
Haemophilus parahemolyticus	ATCC 10014	Staphylococcus epidermidis	Clinical Isolate
Listeria gravi	ATCC 25401	Yersinia pestis	CO92

Primers and probes used in the study

Primer		
Name	Sequence ^a	Amplified hypervariable region
V3F	5' ĈCAgACTCCTACGGGAGGCAG 3' (334-354)	V3 (334-537) ^b
V3R	5' CGTATTACCGCGGCTGCTG 3' (519-537)	
V6F	5' TCGAtGCAACGCGAAGAA 3' (961-78)	V6 (986-1043)
V6R	5' ACATtTCACaACACGAGCTGACGA 3' (1062-85)	
Molecular Beacon probe ^C		
Name	Sequence	Target region
SEP-V6 probe	TxR-5'tgcgcCTAGAGGGGTCAGAGGATgcgca 3'- BHQ2	1005-1022 *

^{*a*} Conserved nucleotides in \ge 92 % of the 113 bacterial DNA sequences are in uppercase.

^b Numbers indicate nucleotide position according to the *E. coli* 16S nomenclature, except

* indicating nucleotide positions in the S. epidermidis 16S rRNA gene.

 $^{\ensuremath{\mathcal{C}}}$ Underlined lowercase sequences indicates the stem of each molecular beacon.

TxR Texas Red; BHQ2: Black Hole Quencher 2