(probably unique) information needs of each possible emergency situation.

However, electronic patient records proposed as part of the UK government's national IT strategy Connecting for Health° are likely to be an advance in that the necessary clinical data should be routinely available and linked together. A vital aspect of emergency management will be to design plans, policies, and systems that permit scope for local knowledge and the improvisation born of human experience, and that permit the informal networks that contribute so much to clinical and organisational excellence to be supported and sustained.

J Epidemiol Community Health 2006;**60**:464– 466. doi: 10.1136/jech.2005.037127 .....

Authors' affiliations D Telford, S Partridge, I Cumming, A F Smith, Morecambe Bay Hospitals NHS Trust, Kendal,

UK **N Calvert**, Health Protection Agency, Capital Building, Carlisle, UK

Correspondence to: Professor A Smith, Department of Anaesthesia, Royal Lancaster Infirmary, Ashton Road, Lancaster LA1 4RP, UK; andrew.f.smith@mbht.nhs.uk

Funding: the authors are grateful to the UK Department of Health for funding the research reported in the *Emergency Medicine Journal* article referred to in this editorial.

## REFERENCES

- Joseph C. New outbreak of legionnaires' disease in the United Kingdom. BMJ 2002:325:347–8.
- 2 Lettinga KD, Verbon A, Weverling GJ, et al. Legionnaires' disease at a Dutch flower show:

prognostic factors and impact of therapy. *Emerg* Inf Dis 2002;**8**:1448–54.

- 3 Joseph CA, Harrison TG, Ilijic-Car D, et al. Legionnaires' disease in residents of England and Wales: 1998. Comm Dis Public Health 1999;2:280–4.
- 4 NHS Executive. Emergency planning in the NHS: health services arrangements for dealing with major incidents. London: Department of Health, 1996.
- 5 Smith AF, Wild C, Law J. The Barrow-in-Furness legionnaires' outbreak: qualitative study of the hospital response and the role of the major incident plan *Emerg Med J* 2005;22:251–5.
- 6 Oakey RJ, Harry AM, Smith AF. Clinical significance of mis-scoring in a 'track and trigger' system during an outbreak of Legionnaires' disease. Br J Anaesth 2005;94:410–11P.
- 7 Davenport T, Prusak D. Working knowledge. Boston: Harvard University Press, 1999.
- 8 National Audit Office. Facing the challenge: NHS emergency planning in England. London: The Stationery Office, 2002, http:// www.nao.gov.uk/publications/nao\_reports/ 02-03/020336.pdf (accessed 6 Jun 2005).
- 9 National Health Service. http:// www.connectingforhealth.nhs.uk (accessed 6 Jun
- www.connectingtorhealth.nhs.uk (accessed 6 Jun 2005).

Incident planning

# Community acquired legionnaires' disease: lessons for surveillance from recent outbreaks

## M Regan, B Olowokure

# Sharing of multi-agency intelligence on cooling towers is needed for better prevention and control of outbreaks

ver since the recognition of the clinical syndrome of epidemic atypical pneumonia and the identification of the causative role of legionella organisms, legionnaires' disease has posed considerable logistical problems for public health services. Outbreaks continue to occur and may be explosive, high profile, and difficult to control despite appropriate tactical mobilisation and cooperation between the statutory organisations charged with surveillance and response.<sup>1</sup><sup>2</sup>

Hospital water systems initially featured as important preventable sources of legionella and a risk to susceptible groups, but nosocomial cases are now uncommon in the United Kingdom because of the successful implementation of proactive control measures directed towards reducing the risk from hot water and cooling systems.<sup>3</sup>

More recently surveillance for legionnaires' disease has focused particularly on the rapid identification and alerting of travel associated cases supported by an extensive European surveillance network that has resulted in a number of notable successes in identifying linked cases associated with travel or specific high risk premises, particularly hotels.<sup>4</sup> This network has enabled rapid communication of intelligence on suspected clusters and timely alerting of the travel industry and national control and enforcement agencies so that appropriate remedial action is taken to protect travellers.

Community acquired cases of legionnaires' disease remain a challenge and additional measures are now required if the public health impact of legionella in the environment is to be reduced still further. A critical review of existing surveillance data suggests some more strategic questions need to be addressed as recurring themes, risks, and exposure settings can be identified. Key among these is the pre-eminent role of wet cooling towers as significant sources of legionella organisms at the population level.5-18 The recent outbreaks in Barrow-in-Furness and Hereford, illustrate the continuing role of wet cooling towers as significant exposure settings, particularly for vulnerable members of the local community.<sup>1 5 6</sup>

The prevention of all sporadic cases of community acquired legionnaires' disease is arguably an unrealistic goal with a total of 2338 cases confirmed in England and Wales during the period 1980 and 2004.19 However, a further reduction in cases can be achieved through reducing the risk of exposure to significant community sources, such as wet cooling towers, that possess the potential for aerosol dispersal. In the United Kingdom this will require closer partnership working at local level between agencies such as the Health Protection Agency, local authority environmental health departments, and the Health and Safety Executive. Businesses are required to register cooling towers with the relevant local government authority in which the plant is situated. National guidance developed by the Health and Safety Executive clearly stipulates the responsibilities of businesses to ensure the appropriate maintenance and operation of wet cooling tower systems.20 Compliance is monitored by local authorities and the Health and Safety Executive. Potential conflicts of interest can arise where a local authority may be expected to monitor compliance with maintenance standards for a cooling tower that it also owns. Memoranda of Understanding (MOU) may be able to address this by reciprocal agreements between neighbouring local authorities or with the Health and Safety Executive. This is widely accepted as good practice, but perhaps should be given further weight by being reflected more explicitly in codes of practice by the Health and Safety Executive.

The lesson that may be drawn from community outbreaks associated with cooling towers is that in retrospect these are often seen to have been potentially preventable if existing guidance on prevention and control had been appropriately implemented and monitored.1 Surveillance data held by individual organisations can be pooled and shared within the agreement set out in advance within MOU. A prime focus for such an approach is better sharing of intelligence on the location, type, and state of maintenance of cooling towers as well as on the occurrence of apparently sporadic cases of community acquired legionnaires' disease. For local health protection teams perhaps the question needs to move on from passively receiving informal reports of suspected community acquired cases to proactively questioning local authorities and the Health and Safety Executive about where wet cooling towers are located and the date of the last satisfactory maintenance inspection.

The time is now right to build upon the lessons learned from surveillance and short term tactical responses to control community outbreaks, toward a greater emphasis on the use of multiagency surveillance intelligence to develop more strategic policies for better long term prevention. Arguably the number of outbreaks of legionnaires' disease associated with cooling towers is small compared with the large numbers of wet cooling towers currently in operation. Furthermore, most seem to perform entirely satisfactorily for years without any apparent effect on the health of the local population provided that adequate maintenance arrangements are in place. None the less, although the risk of failure may be small the public health impact may be great.<sup>1 2</sup> Perhaps there is further scope for adopting a more precautionary approach where wet cooling towers are to be sited in situations where there is the potential for large numbers of susceptible people to be exposed to legionella organisms in the event of

any failure of maintenance or operation of the system. Alongside the welcome development of MOU and sharing of surveillance intelligence, for some high risk locations a more preferable option for long term effective prevention may be for all public health agencies to promote the adoption of dry air cooling systems rather than wet cooling towers to protect the public. The goal of all statutory organisations with responsibility for protecting the public should be to ensure that as far as practically and cost effectively possible that susceptible and vulnerable groups in the community are not put at risk of being exposed to potentially serious biological hazards such as legionella organisms. Indeed much can be achieved by better organisation without additional costs to public administration.

J Epidemiol Community Health 2006;**60**:466–467.

doi: 10.1136/jech.2005.042366

### 

Authors' affiliations M Regan, Health Protection Agency, North West Regional Epidemiology Service, Liverpool. UK

**B Olowokure**, Health Protection Agency, West Midlands Regional Surveillance Unit, Birmingham Heartlands Hospital, Birmingham, UK

Correspondence to: Professor M Regan, Health Protection Agency (North West), DBH House 105 Boundary Street Liverpool L5 9YJ, UK; martyn.regan@hpa.org.uk

Funding: none.

Competing interests: none declared.

#### REFERENCES

- Cumbria and Lancashire Health Protection Unit. Barrow-in-Furness legionnaires' disease outbreak. http://www.healthprotection.org.uk/ Barrow/main.html (accessed on 16 Dec 2005).
- 2 Smith AF, Wild C, Law J. The Barrow-in-Furness legionnaires' outbreak: qualitative study of the hospital response and the role of the major incident plan. *Emerg Med J* 2005;22:251–5.
- 3 Health and Safety Commission. Legionnaires disease. The control of legionella bacteria in water systems. Sudbury: HSE Books, 2000.

- 4 Ricketts K, Joseph C. Travel associated legionnaires' disease in Europe: 2003. Euro Surveill 2004 1:9:40–3.
- 5 Joseph C. New outbreak of legionnaires' disease in the United Kingdom. BMJ 2002;325:347–8.
- 6 Outbreak of legionnaires' disease in Hereford. Commun Dis Rep CDR Weekly 2003;13. http:// www.hpa.org.uk/cdr/PDFfiles/2003/ cdr5103.pdf.
- 7 Bhopal RS, Fallon RJ, Buist EC, et al. Proximity of the home to a cooling tower and risk of nonoutbreak legionnaires' disease. BMJ 1991;302:378–83.
- 8 Bentham RH, Broadbent CR. A model for autumn outbreaks of legionnaires' disease associated with cooling towers, linked to system operation and size. *Epidemiol Infect* 1993;111:287–95.
- 9 Watson JM, Mitchell E, Gabbay J, et al. Piccadilly Circus legionnaires' disease outbreak. J Public Health Med 1994;16:341–7.
- 10 Keller DW, Hajjeh R, DeMaria A, et al. Community outbreak of legionnaires' disease: an investigation confirming the potential for cooling towers to transmit legionella species. *Clin Infect Dis* 1996;22:257–61.
- Heng BH, Goh KT, Ng DL, et al. Surveillance of legionellosis and legionella bacteria in the built environment in Singapore. Ann Acad Med Singapore 1997;26:557–65.
- 12 Fiore AE, Nuorti JP, Levine OS, et al. Epidemic legionnaires' disease two decades later: old sources, new diagnostic methods. Clin Infect Dis 1998;26:426–33.
- 13 Heath TC, Roberts C, Jalaludin B, et al. Environmental investigation of a legionellosis outbreak in western Sydney: the role of molecular profiling. Aust N Z J Public Health 1998;22:428–31.
- Brown CM, Nuorti PJ, Breiman RF, et al. A community outbreak of legionnaires' disease linked to hospital cooling towers: an epidemiological method to calculate dose of exposure. Int J Epidemiol 1999;28:353-9.
  Kool JL, Buchholz U, Peterson C, et al. Strengths
- 15 Kool JL, Buchholz U, Peterson C, et al. Strengths and limitations of molecular subtyping in a community outbreak of legionnaires' disease. *Epidemiol Infect* 2000;**125**:599–608.
- 16 Fernandez JA, Lopez P, Orozco D, et al. Clinical study of an outbreak of legionnaire's disease in Alcoy, Southeastern Spain. Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis 2002;21:729–35.
- 17 Greig JE, Carnie JA, Tallis GF, et al. An outbreak of legionnaires' disease at the Melbourne Aquarium, April 2000: investigation and case-control studies. *Med J Aust* 2004;180:566–72.
- 18 García-Fulguerias A, Navarro C, Fenoll D, et al. Legionnaires' disease Murcia, Spain. Emerg Infect Dis 2003;9:915–21.
- 19 Legionnaires' disease in Residents of England and Wales—nosocomial, travel or community acquired cases, 1980–2004. Health Protection Agency. http://www.hpa.org.uk/infections/ topics\_az/legionella/ data\_travel\_comm\_new.htm (accessed 16 Dec 2005).
- Health and Safety Executive. A guide for employers. Legionnaires' disease. http:// www.hse.gov.uk/pubns/iacl27.pdf (accessed 16 Dec 2005).