# The Two-Component System PhoPR of *Clostridium acetobutylicum* Is Involved in Phosphate-Dependent Gene Regulation

Tomas Fiedler,<sup>1</sup>† Maren Mix,<sup>1</sup>‡ Uta Meyer,<sup>1</sup>§ Stefan Mikkat,<sup>2</sup> Michael O. Glocker,<sup>2</sup> Hubert Bahl,<sup>1</sup> and Ralf-Jörg Fischer<sup>1\*</sup>

*Division of Microbiology, Institute of Biological Sciences, University of Rostock, Albert-Einstein-Straße 3, D-18051 Rostock, Germany,*<sup>1</sup> *and Proteome Center Rostock, Medical Faculty, University of Rostock, Schillingallee 69, D-18057 Rostock, Germany*<sup>2</sup>

Received 25 April 2008/Accepted 30 July 2008

**The** *phoPR* **gene locus of** *Clostridium acetobutylicum* **ATCC 824 comprises two genes,** *phoP* **and** *phoR***. Deduced proteins are predicted to represent a response regulator and sensor kinase of a phosphate-dependent twocomponent regulatory system. We analyzed the expression patterns of** *phoPR* **in Pi -limited chemostat cultures and in response to Pi pulses. A basic transcription level under high-phosphate conditions was shown, and a significant increase in mRNA transcript levels was found when external Pi concentrations dropped below 0.3 mM. In two-dimensional gel electrophoresis experiments, a 2.5-fold increase in PhoP was observed under Pi -limiting growth conditions compared to growth with an excess of Pi . At least three different transcription start points for** *phoP* **were determined by primer extension analyses. Proteins PhoP and an N-terminally truncated \*PhoR were individually expressed heterologously in** *Escherichia coli* **and purified. Autophosphorylation of \*PhoR and phosphorylation of PhoP were shown in vitro. Electromobility shift assays proved that there was a specific binding of PhoP to the promoter region of the phosphate-regulated** *pst* **operon of** *C. acetobutylicum***.**

In soil, one of the natural habitats of *Clostridium acetobutylicum*, phosphate is usually present in low concentrations and therefore represents a major growth-limiting factor for soilborne bacteria (8, 20, 55). In *C. acetobutylicum*, the limitation of phosphate in a chemostat culture in combination with an excess of glucose and a pH below 5 leads to the so-called solvent shift, a change in metabolism from the production of organic acids (acetate and butyrate) to solvents (butanol and acetone) as the main fermentation products (7, 11). In a batch culture, this metabolic switch is linked to other particular features of the clostridial cell cycle, like the formation of endospores (35), morphological changes (motility, cell shape), and the synthesis of granulose (47). The limitation of phosphate seems to be at least one important factor in this complex regulation network (33).

Up to now, only a little about the phosphate-dependent gene regulation in *C. acetobutylicum* has been determined, e.g., the existence of a clostridial phosphate (Pho) regulon, a set of genes for which transcription is controlled by PhoP (20, 55). The Pho regulon genes in several bacteria are described as being controlled by a two-component regulatory system, usually consisting of a membrane-associated sensor kinase and a cytosolic response regulator (16, 20, 26, 55, 56). The sensor kinases are supposed to detect the extracellular phosphate concentration via an N-terminal sensor domain situated between two transmembrane domains. When the phosphate concentration in the environment drops below a threshold concentration, conformational changes activate the C-terminal autokinase domain, leading to autophosphorylation of a specific conserved histidine residue. The phosphate group is then further transferred to the N-terminal receiver domain of the response regulator (24). Phosphorylation results in a changed binding affinity of the C-terminal domain of the response regulator to conserved DNA motifs, so-called Pho boxes which have been found for several bacteria, e.g., *Escherichia coli* and *Bacillus subtilis* (25, 29). Binding of the phosphorylated response regulator normally enhances the induction of transcription of genes but can also cause downregulation of transcription when Pho boxes are encoded on the noncoding DNA strand of *B. subtilis* (28).

In *E. coli*, the Pho regulon is under the control of the twocomponent system PhoBR and comprises at least 38 different genes (55), whereas in *B. subtilis*, the 34 genes of the Pho regulon are regulated mainly by the homologous two-component system PhoPR (3, 5, 20, 53). Interestingly, many of these genes, e.g., alkaline phosphatase genes (9, 12, 19, 49) and the genes which are responsible for teichuronic acid synthesis or teichoic acid synthesis (except for *tagA*), are not annotated for *C. acetobutylicum* (5, 29, 45). An exception is the *pst* operon, coding for a high-affinity  $P_i$  uptake system. This is essentially composed of an ATP-binding cassette (ABC) transporter and usually belongs to the Pho regulon (2, 44, 46). For *C. acetobutylicum*, we recently described the *pst* operon as a potential first member of a Pho regulon and suggested a putative Pho box motif (14).

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author. Mailing address: University of Rostock, Institute of Biological Sciences, Division of Microbiology, Albert-Einstein-Straße 3, D-18051 Rostock, Germany. Phone: 49-381-498-6153. Fax: 49-381-498-6152. E-mail: ralf-joerg.fischer@uni-rostock.de.

<sup>†</sup> Present address: University of Rostock, Medical Faculty, Institute of Med. Microbiology, Virology and Hygiene, Schillingallee 70, D-18057 Rostock, Germany.

<sup>‡</sup> Present address: University of Rostock, Institute of Chemistry, Divison of Analytical, Technical and Environmental Chemistry, Dr.- Lorenz-Weg 1, D-18051 Rostock, Germany.

<sup>§</sup> Present address: Sanofi-Aventis Deutschland GmbH, Frankfurt Biotechnology, Industriepark Höchst, Building D710, D-65926 Frank-<br>furt am Main, Germany.

 $\sqrt[p]{}$  Published ahead of print on 8 August 2008.

6560 FIEDLER ET AL. J. BACTERIOL.





*<sup>a</sup>* Lowercase letters indicate nucleotide substitutions used to generate restriction sites in the PCR products.

Here, we report on the transcriptional analysis of the *phoPR* gene locus and the characterization of encoded proteins as a two-component regulatory system which seems to be involved in the transcriptional regulation of the *pst* operon and therefore might be a key regulator for phosphate-dependent gene regulation in *C. acetobutylicum*.

### **MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Bacterial strains and growth conditions.** *C. acetobutylicum* ATCC 824 (laboratory collection) was grown under anaerobic conditions at 37°C in a chemostat culture with a surplus of glucose (4%, wt/vol) as the carbon source and a growth-limiting  $P_i$  concentration of 0.5 mM  $K_2HPO_4$  in the supplying medium (14). Phosphate surplus was generated by the addition of 1 M  $KH_{2}PO_{4}$  to a final concentration of 10 mM in the culture vessel. Sampling and measurement of phosphate concentration (59) were performed as described previously over a period of at least 36 h, unless  $P_i$  could no longer be detected in the chemostat (14).

**Isolation of DNA and preparation of total RNA.** Chromosomal DNA of *C.* acetobutylicum from batch cultures grown in  $2 \times$  YTG medium (10 g/liter yeast extract, 16 g/liter tryptone, 4 g/liter glucose, 4 g/liter Nacl) (41) was isolated by following the protocol described by Fischer et al. (14). Total RNA was purified using a modified hot phenol protocol as described by Oelmüller et al. (40).

**RT-PCR and primer extension.** Reverse transcriptase PCR (RT-PCR) and primer extension methods were as reported elsewhere (14). Oligonucleotides used in this work are given in Table 1. In parallel to the primer extension experiments, sequencing reactions were carried out using the same IRD800 labeled oligonucleotide and plasmid  $DNA (pSN1-)$  as the template. Labeled products were separated and analyzed together by using a denaturing sequencing polyacrylamide gel with a LI-COR 4200 sequencer according to the instructions of the manufacturer (MWG-Biotech, Ebersberg, Germany). Plasmid pSN1- is a derivative of pBluescript II  $SK(+)$  (Stratagene, Amsterdam, The Netherlands) containing a EcoRI-trimmed 3,241-bp PCR fragment (primers 2032/1 and phoR2/3) (Table 1) spanning the open reading frames *cac1699*, *cac1700* (*phoP*), and *cac1701* (*phoR*).

**2-DE.** Two-dimensional gel electrophoresis (2-DE) was performed following the standard operating procedure described by Schwarz et al. (48). Gels were stained with colloidal Coomassie blue (37), destained in distilled water for 12 to 20 h, and scanned using a Umax Mirage II scanner (Biostep GmbH, Jahnsdorf/ Erzgebirge, Germany) with a resolution of 300 dots per inch. Spot detection in gel images and quantitative analyses were done densitometrically with Delta2D software (version 3.3; DECODON, Greifswald, Germany).

**Peptide mass fingerprinting by MALDI-TOF MS.** Protein spots were picked from colloidal Coomassie blue-stained 2-DE gels (37) automatically using a Flexys Proteomics picker (Genomic Solutions, Ann Arbor, MI) (23) or manually with a cut pipette tip. The gel plugs were destained, and proteins were digested with trypsin (sequencing-grade trypsin, 10 ng/ $\mu$ l in 3 mM Tris-HCl [pH 8.5]; Promega, Madison, WI) or endoproteinase AspN (5.0 ng/µl in 3 mM Tris-HCl [pH 8.5]; Roche, Mannheim, Germany). The resulting peptide-containing solutions were prepared on matrix-assisted laser desorption ionization (MALDI) targets (384/600-µm AnchorChip; Bruker Daltonik, Bremen, Germany) (39), and peptide masses were measured by MALDI-time of flight mass spectrometry (MALDI-TOF MS) using a Reflex III mass spectrometer (Bruker Daltonik) (15).

A mass tolerance of 100 ppm and one missing cleavage site were allowed. Oxidation of methionine residues was considered a variable modification, and carboxyamidomethylation of cysteines a fixed modification. Searches were restricted to *C. acetobutylicum* proteins.

**Construction of plasmids expressing PhoP N-terminally fused to a streptavidin tag (PhoP** *Strep-***Tag) or truncated PhoR C-terminally fused to maltose** binding protein (MBP-\*PhoR). Primers PhoR5'2b and PhoR3'1b (Table 1) were used for PCR amplification of the 1,005-bp fragment of *phoR* lacking the first 720 nucleotides (nt) of this open reading frame (\**phoR*). Primers PhoP2-5BamHI-B and PhoP2-3PstI-A (Table 1) were used for PCR amplification of *phoP*. PCRs were carried out with *Pwo* DNA polymerase (PeqLab Biotechnologie GmbH, Erlangen, Germany) and chromosomal DNA of *C. acetobutylicum* ATCC 824 as the template. After PstI (MBI Fermentas GmbH, St. Leon-Rot, Germany) and BamHI (Invitrogen Life Technologies GmbH, Karlsruhe, Germany) digestion of the amplificates, the \**phoR* fragment was ligated into PstI- and BamHI-digested, dephosphorylated pMAL-c2X (NEB, Frankfurt/Main, Germany) and the *phoP*



FIG. 1. Gene architecture and localization of the *phoPR* operon of *C. acetobutylicum*. Numbers above the black line indicate the localization of the gene region on the chromosome. Arrows symbolize the orientation of transcription of the annotated open reading frames on the chromosome of *C. acetobutylicum*, and known gene names are given below in boldface letters.

fragment was ligated into the equally treated vector pASK-IBA2 (IBA GmbH, Göttingen, Germany), resulting in plasmids pTF5 and pMM15, respectively.

**Overexpression and purification of MBP-\*PhoR and PhoP** *Strep-***Tag.** A preculture of *E. coli* BL21CodonPlus(DE3)-RIL (pTF5) was grown in LB medium enriched with 2% (wt/vol) glucose overnight at 37°C with shaking and then inoculated into 200 ml of the same medium at a ratio of 1:100. The cells were further incubated at 30°C until the optical density at 600 nm reached about 0.5. Specific expression of the MBP-\*PhoR fusion protein was then induced by the addition of 24 µg/ml isopropyl-ß-D-thiogalactopyranoside, and incubation was continued for another 2 to 3 h. Cells were harvested by centrifugation (7,000  $\times$ *g* at 4°C for 10 min) and stored at -20°C overnight. After suspension in 5 ml of washing buffer (20 mM Tris-HCl [pH 7.5], 200 mM NaCl, 1 mM EDTA, 1 mM dithioerythritol,  $0.02\%$  [wt/vol] NaN<sub>3</sub>), cells were disrupted by sonication three times for 1 min each time (20 W at 20 kHz, Ultraschall Desintegrator Sonopuls HD60; Medizin- und Labortechnik KG, Hamburg, Germany), and lysis was microscopically confirmed. After centrifugation  $(15,000 \times g$  at 4°C for 30 min), the clear supernatant was diluted 1:5 with washing buffer and applied to a 1-ml amylose resin affinity column (NEB) followed by a washing step using 12 ml of the same buffer. Bound proteins were eluted in ten 200-ul fractions using buffer E (washing buffer plus 10 mM maltose) and, after supplementation with glycerin (10%, vol/vol), could be stored at  $-20^{\circ}$ C for a maximum of 30 days.

PhoP *Strep*-Tag was heterologously synthesized in  $E$ . coli DH5 $\alpha$  harboring pMM15. Cells grown in LB medium overnight with agitation at 37°C were used to inoculate 800 ml of fresh LB at a ratio of 1:100. The main culture was grown at 30°C until the optical density at 600 nm reached 0.4. For the expression of PhoP *Strep*-Tag, anhydrotetracycline was added (0.2  $\mu$ g/ml), and the incubation was resumed; after 3 to 5 h of incubation, the cells were harvested as described above. Frozen cell pellets were thawed, suspended in 1 ml of buffer W (100 mM Tris-HCl [pH 8.0], 1 mM EDTA, 150 mM NaCl), and subjected to sonication. Cell debris was sedimented by centrifugation (see above), and the clear supernatant was pipetted onto a 1-ml StrepTactin-Sepharose affinity column (IBA). The column was washed with 5 ml buffer W. The protein bound to the column was eluted in six fractions with 0.5 ml buffer E (buffer W plus 2.5 mM desthiobiotin). Protein fractions were stored at  $-20^{\circ}$ C with 10% (vol/vol) glycerin for up to 30 days.

**Determination of protein concentration.** Protein concentration was determined using the method described by Bradford (10).

Phosphorylation assays. For phosphorylation assays, 17  $\mu$ M PhoP *Strep*-Tag and  $2 \mu$ M MBP-\*PhoR were incubated together or separately in phosphorylation buffer (10 mM Tris-HCl [pH 7.0], 5 mM  $MgCl<sub>2</sub>$ , 4 mM dithiothreitol) (27) with 10  $\mu$ Ci [ $\gamma$ -<sup>32</sup>P]ATP for 5 to 30 min at room temperature in a total volume of 20  $\mu$ l. Phosphorylation was stopped by the addition of 5  $\mu$ l loading buffer (40% [vol/vol] glycerin, 40 mM dithioerythritol, 10% [wt/vol] sodium dodecyl sulfate [SDS], 0.4% [wt/vol] bromphenol blue, 250 mM Tris-HCl [pH 6.8]) and cooling on ice. Phosphorylated proteins were separated by SDS-polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (SDS-PAGE) (12.5%). Gels were dried, and radioactivity was detected by a 30- to 60-min exposure of dried gels to BAS III imaging plates and visualized using a BAS2000 bioimaging analyzer (Fuji, Tokyo, Japan).

**Electromobility shift assays.** Fragments spanning 120 nt (PF1), 190 nt (PF2), and 389 nt (PF3) of the promoter region of the *pst* operon of *C. acetobutylicum* were PCR amplified using the primers pstS-Bam-P4, pstS-Bam-P1, pst-Bam-P3, and pstS-Sal-P (Table 1). The PCRs were carried out with AccuTherm DNA polymerase (GeneCraft GmbH, Lüdinghausen, Germany) with chromosomal DNA of *C. acetobutylicum* ATCC 824 as the template. PCR products were purified with a Nucleospin Extract II kit (Macherey-Nagel GmbH & Co. KG, Düren, Germany) and 3' digoxigenin (DIG) labeled using a DIG gel shift kit, second generation (Roche Applied Science, Mannheim, Germany), following the manufacturer's instructions. Labeled promoter fragments were incubated for 15 to 30 min at room temperature with 1.5 to 5  $\mu$ M MBP-\*PhoR and/or 1 to 25  $\mu$ M PhoP *Strep-*Tag in the absence or presence of 5 to 7.5 mM ATP and binding buffer, poly-L-lysine, and poly[d(I-C)] provided with the DIG gel shift kit. Gel shift reactions were applied to 6% (wt/vol) native polyacrylamide gels with  $0.5\times$ Tris-borate-EDTA buffer (44.5 mM Tris-HCl [pH 8.0], 44.5 mM boric acid, 5 mM EDTA) as the running buffer. Electrophoreses were run for 1.5 to 2.5 h at 80 V at room temperature. DNA blotting on nylon membranes (Nytran SuPer Charge nylon transfer membrane,  $0.45$ - $\mu$ m pore size; Schleicher & Schuell Bioscience GmbH, Dassel, Germany) and DIG detection were performed as described previously (32).

## **RESULTS**

*phoPR* **gene locus of** *C. acetobutylicum***.** In order to identify a potential regulatory system involved in the phosphate-dependent gene regulation of *C. acetobutylicum*, we used the polypeptide sequences of the members of the two-component systems PhoPR of *B. subtilis* (49, 50) and PhoBR of *E. coli* (30, 31), representing the main regulators of the Pho regulons in these bacteria (20, 55), for BLAST searches (4) against the genome of *C. acetobutylicum* (38). The results strongly recommended the gene products of the open reading frames *cac1700* and *cac1701* as the most promising candidates. Cac1700 revealed amino acid residues that were 52% and 40% identical and 74% and 62% similar to those of PhoP and PhoB, respectively. Thus, the gene *cac1700*, which is located on the leading strand of the chromosome starting at position 1850304 (Fig. 1), could be expected to code for a PhoP homologous protein in *C. acetobutylicum*. Furthermore, the deduced PhoP protein (232 aa) possesses an N-terminal CheY-like receiver domain (aa 7 to 121) with a conserved aspartate (residue 54) as a phosphoacceptor site and a C-terminal DNA binding effector domain (aa 133 to 227) (Fig. 2B); both are typical features of two-component response regulators.

Not surprisingly, the deduced gene product (566 aa) of the open reading frame directly downstream of *phoP* (*cac1701*) shows 32% identity (52% and 50% similarity, respectively) with phosphate-dependent sensor histidine kinases PhoR of *B. subtilis* and PhoR of *E. coli* and therefore was named *phoR*. The PhoR protein of *C. acetobutylicum* contains common functional regions of histidine kinases (24), like an N-terminal transmembrane domain (aa 5 to 27), an extracellular sensing domain (aa 28 to 156), a histidine kinase, adenylyl cyclase, methyl binding protein, and phosphatase (HAMP) domain (aa 159 to 227) (6) that includes a transmembrane part (aa 157 to 179), a histidine kinase A (phosphoacceptor) domain (aa 344 to 406), and a histidine kinase-like ATPase domain (aa 460 to 563). Additionally, there are two possible PAS domains (aa 238 to 293 or aa 246 to 337) located between the HAMP and



 $Ec$  191 EDRTVDVHIRRLRKALEPGGHDRM-VQTVRGTGYRFSTRF

Bs 198 DTRIVDVHISHLRDKIENNTKKPIYIKTIRGLGYKLEEPKMNE

 $Ca$  192 ETRTVDVHVRHLRQKIEDDDKNPKYIETIRGIGYRFNYSGD

FIG. 2. PhoR proteins (A) and PhoP proteins (B) of *E. coli* (*Ec*), *B. subtilis* (*Bs*), and *C. acetobutylicum* (*Ca*). Schemes with the domain architectures of the polypeptides are presented at the tops of panels A and B. TM, transmembrane domain; HiskA, histidine kinase A dimerization/phosphoacceptor domain. Below the schemes, alignments of selected protein regions (I to IV in the case of PhoP and the N and C termini in the case of PhoR) are shown. Letters represent the amino acid residues, gray boxes highlight homologous or identical residues (accepted as homologous substitutions are A and G; R and K; F and Y; S and T; D and E; N and Q; and I, L, V, and M), and asterisks indicate conserved phosphorylation sites.

phosphoacceptor domains. PAS domains are supposed to function as dimerization sites (58), while HAMP domains mediate the signal transduction between sensor and effector domains of sensor kinases (21). The four conserved C-terminal regions (36) in the phosphoacceptor and ATPase domains show striking similarities between PhoR of *B. subtilis* and that of *C. acetobutylicum* (Fig. 2A). In region I, carrying the histidine residue necessary for autophosphorylation, the two proteins show 100% homologous amino acid residues.

Interestingly, the *pst* operon starts about 1.2 kb downstream of these two genes, at position 1853987. This operon comprises five genes encoding the components of a high-affinity phosphate uptake system (Pst) and a PhoU-like protein. It is the only known phosphate-regulated operon in *C. acetobutylicum* so far (14). Only three short open reading frames, *cac1702*, *cac1703*, and *cac1704*, are located in between the two operons (Fig. 1). The deduced polypeptides encoded by *cac1702* (126 aa) and *cac1704* (71 aa) show no significant similarities to known proteins, while the 116-aa polypeptide encoded by *cac1703* is listed as a methyl-accepting chemotaxis protein (fragment) in the annotated NCBI database (http://www.ncbi .nlm.nih.gov/).

**Expression analysis of the** *phoPR* **gene locus of** *C. acetobutylicum***.** To evaluate whether the transcription of *phoPR* is restricted to phosphate depletion, Northern blotting and RT-PCR experiments were carried out. Thus, after a  $P_i$  pulse of 10  $mM KH<sub>2</sub>PO<sub>4</sub>$ , cell aliquots were harvested and total RNA was isolated. Samples represented the decreasing phosphate concentrations due to the  $P_i$  consumption by the bacteria and the dilution rate. Northern blots with DIG-labeled DNA or even RNA probes against *phoP* or *phoR* did not reveal specific signals even if up to  $20 \mu g$  total RNA was applied per lane (data not shown). However, RT-PCR experiments clearly revealed low basal transcript levels of *phoP* and *phoR* under conditions of phosphate excess in the culture supernatant. Going along with the decrease in phosphate levels, the first marginal increase of *phoP* and *phoR* mRNA transcripts was detected when the external  $P_i$  dropped below 0.7 mM. A strong, at least threefold increase of *phoPR*-specific transcripts was found below concentrations of 0.3 mM  $P_i$  in the cell-free supernatant as shown in Fig. 3.

In a manner nearly directly proportional to this induction of transcription of *phoPR* in cells growing under phosphate limitation, an increase of PhoP in the protein level in 2-DE gels



FIG. 3. Transcription of the *phoPR* operon of *C. acetobutylicum* in response to phosphate depletion. Individual RT-PCR analyses of genes *phoP*, *phoR*, and *ptb* with total RNA of cells after a phosphate pulse are shown. External phosphate concentrations are given below the lanes (0 mM  $P_i$  symbolizes steady-state conditions). The *ptb* gene encoding phosphotransbutyrylase, which is expected to be constitutively expressed under experimental conditions, was used as the positive control. Bars represent relative signal intensity (rel. intensity), and the maximum signal intensity was set as 100%.

could be verified. Therein, soluble cytosolic proteins  $(300 \mu g)$ on each gel) from cells kept under phosphate limitation conditions in the chemostat culture were compared with protein preparations of cells grown at surplus of  $P_i$  (6.5 mM  $P_i$  in the cell-free supernatant, 6 h after the application of a phosphate pulse). Protein spots of these gels (Fig. 4A) were subjected to peptide mass fingerprinting using MALDI-TOF MS. One single spot represented PhoP, which was identified with a high level of confidence upon trypsin digestion and AspN digestion. The probability score for identification was 179 in the case of tryptic digestion and 171 for digestion of PhoP with AspN. Probability scores above 65 are considered statistically significant. As scores greater than 170 were obtained, PhoP was reliably identified from the 2-DE gel samples. A combination of the results yielded a sequence coverage of 91% for the amino acid sequence of PhoP (UniProtKB/TrEMBL accession no. Q97IE8). This high sequence coverage significantly enhances the reliability of the identification results. To estimate the changes in PhoP amounts, spot volumes were compared. Distributions of normalized spot volumes are shown in Fig. 4B as box-and-whisker plots. Therein, a 2.5-fold-larger amount of PhoP in phosphate-limited cells was revealed.

However, the analysis of the 2-DE gels further shows that PhoP was migrating to slightly different locations depending on the growth conditions. The spot for PhoP derived from the cultures grown with a surplus of  $P_i$  is found higher up than the spot for PhoP derived from the cultures grown with  $P_i$  limitation. This result indicates that in addition to upregulation of



FIG. 4. Expression of PhoP under different phosphate concentrations. (A) Shown are sections of 2-DE gels with PhoP proteins with a surplus of  $P_i$  (6.7 mM) or  $P_i$  limitation (0 mM). The lines mark the spots of PhoP. The other spots serve orientation purposes (9309, dihydrodipicolinate reductase [*dapB*, cac2379]; 9317, acetoacetate decarboxylase [*adc*, cap0165]; 9324, triosephosphate isomerase [*tpi*, cac0711]; 9344, adenylate kinase [*adk*, cac3112]; 9318, not identified [score below 65]). (B) Distribution of normalized spot volumes (arbitrary units [a.u.];  $n = 3$ ) for PhoP (see Fig. 4A) are shown as box-andwhisker plots. The boxes represent the upper and lower quartiles. The separation line in the box marks the 50% value (mean; not visible). The whiskers indicate the 5th and 95th percentiles, respectively. Average values are depicted as little triangles. Upper and lower extreme values are indicated with a minus sign. The  $\times$  symbol shows the 99% and 1% range of the individual values, respectively.

the protein amount, a not-yet-analyzed structural difference may also be induced. The vertical shift of the positions of the spots in the 2-DE gels indicates protein mass differences. The suspected alteration of the PhoP structure has no detectable effect on the pI of the protein; otherwise, migration would also be affected in the horizontal direction. PhoR was not detected in the protein fraction by 2-DE gel analysis, as it is expected to be a membrane-associated protein (51).

For determination of the transcription start point of *phoP*, primer extension analyses were performed and at least three different signals (PE1, PE2, and PE3) were obtained (Fig. 5A), located 147 nt (PE1), 156 nt, and 453 nt upstream of the *phoP* start codon (Fig. 5B). Thus, three possible  $5'$  ends of the mRNA must be assumed.

**Overproduction, purification, and characterization of PhoP and PhoR.** For functional characterization of the activity of PhoPR as a two-component system, the two proteins were individually expressed in *E. coli* and purified as described in Materials and Methods. The purified recombinant PhoP protein with a *Strep-*Tag II fused to its C terminus revealed a single signal of approximately 28 kDa after SDS-polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (PAGE) (Fig. 6).



FIG. 5. Transcription start points of *phoP* of *C. acetobutylicum*. The products of primer extension reactions using IRD800-labeled oligonucleotides were run on polyacrylamide gels alongside the corresponding sequencing reactions (T, G, C, A) generated with the same primer (A). (B) Locations of the identified transcription start points, PE1 (primer, phoP-neu-PE2), PE2 (phoP-neu-PE3), and PE3 (phoP-neu-PE3) are highlighted (gray circles) within the DNA sequence of the promoter region upstream of the *phoP* gene. The boldface ATG symbolizes the start codon of the *phoP* gene, and the arrow indicates its orientation; its dedicated ribosome binding site is underlined. Putative regulatory transcriptional elements of the transcription start points are boxed with a  $-10$  or  $-35$  (see text for details). Numbers in parentheses indicate their assignment to the corresponding transcription start points. Broken lines appear above possible regulatory repeats.

As described by Shi and Hulett (51), PhoR was expressed in a truncated form without its 240 N-terminal amino acid (aa) residues harboring two hydrophobic N-terminal transmembrane domains (data not shown). Shortened \*PhoR starting



FIG. 6. Purification of heterologously expressed PhoP *Strep-*Tag (A) and MBP-\*PhoR (B). Coomassie-stained SDS-PAGE (12.5%). M, protein molecular weight marker; W, washing fractions; E, elution fractions.

with an alanine residue was C-terminally fused to the soluble MBP of *E. coli*. The purified fusion protein MBP-\*PhoR with an apparent molecular weight of approximately 79.5 kDa could be visualized in SDS-polyacrylamide gels together with a second signal (42 kDa) representing MBP only (Fig. 6).

The recombinant proteins PhoP *Strep-*Tag and MBP-\*PhoR were used to affirm in vitro characteristic biochemical features of two-component systems for PhoPR of *C. acetobutylicum*. Phosphorylation assays revealed a strong autophosphorylation of MBP-\*PhoR in the presence of  $[\gamma^{-32}P]ATP$  (Fig. 7), whereas autophosphorylation of *Strep*-Tag-PhoP could not be detected (data not shown). Furthermore, when MBP-\*PhoR and *Strep*-Tag-PhoP were incubated together under the same conditions, phosphate transfer from phosphorylated MBP- \*PhoR to *Strep*-Tag-PhoP could be detected. In the presence of *Strep*-Tag-PhoP, the autophosphorylation signal of MBP- \*PhoR almost completely disappeared, and instead, a second



FIG. 7. Autophosphorylation of MBP-\*PhoR and phosphate transfer to PhoP *Strep*-Tag. Ten  $\mu$ Ci of  $[\gamma^{-32}P]$ ATP was incubated with 2  $\mu$ M MBP-\*PhoR (lane 1) or with 2  $\mu$ M MBP-\*PhoR and 17  $\mu$ M *Strep*-Tag-PhoP (lane 2) for 15 min at room temperature and was separated by SDS-PAGE (12.5%). Shown is an autoradiogram; relative signal intensities referring to the certain proteins are given below the lanes.

signal referring to phosphorylated *Strep*-Tag-PhoP appeared (Fig. 7).

To study the possible regulatory function of the PhoPR two-component system in transcriptional control of the cellular response to depletion of phosphate, we analyzed the ability of the predicted response regulator PhoP to bind to the promoter region of the *pst* operon in vitro. This promoter region was chosen since the *pst* operon was the only known phosphateregulated operon in *C. acetobutylicum* to date (14). For this purpose, three PCR DNA fragments (PF1, PF2, PF3) of the *pst* promoter region were generated. They had in common that they exhibited identical  $3'$  ends (representing the nineteenth nucleotide of the *pst* gene) but showed different 5' extensions in that the largest fragment (PF3) covered 371 nt upstream of the *pstS* start codon, PF2 covered 172 nt, and the fragment PF1 covered only 102 nt. Thus, the middle-length amplificate, PF2, comprises the "core region" with all predicted regulatory sites of the *pst* promoter, including the potential Pho boxes (14), whereas PF1 covers only the  $-10$  promoter sequence without any further signal motifs, and PF3 extends PF2 by about 200 bp. Fragments were DIG labeled and used for electromobility shift assays with different concentrations of *Strep*-Tag-PhoP and MBP-\*PhoR in the absence and presence of ATP. These assays showed a specific binding of PhoP to the fragments PF2 and PF3, but not to PF1 (Fig. 8A to C). While PF3 was totally shifted (Fig. 8A), PF2 partly remained unbound by PhoP (Fig. 8B). As the negative control, PhoP was incubated with an unspecific DNA fragment and promoter fragment PF3 was incubated with MBP-\*PhoR only (Fig. 8D). Neither approach led to a shift of the particular fragment, proving the specificity of the binding of PhoP to the *pst* promoter fragments.

# **DISCUSSION**

Two-component systems are commonly utilized for the sensing of certain environmental conditions, especially in bacteria and archaea, but can also be found in some eukaryotes, like plants, yeasts, and other fungi. Normally, in all microorganisms investigated so far, one two-component system is responsible mainly for the regulation of the phosphate starvation response. Most prominent are PhoPR-like proteins (16, 20, 26, 55, 56).

For clostridia, almost nothing is known aside from in silico



FIG. 8. Binding of PhoP to the *pst* promoter. Electromobility shift assays were carried out with 0.5 ng  $3'$  DIG-labeled *pst* promoter fragment P3 (A), fragment P1 (B), and fragment P4 (C) in the presence of 5 mM ATP. Fragments were incubated without protein (lane 1), with  $5 \mu$ M *Strep*-Tag-PhoP (lane 2), with  $5 \mu$ M *Strep*-Tag-PhoP and  $1.5 \mu$ M MBP-\*PhoR (lane 3), or with 5  $\mu$ M *Strep*-Tag-PhoP, 1.5  $\mu$ M MBP-\*PhoR, and 100 ng unlabeled fragment. (D) As negative controls, unspecific DIG-labeled DNA fragments were incubated under the same conditions without protein (lane 1) and with  $5 \mu M$  *Strep*-Tag-PhoP and 1.5  $\mu$ M MBP-\*PhoR (lane 2). Additionally DIG-labeled fragment P3 was incubated without protein (lane 3) and with  $3 \mu M$ MBP-\*PhoR (lane 4).

alignment data, which led to annotations of putative PhoPR two-component systems in the sequenced genomes of *Clostridium tetani* and *Clostridium perfringens* (13). Interestingly, even within this group of bacteria, genetic organizations differ and seem not to be highly conserved. For example, in *C. acetobutylicum*, the *phoPR* operon is separated from the *pst* operon by three short, seemingly unrelated open reading frames (Fig. 1), whereas in *C. tetani* E88, the putative *phoPR* operon directly precedes the *pst* operon. A different architecture is postulated for *C. perfringens*, where the *phoR* gene product is predicted as an orphan sensor histidine kinase which is located far from the *pst* operon on the opposite strand (13).

Although they are referred to as phosphate-dependent regulatory systems, none of the clostridial PhoPR systems has been characterized in detail so far. Since we had started to analyze molecular aspects of Pi depletion in *C. acetobutylicum* (14), an obvious step was to focus on the search for a PhoPR system in this bacterium. As shown here, based on similarity searches, the most likely candidates are the gene products of the chromosomal open reading frames *cac1700* and *cac1701*, which were named PhoP and PhoR, respectively.

Indirect evidence for the involvement of PhoP and PhoR in processes restricted to phosphate limitation arises from the fact that a specific induction of the transcription of these genes is coupled to the drop in external  $P_i$  concentration to below 0.3 mM. Obviously, this behavior corresponds with the induction of the *pst* operon, which is expected to encode a high-affinity phosphate-specific ABC transport system in *C. acetobutylicum* (14). Here, under phosphate limitation, we demonstrate a clear increase in the *phoP* and *phoR* mRNA levels. A nearly directly proportional, 2.5-fold-higher PhoP protein level was detected for 2-DE gels. These findings prove a specific need for PhoP under phosphate limitation and, in combination with the in silico alignment data, support its proposed function as a key regulator of the phosphate starvation response in *C. acetobutylicum*.

Interestingly, PhoP proteins derived from cultures grown with  $P_i$  limitation migrated to different locations, indicating at least slightly modified proteins. A similar behavior is not known for PhoP proteins of other organisms so far. The reason for this behavior remains speculative, as by means of MALDI-TOF MS, neither a phosphorylation of the protein nor an elongation of the N-terminal peptide was seen (data not shown). Actually, we cannot exclude the possibility that the different mRNA molecules discussed below might yield different N termini of the respective proteins, resulting in the migration differences shown in the 2-DE gels. It might be important to note that no other protein spot in these 2-DE gels was identified as PhoP.

For *B. subtilis*,  $\sigma^A$ -driven transcription of the *phoPR* operon starts at two different promoters (43) and, additionally,  $\sigma^E$ - and  $\sigma^B$ -dependent separate promoters exist, resulting in at least four different mRNA 5' ends (42). Our primer extension results also indicate multiple transcription start points which might suggest a similar situation for *C. acetobutylicum*. Possible  $-10$  and  $-35$  promoter sequences only weakly match the consensus sequences (Fig. 5A). An involvement of different sigma factors as described for *B. subtilis* seems likely not only because of the close relatedness of these bacteria. The sequence 5-A  $TG-N_{18}$ -AATGCAT-3' can be found 6 nt upstream of the PE2 signal (Fig. 5A). This element resembles the consensus  $-10$ and  $-35$  sequences for  $\sigma^E$ -dependent transcription (ATA-N  $_{16-18}$ -CATAcaT) of *B. subtilis* (18) and the described  $\sigma^E$  promoter of the *phoPR* operon of *B. subtilis* (ATG-N<sub>17</sub>-CATAA AAT) (42). Thus, a  $\sigma^E$ -dependent transcription might be speculated for *phoPR* starting at the PE2 signal. Possible promoter elements for both of the other transcription start points at PE1 and PE3 (Fig. 5A) show only small similarities to the consensus TTGACA  $(-35)$  and TATAAT  $(-10)$  sequences for  $\sigma^A$ -dependent transcription (52) and none to the postulated Pho boxes in *C. acetobutylicum* (14). But another 6-bp element, separated by 4 to 6 bp, is repeated four times within the region upstream of the PE1 and PE2 signals  $(TX<sup>T</sup>/<sub>A</sub>GAT)$ . However, the significance of these elements remains unclear.

Although we were not able to show an operon structure of *phoPR* experimentally because Northern blot experiments did not reveal specific signals, we are convinced that a monocistronic transcription of *phoR* is unlikely. The stop codon of the annotated *phoP* gene and the start codon of annotated *phoR* gene are separated by 1 nt only, and no putative Shine-Dalgarno sequences are located in the upstream region of the *phoR* gene. These facts may indicate a translational coupling as has been described for several other two-component systems (17, 22, 34, 54, 57). In this context, the possibility of different initiation sites of translation of PhoR has to be discussed. Within the same reading frame, two GTG codons are located two and three codons upstream of the annotated start codon of *phoR*, probably representing alternative initiation translation sites (data not shown). In consequence, translation overlaps with the end of *phoP*, and the resulting proteins would show N-terminal extensions of 3 or 4 aa.

The crucial features of a two-component system in their domain architecture for the deduced gene products PhoP and PhoR were demonstrated. The autophosphorylation of PhoR in the presence of ATP as well as the phosphate transfer to PhoP confirmed these characteristics. PhoP of *C. acetobutyli-* *cum* was able to bind to the promoter region of the *pst* operon in the absence and presence of ATP. A similar situation was found for *B. subtilis*, where the binding affinity of  $PhoP \sim P$  to Pho box promoters is not significantly higher than that of PhoP, but transcription is affected only by PhoP $\sim$ P, not by PhoP (43). The specific binding of PhoP to the promoter region of the phosphate-dependent transcribed *pst* operon (14) indicates a role of the PhoPR two-component system as a regulator in the phosphate limitation response of *C. acetobutylicum*. Further work has to focus on the characterization of the specific function of the putative Pho box motifs.

For *B. subtilis*, a cross-link between the PhoPR regulatory system and sporulation was shown (1). The possibility of a similar cross-regulatory mechanism in *C. acetobutylicum* would fit with the finding that phosphate limitation seems to be involved in sporulation and solvent production in this bacterium (7). Nevertheless, the involvement of further regulatory mechanisms remains speculative.

## **ACKNOWLEDGMENTS**

We thank Michael Kreutzer, from Proteome Center Rostock, for excellent assistance in 2-DE gel analysis and protein spot picking.

This work was supported in part by a grant from the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG) and by fellowships of the Graduiertenförderung of the federal state of Mecklenburg-Vorpommern to T.F. and M.M.

#### **REFERENCES**

- 1. **Abdel-Fattah, W. R., Y. Chen, A. Eldakak, and F. M. Hulett.** 2005. *Bacillus* subtilis Phosphorylated PhoP: direct activation of the Eo<sup>A</sup>- and repression of the  $E\sigma^{E}$ -responsive *phoB*- $P_{S+V}$  promoters during Pho response. J. Bacteriol. **187:**5166–5178.
- 2. **Aguena, M., E. Yagil, and B. Spira.** 2002. Transcriptional analysis of the *pst* operon of *Escherichia coli*. Mol. Genet. Genomics **268:**518–524.
- 3. **Allenby, N. E. E., N. O'Connor, Z. Pra´gai, A. C. Ward, A. Wipat, and C. R. Harwood.** 2005. Genome-wide transcriptional analysis of the phosphate starvation stimulon of *Bacillus subtilis*. J. Bacteriol. **187:**8063–8080.
- 4. **Altschul, S. F., T. L. Madden, A. A. Scha¨ffer, J. Zhang, Z. Zhang, W. Miller, and D. J. Lipman.** 1997. Gapped BLAST and PSI-BLAST: a new generation of protein database search programs. Nucleic Acid Res. **25:**3389–3402.
- 5. **Antelmann, H., C. Scharf, and M. Hecker.** 2000. Phosphate starvationinducible proteins of *Bacillus subtilis*: proteomics and transcriptional analysis. J. Bacteriol. **182:**4478–4490.
- 6. **Aravind, L., and C. P. Ponting.** 1999. The cytoplasmic helical linker domain of receptor histidine kinase and methyl-accepting proteins is common to many prokaryotic signalling proteins. FEMS Microbiol. Lett. **176:**111–116.
- 7. **Bahl, H., W. Andersch, and G. Gottschalk.** 1982. Continuous production of acetone and butanol by *Clostridium acetobutylicum* in a two-stage phosphate limited chemostat. Eur. J. Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol. **15:**201–205 (Erratum, **17:**73, 1983.)
- 8. **Bieleski, R. L.** 1973. Phosphate pools, phosphate transport, and phosphate availability. Annu. Rev. Plant Physiol. **24:**225–252.
- 9. **Bookstein, C., C. W. Edwards, N. V. Kapp, and F. M. Hulett.** 1990. The *Bacillus subtilis* 168 alkaline phosphatase III gene: impact of a *phoAIII* mutation on total alkaline phosphatase synthesis. J. Bacteriol. **172:**3730– 3737.
- 10. **Bradford, M. M.** 1976. A rapid and sensitive method for quantitation of microgram quantities of protein utilizing the principle of protein-dye-binding. Anal. Biochem. **72:**248–254.
- 11. Durre, P., and H. Bahl. 1996. Microbial production of acetone/butanol/ isopropanol, p. 229–268. *In* P. Stadler (ed.), Biotechnology: a multi-volume comprehensive treatise, 2nd ed., vol. 1. VCH Verlagsgesellschaft, Weinheim, Germany.
- 12. **Eder, S., W. Liu, and F. M. Hulett.** 1996. A *Bacillus subtilis* secreted phosphodiesterase/alkaline phosphatase is the product of a Pho regulon gene, *phoD*. Microbiology **142:**2041–2047.
- 13. **Fischer, R.-J., and H. Bahl.** 2005. Transport of phosphate, p. 287–294. *In* P. Dürre (ed.), Handbook on clostridia. CRC Press, Taylor and Francis Group, Boca Raton, FL.
- 14. **Fischer, R.-J., S. Oehmcke, U. Meyer, K. Schwarz, M. Mix, T. Fiedler, and H. Bahl.** 2006. Transcription of the *pst* operon of *Clostridium acetobutylicum* is dependent on phosphate concentration and pH. J. Bacteriol. **188:**5469– 5478.
- 15. **Fulda, S., S. Mikkat, F. Huang, J. Huckauf, K. Marin, B. Norling, and M. Hagemann.** 2006. Proteome analysis of salt stress response in the cyanobacterium *Synechocystis* sp. strain PCC6803. Proteomics **6:**2733–2745.
- 16. **Ghorbel, S., J. Kormanec, A. Artus, and M.-J. Virolle.** 2006. Transcriptional studies and regulatory interactions between the *phoR-phoP* operon and the *phoU*, *mtpA*, and *ppk* genes of *Streptomyces lividans* TK24. J. Bacteriol. **188:**677–686.
- 17. **Gorham, H. C., S. J. McGowan, P. R. H. Robson, and D. A. Hodgson.** 1996. Light-induced carotenogenesis in *Myxococcus xanthus*: light-dependent membrane sequestration of ECF sigma factor CarQ by anti-sigma factor CarR. Mol. Microbiol. **19:**171–186.
- 18. **Helmann, J. D., and C. P. Moran, Jr.** 2002. RNA polymerase and sigma factors, p. 289–312. *In* A. L. Sonenshein, J. A. Hoch, and R. Losick (ed.), *Bacillus subtilis* and its closest relatives: from genes to cells. ASM Press, Washington, DC.
- 19. **Hulett, F. M., E. E. Kim, C. Bookstein, N. V. Kapp, C. W. Edwards, and H. W. Wyckoff.** 1991. *Bacillus subtilis* alkaline phophatases III and IV: cloning, sequencing, and comparisons of deduced amino acid sequence with *Escherichia coli* alkaline phosphatase three-dimensional structure. J. Biol. Chem. **266:**1077–1084.
- 20. **Hulett, F. M.** 2002. The Pho regulon, p. 193–201. *In* A. L. Sonenshein, J. A. Hoch, and R. Losick (ed.), *Bacillus subtilis* and its closest relatives: from genes to cells. ASM Press, Washington, DC.
- 21. **Hulko, M., F. Berndt, M. Gruber, J. U. Linder, V. Truffault, A. Schultz, J. Martin, J. E. Schultz, A. N. Lupas, and M. Coles.** 2006. The HAMP domain structure implies helix rotation in transmembrane signaling. Cell **126:**929– 940.
- 22. **Inokuchi, Y. A. Hirashima, Y. Semine, L. Janosi, and A. Kaji.** 2000. Role of ribosome recycling factor in translational coupling. EMBO J. **19:**3788–3798.
- 23. **Just, T., E. Gafumbegete, J. Gramberg, I. Pru¨fer, B. Ringel, S. Mikkat, H. W. Pau, and M. O. Glocker.** 2006. Differential proteome analysis of tonsils from children with chronic tonsillitis in comparison with hyperplasia reveals disease-associated protein expression differences. Anal. Bioanal. Chem. **384:** 1134–1144.
- 24. **Khorchid, A., and M. Ikura.** 2006. Bacterial histidine kinase as signal sensor and transducer. Int. J. Biochem. Cell. Biol. **38:**307–312.
- 25. **Kimura, S., K. Makino, H. Shinagawa, M. Amemura, and A. Nakata.** 1989. Regulation of the phosphate regulon of *Escherichia coli*: characterization of the promoter of the *pstS* gene. Mol. Gen. Genet. **215:**374–380.
- 26. Kočan, M., S. Schaffer, T. Ishige, U. Sorger-Herrmann, V. F. Wendisch, and **M. Bott.** 2006. Two-component systems of *Corynebacterium glutamicum*: deletion analysis and involvement of the PhoS-PhoR system in the phosphate starvation response. J. Bacteriol. **188:**724–732.
- 27. **Liu, W., and F. M. Hulett.** 1997. *Bacillus subtilis* PhoP binds to the *phoB* tandem promoter exclusively within the phosphate starvation-inducible promoter. J. Bacteriol. **179:**6302–6310.
- 28. **Liu, W., S. Eder, and F. M. Hulett.** 1998. Analysis of *Bacillus subtilis tagAB* and *tagDEF* expression during phosphate starvation identifies a repressor role of PhoP~P. J. Bacteriol. **180:**753–758.
- 29. **Liu, W., and F. M. Hulett.** 1998. Comparison of PhoP binding to the *tuaA* promoter with PhoP binding to other Pho-regulon promoters establishes a *Bacillus subtilis* Pho core binding site. Microbiology **144:**1443–1450.
- 30. **Makino, K., H. Shinagawa, M. Amemura, and A. Nakata.** 1986. Nucleotide sequence of the *phoB* gene, the positive regulatory gene for the phosphate regulon of *Escherichia coli* K-12. J. Mol. Biol. **190:**37–44.
- 31. **Makino, K., H. Shinagawa, M. Amemura, and A. Nakata.** 1986. Nucleotide sequence of the *phoR* gene, a regulatory gene for the phosphate regulon of *Escherichia coli*. J. Mol. Biol. **192:**549–556.
- 32. **May, A., F. Hillmann, O. Riebe, R.-J. Fischer, and H. Bahl.** 2004. A rubrerythrin-like oxidative stress protein of *Clostridium acetobutylicum* is encoded by a duplicated gene and identical to the heat shock protein Hsp21. FEMS Microbiol. Lett. **238:**249–254.
- 33. **Meinecke, B., H. Bahl, and G. Gottschalk.** 1984. Selection of an asporogenous strain of *Clostridium acetobutylicum* in continuous culture under phosphate limitation. Appl. Environm. Microbiol. **48:**1064–1065.
- 34. **Merighi, M., A. Carroll-Portillo, A. N. Septer, A. Bhatiya, and J. S. Gunn.** 2006. Role of *Salmonella enterica* serovar Typhimurium two-component system PreA/PreB in modulatine PmrA-regulated gene transcription. J. Bacteriol. **188:**141–149.
- 35. Mitchell, W. J. 2001. Spores and sporulation, p. 72–83. *In* P. Dürre (ed.), Clostridia: biotechnology and medical applications. Wiley-VCH Verlag GmbH, Weinheim, Germany.
- 36. **Msadek, T., F. Kunst, and G. Rapoport.** 1993. Two-component regulatory systems, p. 729–745. *In* A. L. Sonenshein, J. A. Hoch, and R. Losick (ed.), *Bacillus subtilis* and other gram-positive bacteria: biochemistry, physiology, and molecular genetics. American Society for Microbiology, Washington, DC.
- 37. **Neuhoff, V., N. Arold, D. Taube, and W. Ehrhardt.** 1988. Improved staining of proteins in polyacrylamide gels including isoelectric focusing gels with clear background at nanogram sensitivity using Coomassie Brilliant Blue G-250 and R-250. Electrophoresis **9:**255–262.
- 38. **No¨lling, J., G. Breton, M. V. Omelchenko, K. S. Marakowa, Q. Zeng, R. Gibson, H. M. Lee, J. Dubios, D. Qiu, and J. Hitti.** 2001. Genome sequence and comparative analysis of the solvent-producing bacterium *Clostridium acetobutylicum*. J. Bacteriol. **183:**4823–4838.
- 39. **Nordhoff, E., M. Schurenberg, G. Thiele, C. Lubbert, K. D. Kloeppel, D. Theiss, H. Lehrach, and J. Gobom.** 2003. Sample preparation protocols for MALDI-MS of peptides and oligonucleotides using prestructured sample supports. Int. J. Mass Spectrom. **226:**163–180.
- 40. Oelmüller, U., N. Krüger, A. Steinbüchel, and C. G. Freidrich. 2002. Isolation of prokaryotic RNA and detection of specific mRNA with biotinylated probes. J. Microbiol. Methods **11:**73–81.
- 41. **Oultram, J. D., M. Loughlin, T.-J. Swinfield, J. K. Brehm, D. E. Thompson, and N. P. Minton.** 1988. Introduction of plasmids into whole cells of *Clostridium acetobutylicum* by electroporation. FEMS Microbiol. Lett. **56:**83–88.
- 42. **Paul, S., S. Birkey, W. Liu, and F. M. Hulett.** 2004. Autoinduction of *Bacillus subtilis phoPR* operon transcription results from enhanced transcription from  $E\sigma^{A}$ - and  $E\sigma^{E}$ -responsive promoters by phosphorylated PhoP. J. Bacteriol. **186:**4262–4275.
- 43. **Pra´gai, Z., N. N. E. Allenby, N. O'Connor, S. Dubrac, G. Rapoport, T. Msadek, C., and R. Harwood.** 2004. Transcriptional regulation of the *phoPR* operon in *Bacillus subtilis*. J. Bacteriol. **186:**1182–1190.
- 44. **Qi, Y., Y. Kobayashi, and F. M. Hulett.** 1997. The *pst* operon of *Bacillus subtilis* has a phosphate-regulated promoter and is involved in phosphate transport but not in regulation of the Pho regulon. J. Bacteriol. **179:**2534– 2539.
- 45.  $Qi$ , Y., and F. M. Hulett. 1998. Role of PhoP $\sim$ P in transcriptional regulation of genes involved in cell wall anionic polymer biosynthesis in *Bacillus subtilis*. J. Bacteriol. **180:**4007–4010.
- 46. **Rao, N. N., and A. Torriani.** 1990. Molecular aspects of phosphate transport in *Escherichia coli*. Mol. Microbiol. **4:**1083–1090.
- 47. **Rogers, P. G., and G. Gottschalk.** 1993. Biochemistry and regulation of acid and solvent formation in clostridia, p. 25–50. *In* D. R. Woods (ed.), The clostridia and biotechnology. Butterworth-Heinemann, London, United Kingdom.
- 48. **Schwarz, K., T. Fiedler, R.-J. Fischer, and H. Bahl.** 2007. A standard operating procedure (SOP) for preparation of intra- and extracellular proteins of *Clostridium acetobutylicum* for proteome analysis. J. Microbiol. Methods **68:**396–402.
- 49. **Seki, T., H. Yoshikawa, H. Takahashi, and H. Saito.** 1987. Cloning and nucleotide sequence of *phoP*, the regulatory gene for alkaline phosphatase and phosphodiesterase in *Bacillus subtilis*. J. Bacteriol. **169:**2913–2916.
- 50. **Seki, T., H. Yoshikawa, H. Takahashi, and H. Saito.** 1988. Nucleotide sequence of the *Bacillus subtilis phoR* gene. J. Bacteriol. **170:**5935–5938.
- 51. **Shi, L., and F. M. Hulett.** 1999. The cytoplasmic kinase domain of PhoR is sufficient for the low phosphate-inducible expression of Pho regulon genes in *Bacillus subtilis*. Mol. Microbiol. **31:**211–222.
- 52. **Sonenshein, A. L., J. D. Haraldsen, and B. Dupuy.** 2005. RNA polymerase and alternative  $\sigma$  factors, p. 607–629. *In* P. Dürre (ed.), Handbook on clostridia. CRC Press, Taylor and Francis Group, Boca Raton, FL.
- 53. **Sun, G., S. M. Birkey, and F. M. Hulett.** 1996. Three two-component signaltransduction systems interact for Pho regulation in *Bacillus subtilis*. Mol. Microbiol. **19:**941–948.
- 54. **Supply, P., J. Magdalena, S. Himpens, and C. Locht.** 1997. Identification of novel intergenic repetitive units in a mycobacterial two-component system operon. Mol. Microbiol. **26:**991–1003.
- 55. **Wanner, B. L.** 1996. Phosphorus Assimilation and Control of the Phosphate Regulon, p. 1357–1381. *In* F. C. Neidhardt, R. Curtiss III, J. L. Ingraham, E. C. C. Lin, K. B. Low, B. Magasanik, W. S. Reznikoff, M. Riley, M. Schaechter, and H. E. Umbarger (ed.), *Escherichia coli* and *Salmonella*: cellular and molecular biology, 2nd ed. ASM Press, Washington, DC.
- 56. **Watson, G. M. F., D. J. Scanlan, and N. H. Mann.** 1996. Characterization of the genes encoding a phosphate-regulated two-component sensory system in the marine cyanobacterium *Synechococcus* sp. WH7803. FEMS Microbiol. Lett. **142:**105–109.
- 57. **Yarwood, J. M., J. K. McCormick, and P. M. Schlievert.** 2001. Identification of a novel two-component regulatory system that acts in global regulation of virulence factors of *Staphylococcus aureus*. J. Bacteriol. **183:**1113–1123.
- 58. **Zhulin, I. B., B. L. Taylor, and R. Dixon.** 1997. PAS-domain S-boxes in archaea, bacteria and sensors for oxygen and redox. Trends Biochem. Sci. **22:**331–333.
- 59. **Zilversmit, D. B., and A. K. Davis.** 1950. Microdetermination of plasma phospholipids by trichloroacetic acid precipitation. J. Lab. Clin. Med. **35:** 155–160.