

## EMERGENCY CARE PARADIGM

# ABC to <C>ABC: redefining the military trauma paradigm

T J Hodgetts, P F Mahoney, M Q Russell, M Byers

“ABC” has now been replaced by “<C>ABC”, where “<C>” stands for “catastrophic haemorrhage”

ABC has become established as the ubiquitous emergency care paradigm, reflected across the spectrum of advanced life support programmes. Military practitioners have been intuitively uncomfortable with this, as experience and evidence indicate that external peripheral haemorrhage is the leading cause of combat casualty death. In the UK military, ABC has now been replaced by <C>ABC, where <C> stands for catastrophic haemorrhage. The rationale for this change is explained in this commentary, together with its relevance to civilian practice.

Military ballistic injury is different from civilian blunt trauma. The nature of ballistic injury varies according to the type of weapon system causing the injury, the type of ballistic protection worn by the casualty and the nature of the conflict (urban, maritime or jungle). Although some injuries are inevitably unsurvivable, death may be avoidable in many cases, with rapid and decisive care at the point of wounding.

Champion *et al*<sup>1</sup> have estimated that 10% of all battlefield deaths are caused by haemorrhage from extremity wounds. On analysis of data from the Vietnam war, the Wound Data and Munitions Effectiveness Team identified that bleeding from limb wounds accounts for more than half the potentially preventable deaths in combat,<sup>2</sup> and that 7% of combat deaths may have been prevented by using a limb tourniquet. Contemporary experience from the Israeli Defence Force<sup>3</sup> confirms the pivotal role of external haemorrhage control in managing ballistic casualties, as does ongoing US and UK experience in Iraq.<sup>4</sup>

The UK Defence Medical Services has introduced several novel haemostatic products from April 2005. These are a new field-dressing, a self-applied arterial tourniquet and active haemostatic agents (Quikclot and HemCon). The background to this strategy has been described previously.<sup>5</sup> On evaluation of the novel haemostatics training programme for medical personnel immediately before their deployment,

considerably improved confidence was seen in dealing with traumatic amputation injuries.<sup>6</sup> The continuing operational analysis of these products by military clinicians in Iraq and Afghanistan has repeatedly identified lives saved.<sup>7</sup>

The revised <C>ABC paradigm is the entry point to a common gateway in a new military publication guiding management for all medical emergencies on military operations. This encompasses trauma, medical, toxicological and environmental emergencies.<sup>8</sup>

Battlefield Advanced Trauma Life Support (BATLS)<sup>9</sup> has traditionally adhered closely to Advanced Trauma Life Support (ATLS) principles,<sup>10</sup> but has moved to <C>ABC for the management of military casualties with blast and ballistic injuries. The aim is to rapidly deal with life-threatening external bleeding using the field-dressing, tourniquet and topical haemostatic agents. When control of catastrophic haemorrhage has been achieved, ABC is dealt with along the conventional trauma paradigm.

Practical modifications to ATLS protocols for the military environment were described by Bellamy<sup>11</sup> for the 1991 Gulf conflict. Bellamy emphasised surgical airway management in destructive ballistic injuries of the face and de-emphasised cervical spine management in penetrating neck injury.

Modification of trauma care according to the tactical threat has been described by Butler *et al*.<sup>12</sup> A staged approach to trauma care is identified that includes Care Under Fire (a fire fight is ongoing), Tactical Field Care (the fight is over, but resources are limited) and Combat Casualty Evacuation Care (when the casualty is being extracted from the incident). These concepts have been propagated in the military edition of *Prehospital Trauma Life Support*.<sup>13</sup>

BATLS builds on the work of Bellamy and Butler. Although perhaps implicit in combat casualty care for some time, the <C>ABC paradigm formally recognises the importance of catastrophic haemorrhage. BATLS defines four stages of

military trauma care that represent successive increments in the treating team's complexity and experience, available interventions and diagnostic tools: Care Under Fire, Tactical Field Care, Field Resuscitation (care at a regimental aid post by a primary care doctor and military medics) and Advanced Resuscitation (team of consultants at a field hospital). In these successive stages, BATLS also recognises that care needs to be modified according to the tactical threat. Although this sounds self-evident, the authors have all experienced situations in which prehospital providers have become fixated with carrying out a procedure (often intravenous access or spinal immobilisation) rather than moving to an appropriately safe location first.

Is this discussion relevant to UK civilian practice? The attacks of July 2005 in London and the resulting clinical experiences described<sup>14</sup> illustrate how blast and ballistic injury are a reality for today's National Health Service. The rescue of patients from a scene where an explosive or another threat is present or possible (including the more common house fire or a car fire) has clear parallels with Care Under Fire and Tactical Field Care.

Inner-city gunshot wounds and increasingly prevalent knife injuries are likely to present civilian prehospital and hospital personnel with casualties who may benefit from current military haemorrhage protocols, or adaptations of these. The parallels illustrate the need for civilian medical, nursing and paramedical personnel to be aware of innovations and developments in the military environment, where change is accelerated by the military imperative to improve combat casualty outcomes, and to adopt practices, where appropriate, to the benefit of the National Health Service.

*Emerg Med J* 2006;23:745-746.  
doi: 10.1136/emj.2006.039610

## Authors' affiliations

T J Hodgetts, P F Mahoney, M Q Russell, M Byers, Academic Department of Military Emergency Medicine, Royal Centre for Defence Medicine, Birmingham, UK

Correspondence to: T J Hodgetts, Academic Department of Military Emergency Medicine, Royal Centre for Defence Medicine, Birmingham Research Park, Vincent Drive, Birmingham B15 2SQ, UK; Prof.ADMEM@rcdm.bham.ac.uk

Accepted for publication 22 June 2006

Competing interests: All authors have been involved in development of the updated BATLS programme. TJH, PFM, MQR have been involved in development of the UK DMS haemostatic programme.

## REFERENCES

- 1 **Champion HR**, Bellamy RF, Roberts P, *et al.* A profile of combat injury. *J Trauma* 2003;**54**:S13–19.
- 2 **Bellamy RF**. Combat trauma overview. In: Zajitchuk R, Grande CM, eds. *Textbook of military medicine part IV: surgical combat casualty care: anesthesia and peri-operative care of the combat casualty*. Falls Church, VA: Office of the Surgeon General, US Army, 2005.
- 3 **Lakstein DL**, Blumenfeld A, Sokolov T, *et al.* Tourniquets for hemorrhage control on the battlefield: a 4 year accumulated experience. *J Trauma* 2003;**54**:S221–5.
- 4 **Hodgetts TJ**, Mahoney PF. In eds: Hodgetts, Mahoney. *Operational surgical services review*. Birmingham: Royal Centre for Defence Medicine, 2005.
- 5 **Mahoney PF**, Russell RJ, Russell MQ, *et al.* Novel haemostatics in military medicine. *J R Army Med Corps* 2005;**151**:139–41.
- 6 **Hodgetts TJ**, Russell R, Mahoney PF, *et al.* Evaluation of clinician attitudes to the implementation of novel haemostatic techniques. *J R Army Med Corps* 2005;**151**:176–8.
- 7 **Wedmore I**, McManus J, Pusateri A, *et al.* A special report on the chitosan-based hemostatic dressing: experience on current combat operations. *J Trauma* 2006;**60**:655–8.
- 8 **Defence Doctrine and Concepts Centre**. *Clinical guidelines for operations*, Joint Warfare Publication 4-03.1, 2006;(in press).
- 9 **Hodgetts T**, Mahoney P, Evans G, *et al.* *Battlefield advanced trauma life support*, 3rd edn. Defence Medical Education and Training Agency, Joint Service Publication, 570. 2006.
- 10 **Riley B**, Mahoney PF. BATLS: its use in the resuscitation department of 32 field hospital during the Gulf war. *Military Med* 1996;**161**:542–6.
- 11 **Bellamy RF**. The nature of combat injuries and the role of ATLS in their management. In: *Combat casualty care guidelines. Operation desert storm*. Washington, DC: Office of the Surgeon General, US Army, 1991.
- 12 **Butler FK**, Hagmann J, Butler EG. Tactical combat casualty care in special operations. *Military Med* 1996;**161**:3–16.
- 13 **National Association of Emergency Medical Technicians**. *PHTLS: basic and advanced prehospital trauma life support, military version*, 5th edn. St Louis: Elsevier Mosby, 2005.
- 14 **Shirley PF**. Critical care delivery: the experience of a civilian terrorist attack. *J R Army Medical Corps* 2006;**152**:17–21.

### Clinical Evidence—Call for contributors

*Clinical Evidence* is a regularly updated evidence-based journal available worldwide both as a paper version and on the internet. *Clinical Evidence* needs to recruit a number of new contributors. Contributors are healthcare professionals or epidemiologists with experience in evidence-based medicine and the ability to write in a concise and structured way.

#### Areas for which we are currently seeking contributors:

- Pregnancy and childbirth
- Endocrine disorders
- Palliative care
- Tropical diseases

We are also looking for contributors for existing topics. For full details on what these topics are please visit [www.clinicalevidence.com/ceweb/contribute/index.jsp](http://www.clinicalevidence.com/ceweb/contribute/index.jsp) However, we are always looking for others, so do not let this list discourage you.

#### Being a contributor involves:

- Selecting from a validated, screened search (performed by in-house Information Specialists) epidemiologically sound studies for inclusion.
- Documenting your decisions about which studies to include on an inclusion and exclusion form, which we keep on file.
- Writing the text to a highly structured template (about 1500-3000 words), using evidence from the final studies chosen, within 8-10 weeks of receiving the literature search.
- Working with *Clinical Evidence* editors to ensure that the final text meets epidemiological and style standards.
- Updating the text every 12 months using any new, sound evidence that becomes available.

The *Clinical Evidence* in-house team will conduct the searches for contributors; your task is simply to filter out high quality studies and incorporate them in the existing text.

If you would like to become a contributor for *Clinical Evidence* or require more information about what this involves please send your contact details and a copy of your CV, clearly stating the clinical area you are interested in, to [CECommissioning@bmjgroup.com](mailto:CECommissioning@bmjgroup.com).

### Call for peer reviewers

*Clinical Evidence* also needs to recruit a number of new peer reviewers specifically with an interest in the clinical areas stated above, and also others related to general practice. Peer reviewers are healthcare professionals or epidemiologists with experience in evidence-based medicine. As a peer reviewer you would be asked for your views on the clinical relevance, validity, and accessibility of specific topics within the journal, and their usefulness to the intended audience (international generalists and healthcare professionals, possibly with limited statistical knowledge). Topics are usually 1500-3000 words in length and we would ask you to review between 2-5 topics per year. The peer review process takes place throughout the year, and out turnaround time for each review is ideally 10-14 days.

If you are interested in becoming a peer reviewer for *Clinical Evidence*, please complete the peer review questionnaire at [www.clinicalevidence.com/ceweb/contribute/peerreviewer.jsp](http://www.clinicalevidence.com/ceweb/contribute/peerreviewer.jsp)