

# NIH Public Access

**Author Manuscript**

*J Mol Biol*. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2008 November 4.

Published in final edited form as: *J Mol Biol*. 2005 September 16; 352(2): 396–408. doi:10.1016/j.jmb.2005.07.030.

## **Properties of Motility in** *Bacillus subtilis* **Powered by the H+- Coupled MotAB Flagellar Stator, Na+-Coupled MotPS or Hybrid Stators MotAS or MotPB**

**Masahiro Ito**1,\* , **Naoya Terahara**1, **Shun Fujinami**1, and **Terry Ann Krulwich**2

1 *Graduate School of Life Sciences, Toyo University, Oura-gun, Gunma 374-0193, Japan*

2 *Department of Pharmacology and Biological Chemistry, Mount Sinai School of Medicine, New York, New York, 10029 U.S.A*

## **Abstract**

*Bacillus subtilis* has a single set of flagellar rotor proteins that interact with two distinct stator-force generators, the H<sup>+</sup>-coupled MotAB complex and the  $Na<sup>+</sup>$ -coupled MotPS complex, that energize rotation. Here, motility on soft agar plates and in liquid was assayed in wild-type *B. subtilis* and strains expressing only one stator, either MotAB, MotPS or hybrid MotAS or MotPB. The strains expressing MotAB or MotAS had an average of 11 flagella/cell while those expressing MotPS or MotPB had an average of 7 flagella/cell, while a Mot-less double mutant had 3-4 flagella/cell. MotAB had a more dominant role in motility than MotPS under most conditions, but MotPS supported comparable motility to MotAB on malate-containing soft agar plating media at elevated pH and Na+. MotAB supported much faster swimming speeds in liquid than MotPS, MotAS or MotPB under all conditions, but a contribution of MotPS to wild-type swimming was discernible from differences in swimming speeds of wild-type and MotAB at elevated viscosity,  $pH$  and  $Na<sup>+</sup>$ . Swimming supported by MotPS and MotAS was stimulated by  $Na<sup>+</sup>$  and elevated pH whereas the converse was true of MotAB and MotPB. This suggests that MotAS is Na<sup>+</sup>-coupled and MotPB is H<sup>+</sup>-coupled and that MotB and MotS are major determinants of ion-coupling. However, the swimming speed supported by MotPB, as well as MotPS and MotAS, was inhibited severely at  $Na<sup>+</sup>$  concentrations above 300 mM whereas MotAB-dependent swimming was not. The presence of either the MotP or MotS component in the stator also conferred sensitivity to inhibition by an amiloride analogue. These observations suggest that MotP contributes to Na<sup>+</sup>-coupling and inhibition by Na<sup>+</sup> channel inhibitors. Similarly, a role for MotA in H+-dependent stator properties is indicated by the larger effects of pH on the Na+-response of MotAS *vs* MotPS. Finally, optimal function at elevated viscosity was found only in MotPS and MotPB and is therefore conferred by MotP.

## **Keywords**

*Bacillus*; flagella; MotAB; MotPS; sodium

## **Introduction**

Bacterial flagella are helical structures that function as propellers whose rotation depends upon membrane-associated rotary motors<sup>1</sup>. The motors are powered by electrochemical ion gradients across the cytoplasmic membrane<sup>2</sup>. Energy coupling is mediated by inward ion flux

<sup>\*</sup>Corresponding author: Masahiro Ito, Graduate School of Life Sciences, Toyo University, 1-1-1Izumino, Itakura-machi, Oura-gun, Gunma 374-0193 Japan, Tel & Fax: +81-276-82-9231, e-mail: ito@itakura.toyo.ac.jp.

through channels in membrane-embedded flagellar stator complexes. The cytoplasmic domains of these complexes interact in some incompletely understood manner with the FliG protein of the rotor to cause rotation during ion flux<sup>3</sup>; 4; 5<sup> $\zeta$ </sup>, 6. The stator complexes contain 4-TMS MotA and 1-TMS MotB proteins or their homologues<sup>4; 7</sup> and require additional proteins in some bacteria<sup>8; 9</sup>. Together, MotA and MotB constitute the ion channels that are required for motility<sup>10; 11; 12</sup>. The MotB protein contains a conserved acidic residue that is critical for the ion pathway in *E. coli*<sup>13</sup>. MotB is additionally thought to support the stator function of the complexes via contacts with the rigid peptidoglycan layer of the cell wall<sup>14; 15</sup>. Electron microscopic evidence indicates that multiple stator complexes surround each flagellar rotor10; 16; 17 and recent biochemical studies suggest that each stator complex contains four MotA proteins and two MotB proteins so that a stator complex has a total of eighteen TMS and two ion channel pathways<sup>12; 18; 19</sup>.

Rotation of most bacterial flagella is coupled to an electrochemical gradient of H+ across the cytoplasmic membrane (pmf, negative and alkaline inside the cells  $2^{20}$ ; 21; 22, but rotation of others is coupled to an electrochemical gradient of  $Na^+$  (smf, negative and lower [Na<sup>+</sup>] inside the cell)23; 24. Thus far only extremely alkaliphilic *Bacillus* strains and *Vibrio cholerae* are known to rely solely on Na<sup>+</sup>-coupled motility<sup>24</sup>; 25; 26; 27, whereas other alkaline-tolerant bacteria have been shown or inferred from genomic evidence to possess both  $H^+$ - and  $Na^+$ coupled flagellar motors<sup>23; 28; 29; 30</sup>. For example, Na<sup>+</sup>-coupled motility of extensively studied *Vibrio alginolyticus* and *Vibrio parahaemolyticus* utilizes a constitutively expressed, single polar flagellum, whereas  $H^+$ -coupled motility is mediated by multiple lateral flagella that are produced under specific conditions (involving viscous and/or surface environments) <sup>30</sup>. The polar and lateral flagella of these *Vibrio* are encoded by distinct sets of genes<sup>29</sup>. A growing number of other bacteria exhibit dual motility systems only some of which are encoded by entirely distinct sets of genes or use different coupling ions $30$ . In each bacterial setting the dual motility systems appear to optimize motility under different conditions (reviewed in  $29$ ; 30). The dual motility systems of *Bacillus subtilis* that are studied here are a recently discovered variation on the theme of dual motility systems. *B. subtilis* possesses two different stators,  $H^+$ -coupled MotAB<sup>21; 22; 31</sup> and Na<sup>+</sup>-coupled MotPS<sup>26</sup>, but apparently possesses only one set of flagellar rotor genes so that both stators would have to interact with a single form of FliG32; 33. In *B. subtilis,* all motility is abolished in mutants of the large *fla/che* operon that encodes FliG and other proteins that participate in the rotor "switch complex"  $34$ . This dual motility system offers the opportunity to examine whether two stators with different ioncoupling properties interact differently with a single FliG and to probe the roles of each Mot protein in the properties conferred by the stator. As with other  $Na^+$ –coupled stators,  $Na^+$  is a useful chemical probe of the ion pathway as are specific inhibitors of Na<sup>+</sup> channels<sup>7</sup>; 35; 36; 37; 38. In this study, motility on soft agar plates and swimming speed in liquid were assayed in wild-type *B. subtilis* and in strains that each express only one of four different stator types, MotAB, MotPS, hybrid MotAS and hybrid MotPB. The wild-type strain was included for comparative purposes. The contribution of MotPS to the wild-type motility pattern can be inferred from differences between wild-type and MotAB patterns. Data from the other single stator strains cannot be extrapolated to the wild-type setting for two reasons: (i) the presence of hybrids in the wild-type has not been assessed; and (ii) in order to achieve sufficient motility of each single stator strain on soft agar plates and in liquid it was necessary to use two different promoters (*motAB* promoter for MotAB and MotPS *vs* an inducible synthetic promoter for MotAS and MotPB) and two up-motile variants (for the two hybrid forms). The resulting panel of motile single stator strains made it possible to assess the roles of the two stator components in ion-coupling specificity (Fig. 1), inhibition patterns and the viscosity response of the hybrid forms, and to follow up initial data indicating that MotPS has a poor capacity to support swimming in liquid compared to its ability to support motility on soft agar plates $^{26}$ .

## **Results**

#### **Expression of hybrid stators that support motility on soft agar plates**

After construction of a mutant *B. subtilis* strain from which both the *motAB* and *motPS* genes were deleted (ΔABΔPS), *motAB*, *motPS*, *motAS* and *motPB* were returned to the chromosome in the *amyE* locus as described under Materials and Methods; the strains were designated by the flagellar pair that is expressed (MotAB, MotPS, MotAS and MotPB). In preliminary constructs, each *mot* locus was placed under control of an IPTG-inducible *Pspac* promoter. The strains with the hybrid Mot forms, MotAS and MotPB, exhibited very little spreading on soft agar plates relative to the ΔABΔPS whether or not IPTG was added (Fig. 2). Up-motile strains, MotAS-M and MotPS-M, were selected by several rounds of transfer from the motile edge of a colony on plates of the same composition. These strains were found to be free of mutations in the *mot* and *fliG* loci, including both the coding regions and the regions containing the promoters; the genetic change(s) in these strains are unknown. They were used for all the motility experiments, with the addition of 0.6 mM IPTG except where specifically indicated. The MotAB and MotPS strains with *mot* expression under *Pspac* exhibited motility in liquid but little or no motility on soft agar plates either in the presence or absence of inducer (data not shown). We have no explanation for this observation but an imbalance among proteins required for motility and chemotaxis had been suggested for a mutant strain with a similar phenotype in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*39. New constructs were prepared with each of the two wild-type *mot* loci under control of the *motAB* promoter. These strains exhibited motility in liquid as well as on soft agar plates and were used in the experimental work. Strains in which the hybrid *mot* loci were expressed under control of *PmotAB* were not motile under any conditions (data not shown); the basis for this dependence of motility in MotAS and MotPB strains on an inducible, synthetic promoter was not examined.

The number of flagella/cell was assessed using stained cells of the four single Mot stator strains used in the motility assays (MotAB, MotPS, MotAB-M and MotPB-M) as well as in the original (not up-motile) MotAS and MotPB hybrid strains. The MotAB and IPTG-induced MotAS-M strains had an average of 11 flagella/cell whereas the MotPS and IPTG-induced MotPB-M strains had an average of 7 flagella/cell (Table 1). The double mutant and the original MotAS and MotPB strains had significantly fewer flagella/cell (an average between 3-4); these strains also had shorter flagella than the strains that were used for the subsequent motility studies (Table 1). The number of flagella/cell was not increased by inclusion of IPTG in the growth medium of the original MotAS or MotPB strains whereas in their up-motile derivatives the basal number of flagella/cell was higher and further IPTG-induced increases were found; upmotile *B. subtilis* mutants had earlier been found to have increased numbers of flagella/ cell40; 41. Although not shown, electron microscopic studies using negatively stained cells confirmed the patterns shown by staining.

Motility of the strains-bearing four different *mot* loci was first assayed in comparison with wild-type on soft agar plates identical to those used in the original study of wild-type *B. subtilis*, single and double *motAB* and *motPS* mutant strains26. The ΔABΔPS strain was the negative control (Table 2). The dominance of MotAB in the motility profile of the wild-type laboratory strain was evident in the profile of spreading on soft agar plates as a function of pH, carbon source and  $Na<sup>+</sup>$ . Also evident, was the absence of motility in strains with hybrid stators in the absence of IPTG. In the presence of IPTG, MotAS-M exhibited significantly more motility than MotPB-M under all conditions except on glucose plates without added  $Na^{+}$ . As highlighted by the colored bars in Table 2, the patterns of MotAB and MotPB-M were similar with respect to their better motility on glucose than on malate and with respect to the absence of a positive effect of elevated  $Na^+$  and pH. By contrast the MotPS and MotAS-M exhibited the opposite pattern of better motility on malate than glucose, better motility at elevated  $Na<sup>+</sup>$ 

and somewhat better motility at elevated pH. On malate media with added  $Na<sup>+</sup>$  at pH 8.3, MotPS exhibited comparable spreading to MotAB and wild-type.

#### **Swimming speed of the wild-type and hybrid B. subtilis stators as a function of viscosity, pH and [Na+]**

Preliminary assays of swimming in liquid showed that a richer medium than used for the soft agar assays is required to support optimal swimming in liquid, so TY medium (LB medium without added NaCl) was used; this medium contained 14-17 mM contaminating  $Na<sup>+</sup>$ . The assays also showed extremely slow swimming speeds for the MotPB-M strain. Since substantial motility was observed for the MotPS and MotPB-M on soft agar plates (Table 2), we explored the possibility that increasing the viscosity of the liquid medium would increase the swimming speed of some of the strains and of MotPB-M in particular. As shown in Fig. 3, MotAB exhibited a much faster swimming speed than any of the other strains at pH 7 and the swimming speed of MotPB-M was the slowest. Addition of PVP up to about 2% wt/vol increased the swimming speed of MotPB-M and MotPS and decreased the swimming speed of MotAB and MotAS-M. The wild-type strain exhibited slightly lower swimming speeds and its swimming was stimulated modestly by PVP at the same concentration at which swimming of MotPS and MotPB-M was stimulated. In subsequent experiments MotPB-M swimming was assayed both in the absence and in the presence of 1.5% PVP. When used, PVP was added to the assay medium but not to the pre-growth medium and motility was assayed immediately upon dilution of the cells into the motility assay medium.

The swimming speed of the wild-type and four single Mot-bearing strains was next measured as a function of the  $Na<sup>+</sup>$  concentration at four different pH values from 6 and 8.5. The entire data set is shown in Fig. 4. Fig. 5a and 5b respectively focus on the dependence of swimming speed on the pH, at the optimal  $Na<sup>+</sup>$  concentration for each strain (Fig. 5a), and the dependence of swimming speed on  $Na<sup>+</sup>$  concentration at pH 7.0 (Fig. 5b). As in the soft agar assays, no swimming was observed by MotAS-M and MotPB-M in the absence of IPTG. In contrast to the observations on soft agar, the swimming speed of wild-type and MotAB were much faster than that of any of the other strains under all conditions. The patterns of response to pH, concentrations of Na<sup>+</sup> up to about 100-250 mM and concentrations of Na<sup>+</sup> from 300 to almost 1000 mM fell into distinct groups. The swimming of both MotAB and MotPB-M was highest at the most acid pH tested (pH 6.0) and declined with increasing pH, and the converse was true of MotPS and MotAS-M (Fig. 4 and 5a). As shown most clearly in Fig. 5a, a contribution of MotPS to wild-type motility was discernible both in the slower swimming speed in liquid of wild-type compared to MotAB and in the retention of higher swimming speed by wild-type than MotAB as the pH was raised. The swimming speeds of MotPS and MotAS-M were both significantly stimulated by  $Na<sup>+</sup>$  (Fig. 4 and 5b). The positive response of MotAS-M was more  $pH$ -sensitive than that of MotPS. Both strains required the lowest Na<sup>+</sup> concentrations, below 200 mM, for optimal swimming when the pH was 8.5 (Fig. 4), but at pH 7.0, the optimal  $Na<sup>+</sup>$  for MotPS was 180 mM while that for MotAS-M was 260 mM (Fig. 5b). The swimming speed of wild-type, MotAB and MotPB-M was not enhanced by  $Na<sup>+</sup>$  and was affected very little by concentrations of  $Na<sup>+</sup>$  up to 100 mM. The pattern of response of the four single-stator strains to  $Na<sup>+</sup>$  and pH suggested that the hybrid MotPB-M and MotAB use the same coupling ion, i.e.  $H^+$ , and that the hybrid MotAS-M and MotPS use the same coupling ion, i.e.  $Na^+$ . In order to further test this hypothesis, the strains expressing single stators were assayed in TY medium diluted 1:20 with water so that the medium contained  $< 1$  mM contaminating Na<sup>+</sup>. The pH was adjusted to the optimal for each strain, i.e. pH 6.0 for MotAB and MotPB-M and pH 8.5 for MotPS and MotAS-M. Mot PB-M did not exhibit motility in the absence of added PVP under these conditions. As shown in Fig. 5c, although the swimming speeds were lower in the diluted than in the undiluted medium, a clear dependence of MotPS and MotAS-M motility on added  $Na<sup>+</sup>$  was observed.

The response profiles of MotAB and MotPB-M, the two  $H^+$ -coupled strains, diverged at Na<sup>+</sup> concentrations above 100 mM. At higher concentrations of  $Na<sup>+</sup>$ , the swimming speed of wildtype and MotAB decreased, but not greatly. Even after a fall-off in speed at  $Na<sup>+</sup>$  concentrations greater than 600 mM, wild-type and MotAB still exhibited robust swimming. By contrast, the swimming speed of all the other strains, MotPS, MotAS-M as well as MotPB-M, dramatically decreased as the Na+ concentration rose above the optimum. That is, motility by single-stator strains using either MotPS protein component was sensitive to inhibition by very high  $Na<sup>+</sup>$ concentrations. We hypothesized that this inhibition by  $Na<sup>+</sup>$  was mediated by elevated intracellular  $Na^{+}$ . High cytoplasmic  $Na^{+}$  has been shown to reduce the swimming speed supported by  $Na^+$ -coupled flagellar motors just as elevated intracellular  $H^+$  was found to reduce the speed supported by  $H^+$ -coupled flagellar motors  $42$ ;  $43$ . The similar inhibition pattern of MotPB-M, MotPS and MotAS-M to high extracellular  $Na<sup>+</sup>$  suggested that use of either MotPS protein component allowed binding of  $Na<sup>+</sup>$ , most likely on the cytoplasmic side. Further, this  $Na<sup>+</sup>$  binding apparently inhibited energizing influx of either coupling ion, i.e.  $Na<sup>+</sup>$  influx mediated by MotPS and MotAS-M as well as H<sup>+</sup> influx mediated by MotPB-M.

#### **The effect of EIPA on the swimming speed**

The Na<sup>+</sup> channel blocker EIPA, an amiloride compound, had earlier been shown to inhibit MotPS-dependent motility in alkaliphilic *Bacillus pseudofirmus* OF4 and in a *B. subtilis* strain with a disrupted  $\text{mod}AB$  locus<sup>26</sup>. We hypothesized that if the inhibitory effects of elevated  $Na<sup>+</sup>$  on swimming by MotPS, MotAS-M and MotPB-M reflected Na<sup>+</sup> binding sites on each MotPS protein, EIPA would inhibit those same three strains. We examined the effect of added EIPA on the swimming speed of the strains expressing the different single types of stators. As shown in Fig. 6, the swimming speeds of MotPS, MotAS-M and MotPB-M were all extremely sensitive to inhibition by EIPA whereas those of wild-type and MotAB were not.

## **Discussion**

In *Vibrio* species, the rotation rate and swimming speed supported by the Na<sup>+</sup>-coupled polar flagellum are very fast relative to other bacterial flagella  $23,44,45,46$ . By contrast the swimming speed supported by MotPS or either hybrid form that contained a MotPS component was well below that for MotAB-dependent swimming, let alone the much higher speeds reported for *V. alginolyticus* and *V. paraheamolyticus*23; 44; 45; 46. Interestingly, the maximal swimming speed reported for Na+-coupled flagellar motility in alkaliphilic *Bacillus* YN-1 was in the same range as that shown in this study for MotAB-dependent swimming of *B. subtilis* <sup>24</sup> although its stator is probably MotPS. These observations on Na<sup>+</sup>-coupled swimming speed in *Bacillus* strains indicate that Na<sup>+</sup>-coupling *per se* is not a predictor of fast swimming rates; rotation rates have not yet been assayed for MotPS-supported systems.

The observations also raise the question of why the swimming speeds supported by *B. subtilis* MotPS and the hybrid versions are particularly slow in liquid relative to MotABdependent motility in *B.* subtilis even though MotPS can support comparable rates of spreading to MotAB on soft agar plates<sup>24; 26</sup>. We assessed the number and length of flagella in cells of the single stator strains under conditions of liquid growth in order to examine the possibility that the flagella of MotPS, MotAS-M and MotPB-M might simply have fewer and/or shorter flagella than MotAB when maintained in liquid. This was not the case. All the strains used for the motility assays had higher numbers of flagella/cell and greater flagellar length than found in the stator-less control strain or the original (non up-motile) hybrid strains whose stators did not support motility (Table 1).The lower number and length of flagella in strains lacking functional stators is consistent with an intriguing recent study suggesting that these same flagellar parameters in *Salmonella typhimurium* are modulated by an environmental sensing role of functional flagella themselves<sup>47</sup>. For the purposes of this study, the important finding

was that there was no correlation between either flagellar number/cell or flagellar length and swimming speed of the assay strains. We hypothesize that the particularly poor motility of MotPS, MotAS-M and MotPB-M relative to MotAB in liquid does not reflect differences in the rotor or flagella of MotAB from the other strains but results from a difference in how MotAB interacts with the flagellar rotor. Perhaps the interactions between the MotPS stator and the two hybrid forms with *B. subtilis* FliG are qualitatively different from those of MotAB with the same FliG so that motility in liquid is selectively disadvantaged relative to motility on surfaces or in viscous environments. A comparable scenario appears to obtain in *P. aeruginosa* which has recently been shown to have two stators, MotAB and MotCD, that are apparently both H<sup>+</sup>-coupled and power a single polar flagellum39; 48. As with *B. subtilis* MotPS and MotAB, both hybrids of the *P. aeruginosa* MotAB and MotCD can support motility in liquid<sup>48</sup>, but MotCD appears to have a crucial role under conditions of high viscosity which suggests that there is something different in its interactions with the flagellar rotor that affects this function<sup>39; 48</sup>. We also note that *E. coli* MotAB has been reported to support weak  $H^+$ coupled motility of *V. cholerae*49, reenforcing the capacity of one FliG species to interact productively with more than one stator but also suggesting that the interaction was sub-optimal relative to the native stator-rotor pair. Further clarification of the molecular interactions of FliG with alternate *B. subtilis* stators and of the biophysical impact of different interactions will be needed before it will be possible to advance a mechanistic hypothesis for differential outcomes with respect to surface and liquid motility.

This is the first time that both hybrids of differently coupled flagellar stators were functional so that their properties could be assessed. The activity profiles of motility as a function of pH and  $Na<sup>+</sup>$  concentration, both on soft agar plates and in liquid, support the conclusion that MotPB-M is  $H^+$ -coupled and MotAS-M is Na<sup>+</sup>-coupled. In the soft agar motility experiments, the single stator strains that are Na+-coupled, MotPS and MotAS-M, exhibited less motility on glucose-containing plates than on malate-containing plates in the presence of added  $Na<sup>+</sup>$  (Table 2). This was also observed in the earlier comparison of single stator strains expressing either MotAB or MotPS under control of their native promoters. Since *motPS* is expressed in an apparent operon together with the glucose catabolite repressor gene *ccpA*50; 51, the inference was drawn that the poorer motility of MotPS in the presence of glucose resulted in part from a repressive effect of glucose<sup>26</sup>. However, a comparable effect of glucose on MotPS and MotAS-M in this study, when neither stator is expressed from its natural promoter, rules out a contribution of glucose repression. Rather, we hypothesize that the poorer motility supported by Na+-coupled stators in the presence of glucose as opposed to malate relates to changes in the medium pH, with acidification during glucose metabolism adversely affecting Na+-coupled motility and alkalinization during malate metabolism favoring it.

The finding that MotAB and MotPB-M are H+-coupled whereas MotPS and MotAS-M are Na+-coupled implicates the 1-TMS MotB and MotS components as dominant determinants of ion-selectivity. This is consistent with the conclusion from studies of a hybrid and several chimeric stator forms of Na+- and H+-coupled stators in *V. alginolyticus*52; 53; 54. For example, a functional hybrid was constructed in a *laf* (lateral flagella) mutant that also lacked PomA (the MotA homologue of the Na+-coupled stator) of *Vibrio*. This strain was non-motile but  $\text{Na}^+$ -coupled motility was restored by expression of MotA from the H<sup>+</sup>-coupled *Rhodobacter spheroides*, implicating PomB as a major determinant of ion-specificity<sup>52</sup>.

The presence of MotA *vs* MotP influences the activity profile of *B. subtilis* stator hybrids as a function of pH and [Na+], albeit in a more nuanced manner than the presence of MotB *vs.* MotS. First, while the swimming speed of both MotAS-M and MotPS was enhanced by increasing  $\text{Na}^+$  up to about 250 mM, the optimal  $\text{Na}^+$  is more pH-dependent in MotAS-M than MotPS, i.e. MotAS-M required relatively higher concentrations of  $Na<sup>+</sup>$  at low pH than MotPS (Fig. 4). This suggests that the MotA component confers H+-responsiveness that is absent in

MotP-containing stators. Conversely, severe inhibition of swimming at  $Na<sup>+</sup>$  concentrations above about 300 mM was observed for each stator that has either MotP, MotS or both; at these high Na<sup>+</sup> concentrations, cytoplasmic levels of the cation are the presumed inhibitory agent<sup>43</sup>. Inhibition of MotPB-M as well as MotPS and MotAS-M suggests that both MotP and MotS participate in  $Na<sup>+</sup>$  responsiveness. This was re-enforced by the finding that the amiloride compound EIPA inhibited motility of the same three stators, MotPS, MotAS and MotPB, even though one of them, MotPB, is  $H^+$ -coupled (Fig. 6). We hypothesize that a functional site for Na+ and inhibitory amiloride compounds is retained by MotP in the MotPB hybrid except that in the hybrid it is the inward flux of  $H^+$  rather than Na<sup>+</sup> that is now inhibited. This is consistent with reports of inhibition of the amiloride analogue phenamil at more than one site in the Na+-coupled *Vibrio* stator and demonstration of mutations conferring phenamil-resistant motility in both *pomA* and *pomB*55; 56; 57.

A property of the stator to which the 4-TMS MotP or MotA made a dominant contribution was the response to increases in viscosity upon addition of PVP up to 1-2% wt/vol (Fig. 3). The effect of PVP did not depend upon exposure during growth before the motility assay; it was observed immediately under assay conditions, indicating that high viscosity is favorable for formation and/or function of bundles of flagella that are powered by MotP-containing stators. This correlates, in turn, with the larger contribution of MotPS to swimming behavior of *B. subtilis* on soft agar surfaces than in liquid, with MotPS having a dominant role at high pH and Na<sup>+</sup> when expressed from its native promoter in an up-motile strain26. In *Vibrio* species, it is the lateral, H+-coupled flagella that support motility in viscous environments rather than the Na<sup>+</sup>-coupled polar flagellum that supports fast motility in liquid<sup>23; 29; 58</sup>. By contrast, as noted above, one of two polar, H+-coupled stators of *P. aeruginosa* supports swarming and swimming at high viscosity<sup>39; 48</sup>. The mechanism whereby particular Mot complexes support motility especially well on surfaces and at high viscosity is yet to be clarified but it apparently does not depend upon coupling to polar *vs*. lateral/peritrichous flagella or to  $H^+$  *vs*. Na<sup>+</sup>. The importance of surface motility and motility in viscous niches is an emerging theme in environmental bacteriology and bacterial pathogenesis<sup>59</sup>. Maintenance media for laboratory strains of *B. subtilis* do not create conditions of viscosity, non-fermentative carbon sources, elevated pH and Na<sup>+</sup> that could readily select for a dominant MotPS role, but such conditions are found in its natural niches in association with plants <sup>60</sup>. Perhaps MotPS will have a more prominent role in undomesticated *B. subtilis* strains than observed here, just as they display behaviors such as swarming motility and fruiting body production that are absent or less robust features of laboratory strains61; 62. Even in laboratory strains, a benefit of MotPS is indicated by its retention in the *B. subtilis* genome even though it reduces the swimming speed in liquid relative to a strain expressing only MotAB (Fig. 3-5).

Our studies of the dual stators of *B. subtilis* have not yet addressed two questions of interest: (i) whether MotPS and MotAB can be assembled together in a single stator complex or must assemble into their own discrete 4MotP-2MotS and 4MotA-2MotB complexes; and (ii) if only discrete MotAB- and MotPS-containing stators can be formed, whether individual flagella are powered by a mixture of MotAB and MotPS stator complexes as opposed to there being discrete MotAB- and MotPS-powered flagella. The swimming speed pattern of the wild-type largely paralleled the pattern of MotAB while showing some contribution of MotPS, i.e. evidence by PVP stimulation and by less inhibition at elevated pH relative to MotAB. We note, though, that wild-type swimming speed was not inhibited at all by EIPA (Fig. 6) and was less inhibited than any of the strains, including MotAB, by  $Na^+$  concentrations above 600 mM (Fig. 5B). These properties of wild-type motility diverge from those expected if wild-type motility in liquid represents the sum of a MotAB-dominated swimming pattern and a small contribution of MotPS. Perhaps this divergence indicates the effect of a modulatory interaction between the two stator types as they function together within single stator complexes and/or together contribute to the rotor motility of individual flagella.

In summary, this study demonstrated that the presence of Mot stators influences the number of flagella/cell and that the native and two hybrid forms of the  $Na^+$ -coupled MotPS and H<sup>+</sup>coupled MotAB stators of *B. subtilis* all support motility. The relative effectiveness of MotAB compared to MotPS in supporting motility was much greater in liquid media than on soft agar plating media. MotAB-dependent motility was favored by low viscosity, low  $Na<sup>+</sup>$  and low pH whereas MotPS-dependent motility exhibited opposite optima. The  $Na<sup>+</sup>$  and pH profiles suggested that the MotAS-M is  $Na^+$ -coupled and MotPB-M is  $H^+$ -coupled. However, features of the  $Na<sup>+</sup>$  response curves as a function of pH indicated that MotA and MotP components contribute, respectively, to sensitivity to  $H^+$  and  $Na^+$  (as well as to EIPA). Motility supported by MotP-containing stators was stimulated by increases in viscosity even though their coupling ion is different, one of several pieces of evidence that swimming speed under particular conditions is not directly related to the use of  $H^+$  or  $Na^+$ . The two stators of *B*, *subtilis* offer an opportunity to further probe the basis for the different properties, the interactions and the roles of two stators working with a common flagellar rotor.

## **Materials and Methods**

#### **Bacterial strains, plasmids, and growth conditions**

The bacterial strains and plasmids used in this study are listed in Table 3. *E. coli* strains were routinely grown at 37 C in LB medium<sup>63</sup>. *B. subtilis* 168 strain BR151MA (wild-type) and its derivatives were routinely grown at 37 C in LB medium or TY medium (1% Bacto Tryptone and 0.5% Yeast Extract) for liquid cultures. TY medium contained  $14-17$  mM Na<sup>+</sup>. TY medium was also used for assays of swimming speed in liquid, with the pH,  $Na<sup>+</sup>$  content, PVP content and EIPA addition indicated for specific experiments. When Na+ was added**,** the actual final Na+ concentration of each assay medium was determined with a flame photometer (Model AMA-175, Tokyo Koden, Tokyo, Japan) calibrated with standard Na+ solutions of known concentrations. Several plating media were used. Spore stocks were prepared on agar plates of Difco Nutrient Sporulation Medium and grown out on tryptose blood agar base (TBAB). Assays of motility on soft agar plates were conducted on Spizizen-salt based plating media<sup>64</sup>, except that sodium citrate was omitted. The salts were supplemented with  $0.05\%$ yeast extract and either glucose or potassium malate (to 25 mM), supplemented with required amino acids and, where indicated, NaCl. The medium was adjusted to either pH 7.0 or 8.3 and solidified with 0.25% Noble agar (Difco) as described earlier  $^{26}$ . For experiments with strains in which *mot* genes were expressed from the *amyE* locus under control of the *Pspac* promoter, 0.6mM IPTG was included in the growth medium. Ampicillin at 100 μg/ml, chloramphenical at 5 μg/ml and spectinomycin at 150 μg/ml were added as needed for growing plasmid-bearing cells as well as for selecting transformants. Transformation of *E. coli* strains and all recombinant DNA manipulations were carried out by standard methods <sup>63</sup>.

#### **Construction of a Δ motAB and Δ motPS double deletion mutant**

For construction of a fragment carrying  $Em<sup>r</sup>$  gene cassette between upstream *motA* gene and downstream *motB* gene, a series of PCR reactions were performed with custom-made primers to create a product that could be directly transformed into the  $\Delta$  PS strain<sup>51</sup>. Selection for Em<sup>r</sup> colonies yielded the strain designated Δ ABΔ PS, which was confirmed by PCR and Southern blot analyses to have a deletion of the *motAB* region. For this mutant and each type strain that was constructed using it, the phenotypes of several independent isolates was first assessed on soft agar plate assays to assure that the strain chosen for use in the studies was typical. Each strain was initially confirmed to have the expected PCR profile and was then directly shown to contain the expected sequence. The sequencing was performed by Hitachi System Sciences (Tokyo, Japan), using an ABI-100 model 377 sequencer.

## **Integration of selected mot genes into the amyE loci of particular mutant strains under a P**<sub>spac</sub> promoter and a P<sub>motAB</sub> promoter

Four new derivatives of the Δ ABΔ PS strain, that had been prepared as described above, were constructed. Each strain contains a pair of *mot* genes encoding one of the four stators of interest. The genes were integrated into the chromosomal *amyE* locus under control of an IPTGinducible *Pspac* or *PmotAB* promoter. Plasmid pDR67 was used for construction of strains using the IPTG-inducible  $P_{space}$  promoter<sup>65</sup>, and pDG1730 was used for construction of strains using the  $P_{\text{motAB}}$  promoter<sup>66</sup>. Plasmid pDR67 contains fragments of the front and back ends of the *amyE* gene flanking a chloramphenicol resistance  $(Cm<sup>r</sup>)$  gene and also contains the  $P_{\text{space}}$ promoter upstream of a multiple-cloning site. Plasmid pDG1730 contains fragments of the front and back ends of the *amyE* gene flanking a spectinomycin resistance (Sp<sup>r</sup>) gene. (i) For construction of an IPTG-inducible *Pspac* promoter plasmid that was carrying intact *motAB* genes, PCR was performed on wild-type DNA with the appropriate primers. For construction of a plasmid that was carrying intact *motPS* genes under control of *Pspac* promoter, sets of primers were designed with nucleotides encoding a *Sph*I site and an *Xma*I site. Each amplified fragment was cloned into *Sph*I- and *Xma*I-digested pDR67, yielding pDR-AB and pDR-PS, respectively. (ii) For construction of a plasmid carrying the intact hybrid *motAS* genes under control of *Pspac* promoter, a series of PCR reactions were performed to create a product that, when digested with *Sph*I and *Xma*I, could be cloned into *Sph*I- and *XmaI*-digested pDR67, yielding pDR-AS. (iii) For construction of a plasmid carrying the intact hybrid *motPB* under control of *Pspac* promoter, a series of PCR reactions were performed to produce a product that, when digested with *Sph*I and *Xma*I, could be cloned into *Sph*I- and *Xma*I-digested pDR67, yielding pDR-PB. (iv) For construction of a plasmid that was carrying intact *motAB* genes under control of *PmotAB* promoter, a series of PCR reactions were performed to produce a product that, when digested with *BamH*I, could be cloned into *BamH*I-digested pDG1730, yielding pDG-AB. (v) For construction of a plasmid carrying the intact *motPS* genes under control of *PmotAB* promoter, a series of PCR reactions were performed to produce a product that, when digested with *BamH*I, could be cloned into *BamH*I-digested pDG1730, yielding pDG-PS. (vi) For construction of a plasmid carrying the intact hybrid *motAS* genes under control of *PmotAB* promoter, a series of PCR reactions were performed to produce a product that, when digested with *BamH*I, could be cloned into *BamH*I-digested pDG1730 yielding pDG-AS. (vii) For construction of a plasmid carrying the intact hybrid *motPB* genes under control of *PmotAB* promoter, a series of PCR reactions were performed to produce a product that could be cloned into *EcoR*V-digested pDG1730 yielding pDG-PB.

Each plasmid was used to transform particular mutants to a chloramphenicol-resistance, amylase-negative phenotype for pDR67 derivative, and to a spectinomycin resistance, amylase-negative phenotype for pDG1730 derivative. Recombinant transformants were selected by conventional techniques, and the presence of the insert was confirmed.

#### **Visualization of flagella**

The method described by Aono *et al.*67 as an adaptation of a standard flagella staining method was used. A loopful of several independent cultures of the double mutant and each single stator strain was transferred gently to a microscope slide, forming a thin film. The sample was airdried and then heated briefly with a hair dryer. Staining was carried out for 30 minutes with a 2:1:0.1:0.15 (vol:vol) solution of: 10% w/v tannic acid, saturated potassium aluminum, saturated aniline and 5% w/v FeCl<sub>3</sub>, followed by ammoniac silver nitrate for 1 min. Observations of flagella were made using bright field microscopy (1000X). The number of flagella/cell and flagellar length were recorded for 50 cells. Negative staining of cells for transmission electron microscopy (JEM-1210 apparatus, JEOL) was carried out as described by Zillig *et al.*68.

#### **Motility on soft agar plates: isolation of up-motile strains and assays**

Observations of motility of the newly isolated strains with hybrid stators were made in liquid. Observations of modest indications of motility were the basis for formulation of TY plating media solidified with 0.3% Noble agar for assessment of motility and isolation of up-motile strains. For MotAS and MotAS-M, the up-motile derivative of MotAS, the medium was at pH 8.5 and contained 200mM NaCl (with or without 0.6 mM IPTG). For MotPB and its up-motile derivative MotPB-M, the medium was at pH 6.0 (with or without 0.6 mM IPTG). A 1 μl drop from an overnight TY culture under the same conditions was spotted on to the centre of the plate. The plates were inoculated at 37 C for 36 h.

Assays of motility of *B. subtilis* strains were conducted exactly as described earlier<sup>26</sup>. Briefly, a colony from TBAB plates was used to inoculate overnight TY cultures; these overnight cultures served as the inoculation (1 μl in the centre of the modified Spizizen salt-glucose or malate plate) for the assays. The plates were incubated at 37 C, and the diameters of the colonies were measured at the indicated times.

#### **Microscopic observation and recording of swimming speeds of strains in liquid cultures**

Microscopic observation of swimming in liquid was carried out using a Leica DMLB100 microscope and Leica DC300F video camera system (Leica Geosystems, Tokyo), and recordings were made using a Leica IM50 version 1.20 system (Leica Geosystems, Tokyo) and analyzed using Display capture ARE software

[\(http://www.vector.co.jp/soft/win95/art/se221399.html](http://www.vector.co.jp/soft/win95/art/se221399.html)). For experimental assay of swimming speed, cultures were grown on TY medium, pH 7.0, overnight at 37 C. 20 μl of each culture was the inoculated into 1ml of a fresh TY medium and grown at 37 C for 6 hours; for the MotAS-M and Mot-M strains, 0.6 mM IPTG was added to the growth medium during this period. Experiments were initiated by diluting the 6-hour culture (which was at a  $A_{600}$  of 0.45-0.50) 50-fold into TY medium at the pH and with additions that are specified for each experiment. Swimming was immediately observed by dark field microscopy, recordings were made from which the swimming speed of individual cells was calculated. All results shown are the averages of three independent experiments in which the speed of 20 different cells was measured. The patterns of responses to all effectors by each strain and relative to one another were highly reproducible, with standard deviations of the means for each condition, i.e. the values shown in the figures, being within 15% of the mean.

## **Acknowledgements**

We thank David Blair for critically reading this manuscript and Tina Henkin for early encouragement to examine hybrid stators of *B. subtilis* MotPS and MotAB. This work was supported in part by a Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research on Priority Area "Genomic Biology" and the 21rst Century Center of Excellence program and hightechnology research centers organized by the Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports, Sciences and Technology of Japan (to M.I.) and research grant GM28454 from the National Institute of General Medical Sciences (to TAK).

## **Abbreviations used**





## **References**

- 1. Berg HC, Anderson RA. Bacteria swim by rotating their flagellar filaments. Nature 1973;245:380– 382. [PubMed: 4593496]
- 2. Larsen SH, Adler J, Gargus JJ, Hogg RW. Chemomechanical coupling without ATP: the source of energy for motility and chemotaxis in bacteria. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 1974;71:1239–1243. [PubMed: 4598295]
- 3. Kojima S, Blair DF. The bacterial flagellar motor: structure and function of a complex molecular machine. Int Rev Cytol 2004;233:93–134. [PubMed: 15037363]
- 4. Berg HC. The rotary motor of bacterial flagella. Annu Rev Biochem 2003;72:19–54. [PubMed: 12500982]
- 5. Berry RM. Theories of rotary motors. Philos Trans R Soc Lond B Biol Sci 2000;355:503–509. [PubMed: 10836503]
- 6. Yorimitsu T, Mimaki A, Yakushi T, Homma M. The conserved charged residues of the C-terminal region of FliG, a rotor component of the Na<sup>+</sup>-driven flagellar motor. J Mol Biol 2003;334:567-583. [PubMed: 14623195]
- 7. Yorimitsu T, Homma M. Na+-driven flagellar motor of *Vibrio*. Biochim Biophys Acta 2001;1505:82– 93. [PubMed: 11248191]
- 8. McCarter LL. Polar flagellar motility of the *Vibrionaceae*. Microbiol Mol Biol Rev 2001;65:445–462. [PubMed: 11528005]
- 9. Platzer J, Sterr W, Hausmann M, Schmitt R. Three genes of a motility operon and their role in flagellar rotary speed variation in *Rhizobium meliloti*. J Bacteriol 1997;179:6391–6399. [PubMed: 9335288]
- 10. Khan S, Dapice M, Reese TS. Effects of *mot* gene expression on the structure of the flagellar motor. J Mol Biol 1988;202:575–584. [PubMed: 3050128]
- 11. Ridgway HG, Silverman M, Simon MI. Localization of proteins controlling motility and chemotaxis in *Escherichia coli*. J Bacteriol 1977;132:657–665. [PubMed: 334749]
- 12. Sato K, Homma M. Functional reconstitution of the Na<sup>+</sup>-driven polar flagellar motor component of *Vibrio alginolyticus*. J Biol Chem 2000;275:5718–5722. [PubMed: 10681557]
- 13. Zhou J, Sharp LL, Tang HL, Lloyd SA, Billings S, Braun TF, Blair DF. Function of protonatable residues in the flagellar motor of *Escherichia coli*: a critical role for Asp 32 of MotB. J Bacteriol 1998;180:2729–2735. [PubMed: 9573160]
- 14. De Mot R, Vanderleyden J. The C-terminal sequence conservation between OmpA-related outer membrane proteins and MotB suggests a common function in both gram-positive and gram-negative bacteria, possibly in the interaction of these domains with peptidoglycan. Mol Microbiol 1994;12:333–334. [PubMed: 8057857]
- 15. Chun SY, Parkinson JS. Bacterial motility: membrane topology of the *Escherichia coli* MotB protein. Science 1988;239:276–278. [PubMed: 2447650]
- 16. Khan S, Khan IH, Reese TS. New structural features of the flagellar base in *Salmonella typhimurium* revealed by rapid-freeze electron microscopy. J Bacteriol 1991;173:2888–2896. [PubMed: 2019561]

Ito et al. Page 12

- 17. Khan S, Ivey DM, Krulwich TA. Membrane ultrastructure of alkaliphilic *Bacillus* species studied by rapid-freeze electron microscopy. J Bacteriol 1992;174:5123–5126. [PubMed: 1629169]
- 18. Braun TF, Blair DF. Targeted disulfide cross-linking of the MotB protein of *Escherichia coli*: evidence for two  $H^+$  channels in the stator complex. Biochemistry 2001;40:13051–13059. [PubMed: 11669643]
- 19. Braun TF, Al-Mawsawi LQ, Kojima S, Blair DF. Arrangement of core membrane segments in the MotA/MotB proton-channel complex of *Escherichia coli*. Biochemistry 2004;43:35–45. [PubMed: 14705929]
- 20. Shioi JI, Imae Y, Oosawa F. Protonmotive force and motility of *Bacillus subtilis*. J Bacteriol 1978;133:1083–1038. [PubMed: 25261]
- 21. Manson MD, Tedesco P, Berg HC, Harold FM, Van der Drift C. A protonmotive force drives bacterial flagella. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 1977;74:3060–3064. [PubMed: 19741]
- 22. Matsuura S, Shioi J, Imae Y. Motility in *Bacillus subtilis* driven by an artificial protonmotive force. FEBS Lett 1977;82:187–190. [PubMed: 410660]
- 23. Atsumi T, McCarter L, Imae Y. Polar and lateral flagellar motors of marine *Vibrio* are driven by different ion-motive forces. Nature 1992;355:182–184. [PubMed: 1309599]
- 24. Hirota N, Imae Y. Na+-driven flagellar motors of an alkalophilic *Bacillus* strain YN-1. J Biol Chem 1983;258:10577–10581. [PubMed: 6885795]
- 25. Hirota N, Kitada M, Imae Y. Flagellar motors of alkalophilic *Bacillus* are powered by an electrochemical potential gradient of Na<sup>+</sup> FEBS Lett 1981;132:278-280.
- 26. Ito M, Hicks DB, Henkin TM, Guffanti AA, Powers B, Zvi L, Uematsu K, Krulwich TA. MotPS is the stator-force generator for motility of alkaliphilic *Bacillus* and its homologue is a second functional Mot in *Bacillus subtilis*. Mol Microbiol 2004;53:1035–1049. [PubMed: 15306009]
- 27. Hase CC, Barquera B. Role of sodium bioenergetics in *Vibrio cholerae*. Biochim Biophys Acta 2001;1505:169–178. [PubMed: 11248198]
- 28. Takami H, Takaki Y, Uchiyama I. Genome sequence of *Oceanobacillus iheyensis* isolated from the Iheya Ridge and its unexpected adaptive capabilities to extreme environments. Nucleic Acids Res 2002;30:3927–3935. [PubMed: 12235376]
- 29. McCarter LL. Dual flagellar systems enable motility under different circumstances. J Mol Microbiol Biotechnol 2004;7:18–29. [PubMed: 15170400]
- 30. McCarter LL. Multiple modes of motility: a second flagellar system in *Escherichia coli*. J Bacteriol 2005;187:1207–1209. [PubMed: 15687183]
- 31. Matsuura S, Shioi JI, Imae Y, Iida S. Characterization of the *Bacillus subtilis* motile system driven by an artificially created proton motive force. J Bacteriol 1979;140:28–36. [PubMed: 40954]
- 32. Aizawa, S.; Zhulin, IB.; Marquez-Magana, L.; Ordal, GW. Chemotaxis and motility. In: Sonenshein, AL.; Hoch, JA.; Losick, R., editors. Bacillus subtilis and its Closest Relatives from Genes to Cells. ASM Press; Washington, DC: 2002.
- 33. Ordal, GW.; Marquez-Magana; Chamberlin, MJ. Motility and chemotaxis. In: Sonenshein, AL.; Hock, JA.; Losick, R., editors. Bacillus subtilis and other gram-positive bacteria: biochemistry, physiology, and molecular genetics. ASM Press; Washington, D.C: 1993. p. 765-784.
- 34. West JT, Estacio W, Marquez-Magana L. Relative roles of the *fla*/*che* PA, PD-3, and PsigD promoters in regulating motility and *sigD* expression in *Bacillus subtilis*. J Bacteriol 2000;182:4841–4848. [PubMed: 10940026]
- 35. Sugiyama S, Cragoe EJ Jr, Imae Y. Amiloride, a specific inhibitor for the Na+-driven flagellar motors of alkalophilic *Bacillus*. J Biol Chem 1988;263:8215–8219. [PubMed: 3372520]
- 36. Atsumi T, Maekawa Y, Tokuda H, Imae Y. Amiloride at pH 7.0 inhibits the Na+-driven flagellar motors of *Vibrio alginolyticus* but allows cell growth. FEBS Lett 1992;314:114–116. [PubMed: 1459240]
- 37. Atsumi T, Sugiyama S, Cragoe EJ Jr, Imae Y. Specific inhibition of the Na+-driven flagellar motors of alkalophilic *Bacillus* strains by the amiloride analog phenamil. J Bacteriol 1990;172:1634–1639. [PubMed: 2155207]
- 38. Sugiyama S, Magariyama Y, Kudo S. Forced rotation of Na<sup>+</sup>-driven flagellar motor in a coupling ion-free environment. Biochim Biophys Acta 2004;1656:32–36. [PubMed: 15136156]
- 39. Doyle TB, Hawkins AC, McCarter LL. The complex flagellar torque generator of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. J Bacteriol 2004;186:6341–6350. [PubMed: 15375113]
- 40. Grant GF, Simon MI. Synthesis of bacterial flagella. II PBS1 transduction of flagella-specific markers in *Bacillus subtilis*. J Bacteriol 1969;99:116–124. [PubMed: 4979438]
- 41. Senesi S, Ghelardi E, Celandroni F, Salvetti S, Parisio E, Galizzi A. Surface-associated flagellum formation and swarming differentiation in *Bacillus subtilis* are controlled by the *ifm* locus. J Bacteriol 2004;186:1158–1164. [PubMed: 14762011]
- 42. Minamino T, Imae Y, Oosawa F, Kobayashi Y, Oosawa K. Effect of intracellular pH on rotational speed of bacterial flagellar motors. J Bacteriol 2003;185:1190–1194. [PubMed: 12562788]
- 43. Yoshida S, Sugiyama S, Hojo Y, Tokuda H, Imae Y. Intracellular Na+ kinetically interferes with the rotation of the Na+-driven flagellar motors of *Vibrio alginolyticus*. J Biol Chem 1990;265:20346– 20350. [PubMed: 2243095]
- 44. Magariyama Y, Sugiyama S, Muramoto K, Maekawa Y, Kawagishi I, Imae Y, Kudo S. Very fast flagellar rotation. Nature 1994;371:752. [PubMed: 7935835]
- 45. Kudo S, Imai N, Nishitoba M, Sugiyama S, Magariyama Y. Asymmetric swimming pattern of *Vibrio alginolyticus* cells with single polar flagella. FEMS Microbiol Lett 2005;242:221–225. [PubMed: 15621441]
- 46. Muramoto K, Kawagishi I, Kudo S, Magariyama Y, Imae Y, Homma M. High-speed rotation and speed stability of the sodium-driven flagellar motor in *Vibrio alginolyticus*. J Mol Biol 1995;251:50– 58. [PubMed: 7643389]
- 47. Wang Q, Suzuki A, Mariconda S, Porwollik S, Harshey RM. Sensing wetness: a new role for the bacterial flagellum. EMBO J 2005;24:2034–2042. [PubMed: 15889148]
- 48. Toutain CM, Zegans ME, O'Toole GA. Evidence for two flagellar stators and their role in the motility of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. J Bacteriol 2005;187:771–777. [PubMed: 15629949]
- 49. Gosink KK, Hase CC. Requirements for conversion of the Na+-driven flagellar motor of *Vibrio cholerae* to the H+-driven motor of *Escherichia coli*. J Bacteriol 2000;182:4234–4240. [PubMed: 10894732]
- 50. Henkin TM. The role of CcpA transcriptional regulator in carbon metabolism in *Bacillus subtilis*. FEMS Microbiol Lett 1996;135:9–15. [PubMed: 8598282]
- 51. Grundy FJ, Waters DA, Takova TY, Henkin TM. Identification of genes involved in utilization of acetate and acetoin in *Bacillus subtilis*. Mol Microbiol 1993;10:259–271. [PubMed: 7934817]
- 52. Asai Y, Kawagishi I, Sockett RE, Homma M. Hybrid motor with H+- and Na+-driven components can rotate *Vibrio* polar flagella by using sodium ions. J Bacteriol 1999;181:6332–6338. [PubMed: 10515922]
- 53. Asai Y, Kawagishi I, Sockett RE, Homma M. Coupling ion specificity of chimeras between H+- and Na+-driven motor proteins, MotB and PomB, in *Vibrio* polar flagella. EMBO J 2000;19:3639–3648. [PubMed: 10899118]
- 54. Asai Y, Yakushi T, Kawagishi I, Homma M. Ion-coupling determinants of Na<sup>+</sup>-driven and H<sup>+</sup>-driven flagellar motors. J Mol Biol 2003;327:453–463. [PubMed: 12628250]
- 55. Jaques S, Kim YK, McCarter LL. Mutations conferring resistance to phenamil and amiloride, inhibitors of sodium-driven motility of *Vibrio parahaemolyticus*. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 1999;96:5740–5745. [PubMed: 10318954]
- 56. Kojima S, Asai Y, Atsumi T, Kawagishi I, Homma M. Na+-driven flagellar motor resistant to phenamil, an amiloride analog, caused by mutations in putative channel components. J Mol Biol 1999;285:1537–1547. [PubMed: 9917395]
- 57. Kojima S, Kuroda M, Kawagishi I, Homma M. Random mutagenesis of the *pomA* gene encoding a putative channel component of the Na+-driven polar flagellar motor of *Vibrio alginolyticus*. Microbiology 1999;145:1759–1767. [PubMed: 10439415]
- 58. Atsumi T, Maekawa Y, Yamada T, Kawagishi I, Imae Y, Homma M. Effect of viscosity on swimming by the lateral and polar flagella of *Vibrio alginolyticus*. J Bacteriol 1996;178:5024–5026. [PubMed: 8759871]
- 59. Harshey RM. Bacterial motility on a surface: many ways to a common goal. Annu Rev Microbiol 2003;57:249–273. [PubMed: 14527279]

Ito et al. Page 14

- 60. Andrews JH, Harris RF. The ecology and biogeography of microorganisms on plant surfaces. Annu Rev Phytopathol 2000;38:145–180. [PubMed: 11701840]
- 61. Kearns DB, Losick R. Swarming motility in undomesticated Bacillus subtilis. Mol Microbiol 2003;49:581–590. [PubMed: 12864845]
- 62. Branda SS, Gonzalez-Pastor JE, Ben-Yehuda S, Losick R, Kolter R. Fruiting body formation by *Bacillus subtilis*. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 2001;98:11621–11626. [PubMed: 11572999]
- 63. Sambrook, J.; Frisch, EF.; Maniatis, T. Molecular cloning: a laboratory manual. 2. Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory; Cold Spring Harbor, New York: 1989.
- 64. Spizizen J. Transformation of biochemically deficient strains of *Bacillus subtilis* by deoxyribonucleate. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 1958;44:1072–1078. [PubMed: 16590310]
- 65. Ireton K, Rudner DZ, Siranosian KJ, Grossman AD. Integration of multiple developmental signals in *Bacillus subtilis* through the Spo0A transcription factor. Genes Dev 1993;7:283–294. [PubMed: 8436298]
- 66. Guerout-Fleury AM, Frandsen N, Stragier P. Plasmids for ectopic integration in *Bacillus subtilis*. Gene 1996;180:57–61. [PubMed: 8973347]
- 67. Aono R, Ogino H, Horikoshi K. pH-dependent flagella formation by facultative alkaliphilic *Bacillus* sp C-125 . Biosci Biotechnol Biochem 1992;56:48–53. [PubMed: 1368134]
- 68. Zillig W, Holz I, Janekovic D, Klenk HP, Imsel E, Trent J, Wunderl S, Forjaz VH, Coutinho R, Ferreira T. *Hyperthermus butylicus*, a hyperthermophilic sulfur-reducing archaebacterium that ferments peptides. J Bacteriol 1990;172:3959–3965. [PubMed: 2113915]



## **Figure 1.**

Schematic diagram of the stators of MotAB, MotPS, hybrid MotAS and hybrid MotPB highlighting the question of ion-coupling of the hybrids and indicating, with dotted arrows, the results of this study.

Ito et al. Page 16



## **Figure 2.**

The motility behavior on soft agar plate of up-motile derivatives of MotAS and MotPB. A. Spreading of Δ ABΔ PS (negative control), MotAS-Spac (initial isolate), and MotAS-M (upmotile derivative) after 36 h of incubation at 37 C on TY medium plus 200mM NaCl, pH 8.5, without (left) or with (right) 0.6 mM IPTG, and solidified with 0.3% agar. B. Spreading of  $\Delta$ ABΔ PS (negative control), MotPB-Spac (original isolate), and MotPB-M (up-motile derivative) after 24 h of incubation at 37 C on TY, pH 6.0, without (left) or with (right) 0.6 mM IPTG and solidified with 0.3% agar.

Ito et al. Page 17



#### **Figure 3.**

Effect of PVP on the swimming speed of wild-type and single Mot-bearing strains. Each strain was grown overnight on TY medium, pH 7.0, at 37 C. A 20 µ sample was used to inoculate 1ml of a fresh TY medium, and growth was continued at 37 C for 6 hours. For growth of MotAS-M and MotPB-M, 0.6mM IPTG was added during this period. After 6 hours, each cell culture (at an  $A_{600}$  of about 0.45-0.50) was diluted by 50-fold with TY medium, pH 7.0, with a range of PVP contents. The swimming speed was assayed by dark field microscopy, as described under Materials and Methods. The results represent the averages of three independent experiments in each of which the swimming speed of twenty independent cells was calculated.



#### **Figure 4.**

The swimming speed of the wild-type and four single Mot-bearing strains in liquid media as a function of the  $Na<sup>+</sup>$  concentration and pH. Each strain was pre-grown overnight on TY medium, pH 7.0, and then inoculated into fresh TY medium and grown for 6 more hours as described in the legend to Figure 3, except that the TY medium for the 6 hour growth period contained several Na<sup>+</sup> concentrations at four different pH values, pH 6.0 (open circles), 7.0 (closed circles), 8.0 (open triangles), and 8.5 (closed triangles). For the growth of MotAS-M and MotPB-M, 0.6mM IPTG was added in the each culture medium during this period; data for assays at pH 8.0 without added IPTG are shown by the gray triangles. After 6 hours, each cell culture (at  $A_{600}$  of 0.45-0.50) was diluted by 50 times by same growth medium. When PVP was added to the MotPB-M medium, it was added to 1.5% wt/vol. The swimming speed was analyzed as described under Materials and Methods. Note that the swimming speed scale of the bottom four panels is different from that of the top two panels.



#### **Figure 5.**

Dependence of the swimming speed on the pH at the optimal  $Na<sup>+</sup>$  concentration and the dependence of swimming speed on the  $Na<sup>+</sup>$  concentration at pH 7.0. (a) Relationship between the swimming speed ant the pH at the optimal  $Na<sup>+</sup>$  concentration. The swimming speed of wild-type, MotAB and MotPB-M were measured at the indicated pH values in the absence of added Na<sup>+</sup>; MotPB-M was assayed in the presence and absence of 1.5% PVP. The swimming speed of MotPS and MotAS-M was measured in the presence of  $180 \text{ mM Na}^+$ . The data are extracted from the data shown in Figure 4b. Relationship between the swimming speed and  $[Na^+]$  at pH 7.0. The data are extracted from the data shown in Figure 4c. The swimming speed for the single stator strains was determined at pH 6.0, for MotAB and MotPB-M (in the presence

of 1.5% PVP), and at pH 8.5 for MotPS and MotAS-M in assays conducted in 1/20TY at the indicated concentrations of Na+.



#### **Figure 6.**

Effect of the Na<sup>+</sup> channel inhibitor EIPA on motility. Wild-type, MotAB and MotPB-M strains were grown overnight on TY medium, pH 6.0, at 37 C and the MotPS and MotAS-M strains were grown overnight on TY medium, pH 8.5, at 37 C. Each strain was then inoculated into fresh TY medium at the same pH and grown for 6 hours at 37 C. During this growth period 0.6 mM IPTG was added to the culture medium for MotAS-M and MotPB-M. After 6 hours, each culture was dilute 50-fold into TY medium that was prepared as follows for particular strains: MotAB, TY medium, pH 6.0; MotPB-M, TY medium, pH 6.0, with/without 1.5% (w/ v) PVP; MotPS, TY medium, pH 8.5, containing 50 mM NaCl; and MotAS-M, TY medium, pH 8.5, containing 100 mM NaCl. The swimming speed of each strain was measured in the presence of EIPA at concentrations over a range from  $0-100 \mu M$ . The results represent the averages of three independent experiments in which the swimming speed of twenty independent cells was calculated by each experiment.







Flagella were counted in 50 cells. The standard deviations of the average values shown were less than 2 for all values. *a*Flagella were counted in 50 cells. The standard deviations of the average values shown were less than 2 for all values.

 $\ddot{\phantom{a}}$ 

 $b$  Measurements were made on 50 cells. The standard deviations of the average values shown were between 0.8 and 1.3. *b* Measurements were made on 50 cells. The standard deviations of the average values shown were between 0.8 and 1.3.

**Table 2** Motility of *B. subtilis mot* strains on soft agar plates after 24h. *a*



independent experiments with the wild-type,MotAB, MotAB, MotAS-M, MotPB-M and A ABA PS strains. The standard deviations of duplicate or triplicate measurements within experiments were well<br>below 5%.  $^a$ All values are the diameter of the indicated strain, in mm, after 24 h on media containing either glucose or malate  $\pm$  5 mM added Na<sup>+</sup> at pH 7.0 or 8.3. The results shown are the mean values for five independent experiments with the wild-type, MotAB, MotPS, MotPS, MotPB-M and Δ ABΔ PS strains. The standard deviations of duplicate meinicate measurements within experiments well  $^+$  at pH 7.0 or 8.3. The results shown are the mean values for five  $a$ All values are the diameter of the indicated strain, in mm, after 24 h on media containing either glucose or malate ± 5 mM added Na

<b>Strain or plasmid</b>	<b>Description</b>	<b>Source or reference</b>
E. coli		
$DH5 \alpha MCR$	$F$ mcrA $\Delta$ 1 (mrr-hsd RMS-mcrBC) $\phi$ 80dlacZ $\Delta$ M15 $\Delta$ (lacZYA- $argF$ )U169 deoR recA1 endA1 supE44 $\lambda$ thi-1 gyr-496 relA1	<b>GIBCO-BRL</b>
<b>B.</b> subtilis		
<b>BR151MA</b>	$lvs3$ trpC2 (wild type)	Grundy et al. (1994)
$\triangle$ PS	BR151MA $\Delta$ motPS::neo	Ito et al., 2004
$\triangle$ AB $\triangle$ PS	BR151MA $\triangle$ motPS::neo $\triangle$ motAB:: $Spec^R$	This study
MotAB	$\triangle$ AB $\triangle$ PS amyE:: $P_{modAB}$ -motAB	This study
MotAB-Spac	$\triangle$ AB $\triangle$ PS amyE:: $P_{space}$ -motAB	This study
MotPS	$\triangle$ AB $\triangle$ PS amyE:: $P_{modAB}$ -motPS	This study
MotPS-Spac	$\triangle$ AB $\triangle$ PS amyE:: $P_{space}$ -motPS	This study
MotAS	$\Delta$ AB $\Delta$ PS amyE:: $P_{modAB}$ -motAS	This study
MotAS-Spac	$\Delta$ AB $\Delta$ PS amyE:: $P_{spac}$ motAS	This study
MotAS-M	$\Delta$ AB $\Delta$ PS amyE: $P_{spac}$ motAS, up-motile variant	This study
MotPB	$\Delta$ AB $\Delta$ PS amyE:: $P_{modAB}$ -motPB	This study
MotPB-Spac	$\Delta$ AB $\Delta$ PS $amyE::P_{space}\mbox{-}motPB$	This study
MotPB-M	$\triangle$ AB $\triangle$ PS amyE:: $P_{space}$ -motPB, up-motile variant	This study
Plasmids		
pMW118	Cloning vector $(Ap^r)$	Nippon Gene
pDR67	$amyE$ integration vector with Cm <sup>r</sup> gene and $P_{spac}$ promoter upstream of multiple cloning site	Ireton, K., et al. 1993.
pDG1730	$amyE$ integration vector with $Ampr$ , Spec <sup>r</sup> , and Erm <sup>r</sup> genes	Guerout-Fleury et al. 1996
pDR-AB	$pDR67 + motAB$	This study
pDR-AS	$pDR67 + motAS$	This study
pDR-PS	$pDR67 + motPS$	This study
pDR-PB	$pDR67 + motPB$	This study
pDG-AB	$pDG1730 + P_{modAB}$ -motAB	This study
pDG-AS	$pDG1730 + P_{modAB}$ -motAS	This study
pDG-PS	$pDG1730 + P_{modAB}$ -motPS	This study
pDG-PB	$pDG1730 + P_{motAB}$ -motPB	This study

**TABLE 3** Bacterial strains and plasmids used in this study