Crystal Structure of the Avian Reovirus Inner Capsid Protein σA^{∇}

Pablo Guardado-Calvo,¹ Lorena Vazquez-Iglesias,¹ José Martinez-Costas,¹ Antonio L. Llamas-Saiz,² Guy Schoehn,^{3,4} Gavin C. Fox,⁵ X. Lois Hermo-Parrado,¹ Javier Benavente,¹ and Mark J. van Raaij^{1,6*}

*Departamento de Bioquímica e Bioloxía Molecular, Facultade de Farmacia,*¹ *and Unidade de Difraccio´n de Raios X, Laboratorio Integral de Dina´mica y Estructura de Biomole´culas Jose´ R. Carracido, Edificio CACTUS,*² *Universidade de Santiago de Compostela, E-15782 Santiago de Compostela, Spain; Unit for Virus Host Cell Interaction, UMR 5233, Universite´ Joseph Fourier, EMBL, CNRS,*³ *and Institut de Biologie Structurale Jean-Pierre Ebel, UMR5075 CEA-CNRS-UJF,*⁴ *Grenoble, France; Spanish CRG Beam-Line BM16, European Synchrotron Radiation Facility (ESRF), 6 rue Jules Horowitz, BP 220, F-38043 Grenoble, France*⁵ *; and Instituto de Biologia Molecular de Barcelona (CSIC-Parc Cientific),*

*c/Josep-Samitier 1-5, E-08028 Barcelona, Spain*⁶

Received 3 April 2008/Accepted 3 September 2008

Avian reovirus, an important avian pathogen, expresses eight structural and four nonstructural proteins. The structural σA protein is a major component of the inner capsid, clamping together λA building blocks. σA **has also been implicated in the resistance of avian reovirus to the antiviral action of interferon by strongly binding double-stranded RNA in the host cell cytoplasm and thus inhibiting activation of the double-stranded RNA-dependent protein kinase. We have solved the structure of bacterially expressed** σA **by molecular replacement and refined it using data to 2.3-Å resolution. Twelve A molecules are present in the P1 unit cell, arranged as two short double helical hexamers. A positively charged patch is apparent on the surface of** σA **on the inside of this helix and mutation of either of two key arginine residues (Arg155 and Arg273) within this patch abolishes double-stranded RNA binding. The structural data, together with gel shift assay, electron microscopy, and sedimentation velocity centrifugation results, provide evidence for cooperative binding of A to double-stranded RNA. The minimal length of double-stranded RNA required for A binding was observed to be 14 to 18 bp.**

Avian reovirus belongs to the *Orthoreovirus* genus of the *Reoviridae* family. It has been linked to various diseases in birds, which generate considerable economic losses in the poultry industry (17). The virus has a double concentric icosahedral capsid (2). The inner capsid is made up of the λA , λC , and σA proteins, which encapsulate a double-stranded RNA (dsRNA) genome consisting of 10 segments and up to 12 copies of the RNA-dependent RNA polymerase. The RNA polymerase consists of proteins λB and μA . Proteins μB , σB , and σC are components of the outer shell. The virus also encodes four nonstructural proteins (2), which are involved in cell fusion (p10), viral assembly (μ NS and σ NS), or as-yetundetermined functions (p17). Up to now, only the crystal structure of the primary cell attachment protein σC has been reported (14).

The object of our present study, the protein σA , has two functions in avian reovirus biology. On one hand, it plays a structural role, forming part of the inner capsid. In this respect it is the structural equivalent of mammalian reovirus σ 2. The structure of the mammalian reovirus inner capsid has been solved by X-ray crystallography (33) . σ 2 is present in 150 copies per core, in three different locations (σ 2-i, σ 2-ii, and σ 2-iii), acting as a stabilizing clamp on the outside of the inner capsid. The protein interacts extensively with λ 1 (the mammalian reovirus equivalent of λA) at two different binding sites (the bind-

* Corresponding author. Mailing address: Departamento de Bioquímica e Bioloxía Molecular, Facultade de Farmacia, Universidade de Santiago de Compostela, E-15782 Santiago de Compostela, Spain.
Phone and fax: 34 981 599157. E-mail: mark.vanraaij@usc.es.

ing sites on λ 1 for σ 2-ii and σ 2-iii are similar). There are only sparse contacts between σ 2 monomers and between σ 2 and the other core protein λ 2 (the equivalent of avian reovirus λ C). Cryo-electron microscopy of avian reovirions confirmed that avian reovirus contains $150 \text{ }\sigma\text{A}$ "nodules" contacting mainly λA , with minor contacts formed with the λC turrets and other σA molecules (40). σA also contacts the μB proteins of the outer capsid (equivalent to μ 1 in mammalian reovirus).

Apart from its structural role in the virus, σA has been shown to strongly bind dsRNA, and in this way it has been implicated in the virus's resistance to interferon by preventing the activation of the dsRNA-dependent protein kinase (PKR) (13, 25). This interaction would presumably take place in the host cell cytoplasm (outside the virus). Here, σA appears to play a role analogous to that of the mammalian reovirus outer capsid protein σ 3, as this protein (the structural equivalent of avian reovirus σB) also exhibits dsRNA binding activity (39), albeit not as strongly as avian reovirus $\sigma A(25)$. Here we report the crystal structure of recombinant σA and show that it selfassembles in a helical fashion. Mutational studies support the hypothesis that dsRNA may bind to the inside of this helix.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

For structural studies, the avian reovirus $S1133$ protein σA was expressed as a maltose binding protein fusion, cleaved using the protease factor Xa, purified, and crystallized as described previously (15). Data collection, processing, and scaling were performed as described in the same paper. Molecular replacement was performed using a model based on the structure of mammalian reovirus σ 2 (33). To construct the model used in molecular replacement, alignment of the σA and $\sigma 2$ sequences was performed with CLUSTALW (4); this alignment and the σ 2 structure were input into the program CHAINSAW (6) , which retains conserved amino acids but prunes nonconserved residues to the C-gamma atom.

Published ahead of print on 17 September 2008.

Molecular replacement itself was performed with MOLREP (35), using the option that adapts the search model by recalculating the temperature factor of each atom as a function of its surface area. Model adjustment was done using COOT (11) and O (18), refinement was performed with REFMAC (27), and water molecules were built using ARP (23). Model validation was performed with PROCHECK (24), WHATCHECK (36), MOLPROBITY (7), and MOLEMAN (19).

Site-directed mutagenesis was performed using the QuikChange kit (Stratagene, Cultek, Madrid, Spain), following the manufacturer's instructions. σA and mutated σA were purified as described previously (15). Gel shift assays were performed using σA at 0.6 mg/ml in TE buffer (10 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8.5, 1 mM EDTA [disodium EDTA]) and a dsRNA ladder which contains seven dsRNAs of different lengths (500, 300, 150, 80, 50, 30, and 21 bp) at 0.5 mg/ml (New England Biolabs, Izasa S.A., Barcelona, Spain). Increasing amounts of σA (0, 0.6, 1.2, 3.0, and 6.0 μ g) were incubated with 0.5 μ g of dsRNA ladder in TE buffer-150 mM sodium chloride for 10 min on ice, and then samples were analyzed by nondenaturing polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (see the legend to Fig. 3B for details). The dsRNA was stained with ethidium bromide and visualized under UV light. To determine the minimum dsRNA length that σA can bind, gel shift assays were performed using σA in phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) buffer (137 mM sodium chloride, 2.7 mM potassium chloride, 8 mM disodium hydrogen phosphate, 15 mM potassium dihydrogen phosphate, pH 7.4), supplemented with 2 mM magnesium chloride and three dsRNAs of different lengths (18, 14, and 10 bp). Experiments were performed in which increasing concentrations of σA (0, 16.0, and 38 μ M) were added to fixed concentrations of dsRNA (1.6 μ M of 18-bp dsRNA, 3.3 μ M of 14-bp dsRNA, and 3.6 μ M of 10-bp dsRNA) in a final volume of 12.2 μ l leading to σ A-to-dsRNA ratios indicated in the figures. Mixtures were incubated for 10 min at room temperature and analyzed on a 15% polyacrylamide gel in TBE buffer (89 mM Tris base, 89 mM boric acid, 2 mM EDTA, pH 8.0). The dsRNA was visualized as described above.

Sedimentation velocity analysis was carried out with a Beckman Optima XL-A analytical ultracentrifuge equipped with absorbance optics, using an An-50Ti rotor and standard 12-mm optical path double-sector centerpieces of Eponcharcoal. σA protein was prepared as described before; an RNA oligonucleotide with the sequence 5'-ACA CUG UGA UCA GCA GGC GCC AGC UGA UCA CAG UGU-3' was obtained from Nedken (Barcelona, Spain). dsRNA was prepared from this self-complementary oligonucleotide by heating and slow cooling. We used PBS buffer for all experiments, supplemented with 2 mM magnesium chloride. Samples of 0.4 ml with σA and dsRNA at different ratios were centrifuged at 40,000 rpm and 20°C. The concentration of σA in samples with excess protein was 4.9 μ M, while dsRNA was added at 0.0, 1.0, 2.0, and 3.0 μ M (duplex concentration), leading to σA -to-dsRNA ratios of 1:0.0, 1:0.21, 1:0.41, and 1:0.62, respectively. The concentration of dsRNA in samples with excess dsRNA was $1.77 \mu M$ (duplex concentration), while σA was added at 0.0, 1.71, 0.58, 0.18, and 0.058 μ M, leading to σ A-to-dsRNA ratios of 0:1.0, 1:1.0, 1:3.1, 1:9.3, and 1:31, respectively. For calculation of the concentrations, the calculated molar extinction coefficients of σA and the dsRNA based on their sequence were used $(95,590 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-1}$ at 280 nm for σA , 347,100 M⁻¹ cm⁻¹ at 260 nm for the dsRNA). Radial absorbance scans were taken at 280 nm (experiment 1) or 243 nm (experiment 2), and data were analyzed using the c(s) continuous distribution of Lamm equation solutions with the software SEDFIT (34).

Theoretical values of the sedimentation coefficient $s_{20,w}$ were estimated with the HYDROPRO program (12), using models composed of one, two, or three copies of chain G of our σA structure and a model of canonical A-form dsRNA of the sequence used. σA molecules were placed in the center of the RNA. The partial specific volume for σA (0.728 ml/g) was calculated from its amino acid composition automatically by HYDROPRO. For dsRNA a value of 0.55 ml/g was used. For the different complexes, weight-averaged values were employed, giving 0.669, 0.692, and 0.792 for the putative 1:1, 2:1, and 3:1 σ A-dsRNA complexes, respectively. As recommended in the HYDROPRO manual, values of 3.2, 2.8, and 3.0 were used for the effective atomic radii of protein, dsRNA, and protein/dsRNA samples, respectively.

For electron microscopy, σA alone at 0.05 mg/ml and mixtures of σA with dsRNA (0.05 mg/ml σA ; 1.8 μ M 36-bp dsRNA; molar ratio, 15:1) in PBS buffer plus 2 mM magnesium chloride were applied to the clean side of carbon on mica (carbon/mica interface) and negatively stained with 1% (wt/vol) sodium silicotungstate (pH 7.0). Micrographs were taken under low-dose conditions with a JEOL 120 EX II microscope at 100 kV and a nominal magnification of \times 40,000.

Figures 1A and B, 2A, and 4 were prepared using Pymol (PyMOL Molecular Graphics System, DeLano Scientific, San Carlos, CA). Figure 3A was prepared using the program CCP4 mg (31).

TABLE 1. Refinement statistics

Parameter	Value ^a
Cell parameters (a, b, c, α, β, γ)103.2 Å, 129.9 Å, 144.0 Å,	
	93.8°, 105.1°, 98.2°
No. of reflections used in refinement ^b 281,587 (32,494)	
No. of reflections used for R -free 1,385 (119)	
No. of protein/sulfate/water atoms38,161/60/3,003	
Avg B protein/sulfate/water 37.2 Å ² /60.4 Å ² /37.2 Å ²	
Ramachandran statistics ^d 91.0%/8.5%/0.4%/0.1%	

Values in parentheses are for the highest-resolution bin, where applicable. *No sigma cutoff or other restrictions were used for inclusion of observed reflections.*

 $c_R = \sum |F_{obs}(\text{hkl})| - |F_{calc}(\text{hkl})| / \sum |F_{obs}(\text{hkl})|$.
^{*d*} According to the program PROCHECK (24). The percentages are indicative of residues in the most favored, additionally allowed, generously allowed, and

 e Estimates provided by the program REFMAC (27).

Protein structure accession number. The coordinates have been deposited in the protein structure database (http://www.rcsb.org) under accession code 2VAK; the structure factors are also available.

RESULTS

Bacterial expression, purification, and crystallization of the -A protein have been described previously (15). Molecular replacement yielded a solution with 12 copies of a model based on the mammalian reovirus core protein σ 2. The σ A molecules occupy 38% of the unit cell (Matthews coefficient 3.2 [26]). The final refinement was performed without using noncrystallographic symmetry restraints; statistics are shown in Table 1. The final model contains amino acids Met1-Gln37 and Ile51- Ala416 of σ A for each of the 12 chains, 12 sulfate ions, and 3,003 water molecules. Some monomers also contain a vestige of the expression linker segment remaining after factor Xa protease cleavage (Ile-Ser-Glu-Phe-Gly-Ser-Thr), whereas in others this segment is partially or completely disordered. The conformation of the expression tail will not be further discussed here and is not included in the analyses described below.

Structure and topology of σA **. The overall topology of the** -A monomer is roughly tetrahedral in form with an approximately rectangular base (Fig. 1). The base is relatively planar, 65 to 70 Å long and 45 to 50 Å wide, and encompasses the proposed site of interaction with the main core protein λA (see below). The distance from the base to the apex, which is expected to form the interaction site with μ B in the mature virion, is around 45 Å. The 12 crystallographically independent copies in the unit cell are virtually identical (root mean square deviation [RMSD] of C-alpha atoms after superimposition of 0.2 to 0.7 Å; Fig. 1B). Each σA monomer contains 12 alphahelices, one mixed three-stranded beta-sheet, and three antiparallel two-stranded beta-sheets (Fig. 1C).

There is a gap where the protein chain is disordered in all 12 copies present in the crystal between helices 1 and 3. No interpretable electron density was observed for σA residues 38 to 50 in this region. In σ 2, the structurally equivalent amino

FIG. 1. Structure of the avian reovirus σA protein. (A) Stereo view from the top of the σA pyramid (ribbon representation). The monomer with the lowest average temperature factor, G, was used to make this figure. The amino and carboxy termini of the protein are labeled. (B) Stereo view of main chain superimposition of all 12 -A copies in the asymmetric unit of the crystal. The amino-terminal Met, carboxy-terminal Ala, and every 50th residue are labeled, as are the amino acids flanking the disordered loop (Gln37 and Ile61). (C) Topology of the σA protein chain. Alpha-helices are shown as rectangles labeled with numbers, and beta-strands are shown as arrows labeled with letters. The two structurally homologous domains 1 and 2 are shown in orange and blue, and the carboxyterminal domain 3 is shown in green. Helix 2 (in white) is not observed in our structure but is inferred from the structure of mammalian reovirus σ 2 (see text). Starting and ending residues of the secondary structure elements are labeled.

acids are 39 to 52 (Fig. 2). In the previously solved mammalian reovirus core structure, this region contains an alpha-helix in one of the σ 2 copies (residues 39 to 46) which is melted in the other two (33) . This region contacts λ 1 in the mammalian reovirus core (33), so it is conceivable that the σA alpha-helix becomes ordered only upon binding to λA . We have designated the putative equivalent helix in σA as helix 2. The fact that this loop is flexible and can adopt various conformations suggests that it may be used by the protein to adapt to the different binding environments that the λA shell presents.

The N and C termini are both oriented toward the apex of the molecule and are solvent accessible in this context (although in the virion they are likely to be buried in the interface with μ B). The first secondary structure element in the Nterminal region, beta-strand A, however, is buried in the core of the molecule. Phe-8 and Phe-9 in this strand both pack against surrounding hydrophobic and aromatic residues. Helices 1, 3, and 4 (and the putative helix 2 [see below]), together with beta-sheets B-C and A-D, form a discrete subdomain, which is packed against another subdomain with the same topology (helices 5, 6, 7, and 8 and sheets G-F and H-E). These two domains are packed against a third domain formed by helices 9, 10, and 11 and beta-strand I (which lies alongside strand E, forming the H-E-I beta-sheet motif). The apex of the pyramid is largely formed by the loop between helix 8 and strand I.

The regions of σA contacting λA and μB can be predicted from the previously published crystal structure of the mammalian reovirus core (PDB code 1EJ6 [33]), the published pseudoatomic model of the entire mammalian reovirus obtained by fitting known crystal structures into cryo-electron microscopic density (PDB code 2CSE [41]), and cryo-electron microscopic studies of avian reovirus (40). The interaction site with μ B mainly comprises residues contained within this third domain, while the proposed λA binding site is almost exclusively composed of residues from domains 1 and 2. Domains 1 and 2 present the same secondary structural elements on the surface at the λA binding site, potentially indicating domain duplication, where the two domains have evolved to interact with different facets of the λA component in the inner shell.

Structural and functional comparison with other proteins. Analysis of the whole structure, or domains 1, 2, or 3 independently, by three-dimensional secondary structure matching (20) did not reveal any close structural homologues for σA , other than mammalian reovirus σ 2, with which it shares 29% sequence identity. The overall topologies of these proteins are very similar; however, there are small differences in some surface residues, which may be related to inherent flexibility in these regions (Fig. 2A). Most of the surface residues that differ between σA and $\sigma 2$ vary in position between the 12 independent σA monomers in the unit cell (Fig. 1B and 2A). One notable difference between σA and $\sigma 2$ occurs at the carboxy terminus of helix 10 (residues 374 to 388 in the σA structure), which is markedly distorted in σA .

 d sRNA binding of σ A. With regard to d sRNA binding, σ A is functionally, though not structurally, analogous to mammalian reovirus σ 3. σ A and σ 3 both bind dsRNA and have been implicated in PKR inhibition (13, 16). As with σA , the ability of σ 3 to bind dsRNA has been linked to its capacity to inhibit dsRNA-dependent activation of PKR through competitive

..

FIG. 2. Comparison of avian reovirus σA structure with mammalian reovirus $\sigma 2$. (A) Superposition of σA onto $\sigma 2$. σA chain G was superposed on $\sigma 2$ chains D and E (PDB entry 1EJ6 [33]), leading to an overall RMSD of 1.6 Å for 390 or 392 superimposed C-alpha atoms, respectively (20). σA is shown in black, $\sigma 2$ chain D is shown in dark gray, and σ 2 chain E is shown in light gray. (B) Structure-based alignment of σA and σ 2. Secondary structure elements in σA are indicated (S for strand, H for helix) and numbered.

18 bp 14 bp 10 bp $0:1$ 10:1 24:1 0:1 4.8:1 12:1 0:1 4.5:1 11:1 1:0

 $\overline{2}$

binding. It has been proposed that σ 3 binds dsRNA as a dimer and that multiple dimers may line up along the RNA molecule with their twofold axes aligned along the RNA local dyads (30).

When the electrostatic potential is superimposed onto the surface of the σA monomer, a positively charged region, containing among other residues Arg155 and Arg273, is notable (Fig. 3A). We mutated these residues independently to alanine and examined the effects on dsRNA binding by gel shift assays (Fig. 3B). Both mutations abolished the dsRNA binding properties of σA (shown for the Arg155-to-Ala mutation), whereas mutation of Arg134, Arg135, Arg389, or Arg390 had no significant effect on dsRNA binding. Both mutant proteins were soluble, and there were no indications that either of the mutations affected the protein stability or had any structural impact. A further indication that this region may play a role in RNA binding comes from the binding of a sulfate ion at or near Gln305, which is close to Arg155 and Arg273. This sulfate is present in each of the 12 monomers in our structure and may mimic the binding of phosphate in the RNA backbone at this site.

In the gel shift assays using native σA , high-molecularweight complexes were observed that barely enter the stacking gel (Fig. 3B, middle panel). This suggests either that σA and dsRNA may form large complexes or that the resulting complex may be relatively uncharged at the pH employed here (8.0) . The latter is in keeping with a model where σA molecules (theoretical pI 8.6) cover the bulk of the surface of the dsRNA, thus masking negative charges arising from the phosphate backbone. The dsRNA-binding experiments also indicate that σA is able to bind RNA down to a minimum length of at least 21 bp (Fig. 3B, left panel). However, as dsRNA size increases, complexes form at lower σA concentrations, which suggests that the binding is cooperative.

To identify the minimal length of dsRNA bound by σA , gel shift assays were performed with 10-bp, 14-bp, and 18-bp dsRNAs (Fig. 3C). This showed that σA can bind 18-bp dsRNA and also 14-bp dsRNA, albeit less efficiently, while 10-bp dsRNA was not bound by σA . In contrast, $\sigma 3$ has been reported to require a minimum length of 32 to 45 bp for efficient RNA binding (39). We investigated whether the putative dsRNA binding site is structurally related to the dsRNA binding motif (dsRBM) identified in several other proteins (3), including PKR (28, 29). The archetypal dsRBM consists of a contiguous alpha-beta-beta-beta-alpha fold, in which the two helices are arranged on one side, packed against a threestranded antiparallel beta-sheet. The residues implicated in dsRNA interaction are located in loop 2 (between beta-strands 1 and 2) and loop 4 (between beta-strand 3 and alpha-helix 2). The dsRNA binding site of σA is somewhat similar and is made up from a discontinuous alpha-beta-beta-beta-alpha subdomain, in which helix 11 and helix 4 and/or helix 8 lie on opposite sides of a three-stranded mixed beta-sheet. The arginines implicated in dsRNA interaction are located in the loop between alpha-helix 4 and beta-strand E and in the loop between alpha-helix 8 and beta-strand H. However, these loops are not conserved and have a different connectivity in the dsRBM, suggesting that the structural similarity is superficial, and hence that there is no direct evolutionary relationship between the σA dsRNA-binding region and the dsRBM.

Interactions between σA molecules in the crystal. σA is monomeric in salt solutions as judged by size-exclusion chromatography (not shown), sedimentation velocity ultracentrifugation, and electron microscopy (see below), which indicated an absence of higher-order aggregates. However, at 4°C, and in the absence of salt, σA precipitates reversibly, indicating that the protein has a tendency to form higher-order aggregates.

In the crystal structure, $12 \sigma A$ molecules pack together into what at first view appears to be a double-helical assembly (Fig. 4A). When the interactions are analyzed on the basis of buried surface area using the PISA server (21), three types of interactions with potential biological relevance are observed. We have divided these possible interactions into three classes, the "tail-to-tail," "head-to-tail," and "head-to-head" interactions. The tail consists of residues from the N-terminal loop, strand A, strand D, the loop to helix 4, the loop between strands G and H, and the loop between helix 8 and strand I, while the "head" consists of amino acids from the loop between putative helix 2 and helix 3, from helix 10, and the loop between helices 10 and 11. The tail-to-tail interaction has a buried surface of 690 \AA^2 , a solvation energy gain of 12.4 kcal/mol, three hydrogen bonds, and a *P* value of 0.033; the head-to-tail interaction has a buried surface of 467 \AA^2 , a solvation energy gain of 7.4 kcal/mol, six hydrogen bonds, and a *P* value of 0.054, while the head-to-head interaction buries a surface of 373 Å^2 and has a solvation energy gain of 4.7 kcal/mol, two hydrogen bonds, and a calculated *P* value of 0.26. None of the interactions observed among σA molecules in our crystal structure are similar to the previously observed interactions among σ 2 molecules in the mammalian reovirus core.

The head-to-tail interaction is the only one involving all 12 -A molecules of the asymmetric unit. It joins the 12 molecules

FIG. 3. dsRNA binding of the σA protein. (A) Electrostatic surface of σA . On the left the orientation is as in Fig. 1A; on the right the view has been rotated 180° around a vertical axis centered on the apex. Positively charged residues on the molecular surface are labeled. The location of Gln305 is also shown, whereas Arg38 is shown in parentheses, as it is barely surface exposed. (B) dsRNA gel shift assays. The assays were performed using σA at 0.6 mg/ml in TE buffer (10 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8.5, 1 mM EDTA) and a dsRNA ladder which contains seven types of dsRNA of different lengths (500, 300, 150, 80, 50, 30, and 21 bp) at 0.5 mg/ml. Increasing amounts of σA (0, 0.6, 1.2, 3.0, and 6.0 μ g) were incubated with 0.5 µg of dsRNA ladder in TE buffer-150 mM NaCl for 10 min on ice. Then, samples were analyzed by nondenaturing gel electrophoresis. (Left) A 10% polyacrylamide gel in TBE buffer was used. (Middle and right) Discontinuous gels as described by Laemmli (22) were used, with a 10% polyacrylamide separating gel and omission of sodium dodecyl sulfate from the sample, the gel, and the running buffer (13). Nonmutated σA protein was used in the left and middle panels. The right panel shows a gel shift assay performed with the Arg155-to-Ala mutant. Identical results were obtained with the Arg273-to-Ala mutant (data not shown). An arrow in the middle panel indicates the high-molecular-weight complexes observed. (C) Binding of σA to small dsRNA fragments as observed by gel shift assay. In the first three lanes an 18-bp dsRNA was used, in the next three lanes a 14-bp dsRNA was used, and in lanes 7 to 9 a 10-bp dsRNA was used. In lane 10 σA alone was loaded. σA/dsRNA ratios are indicated above the lanes.

FIG. 4. Assembly of σA molecules in the crystal and model of dsRNA binding. (A) Stereogram of the dodecameric assembly of σA in the crystallographic asymmetric unit. Monomers are shown in cartoon format and colored differently (two yellow, two orange, two red, two green, two blue, one magenta, and one cyan). (B) Model of a σA trimer interacting with a 36-bp canonical A-form dsRNA (yellow). Residues implicated in RNA binding, Arg155 and Arg273, are shown in red; Gln305 is shown in magenta.

into four trimers. Each trimer is related to its corresponding opposite in a double-helical hexamer by a rotation of about 180°. The fit between trimers is very good (RMSD after superposition of 0.4 Å), suggesting that the trimers act as a rigid body. The monomers of the trimer are related by a rotation of approximately 50°, around an axis running through the center of the double helix, which explains the previously reported peak in the self-rotation function at a chi angle of 50° (15). Interestingly, we note that canonical A-form dsRNA also has a helical rotation of around 50°.

The double-helical hexamer is related to the other hexamer in the asymmetric unit by a rotation of around 180°. In this case, the fit between the two hexamers is not so good (RMSD after superposition of 1.6 Å). It is tempting to propose the trimer as a biological unit, arranged around dsRNA as a singlestranded or double-stranded assembly. These models could explain the cooperative binding of σA to dsRNA as well as the large complexes observed in the gel shift assays (Fig. 3B, middle panel) (38). The previously discussed positively charged patch maps to the inside of the helix (Fig. 4B). The width of the cavity inside the double-helical σA assembly varies from 11 to 35 Å, with the cross section being at least 20 Å wide in one dimension, while the diameter of A-form dsRNA is about 10 by 20 Å in cross section. In other words, A-form dsRNA would probably fit, although some minor structural adjustments in the d sRNA or in the σ A assembly may be necessary.

The distance between Arg155 and Arg273 in the same molecule is 8.5 Å, while the distances to their counterparts in the next molecule of the trimer are 39 to 40 Å. In a model constructed with canonical A-form RNA and the crystallographic trimer, one arginine side chain could bind a phosphate on one side of the minor groove and the other could bind a phosphate on the other side of the minor groove (distances between phosphates, 10 Å). The next molecule could make the same interaction with phosphates 13 bp further along the RNA chain (distance between phosphates, 38 Å) (Fig. 4B). A structural analysis of the σA -dsRNA complex will be needed to confirm this hypothesis. Mutation of residues involved at the putative protein-protein interface might also be attempted. However, inspection of this interface did not suggest any obvious target residues that, when mutated, would significantly affect proteinprotein binding, without having a potential serious structural impact.

Stoichiometry of σA binding to dsRNA. To obtain independent evidence for our dsRNA binding model and in an attempt to discriminate between our putative single and double σA helices, we performed sedimentation velocity centrifugation $experiments on σA -dsRNA complexes and transmission elec$ tron microscopy on negatively stained σA -dsRNA samples.

In sedimentation velocity centrifugation experiments, a single peak was obtained in control experiments containing σA or dsRNA alone, indicating that both are monodisperse. The estimated molecular masses were those expected for σA monomers and dsRNA duplexes (Table 2). When excess protein was mixed with different amounts of dsRNA (experiment

TABLE 2. Sedimentation velocity centrifugation results and analysis of $\sigma A/36$ -bp dsRNA mixtures

Component or complex	$s_{20,w}$ (10 ⁻¹³ s) (%)										
	Calculated	Expt 1 (excess σA)				Expt 2 (excess dsRNA)					
		σA	36 -mer	Mixture			36 -mer	Mixture			
			dsRNA	1/0.21	1/0.41	1/0.62	dsRNA	1/1.0	1/3.1	1/9.3	1/31
σA dsRNA	3.9 3.4	3.6(100) NO	NO ^a 3.4(100)	3.6(71) NO	3.7(57) NO	3.5(35) NO	NO 3.6(100)	NO. 3.2(62)	NO. 3.2(75)	NO 3.2(77)	NO. 3.2(75)
Complex 1:1 2:1 3:1	5.6 7.3 8.8	N _O N _O NO	NO NO NO	5.8(7) 7.6(9) 9.0(12)	6.0(11) 8.0(12) 9.4(13)	5.4(16) 7.4(18) 8.8(25)	N _O N _O NO	5.2(21) 6.9(15) NO.	4.4(19) 5.7(6) NO	4.3(23) NO NO	4.2(25) NO NO.

^a NO, not observed.

FIG. 5. Transmission electron microscopy of σA -dsRNA mixtures. $(A \text{ and } B)$ σA alone, which appears monomeric. Particles with approximate sizes of monomeric σA are shown by circles. (C to F) Putative -A-dsRNA complexes, indicated by arrows. Bar, 20 nm.

1), four reproducible peaks were obtained. Sedimentation coefficients obtained for these peaks are compatible with monomeric σA and three different complexes of $\sigma A/dsRNA$ with stoichiometries of 1:1, 2:1, and 3:1 (Table 2). In experiment 2 (Table 2), excess dsRNA was added to protein. Again, dsRNA of 36 bp gave a monodisperse peak, while in the mixtures two or three peaks were observed, of which one clearly corresponds to uncomplexed dsRNA. The complex peaks have smaller sedimentation coefficients than expected for 1:1 and 2:1 -A/dsRNA complexes, indicating that they are probably in equilibrium with free dsRNA and $1:1 \sigma A/dsRNA$ complex, respectively. Taken together, the centrifugation experiments suggest a maximum of three σA molecules binding to a 36-bp dsRNA (Fig. 4B), which would be compatible with a single helix of σA molecules covering dsRNA.

Electron micrographs (Fig. 5) also show multiple σA molecules binding to dsRNA (Fig. 5C to F), while σA alone appears monomeric (Fig. 5A and B). The width of the observed complexes varies from 54 to 98 Å and does not permit distinction between a single helix (expected diameter, 60 Å) or double helices (expected diameter, 100 \AA) of σA binding to the dsRNA. In fact, binding may be plastic, with regions of dsRNA covered by single-helical σA and others covered by doublehelical σA , depending on the local dsRNA structure. Curiously, the structures formed are clearly longer than the 36-bp dsRNA used, suggesting that multiple dsRNA duplexes cov-

ered by σA line up, presumably via σA - σA interactions. Future structural studies by cryo-electron microscopy and/or cocrystallization studies will hopefully shed more light on the non-sequence-specific, cooperative mode of dsRNA binding by RNA.

DISCUSSION

Orthoreoviruses can be functionally classified into two subgroups, fusogenic and nonfusogenic, based on their ability to induce cell-cell fusion and form syncytia. The archetypal mammalian orthoreoviruses are nonfusogenic, although they do form specific pores in the membrane, proposed to be part of the membrane penetration pathway during cell entry (1). The remaining members of the genus, including avian orthoreoviruses (2), reptilian orthoreoviruses (10), baboon orthoreoviruses (8), and other mammalian orthoreoviruses like Nelson Bay reovirus (9) and Pulau reovirus (32), are fusogenic. A series of diseases have been attributed to orthoreovirus infection in animals, and recently it has been reported that Melaka reovirus of bat origin, another fusogenic mammalian reovirus, is associated with an acute respiratory disease in humans (5). However, infections with nonfusogenic orthoreoviruses are generally benign, with very rare cases of mild upper respiratory tract illness or enteritis occurring in infants and children.

Sequence analysis and RNA binding assays carried out on the major outer capsid protein, σB (and equivalents) from fusogenic reoviruses, have revealed that this protein, in contrast to its nonfusogenic counterpart σ 3, does not bind dsRNA (9, 37, 38). As PKR downregulation in avian reovirus S1133 is caused by σA (13), we analyzed the sequence of the minor inner capsid proteins of fusogenic and nonfusogenic reoviruses to see if the residues implicated in dsRNA binding are conserved among them. This analysis revealed that Arg273 is invariant among fusogenic reoviruses (both avian and mammalian), whereas in nonfusogenic reoviruses it is replaced by Thr. Arg155 is invariant among avian reoviruses but is replaced by Gln in mammalian fusogenic reoviruses and by Gly in mammalian nonfusogenic reovirus. This pattern of conservation suggests that the fusogenic counterparts of the σA protein retain their ability to bind dsRNA (and by extension the potential to downregulate PKR). In nonfusogenic reoviruses, the σ 2 proteins, which are structural homologues of σ A, do not appear to bind dsRNA. Among this viral subclass RNA binding is linked to the outer capsid protein σ 3. These differences in function may profoundly influence host cell interactions and could be related to and/or have evolved together with the syncytial mode of propagation of the fusogenic viruses and are thus intimately tied to their pathogenicity.

In conclusion, the structure, gel shift, sedimentation velocity, and electron microscopy data presented here suggest a cooperative mode of σA binding to dsRNA, which may be of general relevance to all fusogenic reoviruses, thereby contributing to a better understanding of their biology. This improved understanding may in turn lead to better strategies to combat these viruses.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This research was sponsored by research grants BFU2005-02974 and BFU2005-24982-E (awarded to M.J.V.) and by a predoctoral FPU

fellowship to P.G.-C., all from the Spanish Ministry of Education and Science, and by research grant PGIDIT04BTF203003PR from the Xunta de Galicia (awarded to J.M.-C.). As part of the European Science Foundation EUROCORES Programme EuroSCOPE, the work was also supported by funds from the European Commission under contract ERAS-CT-2003-980409.

We thank Carlos Alfonso and Germán Rivas of the CSIC (Centro de Investigaciones Biológicas, Madrid, Spain) for help with the analytical ultracentrifugation experiments and Patricia Ferraces Casais and Rebeca Menaya Vargas for technical assistance.

REFERENCES

- 1. **Agosto, M. A., T. Ivanovic, and M. L. Nibert.** 2006. Mammalian reovirus, a nonfusogenic nonenveloped virus, forms size-selective pores in a model membrane. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA **103:**16496–16501.
- 2. **Benavente, J., and J. Martinez-Costas.** 2007. Avian reovirus: structure and biology. Virus Res. **123:**105–119.
- 3. **Chang, K. Y., and A. Ramos.** 2005. The double-stranded RNA-binding motif, a versatile macromolecular docking platform. FEBS J. **272:**2109–2117.
- 4. **Chenna, R., H. Sugawara, T. Koike, R. Lopez, T. J. Gibson, D. G. Higgins, and J. D. Thompson.** 2003. Multiple sequence alignment with the Clustal series of programs. Nucleic Acids Res. **31:**3497–3500.
- 5. **Chua, K. B., G. Crameri, A. Hyatt, M. Yu, M. R. Tompang, J. Rosli, J. McEachern, S. Crameri, V. Kumarasamy, B. T. Eaton, and L. F. Wang.** 2007. A previously unknown reovirus of bat origin is associated with an acute respiratory disease in humans. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA **104:**11424–11429.
- 6. **Collaborative Computational Project Number 4.** 1994. The CCP4 suite: programs for protein crystallography. Acta Crystallogr. D **50:**760–763.
- 7. **Davis, I. W., A. Leaver-Fay, V. B. Chen, J. N. Block, G. J. Kapral, X. Wang, L. W. Murray, W. B. Arendall III, J. Snoeyink, J. S. Richardson, and D. C. Richardson.** 2007. MolProbity: all-atom contacts and structure validation for proteins and nucleic acids. Nucleic Acids Res. **35:**W375–W383.
- 8. **Dawe, S., and R. Duncan.** 2002. The S4 genome segment of baboon reovirus is bicistronic and encodes a novel fusion-associated small transmembrane protein. J. Virol. **76:**2131–2140.
- 9. **Duncan, R.** 1999. Extensive sequence divergence and phylogenetic relationships between the fusogenic and nonfusogenic orthoreoviruses: a species proposal. Virology **260:**316–328.
- 10. **Duncan, R., J. Corcoran, J. Shou, and D. Stoltz.** 2004. Reptilian reovirus: a new fusogenic orthoreovirus species. Virology **319:**131–140.
- 11. **Emsley, P., and K. Cowtan.** 2004. Model building tools for molecular graphics. Acta Crystallogr. D **60:**2126–2132.
- 12. **Garcia de la Torre, J., M. L. Huertas, and B. B. Carrasco.** 2000. Calculation of hydrodynamic properties of globular proteins from their atomic-level structure. Biophys. J. **78:**719–730.
- 13. **Gonzalez-Lopez, C., J. Martinez-Costas, M. Esteban, and J. Benavente.** 2003. Evidence that avian reovirus sigmaA protein is an inhibitor of the double-stranded RNA-dependent protein kinase. J. Gen. Virol. **84:**1629– 1639.
- 14. **Guardado-Calvo, P., G. C. Fox, X. L. Hermo-Parrado, A. L. Llamas-Saiz, C. Costas, J. Martinez-Costas, J. Benavente, and M. J. van Raaij.** 2005. Structure of the carboxy-terminal receptor-binding domain of avian reovirus fibre sigmaC. J. Mol. Biol. **354:**137–149.
- 15. **Hermo-Parrado, X. L., P. Guardado-Calvo, A. L. Llamas-Saiz, G. C. Fox, L. Vazquez-Iglesias, J. Martinez-Costas, J. Benavente, and M. J. van Raaij.** 2007. Crystallization of the avian reovirus double-stranded RNA-binding and core protein sigmaA. Acta Crystallogr. F **63:**426–429.
- 16. **Imani, F., and B. L. Jacobs.** 1988. Inhibitory activity for the interferoninduced protein kinase is associated with the reovirus serotype 1 sigma 3 protein. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA **85:**7887–7891.
- 17. **Jones, R. C.** 2000. Avian reovirus infections. Rev. Sci. Technol. **19:**614–625.
- 18. **Jones, T. A., J. Y. Zou, S. W. Cowan, and M. Kjeldgaard.** 1991. Improved methods for building protein models in electron density maps and the location of errors in these models. Acta Crystallogr. A **47:**110–119.
- 19. **Kleywegt, G. J., J. Y. Zou, M. Kjeldgaard, and T. A. Jones.** 2001. Around O,

p. 353–356 and 366–367. *In* M. G. Rossmann and E. Arnold (ed.), International tables for crystallography, vol. F. Crystallography of biological macromolecules. Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, The Netherlands.

- 20. **Krissinel, E., and K. Henrick.** 2004. Secondary-structure matching (SSM), a new tool for fast protein structure alignment in three dimensions. Acta Crystallogr. D **60:**2256–2268.
- 21. **Krissinel, E., and K. Henrick.** 2007. Inference of macromolecular assemblies from crystalline state. J. Mol. Biol. **372:**774–797.
- 22. **Laemmli, U. K.** 1970. Cleavage of structural proteins during the assembly of the head of bacteriophage T4. Nature **227:**680–685.
- 23. **Lamzin, V. S., and K. S. Wilson.** 1993. Automated refinement of protein models. Acta Crystallogr. D **49:**129–149.
- 24. **Laskowski, R. A., M. W. MacArthur, D. S. Moss, and J. M. Thornton.** 1993. PROCHECK—a program to check the stereochemical quality of protein structures. J. Appl. Crystallogr. **26:**283–291.
- 25. **Martinez-Costas, J., C. Gonzalez-Lopez, V. N. Vakharia, and J. Benavente.** 2000. Possible involvement of the double-stranded RNA-binding core protein σA in the resistance of avian reovirus to interferon. J. Virol. **74:**1124–1131.
- 26. **Matthews, B. W.** 1968. Solvent content of protein crystals. J. Mol. Biol. **33:**491–497.
- 27. **Murshudov, G. N., A. A. Vagin, and E. J. Dodson.** 1997. Refinement of macromolecular structures by the maximum-likelihood method. Acta Crystallogr. D **53:**240–255.
- 28. **Nanduri, S., B. W. Carpick, Y. Yang, B. R. Williams, and J. Qin.** 1998. Structure of the double-stranded RNA-binding domain of the protein kinase PKR reveals the molecular basis of its dsRNA-mediated activation. EMBO J. **17:**5458–5465.
- 29. **Nanduri, S., F. Rahman, B. R. Williams, and J. Qin.** 2000. A dynamically tuned double-stranded RNA binding mechanism for the activation of antiviral kinase PKR. EMBO J. **19:**5567–5574.
- 30. **Olland, A. M., J. Jane´-Valbuena, L. A. Schiff, M. L. Nibert, and S. C. Harrison.** 2001. Structure of the reovirus outer capsid and dsRNA-binding protein sigma3 at 1.8 Å resolution. EMBO J. **20:**979–989.
- 31. **Potterton, L., S. McNicholas, E. Krissinel, J. Gruber, K. Cowtan, P. Emsley, G. N. Murshudov, S. Cohen, A. Perrakis, and M. Noble.** 2004. Developments in the CCP4 molecular-graphics project. Acta Crystallogr. D **60:**2288–2294.
- 32. **Pritchard, L. I., K. B. Chua, D. Cummins, A. Hyatt, G. Crameri, B. T. Eaton, and L. F. Wang.** 2006. Pulau virus; a new member of the Nelson Bay orthoreovirus species isolated from fruit bats in Malaysia. Arch. Virol. **151:** 229–239.
- 33. **Reinisch, K. M., M. L. Nibert, and S. C. Harrison.** 2000. Structure of the reovirus core at 3.6 Å resolution. Nature **404:**960–967.
- 34. **Schuck, P.** 2000. Size distribution analysis of macromolecules by sedimentation velocity ultracentrifugation and Lamm equation modeling. Biophys. J. **78:**1606–1619.
- 35. **Vagin, A., and A. Teplyakov.** 2000. An approach to multi-copy search in molecular replacement. Acta Crystallogr. D **56:**1622–1624.
- 36. **Vriend, G.** 1990. WHAT IF: a molecular modeling and drug design program. J. Mol. Graph. **8:**52–56.
- 37. **Wang, Q., J. Bergeron, T. Mabrouk, and G. Lemay.** 1996. Site-directed mutagenesis of the double-stranded RNA binding domain of bacteriallyexpressed sigma 3 reovirus protein. Virus Res. **41:**141–151.
- 38. **Yin, H. S., J. H. Shien, and L. H. Lee.** 2000. Synthesis in Escherichia coli of avian reovirus core protein varsigmaA and its dsRNA-binding activity. Virology **266:**33–41.
- 39. **Yue, Z., and A. J. Shatkin.** 1997. Double-stranded RNA-dependent protein kinase (PKR) is regulated by reovirus structural proteins. Virology **234:**364–371.
- 40. **Zhang, X., J. Tang, S. B. Walker, D. O'Hara, M. L. Nibert, R. Duncan, and T. S. Baker.** 2005. Structure of avian orthoreovirus virion by electron cryomicroscopy and image reconstruction. Virology **343:**25–35.
- 41. **Zhang, X., Y. Ji, L. Zhang, S. C. Harrison, D. C. Marinescu, M. L. Nibert, and T. S. Baker.** 2005. Features of reovirus outer capsid protein mu1 revealed by electron cryomicroscopy and image reconstruction of the virion at 7.0-Ångstrom resolution. Structure **13:**1545–1557.