LAW, ETHICS AND MEDICINE

Doctor-patient sexual relationships in medical oaths

S G Pérez, R J Gelpi, A M Rancich

J Med Ethics 2006;32:702-705. doi: 10.1136/jme.2005.014910

See end of article for authors' affiliations

Correspondence to: Ana María Rancich, Department of Pathology, Institute of Cardiovascular Physiopathology, Faculty of Medicine, Buenos Aires University, C1114AAD Uriburu N 950, 2nd floor, Buenos Aires, Argentina; rancich@netverk.com.ar

Received 31 October 2005 Revised 31 October 2005 Accepted 8 November 2005 **Background:** Doctor-patient sexual relationship is considered to be unfair because the first party would be abusing the second party's vulnerability. The prohibition of this relationship is noted in the Hippocratic oath. Currently, a reprise of the use of oaths in medical schools can be observed.

Aim: To determine whether the prohibition has been maintained and how its expression has varied in the oaths during different periods.

Methods: 50 oaths were studied: 13 ancient-medieval and 37 modern-contemporary. Of the 50 texts, 19 were versions of the original oaths. The oaths that pointed out the prohibited doctor-patient relationship referred to any sexual aspect or included paragraphs that began as the Hippocratic oath does were noted. **Results:** Of the 24 (48%) texts that expressed the prohibition, 8 (62%) were ancient-medieval and 16 (43%) were modern-contemporary. Some expressly call it Hippocratic oath, many use general terminology (corruption or vice) and others describe it in association with other commitments (abortion and euthanasia).

Conclusions: The clause on the prohibition of the doctor-patient sexual relationship in Hippocratic oath was included to be for legal, economic and social reasons at the time. That the clause is found mostly in the ancient-medieval oaths can be attributed to the influence of the original. This commitment is generalised and associated with others by contemporary formulas. Currently, sexual relationships are the subject of legal and ethical analysis and their inclusion in the oaths is being debated.

he principle of justice (non-discrimination in healthcare and equitable distribution of resources) was not the rule in the ancient times.¹

Hippocratic oath does not include these principles. In its many translations, different words are used for equivalent Greek terms—for example, some translations use the word "injustice" to translate the term "adikié", others use other terms—for example, Jones²-⁵ uses the words "injury" or "harm" instead. The translations that include the word injustice are based on the study by Edelstein,⁵ who in the first instance translates.

I will apply dietetic measures for the benefit of the sick according to my ability and judgment; I will keep them from harm and injustice.

In the second instance,

Whatever houses I may visit, I will come for the benefit of the sick, remaining free of all intentional injustice, of all mischief and in particular of sexual relations with both female and male persons, be they free or slaves.

This author justifies the use of the word "injustice" as a principle pertaining to the Pythagorean school, among others. With regard to the association existing between these rules and sexual relationships, pythagoreans consider it to be unfair because the man who is unfaithful to his wife is unfair to her; similarly, the doctor is unfair when he misuses the patient's vulnerability. Another pythagorean feature is the non-discrimination by sex and social class in regards to the prohibition of this relationship.⁵

The clause that states the prohibition of sexual relationships in the Hippocratic oath has not been studied adequately. Campbell⁶ points out some reasons for this: it is obviously not important and is a taboo subject. Despite this, at present, research shows the frequency of these relationships in publications on

psychiatry, obstetrics, gynaecology and other subjects.⁷⁻⁹ Studies analysing the ethical and legal consequences associated with this type of relationship are also available.¹⁰

Currently, medical schools try to ensure that their members are committed to their careers by making them take oaths. This is shown by studies in the past decades that analysed the use and contents of the oaths in the US, Canada and Argentina. 11-15

As the prohibition of a sexual relationship between a doctor and a patient has not been studied in other oaths⁶ and schools continue to bind their graduates through oaths, it is interesting to analyse this clause in the formulas that were used during different periods.

Consequently, the first objective of this study was to determine whether this prohibition of sexual relationships between doctors and patients that was manifested in the Hippocratic oath was preserved in the formulas of different periods and in versions of the original oath. The second objective was to assess the variations in the expression of the prohibition in the oaths of different times in comparison with the manifestations in Hippocrates' oath.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

To analyse the expression of the prohibition of the doctorpatient sexual relationship, 50 oaths found in publications were assessed. These were selected considering their historical context and not its practice.

With regard to the time of formulation, texts were grouped as old–medieval (n = 13) and modern–contemporary (n = 37). Of the 50 texts, 19 were versions of the Hippocrates' oath (table 1).

For analysis, oaths were divided into those that indicated prohibition and those that did not, on the basis of the following characteristics: expression of the prohibition of sexual relationships or other manifestations that refer to any sexual aspect, also taking into account all the paragraphs that start in the same manner as Hippocrates' oath ("Whatever houses I may visit, I will ...").⁵

Commitment	Medical oaths
Prohibition of doctor-patient sexual relationships, n = 24 (48%)	 Hippocrates (4th century BC)*5 Caraka Samhita (AD 1st century?)¹6 Asaph (7th century?)¹6 Convenant laid down by Hippocrates (10th century)*2 According to Hippocrates, as sworn by a Christian (10th or 11th century)*2 Montpellier School of Medicine (Doctorate) (12th or 13th century)¹7 Hippocrates' oath from Perotti's translation (1454-5)*¹8 Hebrew paraphrase of the Hippocratic oath (15th century)*¹9 Amato Lusitano (1559)¹7 Hippocrates' oath from Lombardi's translation (1559)*¹8 Basilea Doctor (1570)²0 Hippocrates' oath from Read's translation*²¹ Hippocrates' oath from Lowe's translation*²¹ University of Berlin (1810)²² Medical School, University of Buenos Aires, Argentina (1852–1956)²³ Medical School, University of Sao Paulo (1913)²⁴ Doctor in Medicine (1928)*²⁵ Medical School, University National of La Plata (1938)²⁶ Islamic Doctor, Kuwait Code of Medical Ethics (1981)¹⁶
Non– manifestation, n=26 (52%)	A physician of Russia (1992)***27 Imhotep (1992)**3 Modern Hippocratic oath (1992)**13 Hippocratic oath —the Johns Hopkins' version (1994)**29 AD 1995 Restatement of Hippocrates' oath (1995)**30 Royal Constitution of Sicilia (12th Century)**1 Salerno School of Medicine (Doctorate) (12th or 13th century)**1 Medical Statuto in Venezia (1258)**31 Paris School of Medicine (12th or 13th century)**2 Doctor and physician of Amberes (1456/64)**3 Lovaina Catholic University (16th century)**7 Doctors of Medical School, University of Berna (1836)**4 Glasgow Medical Students (1868)**2 Sponsio Academica in Medicine, Edinburgh University (1873–4)**5 Medical Graduates of Aberdeen University (1888)**6 Declaration of Geneva (1948, amended 1968, 1983)**16 Hebrew University (1952)**2**2 Louis Lasagna (1963)**1 Medical Graduates, Pico Turquino (1965)**3**3 Medical Graduates, Pico Turquino (1965)**3**3 Medical Graduates in Medicine at the Martin-Luther-University, Halle-Wittenberg (1976)**8 Muslim physicians (1977)**16 Physician's Commitment to Promoting the Patient's Good (1988)**9 Yale oath (1993)**13 Hippocrates — University of Ottawa (1993)**13 Toronto Faculty of Medicine (1994)**0 Draft revision of the Hippocratic oath (1997)**4*1 Class of 1998, Harvard Medical School**2 Medical Declaration, University of New South Wales (2001)**4*1

With the objective of pointing out the clause, the work consisted of noting each idea that may refer to these aspects, to compare them in terms of their formulation and to determine their similarities with and differences from Hippocrates' oath.

Significant differences between the manifestation of this commitment and the oaths of different times and the versions and non-versions of the Hippocratic oath, were assessed by using the proportion comparison test (z).⁴⁴

RESULTS

Of the 50 oaths analysed, 24 (48%) stated the prohibition of sexual relationships between doctors and patients, or made similar statements or a paragraph that began similarly to Hippocrates' oath; and 26 (52%) neither referred to it nor included such a paragraph (table 1).

When analysing the relationship between the time of formulation and the statement of this prohibition, 8 of the 13 (62%) old–medieval and 16 of the 37 (43%) modern–contemporary oaths expressed it; thus we found no significant differences (z = 0.813; p = 0.416). Also, with regard

to a version or non-version of Hippocrates' oath, we found no significant difference: 12 of the 19 (63%) versions and 11 of the 30 (37%) non-versions expressed this prohibition (z = 1.517; p = 0.129).

Four texts, versions of Hippocrates' oath, expressed the sentence almost verbatim, except that the expression "sexual relations" was changed to "fornication" (according to Hippocrates, as sworn by a Christian²), "with other transaction or in regard to sexual relations" (Covenant laid down by Hippocrates²), "casual love affair" (from Perotti's translation¹⁸ of Hippocrates' oath) and "sexual intercourse" (from Read's translation of Hippocrates' oath).²¹

Lombardi's translation of Hippocrates' oath modified this expression by omitting the prohibition: "... I shall exercise my art in men and women, either servants or lords ...".

The other two texts, versions of Hippocrates' oath, refer to the prohibition of "sexual relations" (of the Russian physicians²⁷) or "seduction" (AD 1995 Restatement of Hippocrates' oath³⁰), but towards the patient only, without specifying man, woman, free or enslaved. Another modification of the Hippocratic oath (from Lowe's translation²¹) notes

704 Pérez, Gelpi, Rancich

the prohibition, but without mentioning with regard to whom.

The Hebrew paraphrase¹⁹ of Hippocrates' oath prohibits only "coveting" the patient's "wife, daughter or maid". And all three remaining versions (of Doctor in Medicine,²⁵ Modern Hippocratic,¹³ and Hippocratic oath—The Johns Hopkins' version²⁹) modified the expression, beginning the paragraph as "... aloof from wrong, from corruption and from the tempting of others to vice ...".

This clause is also expressed in a similar manner in four other texts that are not versions of Hippocrates' oath (of the Montpellier School of Medicine (Doctorate),¹⁷ the Buenos Aires School of Medicine,²³ the Sao Paulo School of Medicine²⁴ and the La Plata School of Medical Sciences).²⁶ These oaths begin as Hippocrates' oath does, eliminating the clauses of prohibition of sexual relationships, abortion and euthanasia and generalising the expression "... neither corruption of the customs nor favoring crime ...".

Another three formulas, non-modifications of the original, changed this prohibition: "well being and modesty of the ill" (of the Basle Doctor²⁰), "bad or immoral purposes" (of the University of Berlin (Bird and Barlow,²²)) and "any deed that stains the eyes of God" (from Doctor, Kuwait Code of Medical Ethics).¹⁶

The Imhotep oath,²⁸ a non-version of Hippocrates' oath, states, "... I shall refrain from sexual practices with my patients and others under my guard ...".

The expression in the three remaining texts, Caraka, ¹⁶ Asaph¹⁶ and Amato Lusitano, ¹⁷ refers to different aspects of the Hippocratic oath. The Caraka oath prescribes a life of celibacy, avoiding adultery and not accepting gifts from a woman without her guardian's consent. ¹⁶

The Asaph oath¹⁶ expresses, "... Refrain from lustfully coveting beautiful womenDo not wish wealth or possessions that come from assisting in or carrying out sexually vicious acts ...".

The Amato Lusitano oath¹⁷ specifies, "... in my consultations and feminine visits I did not practice the least blunder, never did anything that a judicious and distinguished physician would be ashamed of ...".

In summary, this prohibition of having sexual relationships with patients has been maintained in almost half of the studied oaths, some quoted verbatim from Hippocrates' oath, some changing the words and others using a more general terminology associated with other commitments.

DISCUSSION

The Hippocratic oath expressed the prohibition of sexual relationships between doctors and men or women, free or slaves. This text was subjected to different translations, modifications or elimination of commitments. ¹⁸ Also, in other versions of the Hippocratic oath, the same concept was expressed in other words. In summary, the oaths analysed in this study may be the result of interpretations rather than translations. ³ ⁴

As previously discussed, Edelstein⁵ considered the Hippocratic oath as pertaining to the Pythagorean school. Therefore, this prohibition of sexual relationships between doctors and patients was considered to be a rule sustained by this school, which judged the sexual relationships to be unfair. Edelstein relates this sentence to the concepts of sanctity and purity manifested in the Oath in regards to the way the professional would live and practise his art. Another peculiarity was that Pythagoreans considered that members of the different sexes and social classes were equals.

Campbell⁶ studied this clause of the Hippocratic oath, pointing out different reasons for its inclusion:

- 1. A legal reason, as infidelity of the woman, seduction and abduction were legislated
- An economic reason, as physicians following Hippocrates' oath defended the reputation of their profession
- 3. Sexual relationships with guest relating to exchange of goods were prohibited at that time
- A social reason, as this prohibition carried a protection towards the sexual integrity of women and slaves, ultimately to defend the relationship between doctors and patients
- 5. The existing difference between the doctor's power and the patient's vulnerability.⁶

Despite this, the sexual relationships between a doctor and a patient, adultery and concubinage were common practices in old times, among heterosexuals as well as homosexuals.¹⁶

This prohibition is maintained in almost half of the analysed texts, and, although we found no marked difference, the old–medieval texts are the ones that point it out most frequently. This may be because of the greater influence of the Hippocratic oath on the first formulas.

Of the five articles that analysed the use and contents of the oaths used in Medical Schools in the US, Canada and Argentina, ^{11–15} only two point out similar subject matter. The first one mentions chastity (3%)¹³ and the second one specifically indicates this prohibition (3%).¹⁵ Results of these studies are very different from those of our study. These studies analysed texts from the same place and historical time, whereas ours analysed formulas from different eras. Also, the classification of the commitments was different. In summary, these studies showed that the prohibition has been abandoned in present medical oaths.

The formulas that modified their wording in regards to Hippocrates' oath showed general commitments and used different terminology. It can be said that they used the wording from the oath of the Montpellier School. According to this School, the three prohibitions mentioned in the Hippocratic oath—namely, sexual relations, abortion and euthanasia—were generalised by the expressions "wrong, vice, and corruption".

The different versions of Hippocrates' oath changed the parties committed to the prohibition of sexual relationships. Only one version of Hippocrates' oath mentions women represented by the patient's wife, daughter and maid (Hebrew Paraphrases).19 Another two texts, also Hebreworiented, point out women only. The Asaph oath went further by prescribing that these types of relationships should not lead to gaining goods or wealth. This text followed many statements of the Hippocratic oath and the rest were based on passages from the Bible.16 The oath by Amato Lusitano mentions any type of offence against a woman. This formula is a moral testimony of the medical life that reflects its Judaism. Therefore, the religious orientation of these formulas may have influenced the fact that the prohibition affects only women related to the patient. Nowhere in the texts were women considered patients, except in the last oath. The Christian and Arab formulas maintain the same wording as Hippocrates' oath, because their ethical principles followed the rules of these religions.2

Other oaths mentioned patients, without differentiating sex. This expression is much more logical at present. Nowadays, the number of female professionals and other sex orientations suggest not specifying sex in regards to the doctor as well as the patient.

The remaining texts that are not versions of Hippocrates' oath showed this clause in a different light. The Caraka oath reflects the Indian tradition at the time. Throughout their

learning period, men were committed to leading an ascetic life and being celibate.45

The seven texts that are versions of Hippocrates' oath, which do not mention the prohibition, have preserved the word "Hippocratic" as a tradition and not because they are derived from the original. They might have used generalisations in their clauses because of this. Also, the absence of this commitment in the Declaration of Geneva could be due to its general context. The Declaration of Geneva was formulated to advise on its use at medical schools pertaining to different cultures and religions.16

Sexual relationships between doctors and patients were common in Hippocrates' times. This practice has not been abandoned at present⁷⁻¹⁰ and is a subject of legal and ethical analysis.10 Therefore, medical associations and schools should evaluate whether to include the prohibition of sexual relationships in their medical oaths.

Authors' affiliations

S G Pérez, R J Gelpi, A M Rancich, Department of Pathology, Institute of Cardiovascular Physiopathology, Faculty of Medicine, Buenos Aires University, Buenos Aires, Argentina

Funding: This study was funded by the CONICET 2000 Pluriannual Project, approved on 25 July 2001, Research number 02184.

Competing interests: None.

REFERENCES

- Amundsen D. Ancient and medieval ethic. In: Reich WT, eds. Encyclopedia of bioethics. New York: The Free Press, 1995:1509-15.
- Jones W. The doctor's oath: an essay in the history of medicine. New York: Cambridge University Press, 1924, 9–11, 23–5, 31–3, 60–1..
 Nutton V. What's in an oath? J R Coll Physicians Lond 1995;29:518–25.
- Lara Nava M. Juramento. In: García Gual C, Lara Nava M, López Férez J, Cabellos Alvárez B, eds. Tratados Hipocráticos. Madrid: Biblioteca Clásica, 1983:63-83.
- Edelstein L. The Hippocratic oath: text, translation and interpretation. In: Veatch R, ed. Cross cultural perspectives in medical ethics: readings. Boston: Jones and Bartlett, 1989:6-24.
- 6 Campbell M. The oath: an investigation of the injunction prohibiting physicianpatient sexual relations. Perspect Biol Med 1989;32:300-8.
- 7 Peschers U, Du Mont J, Jundt K, et al. Prevalence of sexual abuse among women seeking gynecologic care in Germany. Obstet Gynecol 2003;101:103-8.
- 8 **Appelbaum P**. Sexual relationships between physicians and patients. Arch Intern Med 1994;154:2561-5.
- McCullough L, Chervenak F, Coverdale J. Ethically justified guidelines for defining sexual boundaries between obstetrician-gynecologists and their patients. Am J Obstet Gynecol 1996;175:496-500.
- 10 Stone A. The legal implications of sexual activity between psychiatrist and
- patient. Am J Psychiatry 1976;133:1138-41.

 11 Irish D, McMurray D. Professional oaths and American Medical College.
 J Chron Dis 1965;18:275-89.
- 12 Friedlander W. Ooths give by US and Canadian medical school 1977 profession of values. Soc Sci Med 1982;16:115–20.
- 13 Orr R, Pang N, Pellegrino E, et al. Use of the Hippocratic oath: a review of twentieth century practice and a content analysis of oaths administered in

- medical schools in the U.S. and Canada en 1993. J Clin Ethics 1997:8:377-88
- 14 Rancich A, Gelpi R. Juramentos Médicos utilizados en las Facultades de Medicina de Argentina en relación con el Hipocrático. Medicina (B Aires) 1998:**58**:147-52
- 15 Kao A, Parsi K. Content analyses of oaths administered at U.S. medical schools in 2000. Acad Med 2004;79:882-7.
- 16 Codes, Oaths and Directives Related to Bioethics, In: Reich WT, ed. Encyclopedia of bioethics. New York: The Free Press, 1995:2630-706.
- 17 **De Pina L**. Juramentos Médicos. A propósito de oração ética de Assafe, In: Lisboa, Da Imprensa Médica, 1952
- 18 Rütten T. Receptions of the Hippocratic oath in the Renaissance: the rohibition of abortion as a case study in reception. J Hist Med Allied Sci . 1996:**51**:456–83.
- Kotter S, Leibowitz J, Richler B. Hebrew paraphrase of the Hippocratic Oath (from a fifteenth-century manuscript). Med Hist 1978;22:438–45.
 Burckhardt S. Basler Doktor-Eid, 1570. Bern: Medizinhistorisches Institut der
- Universit Bern, 1917:368-83
- 21 Larkey S. The Hippocratic oath in Elizabethan England. Bull Hist Med 1936;4:201-20.
- 22 Bird L, Barlow J, eds. Codes of medical ethics, oaths, and prayers: an
- anthology. Richardson: Christian Medical and Dental Society, 1989;44:50.

 23 Lardies González J. Los Juramentos usados en el siglo XIX. Revista Asoc Méd Argent 1958;**72**:500-1.
- Vasconcelos E. Hippocratic oath. Rev Paulista Méd 1974;83:196-220
- 25 Carey E. The formal use of the Hippocratic oath for medical students al commencement exercises. Bull Am Assoc Med Coll 1928;3:159-66
- Anon. El Juramento. Rev Fac Cienc Méd Cent Estud Med 1938;II:49.
- **Tichtchenko P**. Resurrection of the Hippocratic oath in Russia. Camb Q Healthcare Ethics 1994;**3**:49–51.
- 28 Pickett A. The oath of Imhotep: in recognition of African contributions to Western medicine. J Nat Med Assoc 1992;84:636–7.
- 29 McHugh P. Hippocrates à la mode. Nat Med 1996;2:507-9
- 30 Comité del Valor de la Vida, Brigithon, USA. A.D. 1995 Redeclaración del Juramento Hipocrático (Cerca 400 B.C.). Cuadernos Bioét 1996;25:123-4.
- Agrimi J, Crisciani C. Malato, medico e medicina nel Medioevo, Torino Loescher Editore, 1980:208-11
- 32 Díaz Trigo A. El Juramento en las Universidades de París y Montpellier. Revista de la Asociación Médica Argentina 1958;72:498-500.
- 33 Etziony M. An anthology of medical prayers, oaths and codes of ethics written and recited by medical practitioners through the ages. Springfield: Charles
- 34 Protokoll der Med. Eidesformel für die Doctoren der Medicin vom 1836. Bern: Fakultät der Universität Bern I, 1999:24.
- 35 Sponsio Academica to be signed by graduates in Medicine. In: Edinburgh University Calendary 1873–1874, 1919–1920. Edinburgh: Published for the University by James Thin, 1919:157.
 36 Pennington T, Pennington C. The Hippocratic oath is not administered in the
- strictly legal sense. BMJ 1994;309:952
- Anon. Juramento de los médicos graduados en el Pico Turquino. Trib Méd Cuba 1966;XXVI:47
- Anon. Solemn vow. Of those graduating in the field of medicine at the Martin-Luther University, Halle-Wittenberg. *J Med Philos* 1989;14:351.

 Pellegrino E. The Hippocratic oath [letter]. CA 1990;40:126–8.
- 40 Newman A, Park I. An oath for entering medical students. Acad Med 1994;69:214.
- 41 Hurwitz B, Richardson R. Swearing to care: the resurgence in medical oaths. BMJ 1997;315:1671-4.
- 42 Oath Committee of Class of 1998 Medical School of Harvard. Oath of the Class of 1998, 1998. http://www.hms.harvard.edu.
- 43 McNeill P, Dowton B. Declarations made by graduating medical students in Australia and New Zealand. Med J Aust 2002;176:123-5.
- 44 Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Epi Info 6.02: a wordprocessing, database and statistica program to public health. Geneva: World Health Organization, 1994.
- 45 Menon I, Haberman H. The medical students's oath of ancient India. Med Hist 1970;14:295-9.