Possible Role for Cellular Karyopherins in Regulating Polyomavirus and Papillomavirus Capsid Assembly

Gregory Bird,¹ Malinda O'Donnell,¹ Junona Moroianu,² and Robert L. Garcea¹*

*Department of Pediatrics, University of Colorado School of Medicine, UCHSC at Fitzsimons RC1-North, Room 4100, Mail Stop 8302, P.O. Box 6511, Aurora, Colorado 80045,*¹ *and Biology Department, Boston College, 140 Commonwealth Avenue, Chestnut Hill, Massachusetts 02467-3811*²

Received 12 June 2008/Accepted 5 August 2008

Polyomavirus and papillomavirus (papovavirus) capsids are composed of 72 capsomeres of their major capsid proteins, VP1 and L1, respectively. After translation in the cytoplasm, L1 and VP1 pentamerize into cap someres and are then imported into the nucleus using the cellular α and β karyopherins. Virion assembly **only occurs in the nucleus, and cellular mechanisms exist to prevent premature capsid assembly in the cytosol. We have identified the karyopherin family of nuclear import factors as possible "chaperones" in preventing the cytoplasmic assembly of papovavirus capsomeres. Recombinant murine polyomavirus (mPy) VP1 and human papillomavirus type 11 (HPV11) L1 capsomeres bound the karyopherin heterodimer 2**-**1 in vitro in a nuclear localization signal (NLS)-dependent manner. Because the amino acid sequence comprising the NLS of VP1 and L1 overlaps the previously identified DNA binding domain, we examined the relationship between karyopherin** and DNA binding of both mPy VP1 and HPV11 L1. Capsomeres of L1, but not VP1, bound by karyopherin $\alpha 2\beta 1$ or β 1 alone were unable to bind DNA. VP1 and L1 capsomeres could bind both karyopherin α 2 and DNA simultaneously. Both VP1 and L1 capsomeres bound by karyopherin α 2 β 1 were unable to assemble into **capsids, as shown by in vitro assembly reactions. These results support a role for karyopherins as chaperones in the in vivo regulation of viral capsid assembly.**

The virus families *Polyomaviridae* and *Papillomaviridae*, collectively referred to as papovaviruses, have a nonenveloped icosahedral capsid surrounding a double-stranded circular DNA genome. The structural proteins of polyomavirus (VP1, VP2, and VP3) and papillomavirus (L1 and L2) are synthesized late in viral infection and imported into the nucleus, where they assemble around newly synthesized viral genomes. Viral capsids are constructed from 72 capsomeres (pentamers) of L1 or VP1, arranged on a $T=7$ icosahedral lattice (1) . The carboxyl terminus of VP1 or L1 mediates contacts between the pentamers in the assembled capsid (14, 26, 27, 32). Disulfide bonds stabilize the interpentamer contacts for L1 (25, 30, 42), while both disulfide bonds and calcium bridges stabilize these contacts for VP1 (2, 4, 21, 28, 44, 45).

The papillomavirus L1 and polyomavirus VP1 capsid proteins each have a well-defined, canonical nuclear localization signal (NLS) (3, 12, 20, 31, 35, 38, 40, 49). In the cytoplasm, NLScontaining proteins bind the adaptor protein karyopherin α , which has at its amino terminus a karyopherin β -binding domain and at its carboxyl terminus the NLS binding domain (8). Karyopherin α binds karyopherin β , which then docks the protein complex at the nuclear pore (19, 36). After translocation through the nuclear pore, RanGTP dissociates karyopherin β from the complex, resulting in the accumulation of karyopherin α plus NLS protein in the nucleus (15, 36). Once in the nucleus, factors such

* Corresponding author. Mailing address: Department of Pediatrics, University of Colorado School of Medicine, UCHSC at Fitzsimons RC1-North, Room 4100, Mail Stop 8302, P.O. Box 6511, Aurora, CO 80045. Phone: (303) 492-1669. Fax: (303) 492-1133. E-mail: Robert as nucleoporin Nup2 and the export receptor for karyopherin α , CAS, may facilitate the dissociation of karyopherin α from the NLS (24, 29). An alternative model for the dissociation of karyopherin α from an NLS involves the "NLS-like" sequences at the amino terminus of karyopherin α forming an intramolecular bond with the karyopherin α NLS binding domain, thus competing α from the VP1 or L1 NLS (17, 18).

The subcellular location of papovavirus assembly is controlled such that capsids are assembled in the cell nucleus and not in the cytoplasm (13, 33, 41). One such control mechanism may involve the hsc70 family of cellular chaperone proteins. For example, in mouse cells infected with polyomavirus, hsp70 binds polyomavirus VP1 in the cytoplasm and colocalizes with VP1 after transport into the nucleus (9). Moreover, in vitro capsid assembly of polyomavirus VP1 capsomeres is inhibited by bound hsc70 (7). We have investigated a potential role for karyopherins as chaperones of mouse polyomavirus (mPy) VP1 and human papillomavirus type 11 (HPV11) L1 capsid assembly. We show that the NLS sequences of both mPy VP1 and HPV11 L1 are required for binding karyopherin α 2 β 1. Karyopherins affected the DNA binding properties of HPV11 L1 but not mPy VP1. In vitro, capsid assembly of both HPV11 L1 and mPy VP1 was inhibited in the presence of karyopherin α 2 β 1. We propose that karyopherins play a chaperone function in the papovavirus life cycle by inhibiting capsid formation in the cytoplasm while importing L1 and VP1 capsomeres into the nucleus.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Preparation of recombinant proteins. Recombinant full-length HPV11 L1, mPy VP1, truncated HPV11 L1 (C Δ 29), and truncated mPy VP1 (N Δ 6) were expressed as glutathione *S*-transferase (GST) fusion protein in *Escherichia coli*

Published ahead of print on 13 August 2008.

BL21(DE3) cells. Cells were grown at 37° C in $2 \times$ YT (yeast extract-tryptone) medium plus ampicillin to an optical density at 600 nm of \sim 0.2 and then cooled to 25°C and induced with 1.0 mM isopropyl- β -D-thiogalactopyranoside (IPTG) for 15 h. Cells were harvested by centrifugation followed by resuspension in buffer L (40 mM Tris-HCl [pH 8.0], 0.2 M NaCl, 5% glycerol, 1 mM EDTA, 5 mM dithiothreitol [DTT]) with protease inhibitors pepstatin (1.25 μ g/ml), leupeptin $(2 \mu g/ml)$ leupeptin, and phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride $(1 \mu M [PMSF])$. Cells were lysed by adding 0.5 mg/ml lysozyme and 0.1% deoxycholic acid and incubated at room temperature for 1 h. Lysate was treated with 10/ml DNase I and 5 mM $MgCl₂$ and incubated at 4°C with gentle shaking for 4 to 5 h. The lysates were centrifuged at $25,000 \times g$ for 1 h, and the protein-containing supernatant was applied to a glutathione-Sepharose column (Amersham) equilibrated with buffer L. The column was then washed with 20 bed volumes of buffer L, and the recombinant proteins were eluted by thrombin cleavage. Protein was concentrated in a Millipore/BIOMAX concentrator. Protein was further purified using a fast protein liquid chromatography gel filtration chromatograph (Superdex 200; Amersham).

Recombinant full-length His-tagged karyopherin α 2 (48) and GST-tagged and His-tagged karyopherin β 1 (5) were prepared as previously described. The purified proteins were dialyzed in buffer A (20 mM HEPES-KOH [pH 7.3], 110 mM potassium acetate, 2 mM magnesium acetate, 2 mM DTT) containing protease inhibitors.

Karyopherin β 1 binding to karyopherin α 2 and capsid protein VP1 or L1. Glutathione-Sepharose 4B beads (Amersham) were preincubated with buffer A (20 mM HEPES-KOH [pH 7.0], 100 mM potassium acetate, 2 mM magnesium acetate, 0.1% Triton X-100, 2 mM EGTA, 1 mM DTT) supplemented with 1% bovine serum albumin (BSA) for 1 h at room temperature (RT) followed by three washes in buffer A. Recombinant GST- β 1 protein was immobilized on BSA-blocked glutathione-Sepharose 4B beads $(1.5 \mu g)$ of protein/10 μg of beads) and incubated for 30 min at RT with $1.5 \mu g$ mPy VP1 or HPV11 L1 with karyopherin α 2 in buffer A. To control for nonspecific binding of karyopherins to the GST protein moiety or the glutathione-Sepharose beads, 1.5μ g GST protein was immobilized on BSA-blocked glutathione-Sepharose 4B beads and incubated with 1.5 μ g mPy VP1 or HPV11 L1 with karyopherin α 2 in buffer A. GST-L1 binding to karyopherins α 2 and β 1 was also performed as described above for GST- β 1. Bound protein was washed in buffer A with 0.3% Triton X-100. The bound proteins were eluted from the Sepharose beads by boiling in Laemmli buffer (2% [wt/vol] sodium dodecyl sulfate [SDS], 10% glycerol, 60 mM Tris-HCl [pH 6.8], 5% β -mercaptoethanol, 0.01% [wt/vol] bromophenol blue) for 10 min followed by SDS-polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (PAGE). Protein bands were visualized using GelCode blue stain reagent (Pierce).

DNA binding measured by EMSA. Purified recombinant HPV11 L1 or mPy VP1 (2.6 μ M) with or without karyopherins (0.5 μ M) was incubated with a 6-kb linearized plasmid DNA (0.5 μ g/reaction) for 30 min at RT in buffer B (20 mM HEPES-KOH [pH 7.0], 110 mM KCl, 2 mM MgCl₂, 1 mM DTT). Linear DNA was used in the reactions instead of circular plasmid to avoid the appearance of supercoiled, relaxed-circular, and linear forms of plasmid DNA that made the interpretation of L1- or VP1-bound DNA difficult. A $10\times$ concentration of DNA loading buffer (50% glycerol, 0.4% bromophenol blue) was added to the sample to a final concentration of $1\times$, and the DNA-protein complexes were resolved on a 0.8% agarose gel containing 3 μ g/ml ethidium bromide. Samples were electrophoresed at 150 V for 1 h in Tris-acetate-EDTA buffer (40 mM Tris, 20 mM glacial acetic acid, 1 mM EDTA [pH 8.0]). DNA bands were imaged using a UV light source. After electrophoretic mobility shift assay (EMSA) of L1 or VP1 DNA-protein complexes in 0.8% agarose gels as described above, the gels were soaked for 15 min in transfer buffer (25 mM Tris, 200 mM glycine, 10% methanol). Proteins were transferred to Immobilon-P (Millipore) using a semidry transfer apparatus at 150 V/150 mA for 1 h. Western blots were blocked in Tris-buffered saline (TBS) containing 3% BSA for 1 h at RT. Blots were hybridized overnight with the primary antibody anti-HPV11 L1 rabbit polyclonal antibody (R8363), mouse anti-karyopherin α 2 (Rch-1 [BD Transduction Laboratories), anti-karyopherin β 1 goat polyclonal antibody (Sc1919 [Santa Cruz Biotechnology]), or anti-VP1 rabbit polyclonal antibody (I58) in TBS containing 1% BSA. Blots were washed twice in a mixture of TBS, 1% BSA, and 0.3% Tween 20 for 5 min followed by 1 h of hybridization with horseradish peroxidase (HRP)-coupled goat anti-rabbit (Bio-Rad), goat anti-mouse (Bio-Rad), or donkey anti-goat (Bio-Rad) secondary antibody. The blot was washed twice in TBS, 1% BSA, and 0.3% Tween 20 followed by a final 10 min wash in TBS, 1% BSA, and 0.1% Tween 20. The HRP signal was detected with SuperSignal West Pico chemiluminescent substrate (Pierce) according to the manufacturer's protocol.

In vitro assembly reactions. In vitro assembly reactions were performed using recombinant mPy VP1 or HPV11 L1 capsid proteins at 150 µg/ml. Fifty microliters of VP1 or L1, diluted to 150 µg/ml in a mixture of 20 mM HEPES-KOH (pH 7.0), 110 mM KCl, and 2 mM $MgCl₂$, was dialyzed in mini-dialysis units (molecular weight cutoff, 3,500 [Pierce]) against assembly buffer (50 mM Tris-HCl, 150 mM NaCl, 0.5 mM CaCl₂, 5% glycerol [pH 7.2]) at RT overnight. Assembly reaction mixtures were concentrated to 50 μ l using a Microcon 0.5-ml concentrator (Millipore). Fifty-microliter drops were spotted onto parafilm and then absorbed to glow-discharged, carbon- and formvar-coated copper transmission electron microscopy (TEM) grids (G400 copper; EM Science). Grids were blotted dry on Kimwipe (Kimberly-Clark), followed by staining with uranyl acetate (2% [wt/vol]) and TEM (Philips CM10) at 80 kV. The number of 50-nm particles was determined by direct count of the indicated number $(n = 6)$ of grid squares (1,369 μ m²) at ×4,800 magnification. To test assembly inhibition by karyopherin α 2, β 1, or α 2 β 1, karyopherin proteins were added to the capsid proteins at a 1:3 molar concentration relative to the VP1 or L1 protein concentration followed by dialysis and capsid formation assessed by TEM as described above.

RESULTS

Karyopherin 2-**1 binds to the NLS sequences of both mPy VP1 and HPV11 L1.** Previous studies have shown that HPV16, HPV45, HPV11 L1, and simian virus 40 (SV40) VP1 proteins are bound by a heterodimer of $\alpha\beta$ karyopherins (31, 37–39). The NLS of mPy VP1 is located at its amino terminus, in contrast to HPV11 L1, where the NLS is situated at the carboxyl terminus (Fig. 1A). To determine whether karyopherins specifically interact with the NLS of mPy VP1 pentamers, both the full-length recombinant VP1 protein and a recombinant VP1 protein lacking the NLS (VP1N Δ 6) were incubated with karyopherins α 2 and GST- β 1. Proteins bound to GST- β 1 were detected by glutathione-Sepharose chromatography followed by SDS-PAGE. As shown in Fig. 1B, GST- β 1 bound both karyopherin α 2 and full-length VP1 pentamers, but did not bind VP1N Δ 6 pentamers (Fig. 1B, N Δ 6 lane). Recombinant full-length HPV11 L1, previously shown to bind the karyopherin heterodimer α 2 β 1 (38), was used as a positive control for the GST- β 1 interaction experiments. Glutathione-Sepharose chromatography was performed using GST-81 incubated with full-length HPV11 L1 pentamers or recombinant HPV11 L1 pentamers lacking the NLS (L1C Δ 29) and karyopherin α 2 (Fig. 1C). GST- β 1 bound karyopherin α 2 and full-length L1, but not $L1C\Delta 29$ lacking an intact NLS (Fig. 1C, compare lanes L1 and $C\Delta 29$). These results demonstrate that the karyopherin heterodimer α 2 β 1 binds both mPy VP1 and HPV11 L1 pentamers in an NLS-dependent manner.

Karyopherins affect HPV11 L1, but not mPy VP1, DNA binding. During translocation of classical NLS-containing proteins through the nuclear pore, RanGTP dissociates karyopherin β 1 from the karyopherin α 2 β 1 heterodimer bound to the NLS, resulting in accumulation of the karyopherin α 2cargo protein complex in the nucleoplasm (8). After import into the nucleus, VP1 or L1 capsomeres assemble around the viral genome through interactions between the DNA binding domain of VP1 or L1 and the viral DNA genome. Because the NLSs of both mPy VP1 and HPV11 L1 overlap their DNA binding domains, we determined whether karyopherin α 2, β 1, or α 2 β 1 bound to the NLS of VP1 or L1 would affect capsomere binding to DNA.

To determine the effect of karyopherins on the binding of VP1 or L1 to DNA, the capsid proteins were first incubated with the karyopherins. The protein complex of capsid protein and karyopherin(s) was then incubated with linearized plasmid DNA. The nucleoprotein complexes were analyzed by EMSA

FIG. 1. The karyopherin heterodimer α 2 β 1 binds VP1 and L1 in an NLS-dependent manner. (A) Schematic representation of the mPy VP1 and HPV11 L1 proteins depicting the location of the NLS and the DNA binding domain (DBD). (B) GST-81 or GST bound to glutathione-Sepharose incubated with VP1 or VP1N Δ 6 in the presence of karyopherin α 2. (C) L1 or L1C Δ 29 in the presence of karyopherin α 2. Bound protein complexes were identified by SDS-PAGE and Coomassie staining.

to determine whether the capsid protein bound by karyopherins maintained the ability to bind DNA. As shown in Fig. 2A, mPy VP1 capsid protein $(2.6 \mu M)$ associated with DNA migrated slower than DNA alone (Fig. 2A, compare lanes 1 and 2). VP1 prebound with karyopherin α 2, β 1, or α 2 β 1 did not significantly inhibit DNA binding by VP1 as measured by the migration of the nucleoprotein complex in the agarose gel (Fig. 2A, compare lanes 2 through 5). The order of karyopherin addition to VP1 was examined by adding karyopherin α 2 to VP1 prior to karyopherin β 1 (lane 5), karyopherin β 1 to VP1 prior to karyopherin α 2 (lane 6), or mixing karyopherins α 2 and β 1 together followed by the addition of VP1 to the binding reaction (lane 7). The order of karyopherin addition to VP1 did not significantly affect VP1 DNA binding (compare lane 2 with lanes 5 to 7). These data suggest that the binding of karyopherins to VP1 capsomeres does not inhibit VP1 binding to DNA.

Similar DNA binding reactions were performed using HPV11 L1 capsomeres alone or in the presence of karyopherins. In contrast to VP1 capsomeres, the binding of L1 capsomeres to DNA was inhibited by karyopherin α 2 β 1 as measured by the change in DNA migration through an agarose gel (Fig. 2B, compare lanes 2 and 5). Interestingly, L1 binding to DNA was also inhibited when L1 was preincubated with karyopherin β 1 alone (Fig. 2B, compare lanes 1, 2, and 4). When L1 was incubated with karyopherin α 2 and DNA, the DNA protein complex was supershifted relative to L1-bound DNA (Fig. 2B, compare lanes 2 and 3). The latter results suggest that capsomeres of L1 bound to karyopherin α 2 can also bind DNA. The order of karyopherin addition to L1 was examined by adding karyopherin α 2 to L1 prior to karyopherin β 1 (lane 5) or karyopherin β 1 to L1 prior to karyopherin α 2 (lane 6), or mixing karyopherins α 2 and β 1 together followed by the addition of L1 to the binding reaction mixture (lane 7). The order of karyopherin addition to L1 did not significantly affect the inhibition of DNA binding by L1 (compare lane 2 with lanes 5 to 7).

Proteins can localize to the nucleus in a karyopherin α -independent, β -dependent manner (22, 34, 47). Because karyopherin β 1 alone inhibited the association of HPV11 L1 capsomeres with DNA, the interaction between karyopherin β 1 and L1 was investigated by immunoblotting of the proteins associated with DNA after EMSA. HPV11 L1 capsomeres alone or bound by karyopherin α 2, β 1, or α 2 β 1 were subsequently incubated with plasmid DNA, and the resultant complexes were resolved by agarose gel electrophoresis. Consistent with the HPV11 L1 DNA binding experiment shown in Fig. 2B, the addition of karyopherin α 2 alone to the L1-DNA complex resulted in a DNA supershift, whereas addition of karyopherin β 1 alone or karyopherin α 2 β 1 completely inhibited L1 DNA binding (Fig. 2C, top panel). Western blot analysis of proteins transferred from agarose gels shown in the top panel of Fig. 2C identified L1 and karyopherin α 2 in the supershifted nucleoprotein complex (Fig. 2C, anti-L1 and anti- α 2 panels). A protein complex comprised of L1 and karyopherin β 1 or L1 and karyopherin α 2 β 1 was observed in the lanes where DNA was no longer bound by L1 (Fig. 2C, anti-L1, anti- α 2, and anti- β 1 panels). In contrast to the formation of an L1-karyopherin α 2-DNA complex, these data suggest that when karyopherin β 1 or α 2 β 1 binds L1, L1 is subsequently unable to bind DNA. While a significant amount of DNA is released from L1 with the addition of karyopherin β 1 or α 2 β 1 to the DNA binding reaction, it is possible that some DNA remains associated with L1, accounting for the L1 migration pattern shown in Fig. 2C. Western blot analysis of HPV11 L1 incubated with or without DNA followed by agarose gel electrophoresis demonstrated that the migration of L1 in native agarose gels was not altered when DNA was present in the binding reaction (not shown).

To further validate the interaction between karyopherin β 1 and HPV11 L1, full-length recombinant L1 protein fused to GST was incubated with karyopherin α 2, β 1, or α 2 β 1. Proteins bound to GST-L1 were detected by SDS-PAGE followed by Western blot analysis using antibodies against HPV11 L1 or karyopherin α 2 or β 1. As shown in Fig. 2D, GST-L1 bound karyopherin α 2 and the α 2 β 1 heterodimer. Interestingly, GST-L1 was also capable of binding karyopherin β 1 independent of karyopherin α 2.

FIG. 2. Karyopherins differentially affect the DNA binding of VP1 and L1 to DNA. Shown are results for recombinant mPy VP1 or VP1N Δ 6 (A) or L1 or L1C Δ 29 (B) capsomeres incubated with karyopherins α 2, β 1, and α 2 β 1 and DNA. (C) Recombinant HPV11 L1 capsomeres incubated with karyopherins and DNA (top panel). Associated proteins were detected with antibodies against L1 (panel second from top), karyopherin α 2 (panel second from bottom), or karyopherin β 1 (bottom panel). DPC designates migration of the DNA-protein complex. (D) GST-L1 or GST bound to glutathione-Sepharose incubated with karyopherin α 2, β 1, or α 2 β 1. Bound protein complexes were identified by SDS-PAGE followed by Western blotting.

mPy VP1 and HPV11 L1 capsomeres can bind karyopherin α 2 and DNA simultaneously. Our initial experiments showed that karyopherin α 2 (0.5 μ M) did not inhibit DNA (6.9 nM) binding by either mPy VP1 or HPV11 L1 $(2.6 \mu M)$ capsid proteins. Because the amino acid sequence comprising the NLS of mPy VP1 and HPV11 L1 overlaps their DNA binding domain, we tested whether binding karyopherin α 2 would inhibit DNA binding when karyopherin α 2 is in molar excess relative to VP1 or L1. Karyopherin α 2, ranging from an equal molar concentration up to a 16-fold molar excess relative to the capsid protein monomer, was preincubated with either mPy VP1 or HPV11 L1 protein prior to addition of plasmid DNA (Fig. 3A and B). The resultant DNA-protein complexes were then resolved by agarose gel electrophoresis. A decrease in the mobility of the VP1 or L1 plasmid DNA complex was observed when the capsid protein $(2.6 \mu M)$ was bound with an equal molar amount of karyopherin α 2 (Fig. 3A and B, compare lanes 2 and 3). Increasing the amount of karyopherin α 2 added

to the binding reaction from a 2-fold to 16-fold molar excess relative to the capsid protein concentration further decreased the mobility of the nucleoprotein complex corresponding to the amount of karyopherin α 2 added to the reaction (Fig. 3A) and B). These results suggest that karyopherin α 2 binding to VP1 or L1 capsomeres was insufficient to disrupt DNA binding and that mPy VP1 or HPV11 L1 capsomeres can bind karyopherin α 2 and DNA simultaneously.

DNA competes for karyopherin α 2 binding to VP1 and L1. When karyopherin α disassociates from the capsid proteins after nuclear import is currently unkown. One possibility is that the "NLS-like" sequences at the amino terminus of karyopherin α compete the karyopherin from the VP1 or L1 NLS (17, 18). Alternatively, because their DNA binding domain overlaps their NLS, binding DNA to VP1 or L1 may release α 2 from the NLS. The results shown in Fig. 3 suggested that VP1 and L1 can form a nucleoprotein complex that includes the capsid protein, karyopherin α 2, and DNA, as evidenced by

FIG. 3. mPy VP1 and HPV11 L1 capsomeres bind DNA and karyopherin α 2 simultaneously. Shown are results for recombinant mPy VP1 (A) or HPV11 L1 (B) capsomeres incubated with DNA and karyopherin α 2 at equal molar concentrations relative to capsid protein $(1\times)$ or karyopherin α 2 in molar excess $(2\times, 4\times, 8\times,$ and $16\times)$. DPC designates migration of the DNA-protein complex.

the slower-migrating complex. We tested whether increasing the DNA concentration in the DNA binding reaction mixture containing VP1 and karyopherin α 2 could compete karyopherin α 2 from the nucleoprotein complex. VP1 (2.6 μ M) was first incubated with the karyopherin α 2 (0.5 μ M) and linearized plasmid DNA (6.9 nM). In an attempt to compete karyopherin α 2 from the nucleoprotein complex, 60-bp duplex oligonucleotides were then added to the binding reaction mixture to a final concentration of 3, 6, 12, or 24 μ M. The migration of the nucleoprotein complex containing plasmid DNA was assessed by agarose gel electrophoresis. As shown in Fig. 4A, the oligonucleotides competed VP1 and karyopherin α 2 binding to plasmid DNA when the concentration of oligonucleotides was 6μ M or greater, as determined by the migration of the plasmid DNA in the agarose gel (Fig. 4A, compare lanes 3 and 5). Interestingly, the nucleoprotein complex from the reaction mixture containing 3μ M oligonucleotide migrated to the same position as the nucleoprotein complex containing VP1 and plasmid DNA (Fig. 4A, compare lanes 2 and 4). These results suggest that the addition of oligonucleotide DNA above 6 μ M to the binding reaction mixture competed both VP1 and karyopherin α 2 from the plasmid DNA while addition of 3 μ M competed only karyopherin α 2 from the VP1-DNA complex. Similar results were also observed for HPV11 L1 (data not shown). The competition between DNA and karyopherin α 2 binding to VP1 capsomeres was further analyzed by titrating the concentration of oligonucleotides into the binding reaction from 3 μ M to 5 μ M (Fig. 4B). As shown in Fig. 4B, karyopherin α 2 was competed from the nucleoprotein complex with the addition of oligonucleotide DNA ranging from 3 to 5 μ M (Fig. 4B, compare lanes 2 and 4 to 7). We interpret these results to suggest that DNA is able to compete karyopherin α 2 from the VP1 NLS.

FIG. 4. DNA competes karyopherin α 2 from the NLS of mPy VP1. Shown are EMSA results for VP1-karyopherin α 2 binding to DNA in the presence of completing duplexed DNA oligonucleotides ranging from 3 to 24 μ M (A) and from 3 to 6 μ M (B). DPC designates migration of the DNA-protein complex.

Karyopherin binding inhibits in vitro capsid assembly of VP1 and L1 capsomeres. The subcellular location of papovavirus assembly is controlled such that virions are formed in the cell nucleus and not in the cytoplasm (13, 33). The hsp70 family of proteins has been shown to bind polyomavirus VP1 and has been implicated in regulating polyomavirus capsid assembly (7, 9). Karyopherin proteins associate with VP1 capsomeres in the cytoplasm and remain associated with the capsomeres as they localize to the nucleus. Therefore, a potential role for karyopherins in inhibiting mPy VP1 capsid formation has been tested. In vitro calcium-mediated assembly of polyomavirus VP1 capsomeres was used as an assay to test how factors that bind VP1 capsomeres in vivo may affect assembly (7). Assembly reactions with mPy VP1 were performed in the presence or absence of karyopherin α 2, β 1, or α 2 β 1 by overnight dialysis in assembly buffer containing 0.5 mM calcium chloride. The VP1 concentration did not change during dialysis, as detected by soluble protein concentration. The samples were then analyzed by TEM. Viruslike particles were counted using six images per grid from four independent experiments. VP1 assembled into T=7 particles in the absence of karyopherins, but not in the presence of the karyopherin α 2 β 1 heterodimer (Fig. 5A). VP1 also assembled into T=7 particles in the presence of either α 2 or β 1 karyopherin alone (Fig. 5B). Quantitation of assembled particles demonstrated that calcium-mediated in vitro assem-

FIG. 5. Karyopherin α 2 β 1 inhibits in vitro assembly of VP1. Assembly reactions of VP1 with purified karyopherin α 2, β 1, or α 2 β 1 were visualized by negative staining and TEM. (A) Electron micrograph of a VP1 assembly reaction (top row) or VP1 with karyopherin α 2 β 1 (bottom row) Magnifications, \times 4,800 and \times 49,000. (B) Electron micrograph of a VP1-karyopherin α 2 (top row) or VP1-karyopherin β 1 (bottom row) assembly reaction. Magnifications, \times 4,800 and \times 49,000. (C) Quantitation of the average number of assembled particles per image ($n = 6$) from four independent assembly reactions. The scale bars are 1 μ m at \times 4,800 and 100 nm at \times 49,000.

bly of VP1 viruslike particles was reduced by more than 20-fold in the presence of karyopherin α 2 β 1 (Fig. 5C).

In vitro assembly of papillomavirus L1 capsomeres was used to assess the effects of karyopherins on papillomavirus capsid assembly. Assembly reactions were performed with L1 in the presence or absence of karyopherin α 2, β 1, or α 2 β 1 followed by an overnight dialysis in assembly buffer that allowed formation of interpentameric disulfide bonds. As observed with VP1

capsomeres, $L1$ capsomeres assembled into $T=7$ particles in the absence of karyopherins, but not in the presence of the karyopherin α 2 β 1 heterodimer (Fig. 6A). In contrast to VP1, we also observed an inhibition of L1 particle assembly in the presence of karyopherin α 2 (Fig. 6B). Additionally, we observed a shift toward the formation of $T=1$ and $T=3$ particles in assembly reaction mixtures containing $L1$ and $\beta1$. Quantitation of assembled particles demonstrated that assembly of L1

FIG. 6. Karyopherins α 2 and α 2 β 1 inhibit in vitro assembly of L1. In vitro assembly reactions of L1 capsomeres in the presence of purified karyopherin α_2 , β_1 , or $\alpha_2\beta_1$ were analyzed by electron microscopy. (A) L1 assembly reaction (top row) or L1 assembled in the presence of karyopherin α 2 β 1 (bottom row) Magnifications, \times 4,800 and \times 49,000. (B) L1-karyopherin α 2 (top row) or L1-karyopherin β 1 (bottom row) assembly reaction. Magnifications, \times 4,800 and \times 49,000. (C) Quantitation of the average number of assembled particles per image ($n = 5$) from three independent assembly reactions. The scale bars are 1 μ m at \times 4,800 and 100 nm at \times 49,000.

capsids was reduced by more than 15-fold in the presence of karyopherin α 2 β 1 (Fig. 6C). Individually, karyopherin α 2 inhibited L1 capsid assembly by threefold (Fig. 6C).

DISCUSSION

In order to determine whether karyopherin binding to papovavirus capsid protein affects capsid assembly, the interaction between karyopherins and the HPV11 L1 or mPy VP1 capsid proteins was investigated. As previously observed for the L1 capsid proteins of HPV11 and HPV45 (38), the HPV11 L1 capsid protein bound both karyopherins α 2 and α 2 β 1. mPy VP1 also interacted with karyopherins α 2 and α 2 β 1. The interaction between karyopherins and L1 or VP1 was dependent on an intact NLS (Fig. 1).

Nuclear import of proteins that contain a canonical NLS,

such as mPy VP1 and HPV11 L1, occurs by binding the adaptor protein karyopherin α 2 to the NLS that then recruits karyopherin β . An alternative pathway for nuclear import utilizes karyopherin β without the adaptor protein karyopherin α (22, 34, 47). Although HPV11 L1 capsomeres interact with karyopherin α 2 or the α 2 β 1 heterodimer in an NLS-dependent manner, $L1$ capsomeres also interacted with karyopherin $\beta1$ in the absence of karyopherin α 2 (Fig. 2). Moreover, karyopherin β 1 strongly inhibited DNA binding by L1 capsomeres, suggesting that the interaction of $L1$ with karyopherin β 1 competes for L1 binding to DNA. However, it is unclear whether L1 binding by karyopherin β 1 alone is biologically significant or restricted to an in vitro association. Our current understanding of L1 import suggests that karyopherin β 1 would not be present in the nucleus to inhibit the interaction between L1 capsomeres and DNA. More work is needed to evaluate the potential significance of the interaction between HPV11 L1 and karyopherin β 1 in vivo.

Karyopherin-mediated nuclear import may also be important during the initial phase of virus infection when viral nucleoprotein complexes, composed of capsid proteins bound to the viral genome, exits a membrane compartment (the endosome for papillomavirus or the endoplasmic reticulum for polyomavirus) into the cytoplasm (11, 16). In the cytoplasm, karyopherins may bind the exposed NLS(s) of capsomeres or the minor capsid proteins, which are bound to the viral DNA, and then transport the viral protein complex into the nucleus. In order for this transport pathway to function, capsomeres must be able to bind the viral genome and karyopherins simultaneously. We found that VP1 (2.6 μ M) complexed with karyopherin α 2 (0.5 μ M) was able to bind plasmid DNA (6.9 nM). Additionally, increasing karyopherin α 2 to a 16-fold molar excess over the capsid protein led to slower migration of the DNA-protein complex by EMSA (Fig. 3). We interpret these results to mean that the five DNA binding domains of a VP1 pentamer are not fully occupied by DNA, because unoccupied NLSs continued to bind karyopherin α 2. However, the gel mobility of the complex also indicated that the interaction between karyopherin α 2 and the NLSs of mPy VP1 was insufficient to displace DNA from the DNA binding domain. These data suggest that in vitro, a pentamer bound to plasmid DNA does not have all five DNA binding domains of the pentamer in contact with the DNA. However, during infection the polyomavirus genome is configured with nucleosomes and how the DNA binding domain of VP1 or L1 will interact with nucleosome-bound DNA is unclear.

Similar to the results of mPy VP1, we found that HPV11 L1 (2.6 μ M) complexed with karyopherin α 2 (0.5 μ M) was able to bind plasmid DNA (6.9 nM). Additionally, increasing karyopherin α 2 to a 16-fold molar excess over the capsid protein led to slower migration of the DNA-protein complex by EMSA (Fig. 3). However, DNA binding by HPV11 L1 capsomers was inhibited by karyopherin α 2 β 1 (Fig. 2B), and karyopherin α 2 cannot alone mediate nuclear import in the absence of karyopherin β 1 import receptor. These results suggest that it is unlikely that HPV11 L1 mediates import of the viral genome during the initial phase of infection as L1 binding of karyopherin α 2 β 1 in the cytoplasm would release the viral DNA from the capsid protein prior to being transported into the nucleus. Consistent with this reasoning, L1 has not been detected in the nucleus in the initial phase of the papillomavirus infection and, instead, L2 seems to colocalize in the nucleus with the incoming viral genome (10) .

The basic amino acids that make up the NLSs for both papillomavirus and polyomavirus also function in DNA binding. The DNA binding constant for recombinant VP1 has been determined by an immunoprecipitation assay to be 1×10^{-11} M to 2 \times 10^{-11} M (35). The DNA binding affinity of L1 has not been quantitated, but L1 has been shown to bind DNA by Southwestern blotting and EMSA gel assay (26, 46). Karyopherin α 2 β 1 competed DNA from HPV11 L1, but not mPy VP1. These data suggest that the DNA binding affinity of L1 may be less than that of VP1. The relatively tight binding affinity of VP1 may serve a functional role during capsid assembly, with the DNA serving as scaffolding around which VP1 capsomeres assemble. The weaker binding affinity of L1 for DNA may reflect a greater dependence on the viral L2 protein to bind the viral genome and serve as an interface for encapsidation.

As the capsomeres enter the nucleus, RanGTP dissociates karyopherin β from the cargo protein complex. However, what mediates the dissociation of karyopherin α from the capsid proteins is unknown. One possibility is that DNA binding to VP1 or L1 releases karyopherin α 2 from the NLS. We observed that addition of oligonucleotide DNA ranging from 3 to 6μ M to a nucleoprotein complex composed of capsid protein (2.6 μ M), karyopherin α 2 (0.5 μ M), and plasmid DNA (6.9 nM) was able to compete karyopherin α 2 from the NLS of mPy VP1 (Fig. 4B). These data raise the possibility that in the nucleus, the viral DNA may displace karyopherin α 2 from the capsid protein, allowing for capsid assembly around the viral genome.

The mPy VP1 and HPV11 L1 capsid proteins have the intrinsic ability to self-assemble in vitro and in vivo. Given these intrinsic self-assembly properties, there must be biological controls in vivo that regulate the quality and subcellular location of viral capsid assembly. The hsp70 family of chaperones previously has been shown to modulate assembly and disassembly of polyomavirus and papillomavirus capsid protein (6, 7). Our data suggest that another potential mechanism for modulating capsid assembly may involve the karyopherin proteins that bind the NLS of HPV11 L1 and mPy VP1 and that karyopherin proteins may have "chaperone" functions. The karyopherin heterodimer α 2 β 1 inhibited in vitro assembly of both HPV11 L1 and mPy VP1. Karyopherin α 2 β 1 heterodimers (157.5-kDa complex) bind HPV11 L1 at the NLS located at the carboxyl terminus of the protein. Binding to the carboxyl terminus may inhibit assembly through steric inhibition, because the L1 carboxyl terminus is also used for interpentamer bonding (25, 32). Karyopherin α 2 also had an inhibitory effect on L1 assembly, although to a lesser extent than karyopherin α 2 β 1. This finding supports the idea that steric hindrance may inhibit assembly and that by recruiting karyopherin β 1 to the L1-karyopherin α 2 complex assembly is further inhibited. Interestingly, karyopherin β 1 appeared to shift assembly in favor of the $T=3$ and $T=1$ products. These data suggest that karyopherin β 1 may interact with the carboxyl terminus of L1 and alter the interpentamer contacts mediated by the carboxyl-terminal domain. The NLS of VP1 is located at its amino terminus, which for SV40 is involved in interpentamer contacts through the formation of disulfide bonds (43).

Binding of karyopherin α 2 β 1 to the VP1 amino terminus may prevent these interpentameric disulfide bonds between VP1 molecules as well as prevent the carboxyl-terminal domains of VP1 from forming interpentameric bonds through an indirect steric effect. Inhibition of in vitro assembly of mPy VP1 and HPV11 L1 capsids by karyopherin α 2 β 1 supports the hypothesis that karyopherins may play a role in chaperoning VP1 and L1 capsid assembly in vivo. Karyopherins are abundant in the cytosol, ranging from 1 to 2 μ M of each karyopherin (23). This high level of karyopherins would allow them to function as chaperones in addition to their nuclear import function. Because the nuclear localization of many proteins is mediated by karyopherins, the chaperone function observed with karyopherins in modulating viral protein complex assembly and DNA binding may be applicable to proteins other than the capsid proteins investigated in this study.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank Laura Chromy for purification of recombinant capsid proteins mPy VP1 and HPV11 L1 and Dorothy Dill for technical assistance with electron microscopy.

This work was supported by National Institutes of Health grant CA094898 (to J.M.), National Institutes of Health grant CA37667 (to R.L.G.), and National Institutes of Health postdoctoral training grant T32CA082086 (to G.B. and R.L.G.).

REFERENCES

- 1. **Belnap, D. M., N. H. Olson, N. M. Cladel, W. W. Newcomb, J. C. Brown, J. W. Kreider, N. D. Christensen, and T. S. Baker.** 1996. Conserved features in papillomavirus and polyomavirus capsids. J. Mol. Biol. **259:** 249–263.
- 2. **Brady, J. N., V. D. Winston, and R. A. Consigli.** 1977. Dissociation of polyoma virus by the chelation of calcium ions found associated with purified virions. J. Virol. **23:**717–724.
- 3. **Chang, D., J. I. Haynes, Jr., J. N. Brady, and R. A. Consigli.** 1993. Identification of amino acid sequences in the polyomavirus capsid proteins that serve as nuclear localization signals. Trans. Kans. Acad. Sci. **96:**35–39.
- 4. **Chen, P. L., M. Wang, W. C. Ou, C. K. Lii, L. S. Chen, and D. Chang.** 2001. Disulfide bonds stabilize JC virus capsid-like structure by protecting calcium ions from chelation. FEBS Lett. **500:**109–113.
- 5. **Chi, N. C., E. J. Adam, and S. A. Adam.** 1995. Sequence and characterization of cytoplasmic nuclear protein import factor p97. J. Cell Biol. **130:**265–274.
- 6. **Chromy, L. R., A. Oltman, P. A. Estes, and R. L. Garcea.** 2006. Chaperonemediated in vitro disassembly of polyoma- and papillomaviruses. J. Virol. **80:**5086–5091.
- 7. **Chromy, L. R., J. M. Pipas, and R. L. Garcea.** 2003. Chaperone-mediated in vitro assembly of polyomavirus capsids. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA **100:** 10477–10482.
- 8. **Cook, A., F. Bono, M. Jinek, and E. Conti.** 2007. Structural biology of nucleocytoplasmic transport. Annu. Rev. Biochem. **76:**647–671.
- 9. **Cripe, T. P., S. E. Delos, P. A. Estes, and R. L. Garcea.** 1995. In vivo and in vitro association of hsc70 with polyomavirus capsid proteins. J. Virol. **69:** 7807–7813.
- 10. **Day, P. M., C. C. Baker, D. R. Lowy, and J. T. Schiller.** 2004. Establishment of papillomavirus infection is enhanced by promyelocytic leukemia protein (PML) expression. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA **101:**14252–14257.
- 11. **Day, P. M., D. R. Lowy, and J. T. Schiller.** 2003. Papillomaviruses infect cells via a clathrin-dependent pathway. Virology **307:**1–11.
- 12. **Florin, L., F. Schafer, K. Sotlar, R. E. Streeck, and M. Sapp.** 2002. Reorganization of nuclear domain 10 induced by papillomavirus capsid protein l2. Virology **295:**97–107.
- 13. **Forstova, J., N. Krauzewicz, S. Wallace, A. J. Street, S. M. Dilworth, S. Beard, and B. E. Griffin.** 1993. Cooperation of structural proteins during late events in the life cycle of polyomavirus. J. Virol. **67:**1405–1413.
- 14. **Garcea, R. L., D. M. Salunke, and D. L. Caspar.** 1987. Site-directed mutation affecting polyomavirus capsid self-assembly in vitro. Nature **329:**86–87.
- 15. **Gorlich, D., F. Vogel, A. D. Mills, E. Hartmann, and R. A. Laskey.** 1995. Distinct functions for the two importin subunits in nuclear protein import. Nature **377:**246–248.
- 16. **Helenius, A.** 1984. Semliki Forest virus penetration from endosomes: a morphological study. Biol. Cell **51:**181–185.
- 17. **Hodel, A. E., M. T. Harreman, K. F. Pulliam, M. E. Harben, J. S. Holmes, M. R. Hodel, K. M. Berland, and A. H. Corbett.** 2006. Nuclear localization signal receptor affinity correlates with in vivo localization in Saccharomyces cerevisiae. J. Biol. Chem. **281:**23545–23556.
- 18. **Hodel, M. R., A. H. Corbett, and A. E. Hodel.** 2001. Dissection of a nuclear localization signal. J. Biol. Chem. **276:**1317–1325.
- 19. **Imamoto, N., T. Shimamoto, S. Kose, T. Takao, T. Tachibana, M. Matsubae, T. Sekimoto, Y. Shimonishi, and Y. Yoneda.** 1995. The nuclear pore-targeting complex binds to nuclear pores after association with a karyophile. FEBS Lett. **368:**415–419.
- 20. **Ishii, N., A. Nakanishi, M. Yamada, M. H. Macalalad, and H. Kasamatsu.** 1994. Functional complementation of nuclear targeting-defective mutants of simian virus 40 structural proteins. J. Virol. **68:**8209–8216.
- 21. **Ishizu, K.-I., H. Watanabe, S.-I. Han, S.-N. Kanesashi, M. Hoque, H. Yajima, K. Kataoka, and H. Handa.** 2001. Roles of disulfide linkage and calcium ion-mediated interactions in assembly and disassembly of viruslike particles composed of simian virus 40 VP1 capsid protein. J. Virol. **75:**61–72.
- 22. **Jakel, S., and D. Gorlich.** 1998. Importin beta, transportin, RanBP5 and RanBP7 mediate nuclear import of ribosomal proteins in mammalian cells. EMBO J. **17:**4491–4502.
- 23. **Jakel, S., J. M. Mingot, P. Schwarzmaier, E. Hartmann, and D. Gorlich.** 2002. Importins fulfil a dual function as nuclear import receptors and cytoplasmic chaperones for exposed basic domains. EMBO J. **21:**377–386.
- 24. **Kutay, U., F. R. Bischoff, S. Kostka, R. Kraft, and D. Gorlich.** 1997. Export of importin alpha from the nucleus is mediated by a specific nuclear transport factor. Cell **90:**1061–1071.
- 25. **Li, M., P. Beard, P. A. Estes, M. K. Lyon, and R. L. Garcea.** 1998. Intercapsomeric disulfide bonds in papillomavirus assembly and disassembly. J. Virol. **72:**2160–2167.
- 26. **Li, M., T. P. Cripe, P. A. Estes, M. K. Lyon, R. C. Rose, and R. L. Garcea.** 1997. Expression of the human papillomavirus type 11 L1 capsid protein in *Escherichia coli*: characterization of protein domains involved in DNA binding and capsid assembly. J. Virol. **71:**2988–2995.
- 27. **Li, P. P., A. Nakanishi, D. Shum, P. C.-K. Sun, A. M. Salazar, C. F. Fernandez, S.-W. Chan, and H. Kasamatsu.** 2001. Simian virus 40 Vp1 DNA-binding domain is functionally separable from the overlapping nuclear localization signal and is required for effective virion formation and full viability. J. Virol. **75:**7321–7329.
- 28. **Liddington, R. C., Y. Yan, J. Moulai, R. Sahli, T. L. Benjamin, and S. C. Harrison.** 1991. Structure of simian virus 40 at 3.8-A resolution. Nature **354:**278–284.
- 29. **Matsuura, Y., A. Lange, M. T. Harreman, A. H. Corbett, and M. Stewart.** 2003. Structural basis for Nup2p function in cargo release and karyopherin recycling in nuclear import. EMBO J. **22:**5358–5369.
- 30. **McCarthy, M. P., W. I. White, F. Palmer-Hill, S. Koenig, and J. A. Suzich.** 1998. Quantitative disassembly and reassembly of human papillomavirus type 11 viruslike particles in vitro. J. Virol. **72:**32–41.
- 31. **Merle, E., R. C. Rose, L. LeRoux, and J. Moroianu.** 1999. Nuclear import of HPV11 L1 capsid protein is mediated by karyopherin alpha2beta1 het-erodimers. J. Cell Biochem. **74:**628–637.
- 32. **Modis, Y., B. L. Trus, and S. C. Harrison.** 2002. Atomic model of the papillomavirus capsid. EMBO J. **21:**4754–4762.
- 33. **Montross, L., S. Watkins, R. B. Moreland, H. Mamon, D. L. D. Caspar, and R. L. Garcea.** 1991. Nuclear assembly of polyomavirus capsids in insect cells expressing the major capsid protein VP1. J. Virol. **65:**4991–4998.
- 34. **Moore, J. D., J. Yang, R. Truant, and S. Kornbluth.** 1999. Nuclear import of Cdk/cyclin complexes: identification of distinct mechanisms for import of Cdk2/cyclin E and Cdc2/cyclin B1. J. Cell Biol. **144:**213–224.
- 35. **Moreland, R. B., and R. L. Garcea.** 1991. Characterization of a nuclear localization sequence in the polyomavirus capsid protein VP1. Virology **185:**513–518.
- 36. **Moroianu, J., M. Hijikata, G. Blobel, and A. Radu.** 1995. Mammalian karyopherin alpha 1 beta and alpha 2 beta heterodimers: alpha 1 or alpha 2 subunit binds nuclear localization signal and beta subunit interacts with peptide repeat-containing nucleoporins. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA **92:** 6532–6536.
- 37. **Nakanishi, A., D. Shum, H. Morioka, E. Otsuka, and H. Kasamatsu.** 2002. Interaction of the Vp3 nuclear localization signal with the importin α 2/ β heterodimer directs nuclear entry of infecting simian virus 40. J. Virol. **76:**9368–9377.
- 38. **Nelson, L. M., R. C. Rose, L. LeRoux, C. Lane, K. Bruya, and J. Moroianu.** 2000. Nuclear import and DNA binding of human papillomavirus type 45 L1 capsid protein. J. Cell Biochem. **79:**225–238.
- 39. **Nelson, L. M., R. C. Rose, and J. Moroianu.** 2002. Nuclear import strategies of high risk HPV16 L1 major capsid protein. J. Biol. Chem. **277:**23958– 23964.
- 40. **Qu, Q., H. Sawa, T. Suzuki, S. Semba, C. Henmi, Y. Okada, M. Tsuda, S. Tanaka, W. J. Atwood, and K. Nagashima.** 2004. Nuclear entry mechanism of the human polyomavirus JC virus-like particle: role of importins and the nuclear pore complex. J. Biol. Chem. **279:**27735–27742.
- 41. **Rose, R. C., W. Bonnez, R. C. Reichman, and R. L. Garcea.** 1993. Expression

of human papillomavirus type 11 L1 protein in insect cells: in vivo and in vitro assembly of viruslike particles. J. Virol. **67:**1936–1944.

- 42. **Sapp, M., C. Volpers, M. Muller, and R. E. Streeck.** 1995. Organization of the major and minor capsid proteins in human papillomavirus type 33 viruslike particles. J. Gen. Virol. **76:**2407–2412.
- 43. **Schelhaas, M., J. Malmstrom, L. Pelkmans, J. Haugstetter, L. Ellgaard, K. Grunewald, and A. Helenius.** 2007. Simian virus 40 depends on ER protein folding and quality control factors for entry into host cells. Cell **131:**516–529.
- 44. **Stehle, T., S. J. Gamblin, Y. Yan, and S. C. Harrison.** 1996. The structure of simian virus 40 refined at 3.1 A resolution. Structure **4:**165–182.
- 45. **Stehle, T., and S. C. Harrison.** 1997. High-resolution structure of a polyomavirus VP1-oligosaccharide complex: implications for assembly and receptor binding. EMBO J. **16:**5139–5148.
- 46. **Touze, A., D. Mahe, S. El Mehdaoui, C. Dupuy, A. L. Combita-Rojas, L. Bousarghin, P. Y. Sizaret, and P. Coursaget.** 2000. The nine C-terminal amino acids of the major capsid protein of the human papillomavirus type 16 are essential for DNA binding and gene transfer capacity. FEMS Microbiol. Lett. **189:**121–127.
- 47. **Truant, R., and B. R. Cullen.** 1999. The arginine-rich domains present in human $\overline{\text{immunodeficiency}}$ virus type 1 Tat and Rev function as direct importin β -dependent nuclear localization signals. Mol. Cell. Biol. **19:**1210–1217.
- 48. **Weis, K., I. W. Mattaj, and A. I. Lamond.** 1995. Identification of hSRP1 alpha as a functional receptor for nuclear localization sequences. Science **268:**1049–1053.
- 49. **Wychowski, C., D. Benichou, and M. Girard.** 1986. A domain of SV40 capsid polypeptide VP1 that specifies migration into the cell nucleus. EMBO J. **5:**2569–2576.