

# NIH Public Access

Author Manuscript

J Neural Eng. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2008 November 3.

## Published in final edited form as:

J Neural Eng. 2007 September; 4(3): 294–308. doi:10.1088/1741-2560/4/3/015.

# Region-specific network plasticity in simulated and living cortical

## networks:

comparison of the center of activity trajectory (CAT) with other statistics

## Zenas C Chao, Douglas J Bakkum, and Steve M Potter

Laboratory for Neuroengineering, Department of Biomedical Engineering, Georgia Institute of Technology and Emory University School of Medicine, Atlanta, GA 30332-0535, USA

## Abstract

Electrically interfaced cortical networks cultured *in vitro* can be used as a model for studying the network mechanisms of learning and memory. Lasting changes in functional connectivity have been difficult to detect with extracellular multi-electrode arrays using standard firing rate statistics. We used both simulated and living networks to compare the ability of various statistics to quantify functional plasticity at the network level. Using a simulated integrate-and-fire neural network, we compared five established statistical methods to one of our own design, called center of activity trajectory (CAT). CAT, which depicts dynamics of the location-weighted average of spatiotemporal patterns of action potentials across the physical space of the neuronal circuitry, was the most sensitive statistic for detecting tetanus-induced plasticity in both simulated and living networks. By reducing the dimensionality of multi-unit data while still including spatial information, CAT allows efficient real-time computation of spatiotemporal activity patterns. Thus, CAT will be useful for studies *in vivo* or *in vitro* in which the locations of recording sites on multi-electrode probes are important.

## Introduction

Modification of connectivity between cortical neurons plays an important role in the processes of learning (Ahissar *et al* 1992, Buonomano 1998) and memory (Merzenich and Sameshima 1993). Connectivity at the synaptic level has been studied by administering stimuli while simultaneously recording neural activity, and then quantifying plasticity by analyzing the stimulus–response relationships. Culturing on multi-electrode arrays (MEAs) (figures 1(a) and (b)) was introduced to help understand connectivity and plasticity in networks of neurons (Gross 1979, Pine 1980). This allows long-term (months), non-invasive observation of the electrical activity of multiple neurons simultaneously (Potter and DeMarse 2001)in a system with less experimental complexity and greater control than preparations *in vivo*. External factors such as sensory inputs, attention and behavioral drives are absent, while many aspects of complex spatiotemporal spike patterns observed in animals remain (Gross and Kowalski 1999, Shefi *et al* 2002).

Many activity statistics have been used to quantify stimulus–response relationships from simultaneous recordings of multiple neurons (Brown *et al* 2004). Most analyze the dependences between spike trains, such as the maximum likelihood method (Chornoboy *et al* 1988, Okatan *et al* 2005), product–moment correlation coefficient (Kudrimoti *et al* 1999), functional holography (Baruchi and Ben-Jacob 2004), etc. However, only a few were applied for measuring network plasticity. The most common of these was the firing rate (FR), which

E-mail: steve.potter@bme.gatech.edu .

showed plastic modifications of network response induced by tetanic stimulation in cortical cultures (Reich et al 1997, Jimbo et al 1998, Maeda et al 1998, Jimbo et al 1999, Wagenaar et al 2006a) and dopamine-regulated plasticity in anesthetized rats (Rosenkranz and Grace 1999). Firing rate histogram (FRH) uses firing rates integrated over successive sequential latency epochs to add detailed temporal information, and was applied to demonstrate adaptable image processing and pattern recognition through training by tetanic stimulation in MEA cultures (Ruaro et al 2005). Mutual information (MI) characterized the statistical dependence between neuron pairs, exposing the strength of coupling between neurons and the functional connectivity among cortical areas (David et al 2004). Cross-correlation histograms (CCH) from pairs of neurons showed functional plasticity in the auditory cortex of behaving monkeys (Ahissar et al 1998), and the more advanced shift-predictor corrected cross-correlogram (SCCC) was used to quantify receptive field plasticity in the rat auditory cortex (Bao et al 2003). Joint peri-stimulus time histogram (JPSTH) characterized the causality of firing between neuron pairs, and successfully demonstrated long-term facilitation of neural activity involved in respiratory control (Morris et al 2003). Robust neuronal computation and encoding is believed to involve the distribution of information over populations of neurons and synapses in a combination of spatial and temporal domains. Observing only pairs of neurons (MI, CCH, SCCC and JPSTH), neglecting temporal information (FR) and neglecting spatial information (all) limit the ability of these to measure the complex plasticity of the brain.

We recently devised a statistic called the center of activity trajectory (CAT), which incorporates both the physical locations of the recording sites and the timing of neural activity in order to depict dynamics of the population activity in the *neuronal circuitry space* (Chao *et al* 2005). The neuronal circuitry space is defined by the physical locations of the neurons, in our case being the MEA's two-dimensional plane. The center of activity (CA) component is analogous to the center of mass, in that the 'mass' at an electrode location is determined by the recorded firing rate. CAT is the sequence of CAs over successive time intervals. We discuss how the inclusion of spatial and temporal information improved the detection of neural network plasticity. The importance of the spatial location of neural activity has been widely emphasized in other studies. For example, spatiotemporal dipole models were used to represent the spatial distribution of underlying focal neural sources producing electroencephalographic (EEG) and magnetoencephalographic (MEG) signals (Scherg 1990, Leahy *et al* 1998).

We used a simulated network to compare CAT's ability to detect network plasticity to the alternative statistics: FR, FRH, MI, SCCC and JPSTH. No ground truth about network plasticity in living networks exists, because neuronal connectivity cannot be measured for more than a few pairs of neurons simultaneously. Therefore, we could only cross-validate the amount of plasticity detected by each statistic in a simulated network, in which the weights of *all* synapses were observable. In simulation, we modulated neural plasticity in a controlled manner, and quantified the ability of each statistic to reveal underlying changes in synaptic connectivity.

In simulation, CAT showed the ability to detect smaller changes in the distribution of network synaptic weights than did FR, FRH, MI, SCCC or JPSTH. CAT also detected more pronounced changes in the network following tetanus than the alternate statistics in living MEA cortical cultures.

By applying a shuffling method to the CAT analysis to erase spatial information about recording location in its calculation, we found that changes in activity patterns recorded from neighboring electrodes were not independent and contributed to the better performance of CAT to detect plasticity. The network plasticity was *region specific*: despite the apparent random connectivity of neurons, plasticity was not symmetrically distributed, and the location of neurons played a role in stimulus-induced plasticity.

### **Methods**

#### Simulation

**Simulated networks**—We used the Neural Circuit SIMulator (Natschlager *et al* 2002) to produce five artificial neural networks, as described previously (Chao *et al* 2005) (also see supplemental materials 1 available at stacks.iop.org/JNE/4/294). Briefly, 1000 leaky-integrate-andfire (LIF) model neurons, with a total of 50 000 synapses, were placed randomly in a 3 mm by 3 mm area (see figure 1(c)). All synapses were frequency dependent (Markram *et al* 1998, Izhikevich *et al* 2004) to model synaptic depression. 70% of the neurons were excitatory, with spike-timing-dependent plasticity (STDP) (Song *et al* 2000). We included an 8 by 8 grid of electrodes; 60 of these were used for recording and stimulation as in a real MEA (four excluded electrodes were corner electrodes 11, 18, 81 and 88, see figure 1(d)).

**Setup of networks with different synaptic states**—The *synaptic state* of a network was determined by its connections and synaptic weight distribution. In order to generate different synaptic states, we used five networks with different connectivity as reference networks. We ran the networks for 5 h in simulated time until the synaptic weights reached a steady state (see supplemental materials 1 available at stacks.iop.org/JNE/4/294). The set of synaptic weights stabilized after 5 h of spontaneous activity, without external stimuli, and was used as the initial state for the corresponding reference network.

For each reference network, we applied simulated tetanization at two randomly picked electrodes at 20 Hz, and a series of subsequent networks (different synaptic states) were collected after different tetanus durations (1, 2, 5, 10, 15, 20, 30 s and 1, 2, 5 min). That is, starting from a reference network ( $S_0$ ),  $S_1$  was the network with the synaptic state at 1 s after the start of tetanization,  $S_2$  at 2 s, and so forth. Therefore, for each pair of randomly chosen tetanization electrodes, ten new networks with different synaptic states were obtained. This process was repeated for each reference state using ten different tetanization electrode pairs. By altering the five reference networks in this manner, a total of 500 new networks with different synaptic states were obtained.

Tetanic stimulation induces long-lasting changes in synaptic transmission (Bliss and Lømo 1973), which shapes how neural circuits process information and is involved in behavioral modifications, including simple forms of learning in motor control (Fisher *et al* 1997). Administration of 20 Hz tetanization, as in our study, was widely used to induce long-term facilitation (LTF) of post-synaptic potentials at crayfish neuromuscular junctions (Wojtowicz and Atwood 1985, Delaney *et al* 1989), short-term synaptic plasticity in anesthetized fish (Fortune and Rose 2000), long-term potentiation (LTP) in hippocampal slices (Miles and Wong 1987) and modification of synaptic strength in cortical cultures (Jimbo *et al* 1999). In our simulated networks, tetanization induced both LTP and long-term depression (LTD) of synapses through STDP: firing of a post-synaptic neuron immediately after a pre-synaptic neuron results in LTP of synaptic transmission and the reverse order of firing results in LTD (Levy and Steward 1983, Markram *et al* 1998, Bi and Poo 1998, Gerstner *et al* 1996).

**Simulations with random probing sequence (RPS)**—For each network, we ran ten simulations with different 10 min random probing sequences (RPSs). Therefore, a total of 5050 simulations were performed separately on 505 networks (500 new networks and 5 reference networks). The probe stimuli were applied to all 60 electrodes, one at a time, with inter-stimulus intervals on a given electrode drawn from independent exponential distributions with a mean of 60 s. Thus, each electrode stimulated the simulated network with different random sequences, averaging 1 pulse per second for the whole array.

In each simulation, there were  $10.0 \pm 3.1$  (mean and standard deviation) stimuli delivered at each electrode. The same Gaussian noise, introduced into neurons as fluctuations in membrane voltage, was used for each simulation to control the effects of self-firing or of sub-threshold fluctuation of membrane potential on activity. In order to ensure that the statistics calculated from the same network correspond to the same synaptic state, the STDP algorithm was turned off throughout the simulation to prevent ongoing activity changing the network state.

**Plasticity statistics**—Five commonly used statistics and the center of activity trajectory (CAT) were measured from each simulation (see figure 7). The five commonly used statistics were FR, FRH, mutual information (MI), SCCC and JPSTH (see supplemental materials 2 available at stacks.iop.org/JNE/4/294).

**Center of activity trajectory (CAT)**—CAT represents spatiotemporal patterns of networkwide population activity. As applied here, it is a spatially weighted measure of temporally binned responses to single-electrode stimuli in neuronal circuitry space. During each simulation, stimuli at each electrode occurred multiple times ( $10.0 \pm 3.1$  times) in one RPS.

FRH from the recording electrode  $E_k$  to the stimulus at electrode  $P_i$ ,  $\text{FRH}_{E_j}^{P_i}$ , was defined as the average number of spikes counted in a 5 ms moving time bin with 500  $\mu$ s time step over trials.  $\text{FRH}_{E_k}^{P_i} = (n)$  represents the value of  $\text{FRH}_{E_j}^{P_i}$  in *k* the *n* bin, and  $\text{Col}(E_k)$  and Row( th  $E_k$ ) are the column number and the row number of the electrode  $E_k$ , respectively. For example, the electrode in column number 2 and row number 8 is 28 (see figure 1(d)). The value of CA in the *n*th bin for the stimulation electrode  $P_i$  has X and Y components, which are defined as

$$\begin{bmatrix} CA_{x}^{P_{i}}(n), CA_{y}^{P_{i}}(n) \end{bmatrix}_{\substack{\sum k=1 \atop k=1}}^{60} \operatorname{FRH}_{E_{k}}^{P_{i}}(n)[\operatorname{Col}(E_{k})-R_{\operatorname{col}}, \operatorname{Row}(E_{k})-R_{\operatorname{row}}]}{\sum k=1 \atop k=1}^{60} \operatorname{FRH}_{E_{k}}^{P_{i}}},$$
(1)

where  $R_{col}$  and  $R_{row}$  are the coordinates of a reference point (the physical center of the 8 by 8 grid of electrodes, in our case). CA was calculated with an electrode number in the neuronal circuitry space, which is equivalent to using the physical location since the inter-electrode spacing is constant. The corresponding *X* and *Y* components for CAT are defined as

$$CAT_{\chi}^{P_{i}} = \begin{bmatrix} CA_{\chi}^{P_{i}}(1), CA_{\chi}^{P_{i}}(2), \dots, CA_{\chi}^{P_{i}}(n), \dots, CA_{\chi}^{P_{i}}(N) \\ CAT_{\gamma}^{P_{i}} = \begin{bmatrix} CA_{\gamma}^{P_{i}}(1), CA_{\gamma}^{P_{i}}(2), \dots, CA_{\gamma}^{P_{i}}(n), \dots, CA_{\gamma}^{P_{i}}(N) \end{bmatrix},$$
(2)

where *N* is the total number of bins in  $\operatorname{FRH}_{E_j}^{P_i}$ . Intuitively, CA is analogous to the center of mass, where the 'mass' at an electrode location is determined by the recorded FR. CAT is the sequence of CAs over successive time intervals.

CA reflects spatial asymmetry of neural activity about the reference point (the center of the dish), and CAT represents the dynamics of CA. That is, if the network is firing symmetrically, the CA will be at the center of the dish, whereas if the network fires mainly in one corner then the CA will be found off-center toward that corner. CA reduces the dimensionality from 60 to 2, and it is not an injective (information-preserving) function of activity distribution. See supplemental materials 3 (available at stacks.iop.org/JNE/4/294)forCATin a simulated network, and Supplemental Materials 5 (available at stacks.iop.org/JNE/4/294) for CAT in an MEA culture.

**Evaluating the performances of different statistics**—*Performance* of a statistic was defined by the smallest change in network synaptic weights that could be detected as significant. To evaluate performance in each simulation, we evaluated the statistic for evoked

responses to all 60 stimulation electrodes and joined these together into a large vector representing the whole stimulus–response information (input–output function) of the network. We called this joint vector the whole-input–output (WIO) vector of the statistic. Figure 2 demonstrates the calculation of the WIO vector for CAT. A visualization of the change in WIO vectors for CAT from  $S_0$  to  $S_1$ – $S_{10}$  appears in figure 3.

We measured the Euclidian distances  $E(S_i)$  between ten WIO vectors (from ten simulations with different RPSs) calculated at  $S_i$  to the centroid calculated at  $S_0$  (shown as a cross in figure 3). We then compared  $E(S_i)$  for  $S_1$ – $S_{10}$  to  $E(S_0)$  separately, and the *p*-values (*n* 10 RPSs, Wilcoxon signed rank test, which tests the magnitudes of the differences between paired observations without assumptions about the form of the distribution of the measurements) were computed to quantify the significance of differences. For each state, the relation between the mean *p*-values (*n* 50, from five reference networks and ten tetanization electrode pairs per reference network) and the mean absolute synaptic change (MASC) was quantified

MASC 
$$(S_i) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{k=1}^{N} \frac{||W_k(S_i) - W_k(S_0)||}{0.5} \times 100\%,$$
 (3)

where *N* is the number of excitatory synapses and  $W_k(S_i)$  represents the synaptic weight of the *k*th excitatory synapse at network  $S_i$ . We normalized the absolute change in each synapse by the possible range, 0 to 0.5, for excitatory synapses. We determined the performance of different statistics as the minimum MASC for *p*-values below a significance threshold of 0.05; this is termed 'detectable MASC'. The smaller the MASC a statistic can detect, the better the statistic's performance.

**Evaluation of the sensitivities and specificities of different statistics**—Successful performance can be artificially enhanced if a statistic mistakes some non-significant changes as being significant. Therefore, analyzing sensitivity (ability to detect significant plasticity) and specificity (ability to discount insignificant plasticity) can further determine the quality of a statistic. *Sensitivity* was defined as the probability that a statistic indicated a significant difference when calculated from two significantly different network synaptic states (probability of a true positive). *Specificity* was defined as the probability that a statistic showed no significant difference when calculated from networks with no significant difference in synaptic state (probability of a true negative). Together, sensitivity and specificity described the accuracy of a statistic.

For each reference network, the 500 new states ( $S_1$  to  $S_{10}$ ) were individually evaluated to determine whether their synaptic weight distributions were significantly different from the distribution of the reference state (two-sample Kolmogorov–Smirnov test, which tests whether the two samples have the same distribution, two-tailed,  $\alpha = 0.05$ ). If a statistic showed a significant difference (*p*-value < 0.05; see the previous section) for a state that was significantly different than the reference state (according to the Kolmogorov–Smirnov test), then the result was classified as being a true positive (TP). Conversely, if it showed no significance, then the result was considered a false negative (FN). If a statistic showed significance when calculated from a state that was not significantly different than the reference state, then the result was considered a false positive (FP). If it showed no significance, then the result was considered a false positive (FP). FN, FP, and TN were counted for the 500 new networks, and the sensitivity and specificity were defined as

networks, and the sensitivity and specificity were defined as  $\begin{array}{l} \text{Sensivity} = \frac{\text{TP}}{\text{TP} + \text{FN}} \times 100\%, \\ \text{Specificity} = \frac{\text{TN}}{\text{FP} + \text{TN}} \times 100\%. \end{array}$ 

(4)

#### Experiments in living cultures

**Culture and experimental protocol**—Dense networks of dissociated cortical neurons were prepared and cultured as described in Potter and DeMarse (2001). Briefly, embryonic rat cortices were dissected and dissociated using papain and trituration. Fifty thousand cells (~7000 cells mm-2) were plated on multi-electrode arrays (MultiChannel Systems, Reutlingen, Germany) pre-coated with poly-ethylene-imine (PEI) and laminin. Cultures were grown in Dulbecco's Modified Eagle's Medium (DMEM) containing 10% horse serum.

Six experiments were performed on five cultures from four dissociations. Culture ages ranged from 1 to 3 months (Potter and DeMarse 2001). We delivered biphasic stimuli (monopolar) at 500 mV and 400  $\mu$ s per phase by using our custom-made stimulator (Wagenaar *et al* 2004, Wagenaar and Potter 2004). Data acquisition, visualization, artifact suppression (Wagenaar and Potter 2002) and spike detection were performed using MultiChannel Systems hardware and our publicly available acquisition and analysis software, Meabench (Potter *et al* 2006). Experiments were conducted in an incubator to control environmental conditions.

Each experiment consisted of a 2 hperiod of RPS followed by a 15 min tetanic stimulation followed by another 2 h period of RPS (Wagenaar *et al* 2006a). In six experiments, the RPS periods consisted of six electrodes stimulated in a random order at an aggregate frequency of 0.5 Hz (in one experiment, the RPS periods consisted of only four probe electrodes). Fewer electrodes were used in RPS for living networks than simulated networks because not every electrode was able to evoke responses. Two of these electrodes were used for the tetanic stimulation: 150 trains of 20 paired pulse stimuli with 10 ms intervals between paired pulses, 50 ms intervals between pairs and 6 s intervals between the start of each train. Prior to an experiment, every electrode was stimulated in a random order 20 times, and electrodes with six (or four) highest responses (the total number of spikes counted within 100 ms latency after stimuli over recording electrodes) were selected as probe electrodes. The tetanus electrodes were randomly chosen from these.

**Measures of CAT, FR, FRH and SCCC**—We used evoked responses within 100 ms after the stimuli of RPS for statistics calculations (see supplemental materials 2 available at stacks.iop.org/JNE/4/294). We measured CAT from the evoked responses in the cultured networks and compared it to the three most commonly used statistics: FR, FRH and SCCC. MI was not measured, due to its poor performance in detecting network plasticity in simulations (see results). JPSTH was not measured because of its high dimensionality and computation time (see figure 7 and supplemental materials 2 available at stacks.iop.org/JNE/4/294).

**Statistics**—For each statistic, we calculated one WIO vector every 240 s (a 'block') for the experiments with six probe stimulation electrodes, and every 160 s for the experiments with four probe stimulation electrodes. Thus, there were  $19.9 \pm 4.2$  (mean and standard deviation) stimuli delivered at each electrode for each WIO vector. Three periods were used for statistics: Pre1, Pre2 and Post1 (see figure 8(a)). Each period had a duration of 52.5 min, and the intervals between Pre1 and Pre2 and between Pre2 and Post1 were 15 min. The 15 min interval between Pre2 and Post1 was the tetanization. For each statistic, the mean distance of the WIO vectors in Pre1 to the centroid of the WIO vectors in Pre2 (*C*) was compared to the mean distance to their own centroid (*D*). The ratio of change to drift, *C/D*, was used to quantify the change from Pre1 to Pre2 before the tetanus (no change if this ratio  $\cong$ 1). A similar measure between Pre2 and Post1 was used to quantify the change across the tetanus. The performance of each statistic to detect the tetanus-induced change was quantified by comparing the two *C/Ds* (*n* = 6 experiments, Wilcoxon signed rank test).

## Results

We tested the performances of six network plasticity statistics in simulated networks: FR, FRH, MI, SCCC, JPSTH and CAT (all acronyms are shown in table 1). We then compared several of these statistics in their ability to detect tetanus-induced network plasticity in living cultures on MEAs.

## Network simulation: CAT showed the highest performance and sensitivity for detecting changes in the network synaptic state

In simulation, the synaptic connectivity can be easily controlled and monitored, and the way (s) changes in synaptic connectivity affect a statistic's value can be directly studied. Various statistics were used to study functional connectivity in simulated networks under different synaptic states. The performance of different activity statistics to small differences in network synaptic connectivity was evaluated by measuring the statistical significance of the change in each statistic under different network synaptic states, altered gradually by simulated tetanic stimulation with STDP.

Our 1000 neuron LIF model and the living networks expressed similar spontaneous, and evoked, activity patterns, demonstrating the ability of the LIF model to represent the activity of biological networks. Raster plots and FRH of spontaneous activity and evoked responses obtained from both MEA cultures and simulated networks are shown together for comparison, and demonstrate a remarkable similarity of activity patterns (figure 4) (Chao *et al* 2005). For example, the rates of barrages (the ongoing synchronized bursts of action potentials) were 0.70 Hz and 0.73 Hz, and the proportions of spikes in barrages were 76% and 71%, in spontaneous activity of living and simulated networks respectively.

A set of simulated networks with different synaptic states was created by using different electrode pairs and durations for tetanizations. In order to verify that different tetanization electrode pairs with different durations changed the synaptic weight distribution in the simulated networks, the centers of weights (CWs) (Chao *et al* (2005), see supplemental materials 7 available at stacks.iop.org/JNE/4/294) that were found for network states ( $S_0$  to  $S_{10}$ ) were calculated and are shown in figure 5(a).

CW represents the asymmetry of the network *synaptic weights* distribution. CW changed differently for different initial network synaptic weight distributions, for different tetanization electrode pairs and for different tetanization durations. Therefore, the various networks provide a basis to test the ability of various activity statistics to discriminate between synaptic states. The MASC of all different states ( $S_1$  to  $S_{10}$ ) relative to the initial  $S_0$  is shown in figure 5(b). For each tetanization duration, the mean and standard deviation of MASC were calculated (n = 50 networks, from five reference networks and ten tetanization electrode pairs per reference network). Even with significantly different CWs, MASCs from different networks 'collected' at the same tetanization duration were similar in magnitude (standard deviation < 1%, n = 50), suggesting that the magnitude of plasticity was dependent mainly on tetanus duration, as opposed to the network structure.

Simulations with RPSs were performed on simulated networks with different synaptic weight distributions. The various activity statistics of evoked responses to the RPS were calculated from each simulation. An activity statistic with good discrimination of underlying synaptic states should show different results in different networks, even with only small differences in the distribution of synaptic weights.

CAT demonstrated the highest performance in detecting changes in state among the 6 statistics. The performances of the statistics are shown in figure 6. For each state  $S_i$ , the Euclidian

distances  $E(S_i)$  between each WIO vector of the statistic from  $S_i$  to the centroid of the WIO vectors from the corresponding  $S_0$  were measured. Ten  $E(S_i)$ , measured from ten RPSs in the same network with the same tetanization electrode pair, were compared to ten corresponding  $E(S_0)$ , and the *p*-value was calculated (*n* 10 RPSs, Wilcoxon signed rank test). For each state  $S_i$ , 50 = p-values and 50 MASCs were collected from 50 networks (5 reference networks with 10 different tetanization electrode pairs per reference network). The mean and standard deviation of the *p*-values were plotted versus the corresponding MASC averaged across the networks (*n* = 50 networks). The detectable MASCs for CAT, JPSTH, SCCC, FRH, MI and FR were 4.68, 6.65, 6.75, 9.3, 11.7 and 15.7% respectively. CAT detected the smallest MASC and is therefore the best statistic.

The relative performance (the smaller the detectable MASC, the higher the performance), average compute time and dimensionality are shown in figure 7. The performance of the statistic shown in descending order is CAT, JPSTH, SCCC, FRH, MI and FR. The dimensionality of each statistic from one stimulation electrode is described in supplemental materials 2 (available at stacks.iop.org/JNE/4/294). The average compute times for CAT, FR, FRH, MI, SCCC and JPSTH were 31.8 s, 1.2 s, 30.6 s, 3.9 min, 26.4 min and 70.4 min per simulation respectively (MATLAB 7.0, AMD Athlon processor, 2.08 GHz, 512 MB RAM). Among all six statistics, only FR and FRH had a shorter compute time than CAT, and only FR had smaller dimensionality than CAT. However, CAT showed significantly higher performance than FR and FRH.

Table 2 shows the occurrences of true positives, false negatives, false positives, true negatives and the sensitivity and specificity (see methods) of each statistic. CAT showed a sensitivity of 88.7%, the highest among all, with a specificity of 82.4%, comparable to JPSTH and SCCC. FRH, MI and FR showed high specificity, which was an artifact of their low sensitivities. Sixty-eight out of the 500 new network states were found to not have significantly different distributions of network synaptic weights as compared to their original reference states (two-sample Kolmogorov–Smirnov test, two-tailed,  $\alpha = 0.05$ ).

By evaluating the performance, sensitivity, specificity and compute time, CAT was found to be most sensitive and highly efficient at detecting synaptic changes in simulated networks.

The five alternative statistics are often applied to spike-sorted data. Spike sorting is used to distinguish the spike trains of individual neurons (Ahissar *et al* 1998, Jimbo *et al* 1998, 1999, Celikel *et al* 2004), and can aid studies of neural populations (Lewicki 1998), especially for neural computations that use spike timing. In simulated networks, activity of individual neurons can be directly observed. The analysis in figure 6 was repeated using sorted neurons to investigate if the performance of the alternative statistics would improve. In the five reference simulated networks constructed,  $4.1 \pm 1.8$  neurons were recorded per electrode, and the six statistics were recalculated based on about 250 neurons instead of 60 electrodes. CAT remained unchanged as the sorted spikes were spatially summed as before (see equation (1) in methods). Despite improved performance and sensitivity of the other five statistics, CAT *still* detected the most plasticity. JPSTH, SCCC, FRH, MI and FR improved 11.1, 17.6, 11.0, 35.0 and 31.2% in performance, respectively, and improved 1.9, 5.2, 9.7, 27.7 and 62.5% in sensitivity (see supplemental materials 4 available at stacks.iop.org/JNE/4/294).

## Experiments in living cultures: CAT revealed tetanus-induced long-term plasticity significantly better than the other statistics

CAT was measured from the evoked responses to RPS in six experiments on living cultured cortical networks (CATs from all experiments are shown in supplemental materials 6 available at stacks.iop.org/JNE/4/294) and compared to the three most commonly used statistics: FR, FRH and SCCC. For visualization purposes, principal components analysis (PCA) was applied

to the series of multi-dimensional WIO vectors to capture the largest variances and graphically demonstrate trends in changes. The first two principal components were normalized by subtracting their means and then dividing by their standard deviations. The normalized first principal component (PC1) was plotted versus the normalized second principal component (PC2). An example comparing CAT, FR, FRH and SCCC is shown in figure 8(a). The corresponding CATs before and after tetanization from every block (a 240 s window, see methods) and the average CATs are shown in figure 8(b).

The change across the tetanus was significantly greater than the drift before the tetanus for CAT ( $p < 1 \times 10^{-4}$ , Wilcoxon signed rank test), FRH (p < 0.01) and SCCC (p < 0.01), but not for FR (p = 0.013). *C/D* was used to quantify the change before the tetanus and the change across the tetanus (if the change is small,  $C/D \cong 1$ ). The statistics of *C/D* from six experiments are shown in figure 8(c).

We did not perform spike sorting for experiments in living cultures. Standard spike sorting methods sort neural signals based on variations in spike waveform. In MEAs, local field potentials and overlapping action potentials distort the waveform to an extreme degree, and the electrodes are too far apart to allow triangulating common signal sources. Spike sorting was attempted, but proved to be unreliable.

#### Electrode shuffling demonstrates the importance of electrode locations shown by CAT

In order to get some idea of the degree of localization of function in cultured cortical networks, the performance of CAT statistic with electrode locations shuffled (CAT-ELS) was calculated (see supplemental materials 2 available at stacks.iop.org/JNE/4/294). In CAT-ELSs, the information about the physical locations of the recording electrodes was removed. In both simulations and experiments in living cultures, the electrode locations were shuffled ten times, and ten different corresponding CAT-ELSs were generated. The performance of these CAT-ELSs was evaluated and compared to the original CAT.

CAT, unlike the other statistics, incorporates the physical locations of the recording electrodes. This is the primary difference between methods, and we attribute CAT's superior performance in both living and simulated networks to this feature. For simulated networks, the comparison of the performance between CAT-ELS and original CAT is shown in figure 9(a). The detectable MASC (threshold *p*-value = 0.05) for mean CAT-ELS was 10.8%, which was worse than (4.68%). The decrease in performance (increase in detectable MASC) indicates that electrode locations significantly affect the performance of CAT in simulated networks. Furthermore, the sensitivity of CAT-ELS was 35.4%, significantly smaller than CAT's 88.7% (see table 2).

For living MEA cultures, one example of the comparison between CAT and CAT-ELS is shown in figure 9(b). The corresponding CAT-ELSs before and after tetanization from every block are shown in figure 9(c). The electrode location shuffling 'collapsed' the patterns of CAT-ELSs before and after tetanization (compare to figure 8(b)). The difference between pretetanization and post-tetanization clusters found in CAT was also reduced in CAT-ELS (figure 9(b)).

The statistics of *C/D* for CAT-ELS (n = 60, six experiments, ten shuffles for each experiment) are shown in figure 9(d). The change across the tetanus was significantly greater than the drift before the tetanus for CAT ( $p < 1 \times 10^{-4}$ , Wilcoxon signed rank test), but not for CAT-ELS (p = 0.19).

### Discussion

#### Statistics of functional plasticity in extracellular multi-electrode recordings

While comparisons of firing rates show plasticity in intracellular recordings, more detailed statistics incorporating spatiotemporal population activity patterns are needed to reveal plasticity in extracellular multi-electrode recordings. Electrode spacing on the order of hundreds of microns means that any induced or observed plasticity will span pathways of multiple neurons instead of neighboring monosynaptic neurons (Jimbo *et al* 1999). Intracellularly, synaptic strength is directly observable by stimulating a pre-synaptic neuron while recording from an adjacent post-synaptic neuron. Extracellularly, synaptic noise across a chain of neurons and convergent pathways will obscure firing rate measures of stimulus-induced plasticity.

Alternatively, by incorporating the timing and spatial flow of activity, spatiotemporal patterns have been found both *in vivo* and *in vitro*. Spike sequences, imposed upon the network by behavioral manipulations, recur spontaneously during subsequent sleep episodes (Nádasdy *et al* 1999, Nádasdy 2000, Lee and Wilson 2002). Calcium imaging of cortical slices reveals reactivation of sequences of neurons, 'cortical songs', with distinct spatiotemporal structures over tens of seconds (Ikegaya *et al* 2004). Robust recurrent spike patterns were also found in a detailed cortical simulation (Izhikevich *et al* 2004) and in living slices (Fellous *et al* 2004). CAT provides a new and simple statistic to detect spatiotemporal patterns in networks and extends the previous studies by quantifiably demonstrating its ability to discern plasticity.

#### **Region-specific plasticity**

Although FRH included detailed temporal information about the activity dynamics at all electrodes, it was less capable of capturing network plasticity than CAT, which has the same temporal resolution as the FRH but 'condenses' the spatial dimension by linear combination (see equation (1)). We hypothesize that this was due to the inclusion of spatial information of the electrode locations. The performance and the sensitivity of CAT with electrode locations shuffled were significantly worse than unshuffled CAT, both in simulation (the detectable MASC increased from 4.68% to 10.8% and the sensitivity decreased from 88.7% to 35.4%) and in living networks (the change across the tetanus was significantly greater than the drift before the tetanus for CAT, but not for CAT-ELS) (see figure 9 and table 2). This indicates that activity varied systematically with the electrode location, and also suggested that the observed network plasticity was *region specific*: the plasticity was not symmetrically distributed throughout the network. This further suggests that despite the apparent random connectivity of cultured neurons, neuron location played a role in tetanus-induced plasticity.

Region specificity was not limited to plasticity induced by tetanization. In simulation, we also altered the weights of randomly selected synapses in reference networks to different degrees to generate different new network states. CAT still showed the highest sensitivity to changes in MASC, and furthermore, the sensitivity of CAT-ELS was still significantly lower (data not shown). Despite the synaptic plasticity not being region specific, the spatiotemporal flow of neural activity was region dependent, effectively making the plasticity of neural activity region specific. This result supports the notion of synfire chains or braids of neural activity (Ikegaya *et al* 2004, Izhikevich 2005), where information is transmitted in a pipeline of neighboring pathways as opposed to a single string of connections. In this study, tetanization was used to obtain different synaptic states since it provided a realistic form of plasticity and a straightforward comparison to our study of local functional plasticity in living networks.

A common misconception regarding dissociated cultures is that they are random, homogeneous and lack structure, and thus cannot support stable changes to synaptic weights associated with

memory formation. While plated from a random cell suspension, microscopic observation reveals that a heterogeneous arrangement develops over time (Gross and Kowalski 1999, Segev *et al* 2003). Although very different than structures found *in vivo*, the ability of neurons and glia to interact remains and a network having a diverse array of activity arises spontaneously (Wagenaar *et al* 2006b). Altering sensory input of thalamic relays to cortical areas has demonstrated that the cortex develops structure according to the type of the sensory input (Sur *et al* 1988), which suggests an important relationship between neural structure and function. CAT demonstrates that structure is also relevant to neural function in a cultured network, and that tetanic stimulation alters network function. Future experiments will incorporate closed-loop sensory-motor feedback and optical imaging to investigate the network mechanisms of our cultures to functionally and structurally adapt to environmental interaction (Potter *et al* 2006).

### CAT versus population coding

It is important to note that CAT is distinct from the population vector description of neural activity (Georgopoulos *et al* 1986, Caminiti *et al* 1990). Population coding, which is widespread in the brain and in invertebrate nervous systems, has been found in the motor cortex (Georgopoulos 1994), premotor cortex (Caminiti *et al* 1990), hippocampus (Wilson and McNaughton 1993) and other cortical areas. It demonstrates how the firing rates of a group of broadly tuned (e.g., to a direction of arm movement) neurons, taken together, provide an accurately tuned representation. With population codes, a fixed-weight linear combination of neuronal activity is projected in a sensory input space or a motor output space (Carmena *et al* 2003). In contrast, CAT incorporates information about the physical recording locations into its linear combination calculation, and projects neuronal activities recorded at different sites into the actual neuronal location space in order to depict the dynamics of the population activity. Furthermore, the linear combination of activities in CAT is normalized by the total firing rate across all electrodes (see equation (1)).

CA is a measure of the asymmetry of the spatial activity distribution, and CAT is a measure of its dynamics. A similar measure of population activity flow was applied in human study to quantify the trajectory patterns of the traveling electroencephalographic alpha waves across the scalp (Manjarrez *et al* 2007).

#### Plasticity versus spontaneous bursting

Without external stimulation, the most prominent feature of spontaneous activity found in MEA cultures and in simulated networks is synchronous bursting (Wong *et al* 1993, Kamioka *et al* 1996, Gross and Kowalski 1999, Van Pelt *et al* 2004, Wagenaar *et al* 2005), and bursts were found to have effects on tetanus-induced synaptic plasticity in cortical neurons (Maeda *et al* 1998). In simulation, the network synaptic state after tetanization was found to change gradually due to the presence of spontaneous bursts, which makes quantifying tetanus-induced plasticity difficult (Chao *et al* 2005). In the six experiments we performed on living MEA cultures,  $8.57 \pm 3.33$  spontaneous bursts per minute and  $16.06 \pm 4.55$  stimulus-evoked bursts per minute were observed. Even with the presence of the spontaneous bursts, the tetanus-induced plasticity was still detected by using CAT. Since the level of bursting can be finely controlled in MEA cultures with multi-site stimulation (Wagenaar *et al* 2005), we plan to use CAT to investigate how the degree of bursting affects a network's ability to produce and/or maintain plasticity.

CAT's superior performance, sensitivity and low computational load make it an attractive method for real-time applications. CAT can also be applied to *in vivo* multi-electrode or optical recording studies for neural activity aligned to behavioral or sensory cues. As techniques for observing distributed activity become faster and more fine-grained, studying the details of the

spatial flow of activity through neuronal networks will reveal more and more about processes of learning and memory.

### Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

#### Acknowledgments

This work was partially supported by grants NS38628 from NIH/NIDS, EB000786 from NIH/NIBIB and DA18250 from NIH/NIDA, and by the Whitaker Foundation and the NSF Center for Behavioral Neuroscience. We thank Radhika Madhavan for technical assistance and Douglas Swehla for valuable inputs.

#### References

- Ahissar E, Abeles M, Ahissar M, Haidarliu S, Vaadia E. Hebbian-like functional plasticity in the auditory cortex of the behaving monkey. Neuropharmacology 1998;37:633–55. [PubMed: 9705003]
- Ahissar E, Vaadia E, Ahissar M, Bergman H, Arieli A, Abeles M. Dependence of cortical plasticity on correlated activity of single neurons and on behavioral context. Science 1992;257:1412–5. [PubMed: 1529342]
- Bao S, Chang EF, Davis JD, Gobeske KT, Merzenich MM. Progressive degradation and subsequent refinement of acoustic representations in the adult auditory cortex. J. Neurosci 2003;23:10765–75. [PubMed: 14645468]
- Baruchi I, Ben-Jacob E. Functional holography of recorded neuronal networks activity. J. Neuroinform 2004;2:333–52.
- Bi GQ, Poo MM. Synaptic modifications in cultured hippocampal neurons: dependence on spike timing, synaptic strength, and postsynaptic cell type. J. Neurosci 1998;18:10464–72. [PubMed: 9852584]
- Bliss TV, Lømo T. Long-lasting potentiation of synaptic transmission in the dentate area of the anaesthetized rabbit following stimulation of the perforant path. J. Physiol 1973;232:331–56. [PubMed: 4727084]
- Brown EN, Kass RE, Mitra PP. Multiple neural spike train data analysis: state-of-the-art and future challenges. Nat. Neurosci 2004;7:456–61. [PubMed: 15114358]
- Buonomano DV. Cortical plasticity: from synapses to maps. Ann. Rev. Neurosci 1998;21:149–86. [PubMed: 9530495]
- Caminiti R, Johnson PB, Burnod Y, Galli C, Ferraina S. Shifts of preferred directions of premotor cortical cells with arm movements performed across the workspace. Exp. Brain Res 1990;83:228–32. [PubMed: 2073945]
- Carmena JM, Lebedev MA, Crist RE, O'Doherty JE, Santucci DM, Dimitrov DF, Patil PG, Henriquez CS, Nicolelis MAL. Learning to control a brain–machine interface for reaching and grasping by primates. PLoS Biol 2003;1:1–16.
- Celikel T, Szostak VA, Feldman DE. Modulation of spike timing by sensory deprivation during induction of cortical map plasticity. Nat. Neurosci 2004;7:534–41. [PubMed: 15064767]
- Chao ZC, Bakkum DJ, Wagenaar DA, Potter SM. Effects of random external background stimulation on network synaptic stability after tetanization—a modeling study. Neuroinformatics 2005;3:263–80. [PubMed: 16077162]
- Chornoboy ES, Schramm LP, Karr AF. Maximum likelihood identification of neural point process systems. Biol. Cybern 1988;59:265–75. [PubMed: 3196770]
- David O, Cosmelli D, Friston KJ. Evaluation of different measures of functional connectivity using a neural mass model. Neuroimage 2004;21:659–73. [PubMed: 14980568]
- Delaney KR, Zucker RS, Tank DW. Calcium in motor nerve terminals associated with posttetanic potentiation. J. Neurosci 1989;9:3558–67. [PubMed: 2795140]
- Fellous J-M, Tiesinga PHE, Thomas PJ, Sejnowski TJ. Discovering spike patterns in neuronal responses. J. Neurosci 2004;24:2989–3001. [PubMed: 15044538]

- Fisher SA, Fischer TM, Carew TJ. Multiple overlapping processes underlying short-term synaptic enhancement. Trends Neurosci 1997;20:170–7. [PubMed: 9106358]
- Fortune ES, Rose GJ. Short-term synaptic plasticity contributes to the temporal filtering of electrosensory information. J. Neurosci 2000;20:7122. [PubMed: 10995860]
- Georgopoulos A, Schwartz A, Kettner R. Neuronal population coding of movement direction. Science 1986;233:1416–9. [PubMed: 3749885]
- Georgopoulos, AP. Selectionism and the Brain. Academic; San Diego: 1994. Population activity in the control of movement; p. 103-19.
- Gerstner W, Kempter R, van Hemmen JL, Wagner H. A neuronal learning rule for sub-millisecond temporal coding. Nature 1996;6595:76–7. [PubMed: 8779718]
- Gross GW. Simultaneous single unit recording in vitro with a photoetched laser deinsulated gold multimicroelectrode surface. IEEE Trans. Biomed. Eng 1979;26:273–9. [PubMed: 447356]
- Gross GW, Kowalski JM. Origins of activity patterns in self-organizing neuronal networks in vitro. J. Intell. Mater. Syst. Struct 1999;10:558–64.
- Ikegaya Y, Aaron G, Cossart R, Aronov D, Lampl I, Ferster D, Yuste R. Synfire chains and cortical songs: temporal modules of cortical activity. Science 2004;304:559–64. [PubMed: 15105494]
- Izhikevich EM. Polychronization: computation with spikes. Neural Comput 2005;18:245–82. [PubMed: 16378515]
- Izhikevich EM, Gally JA, Edelman GM. Spike-timing dynamics of neuronal groups. Cereb. Cortex 2004;14:933–44. [PubMed: 15142958]
- Jimbo Y, Robinson HPC, Kawana A. Strengthening of synchronized activity by tetanic stimulation in cortical cultures: application of planar electrode arrays. IEEE Trans. Biomed. Eng 1998;45:1297– 304. [PubMed: 9805828]
- Jimbo Y, Tateno T, Robinson HPC. Simultaneous induction of pathway-specific potentiation and depression in networks of cortical neurons. Biophys. J 1999;76:670–8. [PubMed: 9929472]
- Kamioka H, Maeda E, Jimbo Y, Robinson HPC, Kawana A. Spontaneous periodic synchronized bursting during formation of mature patterns of connections in cortical cultures. Neurosci. Lett 1996;206:109– 12. [PubMed: 8710163]
- Kudrimoti HS, Barnes CA, McNaughton BL. Reactivation of hippocampal cell assemblies: effects of behavioral state, experience, and EEG dynamics. J. Neurosci 1999;19:4090–101. [PubMed: 10234037]
- Leahy RM, Mosher JC, Spencer ME, Huang MX, Lewine JD. A study of dipole localization accuracy for MEG and EEG using a human skull phantom. Electroencephalogr. Clin. Neurophysiol 1998;107:159–73. [PubMed: 9751287]
- Lee A, Wilson M. Memory of sequential experience in the hippocampus during slow wave sleep. Neuron 2002;36:1183–94. [PubMed: 12495631]
- Levy WB, Steward O. Temporal contiguity requirements for long-term associative potentiation/ depression in the hippocampus. Neuroscience 1983;8:791–7. [PubMed: 6306504]
- Lewicki MS. A review of methods for spike sorting: the detection and classification of neural action potentials. Network 1998;9:R53–78. [PubMed: 10221571]
- Maeda E, Kuroda Y, Robinson HPC, Kawana A. Modification of parallel activity elicited by propagating bursts in developing networks of rat cortical neurons. Eur. J. Neurosci 1998;10:488–96. [PubMed: 9749711]
- Manjarrez E, Vazquez M, Flores A. Computing the center of mass for traveling alpha waves in the human brain. Brain Res 2007;1145:239–47. [PubMed: 17320825]
- Markram H, Gupta A, Uziel A, Wang Y, Tsodyks M. Information processing with frequency-dependent synaptic connections. Neurobiol. Learn. Mem 1998;70:101–12. [PubMed: 9753590]
- Merzenich M, Sameshima K. Cortical plasticity and memory. Curr. Opin. Neurobiol 1993;3:187–96. [PubMed: 8513231]
- Miles R, Wong RK. Inhibitory control of local excitatory circuits in the guinea-pig hippocampus. J. Physiol 1987;388:611–29. [PubMed: 3656200]
- Morris KF, Baekey DM, Nuding SC, Dick TE, Shannon R, Lindsey BG. Invited review: neural network plasticity in respiratory control. J. Appl. Physiol 2003;94:1242–52. [PubMed: 12571145]

Nádasdy Z. Spike sequences and their consequences. J. Physiol 2000;94:505-24.

- Nádasdy Z, Hirase H, Czurkó A, Csicsvari J, Buzsáki G. Replay and time compression of recurring spike sequences in the hippocampus. J. Neurosci 1999;19:9497–507. [PubMed: 10531452]
- Natschläger, T.; Markram, H.; Maass, W. Computer models and analysis tools for neural microcircuits. In: Kötter, R., editor. Neuroscience Databases. A Practical Guide. Kluwer Academic; Boston, MA: 2002. p. 123-38.
- Okatan, M.; Wilson, MA.; Brown, EN. Analyzig Functional Connectivity Using a Network Likelihood Model of Ensemble Neural Spiking Activity. MIT Press; Cambridge, MA: 2005.
- Pine J. Recording action potentials from cultured neurons with extracellular microcircuit electrodes. J. Neurosci. Methods 1980;2:19–31. [PubMed: 7329089]
- Potter SM, DeMarse TB. A new approach to neural cell culture for long-term studies. J. Neurosci. Methods 2001;110:17–24. [PubMed: 11564520]
- Potter, SM.; Wagenaar, DA.; DeMarse, TB.; Taketani, M.; Baudry, M. Advances In Network Electrophysiology Using Multi-Electrode Arrays. Kluwer, Springer; Dordrecht: 2006. Closing the loop: stimulation feedback systems for embodied MEA cultures.
- Reich DS, Victor JD, Knight BW, Ozaki T, Kaplan E. Response variability and timing precision of neuronal spike trains in vivo. J. Neurophysiol 1997;77:2836–41. [PubMed: 9163398]
- Rosenkranz JA, Grace AA. Modulation of basolateral amygdala neuronal firing and afferent drive by dopamine receptor activation in vivo. J. Neurosci 1999;19:11027. [PubMed: 10594083]
- Ruaro ME, Bonifazi P, Torre V. Toward the neurocomputer: image processing and pattern recognition with neuronal cultures. IEEE Trans. Biomed. Eng 2005;52:371–83. [PubMed: 15759567]
- Scherg M. Fundamentals of dipole source potential analysis. Adv. Audiol 1990;6:40-69.
- Segev R, Benveniste M, Shapira Y, Ben-Jacob E. Formation of electrically active clusterized neural networks. Phys. Rev. Lett 2003;90:168101. [PubMed: 12732015]
- Shefi O, Golding I, Segev R, Ben-Jacob E, Ayali A. Morphological characterization of in vitro neuronal networks. Phys. Rev. E 2002;66:021905.
- Song S, Miller KD, Abbott LF. Competitive Hebbian learning through spike-timing-dependent synaptic plasticity. Nat. Neurosci 2000;3:919–26. [PubMed: 10966623]
- Sur M, Garraghty P, Roe A. Experimentally induced visual projections into auditory thalamus and cortex. Science 1988;242:1437–41. [PubMed: 2462279]
- Van Pelt J, Wolters PS, Corner MA, Rutten WLC, Ramakers GJA. Longterm characterization of firing dynamics of spontaneous bursts in cultured neural networks. IEEE Trans. Biomed. Eng 2004;51:2051–62. [PubMed: 15536907]
- Wagenaar D, Madhavan R, Pine J, Potter S. Controlling bursting in cortical cultures with closed-loop multi-electrode stimulation. J. Neurosci 2005;25:680–8. [PubMed: 15659605]
- Wagenaar D, Pine J, Potter S. Effective parameters for stimulation of dissociated cultures using multielectrode arrays. J. Neurosci. Methods 2004;138:27–37. [PubMed: 15325108]
- Wagenaar D, Pine J, Potter SM. Searching for plasticity in dissociated cortical cultures on multi-electrode arrays. J. Negat. Results Biomed 2006a;5:16. [PubMed: 17067395]
- Wagenaar DA, Pine J, Potter SM. An extremely rich repertoire of bursting patterns during the development of cortical cultures. BMC Neurosci 2006b;7:11. [PubMed: 16464257]
- Wagenaar DA, Potter SM. Real-time multi-channel stimulus artifact suppression by local curve fitting. J. Neurosci. Methods 2002;120:113–20. [PubMed: 12385761]
- Wagenaar DA, Potter SM. A versatile all-channel stimulator for electrode arrays, with real-time control. J. Neural Eng 2004;1:39–44. [PubMed: 15876621]
- Wilson M, McNaughton B. Dynamics of the hippocampal ensemble code for space. Science 1993;261:1055–8. [PubMed: 8351520]
- Wojtowicz JM, Atwood HL. Correlation of presynaptic and postsynaptic events during establishment of long-term facilitation at crayfish neuromuscular junction. J. Neurophysiol 1985;54:220–30. [PubMed: 2411884]
- Wong ROL, Meister M, Shatz CJ. Transient period of correlated bursting activity during development of the mammalian retina. Neuron 1993;11:923–38. [PubMed: 8240814]



#### Figure 1.

Living MEA culture versus simulated network. The simulated neural network and stimulation electrodes were constructed to mimic the dissociated cultured network and MEA setup. (a) A view of a living MEA culture with 60 electrodes. (b) Neurons, tagged with yellow fluorescent protein, in the highlighted area shown in (a). (c) The structure of a simulated network with 1000 LIF neurons located in a 3 mm by 3 mm region. The circles indicate the neurons, the light-gray lines represent the excitatory synapses and the dark-gray lines represent the inhibitory synapses. All neurons are shown but only 15% of the synaptic connections are shown for clarity. The thick black lines emphasize the connections from a particular randomly selected neuron. (d) The locations of 64 electrodes are shown in circles, and marked with column–row numbers. The connections of the same neuron highlighted in (c) are depicted in light gray.

**NIH-PA** Author Manuscript



#### Figure 2.

Whole-input-output (WIO) vectors for analyzing performances of different statistics. WIO vectors calculated from each statistic were used to represent the network input-output function. As an example, the WIO vector of CAT calculated from probe responses to one RPS at one network state is demonstrated. (a) An RPS,  $RPS_k$ , was delivered into a network with the synaptic state  $S_i$ . (b) CA was calculated for evoked responses to the stimulation electrode  $P_i$ (j = 1 to 60). Each frame indicates the firing rate over a 5 ms moving time window (with a 500  $\mu$ s time step) on an 8 by 8 grid of electrodes averaged over multiple stimuli at  $P_i$  (RPS<sub>k</sub> might have multiple stimuli delivered at  $P_i$ , see (a)). The 2D trajectory of CAs from frame 1 to frame N (from 0 to 100 ms after the stimuli), CAT, can be represented by a 1D vector by joining  $CAT_X$  and  $CAT_Y$ . This vector represents CAT of responses to stimuli  $P_i$  at the network state  $S_{i}$  (c) CATs for responses to 60 different stimulation electrodes ( $P_1$  to  $P_{60}$ ) were joined together to form the WIO vector. This WIO vector represents the input-output function, in terms of CAT, of the network state S<sub>i</sub>. For each statistic, each synaptic state has one corresponding WIO vector to describe its input-output function. The statistic that is sensitive to changes in network synaptic states should be able to show significantly different WIO vectors from different synaptic states. One WIO vector was constructed for each RPS (RPS<sub>k</sub>, k = 1 to10) in each network state ( $S_i$ , i = 0 to 10). Therefore, for each statistic, 5050 WIO vectors were obtained

 $(=(500+5) \times 10.505:500$  new networks + 5 reference networks, 10: number of RPSs delivered to each network).



#### Figure 3.

Multi-dimensional whole-input–output (WIO) vectors measured in different synaptic states in simulated networks. The WIO vectors measured from different synaptic states were different. This is a cross-viewing 3D stereogram of an example of the WIO vectors for CATs from the simulations at  $S_0$  to  $S_{10}$  (generated by the same tetanization electrodes). Principal components analysis (PCA) was applied on the WIO vectors to visualize the data. Each symbol represents the first three principal components (PC1–PC3) of the WIO vector of a CAT from one simulation. Each synaptic state  $S_i$  has ten corresponding symbols, which represent the results from ten different simulations (with different RPSs). The distance of each symbol from the centroid of  $S_0$  (shown as a cross) indicates the amount of change in CATs between the corresponding synaptic state and the reference state. CATs obtained from  $S_0$  than those from shorter tetanizations, indicating that longer tetani cause greater plasticity.



#### Figure 4.

Comparison of the network activities from a MEA culture and a simulated network. Simulated spontaneous activity and evoked responses resemble the experimentally recorded data. First row: 1 min of spontaneous activity was recorded from a living network by a 60 channel MEA and in simulation for comparison. The upper panels are spike raster plots. The lower panels are firing rate histograms, with bin sizes of 100 ms. Second row: 50 trials of evoked responses recorded by one electrode in a living network and in simulation are shown for comparison. The upper panels are firing rate histograms with a bin size of 0.1 ms. The timings of stimuli for each trial were aligned at time zero. In the simulation, each electrode recorded the activities occurring within 100  $\mu$ m.



#### Figure 5.

Setup of different synaptic states in simulation. A series of networks with different synaptic states were obtained by tetanization at different electrode pairs and with different durations from the reference network. From each reference network  $S_0$ , ten tetani at different electrode pairs were delivered. For each tetanization electrode pair, ten synaptic states were obtained after different durations. (a) Different tetanization electrode pairs caused different changes in synaptic weight distribution. The center of weights (CW) (see supplemental materials 7 available at stacks.iop.org/JNE/4/294) was used to visualize how the symmetry of the network synaptic weight distribution changed over time. Each curve represents CWs corresponding to a tetanization electrode pair (the column–row numbers of the electrodes are shown at the end of each curve). Synaptic states ( $S_1$  to  $S_{10}$ ) 'collected' at different tetanization durations and the corresponding reference state  $S_0$  are shown as dots. (b) The relation between mean absolute synaptic change (MASC) and the duration of tetanization (note log scale) from five reference networks. The means and the standard deviations of MASCs are shown (n = 50 networks: from five reference networks, each with ten different tetani).



#### Figure 6.

Evaluating the performances of different statistics. CAT showed the highest performance to detect changes in the synaptic state among six statistics. The performance of different statistics to detect changes in the synaptic state was evaluated by finding the 'detectable MASC' at the point the *p*-values reach a threshold of 0.05 (shown as arrows). For each state  $S_i$ ,50 *p*-values and 50 MASCs were collected from 50 networks (five reference networks with ten different tetanization electrode pairs per reference network, see results). The mean and standard deviation of the *p*-values (*n* = 50 networks) were plotted versus the corresponding MASC averaged across the networks (*n* 50 networks). The mean and standard deviation of MASCs (*n* = 50 networks) are shown on the top of the figure (with vertical offsets for clarity). The performance of the statistic to detect the difference in MASC shown in descending order is CAT, JPSTH, SCCC, FRH, MI and FR.



#### Figure 7.

Comparison of the six different statistics. CAT was the most sensitive activity statistic and was highly efficient. Examples of six statistics calculated from the same RPS during three synaptic states are shown:  $S_0$  (reference network),  $S_7$  (network with ~50% of the maximal MASC, see figure 5(b)) and  $S_{10}$  (network with the maximal MASC). All statistics were obtained from the same randomly chosen stimulation electrode. CAT: CATs are plotted as  $CAT_X$  versus  $CAT_Y$ from blue to red. FR: number of spikes per ms at each recording electrode is displayed according to the corresponding location in the 8 by 8 grids. FRH: FRHs, in the unit of number of spikes per ms, from a randomly chosen recording electrode are plotted. MI: MIs above 0.75 bits are plotted as colored lines between the corresponding electrode pairs. SCCC: SCCCs above zero from a randomly chosen pair of recording electrodes are plotted. JPSTH: JPSTH from the same randomly chosen pair of recording electrodes are shown. The performance (quantified by detectable MASC), compute time and dimensionality, normalized by the values for CAT, are shown on the right. The axes for detectable MASC, compute time and dimensionality are shown on the bottom in red, green and blue respectively (the latter two are with logarithmic scales). Among all six statistics, only FR and FRH had shorter compute time than CAT, and only FR had smaller dimensionality than CAT. However, CAT had significantly smaller detectable MASC than FR and FRH. CAT showed significantly higher performance to detect the difference in the network synaptic state than other statistics.



#### Figure 8.

Comparison of the changes in CAT, FR, FRH and SCCC across tetanization in living MEA cultures. (a) An example of comparison of CAT, FR, FRH and SCCC (from evoked responses to RPS in one experiment) before and after tetanization is shown. Principal components analysis (PCA) was applied on multi-dimensional WIO vectors for visualization purposes. The normalized principal component was obtained by removing its mean and then dividing through by its standard deviation. The normalized first principal component (PC1) was plotted versus the normalized second principal component (PC2). Each dot represents the statistic calculated from every block (a 240 s window), and the color indicates the corresponding time (shown in the colorbar). The black dashed line represents the tetanus. The separation between pretetanization clusters (bluish dots) and post-tetanization clusters (reddish dots) indicates the change of the statistic across the tetanus. (b) Different patterns of CATs were observed before and after tetanization. CATs from an example experiment were overlaid (black trajectories), and the average CATs were shown by series of circles (from blue to red across 100 ms probe response). The trajectories for every experiment can be found in the supplemental materials 6 (available at stacks.iop.org/JNE/4/294). (c) The statistics of C/D from six experiments showed that the change across the tetanus was significantly greater than the drift before the tetanus for CAT (\*\*,  $p < 1 \times 10^{-4}$ , Wilcoxon signed rank test), FRH (\*, p < 0.01) and SCCC (\*, p < 0.01), but not for FR (p = 0.013). The *p*-values indicate that CAT was more capable of detecting the change over the drift than FRH, SCCC and FR.



#### Figure 9.

Comparison of CAT and CAT-ELS in simulated and living networks. (a) A comparison of the performance of CAT and CAT-ELS in simulated networks (the representation is the same as figure 6). Ten performance curves corresponding to different random shuffled electrode locations (CAT-ELS) and the mean of the ten curves (Mean CAT-ELS) are shown. The performance curve of FRH is also shown for comparison. The detectable MASC (threshold p-value = 0.05) for mean CAT-ELS was 10.8%, which was greater than CAT (4.68%). The decrease in performance (increase in detectable MASC) indicates the importance of physical electrode locations in the performance of CAT in simulated networks. (b) An example comparison of CAT and CAT-ELS in a living MEA culture before and after tetanization (the data used and representation are the same as in figure 8(a)). The difference between pretetanization clusters (bluish dots) and post-tetanization clusters (reddish dots) was reduced by shuffling electrode locations in CAT-ELS. (c) The electrode locations shuffling 'collapsed' the patterns of CAT-ELSs before and after tetanization in a living MEA culture. The difference between before and after tetanization trajectories (compared to figure 8(b)) was reduced in CAT-ELS. (d) The statistics of C/D for CAT-ELS in living networks (n = 60, six experiments, ten shuffles for each experiment). The change across the tetanus was not significantly different than the drift before the tetanus (p = 0.19, Wilcoxon signed rank test), unlike CAT (\*\*, p < 1 $\times 10^{-4}$ ). Thus, for both simulated and living networks, the shuffling of signals from different electrodes greatly reduces the performance of CAT for detecting stimulus-induced synaptic change over a background of continual synaptic drift.

## Acronym list.

#### Table 1

Abbreviation	Full name	
Activity statistics		
FR	Firing rate	
FRH	Firing rate histogram	
MI	Mutual information	
SCCC	Shift-predictor corrected	
	cross-correlogram	
CAT/CA	Center of activity trajectory/	
	center of activity	
CAT-ELS	Center of activity trajectory with	
	electrode locations shuffled	
Analyses		
WIO vector	Whole-input–output vector	
CW	Center of weights	
MASC	Mean absolute synaptic change	
C/D	Change-to-drift ratio	
Others		
RPS	Random probing sequence	
MEA	Multi-electrode array	
LIF	Leaky-integrate-and-fire	
STDP	Spike-timing-dependent	
	Plasticity	

**NIH-PA Author Manuscript** 

A Author Manuscr	NIH-P	uscript	Author Manu	NIH-PA	ot	r Manuscrip	<b>NIH-PA</b> Autho	
Sensitiv	ity versus specifi	city in simulated	Table networks.	2				
Statistic	CAT	HTSAL	sccc	FRH	III	FR	CAT-ELS (ten shuffles)	
True positive (%)	77.6	70.4	68.0	47.4	44.0	27.2		30.6
False negative (%)	8.8	16.0	18.4	39.0	42.4	59.2		55.8
True negative (%)	11.2	11.0	11.2	12.2	13.6	13.6		12.6
False positive (%)	2.4	2.6	2.4	1.4	0	0		1.0
Sensitivity (%)	88.7	83.8	78.7	54.9	50.9	31.5		35.4
Specificity (%)	82.4	81.2	82.4	90.6	100	100		92.9

**NIH-PA** Author Manuscript