Distinct microRNA expression profiles in acute myeloid leukemia with common translocations

Zejuan Li^{a,b}, Jun Lu^{b,c,d}, Miao Sun^{a,b}, Shuangli Mi^{a,b}, Hao Zhang^c, Roger T. Luoª, Ping Chenª, Yungui Wang^e, Ming Yan^f, Zhijian Qian^a, Mary Beth Neilly^a, Jie Jin^e, Yanming Zhang^a, Stefan K. Bohlander^g, Dong-Er Zhang^{f,h}, Richard A. Larson^a, Michelle M. Le Beau^a, Michael J. Thirman^a, Todd R. Golub^{c,d,i,j}, Janet D. Rowley^{a,j}, and Jianjun Chen^{a,j}

^aDepartment of Medicine, University of Chicago, Chicago, IL 60637; ^cBroad Institute, Cambridge, MA 02141; ^dDepartment of Pediatric Oncology, Dana–Farber Cancer Institute, Boston, MA 02115; eInstitute of Hematology, the First Affiliated Hospital, Zhejiang University College of Medicine, Hangzhou, Zhejiang 310003, People's Republic of China; ^fMoore's Cancer Center and ^hDepartment of Pathology and Division of Biology, University of California at San Diego, La Jolla, CA 92093; ⁹Department of Medicine III, University of Munich Hospital Grosshadern and Clinical Cooperative Group "Leukemia", National Research Center for Environment and Health, 81377 Munich, Germany; and ⁱHoward Hughes Medical Institute, Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA 02115

Contributed by Janet D. Rowley, August 25, 2008 (sent for review July 16, 2008)

IAS

MicroRNAs (miRNAs) are postulated to be important regulators in cancers. Here, we report a genome-wide miRNA expression analysis in 52 acute myeloid leukemia (AML) samples with common translocations, including t(8;21)/*AML1(RUNX1)-ETO(RUNX1T1)***, inv(16)/***CBFB-MYH11***, t(15;17)/***PML-RARA***, and** *MLL* **rearrangements. Distinct miRNA expression patterns were observed for t(15;17),** *MLL* **rearrangements, and core-binding factor (CBF) AMLs including both t(8;21) and inv(16) samples. Expression signatures of a minimum of two (i.e., miR-126/126*), three (i.e., miR-224, miR-368, and miR-382), and seven (miR-17–5p and miR-20a, plus the aforementioned five) miRNAs could accurately discriminate CBF, t(15;17), and** *MLL***-rearrangement AMLs, respectively, from each other. We further showed that the elevated expression of miR-126/126* in CBF AMLs was associated with promoter demethylation but not with amplification or mutation of the genomic locus. Our gain- and loss-of-function experiments showed that miR-126/ 126* inhibited apoptosis and increased the viability of AML cells and enhanced the colony-forming ability of mouse normal bone marrow progenitor cells alone and particularly, in cooperation with** *AML1-ETO***, likely through targeting Polo-like kinase 2 (***PLK2***), a tumor suppressor. Our results demonstrate that specific alterations in miRNA expression distinguish AMLs with common translocations and imply that the deregulation of specific miRNAs may play a role in the development of leukemia with these associated genetic rearrangements.**

apoptosis and cell viability and proliferation $|$ core binding factor (CBF) $|$ microRNA expression profiling $|$ miR-126 $|$ PLK2

Acute myeloid leukemia (AML) is a heterogeneous group of genetically diverse hematopoietic malignancies with variable response to treatment (1). Chromosome translocations are frequently observed in AML (2). Four major rearrangements in AML are the t(8;21), inv(16), t(15;17), and *MLL*/11q23 translocations, which account for $\approx 30\%$ of all AML cases (3), and have been incorporated in the WHO classification as the criteria for subclassification of AML (4). The $t(8;21)$, $t(15;17)$, and inv(16) have been established as molecular indicators for favorable clinical outcome in AML, whereas *MLL*-rearrangement AML is classified as a disease of intermediate or poor prognosis $(1, 5)$. Both the t $(8,21)$ and inv (16) rearrangements result in the disruption of core-binding factor (CBF), a heterodimeric transcriptional regulator of normal hematopoiesis $(6, 7)$ and, therefore, are collectively referred to as CBF AMLs, which represent 10–20% of primary AMLs (8, 9). Many expression profiling studies of protein-coding genes have been performed on AML by using DNA microarray analysis (e.g., refs. 10–13). However, the results of analyses of AML by different laboratories are not always consistent. For example, there is a relatively small overlap between genes relevant for prognosis reported by Bullinger *et al.* (11) and those reported by Valk *et al.* (12). Therefore, further

validation of these observations in large cohorts and in independent studies is required before clinical application becomes feasible, and it seems unlikely that mRNA expression profiling alone can reveal the entire pathobiology of AML (5).

Recently, Lu *et al.* (14) described a new, bead-based flowcytometric microRNA (miRNAs, miRs) expression profiling method that could successfully classify tumors and showed that identification of poorly differentiated tumors by using miRNA expression profiles was more accurate than by using mRNA expression profiles. This finding indicates that miRNA profiling could be superior to mRNA profiling in certain clinical circumstances and suggests that miRNA profiling is an important tool in molecular classification. miRNAs are endogenous \approx 22-nt noncoding RNAs that can play important regulatory roles in development, cell proliferation, cell survival, and apoptosis (15). Moreover, evidence is emerging that miRNAs can function as oncogenes and tumor suppressor genes (16, 17).

To provide insights into the complex genetic alterations in leukemogenesis and to identify possible markers for the diagnosis and treatment of AML in the future, we performed a genome-wide bead-based miRNA expression analysis (14). The profiling data were analyzed with unsupervised and supervised clustering analyses, and a minimal set of miRNAs that can accurately predict the AML subtypes was identified. The expression pattern of the class-discriminator miRNAs was further validated by quantitative real-time PCR (qPCR). Finally, the mechanism of gene-expression regulation and function of miR-126, a class-discriminator miRNA for CBF AMLs, as well as its potential targets, was carefully studied.

Results

MiRNA Expression Profiling in AMLs. We performed a large-scale miRNA expression profiling analysis of 435 mammalian miRNAs on 57 samples, including 47 primary AML specimens, 7 AML cell lines, and 3 normal control samples (see *Materials and Methods*). The 47 primary AML specimens included 10 $t(8;21)$ *AML1*(*RUNX1*)*-ETO*(*RUNX1T1*), 7 inv(16)/*CBFB-MYH11*, 10 t(15;17)/*PML-RARA*, and 20 *MLL*-rearrangement AMLs [including one t(6;11)/*MLL*-*AF6*(*MLLT4*), 9 t(9;11)/*MLL*-*AF9*(*MLLT3*),

This article contains supporting information online at [www.pnas.org/cgi/content/full/](http://www.pnas.org/cgi/content/full/0808266105/DCSupplemental) [0808266105/DCSupplemental.](http://www.pnas.org/cgi/content/full/0808266105/DCSupplemental)

Author contributions: J.D.R. and J.C. designed research; Z.L., J.L., M.S., S.M., H.Z., P.C., Y.W., and M.B.N. performed research; J.L., R.T.L., M.Y., Z.Q., J.J., Y.Z., S.K.B., D.-E.Z., R.A.L., M.M.L.B., M.J.T., and T.R.G. contributed new reagents/analytic tools; Z.L., J.L., M.S., S.M., and J.C. analyzed data; and Z.L., J.D.R., and J.C. wrote the paper.

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

b_{Z.L.}, J.L., M.S., and S.M. contributed equally to this work.

j To whom correspondence may be addressed. E-mail: jchen@medicine.bsd.uchicago.edu, jrowley@medicine.bsd.uchicago.edu, or golub@broad.mit.edu.

^{© 2008} by The National Academy of Sciences of the USA

3 t(11;19)(q23;p13.1)/*MLL*-*ELL*, and 7 t(11;19)(q23;p13.3)/*MLL*-*ENL*(*MLLT1*)]. The seven AML cell lines included one t(8;21), one inv(16), and five *MLL*-rearrangement AMLs. Three normal bone marrow samples from healthy donors were used as normal controls, which included two mononuclear cell (MNC) samples and one purified CD15- myeloid progenitor cell sample. Bead-based miRNA expression profiling detection was performed as described (14) . Two samples with total fluorescence $\leq 15,000$ were discarded as unsuccessful labeling/sample quality. After normalization and filtering [see [supporting information \(SI\)](http://www.pnas.org/cgi/data/0808266105/DCSupplemental/Supplemental_PDF#nameddest=STXT) *Text*], a total of 55 samples (including 52 AML and 3 normal controls) and 112 human miRNA genes with confidently detectable expression values were selected for further analyses.

We first performed an unsupervised, two-way (genes against samples), hierarchical cluster analysis (HCA). Remarkably, leukemia samples clearly grouped into three clusters: (*i*) t(8;21) and inv(16) samples grouped together as Cluster 1 with three exceptions; (*ii*) t(15;17) samples grouped together as Cluster 2 with two exceptions; and (*iii*) all of the *MLL*-rearrangement AML samples grouped together and formed Cluster 3. Normal control samples also grouped together and formed a subcluster within Cluster 3 (see [Fig. S1](http://www.pnas.org/cgi/data/0808266105/DCSupplemental/Supplemental_PDF#nameddest=SF1)*A*). The clustering was not associated with patient's age, gender, blast cell percentage, clinical state, or sample source (peripheral blood or bone marrow). Clustering of cases with *MLL* rearrangement did not correlate completely with either *MLL* partner genes or French–American–British (FAB) subtypes [\(Fig. S1](http://www.pnas.org/cgi/data/0808266105/DCSupplemental/Supplemental_PDF#nameddest=SF1)*a*), which is consistent with observations from the mRNA-based expression assays (18, 19). In a threedimensional view of a principal-component analysis (PCA), the three clusters are clearly separate [\(Fig. S1](http://www.pnas.org/cgi/data/0808266105/DCSupplemental/Supplemental_PDF#nameddest=SF1)*b*).

MiRNAs Differentially Expressed Between the Subtypes of AMLs. Gene expression data were further analyzed for significance by using ANOVA multiple-groups test and permutation tests. The ANOVA analysis identified 32 miRNAs that were significantly, differentially expressed between the subgroups (Fig. 1*A*). We also used significance analysis of microarray (SAM) (20) and permutation tests to identify differentially expressed genes with a ''one-vs.-all of the others'' approach. We identified 24, 19, 11, 2, 3, and 5 miRNAs differentially-expressed in *MLL*rearrangement, $t(15;17)$, $t(8;21)$ plus inv(16), $t(8;21)$, inv(16) AML samples, and normal controls, compared with all other samples, respectively. Each miRNA had at least a two-fold difference in expression between the two compared parts, along with a *q* value [a measure of the false discovery rate (FDR)] (21) $<$ 0.05. The overall FDR in each set of differentially expressed miRNAs is $\leq 5\%$. Together, there are a total of 41 unique differentially expressed miRNAs detected by ANOVA and/or SAM (Fig. 1*A*). The clustering pattern observed in Fig. 1*A* is similar to that in [Fig. S1](http://www.pnas.org/cgi/data/0808266105/DCSupplemental/Supplemental_PDF#nameddest=SF1)*a*. The 41 miRNAs presented a similar expression pattern between the AML cell lines and the relevant primary leukemia specimens, suggesting that the relevant critical regulatory pathways remain conserved in the cell lines despite numerous passages. As shown in Fig. 1*A*, miR-126/126* were specifically overexpressed in both $t(8;21)$ and inv(16) samples, whereas miR-224, miR-368, and miR-382 were almost exclusively overexpressed in the t(15;17) samples. Among the miR-NAs that were significantly overexpressed in *MLL* rearrangements, seven miRNAs including miR-17–5p, miR-17–3p, miR-18a, miR-19a, miR-20a, miR-19b, and miR-92 are from a unique polycistronic miRNA cluster, namely *mir-17*-*92* (22, 23).

Class Prediction of Distinct AML Subtypes with a Minimal Number of 27 miRNAs. We further used the prediction analysis of microarrays (PAM) method (24) to determine the minimal number of miRNAs that can predict the AML subtypes accurately (see *Materials and Methods*). As shown in [Table S1,](http://www.pnas.org/cgi/data/0808266105/DCSupplemental/Supplemental_PDF#nameddest=ST1) the expression signature of a minimal number of two (i.e., miR-126, and miR-126*), three (i.e., miR-224, miR-368, and miR-382), and seven (i.e., miR-17–5p and miR-20a plus the aforementioned five) miRNAs can best characterize CBF, t(15;17), and *MLL*rearrangement AMLs, respectively, resulting in a diagnostic accuracy 94%. The detailed cross-validated probabilities of the entire set of 52 AML samples is shown in [Fig. S2;](http://www.pnas.org/cgi/data/0808266105/DCSupplemental/Supplemental_PDF#nameddest=SF2) and see [Table](http://www.pnas.org/cgi/data/0808266105/DCSupplemental/Supplemental_PDF#nameddest=ST2) [S2.](http://www.pnas.org/cgi/data/0808266105/DCSupplemental/Supplemental_PDF#nameddest=ST2) A similar level of accuracy was achieved by using a different supervised learning algorithm, namely SVM (data not shown).

qPCR Confirmation of the Seven Class-Discriminatory miRNAs. We then used a TaqMan qPCR (25) to validate the expression pattern of the above seven class-discriminatory miRNAs in a group of 56 AML and 9 normal control samples (see *Materials and Methods*). The 56 AML samples include 47 AML patient samples [10 t(8;21), 10 inv(16), 10 t(15;17), and 17 *MLL*rearrangement AMLs] and 9 AML cell lines. The nine normal control samples include five CD34- hematopoietic progenitor cells, one CD15- myeloid progenitor, and three MNC. Fortyone (63%) of the 65 samples were not used in the bead-based expression assay. As shown in Fig. 1*B*, the differential expression pattern of the miRNAs detected by the bead-based expression assay (Fig. 1*A*) was confirmed by qPCR.

Overexpression of miR-126/126* in CBF AMLs Is Not a Consequence of DNA Amplification. To reveal the mechanism underlying the overexpression of miR-126/126* in CBF AMLs, we first examined the DNA copy number of the miR-126/126* locus at 9q34.3 in 30 (12 CBF and 18 non-CBF) AML samples and two normal MNC controls using TaqMan qPCR (see *Materials and Methods*). As shown in [Fig. S3,](http://www.pnas.org/cgi/data/0808266105/DCSupplemental/Supplemental_PDF#nameddest=SF3) there was no amplification of genomic locus of miR-126/126* in CBF leukemia samples relative to either normal controls or non-CBF AMLs or to a deletion of this locus in non-CBF AMLs.

Differential Expression of miR-126/126* Might Be Associated with Epigenetic Regulation. MiR-126/126* is located within intron 7 of the host gene, namely epidermal growth factor-like 7 (*EGFL7*) [\(Fig. S4](http://www.pnas.org/cgi/data/0808266105/DCSupplemental/Supplemental_PDF#nameddest=SF4)*a*). Interestingly, the locus of the whole precursor of miR-126/126* is embedded in a 287-bp CpG island with 29 CpG dinucleotides, whereas a larger, 1201-bp CpG island with 97 CpG dinucleotides is located upstream (within the second intron of the host gene). We determined the DNA methylation status of both CpG island regions in 21 samples including 8 CBF AMLs, 10 non-CBF leukemia samples, and 3 normal MNC controls using bisulfite genomic sequencing methods (see *Materials and Methods*). The average methylation rate of the 287-bp CpG island in the CBF AMLs (70.8%) was significantly lower (*t* test; $P < 0.005$) than that (94.4%) in the non-CBF leukemias [\(Fig.](http://www.pnas.org/cgi/data/0808266105/DCSupplemental/Supplemental_PDF#nameddest=SF4) [S4](http://www.pnas.org/cgi/data/0808266105/DCSupplemental/Supplemental_PDF#nameddest=SF4)*b*). In analysis of the 19 samples with both methylation [\(Fig.](http://www.pnas.org/cgi/data/0808266105/DCSupplemental/Supplemental_PDF#nameddest=SF4) [S4](http://www.pnas.org/cgi/data/0808266105/DCSupplemental/Supplemental_PDF#nameddest=SF4)*b*) and expression (Fig. 1*B*) information available, we observed that the expression level of miR-126/126* was significantly negatively correlated (for both miRNAs, two-tailed $P \leq$ 0.0005; $rs = -0.75$ for miR-126, $rs = -0.83$ for miR-126^{*}; Spearman's rank correlation test) with the degree of methylation of the 287-bp CpG island (see [Fig. S4](http://www.pnas.org/cgi/data/0808266105/DCSupplemental/Supplemental_PDF#nameddest=SF4)*c*). In contrast, the average methylation rate of the 1,201-bp CpG island of the CBF AMLs (6.1%) was not significantly different (two-tailed $P > 0.69$; *t* test) from that (7.0%) of the non-CBF AMLs (see [Fig. S4](http://www.pnas.org/cgi/data/0808266105/DCSupplemental/Supplemental_PDF#nameddest=SF4)*d*). To confirm that the decreased expression of miR-126 is related to DNA methylation, we treated ME-1 and MV4-11 cell lines with decitabine (5-Aza-2-deoxycytidine) and Trichostatin A (TSA). As shown in [Fig. S4](http://www.pnas.org/cgi/data/0808266105/DCSupplemental/Supplemental_PDF#nameddest=SF4)*e*, expression of miR-126 in the cell lines was increased significantly after the treatment. Therefore, the differential expression of miR-126/126* was, at least partly, associated with methylation regulation of the 287-bp CpG island.

Fig. 1. Expression profiling analysis and validation of miRNAs in AMLs with common translocations. (*A*) Expression profiling of the 41 differentially expressed miRNAs in 55 samples as detected by the bead-based miRNA expression assay. Unsupervised, average linkage hierarchical clustering was performed. Expression data were mean centered, and the relative value for each sample was represented by a color, with red representing a high expression and green representing a low expression (scale shown in the upper right). The three clusters of AMLs and the subcluster with normal controls were indicated by color bars across the top of the figure (four colors). (B) Expression profiling of the seven class-discriminatory miRNAs in 56 AML and 9 control samples as detected by TaqMan qPCR. Data are presented as ΔC_T . "OLD" samples are those included in the bead-based miRNA expression assay, whereas "NEW" samples are independent samples that were not included in that assay. ''cl,'' cell line; ''N,'' normal control; ''MNC,'' mononuclear cells; ''CD34-,'' CD34- hematopoietic stem/progenitor cells; ''CD15-,'' CD15- myeloid progenitor cells; ''j-miR-2,'' a miRNA identified by J.L., H.Z., and T.R.G. (unpublished data).

Differential Expression of miR-126/126* Is Not Associated with Mutation. Calin *et al.* (26) stated that mutations in miRNA sequences are common and may have functional importance. We therefore investigated whether differential expression of miR-126/126* is also associated with DNA sequence mutations. We sequenced the region of the 128-bp CpG island in the above 21 samples used for the methylation analysis. We did not identify any mutations in this region except for a known SNP at nucleotide 151 (A-to-G transition) of the CpG island fragment in one or both alleles of all of the samples. Although the biological function of this SNP needs to be clarified, it does not appear to be associated with differential expression of miR-126/126*, because this SNP existed in both CBF and non-CBF leukemia samples.

Fig. 2. The functional role and target(s) of miR-126. (*A*) Forced expression of miR-126 by transduced MSCVpuro-miR-126 plasmid significantly inhibited, whereas down-regulation of miR-126 by anti-miR-126 inhibitor significantly increased apoptosis in THP-1 and ME-1 cells with or without Etoposide treatment. (*B*) Forced expression of miR-126 significantly increased, whereas downregulation of miR-126 significantly decreased cell viability in THP-1 and ME-1 cells. Normalized mean values of three independent experiments and standard error (mean SE) are shown. *****, *P* 0.05; ******, *P* 0.001 (paired *t* test). (*C*) miR-126 enhanced colony forming ability of mouse normal bone marrow progenitor cells alone and particularly, in cooperation with *AML1-ETO* (AE). Only the colonies that each contained at least 50 cells were counted. Note, the colony numbers of the first round of plating largely reflected the transduction efficiency, which was related to the size of the plasmids, with a higher transduction efficiency for a smaller-sized plasmid. Thus, the low colony number of the control in the second and third rounds of plating is not due to a low efficiency of transduction.

MiR-126 Inhibits Cell Apoptosis and Increases Cell Viability. To examine the functional role of miR-126/126* in AML cells, we performed gain- and loss- of-function experiments. As shown in Fig. 2*A*, forced expression of miR-126 significantly inhibited apoptosis in THP-1/t $(9;11)$ and ME-1/inv (16) cells with or without the treatment of Etoposide (VP16; a DNA topoisomerase II inhibitor); in contrast, knockdown of miR-126 by an anti-miR-126 inhibitor significantly increased apoptosis. As expected, we also observed that forced expression of miR-126 significantly increased cell viability in THP-1 and ME-1 cells, whereas the opposite effect was observed when miR-126 was down-regulated (Fig. 2*B*). The forced expression and knockdown of miR-126 were confirmed by qPCR, respectively (see [Fig. S5](http://www.pnas.org/cgi/data/0808266105/DCSupplemental/Supplemental_PDF#nameddest=SF5)*a*). Thus, miR-126 may function as an oncogene in leukemogenesis.

MiR-126 Enhances Proliferation of Mouse Bone Marrow Progenitor Cells Alone and Particularly, in Cooperation with the t(8;21) Fusion Gene. The *AML1-ETO* (AE) fusion gene resulting from the t(8;21) cannot cause leukemia alone *in vivo* (27). To investigate whether miR-126 has a synergistic action with AE in oncogenicity, we performed a colony-forming and replating assay. Mouse bone marrow progenitor cells transduced with MSCVpuro (empty vector; as a control), MSCVpuro-miR-126, MSCVpuro-AE, and MSCVpuro-AE-miR-126, respectively, were plated on methylcellulose medium (see *[SI Text](http://www.pnas.org/cgi/data/0808266105/DCSupplemental/Supplemental_PDF#nameddest=STXT)* for details). The forced expression of miR-126 and *AML1-ETO* were confirmed by qPCR (see [Fig. S5](http://www.pnas.org/cgi/data/0808266105/DCSupplemental/Supplemental_PDF#nameddest=SF5)*b*). The colonies were replated every 7 days under the same conditions. As shown in Fig. 2*C*, transduction of miR-126 or AE alone or both of them together caused significantly more colonies (4- to 36-fold; $P < 0.001$, *t* test) than transduction of MSCVpuro empty vector (i.e., control) after replating (i.e., in the second and third rounds of plating). Remarkably, after replating, the number of colonies of MSCVpuro-AE-miR-126 (>300) is significantly greater (>3 -fold; *P* < 0.001, *t* test) than the sum of the colonies (≤ 100) of MSCVpuro-AE and MSCVpuro-miR-126 (Fig. 2*C*), indicating that there is a very significantly synergistic effect between miR-126 and AE.

Identification of Potential Targets of miR-126 in AMLs. Recent findings indicate that animal miRNAs may not only repress protein synthesis but also induce mRNA degradation of a large portion of targets (28, 29). Thus, we used qPCR to determine whether a candidate target exhibits a significant inverse correlation of expression at RNA level with miR-126. From 674 predicted targets of miR-126 by at least one of four currently available major prediction programs, including TargetScanS (30), Miranda (31), PicTar (32), and MAMI (http://mami.med.harvard.edu/), we selected all of the 12 genes (i.e., *CRK*, *FBXO33*, *IRS1*, *DIP2C*, *GOLPH3*, *PHF15*, *PLK2*, *PTPN9*, *RGS3*, *SLC7A5*, *SPRED1*, and *TOM1*) that were predicted by at least three different programs for qPCR [\(Table S3\)](http://www.pnas.org/cgi/data/0808266105/DCSupplemental/Supplemental_PDF#nameddest=ST3). We found that only *PLK2* and *SPRED1* exhibited a significant inverse correlation (for *PLK2*: $rs = -0.42, P < 0.01$; for *SPRED1*: $rs = -0.66, P <$ 0.0001; Spearman's rank correlation test) with the expression of miR-126 in the whole set of 41 samples tested (see [Fig. S6](http://www.pnas.org/cgi/data/0808266105/DCSupplemental/Supplemental_PDF#nameddest=SF6) *a*–*c*). We then performed a luciferase reporter assay to validate the potential regulatory relationship. A significantly negative effect $(P < 0.01$; paired *t* test) on luciferase activity was observed in the presence of miR-126 on the 3' UTR of *PLK2* but not on that of *SPRED1*; such repression disappeared when the predicted target site in the 3' UTR of *PLK2* was mutated [\(Fig. S7](http://www.pnas.org/cgi/data/0808266105/DCSupplemental/Supplemental_PDF#nameddest=SF7) *a* and *b*). Moreover, the down- and up-regulation of *PLK2* was associated with overexpression and down-regulation of miR-126, respectively [\(Fig. S7](http://www.pnas.org/cgi/data/0808266105/DCSupplemental/Supplemental_PDF#nameddest=SF7)*c*). These results indicate that *PLK2*, but not *SPRED1*, is a bona fide target of miR-126.

Discussion

Here, we demonstrate that the expression signatures of as few as seven miRNAs accurately distinguish subtypes of AMLs with common translocations [\(Table S1\)](http://www.pnas.org/cgi/data/0808266105/DCSupplemental/Supplemental_PDF#nameddest=ST1). Interestingly, our findings that $t(8;21)$ and $inv(16)$ samples grouped together in both unsupervised and supervised analyses (see [Fig. S1](http://www.pnas.org/cgi/data/0808266105/DCSupplemental/Supplemental_PDF#nameddest=SF1)*a* and Fig. 1*A*) and that two miRNAs (i.e., miR-126 and miR-126*) could be used as predictors to discriminate CBF AMLs from non-CBF AMLs [\(Table S1](http://www.pnas.org/cgi/data/0808266105/DCSupplemental/Supplemental_PDF#nameddest=ST1) and [Fig. S2\)](http://www.pnas.org/cgi/data/0808266105/DCSupplemental/Supplemental_PDF#nameddest=SF2), provide compelling evidence to support the notion that there is a common leukemogenic pathway existing in CBF AMLs (9, 33, 34). In fact, clinical studies have usually stratified $t(8;21)$ and inv(16) patients together into one favorable-risk prognosis category, and treated them similarly (35, 36).

Several differentially expressed miRNAs identified here, including miR-10a, miR-10b, miR-17, miR-20, miR-29a, miR-29c, miR-126, miR-191, miR-181, and let-7d (see Fig. 1*A*) were also reported previously in the studies of hematopoietic cells (37–39), leukemia cell lines (39, 40), acute promyelocytic leukemia (41), or morphological subgroups of AMLs (42). During the preparation of this article, we became aware that several other articles regarding miRNAs in AML were published. Fazi *et al.* (43) observed a down-regulation of miR-223 in t(8;21) AML samples and showed that there was an epigenetic silencing of the myelopoiesis regulator miR-223 by the AML1-ETO oncoprotein. We also found that miR-223 was expressed at a lower level in the majority of t(8;21) samples than in other AML-M2 and AML-M4 samples, but it was significantly differentially expressed between AML and ALL (44), not between the subtypes of AML we studied. Fontana *et al.* (45) reported that miRNAs 17–5p-20a-106a control monocytopoiesis through suppressing AML1 protein expression, leading to M-CSF receptor downregulation and thereby enhancing blast proliferation and inhibition of monocytic differentiation and maturation. Therefore, their study together with our finding (see Fig. 1) that the *mir-17*-*92* cluster is particularly overexpressed in *MLL*rearrangement AMLs (M4/M5, with the majority of leukemic cells being monoblasts) suggest that *mir-17*-*92* may play an important role in the development of such AML. Using a qPCR method, Isken *et al.* (46) identified that miR-23b was downregulated, whereas miR-221/222 and miR-34a were overexpressed in AMLs compared with normal controls. In a largescale miRNA microarray study, Garzon *et al.* (47) found that miRNA expression in AML is closely associated with cytogenetics such as t(11q23) and FLT3-ITD mutations. Jongen-Lavrencic *et al.* (48) recently analyzed 215 AML cases including those bearing the common translocations using qPCR for 260 miRNAs, and notably, consistent with our findings, they also identified miR-126 and miR-382 as a class predictor for CBF and t(15;17) leukemia, respectively.

A current challenge is to clarify the mechanism(s) underlying regulation of miRNA expression (17). Here, we found that the overexpression of miR-126/126* in CBF AML is not a consequence of amplification or mutation of the genomic locus but is associated with partial de-methylation of the CpG island in which miR-126/126^{*} is embedded. In addition, as shown in [Fig.](http://www.pnas.org/cgi/data/0808266105/DCSupplemental/Supplemental_PDF#nameddest=SF5) [S5](http://www.pnas.org/cgi/data/0808266105/DCSupplemental/Supplemental_PDF#nameddest=SF5)*b*, forced expression of *AML1-ETO* can significantly upregulate expression of endogenous miR-126 ($>$ 2-fold), suggesting that, besides being related to DNA demethylation, overexpression of miR-126 in CBF leukemia might be also attributed to a direct up-regulation of *AML1-ETO* or *CBFB-MYH11*.

It is believed that the deregulation of miRNAs contributes to tumorigenesis by negatively regulating expression of their targets (15, 17). We identified *PLK2* as a valid target of miR-126. *PLK2* is one of the Polo-like kinase (PLK) family members that function in regulation of the cell cycle and DNA damage-induced checkpoints in mammals (49, 50). *PLK2* expression is upregulated directly by wild-type p53 after DNA damage and activates a G2 checkpoint in these circumstances (50, 51). Down-regulation of *PLK2* was frequently observed in B-cell malignancies, and ectopic expression of *PLK2* in Burkitt lymphoma cells resulted in apoptosis (49), suggesting that *PLK2* may function as a tumor suppressor gene in hematologic malignancies (49, 50). Thus, miR-126 may play a role in leukemogenesis through negatively regulating *PLK2*. Our finding that miR-126 may function as a oncogene is supported further by an observation that miR-126 is significantly up-regulated in acute megakaryoblastic leukemia cell lines compared with *in vitro* differentiated megakaryocytes and CD34- hematopoietic progenitors (39).

Acute leukemias, like other human cancers, occur as the consequence of more than one mutation. Primary oncogenic events, such as those triggered by chromosomal rearrangements, are generally insufficient by themselves to cause leukemia and require secondary cooperating mutations to generate a fully transformed cell (52). For example, conditional expression of knockin *CBF-MYH11* or *AML1-ETO* fusion gene, or expression of *AML1-ETO* after retroviral transduction/transplantation does not result in leukemia without a ''second hit,'' such as those induced by *N*-ethyl-*N*-nitrosourea (ENU) (27, 53). Because miR-126/126* were almost uniquely overexpressed in CBF AMLs, we hypothesize that their overexpression may contribute to the development of CBF AML as a second hit by cooperating with the primary oncogenic events (i.e., *CBFβ-MYH11* or *AML1*-*ETO*), which is supported by our finding that miR-126 inhibits apoptosis and increases cell viability in AML cells and enhances proliferation of mouse normal bone marrow progenitor cells alone and particularly, in cooperation with the $t(8;21)$ fusion genes. Clearly, further *in vitro* and *in vivo* assays are necessary to validate such a hypothesis. Similarly, miR-224, miR-368, and miR-382 may contribute to the development of APL in cooperation with the t(15;17), whereas the *mir-17*-*92* cluster may contribute to *MLL*-rearrangement AML through cooperating with the *MLL* rearrangements.

Materials and Methods

See *[SI Text](http://www.pnas.org/cgi/data/0808266105/DCSupplemental/Supplemental_PDF#nameddest=STXT)* for more details on materials and methods used.

Bead-Based miRNA Expression Profiling Assay and Data Analysis. Bead-based miRNA expression profiling assay was performed as described (14) with some modifications (44). The TIGR Mutiple Array Viewer software package (54) was used to perform data analysis and to visualize the results. The class prediction analysis was performed by using PAM software (24).

qPCR Assays of miRNAs, mRNAs, and DNA Locus Copy Number. The TaqMan qPCR method (25) was used to validate the differential expression patterns of miRNAs by using kits from Applied Biosystems. qPCR with SYBR green dye (Qiagen) was used to determine expression of mRNA genes. U6 RNA and *PGK1* (or *GAPDH*) were used as endogenous controls for qPCR of miRNA and mRNA, respectively. PCRs were performed in an Applied Biosystems 7900HT system according to the relevant manufacturer's recommendation, and each sample was detected in triplicate. We followed a method described by He *et al.* (23) to analyze DNA copy number of the miR-126/126* locus with modification as described (44).

Bisulfite Genomic Sequencing and Mutation Screening. Genomic DNA (1 μ g) from each of sample was treated with sodium bisulfite (55). Thereafter, the relevant genomic DNA regions were PCR amplified. After PCR amplification, DNA methylation levels were analyzed by bisulfite genomic sequencing with M13 primer as described (56). For mutation screening, the genomic regions were PCR amplified from genomic DNA samples with no sodium bisulfite treatment, and PCR products were then purified and sequenced directly.

Apoptosis Assay. THP-1 or ME-1 cells were plated at a concentration of 10,000 cells per well in triplicate for each condition in a 96-well plate 24 h after transfection with MSCVpuro-miR-126, the control plasmid MSCVpuro, antimiR126 inhibitor (Dharmacon), or inhibitor control (i.e., scrambled oligonucleotides; Dharmaconcomx). Etoposide (5 μ M; Sigma) or the same volume of DMSO (mock treatment) was added to each well, and caspase-3 and caspase-7 activation was detected by using ApoONE Homogenous Caspase 3/7 Assay (Promega) 24 h later, by following the manufacturer's manual.

Cell Viability Assay. THP-1 or ME-1 cells were plated at a concentration of 10,000 cells per well in triplicate for each condition in a 96-well plate 24 h after transfection with MSCVpuro-miR-126, MSCVpuro, anti-miR126 inhibitor, or inhibitor control. Metabolic activity of the cells was determined by using CellTiter-blue Reagent (Promega) 24 h later by following the manufacturer's manual.

Colony-Forming and Replating Assay. *In vitro* colony-forming (i.e., immortalization) assays were performed as described (57) with some modifications.

Luciferase Reporter and Mutagenesis Assays. MiR-126 expression plasmid (i.e., MSCVpuro-miR-126) or its control plasmid (i.e., MSCVpuro-PIG) (23) was cotransfected into HEK293T cells with a single report plasmid (pMIR-Report plasmid; Ambion) containing either the wild-type or mutated 3' UTR of an individual predicted target gene. Luciferase was measured 42 h after transfection. The firefly luciferase activity was then normalized to β -galactosidase activity. Experiments were repeated three times independently.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS. We thank Drs. G. J. Hannon, S. M. Hammond, and L. He at Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory for providing MSCVpuro-PIG vector, Dr. P. P. Liu at National Human Genome Research Institute for providing the ME-1 cell line, and Drs. Y. Sato and K. Sugita at Yamanashi University for

AS

- 1. Lowenberg B, Downing JR, Burnett A (1999) Acute myeloid leukemia. *N Engl J Med* 341:1051–1062.
- 2. Rowley JD (2001) Chromosome translocations: Dangerous liaisons revisited. *Nat Rev Cancer* 1:245–250.
- 3. Mitelman F, Johansson B, Mertens F (2007) The impact of translocations and gene fusions on cancer causation. *Nat Rev Cancer* 7:233–245.
- 4. Vardiman JW, Harris NL, Brunning RD (2002) The World Health Organization (WHO) classification of the myeloid neoplasms. *Blood* 100:2292–2302.
- 5. Bullinger L, Valk PJ (2005) Gene expression profiling in acute myeloid leukemia. *J Clin Oncol* 23:6296–6305.
- 6. Liu P, *et al.* (1993) Fusion between transcription factor CBF beta/PEBP2 beta and a myosin heavy chain in acute myeloid leukemia. *Science* 261:1041–1044.
- 7. de Bruijn MF, Speck NA (2004) Core-binding factors in hematopoiesis and immune function. *Oncogene* 23:4238–4248.
- 8. Look AT (1997) Oncogenic transcription factors in the human acute leukemias. *Science* 278:1059–1064.
- 9. Strout MP, Marcucci G, Caligiuri MA, Bloomfield CD (1999) Core-binding factor (CBF) and MLL-associated primary acute myeloid leukemia: biology and clinical implications. *Ann Hematol* 78:251–264.
- 10. Ross ME, *et al.* (2004) Gene expression profiling of pediatric acute myelogenous leukemia. *Blood* 104:3679–3687.
- 11. Bullinger L, *et al.* (2004) Use of gene-expression profiling to identify prognostic subclasses in adult acute myeloid leukemia. *N Engl J Med* 350:1605–1616.
- 12. Valk PJ, *et al.* (2004) Prognostically useful gene-expression profiles in acute myeloid leukemia. *N Engl J Med* 350:1617–1628.
- 13. Haferlach T, *et al.* (2005) Global approach to the diagnosis of leukemia using gene expression profiling. *Blood* 106:1189–1198.
- 14. Lu J, *et al.* (2005) MicroRNA expression profiles classify human cancers. *Nature* 435:834–838.
- 15. He L, Hannon GJ (2004) MicroRNAs: Small RNAs with a big role in gene regulation. *Nat Rev Genet* 5:522–531.
- 16. Esquela-Kerscher A, Slack FJ (2006) Oncomirs—microRNAs with a role in cancer. *Nat Rev Cancer* 6:259–269.
- 17. Wu W, Sun M, Zou GM, Chen J (2007) MicroRNA and cancer: Current status and prospective. *Int J Cancer* 120:953–960.
- 18. Kohlmann A, *et al.* (2005) New insights into MLL gene rearranged acute leukemias using gene expression profiling: Shared pathways, lineage commitment, and partner genes. *Leukemia* 19:953–964.
- 19. Armstrong SA, *et al.*(2002) MLL translocations specify a distinct gene expression profile that distinguishes a unique leukemia. *Nat Genet* 30:41–47.
- 20. Tusher VG, Tibshirani R, Chu G (2001) Significance analysis of microarrays applied to the ionizing radiation response. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 98:5116–5121.
- 21. Storey JD, Tibshirani R (2003) Statistical significance for genomewide studies. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 100:9440–9445.
- 22. Ota A, *et al.* (2004) Identification and characterization of a novel gene, C13orf25, as a target for 13q31–q32 amplification in malignant lymphoma. *Cancer Res* 64:3087–3095.
- 23. He L, *et al.* (2005) A microRNA polycistron as a potential human oncogene. *Nature* 435:828–833.
- 24. Tibshirani R, Hastie T, Narasimhan B, Chu G (2002) Diagnosis of multiple cancer types by shrunken centroids of gene expression. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 99:6567–6572.
- 25. Chen C, *et al.* (2005) Real-time quantification of microRNAs by stem-loop RT-PCR. *Nucleic Acids Res* 33:e179.
- 26. Calin GA, *et al.* (2005) A MicroRNA signature associated with prognosis and progression in chronic lymphocytic leukemia. *N Engl J Med* 353:1793–1801.
- 27. Yuan Y, *et al.* (2001) AML1-ETO expression is directly involved in the development of acute myeloid leukemia in the presence of additional mutations. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 98:10398–10403.
- 28. Bagga S, *et al.* (2005) Regulation by let-7 and lin-4 miRNAs results in target mRNA degradation. *Cell* 122:553–563.
- 29. Nilsen TW (2007) Mechanisms of microRNA-mediated gene regulation in animal cells. *Trends Genet* 23:243–249.
- 30. Lewis BP, Burge CB, Bartel DP (2005) Conserved seed pairing, often flanked by adenosines, indicates that thousands of human genes are microRNA targets. *Cell* 120:15– 20.

providing the KOCL-48 cell line. We also thank Dr. L. Pelloso at the University of Chicago for helpful discussion and Drs. J. Xu and Y. Chen at the University of Chicago for technical help with the methylation analysis. This work was supported in part by National Institutes of Health (NIH) Grant CA127277 (to J.C.), the G. Harold and Leila Y. Mathers Charitable Foundation (J.C.), a Cancer Research Foundation Young Investigator Award (to J.C.), a Leukemia and Lymphoma Society Translational Research Grant (to J.D.R.), the Spastic Paralysis Foundation of the Illinois, the Eastern Iowa Branch of Kiwanis International (J.D.R.), NIH Grants CA40046 (to M.M.L.B. and R.A.L) and CA104509 (to D.-E.Z.). T.R.G. is an Investigator of the Howard Hughes Medical Institute. The sequencing was done by the Cancer Research Center DNA Sequencing Facility with the support of NIH Cancer Center Support Grant CA014599.

- 31. Krek A, *et al.* (2005) Combinatorial microRNA target predictions. *Nat Genet* 37:495– 500.
- 32. John B, *et al.* (2004) Human MicroRNA targets. *PLoS Biol* 2:e363.
- 33. Bloomfield CD, *et al.* (2004) Core binding factor acute myeloid leukemia. Cancer and Leukemia Group B (CALGB) Study 8461. *Ann Hematol* 83 Suppl 1:S84–S85.
- 34. Ichikawa H, *et al.* (2006) Common gene expression signatures in t(8;21)- and inv(16) acute myeloid leukaemia. *Br J Haematol* 135:336–347.
- 35. Slovak ML, *et al.* (2000) Karyotypic analysis predicts outcome of preremission and postremission therapy in adult acute myeloid leukemia: A Southwest Oncology Group/ Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group Study. *Blood* 96:4075–4083.
- 36. Byrd JC, *et al.* (2002) Pretreatment cytogenetic abnormalities are predictive of induction success, cumulative incidence of relapse, and overall survival in adult patients with *de novo* acute myeloid leukemia: Results from Cancer and Leukemia Group B (CALGB 8461). *Blood* 100:4325–4336.
- 37. Chen CZ, Li L, Lodish HF, Bartel DP (2004) MicroRNAs modulate hematopoietic lineage differentiation. *Science* 303:83–86.
- 38. Ramkissoon SH, *et al.* (2006) Hematopoietic-specific microRNA expression in human cells. *Leuk Res* 30:643–647.
- 39. Garzon R, *et al.* (2006) MicroRNA fingerprints during human megakaryocytopoiesis. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 103:5078–5083.
- 40. Yu J, *et al.* (2006) Human microRNA clusters: Genomic organization and expression profile in leukemia cell lines. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun* 349:59–68.
- 41. Garzon R, *et al.* (2007) MicroRNA gene expression during retinoic acid-induced differentiation of human acute promyelocytic leukemia. *Oncogene* 26:4148–4157.
- 42. Debernardi S, *et al.* (2007) MicroRNA miR-181a correlates with morphological sub-class of acute myeloid leukaemia and the expression of its target genes in global genomewide analysis. *Leukemia* 21:912–916.
- 43. Fazi F, *et al.* (2007) Epigenetic silencing of the myelopoiesis regulator microRNA-223 by the AML1/ETO oncoprotein. *Cancer Cell* 12:457–466.
- 44. Mi S, *et al.* (2007) MicroRNA expression signatures accurately discriminate acute lymphoblastic leukemia from acute myeloid leukemia. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 104:19971–19976.
- 45. Fontana L, *et al.* (2007) MicroRNAs 17–5p-20a–106a control monocytopoiesis through AML1 targeting and M-CSF receptor upregulation. *Nat Cell Biol* 9:775–787.
- 46. Isken F, *et al.* (2008) Identification of acute myeloid leukaemia associated microRNA expression patterns. *Br J Haematol* 140:153–161.
- 47. Garzon R, *et al.* (2008) MicroRNA signatures associated with cytogenetics and prognosis in acute myeloid leukemia. *Blood* 111:3138–3189.
- 48. Jongen-Lavrencic M, Sun SM, Dijkstra MK, Valk PJ, Lowenberg B (2008) MicroRNA expression profiling in relation to the genetic heterogeneity of acute myeloid leukemia. *Blood* 111:5078–5085.
- 49. Syed N, *et al.* (2006) Transcriptional silencing of Polo-like kinase 2 (SNK/PLK2) is a frequent event in B-cell malignancies. *Blood* 107:250–256.
- 50. Smith P, Syed N, Crook T (2006) Epigenetic inactivation implies a tumor suppressor function in hematologic malignancies for Polo-like kinase 2 but not Polo-like kinase 3. *Cell Cycle* 5:1262–1264.
- 51. Burns TF, Fei P, Scata KA, Dicker DT, El-Deiry WS (2003) Silencing of the novel p53 target gene Snk/Plk2 leads to mitotic catastrophe in paclitaxel (taxol)-exposed cells. *Mol Cell Biol* 23:5556–5571.
- 52. Pui CH, Jeha S (2007) New therapeutic strategies for the treatment of acute lymphoblastic leukaemia. *Nat Rev Drug Discov* 6:149–165.
- 53. Castilla LH, *et al.* (1999) The fusion gene Cbfb-MYH11 blocks myeloid differentiation and predisposes mice to acute myelomonocytic leukaemia. *Nat Genet* 23:144–146.
- 54. Saeed AI, *et al.* (2006) TM4 microarray software suite. *Methods Enzymol* 411:134–193.
- 55. Frommer M, *et al.* (1992) A genomic sequencing protocol that yields a positive display of 5-methylcytosine residues in individual DNA strands. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 89:1827–1831.
- 56. Saito Y, *et al.* (2006) Specific activation of microRNA-127 with downregulation of the proto-oncogene BCL6 by chromatin-modifying drugs in human cancer cells. *Cancer Cell* 9:435–443.
- 57. Lavau C, Luo RT, Du C, Thirman MJ (2000) Retrovirus-mediated gene transfer of MLL-ELL transforms primary myeloid progenitors and causes acute myeloid leukemias in mice. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 97:10984–10989.