A CHARACTERIZATION OF OLDER AIDS PATIENTS IN MARYLAND

Anthony K. Wutoh, PhD, RPh, Julia Hidalgo, ScD, MSW, MPH, Walter Rhee, MA, and Joseph Bareta, MS Washington, DC, and Baltimore, Maryland

> This retrospective study evaluated Maryland acquired immunodeficiency syndrome (AIDS) patients who were ≥50 years at the time of AIDS diagnosis. All patients diagnosed between January 1987 and June 1996 who were >50 years were included in the cohort. A total of 610 male (82.7%) and 128 female (17.3%) AIDS patients aged \geq 50 were identified. The most common mode of human immunodeficiency syndrome (HIV) transmission was male-to-male sexual contact (34.7%). Additionally, 146 (19.8%) patients contracted HIV through blood transfusions, 93 (12.1%) were infected through heterosexual contact, 134 (18.6) were infected through IV drug abuse, and the remaining 109 (14.8%) had unknown risk factors. Data from this preliminary study demonstrate that an alarming percentage of AIDS patients (approximately 10%) in Maryland are aged ≥50. Sexual contact, either maleto-male or heterosexual transmission, was the route of transmission for nearly 47% of this patient population. However, few research projects, educational programs, or public health initiatives are specifically targeted to this patient population. The increasing life expectancy of AIDS patients as well as the advent of new drug treatments highlights the need for further research to investigate the diagnosis and treatment of AIDS and HIV infection among older patients. (J Natl Med Assoc. 1998;90:369-373.)

Key words: acquired immunodeficiency syndrome

- human immunodeficiency virus
 older patients
 elderly
 - older patients elderly

Recent data from the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) show that 11% of male acquired immunodeficiency syndrome (AIDS) cases and 8% of female AIDS cases have been among Americans ≥ 50 years.¹ However, little research has been conducted to investigate the incidence of AIDS among Americans in this group despite the fact that older persons constitute an estimated 10% of all AIDS patients.² The potential for drug-drug interactions, drug-food interactions, and general drug misadventures are highlighted in this population, particularly since many of them will already be under treatment for existing comorbidities.³⁻⁵

Although these percentages may differ slightly by ethnicity, it demonstrates that an alarming percentage of older Americans have developed AIDS. Further, 5% of all newly reported cases of human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) infection have occurred among patients $\geq 50.^6$ This suggests not only that there is a growing number of older patients with AIDS but also that a large percentage of them are becoming HIV infected after the age of 50.

From the Department of Clinical and Administrative Pharmacy Sciences, Howard University College of Pharmacy, Nursing, and Allied Health Sciences, Washington, DC, and the Center for AIDS Services Planning and Development, AIDS Administration, Maryland Department of Health and Mental Hygiene, Baltimore, Maryland. This study was supported by funding through the Health Care Financing Administration (HCFA) Cooperative Agreement no. 18-C-99522. Requests for reprints should be addressed to Dr Anthony K.Wutoh, Howard University College of Pharmacy, Nursing, and Allied Health Sciences, Division of Pharmacy, 2300 4th St NW, Washington, DC 20059.



Figure 1. Maryland AIDS patients >50 years old by gender (1987-1996).

The recent introduction of protease inhibitors, non-nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitors, and combinations of older reverse transcriptase inhibitors has produced great excitement concerning the treatment of HIV infection and AIDS.⁷⁹ Several investigators have gone as far as to consider the possibility of the treatment of HIV infection as a long-term chronic illness, much in the way that hypertension, diabetes, and heart disease are treated among elderly Americans.¹⁰

Until recently, conventional treatment for HIV infection generally was limited to a single reverse transcriptase inhibitor, usually zidovudine.¹¹ Although there had been discussion regarding the optimal time for initiating therapy, it had been accepted that monotherapy was the preferred mode of treatment.¹² The recent introduction of two new classes of agents, protease inhibitors and non-nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitors, has greatly expanded the armamentarium available to treat HIV infection. Combination therapy with multiple variations of drugs is becoming accepted as the optimal means of delaying disease progression and the development of resistance, and ultimately prolonging the survival of AIDS patients.¹³ However, these agents were approved rapidly by the Food and Drug Administration prior to lengthy investigation of potential drug interactions, particularly concerning the elderly who may be taking several drugs for HIV infection as well as chronic medication to treat comorbid conditions.¹⁴

These combinations of antivirals do not include the host of drugs taken for prophylaxis to prevent





opportunistic infections that develop as a result of immune deficiency. A typical AIDS patient may be on prophylaxis regimens to prevent *Pneumocystis carinii* pneumonia, *Mycobacterium avium* complex, candida, herpes, and toxoplasmosis.¹⁵ When this treatment regimen is added to potential treatment for hypertension, diabetes, or congestive heart failure, it is easy to imagine the complexity of the drug regimen for an elderly AIDS patient.

METHODS

A retrospective study was conducted to detail the incidence of AIDS among Maryland AIDS patients who were \geq 50 years at the time of AIDS diagnosis. All patients diagnosed between January 1987 and June 1996 who were >50 years of age were included in the cohort. Transmission routes included maleto-male transmission, intravenous drug use, heterosexual contact, transfusion recipient, and other. Patients who indicated both intravenous drug and any other category were classified as intravenous drug users.

The Human Immunodeficiency Virus Information System (HIVIS) database was the primary source of data for this study. This database is the statewide database on HIV costs and financing and was established in 1987. This epidemiologic tool matches the AIDS registry records of persons with AIDS to a variety of secondary data bases. Longitudinal, person-based files are created to profile utilization, costs, and financing of HIV-related treatment provided during the course of illness.¹⁶

The HIVIS database has been applied for many



Figure 3.

Older Maryland AIDS patients by mode of transmission (1987-1986).

purposes in various studies; it has been used previously to measure the use of prescription drugs by AIDS patients by demographic, geographic, and HIV risk group; perform post-marketing surveillance of new AIDS-related drugs; measure access to drug therapies; assess the impact of drugs on clinical outcomes; and evaluate the cost/benefit and cost effectiveness of new therapies.¹⁷⁻¹⁹

RESULTS

During January 1981 to June 1996, a total of 14,067 AIDS cases were reported in Maryland. Of these cases, 1335 (9.5%) were >50 years old at the time of diagnosis. A total of 738 patients >50 years old and diagnosed between January 1987 and June 1996 were selected for detailed analysis.

Of the 738 patients in this study population, 610 (82.7%) were men, and the remaining 128 (17.3%) were women (Figure 1). In terms of ethnicity, 403 (54.6%) were black, 316 (42.8%) were white, 18 (2.4%) were Hispanic, and 1 (0.1%) was Asian (Figure 2).

The most commonly reported mode of transmission was male-to-male sexual contact; 256 (34.7%) of the cohort reported this as the mode of HIV transmission (Figure 3). Additionally, 134 (18.6%) of the cohort was infected through IV drug abuse. One hundred forty-six (19.8%) contracted HIV through blood transfusions, 93 (12.1%) were infected through heterosexual contact, and the remaining 109 (14.8%) had unknown risk factors or an undetermined mode of transmission.



National AIDS cases in patients >50 years old.

DISCUSSION

Nationally, 55,819 cases of AIDS in patients >50years have been reported to the CDC^1 (Figure 4). Of those cases, 7255 were reported among patients >65 years. These cases represent 11% and 8% of the overall cases in American men and women, respectively. Data from this preliminary study demonstrate that a similarly alarming percentage of AIDS patients (approximately 10%) in Maryland are >50 years. It is important to note that many of these patients probably were infected with HIV about 10 years prior to an AIDS diagnosis; however, data indicates that 5% of all newly reported cases of HIV infection have occurred among patients $\geq 50.^{6}$ While our society tends to characterize older Americans and the elderly as asexual, nearly 47% of patients in this population contracted HIV through sexual contact (including male-to-male and heterosexual contact).

A study by Gordon and Thompson²⁰ concludes that the elderly still participate in behavior that places them at risk, including injection drug use, and that many are still sexually active. The proportion of patients in this cohort with IV drug use as the mode of transmission (18.6%) is reflective of the higher incidence of intravenous drug use in major urban areas in Maryland, particularly the Baltimore Metropolitan area.²¹

The delayed diagnosis or misdiagnosis of AIDS in elderly patients further exacerbates the progression of this disease because appropriate treatment is potentially delayed until a patient's condition is severe. A recent study by Skiest et al²² concludes that older HIV-infected patients presented with more advanced disease, which may have been secondary to lack of HIV awareness in this population. The older patients in that study had a shorter observed AIDS-free interval and shorter survival. Early diagnosis and appropriate treatment can prolong the survival and quality of life of older patients with HIV infection and AIDS.

The proportion of study patients who were male (83%) is slightly higher than the cumulative overall percentage of all Maryland male AIDS patients (78%).²¹ However, the 17% of older female AIDS cases further highlights the increasing incidence of HIV infection among women.²³ Heterosexual women are one of the fastest growing groups of AIDS patients nationwide,^{23,24} and this trend is supported by the percentage of older female AIDS cases in this study. As the general US population ages, the incidence of older AIDS patients will likely increase. Further, as our ability to treat HIV infection and AIDS improves, and therapeutic advances are made, there will also be a corresponding increase in the number of older patients with AIDS.

However, few research projects, prevention programs, or public health initiatives are specifically targeted to this population of patients. The increasing life expectancy of AIDS patients as well as the advent of protease inhibitors, non-nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitors, and combinations of reverse transcriptase inhibitors highlight the potential for significant drug interactions and potential drug misadventures, particularly among elderly AIDS patients. A recent study by Stein³ concludes that two thirds of patients aged ≥ 65 use one or more drugs daily, and the number of drugs taken by older patients greatly influences their chance of experiencing adverse drug reactions.

CONCLUSION

Further research is warranted to investigate the diagnosis and treatment of AIDS and HIV infection among older and elderly patients. Future research considerations should include determinants of access to medical care and medications for the elderly, compliance issues specific to older AIDS patients, and age-related variations in immune function at diagnosis of HIV infection.

Acknowledgments

The authors thank Eucharia Nnadi-Okolo, PhD, JD, Vasant

Telang, PhD, and Olu Olusanya, PharmD, for their assistance in the development of this project; and Carolyn Brown, PhD, RPh, Clarence Curry, PharmD, Donna McCree, PhD, and Joseph Wutoh, PhD, for their assistance in reviewing this article.

Literature Cited

1. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. AIDS cases by sex, age at diagnosis, and race/ethnicity, reported through June 1996, US. *HIV/AIDS Surveillance Report.* 1996;8:1-34.

2. Stall R, Catania J. AIDS risk behaviors among late middle-aged and elderly Americans. *Arch Intern Med.* 1994;154:57-63.

3. Stein BE. Avoiding drug reactions: seven steps to writing safe prescriptions. *Geriatrics*. 1994;49:28-30, 33-36.

4. Salom IL, Davis K. Prescribing for older patients: how to avoid toxic drug reactions. *Geriatrics*. 1995;50:37-40, 43.

5. Salzman C. Medication compliance in the elderly. J Clin Psychiatry. 1995;56(suppl 1):18-23.

6. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. HIV infection cases (not AIDS), by sex, age at diagnosis, and race/ethnicity, reported through June 1996, from states with confidential HIV infection reporting. *HIV/AIDS Surveillance Report.* 1996;8:1-34.

7. Myers M, Montaner JG. A randomized, double-blinded comparative trial of the effects of zidovudine, didanosine and nevirapine combinations in antiviral naive, AIDS-free, HIVinfected patients with CD4 counts 200-600/mm3. Presented at the XI International Conference on AIDS; July 7-12, 1996; Vancouver, British Columbia, Canada.

8. Markowitz M, Saag M, Powderly WG, Hurley AM, Hsu A, Valdes JM, et al. A preliminary study of ritonavir, an inhibitor of HIV-1 protease, to treat HIV-1 infection. *N Engl J Med.* 1995;333:1534-1539.

9. Kitchen VS, Skinner C, Ariyoshi K, Lane EA, Duncan IB, Burckhardt J, et al. Safety and activity of saquinavir in HIV infection. *Lancet.* 1995;345:952-955.

10. Churchhill SA. Protease inhibitors: implications for HIV research and treatment. *Journal of the International Association of Physicians in AIDS Care.* 1996;1:13-18.

11. Kahn JO, Lagakos SW, Richman DD, Cross A, Pettinelli C, Liou SH, et al. A controlled trial comparing continued zidovudine with didanosine in human immunodeficiency virus infection. The NIAID AIDS Clinical Trials Group. *N Engl J Med.* 1992;327:581-587.

12. Moore RD, Bartlett JG. Combination antiretroviral therapy in HIV infection. *Pharmacoeconomics*. 1996;10:109-113.

13. Collier AC, Coombs RW, Schoenfeld DA, Bassett RL, Timpone J, Baruch A, et al. Treatment of human immunodeficiency virus infection with saquinavir, zidovudine, and zalcitabine. AIDS Clinical Trials Group. N Engl J Med. 1996;334:1011-1017.

14. Barnett AA. New drugs for HIV infection move quickly through FDA. *Pharmacy Today*. 1996;2:1, 5.

15. US Public Health Service/IDSA Prevention of Opportunistic Infections Working Group. USPHS/IDSA guidelines for the prevention of opportunistic infections in persons infected with human immunodeficiency virus: and overview. *Clin Infect Dis.* 1995;21(suppl 1):S12-31.

16. Hidalgo J. Development and application of statewide AIDS information systems in health services planning and evaluation. *Evaluation and Program Planning*, 1990;13:39-46.

17. Moore RD, Hidalgo J, Sugland BW, Chaisson RE. Zidovudine and the natural history of the acquired immunodefi-

ciency syndrome. N Engl J Med. 1991;324:1412-1416.

18. Hidalgo J, Bareta JC, Beardsley R, Chaisson RE, Moore RD. Epidemiological monitoring of AIDS patient: The Maryland experience. Paper presented at: Drug Development in the 1990s: The Legacy of AIDS; March 1, 1992; Washington, DC.

19. Moore RD, Hidalgo J, Bareta JČ, Chaisson RE. Zidovudine therapy and health resource utilization in AIDS. J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr Hum Retrovirol. 1994;7:349-354.

20. Gordon S, Thompson S. The changing epidemiology of human immunodeficiency virus infection in older persons. J Am Geriatr Soc. 1995;43:7-9.

21. Maryland Department of Health and Mental Hygiene. Maryland AIDS update. *AIDS Administration Newsletter*. December 1996:1-4.

22. Skiest DJ, Rubinstien E, Carley N, Gioiella L, Lyons R. The importance of comorbidity in HIV-infected patients over 55: a retrospective case-control study. *AmJ Med.* 1996;101:605-611.

23. Phillips P. No plateau for HIV/AIDS epidemic in US women. JAMA. 1997;277:1747-1749.

24. Update: AIDS among women-United States, 1996. MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep. 1995;44:81-84.

