

NIH Public Access

Author Manuscript

Org Lett. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2009 July 17.

Published in final edited form as:

Org Lett. 2008 July 17; 10(14): 3101–3104. doi:10.1021/ol8011474.

Assignment of Absolute Configuration to SCH 351448 via Total Synthesis[∫]

Lael L. Cheung, **Shinji Marumoto**, **Christopher D. Anderson**, and **Scott D. Rychnovsky** *Department of Chemistry, 1102 Natural Sciences II, University of California, Irvine, Irvine, California, 92697-2025, srychnov@uci.edu*

Abstract

The synthesis and absolute configuration of SCH 351448, an interesting ionophoric natural product, are reported herein. Mukaiyama aldol-Prins and segment-coupling Prins reactions were employed to construct the constituent tetrahydropyrans of SCH 351448. Efforts to assemble the *C2*-symmetric core of the natural product by a templated olefin metathesis strategy are described, however, a stepwise fragment assembly was ultimately utilized to complete the target molecule.

> In 2000, Hegde and coworkers from Schering-Plough Research Institute and Duke University reported the isolation of the dimeric sodiated polyketide SCH 351448 (**1**) from an unspecified *Micromonospora* sp.¹ Compound 1 was found to be a selective activator of the low-density lipoprotein receptor (LDLR) promoter, a genetic sequence responsible for modulating expression of the surface receptor for LDL. Increased expression of LDLR has been shown to decrease blood serum cholesterol levels, thereby offering a potential therapy for hypercholesterolemia.2

> Compound **1** is the only known small molecule activator of the LDLR promoter and has drawn appreciable scrutiny, as evinced by several total $3,4,5,6$ and partial $7,8'$ syntheses of the (+)enantiomer. In addition to completing the first total synthesis, Lee noted the high binding affinity of compound 1 for Ca^{2+} ion at near-physiological pH. This affinity for calcium may be pertinent to the biological activity of compound 1.9 We report a new synthesis of compound **1** using Mukaiyama aldol-Prins (MAP) and segment-coupling Prins reactions to prepare the constituent tetrahydropyrans. Furthermore, we have determined the heretofore unknown absolute stereochemistry of the natural enantiomer.

> The monomeric subunits of compound **1** were dissected into the tetrahydropyranyl fragments **2** and **3** (Figure 1). Fragment **2** would be prepared by a MAP reaction between the homoallylic enol ether **4** and aldehyde **5**. 10 Fragment **3** would be derived from tetrahydropyran **6**, which would be prepared by segment-coupling Prins reaction.¹¹ Departing from other reported strategies, we envisioned that a one-pot cross-metathesis/ring-closing metathesis (CM/RCM) event would unite two identical C1–C29 monomers and form the macrocycle of compound $1¹²$ The appropriate chemo- and regioselectivities would be dictated by a Ca²⁺ template^{12c} in the dimerization/macrocyclization cascade.

Synthesis of the C14–C29 fragment of compound 1 began with asymmetric allylation¹³ of aldehyde **7** 14 to yield alcohol **8** (Scheme 1). Conversion of alcohol **8** to α-acetoxy ether **9**,

[∫]This paper is dedicated to Professor Madeline Joullié at the University of Pennsylvania for her inspirational and unwavering commitment to chemical research and education.

Correspondence to: Scott D. Rychnovsky.

followed by segment-coupling Prins reaction with SnBr₄, furnished an epimeric mixture of C17-bromotetrahydropyrans, which was homogenized into alcohol **10**. Oxidation of alcohol **10** to an intermediate aldehyde and subsequent olefination through Takai's procedure provided vinyl boronate **12**. 15 Suzuki coupling of vinyl boronate **12** with aryl triflate **13**16 provided a provisional C14–C29 segment containing a benzyl ether and an *E*-alkene. Hydrogenation revealed a primary alcohol that was later oxidized to aldehyde **14**. Julia-Kocieński olefination of aldehyde **14** gave alkene **15**. 3 Both aldehyde **14** and alkene **15** were used in subsequent studies.

Synthesis of the C1–C13 fragment of compound **1** began with alcohol **17**, 17 prepared by asymmetric crotylation¹⁸ of aldehyde 7 (Scheme 2). Benzyl ether formation, TIPS deprotection, and oxidation provided aldehyde **18**, the electrophilic component for the MAP reaction. Asymmetric allylation¹⁹ of aldehyde **19** furnished alcohol **20**. Vinyl exchange²⁰ catalyzed by $Hg(TFA)$ ₂ converted alcohol 20 to homoallylic enol ether 21, the nucleophilic component for the MAP reaction.

Enol ether 21 and aldehyde 18 were subjected to TiBr₄-promoted MAP reaction in the presence of 2,6-di-*tert*-butylmethylpyridine (2,6-DTBMP), a hindered base, at –78 °C (Scheme 2). The resultant adduct was isolated in 76% yield as a mixture of C9,C11-*anti*/*syn* epimers favoring the desired *anti* disposition by ca. 3:1. The superfluous bromide was removed by radicalmediated reduction and the C9,C11-*anti*/*syn* epimers were separated by flash chromatography. The C9 alcohol was protected as the SEM ether **22**. Hydrolysis and a stepwise oxidation of intermediate **22** gave the acid, which was protected as a TMSE ester **23** by Mitsunobu reaction. DDQ deprotection of benzyl ether **23** yielded alcohol **24**, poised for coupling.

To expedite macrodiolide formation, an ion-templated CM/RCM cascade was examined (Scheme 3). We proposed that a Ca^{2+} complex comprised of two monomeric ligands would be predisposed toward a synthetically convergent dimerization/cyclization cascade. Hence, alcohol **24** was esterified with the dioxinone of alkene **14**. Global deprotection with TFA furnished the free acid, which was then treated with stoichiometric CaO in CH_2Cl_2 to yield Ca^{2+} salt 25.²¹ Unfortunately, treatment of salt 25 with a variety of metathesis catalysts²² never led to the dimeric product **27** (Table 1). The CM/RCM cascade was further attempted using Hoye's relay tactic23 to ensure alkene activation, but substrate **26** led only to compound **25** upon treatment with metathesis catalysts. While these experiments confirmed that substrate **26** underwent relay metathesis to extrude cyclopentene, the resultant ruthenium-alkylidene funneled to the unreactive complex **25**. After these disappointing results, we redirected our efforts to a more conventional strategy for completing the synthesis of compound **1**.

The sequence that ultimately proved fruitful mirrored Lee's route to the macrocycle of compound **1** (Scheme 4). Ozonlysis of the terminal alkene in THP **23** followed by reductive workup provided an intermediate alcohol, which was substituted with 1-phenyl-1*H*-tetrazol-5 thiol (**29**) and then oxidized to sulfone **30**. Julia-Kocieński coupling with aldehyde **14** using NaHMDS gave an inconsequential 1:1 *E*/*Z* mixture of alkenes, which was reduced with diimide to furnish dioxinone **31**. Esterification with alcohol **24** and subsequent benzyl deprotection with DDQ gave the alcohol **32**. Esterification with dioxinone **15** afforded the acyclic diene, which underwent ring-closing metathesis upon treatment with the Grubbs-Hoveyda catalyst to produce macrocycle **33** in 93% yield. Diimide reduction, global deprotection, and metallation delivered SCH 351448 (**1**) as the (+)-enantiomer of sodium salt A described by Lee.

The absolute configuration of the natural enantiomer of compound **1** had remained unknown. Through the generous gift from the Schering-Plough Research Institute of an authentic sample of compound **1**, the absolute configuration of the natural product could then be established Proton and carbon data for synthetic **1** (sodium salt A) were identical to that reported for the

Org Lett. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2009 July 17.

The synthesis of (+)-SCH 351448 was achieved by using MAP and segment-coupling Prins reactions to construct the two pairs of THPs. A templated CM/RCM cascade proved to be a nonviable strategy, and thus the macrodiolide was assembled by iterative esterifications followed by RCM. The absolute configuration of natural SCH 351448 was determined to be identical to that of the (+)-enantiomer. This synthesis illustrates the utility of Prins cyclizations in natural product synthesis.

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the National Institute of General Medical Sciences (GM-43854). We would like to thank Dr. Vinod Hegde and the Schering-Plough Research Institute for providing us with an authentic sample of SCH 351448.

References

- 1. Hegde VR, Puar MS, Dai P, Patel M, Gullo VP, Das PR, Bond RW, McPhail AT. Tetrahedron Lett 2000;41:1351–1354.
- 2. a Brown MS, Goldstein JL. Science 1986;232:34–47. [PubMed: 3513311] b Brown MS, Goldstein JL. Cell 1997;89:331–340. [PubMed: 9150132]
- 3. a Kang EJ, Cho EJ, Lee YE, Ji MK, Shin DM, Chung YK, Lee E. J Am Chem Soc 2004;126:2680– 2681. [PubMed: 14995167] b Kang EJ, Cho EJ, Ji MK, Lee YE, Shin DM, Choi SY, Chung YK, Kim JS, Kim HJ, Lee SG, Lah MS, Lee E. J Org Chem 2005;70:6321–6329. [PubMed: 16050693]
- 4. Soltani O, De Brabander JK. Org Lett 2005;7:2791–2793. [PubMed: 15957948]
- 5. Bolshakov S, Leighton JL. Org Lett 2005;7:3809–3812. [PubMed: 16092881]
- 6. Crimmins MT, Vanier GS. Org Lett 2006;8:2887–2890. [PubMed: 16774282]
- 7. Backes JR, Koert U. Eur J Org Chem 2006:2777–2785.
- 8. Chan KP, Ling YH, Loh TP. Chem Commun 2007:939–941.
- 9. Dolmetsch RE, Pajvani U, Fife K, Spotts JM, Greenberg ME. Science 2001;294:333–339. [PubMed: 11598293]
- 10. a Kopecky DJ, Rychnovsky SD. J Am Chem Soc 2001;123:8420–8421. [PubMed: 11516301] b Patterson B, Marumoto S, Rychnovsky SD. Org Lett 2003;5:3163–3166. [PubMed: 12917007] c Patterson B, Rychnovsky SD. Synlett 2004:543–545. d Van Orden LJ, Patterson BD, Rychnovsky SD. J Org Chem 2007;72:5784–5793. [PubMed: 17595145] e Gesinski MR, Van Orden LJ, Rychnovsky SD. Synlett 2008:363–366.
- 11. Rychnovsky SD, Hu YQ, Ellsworth B. Tetrahedron Lett 1998;39:7271–7274.
- 12. For examples of ion-templated metathesis, see: a Mohr B, Weck M, Sauvage JP, Grubbs RH. Angew Chem, Int Ed Engl 1997;36:1308–1310. b Ng KY, Cowley AR, Beer PD. Chem Commun 2006:3676– 3678. c Akine S, Kagiyama S, Nabeshima T. Inorg Chem 2007;46:9525–9527. [PubMed: 17948992]
- 13. Keck GE, Tarbet KH, Geraci LS. J Am Chem Soc 1993;115:8467–8468.
- 14. Wipf P, Graham TH. J Am Chem Soc 2004;126:15346–15347. [PubMed: 15563138]
- 15. Takai K, Kunisada Y, Tachibana Y, Yamaji N, Nakatani E. Bull Chem Soc Jpn 2004;77:1581–1586.
- 16. Fürstner A, Konetzki I. Tetrahedron 1996;52:15071–15078.
- 17. a Dreher SD, Leighton JL. J Am Chem Soc 2001;123:341–342. [PubMed: 11456525] b La Cruz TE, Rychnovsky SD. Org Lett 2005;7:1873–1875. [PubMed: 15844928]
- 18. Bhat KS, Brown HC. J Am Chem 1986;108:5919–5923.
- 19. Bode JW, Gauthier DR Jr, Carreira EM. Chem Commun 2001:2560–2561.
- 20. Gurjar MK, Krishna LM, Reddy BS, Chorghade MS. Synthesis 2000:557–560.
- 21. The most drastic change upon formation of calcium salt **25** from the free acid is found in their 13C NMR spectra, wherein the signal for the C1 carbon of the acid (δ 180.8 ppm) disappears after exposure to CaO. Furthermore, there are noticeable changes in peak patterns for the 60 to 90 ppm region: the free acid exhibits six signals whereas the calcium complex **25** exhibits only five (two of the peaks have become coincident). The differences seen in the 1 H NMR spectra are subtle, consisting primarily of broadening of three peaks appearing between 2.5 and 4.0 ppm. Both compounds show an identical parent molecular ion $(M + Na⁺)$ by ES-MS. For references regarding NMR studies of calcium coordination complexes please see: a Chen CS, Wu SH, Wu YY, Fang JM, Wu TH. Org Lett 2007;9:2985–2988. [PubMed: 17629283] b Akine S, Taniguchi T, Nabeshima T. J Am Chem Soc 2006;128:15765–15774. [PubMed: 17147386] c Akine S, Taniguchi T, Saiki T, Nabeshima T. J Am Chem Soc 2005;127:540–541. [PubMed: 15643875] d Nabeshima T, Takahashi T, Hanami T, Kikuchi A, Kawabe T, Yano Y. J Org Chem 1998;63:3802–3803.
- 22. Stewart IC, Ung T, Pletnev AA, Berlin JM, Grubbs RH, Schrodi Y. Org Lett 2007;9:1589–1592. [PubMed: 17378575] b Garber SB, Kingsbury JS, Gray BL, Hoveyda AH. J Am Chem Soc 2000;122:8168–8179. c Scholl M, Ding S, Lee CW, Grubbs RH. Org Lett 1999;1:953–956. [PubMed: 10823227] d Schwab P, France MB, Ziller JW, Grubbs RH. Angew Chem, Int Ed Engl 1995;34:2039– 2041.
- 23. Hoye TR, Jeffrey CS, Tennakoon MA, Wang J, Zhao H. J Am Chem Soc 2004;126:10210–10211. [PubMed: 15315410]
- 24. Synthetic (+)-SCH 351448: [α]²³_D = +80 (*c* = 1.0, CHCl₃); Natural SCH 351448: [α]²³_D = +26 (*c* $= 1.0$, CHCl₃). Both of these rotations were obtained from newly acid-equilibrated samples (ref. 1). The reported rotation of synthetic $(+)$ -SCH 351448 has varied from $+ 22.4$ to $+ 80.0$.
- 25. CD spectra are provided in the supporting information.

Figure 1. Retrosynthetic analysis of SCH 351448 (**1**)

Cheung et al. Page 6

Scheme 1. Synthesis of tetrahydropyranyl fragments **13** and **14**

Org Lett. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2009 July 17.

Cheung et al. Page 7

OBn 13

Ė

Cheung et al. Page 8

Attempted Template-Directed CM/RCM to Form Macrodiolide Chelate 27 from Ca²⁺ salts **25** and **26**

Cheung et al. Page 9

Scheme 4. Assembly of (+)-SCH 351448 (**1**) by Sequential Esterification and RCM

Org Lett. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2009 July 17.

Screen of Conditions for Templated CM/RCM

a

For the catalyst structures, see reference ²¹.

*b*All reactions were run in CH₂Cl₂.

c All reactions were monitored by mass spectrometry.