



Published in final edited form as:

Curr Nutr Food Sci. 2007 ; 3(1): 55–74.

Laboratory-Based Studies of Eating among Children and Adolescents

Marian Tanofsky-Kraff, PhD^{*,1,2}, Ann F. Haynos, BS^{*,3}, Lisa A. Kotler, MD³, Susan Z. Yanovski, MD^{1,4}, and Jack A. Yanovski, MD, PhD¹

¹Unit on Growth and Obesity, Developmental Endocrinology Branch, National Institute of Child Health and Human Development, NIH, DHHS

²Department of Medical & Clinical Psychology, Uniformed Services University of the Health Sciences

³Columbia University and New York State Psychiatric Institute

⁴Division of Digestive Diseases and Nutrition, NIDDK, NIH, DHHS

Abstract

The prevalence of pediatric overweight has increased dramatically over the past three decades, likely due to changes in food intake as well as physical activity. Therefore, information examining eating patterns among children and adolescents is needed to illuminate which aspects of eating behavior require modification to prevent and treat pediatric overweight. Because child self-report and parent-report of children's eating habits are often inconsistent and limited by recall and other biases, laboratory-based studies in which food intake is observed and monitored have increased in number. Such studies offer objective and controlled methods of measuring and describing eating behaviors. However, to our knowledge, no publication exists that consolidates, reviews, and provides critical commentary on the literature to date in pediatric samples. In this paper, we review the literature of studies utilizing laboratory methods to examine eating behavior in samples ranging from birth through adolescence. Our review includes all relevant articles retrieved from the PubMed, Medline and PsychInfo search engines. Specifically, we examine meal-feeding studies conducted during the various developmental stages (infancy, preschool, middle childhood, and adolescence), with a focus on methodology. Included in our review are feeding studies related to dietary regulation, exposure and preference, as well as paradigms examining disordered eating patterns and their relationship to body composition. We have structured this review so that both consistent and inconsistent findings are presented by age group, and innovative methods of assessment are discussed in more detail. Following each section, we summarize findings and draw potential conclusions from the available data. We then discuss clinical implications of the research data and suggest directions for the next generation of studies of feeding behavior in children.

Keywords

Children; eating behavior; laboratory eating; feeding; food preference; energy intake

Pediatric overweight has nearly tripled over the past 30 years (1) and recent research indicates that dieting and disordered eating behaviors (i.e. binge eating or purging) begin to emerge

Correspondence to: Marian Tanofsky-Kraff, Ph.D., Unit on Growth and Obesity, DEB, NICHD, NIH, CRC, Room 1-3330, 10 Center Drive, MSC-1103, Bethesda, MD 20892-1103 TEL: 301-451-3782; FAX: 301-480-2650; e-mail: tanofskm@mail.nih.gov.

*The first two authors of this paper contributed equally to this work.

Current address: Department of Medical and Clinical Psychology, USUHS, 4301 Jones Bridge Road, Bethesda, MD 20814-4712

during childhood and adolescence (2-4). Childhood overweight, as well as disordered eating patterns, have been linked to a number of physical and psychological problems (5) (6) (7). In order to provide a sound scientific basis for the development of effective prevention and treatment strategies for both overweight and eating disturbances, studies investigating the relationship between overweight and abnormal eating patterns in children and adolescents have been conducted with increasing frequency,

A number of methods have been employed to examine the dietary intake and feeding habits of children. Most typically, 24-hour dietary recall and food frequency questionnaires have been administered to either children or their parents (8). Unfortunately, these methods are inherently limited, as they rely on retrospective report, which can be inaccurate due to factors such as a desirability effects, difficulty with recall, or lack of nutritional knowledge.

Studies in adults have long demonstrated a discrepancy between self-reported and actual energy intake, with a tendency to underreport intake (e.g., (9,10)). This effect may be more pronounced in children, due to recall or comprehension difficulties. Indeed, the research examining the validity of children's self-reports of energy intake indicates that children are quite often inaccurate reporters of their food intake (11-15). Additionally, among children, recall accuracy varies according to characteristics such as age and body weight (12-14,16,17).

Parent-report methods are similarly imperfect. Not only are they dependent on retrospective data, but they also rely on third-party observation, and thus exclude child eating that is unobserved by parents. Studies on the accuracy of parental report generally indicate a tendency for parents to underreport children's intake (18-20). In addition, parental and child reports of binge eating and disordered eating patterns appear to be incongruent (21-23).

Laboratory-based studies of eating during meals offer an alternative to child- and parent-report methods. Such studies allow for controlled conditions, direct observation of behavior, manipulation of target variables, and greater accuracy of intake measurement than other methods. The purpose of this paper is to review the specific methodologies and results of feeding studies conducted among children and adolescents with the aim of summarizing findings and making recommendations for future research directions. In this review, we will present cross-sectional feeding studies conducted in infancy, preschool, middle childhood, and adolescence, as well as longitudinal studies that have used feeding paradigms. We will then highlight main findings from the literature, discuss the clinical implications, and review the limitations of gathering data in a laboratory setting. This review will conclude by suggesting directions for future research.

Methods

We conducted a literature review using electronic resources (PubMed, PsychInfo) and cross-references obtained from articles published in the English language from 1950 to the present. Search terms included: eating behavior, laboratory eating, feeding, food preference, and energy intake crossed with infant, child, and adolescent. Meal studies in which a food or caloric beverage was ingested and feeding behavior was the primary focus of the investigation were also included, regardless of the sample size. Studies in which eating patterns were recorded based upon recall or inappropriate measurement schemes were excluded. Furthermore, studies examining metabolic responses to specific diets rather than variables related to food intake were considered outside the scope of this review. A total of 91 studies were identified that fit within the parameters of the search.

Results

Feeding studies in infancy

Seventeen feeding (24-39) studies with infant samples were identified (Table 1). Previously-published reviews have described methods commonly used to analyze eating behavior in infancy, both subsequent to (40) and during the weaning period (41). Most infant eating behavior studies have been carried out by a limited group of researchers and have examined a restricted range of topics, such as food preference development and disordered eating patterns in infancy. We will briefly review the methods and findings from this literature, concentrating on those studies that have not been highlighted in other reviews.

Dietary regulation—The pioneering studies of this literature involved eating habits of orphaned infants (aged 6 to 11 months) with no prior exposure to solid foods (24,25). Infants self-selected three meals a day from an array of single-item unprocessed foods from the time of admission into the study until they had reached six years of age. Researchers were instructed to remain impartial during the selection process and to allow the infant to choose each meal by gesturing towards various food items. Results suggested that in such a setting, infants are able to self-select diets that sufficiently support health and growth. These findings have been interpreted as evidence for the natural ability of infants to self-select healthy, nutritious diets. However, these results should be interpreted cautiously for several reasons. Sample sizes were small; only 3 infants were studied in the 1928 study (24) and 15 infants in 1939 (25). Further, children were tested in an artificial eating environment, as all foods offered were nutritious and social stimuli were absent (42).

Dietary exposure—Several studies have examined the impact of flavor exposure on preference during infancy (27-30). The nature of this developmental period presents several methodological challenges. The inability of infants to speak presents difficulties in measuring outcomes of acceptability and preference. Additionally, various modes of feeding are employed during infancy (bottle-feeding, breastfeeding, and ingestion of solid foods); thus different methods are required to expose infants at each feeding stage to various feeding experiences. Nevertheless, results generally indicate that exposure to novel flavors in infancy increases acceptability (27-30).

Exposure to various flavors is easily manipulated in studies of bottle-fed infants (40). A number of investigators have altered formula composition according to different test variables (i.e. sweetness, salt concentration) in order to examine the effect of different flavors on infants' patterns of consumption. For instance, one study assigned 53 infants (< 3 weeks old) to different exposure groups for 7 months: a milk-based formula only, a bitter-tasting protein hydrolysate formula only, or a milk-based formula for 4 months and the bitter-tasting formula for 3 months (29)). At the 7-month follow-up, infants and their mothers participated in three test meal sessions, one with each of the assigned formulas, as well as one with a novel protein hydrolysate formula. Infant-mother feeding interactions were videotaped and flavor acceptance was measured through infant facial expressions (e.g. nose wrinkling or frowning), formula intake, and meal duration. Infants with prior history of protein hydrolysate consumption demonstrated greater energy intake, longer feeding intervals, and fewer negative facial expressions in response to both familiar and novel versions of the bitter-tasting formula than those without prior exposure. Thus, degree of prior exposure determined the level of acceptance. Similar methods have been used to examine infants' reactions to exposure to other solutions, such as sweet and salty substances (for review see Drewett & Young, 1998 (40)).

Though breastfeeding presents greater challenges to measuring the effects of dietary exposure, researchers have designed methods to alter the taste of breast milk, thus exposing breastfed infants to different flavors. For instance, Mennella et al (43) were able to alter the dietary

experience of breastfed infants through adjustments to their mothers' diets. Forty-six women were assigned to consume either water or carrot juice four days per week for three weeks during pregnancy and lactation. When tested at approximately 6 months of age, those infants exposed to carrot juice prenatally or through breastfeeding demonstrated fewer negative facial expressions to the carrot-flavored cereal than to the plain cereal compared to infants of mother who had not been exposed. These findings not only validated the importance of early exposure in the development of dietary preference, but also demonstrate that dietary experience may be manipulated in breastfeeding infants. Other studies have similarly documented changes in infants' breastfeeding pattern following mother's ingestion of garlic, vanilla (44), and alcohol (45,46).

Information on dietary exposure among infants weaning to solid foods is easily measured and of particular importance as dietary experience broadens during this developmental stage. Research on infants with limited exposure to solid foods suggests that with taste exposure, acceptance of target foods increases (27,28,30).

Disordered eating patterns—Laboratory feeding studies have also described abnormal eating behavior during infancy and have allowed researchers to differentiate between various patterns of disordered eating. Chatoor and colleagues have used feeding paradigms to identify specific aberrant eating patterns. Their methodology involves mother-child feeding interactions that are videotaped and then coded by researchers to assess positive and negative feeding behaviors exhibited by both the mother and infant (32-35). For example, a researcher may code a mother-infant feeding interaction as “dyadic reciprocity,” a term that reflects positive mother-infant exchanges, or as “struggle for control,” which is represented by the mother overriding the child's cues or child rejecting the food. Based upon their feeding studies, Chatoor's group has identified three categorizations of infant eating disturbance: “picky eating” is characterized by notable food refusal without growth deficiency (Chatoor et al. 2000); “infantile anorexia,” is extreme food refusal that is accompanied by deficiency in growth and extreme parental anxiety regarding the infant's eating habits (34); and post-traumatic feeding disorder, defined as food rejection following a trauma to the infant's alimentary canal (i.e. choking) (32). For a complete review, see Chatoor, 2002 (47).

Another focus of research has been on the slowest-growing 5% of infants. Methods include video-recording and coding a variety of eating behaviors during typical mealtimes, and comparing these observations to those of infants growing at a healthy rate. Such research has demonstrated distinct eating patterns in children who fail to thrive, characterized by reduced energy intake, poorer compensation abilities, and less compliance during feedings (36,37).

Feeding habits and body composition—Studies of only one cohort by Stunkard and colleagues have examined the relationship between eating patterns in infancy and weight status at 1 year (38) and 2 years (39) of age. In a prospective, longitudinal study, Stunkard and colleagues investigated energy intake and expenditure among 78 three-month-old infants with obese and non-obese parents in order to determine correlates with body size during the first two years of life. Infant feeding was studied in the laboratory through a nutritive sucking apparatus so that eating behavior, including milk intake and total number of sucks, could be monitored. Furthermore, child energy intake was determined from weighed food records. Children of obese parents demonstrated a greater sucking rate at 3 months than those of normal weight parents. Moreover, independent of parental weight status, energy intake at three months predicted body size and adiposity over the first two years of life. Infants with greater energy intake, as reported via 3-day weight records, and infants with a greater sucking rate at three months had higher body mass index (BMI; kg/m²) and greater adiposity at 12 months (38) and 24 months (39), after controlling for baseline body size. These findings suggest that as early as the first months of life, specific eating behaviors may contribute to later body composition.

Summary—Controlled studies of eating behavior in infancy have been useful in identifying normative and disordered patterns of eating and in determining the effects of various dietary experiences on child body weight development. There is some indication from early data that infants possess inherent skills to regulate dietary intake, though replication of these results is needed. Food exposure during infancy also appears to have an impact on taste preference, which may indicate that infants are biologically primed for the necessary acceptance of a wide range of flavors early in life. Finally, data suggest that specific infant eating patterns may predict subsequent weight status.

Laboratory Feeding studies in toddlers and preschool children

The vast majority of controlled meal studies of pediatric eating behavior have been conducted in samples of preschool children. In total, 46 (17,48-88) preschool feeding studies were identified (Table 2). This period of development is particularly salient to researchers examining children's eating habits. Preschool children are considered excellent candidates for observation because they can be studied in their school environment with little intrusion into their daily habits. Moreover, the nature of this developmental stage allows for a broader examination of various influences on eating patterns. Though parents maintain a great deal of control over the eating habits of toddlers and preschoolers, children are initiating self-feeding during this time. Thus, both parental and individual child characteristics (i.e. personality traits, feelings of satiety, etc.) may influence consumption patterns. Although children are still influenced by inherent hunger and satiety cues, an awareness of the social and cultural implications of food and eating is beginning to emerge at this time. As such, observing young children provides an opportunity to investigate the effects of environment on human feeding as such influences become more prominent.

Much of the data in preschoolers are consistent with results from infant samples. For example, preschoolers are able to regulate energy intake quite effectively ((67,69,72)). In addition, while preschool children are often food neophobic, findings indicate that the preference and acceptability of food similarly increases with exposure (67,70,89,90)). Despite consistencies between infant and preschool child findings, much of the methodology used for preschoolers is distinct.

Dietary regulation—Many studies examining preschoolers' eating behavior use methods somewhat similar to those developed by Davis and colleagues' (24,25). For instance, in one study of 15 preschool children, energy intake, either at home or in the lab, was measured by weighing foods before and after ingestion for six separate 24-hr periods (49). Changes in energy intake were examined at individual meals and across various days. Findings demonstrated great variability in energy intake from meal to meal, but little variation in total daily energy intake. Using similar methods, other researchers have been able to manipulate macronutrient content, such as concentration of fat, in test meals in order to observe the effect on dietary regulation over a number of days (50). There are strengths and weaknesses in using such methods. Twenty-four hour observations of energy intake over several different days allow a more comprehensive understanding of children's eating habits. However, the time required of participants is onerous and therefore sample sizes are often small. Additionally, data collected outside the laboratory may be imprecise.

A more commonly used method is the measurement of intake from an ad libitum lunch or snack following the consumption of a preload (51,53,54,72). This method involves observing the impact of liquid or solid preloads varying in energy or macronutrient content (51,52) on the consumption of a free-access array of foods for lunch. Amount consumed from ad libitum lunches is examined to determine how well children adjust their intake based upon differences in preload content. Findings from such paradigms suggest that children are generally efficient

at adjusting their intake based upon preloads, although the adjustment may take place over the span of more than one day (51). Moreover, data indicate that children are more proficient than adults at adjusting their intake according to previously ingested energy content (48). Despite such findings, other data suggest that energy compensation among preschoolers is not precise. For example, although children are able to lower their energy intake following consumption of an elevated percentage of fat in a particular ice cream, they may not reduce those calories through decreasing fat content specifically (51). Thus, overall percentage of fat intake may increase. Studies have also demonstrated that compensation abilities vary greatly among children. Older children (58) and females (59) tend to exhibit poorer compensation abilities. Finally, compensation abilities have been shown to be inversely related to weight and adiposity (58,59). A genetic link in compensation abilities has also been suggested, as sibling pairs tend to exhibit similar regulatory skills (54), although such similarities may be the result of shared environmental influences.

Data suggest that proficiency in energy compensation can be learned. Johnson studied preschoolers' compensation at an ad libitum lunch following a high and low energy preload, both before and after an intervention that focused on identifying internal cues for hunger and satiety (58). The 6-week intervention used techniques such as skits and doll playing to present strategies to help with intake regulation. Following the intervention, children demonstrated a marked improvement in caloric adjustment in response to either a high or low energy preload, independent of age or adiposity.

Dietary preferences, exposure, and conditioning—While research indicates that child preference and consumption are closely linked (60), intake measurement alone may provide an imprecise method for examining preference, as it can be greatly affected by additional variables, such as environmental stimuli. The increased cognitive and verbal abilities of preschool children allow for more direct measures of preference. Many studies use a preference assessment method developed by Birch (60), in which cartoon faces (smiling, neutral, and grimacing) and researcher-assisted rankings are used to aid children in expressing food preference. This technique has been demonstrated to capture food preferences of young children reliably. Results from such methods suggest that sweetness, texture, and, most significantly, familiarity impact the development of preferences in young children (61,68).

While exposure increases the acceptability of novel foods by increasing familiarity (67); (70, 89,90), research has indicated that the method of exposure is critical to altering preference (90). In a study of 43 children, aged 2 to 5 years old, novel fruits were presented in either a “look” or “taste” condition. In the look condition, the children were visually presented, but not able to taste, novel foods. In the taste condition, children were able to both view and taste foods over a period of trials. They found that the “look” exposure only increased visual preference, while the “taste” exposure increased visual and taste preference, suggesting that the taste of a food, rather than the appearance of the food, must be familiar for taste preference to develop.

A number of studies have demonstrated that conditioning may increase food preference. For example, through repeated pairings, children can be conditioned to associate a certain flavor with the nutritional content or energy density of a food or drink (67,69,70). Data suggest that children prefer flavors consistently paired with foods and drinks of higher fat content (69,70) and energy density (67). For example, in a sample of 3-5 year old children, Birch and colleagues paired two novel flavors (bubble gum and orange-chocolate) with a beverage of either high- or low- energy density for 8 trials over a period of 4 weeks (67). Preference for each flavor was assessed prior to and following conditioning. Pre-conditioning flavor assessments indicated no difference in children's preference for the two novel flavors. However, following conditioning, children significantly increased their preference for the flavor paired with drink of higher energy density (67).

Studies have also demonstrated that flavor preference can be conditioned in children by repeatedly pairing a food or flavor with a specific social context. For instance, when food has been recurrently used as a reward, has been paired with adult praise and attention, or has been withheld from a child, preference for the food as well as intake has been shown to increase (68,74). However, studies that use food as a contingency for a desirable behavior (i.e. “You can play the game after you eat your vegetables”), result in a decrease in preference for the food, suggesting that children develop a negative association with the food (71). This latter finding may be explained by the “overjustification effect” which theorizes that rewarding individuals for behaviors (in this case, eating vegetables) undermines the intended goal because the action is then viewed as controlled by extrinsic factors (the vegetables are eaten for the reward only), as opposed to intrinsic variables (the vegetables are eaten because the person wants to eat them) (91). Finally, research has demonstrated that other aspects of eating can be conditioned in young children through the context in which they are presented, including meal size (73) and, at least in children capable of understanding the cues that predict the availability of food, the initiation of a meal in the absence of hunger (53).

Familial influences and eating behavior—Some feeding studies have suggested that parental variables have a significant impact on shaping preschoolers' eating habits (17,59, 74-80,83,92,93). Specifically, parent-child interactions such as child-feeding strategies appear to influence children's eating habits.

In order to measure the effects of the family environment on preschoolers' mealtime behavior, many studies have examined the relationship between measures of parental weight and eating habits and the observed eating habits of their children. Findings suggest that parents who report disinhibition while eating often have children with poorer dietary regulation (58,59) and that heavier parents tend to have children with a greater preference for, and intake of, high fat foods (79).

Other researchers have examined the relationship between parents' reports of child-feeding strategies and preschoolers' actual eating habits. A series of studies conducted by Fisher, Birch, and colleagues have examined the associations between parental restraint and control over children's intake and children's actual eating behaviors (59,74,75,80). Specifically, the construct of “eating in the absence of hunger” has garnered much interest (75). In order to assess such eating, one paradigm involves providing children with a standard lunch, measuring satiety by depicting various states of emptiness or fullness, using pictures of cartoon figures, and then offering a fifteen minute period of free access to a large array of highly palatable foods. A child's consumption after eating a fully-satiating meal is then considered a measure of eating in the absence of hunger. Results suggest that greater parent restraint over their children's eating is associated with poorer energy regulation (59,75). However, whether parental restraint is causative or a response to individual children's eating patterns remains unclear.

Studies in preschool samples have also examined parent-child feeding interactions using third-party observation. One study examining 46 low-income families during mealtimes in the home setting found that, for children who fail to grow at a healthy rate, mealtimes involved less family communication and socializing and greater levels of parental anxiety or indifference (76). A second study examined and coded videotaped laboratory feeding interactions between 77 mother-child pairs and found that children's eating rate increased with number of maternal feeding prompts (78). Finally, Harper and Sanders (83) demonstrated that children were more likely to accept a novel food if it was offered by their mother than by a stranger. These studies are important in that they address the significance of parental behavior in the development and maintenance of children's eating habits, but they must be interpreted cautiously. Such studies are limited in that they can neither determine the degree to which genetic factors play a role

between parent and child similarities in food intake, nor the extent to which individual child differences may impact parental response.

Other social and environmental influences—A limited number of studies have examined the impact of social influence on the consumption patterns of young children. Both adult and peer modeling appear to affect the eating habits of young children in that the preference for and acceptability of food increases with exposure to others' eating patterns (81-84). Portion size also appears to influence children's food intake. Rolls and colleagues conducted a 12-week study of 30 preschoolers in which children were presented, in a counterbalanced fashion on separate days, with either an age-appropriate portion of an entrée or a large portion, which was approximately double a serving size (85). Larger portion sizes increased the children's meal consumption, daily energy intake, and bite size. Moreover, children who ate greater amounts when presented with larger portions were also more likely to eat more in the absence of hunger (assessed using the methods previously described (17)). Given the increase in portion sizes over the past several decades (94), these findings may be particularly salient to our understanding of contributors to the current obesity epidemic.

Eating behavior and body composition—The literature relating pediatric eating behavior and body composition is relatively consistent. Overweight children tend to eat faster, demonstrate poorer compensation, and endorse greater preference for fats than children of normal weight (59,79,87). Studies have also examined the relationship between child body weight and observed parent-child feeding interactions. Observing and analyzing videotaped feeding interactions between mothers and children, Drucker et al found that child BMI correlated with the number of maternal discouragements in the feeding context, such that children with higher BMIs had mothers who delivered more discouragements (78).

Summary of the literature—Research examining preschoolers' eating patterns suggests that as children begin to self-feed, they continue to regulate their dietary intake reasonably well, although variability exists among children. Studies of food preference have revealed that preschool children make food preference judgments based upon their sweetness, texture, and familiarity. Preschoolers' food preferences can be altered by increasing exposure to foods, thereby making foods more familiar, by manipulating degree of satiety paired with a specific flavor, and by altering the social context in which the food is presented. Finally, based on available data, it appears that preschoolers' eating habits may be affected by familial factors, social and environmental factors, as well as individual characteristics such as body size.

Feeding studies in middle childhood

Fewer data exist from feeding studies of children during the period between preschool and adolescence, or what is often referred to as middle childhood (6 to 12 years old). Twenty-one feeding studies (65,95-114) were found that examined variables in school-aged children (Table 3).

This literature primarily has aimed to replicate and extend findings from younger samples, and the majority of results mirror those from the literature on eating habits in preschool children. For example, 6-9 year olds are able to regulate their dietary intake efficiently, although not precisely (98). In addition, some degree of food neophobia persists into middle childhood, though it appears to decrease with age (99,102), and some data suggest that the acceptance of novel foods and flavors increases with familiarity of the food (102). Middle childhood eating habits also appear to be influenced by environmental stimuli, such as parental concern and restriction of intake (98,115). Finally, research indicates that, similar to young children, 6 to 12 year old overweight children tend to take a greater number of bites with fewer chews per

bites, eat more rapidly, and ingest greater portions compared to normal weight peers (105, 111).

In contrast to studies of younger children, a larger body of literature exists examining the relationship between body weight and abnormal eating attitudes and behaviors. This is likely the result of data suggesting that aberrant eating behaviors such as dieting [(116,117), binge eating and disturbed eating attitudes (2,4) begin in middle childhood. As such, feeding studies in middle childhood samples shift focus from common feeding habits to an examination of intake patterns among children with different phenotypes.

Dietary regulation—Though some evidence indicates that children demonstrate similar caloric compensation abilities across different preloads (98), other studies in middle childhood have attempted to manipulate factors related to energy intake or output in order to observe the impact on overall dietary regulation. For instance, one study manipulated the glycemic index (GI) of children's diet in order to determine the impact on subsequent eating behavior (95). In this study, 37 middle school children (aged 9 to 12) were given 3 breakfasts varying in GI on different weeks. The children were then provided an ad libitum buffet for lunch, and intake and subjective feelings of satiety were measured. Following the low-GI breakfasts, children reported less pre-lunch hunger and ingested less energy at lunch than following the high-GI meals.

Methods have been also been developed to measure effects of meal variables on dietary regulation during middle childhood. One study of 30 children between the ages of 6 and 13 involved observation and measurement of intake patterns in a camp setting (97). Consumption of sweetened drinks was associated with an increase in children's total daily energy intake. Additionally, one study manipulated the amount of exercise, rather than a particular component of a meal, in order to determine the consequences on the subsequent ad libitum lunch and dinner consumption of 9 to 10 year old girls. The results did not indicate an increase in consumption throughout the day as a result of increased exercise (96).

Dietary preference—In contrast to most studies of dietary preference in middle childhood that replicate findings from younger samples, a study by Epstein and colleagues (100) produced seemingly contradictory results. This study examined preference for a novel low-calorie food in 18 overweight children between the ages of 8 and 12 before and after an 8-week intervention that utilized exposure, modeling, and use of the food as a reward to increase its acceptability. Children's preferences remained stable between baseline and post-intervention measurements. This might suggest that preferences become more stable as children age. Indeed, data have revealed significant differences in exposure effects between older and younger subsets of children, suggesting that as children age they may develop more rigid schemata regarding what foods are “good” or “bad” tasting (101). However, these results may be more indicative of differences in methodology. For instance, studies on exposure with preschool samples typically occurred in laboratory settings with children of all weight strata (68,89). By contrast, Epstein et al's study examined the eating behavior of overweight children in the home environment. Thus, the findings may be indicative of the impact of body composition or environmental influences, rather than age on children's food preferences.

Studies that use contingent rewards for consumption of particular foods may yield inconsistent results in preschool samples. For example, Wardle et al. randomized 49 children (aged 5-7y) to one of three groups: a reward group (stickers were given for eating a novel food), an exposure-only group (children were offered foods, but received no corresponding reward), or a control group (118). While children in the exposure group showed the greatest increase in intake and subjective ratings of novel foods, children in the reward group also demonstrated greater increases than the control group. This result is in contrast to Epstein et al's findings

(100), and may be due to differing methodological features of the two studies. For instance, the use of different types of rewards (i.e. activity vs. concrete object) as opposed to age.

Familial and environmental influences and eating behavior—Several studies have examined the mealtime behavior of school-aged children in the context of parental supervision in order to determine the impact of family environment on eating habits. Of particular interest has been the interaction between parental influences and eating behavior among overweight children. Laessle and colleagues (103) examined the eating patterns of 80 overweight and non-overweight children (aged 8-12y) via a Universal Eating Monitor (a device that permits covert continuous weighing of an individual's plate or other food reservoir by means of a concealed electronic balance (119); once with mother present and once unattended. Though groups exhibited similar eating patterns when unattended, when mothers were present, overweight children ate faster, took bigger bites, and accelerated eating towards the end of the meal to a greater degree compared to non-overweight children. Waxman and Stunkard also noted in their observations that overweight children are often served greater portions by their mothers than their non-overweight brothers (105). However, this information results from anecdotal recall and has not been studied systematically in a laboratory setting.

Other environmental factors have been manipulated to examine their impact on eating in middle childhood samples. Researchers in one study altered nutritional information in order to determine the effect on snack preference on 10-year-old children (108). Children were asked to taste and rate preference for both a high- and a low- fat version of the same cookie. One group received no nutritional information, while the other group was told the relative fat content (i.e. low-fat, high-fat) of each cookie. In the no-information group, the high-fat cookie was universally preferred, while in the nutritional information group, those children endorsing high levels of dietary concern reported a preference for the low-fat cookies.

Use of television advertising has also been manipulated in order to observe effects on subsequent eating behavior in school-aged participants. Halford et al (107) presented 42 children, aged 9-11 years, with a cartoon segment interrupted by either food or non-food advertisements. Children were subsequently allowed free-access to various sweet and savory snacks. Their findings demonstrated that the increases in the number of television food advertisements recognized by children positively correlated with the amount of food consumed.

Eating behavior and body composition—Many investigations suggest a link between body composition and eating behavior among children aged 6-12 years. In studies where food intake has been the primary outcome measure between overweight and non-overweight samples of children, overweight children have consistently been found to eat greater quantities of food (107,109,115). In one study examining reported perceptions of the degree of sweetness and fatness in foods, overweight children's ratings indicated a lowered perception of such hedonic qualities, suggesting that heavier children may require greater food consumption to appreciate the palatable features of food and therefore become satiated (106).

Data from feeding studies have demonstrated that overweight children may be receptive to behavioral interventions aimed at adapting healthier eating behaviors. Overholser & Beck (110) observed the eating habits of 16 overweight children in both a naturalistic cafeteria environment and in the laboratory, before and after a treatment aimed at improving eating behavior. The treatment combined psychoeducation, modeling, and practice to encourage children to chew more thoroughly and set down food between bites. Unlike other feeding paradigms, no significant differences were found in the observed eating styles of the overweight children and their non-overweight peers in the cafeteria setting pre-treatment. However, following the intervention, overweight children were more likely to set down their utensils

between bites than their non-overweight peers (who did not participate in the intervention). This study demonstrates some degree of promise for preventative interventions geared toward correcting eating habits associated with obesity.

Dietary Restraint and Disordered eating patterns—Dietary restraint and disordered eating habits, such as binge eating (overeating with a feeling of loss of control over eating) appear to emerge during middle childhood (2,117,120,121). One study examined the relationship between children's dietary restraint and eating behavior in situations varying in levels of stress (113)]. Forty children between the ages of 8 and 11 with either high or low self-reported levels of dietary restraint (as measured by the Dutch Eating Behavior Questionnaire; (122)) were allowed free access to an array of high-fat snack foods immediately following a situation involving high stress (giving a speech in front of peers) and low in stress (coloring). Children with high-levels of dietary restraint were more likely to increase eating following the stressful condition than those with lower restraint levels.

One study examined childhood binge eating in a laboratory setting (114). Binge-eating behaviors were assessed via self-report questionnaire in a sample of 60 treatment-seeking overweight children between the ages of 6 and 12, all of whom demonstrated evidence of insulin resistance. These children were presented with an ad libitum buffet lunch on two different days, following either an overnight fast or a standardized breakfast. Children were verbally instructed to “Please let yourself go and eat as much as you would like. You may eat as much of anything as you would like to, but you do not have to eat anything that you do not like” at each test meal in order to elicit binge-eating behavior in the children. The food items on the array were weighed before and after the test meal in order to assess consumption. Results indicated that the children who endorsed binge eating reported a greater desire to eat and ingested more energy in both conditions. Additionally, the children who endorsed binge eating reported shorter periods of satiety following the standardized breakfast and post-fast meal. This study is the first to demonstrate binge eating behavior in children in a laboratory setting. One limitation of this study, however, is the absence of a non-overweight control group. Further data are needed to determine whether reported binge eating in non-overweight samples would reflect similar eating behavior in the laboratory.

Summary of the literature—Laboratory feeding paradigms among middle childhood samples have demonstrated that many factors related to eating, such as the ability to regulate intake and the acquisition of dietary preferences, remain relatively stable as children grow older. Data also suggest eating patterns may change between early and middle childhood, however the observed differences may result from inconsistency in methods employed to study these phenomena.

Feeding studies in this population have typically examined variables unique either to the environment or to child characteristics. Data suggest that the manipulation of various aspects of children's diet (such as glycemic index or beverage type) can impact subsequent energy intake. Other studies have examined the manipulation of meal context on eating patterns. Factors such as parental presence and behavior, nutritional information, and television advertisement may alter type and amount of food intake. Finally, studies have examined the relationship between eating patterns and children's body weight and reported eating-disordered behavior. These studies indicate that overweight children and those who report abnormal eating behaviors may exhibit distinct eating patterns from their non-overweight and non eating disordered peers.

Feeding studies in adolescents

Experimental feeding studies among adolescents are scant. A literature search on feeding studies in adolescent populations yielded only seven (99,123-128) studies (Table 4). From an overview of the literature, it appears that a number of investigations have examined the eating habits of teenage populations via self-report instruments, which are problematic in that adolescents often underestimate food intake on these measures (16,129). As such, controlled, laboratory studies on the eating behavior of adolescent samples are warranted. Presently, the majority of studies conducted among adolescent populations have addressed the impact of body weight or eating disordered attitudes on eating behavior.

Food choice and dietary composition—Effects of food choice and diet composition are salient as adolescents typically have significant control over dietary selection. Indeed, there is evidence to suggest that dietary selection in adolescence differs from other developmental periods. During adolescence, food neophobia appears to be reduced. Adolescents are more willing to sample novel foods than younger children (99). One study also found adolescents tire more quickly of a single flavor than adults, indicating that adolescents may be particularly inclined to require greater dietary variety (125). These studies suggest that willingness and desire to seek a variety of new foods may peak in adolescence. Thus, adolescence may be a critical period in understanding the effect of various dietary selections on consumption.

Consistent with findings drawn from younger samples, research on adolescents has demonstrated that the manipulation of dietary content, such as the glycemic index (GI), produces changes in eating patterns. Consumption of a meal or meal replacement with a low-GI has been shown to alter hormonal responses, decrease daily energy intake, and lengthen the interval before subsequent food intakes in overweight adolescents. Thus, it has been suggested that low-GI meals may decrease the degree of overweight by prolonging satiation and therefore reducing total energy intake (123,124).

Research has also examined the effect of adolescents' food choices on eating behavior. One study examined the food selections of 743 adolescents (aged 11-13 y) in a naturalistic school cafeteria setting (126). Researchers photographed meal trays immediately following food purchases and then at the end of the meal. Additionally, they weighed any uneaten food portions in order to estimate energy intake. They noted that approximately one third of all students purchasing school lunches also purchased some type of “competitive” add-on (i.e. soft drinks, chips, candy, etc.). Those who purchased such items had lower overall energy intake, but higher fat and sugar intake and less vitamin and calcium intake during the meal, and additionally left more school lunch uneaten than those who did not purchase competitive foods. This study relied on photographs to estimate meal size prior to consumption, thus it is limited by absence of more objective measures. However, it does provide initial direct evidence that competitive foods may promote poorer overall eating habits.

Fast food may also contribute to poorer eating habits in adolescent samples. In a study by Ebbeling et al (128), overweight and lean adolescents were served extra-large portions of fast-food (with allowance for refills) for lunch in a naturalistic food-court setting and energy intake was measured. The adolescent subjects ate an average of 1652 kcals during this meal, which was more than 60% of their estimated total energy expenditure. These studies suggest that dietary selection has a significant impact on the eating habits of children during their teen years.

Influences of mood, media, and dietary restraint—In one study, researchers manipulated mood and media exposure in order to measure the effects of eating patterns on the eating behavior of 91 high-school females (127). Subjects were categorized as demonstrating either high or low levels of dietary restraint by virtue of scores on self-report measures. Both groups were exposed to a sad film clip, which was designed to evoke negative

emotions. This clip was intermittently interrupted by either diet- and body image-related or neutral advertisements. During the viewing, subjects were given free-access to snack foods, and intake of these foods was measured at the completion of the experiment. While both groups ate similar amounts in the neutral condition, females with high levels of dietary restraint ate nearly twice as much after exposure to commercials regarding diet and body image than females with low levels of restraint. This study illustrates the complexity between individual (i.e. eating pattern, mood) and environmental (i.e. media) factors on eating behavior during the period of adolescence.

Body composition and eating behavior—Though limited in number, feeding studies have also observed eating behavior of overweight and non-overweight samples of adolescents in order to examine the relationship between body weight and adolescent eating patterns. One example is Ebbeling et al.'s study which found that overweight adolescents ate greater amounts than non-overweight teens when presented with a fast-food meal (128).

Summary—Though sparse, studies that make use of feeding paradigms provide information that may be useful in elucidating factors that contribute to adolescent overweight and disordered eating behaviors. Dietary selection in particular may have bearing on eating behavior and body weight. Poorer food choices may lead to decreased satiation or heightened temptation to overeat and thus ultimately result in greater energy intake. Media influences and dietary restraint may also impact adolescent eating behavior. Further research on adolescents is required to better characterize eating during this developmental period and to better clarify the relationship between body weight, distorted cognitions, and eating behavior.

Longitudinal studies of eating behavior

A limited number of studies have examined eating behavior prospectively (92,93,115,120, 130,131).¹ Such studies constitute an important piece of the literature, as they are able to provide information regarding how feeding habits vary throughout childhood and adolescence. Further, such studies allow careful examination of precursors to and influences on future eating habits and body weight.

Only one study has longitudinally examined the patterns of eating earlier in life (from birth to 5 years) in order to determine whether “picky eating” in infancy translated into eating changes later in childhood (130). Results indicated that compared to children without a “picky eating” style (based upon parent report), those with picky eating at age 2 and 4 weeks exhibited fewer sucks per feeding session, and at ages 3 and 5 y ate fewer foods and were more likely to avoid vegetables. For girls with picky eating, energy intake was decreased between 3 and 5 years of age, while all other children increased energy intake.

Birch and colleagues have conducted several longitudinal studies with samples of girls between the ages of 5 and 9 years (92,120,131). Each measured body composition and obtained self-report of dietary patterns and objective measures of energy intake at 5, 7, and 9 years. Significant correlations were found between parent's reported restriction of child's food intake and child's weight at 5 years. BMI at 5 years and behavioral measures of girls' dietary restriction were also associated with eating in the absence of hunger at 7 and 9 years (92,120). One study found that while girls who endorsed dieting and greater amounts of dietary restraint reported lower food intakes during 24-hr recalls at the ages of 7 and 9, but their actual intake did not vary from that of less-restrained girls at any time point during laboratory eating situations (131). It may be that as girls become older, endorsements of dietary restraint are more indicative of a desire to restrict food intake than any actual change in behavior.

¹Two additional studies by Stunkard et al [38,39] are described in the section on infant feeding paradigms.

Implications and Future Directions

Clinical Implications

Based upon the findings of our review of pediatric feeding studies, the literature has provided insights into the eating habits of children that may offer clinically relevant implications. Since young children appear to possess some intrinsic ability to regulate energy intake, such abilities might be targeted to promote continued healthy eating patterns. Limited data already support the efficacy of such interventions in young children (58). Although research is required to further illuminate precisely which cues allow young children to effectively regulate energy intake, clinicians should help children maintain their natural abilities by training them to focus on internal cues, rather than the external influences that seem to impact energy regulation in older children.

The literature also suggests that many children may be resistant to trying unfamiliar foods. However, strategies such as exposure and conditioning have been shown to increase acceptance of and preference for new foods. Exposure to a range of healthy foods (for example, fresh fruits and vegetables, lean meats and dairy products) in early childhood may positively impact the quality of dietary intake as children grow. Such an intervention would require that parents, caretakers, and schools provide children with an array of nutritionally rich food choices at a young age in order to aid in developing early food preferences that promote healthy diets.

Both home and community environments appear to have a profound effect on children's eating habits. The parental behaviors most consistently linked to less healthful eating habits in children are food restriction and controlling mealtime behavior. Although it is possible that these associations are primarily a reflection of appropriate parental responses to past child behaviors that have been interpreted by parents as leading to excessive energy consumption, another interpretation of these findings is that placing extensive limitations on children's eating habits may inhibit their abilities to interpret their own hunger cues. Future studies are required to illuminate the genetic versus familial and environmental effects of food intake that may promote or protect against obesity.

Finally, limited data have suggested that children who report aberrant eating behaviors overeat in the laboratory. Targeting disordered eating patterns such as binge eating or overly restrictive dieting may serve as an intervention to reduce poor eating habits and possibly promote healthier weight in overweight children or to prevent weight gain in those at risk for overweight.

Future research

Despite the significant advances in our understanding of the variables affecting children's energy intake documented in this paper, we believe there are several significant lacunae that can be addressed by future research studies. One area of importance is subject selection. Much of the pediatric feeding study literature has relied on Caucasian, female samples. Therefore, future studies with more diverse samples are required. In particular, longitudinal data are warranted to determine how earlier eating patterns impact later eating habits and future weight gain among diverse samples. Such investigations will likely provide a greater understanding of the potential direction of causality between parent behaviors and environmental stimuli and childhood eating patterns.

The literature including adolescent samples is sparse. Adolescence is a developmental period marked by physical, social, and emotional changes. While the eating behaviors of pre-school youth and those in middle childhood are primarily under parental influence, adolescents typically have greater control over their food choices and eating habits. Adolescence is also the period when many abnormal eating behaviors such as restrictive dieting, binge eating, and other disordered eating patterns may start. Furthermore, overweight adolescents are being

increasingly targeted for new weight reduction treatments. It is thus crucial to characterize the eating behaviors of this cohort with the aim of targeting eating patterns promoting disordered eating and overweight for prevention or intervention. Finally, further study is required to determine similarities and differences in eating behavior between teens and younger cohorts and to pinpoint the unique impact of this developmental stage on eating patterns.

Another area of investigation that is required involves broadening our understanding of the relative impact of physical and genetic factors in food intake. Indeed, studies in adult samples suggest that both individual and genetic contributions significantly contribute to eating patterns (132,133). Studying eating behavior in relation to the brain, gut, adipocyte and other biological factors, acting peripherally or centrally, may provide important information about dietary intake and body weight. Moreover, because inactivating mutations of leptin, the adipocyte-derived hormone, or of leptin's hypothalamic signal transduction pathway including the leptin receptor, the pro-opiomelanocortin (POMC) gene, the processing enzymes needed to make alpha-MSH from POMC, and the melanocortin 4 receptor (MC4R) have been related to body weight (134-136), further feeding studies should include a genetic analysis component. Other genes of potential interest include ghrelin and the ghrelin receptor, neuropeptide Y (NPY) and NPY receptors, the cholecystokinin A-type receptor, the orexins and orexin receptors, the cocaine-amphetamine related transcript (CART), and the melanocortin 3 receptor because they may play a role in transducing or modifying leptin signaling in the hypothalamus.

Another area that warrants investigation is the use of ecological momentary assessment (EMA) both during feeding studies and to supplement data collected in the laboratory. Since EMA involves recording information in real-time, data, such as mood states, may be collected in laboratory. Outside of the artificial paradigm where intake is not typically measured by a third person, data with regard to food intake, mood, and other circumstances may be easily captured using personal digital assistants in naturalistic settings. Such research may offer a greater understanding of eating behaviors throughout an extended time period, as opposed to simply data collected during a laboratory meal. Such research on eating disordered behaviors and affective states in adults have already effectively made use of EMA (137-139). Furthermore, despite concern that children may have difficulty using personal digital assistants, EMA research has been conducted in youth with affective disorders (140,141) and in studies of smoking cessation (142,143) and physical activity (144).

Further research is also required to examine the impact of disordered eating behaviors on actual intake. For example, more data examining binge eating are required to better understand its impact on energy intake in healthy weight and overweight children. Other areas requiring exploration include the impact of eating in response to negative affect and other psychological variables on dietary patterns. Such data may illuminate factors involved in the development of excessive weight gain and eating disorders. Finally, the effect of interventions, such as behavior modification or pharmaceutical treatment, on eating patterns warrants future examination.

Limitations

Although there is much advantage to measuring eating behavior in a controlled setting, the limitations of such methods should be noted. Artificial circumstances, such as those in the laboratory, may alter the eating patterns of children as a result of desirability and demand characteristics. Some studies have sought to reduce this effect by covertly observing children in a naturalistic setting or by having researchers join children for several meals prior to testing days in order to acclimate children to their presence. Psychological reactance, a theory suggesting that when the freedom of options is limited, the attractiveness of the available options is decreased (145) might also impact feeding studies. If children feel forced to eat a limited variety of foods, a dislike for the target foods might develop. Moreover, in order to manipulate experimental circumstances and reduce extraneous variability, feeding studies may

create an artificial mealtime setting, thus bringing into question whether such results are generalizable outside of the experimental conditions. Finally, the methods and settings (i.e. laboratory, home, school) utilized in the literature to date have varied greatly across studies, often making direct comparisons of results challenging.

In conclusion, the literature to date indicates that feeding paradigms are a viable and informative approach to studying eating behavior in the laboratory. Data collected from such studies are imperative for our efforts to reduce the current rates of obesity.

Acknowledgements

This research was supported by the Intramural Research Program of the NIH, grant Z01-HD-00641 (NICHHD, NIH) to Dr. J. Yanovski.

References

- Ogden CL, Carroll MD, Curtin LR, McDowell MA, Tabak CJ, Flegal KM. Prevalence of overweight and obesity in the United States, 1999-2004. *J Am Med Assoc* 2006;295(13):1549-55.
- Morgan CM, Yanovski SZ, Nguyen TT, et al. Loss of control over eating, adiposity, and psychopathology in overweight children. *Int J Eat Disord* 2002;31(4):430-41. [PubMed: 11948648]
- Field AE, Camargo CA Jr, Taylor CB, et al. Overweight, weight concerns, and bulimic behaviors among girls and boys. *J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry* 1999;38(6):754-60. [PubMed: 10361795]
- Tanofsky-Kraff M, Yanovski SZ, Wilfley DE, Marmarosh C, Morgan CM, Yanovski JA. Eating disordered behaviors, body fat, and psychopathology in overweight and normal weight children. *J Consult Clin Psychol* 2004;72:53-61. [PubMed: 14756614]
- Must A, Strauss RS. Risks and consequences of childhood and adolescent obesity. *Int J Obes Relat Metab Disord* 1999;23:S2-11. [PubMed: 10340798]
- Wardle J, Cooke L. The impact of obesity on psychological well-being. *Best Pract Res Clin Endocrinol Metab* 2005;19(3):421-40. [PubMed: 16150384]
- Patton GC, Carlin JB, Shao Q, et al. Adolescent dieting: healthy weight control or borderline eating disorder? *J Child Psychol Psychiatry* 1997;38(3):299-306. [PubMed: 9232476]
- Goran MI. Measurement issues related to studies of childhood obesity: assessment of body composition, body fat distribution, physical activity, and food intake. *Pediatrics* 1998;101(3 Pt 2):505-18. [PubMed: 12224657]
- Schoeller DA. How accurate is self-reported dietary energy intake? *Nutr Rev* 1990;48(10):373-9. [PubMed: 2082216]
- Schoeller DA, Bandini LG, Dietz WH. Inaccuracies in self-reported intake identified by comparison with the doubly labelled water method. *Can J Physiol Pharmacol* 1990;68(7):941-9. [PubMed: 2200586]
- Kaskoun MC, Johnson RK, Goran MI. Comparison of energy intake by semiquantitative food-frequency questionnaire with total energy expenditure by the doubly labeled water method in young children. *Am J Clin Nutr* 1994;60(1):43-7. [PubMed: 8017336]
- Bandini LG, Schoeller DA, Cyr HN, Dietz WH. Validity of reported energy intake in obese and nonobese adolescents. *Am J Clin Nutr* 1990;52(3):421-5. [PubMed: 2393004]
- Champagne CM, Baker NB, DeLany JP, Harsha DW, Bray GA. Assessment of energy intake underreporting by doubly labeled water and observations on reported nutrient intakes in children. *J Am Diet Assoc* 1998;98(4):426-33. [PubMed: 9550166]
- Maffei C, Schutz Y, Zaffanello M, Piccoli R, Pinelli L. Elevated energy expenditure and reduced energy intake in obese prepubertal children: paradox of poor dietary reliability in obesity? *J Pediatr* 1994;124(3):348-54. [PubMed: 8120702]
- Fisher JO, Johnson RK, Lindquist C, Birch LL, Goran MI. Influence of body composition on the accuracy of reported energy intake in children. *Obes Res* 2000;8(8):597-603. [PubMed: 11156436]

16. Livingstone MB, Prentice AM, Coward WA, et al. Validation of estimates of energy intake by weighed dietary record and diet history in children and adolescents. *Am J Clin Nutr* 1992;56(1):29–35. [PubMed: 1609757]
17. Fisher JO, Birch LL. Parents' restrictive feeding practices are associated with young girls' negative self-evaluation of eating. *J Am Diet Assoc* 2000;100(11):1341–6. [PubMed: 11103656]
18. Baranowski T, Sprague D, Baranowski JH, Harrison JA. Accuracy of maternal dietary recall for preschool children. *J Am Diet Assoc* 1991;91(6):669–74. [PubMed: 2040780]
19. Klesges RC, Klesges LM, Brown G, Frank GC. Validation of the 24-hour dietary recall in preschool children. *J Am Diet Assoc* 1987;87(10):1383–5. [PubMed: 3655169]
20. Linneman C, Hessler K, Nanney S, Steger-May K, Huynh A, Haire-Joshu D. Parents are accurate reporters of their preschoolers' fruit and vegetable consumption under limited conditions. *J Nutr Educ Behav* 2004;36(6):305–8. [PubMed: 15617612]
21. Tanofsky-Kraff M, Yanovski SZ, Yanovski JA. Comparison of child interview and parent reports of children's eating disordered behaviors. *Eat Behav* 2005;6(1):95–9. [PubMed: 15567115]
22. Steinberg E, Tanofsky-Kraff M, Cohen ML, et al. Comparison of the child and parent forms of the Questionnaire on Eating and Weight Patterns in the assessment of children's eating-disordered behaviors. *Int J Eat Disord* 2004;36(2):183–94. [PubMed: 15282688]
23. Johnson WG, Grieve FG, Adams CD, Sandy J. Measuring binge eating in adolescents: adolescent and parent versions of the questionnaire of eating and weight patterns. *Int J Eat Disord* 1999;26(3):301–14. [PubMed: 10441246]
24. Davis C. Self selection of diet by newly weaned infants. *Am J of Dis Child* 1928;36(4):651–679.
25. Davis C. Results of the self-selection of diets by young children. *Canadian Med Assoc J* 1939;41(3):257–261.
26. Fomon SJ, Filmer LJ Jr, Thomas LN, Anderson TA, Nelson SE. Influence of formula concentration on caloric intake and growth of normal infants. *Acta Paediatr Scand* 1975;64(2):172–81. [PubMed: 1168981]
27. Birch LL, Gunder L, Grimm-Thomas K, Laing DG. Infants' consumption of a new food enhances acceptance of similar foods. *Appetite* 1998;30(3):283–95. [PubMed: 9632459]
28. Gerrish CJ, Mennella JA. Flavor variety enhances food acceptance in formula-fed infants. *Am J Clin Nutr* 2001;73(6):1080–5. [PubMed: 11382663]
29. Mennella JA, Griffin CE, Beauchamp GK. Flavor programming during infancy. *Pediatrics* 2004;113(4):840–5. [PubMed: 15060236]
30. Sullivan SA, Birch LL. Infant dietary experience and acceptance of solid foods. *Pediatrics* 1994;93(2):271–7. [PubMed: 8121740]
31. Chatoor I, Egan J, Getson P, Menvielle E, O'Donnell R. Mother-infant interactions in infantile anorexia nervosa. *J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry* 1988;27(5):535–40. [PubMed: 3182614]
32. Chatoor I, Ganiban J, Harrison J, Hirsch R. Observation of feeding in the diagnosis of posttraumatic feeding disorder of infancy. *J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry* 2001;40(5):595–602. [PubMed: 11349705]
33. Chatoor I, Ganiban J, Hirsch R, Borman-Spurrell E, Mrazek DA. Maternal characteristics and toddler temperament in infantile anorexia. *J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry* 2000;39(6):743–51. [PubMed: 10846309]
34. Chatoor I, Hirsch R, Ganiban J, Persinger M, Hamburger E. Diagnosing infantile anorexia: the observation of mother-infant interactions. *J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry* 1998;37(9):959–67. [PubMed: 9735615]
35. Chatoor I, Surlis J, Ganiban J, Beker L, Paez LM, Kerzner B. Failure to thrive and cognitive development in toddlers with infantile anorexia. *Pediatrics* 2004;113(5):e440–7. [PubMed: 15121987]
36. Kasese-Hara M, Wright C, Drewett R. Energy compensation in young children who fail to thrive. *J Child Psychol Psychiatry* 2002;43(4):449–56. [PubMed: 12030591]
37. Parkinson KN, Wright CM, Drewett RF. Mealtime energy intake and feeding behaviour in children who fail to thrive: a population-based case-control study. *J Child Psychol Psychiatry* 2004;45(5):1030–5. [PubMed: 15225344]

38. Stunkard AJ, Berkowitz RI, Stallings VA, Schoeller DA. Energy intake, not energy output, is a determinant of body size in infants. *Am J Clin Nutr* 1999;69(3):524–30. [PubMed: 10075340]
39. Stunkard AJ, Berkowitz RI, Schoeller D, Maislin G, Stallings VA. Predictors of body size in the first 2 y of life: a high-risk study of human obesity. *Int J Obes Relat Metab Disord* 2004;28(4):503–13. [PubMed: 14758342]
40. Drewett R, Y B. Methods for the analysis of feeding behaviour in infancy: sucklings. *J Reproductive Infant Psychol* 1998;16:9–26.
41. Young B, D R. Methods for the analysis of feeding behaviour in infancy: weanlings. *J Reproductive Infant Psychol* 1998;16:27–44.
42. Story M, Brown JE. Do young children instinctively know what to eat? The studies of Clara Davis revisited. *N Engl J Med* 1987;316(2):103–6. [PubMed: 3537796]
43. Mennella JA, Jagnow CP, Beauchamp GK. Prenatal and postnatal flavor learning by human infants. *Pediatrics* 2001;107(6):E88. [PubMed: 11389286]
44. Mennella JA, Beauchamp GK. Developmental changes in the acceptance of protein hydrolysate formula. *J Dev Behav Pediatr* 1996;17(6):386–91. [PubMed: 8960567]
45. Mennella JA, Beauchamp GK. Maternal diet alters the sensory qualities of human milk and the nursing's behavior. *Pediatrics* 1991;88(4):737–44. [PubMed: 1896276]
46. Mennella JA, Beauchamp GK. The transfer of alcohol to human milk. Effects on flavor and the infant's behavior. *N Engl J Med* 1991;325(14):981–5. [PubMed: 1886634]
47. Chatoor I. Feeding disorders in infants and toddlers: diagnosis and treatment. *Child Adolesc Psychiatr Clin N Am* 2002;11(2):163–83. [PubMed: 12109316]
48. Birch LL, Deysler M. Caloric compensation and sensory specific satiety: evidence for self regulation of food intake by young children. *Appetite* 1986;7(4):323–31. [PubMed: 3789709]
49. Birch LL, Johnson SL, Andresen G, Peters JC, Schulte MC. The variability of young children's energy intake. *N Engl J Med* 1991;324(4):232–5. [PubMed: 1985244]
50. Birch LL, Johnson SL, Jones MB, Peters JC. Effects of a nonenergy fat substitute on children's energy and macronutrient intake. *Am J Clin Nutr* 1993;58(3):326–33. [PubMed: 8237841]
51. Birch LL, McPhee LS, Bryant JL, Johnson SL. Children's lunch intake: effects of midmorning snacks varying in energy density and fat content. *Appetite* 1993;20(2):83–94. [PubMed: 8507070]
52. Birch LL, McPhee L, Sullivan S. Children's food intake following drinks sweetened with sucrose or aspartame: time course effects. *Physiol Behav* 1989;45(2):387–95. [PubMed: 2756027]
53. Birch LL, McPhee L, Sullivan S, Johnson S. Conditioned meal initiation in young children. *Appetite* 1989;13(2):105–13. [PubMed: 2802592]
54. Faith MS, Keller KL, Johnson SL, et al. Familial aggregation of energy intake in children. *Am J Clin Nutr* 2004;79(5):844–50. [PubMed: 15113724]
55. Hagg A, Jacobson T, Nordlund G, Rossner S. Effects of milk or water on lunch intake in preschool children. *Appetite* 1998;31(1):83–92. [PubMed: 9716437]
56. Wilson JF. Does type of milk beverage affect lunchtime eating patterns and food choice by preschool children? *Appetite* 1994;23(1):90–2. [PubMed: 7826061]
57. Wilson JF. Preschoolers' mid-afternoon snack intake is not affected by lunchtime food consumption. *Appetite* 1999;33(3):319–27. [PubMed: 10625525]
58. Johnson SL. Improving Preschoolers' self-regulation of energy intake. *Pediatrics* 2000;106(6):1429–35. [PubMed: 11099599]
59. Johnson SL, Birch LL. Parents' and children's adiposity and eating style. *Pediatrics* 1994;94(5):653–61. [PubMed: 7936891]
60. Birch LL. Preschool children's food preferences and consumption patterns. *J Nutr Educ* 1979;11(4):189–192.
61. Birch LL. Dimensions of preschool children's food preferences. *J Nutr Educ* 1979;11(2):77–80.
62. Birch LL, Billman J, Richards SS. Time of day influences food acceptability. *Appetite* 1984;5(2):109–16. [PubMed: 6542766]
63. Endres J, Barter S, Theodora P, Welch P. Soy-enhanced lunch acceptance by preschoolers. *J Am Diet Assoc* 2003;103(3):346–51. [PubMed: 12616257]

64. Oscarson R, Braum J. A soybean education for preschoolers: Introducing new foods to children. *J Fam Consum Sci* 1999;91:59–64.
65. Wardle J, Cooke LJ, Gibson EL, Sapochnik M, Sheiham A, Lawson M. Increasing children's acceptance of vegetables; a randomized trial of parent-led exposure. *Appetite* 2003;40(2):155–62. [PubMed: 12781165]
66. Addessi E, Galloway AT, Visalberghi E, Birch LL. Specific social influences on the acceptance of novel foods in 2-5-year-old children. *Appetite* 2005;45(3):264–71. [PubMed: 16239048]
67. Birch LL, McPhee L, Steinberg L, Sullivan S. Conditioned flavor preferences in young children. *Physiol Behav* 1990;47(3):501–5. [PubMed: 2359760]
68. Birch LL, Zimmerman SI, Hind H. The influence of social-affective context on the formation of children's food preferences. *Child Development* 1980;51:856–861.
69. Johnson SL, McPhee L, Birch LL. Conditioned preferences: young children prefer flavors associated with high dietary fat. *Physiol Behav* 1991;50(6):1245–51. [PubMed: 1798782]
70. Kern DL, McPhee L, Fisher J, Johnson S, Birch LL. The postingestive consequences of fat condition preferences for flavors associated with high dietary fat. *Physiol Behav* 1993;54(1):71–6. [PubMed: 8327611]
71. Birch LL, Birch D, Marlin DW, Kramer L. Effects of instrumental consumption on children's food preference. *Appetite* 1982;3(2):125–34. [PubMed: 7137991]
72. Birch LL, Deysheer M. Conditioned and unconditioned caloric compensation: Evidence for self-regulation of food intake in young children. *Learning Motivation* 1985;16:341–355.
73. Birch LL, McPhee L, Shoba BC, Steinberg L, Krehbiel R. “Clean up your plate”: Effects of child feeding practices on the conditioning meal size. *Learning Motivation* 1987;18:301–317.
74. Fisher JO, Birch LL. Restricting access to palatable foods affects children's behavioral response, food selection, and intake. *Am J Clin Nutr* 1999;69(6):1264–72. [PubMed: 10357749]
75. Birch LL, Fisher JO. Mothers' child-feeding practices influence daughters' eating and weight. *Am J Clin Nutr* 2000;71(5):1054–61. [PubMed: 10799366]
76. Heptinstall E, Puckering C, Skuse D, Start K, Zur-Szpiro S, Dowdney L. Nutrition and mealtime behaviour in families of growth-retarded children. *Hum Nutr Appl Nutr* 1987;41(6):390–402. [PubMed: 3436837]
77. Wardle J, Guthrie C, Sanderson S, Birch L, Plomin R. Food and activity preferences in children of lean and obese parents. *Int J Obes Relat Metab Disord* 2001;25(7):971–7. [PubMed: 11443494]
78. Drucker RR, Hammer LD, Agras WS, Bryson S. Can mothers influence their child's eating behavior? *J Dev Behav Pediatr* 1999;20(2):88–92. [PubMed: 10219686]
79. Fisher JO, Birch LL. Fat preferences and fat consumption of 3- to 5-year-old children are related to parental adiposity. *J Am Diet Assoc* 1995;95(7):759–64. [PubMed: 7797805]
80. Fisher JO, Birch LL. Restricting access to foods and children's eating. *Appetite* 1999;32(3):405–19. [PubMed: 10336797]
81. Birch LL. Effect of peer models' food choices and eating behaviors on preschoolers' food preferences. *Child Development* 1980;51:489–496.
82. Duncker K. Experimental modification of children's food preferences through social suggestion. *J Abnorm Soc Psychol* 1938;33:489–507.
83. Harper LV, Sanders KM. The effect of adults' eating on young children's acceptance of unfamiliar foods. *J Exp Child Psychol* 1975;20:206–214.
84. Hendy HM. Effectiveness of trained peer models to encourage food acceptance in preschool children. *Appetite* 2002;39(3):217–25. [PubMed: 12495695]
85. Fisher JO, Rolls BJ, Birch LL. Children's bite size and intake of an entrée are greater with large portions than with age-appropriate or self-selected portions. *Am J Clin Nutr* 2003;77:1164–70. [PubMed: 12716667]
86. Rolls BJ, Engell D, Birch LL. Serving portion size influences 5-year-old but not 3-year-old children's food intakes. *J Am Diet Assoc* 2000;100(2):232–4. [PubMed: 10670398]
87. Drabman RS, Cordua GD, Hammer D, Jarvie GJ, Horton W. Developmental trends in eating rates of normal and overweight preschool children. *Child Dev* 1979;50(1):211–6. [PubMed: 446206]

88. Ashraf HR, Schoepel C, Nelson JA. Use of tofu in preschool meals. *J Am Diet Assoc* 1990;90(8): 1114–6. [PubMed: 2380464]
89. Birch LL, Marlin DW. I don't like it; I never tried it: effects of exposure on two-year-old children's food preferences. *Appetite* 1982;3(4):353–60. [PubMed: 7168567]
90. Birch LL, McPhee L, Shoba BC, Pirok E, Steinberg L. What kind of exposure reduces children's food neophobia? Looking vs. tasting. *Appetite* 1987;9(3):171–8. [PubMed: 3435134]
91. Lepper MR, Greene D, Nisbett RE. Undermining children's intrinsic interest with extrinsic rewards: A test of the “overjustification” hypothesis. *J Pers Soc Psychol* 1973;28:129–137.
92. Birch LL, Fisher JO, Davison KK. Learning to overeat: maternal use of restrictive feeding practices promotes girls' eating in the absence of hunger. *Am J Clin Nutr* 2003;78(2):215–20. [PubMed: 12885700]
93. Francis LA, Birch LL. Maternal weight status modulates the effects of restriction on daughters' eating and weight. *Int J Obes (Lond)* 2005;29(8):942–9. [PubMed: 15782227]
94. Nielsen SJ, Popkin BM. Patterns and trends in food portion sizes, 1977-1998. *J Am Med Assoc* 2003;289(4):450–3.
95. Warren JM, Henry CJ, Simonite V. Low glycemic index breakfasts and reduced food intake in preadolescent children. *Pediatrics* 2003;112(5):e414. [PubMed: 14595085]
96. Moore MS, Dodd CJ, Welsman JR, Armstrong N. Short-term appetite and energy intake following imposed exercise in 9- to 10-year-old girls. *Appetite* 2004;43(2):127–34. [PubMed: 15458799]
97. Mrdjenovic G, Levitsky DA. Nutritional and energetic consequences of sweetened drink consumption in 6- to 13-year-old children. *J Pediatr* 2003;142(6):604–10. [PubMed: 12838186]
98. Cecil JE, Palmer CN, Wrieden W, et al. Energy intakes of children after preloads: adjustment, not compensation. *Am J Clin Nutr* 2005;82(2):302–8. [PubMed: 16087972]
99. Pelchat ML, Pliner P. “Try it. You'll like it.” Effects of information on willingness to try novel foods. *Appetite* 1995;24(2):153–65. [PubMed: 7611749]
100. Epstein LH, Wing RR, Valoski A, Penner BC. Stability of food preferences during weight control. A study with 8- to 12-year-old children and their parents. *Behav Modif* 1987;11(1):87–101. [PubMed: 3508378]
101. Loewen R, Pliner P. Effects of prior exposure to palatable and unpalatable novel foods on children's willingness to taste other novel foods. *Appetite* 1999;32(3):351–66. [PubMed: 10336793]
102. Pliner P, Stallberg-White C. “Pass the ketchup, please”: familiar flavors increase children's willingness to taste novel foods. *Appetite* 2000;34(1):95–103. [PubMed: 10744896]
103. Laessle RG, Uhl H, Lindel B. Parental influences on eating behavior in obese and nonobese preadolescents. *Int J Eat Disord* 2001;30(4):447–53. [PubMed: 11746306]
104. Laessle RG, Uhl H, Lindel B, Muller A. Parental influences on laboratory eating behavior in obese and non-obese children. *Int J Obes Relat Metab Disord* 2001;25:S60–2. [PubMed: 11466591]
105. Waxman M, Stunkard AJ. Caloric intake and expenditure of obese boys. *J Pediatr* 1980;96(2):187–93. [PubMed: 7351577]
106. Epstein LH, Valoski A, Wing RR, et al. Perception of eating and exercise in children as a function of child and parent weight status. *Appetite* 1989;12(2):105–18. [PubMed: 2764552]
107. Halford JC, Gillespie J, Brown V, Pontin EE, Dovey TM. Effect of television advertisements for foods on food consumption in children. *Appetite* 2004;42(2):221–5. [PubMed: 15010186]
108. Engell D, Bordi P, Borja M, Lambert C, Rolls B. Effects of information about fat content on food preferences in pre-adolescent children. *Appetite* 1998;30(3):269–82. [PubMed: 9632458]
109. Jansen A, Theunissen N, Slechten K, et al. Overweight children overeat after exposure to food cues. *Eat Behav* 2003;4(2):197–209. [PubMed: 15000982]
110. Overholser J, Beck S. Assessing generalization of treatment effects and self-efficacy in the modification of eating styles in obese children. *Addict Behav* 1985;10(2):145–52. [PubMed: 4013864]
111. Drabman RS, Hammer D, Jarvie GJ. Eating styles of obese and nonobese black and white children in a naturalistic setting. *Addict Behav* 1997;2:83–86. [PubMed: 899907]

112. Faith MS, Berkowitz RI, Stallings VA, Kerns J, Storey M, Stunkard AJ. Eating in the absence of hunger: a genetic marker for childhood obesity in prepubertal boys? *Obesity (Silver Spring)* 2006;14(1):131–8. [PubMed: 16493131]
113. Roemmich JN, Wright SM, Epstein LH. Dietary restraint and stress-induced snacking in youth. *Obes Res* 2002;10(11):1120–6. [PubMed: 12429875]
114. Mirch MC, McDuffie JR, Yanovski SZ, et al. Effects of binge eating on satiation, satiety, and energy intake of overweight children. *Am J Clin Nutr* 2006;84(4):732–8. [PubMed: 17023698]
115. Fisher JO, Birch LL. Eating in the absence of hunger and overweight in girls from 5 to 7 y of age. *Am J Clin Nutr* 2002;76(1):226–31. [PubMed: 12081839]
116. Hill AJ, Oliver S, Rogers PJ. Eating in the adult world: the rise of dieting in childhood and adolescence. *Br J Clin Psychol* 1992;31(Pt 1):95–105. [PubMed: 1559122]
117. Tanofsky-Kraff M, Faden D, Yanovski SZ, Wilfley DE, Yanovski JA. The perceived onset of dieting and loss of control eating behaviors in overweight children. *Int J Eat Disord* 2005;38(2):112–22. [PubMed: 16134103]
118. Wardle J, Herrera ML, Cooke L, Gibson EL. Modifying children's food preferences: the effects of exposure and reward on acceptance of an unfamiliar vegetable. *Eur J Clin Nutr* 2003;57(2):341–8. [PubMed: 12571670]
119. Kissileff HR, Klingsberg G, Van Itallie TB. Universal eating monitor for continuous recording of solid or liquid consumption in man. *Am J Physiol* 1980;238(1):R14–22. [PubMed: 7356043]
120. Shunk JA, Birch LL. Girls at risk for overweight at age 5 are at risk for dietary restraint, disinhibited overeating, weight concerns, and greater weight gain from 5 to 9 years. *J Am Diet Assoc* 2004;104(7):1120–6. [PubMed: 15215771]
121. Tanofsky-Kraff M, Yanovski SZ, Wilfley DE, Marmarosh C, Morgan CM, Yanovski JA. Eating-disordered behaviors, body fat, and psychopathology in overweight and normal-weight children. *J Consult Clin Psychol* 2004;72(1):53–61. [PubMed: 14756614]
122. van Strien T, Frijters J, Bergers G, Defares P. The Dutch Eating Behaviour Questionnaire for assessment of restrained, emotional and external eating behaviour. *Int J Eat Disord* 1986;5:295–315.
123. Ball SD, Keller KR, Moyer-Mileur LJ, Ding YW, Donaldson D, Jackson WD. Prolongation of satiety after low versus moderately high glycemic index meals in obese adolescents. *Pediatrics* 2003;111(3):488–94. [PubMed: 12612226]
124. Ludwig DS, Majzoub JA, Al-Zahrani A, Dallal GE, Blanco I, Roberts SB. High glycemic index foods, overeating, and obesity. *Pediatrics* 1999;103(3):E26. [PubMed: 10049982]
125. Rolls BJ, McDermott TM. Effects of age on sensory-specific satiety. *Am J Clin Nutr* 1991;54(6):988–96. [PubMed: 1957832]
126. Templeton SB, Marlette MA, Panemangalore M. Competitive foods increase the intake of energy and decrease the intake of certain nutrients by adolescents consuming school lunch. *J Am Diet Assoc* 2005;105(2):215–20. [PubMed: 15668677]
127. Warren CS, Strauss J, Taska JL, Sullivan SJ. Inspiring or dispiriting? The effect of diet commercials on snack food consumption in high school and college-aged women. *Int J Eat Disord* 2005;37(3):266–70. [PubMed: 15822086]
128. Ebbeling CB, Sinclair KB, Pereira MA, Garcia-Lago E, Feldman HA, Ludwig DS. Compensation for energy intake from fast food among overweight and lean adolescents. *J Am Med Assoc* 2004;291(23):2828–33.
129. Bjorntorp P. Abdominal fat distribution and the metabolic syndrome. *J Cardiovasc Pharmacol* 1992;20:S26–8. [PubMed: 1283766]
130. Jacobi C, Agras WS, Bryson S, Hammer LD. Behavioral validation, precursors, and concomitants of picky eating in childhood. *J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry* 2003;42(1):76–84. [PubMed: 12500079]
131. Shunk JA, Birch LL. Validity of dietary restraint among 5- to 9-year old girls. *Appetite* 2004;42(3):241–7. [PubMed: 15183914]
132. de Castro JM. Independence of genetic influences on body size, daily intake, and meal patterns of humans. *Physiol Behav* 1993;54(4):633–9. [PubMed: 8248339]

133. de Castro JM. Heredity influences the dietary energy density of free-living humans. *Physiol Behav* 2006;87(1):192–8. [PubMed: 16310814]
134. Farooqi IS, Yeo GS, Keogh JM, et al. Dominant and recessive inheritance of morbid obesity associated with melanocortin 4 receptor deficiency. *J Clin Invest* 2000;106(2):271–9. [PubMed: 10903343]
135. Farooqi IS, Keogh JM, Yeo GS, Lank EJ, Cheetham T, O'Rahilly S. Clinical spectrum of obesity and mutations in the melanocortin 4 receptor gene. *N Engl J Med* 2003;348(12):1085–95. [PubMed: 12646665]
136. Vaisse C, Clement K, Durand E, Hercberg S, Guy-Grand B, Froguel P. Melanocortin-4 receptor mutations are a frequent and heterogeneous cause of morbid obesity. *J Clin Invest* 2000;106(2):253–62. [PubMed: 10903341]
137. Stein KF, Corte CM. Ecologic momentary assessment of eating-disordered behaviors. *Int J Eat Disord* 2003;34(3):349–60. [PubMed: 12949927]
138. Engel SG, Wonderlich SA, Crosby RD, et al. A study of patients with anorexia nervosa using ecologic momentary assessment. *Int J Eat Disord* 2005;38(4):335–9. [PubMed: 16261606]
139. Engel SG, Boseck JJ, Crosby RD, et al. The relationship of momentary anger and impulsivity to bulimic behavior. *Behav Res Ther*. 2006
140. Axelson DA, Bertocci MA, Lewin DS, et al. Measuring mood and complex behavior in natural environments: use of ecological momentary assessment in pediatric affective disorders. *J Child Adolesc Psychopharmacol* 2003;13(3):253–66. [PubMed: 14642013]
141. Larson RW, Raffaelli M, Richards MH, Ham M, Jewell L. Ecology of depression in late childhood and early adolescence: a profile of daily states and activities. *J Abnorm Psychol* 1990;99(1):92–102. [PubMed: 2307772]
142. Weinstein SM, Mermelstein RJ, Hedeker D, Hankin BL, Flay BR. The time-varying influences of peer and family support on adolescent daily positive and negative affect. *J Clin Child Adolesc Psychol* 2006;35(3):420–30. [PubMed: 16836479]
143. Whalen CK, Jamner LD, Henker B, Delfino RJ. Smoking and moods in adolescents with depressive and aggressive dispositions: evidence from surveys and electronic diaries. *Health Psychol* 2001;20(2):99–111. [PubMed: 11315734]
144. Dunton GF, Whalen CK, Jamner LD, Henker B, Floro JN. Using ecologic momentary assessment to measure physical activity during adolescence. *Am J Prev Med* 2005;29(4):281–7. [PubMed: 16242590]
145. Brehm, J. *A Theory of Psychological Reactance*. New York: Academic Press; 1966.