

## NIH Public Access

Author Manuscript

*Med Vet Entomol.* Author manuscript; available in PMC 2008 October 28.

Published in final edited form as: *Med Vet Entomol.* 1999 October ; 13(4): 377–385.

# Genetic differentiation of some *Glossina morsitans morsitans* populations

D.L. WOHLFORD, E.S. KRAFSUR, N.T. GRIFFITHS<sup>\*</sup>, J.G. MARQUEZ, and M.D. BAKER

Department of Entomology, Iowa State University, U.S.A

\*Imperial College at Silwood Park, Ascot, U.K

### Abstract

To study the population structure of *Glossina morsitans morsitans* Westwood (Diptera: Glossinidae), polymerase chain reaction (PCR) and single-strand conformational polymorphism (SSCP) methods were used to estimate mitochondrial DNA diversity at four loci in six natural populations from Zambia, Zimbabwe and Mozambique, and in two laboratory cultures. The Zambian and Zimbabwean samples were from a single fly belt. Four alleles were recorded at *12S* and *16S1*, and five alleles at *16S2* and *COI* Nucleotide sequencing confirmed their singularities. Chi-square contingency tests showed that allele frequencies differed significantly among populations. Mean allele diversities in populations averaged over loci varied from 0.14 to 0.61. Little loss in haplotype diversity was detected in the laboratory cultures thereby indicating little inbreeding. Wright's fixation index  $F_{ST}$  in the natural populations was  $0.088 \pm 0.016$ , the correlation of haplotypes within populations relative to correlations in the total. A function of its inverse allows an estimate of the mean equivalent number of females exchanged per population per generation, 5.2. No correlation was detected between pairwise genetic distance measures and geographical distances. Drift explains the high degree of differentiation.

### Keywords

Glossina morsitans; mitochondrial variation; population genetics; tsetse flies

### Introduction

Tsetse flies (Diptera: Glossinidae) have low reproductive rates, low population densities and discontinuous distributions (Ford, 1971; Rogers & Randolph, 1985). The foregoing suggest that tsetse populations may show pronounced degrees of genetic differentiation as a consequence of drift at selectively neutral loci. Interpopulation variation in tsetse flies was reviewed by Gooding (1992). Most variation, evaluated by allozymes and trypanosome susceptibilities, was between independently derived laboratory cultures and few natural populations were studied directly. Examination of 11 natural *Glossina pallidipes* Austen populations from Kenya, Zambia, Zimbabwe and Mozambique, however, showed remarkably high levels of genetic differentiation at allozyme loci (Krafsur *et al.*, 1997).

*Glossina morsitans* is the most widespread tsetse. Three allopatric subspecies are known. This report is concerned only with *G morsitans morsitans* Westwood. In the late 19th century, a rinderpest pandemic all but eliminated morsitans' mammalian hosts in much of southern Africa

Correspondence: Professor E. S. Krafsur, Entomology, Iowa State University, Ames, IA 50011-3222, U.S.A. E-mail: ekrafsur@iastate.edu.

and the flies were confined to small refugia in the Zambezi river valley from which they have subsequently spread (Ford, 1971). The range of G. m. morsitans now encompasses foci in Malawi, Mozambique, Tanzania, Zambia and Zimbabwe. Four allopa-tric belts are described in Ford (1971) and Jordan (1993). We have samples from the westernmost, in Zambia and Zimbabwe, and a sample from Changara, Mozambique, in the southern belt. The objective of the research was to study gene flow in G. m. morsitans. If populations are small and discontinuous, drift will cause gene frequencies to diverge. Differing selection regimes could also cause genetic differentiation. However, the forces of drift and selection are compensated by migration and so it is appropriate to ask, what levels of exchange obtain among the sampled populations? In other words, how much dispersal has there been among populations? A survey of allozyme variation in G. m. morsitans showed only 20x of the loci were polymorphic, and the mean heterozygosity was only 6.6%, a rather low value for insects (Krafsur & Griffiths, 1997). The method chosen to evaluate gene flow was to measure and partition mitochondrial DNA (mtDNA) variation within and among populations. mtDNA is useful in population analysis because it is single copy, non-recombining and maternally inherited. Typically, among-population variation is greater than within-population variation (Avise, 1994).

Inbreeding and selection may occur when establishing and maintaining insect cultures, therefore the biological representativeness of such cultures of field populations can legitimately be questioned. Inbreeding is accompanied by a loss in genetic diversity that is proportional to the number of founders, the number of generations elapsed and the harmonic mean population size. Thus, examination of diversity in cultured tsetse can provide an index of inbreeding. Selection may be inferred if haplotype frequencies deviate significantly from those expected in equilibrium populations under the infinite allele model (Chakraborty, 1990).

To detect mtDNA variation, the polymerase chain reaction (PCR) was used to amplify conserved mitochondrial loci, and the single-strand conformational polymorphism (SSCP) technique was used to reveal polymorphisms. The conserved DNA primers are given in Simon *et al.* (1994). SSCP can detect a single base difference in a 100–300-bp DNA segment with 99% accuracy and in a 300–450 base segment with 89 $\chi$  accuracy (Hiss *et al.*, 1994). The sensitivity of detecting mutations varies with conditions in complex ways and efficiency may be reduced to 65–75% for sequences 200–400bp (Bailey, 1995; Cotton, 1996). Thus, high detectabilities are unlikely to obtain under only one or two sets of experimental conditions that would allow the processing of large samples and multiple loci.

### **Materials and Methods**

### Sampling

Glossina m. morsitans were collected by using Epsilon F3 cloth traps in Kakumbi, on the River Luangwa in the South Luangwa National Park, Zambia; Mana Pools, Mana Angwa, Rekomitjie and Makuti, all in northern Zimbabwe; and in Changara, west central Mozambique. The traps had pthalogen blue exteriors and black interiors and were baited with acetone, phenol and octenol (Torr *et al.*, 1989). Mana Pools, Rekomitjie, and Makuti are about 30km apart in a 60-km transect. Mana Angwa lies  $\approx 92$  km to the east of the transect. Mana Pools, Mana Angwa and Rekomitjie are on the River Zambezi or its tributaries. Game aggregate in the dry season at these sites. Makuti is on an escarpment at *c*. 1000 m. Changara was about 400km east of Mana Pools. Sampling locations are indicated in Fig. 1. The flies were killed, frozen in liquid nitrogen and shipped to Ames. In addition, we examined laboratory cultures maintained at the International Centre for Insect Physiology and Ecology and the Kenya Trypanosomiasis Research Institute. Their origins are confounded and histories unclear because the cultures have been supplemented at various times with pupae from the field and from other lab cultures.

### **DNA** extraction

A CTAB (hexadecyltrimethylammonium bromide) extraction method was used in which each fly was placed in a 1.5-ml microcentrifuge tube, homogenized in 200  $\mu$ l of high salt trisethylenediaminetetraacetate (TE), 200  $\mu$ l of 2×CTAB, and 10 $\mu$ l of Triton-X. Next, 20  $\mu$ l of pronase was added and the samples were placed at 37°C for 2–16 h. The supernatant was then removed to a clean tube and extracted with an equal volume of 24: 1 chloroform: isoamyl alcohol. The supernatant was then removed to a fresh tube, precipitated with 70% isopropanol and rinsed with 70% ethanol. The DNA was rehydrated in water or low salt TE.

### Primers and polymerase chain reaction amplification

We examined variation at cytochrome oxidase subunit I, 12S ribosomal RNA, and 16S ribosomal RNA (Table 1). The primers are reviewed in Simon *et al.* (1994) and were purchased from the University of British Columbia Biotechnology Laboratory whose designations are given in Table 1. PCR reactions consisted of  $10 \times$  PCR buffer, 0.4 m<sub>M</sub> dNTP, 1.5 m<sub>M</sub> MgCl<sub>2</sub>, 4 µg BSA, 0.25 µ<sub>M</sub> each of forward and reverse primers, 0.5–1 µl of template DNA, and 0.5 µl *Taq* DNA polymerase for a final volume of 10 µl. Amplifications were performed in a PTC-100 programmable thermal cycler (MJ Research).

### Acrylamide gel electrophoresis and single-strand conformational polymorphism

We used methods of Black & DuTeau (1996). After the PCR reactions were completed, 6  $\mu$ l of loading buffer was added to the reaction mixture. The buffer consisted of 10 m<sub>M</sub> NaOH, 95% formamide, 0.05% bromophenol blue, and 0.05% xylene cyanol. The processed samples were then heated to 95°C for 4 min, cooled on ice to 0°C, and then immediately loaded on a native polyacrylamide gel.

The electrophoretic separations were performed by using Hoefer vertical slab gels. Two concentrations of acrylamide were used to resolve the samples. For *12S* and *16S1*, 8% acrylamide in a 1: 29 bis-acrylamide: acrylamide ratio was used. For *COI* and *16S2*, 9% acrylamide in a 1:19 bis-acrylamide:acrylamide ratio was used. All gels contained 5% glycerol and  $1 \times$  tris-borate-ethylenediaminetetraacetate (TBE). Gels were run at 20°C (8% gels) or 2° C (9% gels) at 250 V for 16 h at which time the xylene cyanol migrated about 13 cm. The lane markers were phiX174 DNA/*Hinf* I (Promega G1751).

To achieve consistency in identifying each haplotype from gel to gel, the distance from the origin of each band was measured and recorded together with distances migrated by lane markers. In addition, haplotype standards were run on each gel.

### Silver staining of DNA

The gels were fixed in 250 ml of 10% glacial acetic acid solution for at least 20 min. After fixation the gels were rinsed with double glass-distilled water three times, followed by 30min in 0.15% (w/v) silver nitrate and 0.15% (v/v) 37% formaldehyde. Gels were again rinsed in double-distilled water three times, followed by development in chilled 3% Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> solution and 0.15% (v/v) 37% formaldehyde. After the appropriate degree of staining was reached, fixative solution was added to stop the reaction.

Phenotypes on gels were then scored and photographed by using a digital camera (BioVideo-500, Bioimaging Technologies, Brookfield, WI) connected to a Macintosh 6300 computer using the public domain NIH Image program (developed at the U.S. National Institutes of Health and available on the Internet at http://rsb.info.nih.gov/nih-image/).

### Sequencing

The hypothesis was tested that putative alleles inferred from patterns on acrylamide gels corresponded to unique nucleotide sequences. DNA from flies showing the same allele at a locus was amplified in 30µl PCR reactions. Product concentrations of 5ng per 100 bp were submitted to the Iowa State DNA Sequencing and Synthesis Facility and sequenced by using the Sanger automated dideoxy method. Reactions were run by using the Applied Biosystems Prism Big Dye terminator cycle sequencing kit with AmpliTaq DNA polymerase FS, and electrophoresed on an Applied Biosystems Prism 377 DNA sequencer. Each PCR fragment was sequenced in both directions by using the SSCP primers, and chromatograms were assembled and conflicting base calls were corrected by using the program Auto Assembler 1.4.0. Four alleles at 16S1 and five at 16S2 were sequenced (Table 2). Variation between sequences was identified by using SeqApp 1.9 (Gilbert, 1992). No gaps were detected in the sequences. Of 876 nucleotides sequenced over the two loci, 43 (4.9%) were variable. We have sequenced a sum of forty gel phenotypes (presumptive alleles) from *morsitans* group flies. We found two of these gel phenotypes to have homologous nucleotide sequences, an error rate of 5%. Five putative alleles were found each to have two different sequences and one putative allele showed, on sequencing three flies, three different sequences. Thus, our SSCP gels underestimate nucleotide diversity.

### Data analysis

Chi-square contingency tests were used to test for homogeneity of allele frequencies among populations. Chakraborty's (1990) neutrality test was used to test the hypothesis that haplotypes were selectively neutral. The calculations were made with Arlequin version 1.1 software (Schneider *et al*, 1997). Wright's (1978) fixation index  $F_{ST}$ , or the standardized variance in allele frequencies among populations, is the actual variance divided by the total limiting variance thus:  $F_{ST} = \Sigma^k \sigma_x^2 / \Sigma^k [(x_T)(1 - x_T)]$ , where  $\sigma^{x2} = \Sigma(x - x_k)^2 / s$ . Here *x* is the frequency of allele  $x_k$  and  $x_T$  is the frequency over *s* populations. The foregoing statistics were calculated by using Biosys-1 (Swofford & Selander, 1981). An analogous measure of  $F_{ST}$  was provided by  $\theta$  (the 'coancestry coefficient' of Weir & Cockerham, 1984) and it allows a jackknife estimate of its variance.  $\theta$ , like  $F_{ST}$ , is the correlation of two random haplotypes in subpopulations relative to the total population.  $\theta$  was estimated at three hierarchical levels, populations within regions, regions within the total, and populations relative to the total. Analysis of variance according to the methods of Weir (1996) and Excoffier *et al.* (1992) were used on the combined data set by using Arlequin (Schneider *et al.*, 1997). Pairwise  $\theta$  also were calculated by using Arlequin.

The unbiased estimate of genetic diversity at a locus is given by  $h_e = n(1 - \sum x_k^2)/(n-1)$ , where n is the number of individuals (Nei, 1987). This is the probability that two randomly chosen individuals in the sampled population are different in their mtDNA. Gene identity between populations was calculated according to the relationship,  $J_{ij} = \sum x_i x_j$ , for each pairwise combination of populations i and j. Mean diversity between populations is  $H_{ij} = 1 - J_{ij}$ .  $H_{ij}$  has two elements, within-population variation  $H_S$  and between-population variation  $D_{ij}$  where  $D_{ij} = H_{ij} - H_S$  and  $H_S = (h_{ei} + h_{ej}/2)$ . The total diversity  $H_T = H_S + D_{ST}$ .  $D_{ST}$  estimates the mean diversity over all populations thus:  $D_{ST} = \sum D_{ij}/s^2$ .

The absolute degree of gene differentiation is estimated by  $D_{\rm m} = sD_{\rm st}/(s-1)$  and is independent of gene diversity within populations. It measures the average minimum genetic distance between populations. The relative magnitude of gene differentiation among populations is  $G_{\rm ST} = D_{\rm m}/H_{\rm T}$  and is also unbiased by population diversity and size.  $G_{\rm ST}$  is analogous to Wright's  $F_{\rm ST}$ . It is, however, constructed in terms of ratios of heterozygosities (which do not obtain at mitochondrial loci), while Wright's and Weir's measures are cast in terms of expectations and probabilities. Nei's statistics were computed by using a spreadsheet.

Estimates based on the foregoing models allow estimates of the average amount of gene flow among populations by using Wright's (1951) island model of population structure. The mean number of migrant females exchanged among popula-tions per generation Nm can be obtained from the relationship,  $G_{\text{ST}}$  or  $F_{\text{ST}} \approx (2Nm + 1)^{-1}$  rearrangement of which leads to  $Nm \approx (1 - F_{\text{ST}})/2F_{\text{ST}}$ .

The pattern of relationships among populations was examined by using Wright's (1978) modification of Roger's genetic distance measure. Here distance *D* between population pairs *x* and *y* summed over *k* alleles =  $[0.5 \Sigma^{K} (q_x - q_y)^2]^{1/2}$ . Now  $F_{ST} = [1/L \Sigma^{L} D^2]^{1/2}$  for *L* loci. Biosys-1 was used to do the foregoing computations.

The data are presented in single locus and combined formats. The combined format is given because mitochondrial loci are in complete linkage disequilibrium. The alleles at any particular locus are not independent of alleles at the other loci, but are fixed and inherited matrilineally.

### Results

Seven populations of 18–39 flies each were examined at four loci and eight populations were examined at two loci (Table 3). Amplification of *16S1* and *COI* consistently failed in the Changara sample. A total of 18 alleles was found among the four loci. Four alleles were confined to only one population. Each locus was polymorphic in the Kakumbi and Mana Pools samples. An hypothesis of homogeneity in allele frequencies between the lab cultures, summed over all loci, was strongly rejected ( $\chi_{[6]}^2 = 106.6$ ,  $P \approx 0$ ) but the *16S1* allele frequencies were homogeneous. Lab A flies were strongly differentiated from all other samples. A high degree of differentiation at each locus was observed among all populations ( $\chi_{[92]}^2 = 449.6$ ,  $P \approx 0$ ). Considered apart from the lab cultures, the wild populations also were greatly differentiated ( $\chi_{[65]}^2 = 312$ ,  $P \approx 0$ ). Three geographically clustered samples that lie on a 90-km transect on the Zambezi escarpment in north-eastern Zimbabwe differed significantly from each other in allele frequencies ( $\chi_{[24]}^2 = 151.9$ ,  $P \approx 0$ ).

The distribution of the combined haplotypes (Table 4) shows 16 unshared of 27 combinations (59%) and each population, including the laboratory cultures, had at least two 'private' haplotypes. Of the 27 haplotypes, eight (30%) were singular. Haplotypes 1, 2 and 3 accounted for 52% of the total. Wild tsetse showed a mean 7.6 haplotypes and lab tsetse showed a mean 4.5 haplotypes.

Unbiased estimates of diversity at each locus,  $h_e$ , ranged from 0 to 0.72 in samples (Table 5). Diversity is the probability that two randomly chosen flies have different haplotypes. *16S1* was polymorphic within each population (but was not resolved in Changara flies) and *16S2* was monomorphic in four populations. Lab A flies showed the least average single locus diversity and the Mana Pools sample showed the most. Considering haplotypes as the alleles at four loci to give combined estimates, Kakumbi flies showed the most diversity (Table 5) and Lab A the least. Single locus haplotype diversity, averaged over loci in the six feral populations, was  $0.353 \pm 0.039$ . Diversity combined over loci was  $0.738 \pm 0.058$  for seven populations and  $0.805 \pm 0.045$  for the five natural populations; mean diversity in the lab cultures was 0.571. Combined diversity was greater because there were eighteen alleles taken four at a time to form each haplotype.

Application of Chakraborty's (1990) amalgamation test for selective neutrality to the combined haplotype frequencies in each sample showed no significant deviations in the observed from expected frequencies (P > 0.25 or greater). Thus, there was no evidence for the operation of selection in field or laboratory tsetse.

Wright's (1978) fixation index  $F_{ST}$  measures the correlation of alleles within populations relative to the total (Table 6). Among the six feral populations,  $F_{ST} = 0.271$ , averaged over the four loci, leading to an estimated equivalent 1.3 females exchanged per population per generation. When the laboratory cultures were included,  $F_{ST}$  became 0.371 when averaged over loci. Nei's (1987)  $G_{ST}$  is supposed to measure the same thing as  $F_{ST}$ .  $G_{ST}$  showed more interlocus variation than did  $F_{ST}$ . When averaged over loci,  $G_{ST} = 0.245$ , leading to an equivalent of 1.5 females exchanged per population.

Rather different estimates were obtained from the combined data set. For the natural populations,  $F_{\rm ST} = 0.088 \pm 0.016$ , and  $G_{\rm ST} = 0.101$ , giving theoretical mean exchange rate estimates of 5.2 and 4.5 females, respectively. The small differences between  $F_{\rm ST}$  and  $G_{\rm ST}$  are not biologically significant. The difference in  $F_{\rm ST}$ s between the averaged loci and combined data set is substantial and caused largely by the inclusion of Changara in the former. Because two loci did not amplify in Changara tsetse, no comparable diversity combined over loci was obtained. The higher values of population differentiation obtained when Changara is included indicate that this population is more greatly differentiated from the others than the Zimbabwe–Zambia populations are from each other.

Analysis of variance (Table 7) on haplotype frequencies in the Zimbabwe–Zambia populations and laboratory cultures indicates that 9.7% of the total variance lay between the laboratory cultures and five natural populations, and 15.4% lay among populations within the two groups. Of the total variance, 75% was within the seven populations. Table 7 gives the associated hierarchical *F* statistics which show large values at the three levels. When haplotypes of only the five natural populations are considered, among-population variance becomes 8.8% of the total and  $F_{\text{ST}} = 0.088$ , considerably less than the estimate of  $F_{\text{ST}} = 0.25$  obtained when the laboratory cultures are included.

Genetic distances between pairs of populations (Table 8) show that Lab A was the most differentiated. Lab A allele frequencies were most closely related to those in the Mana Angwa flies. Lab B showed the strongest affinity with Kakumbi and Rekomitjie flies but the overall degree of differentiation of lab flies from the wild tsetse was greater than any pairwise degree of differentiation between natural populations. Probably more than genetic drift separates the lab cultures from the natural populations sampled.

Pairwise  $F_{ST}$ s for the natural populations, when converted to a theoretical number of female migrants exchanged per generation, indicated three or more exchanges between any two natural populations. Changara, in all likelihood, would have shown much greater genetic distances from the Zimbabwe and Zambia populations and exchange rates less than one. Inspection of Table 8 suggests no obvious relationship between the magnitudes of genetic distance or migrant numbers and geographical distance among the natural populations.

### Discussion

The SSCP method worked well in estimating variation at mitochondrial loci in a large number of samples. Among *morsitans* group tsetse, sequencing showed that of 20 sequence variants, 18 were readily detected on silver-stained acrylamide gels. This success rate of 90% is much greater than the 25–30% of amino acid substitutions that can be detected in allozyme studies. A bigger problem than missing sequence variants is outright failure of the PCR reaction. Amplification of *16S1* and *COI* consistently failed in the Changara sample and there was limited amplification at the *12S* locus. Failure to amplify could have been caused by the

vagaries of PCR but it was more likely caused by mutations of nucleotides at primer annealing sites in Changara flies. Nevertheless, for studying gene flow among populations, the ability to estimate allele frequencies in a large number of organisms is paramount.

There was much sequence variation in tsetse fly mitochon-dria as shown by diversity estimates that varied from 0.19 to 0.61 in the wild populations. *COI* was the most variable locus and *16S2* the least. Within-population diversities were substantial and no sample was monomorphic at more than two of four loci. The Lab A sample showed the least diversity but Lab B diversity was comparable to the samples from field populations. Lab A shared each of its alleles with at least one other population. The origins of both cultures are obscure, but Lab A is probably the oldest because it shows the least diversity, a consequence of drift (selective neutrality tests ruled out the operation of selection). It may be a subculture of the original *G. m. morsitans* colonized in Langford (Bristol, England) with puparia collected from Kariba in Zimbabwe (Jordan, 1970), not far from Rekomitjie. Neither tsetse culture showed evidence of inbreeding, testifying to the effective maintenance of multiple maternal lines.

Mitochondrial diversities were greatest in tsetse from Mana Angwa and Kakumbi, riverside locations where mammals aggregate during the dry seasons. The animals arrive from large areas and probably bring many tsetse with them, thereby effectively increasing the tsetse sampling area.

The mitochondrion is inherited as a unit in which there is no recombination. When loci were combined, 27 haplotypes were obtained. There would be 400 possible haplotypes were alleles at the four independent loci. By subtracting out the haplotypes found only in the laboratory cultures we obtain a distribution of twenty-three variants found in the natural populations. We are unable to arrange the haplotypes into a phylogenetic tree until further sequencing is accomplished.

The partitioning of haplotype variation into within and among population components shows how female *morsitans* populations are structured. Diversities among populations are proportional to the degree of genetic differentiation and this was estimated by several statistics. These statistics should be examined with respect to the geographical distribution of the subject *G. m. morsitans* populations. Of the four allopatric *G. m. morsitans* belts, we have samples from only two. Unfortunately, we were unable to obtain amplifications at two loci from the Changara sample, the only representative of the southern belt. The failure to obtain amplifications in Changara samples may have been caused by mutations at primer annealing sites and if so would indicate genetic distances from the western populations greater than our estimates provided.

 $G_{\text{ST}}$  and  $F_{\text{ST}}$  were about 2.5-fold greater in magnitude when averaged over loci than when combined. Twenty-seven haplotypes distributed among 157 flies showed less among-population variance than four and five haplotypes (alleles) averaged over four tightly linked loci. The combined haplotypes provide the best estimate but does not include Changara for reasons already stated. Sample size was further reduced because some flies in each sample failed to give reactions at one of the four loci.

 $F_{ST}$  and  $G_{ST}$  estimate the same thing but are different in their derivations. The differences between  $F_{ST}$  and  $G_{ST}$  estimates were minor. Wright (1978) and Weir (1996) developed their models in terms of probabilities and expecta-tions and this would seem to be most appropriate for haploid data; their models, moreover, make fewer assumptions about the evolutionary forces acting on allele frequencies (Weir, 1996).

Wright (1978) emphasized that  $F_{ST}$  measures the 'extent to which the process of fixation [of alleles] has gone toward completion.' The inverse, where mutation rates are negligible, is a

measure of gene flow among populations. Thus, for  $F_{ST} = 0.088$  the equivalent average is approximately five females exchanged per population. One exchange per generation is usually considered to provide the critical amount of gene flow below which gene frequencies would eventually drift to fixation. However, the  $F_{ST}$  estimate assumes populations at equilibrium, but *G. m. morsitans* has achieved its present distribution by advancing from one or more refugia in the Zambezi valley (Ford, 1971). Thus, there may be much less gene flow among populations than indicated by  $F_{ST}$  measures.

Because only two of the four broad *G. m. morsitans* fly belts were included in the present study, it would be important to sample transects through the Tanzania, Mozambique, Malawi and southern Mozambique belts. To do so would provide a greatly improved picture of the degrees of isolation among populations in these regions.

In summary, data show substantial differentiation among the sampled populations, a consequence of drift. This is not altogether surprising considering the comparatively small effective population sizes and fragmented distributions of *G. m. morsitans*. In terms of the equivalent number of migrants, however, the magnitude of  $F_{ST}$  from mitochondrial loci must be twice that estimated from genomic loci. Thus, our  $F_{ST}$  estimates may indicate considerable gene flow among popula-tions, but we have estimated gene flow on the basis of maternal lineages only. Males may be more subject to dispersal than the females. It therefore will be most interesting to evaluate gene flow for the same samples on the basis of genomic sequences. We are now developing microsatellite loci for just such an investigation.

### Acknowledgements

Drs Steve Mihok and Paul Ndegwa kindly provided the *G. morstans* laboratory cultures. Research supported in part by USPHS grant no. AI40048. This is Journal Paper no. 18087 of the Iowa Agricultural and Home Economics Experiment Station, Project 3447, and supported in part by Hatch Act and State of Iowa funds. Nigel Griffiths was supported by Overseas Development Administration Project F0049.

### References

Avise, JC. Molecular Markers, Natural History and Evolution. Chapman & Hall; London: 1994.

- Bailey, AL. Single-stranded conformational polymorphisms. In: Innis, MA.; Gelfand, DH.; Sninsky, JJ., editors. PCR Strategies. Academic Press, Inc.; New York: 1995. p. 121-129.
- Black, WC.; DuTeau, NM. RAPD-PCR and SSCP analysis for insect population genetic studies. In: Crampton, JM.; Beard, CB.; Louis, C., editors. Molecular Biology of Insect Disease Vectors: a Methods Manual. Chapman & Hall; London: 1996. p. 361-383.
- Chakraborty R. Mitochondrial DNA polymorphism reveals hidden heterogeneity within some Asian populations. American Journal of Human Genetics 1990;47:87–94. [PubMed: 2349953]
- Cotton, RGH. Mutation Detection. Oxford University Press; Oxford: 1996. p. 32-58.
- Excoffier L, Smouse PE, Quattro JM. Analysis of molecular variance inferred from metric distances among DNA haplotypes: application to human mitochondrial DNA restriction data. Genetics 1992;131:479–491. [PubMed: 1644282]
- Ford, J. The Role of the Trypanosomiases in African Ecology. Clarendon Press; Oxford: 1971.
- Gilbert, DG. *SeqApp*, a biological and sequence editor and analysis program for Macintosh computers. 1992. Published, electronically on the Internet, available at ftp://iubio.bio.indiana.edu/molbio/seqapp/
- Gooding RH. Genetic variation in tsetse flies and implications for trypanosomiasis. Parasitology Today 1992;8:92–95. [PubMed: 15463582]
- Haiti, DL. A Primer of Population Genetics. 2. Sinauer Associates Inc.; Sunderland, MA: 1988.
- Hiss RH, Norris DE, Dietrich CH, Whitecomb RF, West DF, Bosio CF, Kambhampati S, Piesman J, Antolin MF, Black WC. Molecular taxonomy using single-strand conformational polymorphism

WOHLFORD et al.

- Jordan AM. Forty generations of inbreeding of *G. morsitans morsitans* Westwood (Diptera: Glossinidae). Bulletin of Entomological Research 1970;70:557–562.
- Jordan, AM. Tsetse-flies (Glossinidae). In: Lane, RP.; Crosskey, RW., editors. Medical Insects and Arachnids. Chapman & Hall; New York: 1993. p. 333-382.
- Krafsur ES, Griffiths N. Genetic variation at structural loci in the *Glossina morsitans* species group. Biochemical Genetics 1997;35:1–11. [PubMed: 9238514]
- Krafsur ES, Griffiths N, Brockhouse CL, Brady J. Breeding structure of *G. pallidipes* (Diptera: Glossinidae) populations in East and southern Africa. Bulletin of Entomological Research 1997;87:67–73.
- Nei, M. Molecular Evolutionary Genetics. Columbia University Press; New York: 1987.
- Rogers DJ, Randolph SE. Population ecology of tsetse. Annual Review of Entomology 1985;30:197–216.
- Schneider, S.; Kueffer, J-M.; Roessli, D.; Excoffier, L. Arlequin, Version 1.1: A Software for Population Genetic Data Analysis. Genetics and Biometry Laboratory, University of Geneva; Switzerland: 1997.
- Simon C, Frati F, Beckenbach A, Crespi B, Liu H, Flook P. Evolution, weighting, and phylogenetic utility of mitochon-drial gene sequence and a compilation of conserved polymerase chain reaction primers. Annals of the Entomology Society of America 1994;87:651–701.
- Swofford DL, Selander RB. biosys-1: a fortran program for the comprehensive analysis of electrophoretic data in population genetics and systematics. Journal of Heredity 1981;72:281–283.
- Torr SJ, Parker AG, Leigh-Brown G. The responses of *Glossina pallidipes* Austen (Diptera: Glossinidae) to odour-baited traps and targets in Somalia. Bulletin of Entomological Research 1989;79:99–108.
- Weir, B. Genetic Data Analysis II. Sinauer Associates Inc; Sunderland, MA: 1996.
- Weir B, Cockerham CC. Estimating F-statistics for the analysis of population structure. Evolution 1984;38:1358–1370.
- Wright S. The genetical structure of populations. Annals of Eugenics 1951;15:323-354.
- Wright, S. Evolution and the Genetics of Populations, Vol 4 Variability Within and Among Natural Populations. University of Chicago Press; Chicago: 1978.

WOHLFORD et al.





Mitochondrial loci amplified in G. m. morsitans.

Locus	UBC designation	Simon <i>et al.</i> (1994) designation	Approx. size (bp)	Sequence
COI	MtD7 MtD9	CI-J-1751 CI-N-2191	440	5'-GGA TCA CTG ATA TAG CAT TCC C-3' 5'-CCC GGT AAA ATT AAA ATA TAA ACT TC-3'
12S	MtD35 MtD36	SR-J-14233 SR-N-14588	350	5-AAG AGC GAC GGG CGA TGT GT-3' 5-AAA CTA GGA TTA GAT ACC CTA TTA T-3'
1651	MtD32 MtD34	LR-J-12887 I R-N-13308	600	5-CCG GTC TGA ACT CAG ATC ACG T-3' 5-CCG GTC TGA ACT CAG ATC ACG T-3'
16S2	MtD29 MtD31	NI-J-12585 LR-N-12866	300	5-GGT CCC TTA CGA ATT TGA ATA TAT CCT-3' 5'-GCT TGA GGA TTC GA ATA TAT CCT-3' 5'-ACA TGA TCT GAG TTC AA CCG G-3'

### Table 2

Sequence variation in *16S1* and *16S2* haplotypes in *G. m. morsitans*. Positions where there was variation between individuals of the same haplotype are designated Y (C or T) and R (A or G). Two individuals of each haplotype were sequenced.

	Nucleotide positions	
16S1	00000011222222223333345	
A11a1a	333466636144367992245640	
Anele	12302349030900/90932233 GTAGTATVAGTAAGTATTAGAGT	
B		
č		
D	ΑΑΤΑΑΤΑΤΑΑΑΤΤΑΑΑΤΓΑΑΑΤΘΑΘΑΤΑΑ	
16S2	0 0 0 0 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 2 2 2 2 2 2	
	5599024666788112348	
	4567724349679068499	
А	GGAATTCTTATAAAAGAGG	
В	$\cdots \cdots R \cdots R \cdots$	
С	ATGGAATAAGCTGGTAGAA	
D	AT · GAATAAGCTGGTAGAA	
E	$AT \cdots TG \cdots A \cdot A \cdot$	

Z
=
T
- <b>T</b>
τ
5
-
$\mathbf{\Sigma}$
2
<b>⊢</b>
5
0
-
-
$\leq$
b
Ξ
5
õ
- <del>``</del>
5
¥

**NIH-PA** Author Manuscript

 Table 3
 Sample sizes and allele frequencies at mitochondrial loci in *G. m. morsitans*.

WOHLFORD	et	al.

Locus & allele	Lab A	LabB	Kakumbi	Mana Angwa	Reko-mitjie	Mana Pools	Makuti	Changara
125								
1	24	24	18	24	24	37	24	6
ł	1.000	0.000	0.278	1.000	0.042	0.676	0.000	0.111
8	0.000	1.000	0.694	0.000	0.792	0.270	0.729	0.667
5	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.167	0.027	0.271	0.222
1321	0.000	0.000	0.056	0.000	0.000	0.027	0.000	0.000
1601	ν. C	ć	10	č	ν. C	30	ć	C
	0.750	0.500	0 380	24 0.833	77 1 501	75 0 A67	77 0 675	0
	0.250	0.500	0.500	000.0	0.479	0.154	0000	
	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.083	0.000	0.051	0.375	
0	0.000	0.000	0.111	0.083	0.000	0.333	0.000	
16S2								
7	24	24	18	12	24	24	24	24
_	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.250	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
~	1.000	0.708	0.889	0.708	1.000	0.542	1.000	1.000
<b>T</b> )	0.000	0.000	0.111	0.042	0.000	0.042	0.000	0.000
~	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.417	0.000	0.000
	0.000	0.292	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
201								
1	24	24	18	24	24	24	24	0
7	0.917	0.667	0.778	1.000	0.729	0.313	0.875	
~	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.354	0.000	
<b>C</b> )	0.042	0.333	0.167	0.000	0.042	0.042	0.125	
0	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.208	0.167	0.000	
ريا	0.042	0.000	0.056	0.000	0.000	0.042	0.000	

_	
~	
_	
_	
_	
_	
_	
U U	
-	
_	
<u> </u>	
_	
_	
_	
0	
0	
_	
_	
_	
-	
_	
ດາ	
-	
_	
-	
(n)	
$\mathbf{O}$	
_	
0	
-	
_	

WOHLFORD et al.

Haplotype	Rekomitjie	M. Angwa	M. Pools	Makuti	Kakumbi	Lab A	LabB	Totak
	9	13	ŝ		ε	0	ю	4]
0	9	0		0	4	0	12	23
ю	0	0	1	0	0	17	0	18
4	0	0	L	0	0	0	0	L
ŝ	0	2	1	4	0	0	0	
9	0	9	0	0	0	0	0	U
L	0	0	0	0	0	ŝ	0	ι ur)
8	0	0	1	2	0	0	2	ι. V
6	2	0	-	2	0	0	0	4.
10	0	0	1	0	ŝ	0	0	
11	ŝ	0	1	0	0	0	0	7
12	0	2	0	0	2	0	0	7
13	0	0	0	4	0	0	0	7
14	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	4
15	0	0	0	0	2	1	0	
16	0	0	б	0	0	0	0	
17	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	
18	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
19	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
20	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	
21	0	0	-	0	0	0	0	
22	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	
23	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	
24	0	0	0	0	0	0		
25	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	
26	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	
27	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	
Sum	<i>ι</i>	74	23	74	18	70	ί	15

Med Vet Entomol. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2008 October 28.

_
_
_
_
_
- U
-
-
-
-
-
_
<b>_</b>
5
_
0
_
•
-
<
-
0
-
_
-
<b>C</b>
<b>(</b> )
0
5
_
<u>-</u>
÷
Ę
ਰੂਂ

# **Table 5** Table 5 Genetic diversities $h_e$ at mitochondrial loci in *G. m. morsitans* populations.

Haplotype	Kakumbi	Rekomitjie	Makuti	Mana Pools	Mana Angwa	Changara	Lab A	Lab B
12S	0.503	0.359	0.380	0.482	0	0.556	0	0
1651	0.621	0.522	0.489	0.667	0.304	I	0.387	0.522
16S2	0.111	0	0	0.554	0.453	0	0	0.431
COI	0.386	0.423	0.228	0.723	0	I	0.163	0.416
Average <sup>1</sup>	0.408	0.323	0.276	0.609	0.189	0.278	0.136	0.347
Combined <sup>2</sup>	0.909	0.844	0.750	0.866	0.656	Ι	0.471	0.671

WOHLFORD et al.

 $^{I}$ Mean of the four loci.

<sup>2</sup> Haplotypes defined by alleles at the four loci. Two loci failed to amplify in Changara tsetse hence there are no data for them.

**NIH-PA** Author Manuscript

**NIH-PA Author Manuscript** 

	differentiatio
Table 6	coefficient of gene
	H <sub>T</sub>
	diversity
	total
	ړ

Average gene diversity  $H_{\rm S}$ , total diversity  $H_{\rm T}$ , coefficient of gene differentiation  $D_{
m ST}$ , absolute degree of gene differentiation over all populations D<sub>m</sub>, the relative amount of gene differentiation G<sub>ST</sub>, and Wright's correlation of allele frequencies within populations relative to the total  $F_{ST}$  in six feral G. *m. morsitans* populations.

		Locus			Loci	
Statistic	125	16S1	1652	COI	Average	combined <sup>I</sup>
H <sub>S</sub>	0.382	0.521	0.186	0.352	0.347	0.805
$H_{T}$	0.612	0.628	0.251	0.427	0.459	0.895
$D_{ m ST}$	0.230	0.108	0.065	0.075	0.112	0.090
$D_{ m m}$	0.276	0.128	0.078	0.088	0.134	0.108
GsT	0.376	0.171	0.259	0.175	0.245	0.101
$F_{ m ST}$	0.373	0.217	0.229	0.218	0.271	0.084
In which haplotypes are defined	d by alleles at the four loci.	There are only five populatio	ns in the combined sample be	cause Changara did not an	nplify at two loci.	

_
_
_
_
0
-
-
~
-
<u> </u>
_
$\sim$
0
_
-
~
~
<u></u>
~
_
_
_
_
10
0)
Ö
0
-
<u> </u>
0
-

**Table 7** Analysis of variance in haplotype frequencies by the method of Excoffier *et al.* (1992). All haplotypes are considered equidistant.

Source	d.f.	Variance	% of total	F statistics	Significance <sup>I</sup>
Among groups <sup>2</sup>	-	0.0471	9.7	$F_{ m GT}=0.097$	<0.05
Among populations, within groups	5	0.0751	15.4	$F_{\rm SG} = 0.171$	< 0.0001
Within populations	150	0.3649	74.9	$F_{\rm ST} = 0.251$	< 0.0001
Total	156	0.4871		4	

WOHLFORD et al.

 $^{I}$  Probability of obtaining a greater variance and F by chance.

<sup>2</sup>Groups are laboratory cultures and natural populations.

_
_
_
_
_
- U
~
-
-
-
-
_
<u> </u>
_
_
_
_
$\sim$
()
-
_
_
~
~
0
<b>U</b>
_
_
-
C
C D
$\sim$
<b>U</b>
77
$\sim$

Wright's (1978) estimates of genetic distance. Upper diagonal: pairwise number of migrants.\* The lower diagonal shows Roger modified genetic distances on basis of haplotypes combined over four loci. Table 8

	Lab A	Lab B	Kakumbi	Mana Angwa	Rekomitjie	Mana Pools	Makuti
Lab A	I	0.66	1.09	0.65	0.95	1.41	0.78
Lab B	0.674	I	1.98	1.14	1.72	1.91	1.79
Kakumbi	0.584	0.327	1	3.18	28.30	7.36	4.28
Mana Angwa	0.678	0.540	0.397	I	3.63	3.21	10.06
Rekomitjie	0.609	0.302	0.244	0.368	I	6.67	5.64
Mana Pools	0.574	0.457	0.322	0.388	0.320	1	5.16
Makuti	0.644	0.500	0.368	0.253	0.324	0.335	I
* Average no. migrants = $(1 - 1)$	Fct)/2Fct.						
c c							